



Food Additives Associated with Gut Microbiota Alterations in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Friends or Enemies?

Caiguang Liu ¹, Shukai Zhan ¹, Zhenyi Tian ², Na Li ¹, Tong Li ¹, Dongxuan Wu ¹, Zhirong Zeng ^{1,*} and Xiaojun Zhuang ^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Gastroenterology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510080, China; liucg3@mail2.sysu.edu.cn (C.L.); zhanshk@mail2.sysu.edu.cn (S.Z.); lina87@mail2.sysu.edu.cn (N.L.); litong68@mail.sysu.edu.cn (T.L.); wudx6@mail2.sysu.edu.cn (D.W.)
- ² Department of Gastroenterology, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou 510282, China; tianzhy3@mail2.sysu.edu.cn
- * Correspondence: zengzhirong@mail.sysu.edu.cn (Z.Z.); zhuangxj9@mail.sysu.edu.cn (X.Z.)

Abstract: During the 21st century, the incidence and prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is rising globally. Despite the pathogenesis of IBD remaining largely unclear, the interactions between environmental exposure, host genetics and immune response contribute to the occurrence and development of this disease. Growing evidence implicates that food additives might be closely related to IBD, but the involved molecular mechanisms are still poorly understood. Food additives may be categorized as distinct types in accordance with their function and property, including artificial sweeteners, preservatives, food colorant, emulsifiers, stabilizers, thickeners and so on. Various kinds of food additives play a role in modifying the interaction between gut microbiota and intestinal inflammation. Therefore, this review comprehensively synthesizes the current evidence on the interplay between different food additives and gut microbiome alterations, and further elucidates the potential mechanisms of food additives—associated microbiota changes involved in IBD.

Keywords: food additive; gut microbiota; inflammatory bowel disease

1. Introduction

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), which included Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis, are featured as chronically recurrent inflammatory disorders of the digestive tract. In recent decades, IBD has affected about 150–200 cases per 100,000 in western countries. Moreover, its prevalence in many newly industrialized countries presents a trend that increases fleetly, indicating the critical player of environmental factors as the disease progresses [1]. To date, the etiology of IBD is still uncertain. The interactions between environmental triggers and gut microbiome alterations in genetically susceptible individuals could stimulate an aberrant immune disorder and drive chronic gut inflammation. As an important environmental factor, dietary patterns may have the pivotal role in altering intestinal floras, followed by dysregulated host homeostasis and immunological processes [2].

Many investigations have focused on the contributing impact of different dietary patterns or eating habits on IBD [3]. The western diet, a kind of food pattern with an increased consumption of total fat, unsaturated fatty acids, refined proteins and processed carbohydrates, was correlated with a higher risk of IBD flare-ups. Mechanistically, an excessive intake of saturated fatty acids, sugars and processed meats could induce intestinal barrier dysfunction and low-grade intestinal inflammation [4]. Diet-induced changes in the intestinal microbiota composition involved in IBD also appear [5]. Furthermore, the western diet might be accompanied by an increased consumption of food additives. Food additives are defined as chemical synthesis or natural substances improving food quality, prolonging the food storage period, facilitating the food process and enriching



Citation: Liu, C.; Zhan, S.; Tian, Z.; Li, N.; Li, T.; Wu, D.; Zeng, Z.; Zhuang, X. Food Additives Associated with Gut Microbiota Alterations in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Friends or Enemies? *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 3049. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14153049

Academic Editor: Ruggiero Francavilla

Received: 18 June 2022 Accepted: 21 July 2022 Published: 25 July 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). food nutrients [6]. The appliance of food additives must follow the guidelines and relevant regulations authorized by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the United States or the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in Europe. On the basis of their function and property, food additives can be categorized into different groups such as preservatives, artificial sweeteners, food colorants, flavor enhancers, emulsifiers, stabilizers and thickeners, anti-caking agents and so on [7]. Nevertheless, recent studies pointed out that some of the approved food additives might exert deleterious effects [8]. Food additives permitted in the European Union before 20 January 2009 had to be re-evaluated by 31 December 2020. More seriously, the underlying mechanisms of various food additives involved in IBD are still uncertain.

Clinical and experimental data suggested that gut dysbiosis and gut microbiotaderived metabolites are closely related to the onset and progression of IBD. The diversity of gut microbiota in IBD patients was reduced, with decreased short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) producing bacteria as well as increased mucolytic and pathogenic bacteria [9]. Several metabolites including bile acid derivatives, SCFAs and tryptophan metabolites have been highlighted [9]. Moreover, the gut microbiota was hypothesized as a medium, linking food additive intake to the intestinal inflammation of IBD. For instance, dietary emulsifiers were supposed to induce low-grade inflammation via microbiota disturbance [10]. These emulsifiers also decreased the level of SCFAs and altered mucus thickness to aggravate intestinal inflammation in interleukin-10 deficient (IL10 KO) and toll-like receptor 5 knockout mice [11]. Interestingly, gut microbiota alterations caused by food additives are somehow in accordance with those in IBD patients. Hence, the relation between food additives and intestinal microbiota might help to better interpret the occurrence and development of IBD.

This review synthesizes the existing research concerning food additive-driven gut microbiota alterations involved in intestinal inflammation and further elucidates the possible mechanisms of food additives involved in IBD.

2. Artificial Sweeteners

Artificial sweeteners, called non-caloric artificial sweeteners (NAS), are sugar substitutes without adding calories or triggering a blood glucose response. In accordance with Article (5) of the Regulation (EU) No. 257/2010, EFSA has already made open calls for data on sweeteners under the re-evaluation program. On the basis of the information received from interested parties and those retrieved from the literature, the assessment of these food additives has been started [12,13]. Recently, a call for data on genotoxicity data on sweeteners was also proclaimed by EFSA. Artificial sweetener-induced gut microbiota and metabolites alterations have been observed in current research, such as aspartame, accsulfame-K (Ace-K), stevia, sucralose, saccharin, neotame and the corresponding compounds. Details were shown in Table 1, Table 2 and Supplementary Table S1.

2.1. Aspartame (E 951)

Aspartame (E 951) is a low-calorie and intense artificial sweetener. In Europe, it is authorized for use as a food additive in various foodstuffs and as a table-top sweetener. EFSA published its first full risk assessment of aspartame in December 2013 and the opinion concluded that aspartame and its breakdown products are safe for general population (including infants, children and pregnant women) [14]. However, people with phenylketonuria have a difficult time metabolizing phenylalanine and should control the intake of aspartame. In humans, aspartame might not alter the abundance but the diversity of fecal microbiota [15,16]. However, the increases in SCFAs-producing bacteria such as *Bifidobacterium* and *Blautia coccoides* and the decreases in the ratio of *Bacteroides/Prevotella* were detected in another study [17]. In animal experiments, the abundance of *Firmicutes* and *Clostridium leptum* was higher and the richness of *SCFAs*, including propionic and butyric acid, were also increased in serum, feces and the cecal contents (Table 2) [18,19]. In vitro treatment by NAS mixtures (aspartame and Ace-K) stimulated *Escherichia coli*

(*E. coli*) expansion. The enoyl ACP reductase, a rate-limiting enzyme for the butyrate biosynthesis, was also overexpressed [20]. Although few studies explored the relationships between aspartame, gut microbiota and IBD, this substance might be a "friendly" food additive in IBD by enriching SCFAs-producing bacteria and the concentration of SCFAs.

2.2. Acesulfame K (E 950)

Ace-K, or acesulfame potassium, is a commonly used NAS. The acceptable daily intake (ADI) of Ace-K was 9 mg/kg body weight (bw), which is also suitable for children 1–3 years old for special medical purposes and is considered not a safety concern [21]. In animal experiments, the amounts of total bacteria in an Ace-K treatment group were equivalent to the control group [22]. However, in another study, Ace-K consumption showed as highly gender-specific in changing gut microbiota and metabolites. In females, the lower abundance of Lactobacillus and Clostridium genera and the higher level of Mucispirillum genus were observed, while in males, the abundances of Bacteroides, Anaerostipes and Sutterella were increased [23]. Exposing mice to sucralose and Ace-K during pregnancy and lactation might change the α - and β -diversity of their offspring's gut microbiota, showing an increase in Firmicutes and an extreme decrease in the potential anti-inflammatory bacteria Akkermansia muciniphil [24]. Ace-K administration after antibiotic treatment also induced an expansion of the sulfate-reducing bacteria Desulfovibrio and a higher expression of proinflammatory cytokines in the colon. Moreover, Ace-K might evoke indomethacininduced intestinal damage via dysbiosis (shown in Table 1) [25]. However, Ace-K would inhibit the growth of *E. coli* [26], which is contrary to the finding from Mahmud et al., [20].

In the fecal samples of IBD patients, there was a high level of primary bile acids such as cholic acid (CA) and a low concentration of secondary bile acids such as deoxycholic acid (DCA) [27]. Interestingly, the change trends of CA and DCA were consistent in mice treated with Ace-K [22]. Some genes related to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and flagella synthesis were also upregulated (Table 2) [23]. To sum up, a decrease of anti-inflammatory bacteria with some bacterial function alterations such as LPS and bile acid synthesis were reported after treatment with Ace-K. This suggests that gut microbiome and metabolite perturbation induced by Ace-K might be the key disruptor for intestinal homeostasis, which might be an increased risk for IBD.

Food Additives	Study	Model	Sample and Gut Microbiota Alterations	Inflammatory Effects
Artificial sweetener	rs			
Ace-K	Hanawa et al., 2019 [25]	Mice	(F) Increase: <i>Desulfovibrio</i> in genus.	Induced the expression of inflammatory cytokines.
Sucralose	Wang et al., 2018 [8]	Rats with TNBS-induced colitis	(F) Increase: <i>Proteobacteria</i> and <i>Bacteroidetes;</i> Decrease: <i>Firmicutes</i> and <i>Actinomycetes</i> .	Exacerbated colitis, aggravated changes in colon length, MPO, TNF- α and IL-1 β in gut tissue.
Sucralose	Li et al., 2020 [28]	Mice with DSS/AOM-induced colon cancer	(F) Increase: F. Actinomycetes, P. stomatis, C. symbiosum, P. anaerobius Decrease: Proteobacteria	Aggravation of colorectal tumors; induction of inflammatory cytokines and pathways (TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-10).
Splenda	Rodriguez-Palacios et al., 2018 [29]	Ileitis-prone SAMP mice	(F) Increase: <i>Proteobacteria</i> and <i>E. coli</i> with increased bacterial infiltration into the lamina propria; malX gene–carrying bacterial	Increase MPO activity; no impact on the severity of ileitis.
Saccharin	Sünderhauf et al, 2020 [30] Mice with DSS-induced co		(F) Influenced on β-diversity Increase: <i>Bacteroidetes</i> and <i>Proteobacteria</i> phylum Decrease: <i>S. aureus, K. pneumonia</i> and <i>P.</i> <i>aeruginosa</i>	Improved intestinal inflammation with less weight loss, lower DAI and histological score.
Sugar alcohols				
Lactitol	Wang et al., 2019 [31]	Mice with DSS-induced colitis	(F) Altered the α-diversity; increase: <i>Akkermansia</i>	Improved inflammation in acute colitis mice.
Coating and thicke	ning agents			
MDX	Zangara et al., 2021 [32]	IL10 KO and NOD2 KO mice	(F) Decrease in α -diversity; altered β -diversity	Accelerated the onset of colitis; elevated intestinal infiltration of CD3+ cells and intestinal pathology; reduced mucin granule content.
MDX	Thymann et al., 2009 [33]	Pigs with NEC	(IC) Lower the bacterial diversity Increase: <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp., <i>Streptococcus</i> spp., <i>Leuconostoc</i> spp. Decrease: <i>Weissella app</i>	Induced higher incidence of NEC; reduced villus height.

Table 1. The interactions among food additives, gut microbiota and inflammatory bowel disease.

	Table 1. Cont.			
Food Additives	Study	Model	Sample and Gut Microbiota Alterations	Inflammatory Effects
MDX	Kourtney et al., 2009 [34]	Mice with Salmonella gastroenteritis	Enhances mucosal Salmonella colonization in vivo	Wrecked the intestinal antimicrobial barrier in vivo. Suppressed NAPDH oxidase expression; reduced recruitment of NADPH oxidase to <i>Salmonella</i> -containing vesicles, resulting in persistence of <i>Salmonella</i> in vesicles.
MDX	Kourtney et al., 2009 [35]	AIEC isolated from patients	MDX enhanced AIEC specific biofilm formation	Induced type I pili expression; increased bacterial adhesion to intestinal epithelial.
Emulsifiers				
P80	Hirotaka et al., 2019 [36]	Mice with indomethacin-induced colitis	(IC) Decreased the α -diversity in the small intestine Increased: <i>Gammaproteobacteria</i> and <i>P. mirabilis</i>	Exacerbated colitis; increased the interleukin- 1β expression. Antibiotic pretreatment abolished this effect.
P80	Roberts et al., 2010 [37]	E coli isolates from patients	-	Increased the translocation of <i>E coli</i> across M epithelial cells.
СМС	Zangara et al., 2021 [32]	IL10 KO mice and NOD2 KO mice	(F) Flagella expression by microbes was elevated	Accelerated the onset of colitis; elevated intestinal infiltration of CD3+ cells and intestinal pathology; reduced mucin granule content.
СМС	Swidsinski et al., 2011 [38]	IL10 KO mice	(Intestinal mucosa) Bacterial overgrowth	Distention of spaces between villi, with bacteria filling these spaces, adherence of bacteria to the mucosa and migration of bacteria to the bottom of the crypts.
CMC and P80	Chassaing et al., 2017 [39]	M-SHIME; ASF and GF mice	In vitro: influenced on diversity and composition (F) Increase in inflammation-related bacteria, decreased health-associated bacteria	Promoted low-grade gut inflammation.
CMC and P80	Chassaing et al., 2015 [11]	Wildtype, IL10 KO and TLR5 KO mice;	(F) Induced a reduction in microbial diversity Increase: <i>Verrucomicrobia</i> phylum, <i>A. muciniphila</i> , <i>Proteobacteria</i>	Induced low-grade intestinal inflammation and promoted robust colitis.
CMC and P80	Viennois et al., 2020 [10]	IL10 KO and ASF/GF mice; DSS-induced colitis	-	Induced chronic intestinal inflammation and metabolism dysregulations, especially in IL10 KO.
Carrageenan	Li et al., 2014 [40]	GF mice	GF mice inoculated with <i>B. xylanisolvens</i> 38F6A4 or <i>E. coli</i> 38F6C1	Increased intestinal permeability and was related to the onset of colitis.
Carrageenan	Shang et al., 2017 [41]	Mice	(CC) Decrease: A.muciniphila	Induced low-grade colitis.
Carrageenan	Ye et al., 2020 [42]	Mice with HFD induced-colitis	(F) Increase: A. finegoldii and B. acidifaciens	Aggravated intestinal inflammation in colitis mice.
Carrageenan	Wu et al., 2017 [43]	Mice with <i>Citrobacter freundii</i> DBS100-induced colitis	-	Aggravated intestinal inflammation in colitis mice.

Food Additives

Carrageenan

Carrageenan

Carrageenan

Table 1. Cont.

Study

End et al., 2009 [44]

Munyaka et al., 2016 [45]

Onderdonk et al., 1978 [46]

Model	Sample and Gut Microbiota Alterations	Inflammatory Effects
Mice with DSS-induced colitis	Inhibits the bacterial aggregating function of DMBT1	Disrupts the mucosal protection provided by DMBT1.
Mice inoculated with AIEC	(IC) Decreased bacterial richness and composition Increase: <i>Proteobacteria</i> and <i>Deferribacteres</i> Decrease: <i>Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes</i>	Induced colitis in mice.
Guinea pigs	-	Induced the cecal ulcerations; no effect on GF pigs.
Guinea pigs; GF mice	-	Inoculated with <i>B. vulgatus</i> developed cecal ulcerations.
Mice	(F) Increase: Barnesiella; Clostridium XIVa, Oscillibacter, Parasutterella	Maintained intestine barrier; promoted anti-inflammatory environment.
Miss with LIED	(E) I	Ameliorated the metabolic disorders and gut

Carrageenan	Onderdonk et al., 1983 [47]	Guinea pigs; GF mice	-	Inoculated with <i>B. vulgatus</i> developed cecal ulcerations.
GML	Mo et al., 2019 [48]	Mice	(F) Increase: Barnesiella; Clostridium XIVa, Oscillibacter, Parasutterella	Maintained intestine barrier; promoted anti-inflammatory environment.
GML	Zhao et al., 2020 [49]	Mice with HFD	(F) Increase: Bifidobacterium pseudolongum	Ameliorated the metabolic disorders and gut inflammation.
GML	Zhao et al., 2019 [50]	Mice with HFD	(F) GML ameliorates gut microbiota dysbiosis Increase: <i>B. uniformis, Akkermansia, Bifidobacterium,</i> <i>Lactobacillus</i> Decrease: <i>E. coli, Lactococcus, Flexispira</i>	Ameliorates metabolic disorders and reduced serum TNF- α .
GML	Mo et al., 2021 [51]	Mice with DSS-induced colitis	(F) Increase: Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium Decrease: Helicobacter ganmani	Improved colitis in mice.
Food colorants				
TiO ₂	Cao et al., 2020 [52]	Mice with HFD	(F)Increase: Firmicutes Decrease: Bacteroidetes, Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus	Induced strong colonic inflammation, especially in obese mice.
TiO ₂	Zhu et al., 2021 [53]	Mice with HFD	(F) Increase: Firmicutes; Decrease: Bacteroidete	Escalated the low-grade inflammation induced by HFD through gut microbiome; disrupted mucus layer.
TiO ₂	Yan et al., 2020 [54]	Mice	(CC) Decrease: <i>Akkermansia, Barnesiella, Bacteroides</i> Increase: <i>Barnesiella</i>	Caused intestinal inflammation; reduced intestinal mucus barrier.
TiO ₂	Kurtz et al., 2020 [55]	Mice	(CC) Affected the colonization of mucosa-associated bacteria	Elicits an inflammatory response in ileum.
TiO ₂	Chen et al., 2019 [56]	Rats	(F) Increase: L. gasseri, Turicibacter, L. NK4A136 group Decrease: Veillonella	Induced inflammatory infiltration and mitochondrial abnormalities.

	Table 1. Cont.			
Food Additives	Study	Model	Sample and Gut Microbiota Alterations	Inflammatory Effects
TiO ₂	Pinget et al., 2019 [57]	Mice	(F) Promoted biofilm formation by <i>E. faecalis</i> or <i>E. coli</i>	Wrecked the gut barrier and induced gut inflammation.
TiO ₂	Mu et al., 2019 [58]	Mice with DSS-induced colitis	(F) Affected the diversity Decrease: <i>Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus</i>	Induced intestinal inflammation; aggravated colitis.
TiO ₂	Chen et al., 2017 [59]	Mice with DSS-induced colitis	(F) No influence	No influence.
Azo dyes	He et al., 2021 [60]	GF, Rag1-/-and R23FR mice	(F) No influence on bacterial composition.	Red 40 and ANSA-Na promoted colitis.
Azo dyes	Wu et al., 2021 [61]	Crucian carp	(IC) Increase: Bdellovibrio Shewanella Decrease: Roseomonas, Rhodococcu, Bacillus, Bacteroides, Clostridium	Induced the oxidative stress; elicited a tendency to gut inflammation.
Food preservatives				
Mixture	Hrncirova et al., 2019 [62]	Wildtype, NOD2 KO mice	(F) Increase: <i>Proteobacteria</i> phylum Decrease: <i>Clostridiales</i> order	Dysbiosis was induced, especially in the NOD2 KO mice.
Sulfite	Schooth et al., 2020 [63]	P. mirabilis, M. morganii, E. fergusonii, K. pneumoniae	Reduced the growth rate of all strains.	Influenced the growth kinetics of Crohn's disease pathobionts, which may initiate and promote disease.
TCS	Yang et al., 2018 [64]	Mice with DSS-in duced colitis; IL10 KO mice	(F) Lower the α- and β-diversity Increase: <i>Firmicutes</i> Decrease: <i>Bacteroidetes, Actinomycetes, Cyanobacteria</i>	Induced low-grade colonic inflammation, increased colitis, and exacerbated colitis-associated colon cancer in mice

Abbreviations: Ace-K—acesulfame K; MDX—maltodextrin; CMC—carboxymethylcellulose; P80—polysorbate 80; GML—Glycerol monolaurate; TiO₂—titanium dioxide nanoparticles; Mixture—a mixture of common preservatives including benzoate, nitrite and sorbate; TCS—Triclosan; TNBS—2, 4, 6, trinitrobenzene sulphonic acid; DSS—dextran sulfate sodium; IL10 KO mice—interleukin-10 deficient mice; NOD2 KO mice—nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain 2 deficient mice; TLR5 KO mice—toll-like receptor 5 knockout mice; NEC—necrotizing enterocolitis; SAMP—SAMP1/YitFc; AIEC—Crohn's disease-associated adherent invasive *E. coli*; ASF mice—altered Schaedler flora mice; GF mice—germ free mice; M-SHIME—the mucosal simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem model; HFD—high-fat diet; F—feces; IC—the intestinal content; CC—the colon content; T, MPO—myeloperoxidase; DAI—disease activity index; ANSA-Na—1-amino-2-naphthol-6-sulfonate sodium salt.

F	1 4 1 1 1			Metabolite Alterations			
F00	a Additives	Study	Sample	Increase	Decrease		
		Gerasimidis et al., 2021 [17]	F	Total SCFAs, acetic acid, propionic acid, caprylic acid	Valeric acid, caproic acid; BCFAs (such as isobutyric acid, isovaleric acid)		
	Aspartame	Palmnäs et al., 2014 [18]	S	Propionate, acetate and butyrate	-		
		Jodi et al., 2020 [19]	CC	Propionate, butyrate and isobutyrate	-		
		Uebanso et al., 2017 [22]	CC	The CA/CDCA ratio	-		
ial sweeteners	Sucralose	V amanu et al., 2019 [65]	F	Ammonium, formic acid, phenyllactic acid, HO-phenyllactic acid; butyric acid	Benzoic acid		
		V amanu et al., 2019 [65]		Ammonium, formic acid, phenyllactic acid, HO-phenyllactic acid; acetic and butyric acid	Benzoic acid, propionic acid		
	Saccharin	Suez et al., 2014 [66]	F	Propionate and acetate	-		
Artifici		Bian et al., 2017 [67] F Daid O-desme		Daidzein, dihydrodaidzein and O-desmethylangolensin; quinolinic acid	Equol, linoleoyl, ethanolamide, N, N-Dimethylsphingosine		
	Neotame	Liang et al., 2018 [68]	F	Cholesterol, campesterol and stigmastanol	Malic acid, mannose-6-phosphate, 5-aminovaleric acid and glyceric acid; 1, 3-dipalmitate, 1-monopalmitin, linoleic acid and stearic acid		
	Cyclamate	V amanu et al., 2019 [65]	F	Formic aid, phenyllactic acid, HO-phenyllactic acid; acetic acid	Benzoic acid, propionic acid		
	Splenda	Karley et al., 2019 [69]	F	Butyric and pentanoic acid	-		

Table 2. The influence of various food additives on the intestinal metabolites.

Table 2. Cont.

Food Additives		Stee Jee	Committee	Metabolite Alterations				
		Study	Sample	Increase	Decrease			
	Isomalt	Gostner et al., 2016 [70]	F	No influence on SCFAs, lactate, b	ile acids and neutral sterols.			
Sugar alcohols		Chu et al., 2019 [71]	F	No influence c	n SCFAs.			
		Ballongue et al., 2016 [72]	F	Acetic acid, lactic acids	Propionic, butyric and valeric acids			
	Lactitol	Finney et al., 2007 [73]	F	Propionic and butyric acids	Acetic acid, lactic acids			
		Peuranen et al., 2004 [74]	F	Butyrate	-			
		Pinna et al., 2014 [75]	IC	Putrescine	The acetic acid to propionic acid ratio			
ating and ckening agents		Gerasimidis et al., 2020 [17]	F	Total SCFAs, propionic acid; caprylic acid	Valeric acid, caproic acid; isobutyric and isovaleric acid			
	MDX	Thymann et al., 2009 [33]	IC	Formic acid, acetic acid, butyric acid	Lactic acid, succinic acid			
C H		Kong et al., 2020 [76]	al., 2020 [76] F Total SCFAs, acetate, butyrate and valerate		-			
	P80	Chassaing et al., 2015 [11]	F	Flagellin	-			
lers		Chassaing et al., 2017 [39]	F	Butyrate; LCA, HDCA/UDCA, αMCA, GLCA, TCDCA, TDCA, THDCA/TUDCA, TCA	-			
ılsif	CMC	Chassaing et al., 2015 [11]	F	Butyrate, heptanoate; αMCA	-			
Emı		Gerasimidis et al., 2020 [17]	F	-	Isovaleric acid			
-	Carrageenan	Gerasimidis et al., 2020 [17]	F	No influence on SC	FAs or BCFAs.			
	Carrageenalt	Munyaka et al., 2016 [45]	CC	-	Butyric and acetic acid			

Metabolite Alterations Food Additives Study Sample Decrease Increase Butyric and propionic acid; acetic and isovaleric acids Cao et al., 2020 [52] CC in obese mice 4-methyl-5-thiazoleethanol, L-histidine and F *N*-acetylhistamine, caprolactam and glycerophosphocholine Chen et al., 2019 [56] L-ornithine Food colorants Pinget et al., 2019 [57] S SCFAs -TiO₂ Waller et al., 2017 [77] CC pH level -F Agans et al., 2019 [78] No influence on SCFAs. F Dudefoi et al., 2017 [79] No influence on overall fatty acid compositions. Gerasimidis et al., 2021 [17] Μ No influence on SCFAs or BCFAs. Polic et al., 2018 [80] Μ Acetate, butyrate and propionate _ Azo dyes Chen et al., 2009 [81] Μ Metabolites of Sudan III and IV, aniline and o-toluidine (2-methylaniline) were carcinogenic aromatic amines Pan el al, 2012 [82] М 1-Amino-2-naphthol, a common metabolite of the dyes, was capable of inhibiting growth of most of the tested bacteria U Torrallardona et al., 2007 [83] Hippuric acid Preservatives Kluge et al., 2005 [84] IC Acetic acid Benzoic acid CC Diao et al., 2013 [85] Propionic acid and total volatile fatty acid NH3-N Diao et al., 2014 [86] CC Butyric acid -Ag NPs Cueva et al., 2019 [87] F Ammonium _ Antioxidant Romo-Vaquero et al., 2014 [88] F SCFAs (acetic, propionic and butyric acid) in obese mice SCFAs in lean mice Rosemary extract

Abbreviations: MDX—maltodextrin; CMC—carboxymethylcellulose; P80—polysorbate 80; TiO₂—titanium dioxide nanoparticles; Ag NPs—Ag nanoparticles; F—feces; S—serum; CC—the colon content; IC—the intestinal content; U— urine; M—culture medium in vitro study; SCFAs—short-chain fatty acids; BCFAs—branched-chain fatty acids; CD—cholic acid; CDCA—chenodeoxycholic acid; LCA—lithocholic acid; HDCA—hyodeoxycholic acid; UDCA—ursodeoxycholic acid; αMCA—α-muricholic acid; GLCA—glycolithocholate; TCDCA—taurochenodeoxycholic acid; TCA—taurocholic acid; THDCA—taurohyodeoxycholic acid; TUDCA—taurocholic acid; TCA—taurocholic acid.

Table 2. Cont.

2.3. Sucralose (E 950)

Sucralose, known as trichlorogalactosucrose, has a 600–650 times higher sweetness than sugar. In human studies, the intake of sucralose did not modulate the gut microbiome in a short treatment [16,89], while a ten-week consumption in young adults might lead to an increase in Blautia coccoides and a decrease in Lactobacillus acidophilus, with altered insulin and glucose levels in the serum [90]. However, Uebanso et al., showed the decreased amount of *Clostridium IVXa* in feces with a dose-dependent manner in animals [22]. A total of 14 genera were strikingly changed after 3 or 6 months of treatment (i.e., Ruminococcus increased at 3 months; Turicibacter, Roseburia and Akkermansia increased at 6 months; Anaerostipes, Staphylococcus and Bacillales decreased at 3 months; Streptococcus decreased at 6 months) [91]. Similar results were found in high-fat diet-fed mice models [26]. Splenda is an NAS and mainly consists of sucralose and maltodextrin. It was supposed to inhibit the growth of total anaerobes including Bifidobacteria, Lactobacilli, Bacteroides and *Clostridia* [8,29]. V amanu et al., investigated the alteration of human intestinal floras through a vitro static system called GIS1 and revealed similar results, with decreases in the beneficial bacteria such as *Bifidobacterium* genus and increases in the possibly pathogenic bacteria Enterococcaceae genus [65]. The growth of bile-tolerant microorganisms Bilophila genus, the anti-inflammatory bacteria Faecalibacterium prausnitzii and two species from the Bacteroides genus (Bacteroides fragilis and Bacteroides uniformis) were inhibited after culturing with sucralose in vitro [17,26,92,93].

The relationship among sucralose, gut microbiota and intestinal inflammation were directly investigated in three studies and demonstrated in Table 1. In one study, SAMP1/YitFc mice administered with Splenda did not aggravate colitis but increased the level of myeloperoxidase (MPO) in colon tissue. The fecal microbiota analysis found that *Proteobacteria* phylum was elevated, but the levels of *Lactobacilli* and *Clostridia* were decreased [29]. On the contrary, Splenda could increase the susceptibility of 2, 4, 6, trinitrobenzene sulphonic acid-induced colitis, with elevated levels of *Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria* and reduced amounts of *Firmicutes* and *Actinomycetes* [8]. A recent investigation also found that sucralose could promote the risk of colitis-associated colorectal cancer. The abundance of *Actinomycetes* and the three species *Peptostreptococcus stomatis*, *Clostridium symbiosum* and *Peptostreptococcus anaerobius* were increased, but that of *Proteobacteria* was reduced [28].

The consumption of sucralose resulted in multiple metabolites alterations (Table 2). The level of tyrosine was increased, while *p*-hydroxyphenylacetic acid and cinnamic acid were decreased. These compounds can restrain the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which are involved in tryptophan metabolism. The content of bile acids was impaired, with a greater concentration of CA and a higher CA/chenodeoxycholic acid ratio in the cecal content. The researchers suggested that these metabolite changes would result in triggering and maintaining liver inflammation [22,91]. On the basis of existing evidence, we supposed that sucralose is detrimental to colitis with a potential to increase the abundance of proinflammatory bacteria. Nevertheless, the actual alternations in gut microbiota and metabolites caused by sucralose remained uncertain and suggests a need for further clinical investigations.

2.4. Saccharin (E 954)

Most saccharin will be absorbed and finally eliminated by urine, while the nonabsorbed saccharin would be excreted by feces. Although a recent study found that a highdose supplementation does not induce gut microbiota changes or glucose intolerance [94], saccharin consumption led to an enrichment of mucosal inflammatory cells and changed the gut permeability in mice. In addition, saccharin-exposed mice pretreated with antibiotics induced a lower level of mucosal inflammation and gut barrier dysfunction [95]. These findings indicated that gut dysbiosis is considered to mediate these abnormalities.

The high level of saccharin in the cecal contents was associated with an increase in the aerobic population [96]. Saccharin exposure resulted in glucose intolerance through considerable dysbiosis. Many increased taxa belonged to *Bacteroides* and *Clostridiales*, but

Firmicutes and *Cyanobacteria* were decreased [30,66]. Similarly, metabolic change could not be induced in germ-free mice, while it occurred after transplantation with gut microbiota. Saccharin would also result in liver inflammation, causing increases in *Akkermansia, Corynebacterium* and *Turicibacter* and decreases in *Anaerostipes, Ruminococcus* and *Dorea* [67]. Moreover, the combined use of saccharin, glyphosate and sodium benzoate caused increases in the number of *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas* genera [97]. There was an increase in the population of the *Lactobacillaceae* and a reduction in the *Ruminococcaceae* family after exposure to SUCRAM (saccharin + neohesperidin dihydrochalcone) [98,99]. Similar results were obtained in vitro [65]. Additionally, the growth of *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* and the *E. coli* strains *HB101* and *K-12* were also reduced [26,92].

Saccharin supplementation might significantly inhibit the growth of gut bacteria and improve dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced colitis (Table 1). Exposure to saccharin influenced β -diversity and microbiota compositions showed a higher level of *Bacteroidetes* and a lower level of the *Firmicutes* phylum. The increase in anti-inflammatory bacteria Bacteroides and Parasutterella genera were observed. The research also discovered the bacteriostatic effect of saccharin on the growth of Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumonia and Pseudomonas aeruginosa in vitro [30]; saccharin would affect the metabolomic profiles in feces (Table 2). The contents of daidzein, dihydrodaidzein and odesmethylangolensin were elevated, while equol was reduced in feces. Compounds that might mediate inflammation such as linoleoyl ethanolamide, palmitoleoyl ethanolamide, N, N-Dimethylsphingosine and quinolinic acid were strikingly altered [67]. Moreover, levels of propionate, acetate as well as phenyllactic acid were markedly higher, but the formic and benzoic acid were reduced [65,66]. The functional enrichment analysis indicated that LPS biosynthesis, flagellar assembly, fimbrial synthesis, bacterial toxin and multidrug resistance were possibly relevant [66]. Recently, NAS including saccharin, sucralose, aspartame and Ace-K were found to promote the bacterial evolution and horizontal transfer of antibiotic tolerance through natural transformation, resulting in an overexpression of genes encoding DNA uptake and translocation machinery [100-102]. This finding offers some insights into the roles of NAS in the evolution and dissemination of antibiotic tolerance among bacteria. Moreover, artificial sweeteners may inhibit the quorum sensing of the intestinal bacterial community, affecting the normal group behaviors [103]. According to our speculation, saccharin may interplay with gut microbiota and their metabolites, resulting in gut inflammation.

2.5. Neotame (E 961)

Neotame tastes 7000–13,000 times sweeter than sugar. The intake of neotame decreased the α -diversity and changed the β -diversity of the fecal microbiome. An extreme decline in *Firmicutes* was observed. The abundance of *Bacteroidetes*, especially the *Bacteroides* genus, was enhanced. Notably, multiple components of the *Lachnospiraceae* and *Ruminococcaceae* families were significantly reduced, including *Blautia*, *Dorea*, *Oscillospira* and *Ruminococcus* genera. Neotame consumption also altered two butyrate fermentation pathways of gut microbiome. One of the pathways included the decreases in three genes encoding t4-hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydratase, butyryl-CoA dehydrogenase and acetate CoA-transferase. These genes participated in the process of succinate fermentation to butyrate. For the other one, upstream genes regarding butyrate fermented from pyruvate were also downregulated. Additionally, the enriched pathways included amino acid metabolism, LPS biosynthesis and antibiotics biosynthesis, while fatty acid and carbohydrate metabolism pathways were reduced [68]. There were decreases in most lipids and fatty acids such as 1,3-dipalmitate, 1-monopalmitin, linoleic acid and stearic acid (Table 2). In feces, the lower content of cholesterol, campesterol and stigmastanol was found [68].

For our considerations, the enrichment of folate synthesis and LPS biosynthesis pathways is probably due to the increases in *Bacteroides* and *S24-27*. *Lachnospiraceae* and *Ruminococcaceae* were regarded as plant degrading and SCFAs-producing bacteria. The downregulated genes in butyrate synthesis and a lower amount of *Lachnospiraceae* and *Ruminococcaceae* possibly suggested a reduced production of SCFAs, thus declining lipid

and fatty acid absorption. However, metabolic outcomes by neotame are still inadequately understood. Although the alteration of gut microbiota is similar to that in patients with IBD, the relation between neotame and intestinal inflammation remains unclear. Future studies are necessary to explore the effects of long-term exposure in colitis models or in humans.

2.6. Cyclamate (E 952)

Cyclamate is the sodium salt of cyclamic acid. It can be transformed to cyclohexylamine by the intestinal microbiota and eliminated from the feces. This food additive had been removed from the generally recognized as safe (GRAS) list since 1970, while it was considered safe by EFSA until now. A previous study revealed that the intake of cyclamate did not alter the compositions of fecal bacteria (e g. *Bacteroidaceae, Bifidobacteria, Lactobacilli*) [104]. However, in the in vitro model GIS1, there were increases in the *Bifidobacterium* and *Pediococcus* genera. A decrease in total SCFAs, especially the ratio between butyric and propionic acids, was also observed (Table 2) [65], while cyclamate inhibited the anaerobic fermentation of glucose [105]. As we know, SCFAs have beneficial influences on human health. Butyric acid may be effective against obesity and insulin resistance and can promote dyslipidemia. Propionic and butyric acids have been shown to be beneficial for IBD in a low concentration [27]. Overall, the conclusions on the total effect of cyclamate on gut microbiota and IBD cannot be drawn, and more studies are needed to figure out its impact on intestinal inflammation.

3. Sugar Alcohols

Sugar alcohols, or polyols, are low-calorie sweeteners that contain about half of the calories of white sugar and only lead to a slight alteration of blood sugar. Most of them are not well absorbed or metabolized in the host and are usually fermented by microbiota in the colon. Among them, erythritol, isomalt, xylitol and mannitol are commonly used as food additives.

3.1. Erythritol (E 968)

Erythritol can be found naturally in fruits. After an intake of erythritol, about 90% can be absorbed into the small intestine with a very low metabolization and excreted unchanged through the urine. In the colon, intestinal flora can metabolize the unabsorbed part [106]. Human gut microbiota incubated with erythritol do not change the total gas production, pH values or SCFAs production [107]. Karley et al., demonstrated that the intake of erythritol caused increases in butyric and pentanoic acids with no significant changes of gut microbiota structure [69]. However, erythritol might ameliorate small intestinal inflammation in high-fat diet models, inducing a lower abundance of the *Coprococcus* genus [108,109]. The concentrations of SCFAs in the serum, feces and white adipose tissue were obviously elevated (Figure 1) [109]. Considering a limited amount of erythritol that reached the large intestine, the compound only slightly influences the compositions of gut microbiota. However, it may strengthen the gut microbiota to produce SCFAs, which might alleviate the intestinal inflammation. Although there is a lack of direct evidence about erythritol on IBD, it is considered a bacteria-friendly polyol to stabilize gut microenvironment and it can be degraded into IBD-friendly metabolites.



Figure 1. The impact of sugar alcohols on gut microbiomes and metabolites. " \uparrow " means an increased level; " \downarrow " means a decreased level.

3.2. Isomalt (E 953)

Isomalt has been used as a sweetener in the food and pharmaceutical industry for a long time. The microorganism can easily degrade the unabsorbed isomalt in the colon [110]. Recent studies considered it a prebiotic [70]. In humans, isomalt fermented in the gut promoted the abundance of *Bifidobacteria* and lowered the activities of bacterial β -glucosidase (Figure 1). SCFAs, lactate, bile acids, neutral sterols, N, NH₃, phenol and *p*-cresol in feces were also altered (Table 2) [70]. The isomalt could be fermented by some *Bifidobacteria* strains in vitro, yielding a higher content of butyrate. However, no different gene expression was found after exposure to isomalt [70]. In another study, human intestinal microbiota was cultured with buckwheat honey, which is a crucial natural sweetener consisting of oligosaccharides and a small dose of isomalt and isomaltotriose. The study demonstrated that buckwheat honey could also increase the level of *Bifidobacteria* and restrain the pathogenic bacterium [111]. Although few clinical trials explore the effect of isomalt on IBD, isomalt may be considered as a bifidogenic polyol and a "close friend" for the intestinal homeostasis and microenvironment.

3.3. Xylitol (E 967)

Xylitol is a sugar alcohol with five carbons produced by the reduction of xylose. Oral microbial flora hardly get energy from xylitol, and it is considered as a noncariogenic sweetener and used to be applied to gum [112,113]. Xylitol was reported to affect the intestinal flora and have inhibitory effects on LPS-induced inflammatory cytokine expression. In a human study, the intake of the dietary low-digestible carbohydrates including xylitol led to a marked elevation of *Anaerostipes* spp. and butyrate in feces [114]. Xylitol consumption could shift rodent intestinal microbial population from Gram-negative to

Gram-positive bacteria [115]. Xylitol lowered the level of fecal *Bacteroidetes* phylum and the *Barnesiella* genus, whereas increased the abundance of *Firmicutes* phylum and the *Prevotella* genus [114,116]. Lower xylitol concentrations could also inhibit the harmful mutans *Streptococci* (Figure 1) [117]. Hence, we suppose that xylitol may be beneficial for the growth and

3.4. Lactitol (E 966)

Lactitol is regarded to be of mild sweetness and shows a lower sweetness than lactulose. Similar to xylitol, it is also considered noncariogenic and cannot be metabolized in the upper digestive tract for the deficiency of β -galactosidase; however, saccharolytic bacteria in the colon is capable of degrading it to acquire energy. Several studies have regarded lactitol as a prebiotic, while higher intakes of lactitol may cause laxative effects [71,118].

metabolism of intestinal flora without producing low harmful stimulations on intestine.

For a healthy human, the intake of lactitol decreased the population of proteolytic bacteria such as Bacteroides, Clostridium, Coliforms as well as Eubacterium and increased the growth of Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus and Streptococcus [72]. A small dose of lactitol could play a beneficial role for fecal microorganisms [72,73]. The supplement of lactitol increased the level of Bifidobacterium (including three species: B. longum, B. pseudocatenu and B. latum) and Lactobacillus (including three species: L. salivarius, L. fermentium and L. oris) but decreased the abundance of Klebsiella pneumonia in patients with liver cirrhosis [119]. There showed an improved abundance of *Bifidobacterium* and the reductions of the B. coccoides–E. rectale group and Clostridium cluster XIVab after the consumption of lactitol with Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM. These combined prebiotic products might also improve mucosal functions in the colon [120,121]. The prebiotic UG1601 (composed of inulin, lactitol and aloe vera gel) improved chronic constipation symptoms, enhanced the relative abundance of butyrate-producing bacteria including Roseburia hominis and reduced the abundances of the *Firmicutes* phylum and the *Lachnospiraceae* family [122]. Another study also showed its beneficial effect in elevating the level of *Bifidobacterium*. This suggested that lactitol may be a promising prebiotic candidate for patients with constipation [71]. Similar gut microbiota alterations were also found in patients with chronic viral hepatitis after lactitol exposure [123]. Details are depicted in Figure 1.

In animals, the consumption of lactitol exerted a prebiotic effect and reduced the *Enterobacteriaceae* population [74,75]. Notably, the intake of lactitol ameliorated colitis, altered the α diversity of the gut microbiota and induced higher levels of *Akkermansia* (Table 1) [31]. This was different from the alterations of intestinal floras in IBD. *Akkermansia* can degrade mucin, produce SCFAs and provide energy for the host. They would improve inflammatory response and insulin resistance, protecting intestinal epithelium and mucosal barrier in obese and diabetic patients. The genome of *Akkermansia* had shown its ability to encode a variety of secretory proteins such as sulfates, proteases and glycol-hydrolyzes. Hence, *Akkermansia* was supposed to metabolize lactitol and improve self-proliferation.

The consumption of lactitol also changes the fecal metabolites (Table 2). It significantly reduced the pH level in feces [72,73,75], which might be the result of the alteration of SCFAs [71]. It also increased the IgA secretion without signs of mucosal inflammation [74]. Some carcinogenic enzymes such as azoreductase, 7a-dehydroxylase, β -glucuronidase, nitroreductase and urease were decreased. We suggested that this might be because of the reduction of anaerobic bacteria producing these enzymes such as *Bacteroides* and *Clostridium* and the replacement of *Bifidobacterium* or *Lactobacillus* [72].

All these results indicated that lactitol is applicable and noncariogenic as a prebiotic to improve gut dysbiosis and ameliorate intestinal inflammation, suggesting its acceptability for patients with IBD.

4. Coating and Thickening Agents

Maltodextrin (MDX), a common coating and thickening agent in the food industry, is able to modulate microbial structure and host antibacterial defenses via multiple mechanisms. It was reported to significantly alter the diversity and abundance of gut microbiota [124]. In healthy individuals, the intake of MDX significantly increased the abundance of *Bifidobacterium longum* and *Bifidobacterium* spp. [125]. In a recent study, IL10 KO mice were pre-conditioned with fecal material from nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain 2 deficient (NOD2 KO) mice to elicit gut inflammation. After administration with 1%MDX, the fecal microbiome analysis indicated a significant shift in α -diversity and β -diversity. The goblet cells in the intestine of the MDX-fed mice had reduced mucin granule content, demonstrating the destruction of the mucosal barrier [32]. Animal exposure with MDX had higher incidences of necrotizing enterocolitis with mucosal villi erosions and inflammation; bacterial diversity was reduced, while there was a higher abundance of *Pseudomonas* spp., *Streptococcus* spp. and *Leuconostoc* spp. and a lower level of *Weissella app* after treatment [33]. More information is shown in Table 3.

Reference	[17]	[124]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[76]
α-diversity						
Richness	-	1	\downarrow	-	-	-
Diversity	-	-	Ļ	-	-	-
β-diversity	-	S	S	-	-	-
Genus						
Bifidobacterium	\uparrow					1
Bacteroides						Ļ
Mucispirillum						, ↓
Desulfovibrio						Ļ
Lactobacillus						Ť
Enterococcus						Ļ
Faecalibacterium						, ↑
Akkermansia						ŕ
Roseburia		↑				1
Streptococcus				\uparrow		Ţ
Alistipes						Ļ
Leuconostoc				↑		•
Pseudomonas				ŕ		
Weissella				Ļ		
Oscillibacter				·		
Species						
Escherichia coli					1	
Blautia coccoides	\uparrow					

Table 3. The impact of maltodextrin on gut microbiota.

Abbreviations: " \uparrow "—higher α -diversity or bacteria are more abundant; " \downarrow "—lower α -diversity or bacteria are less abundant; S—significant difference found in β -diversity.

MDX is also capable of altering the proliferation and function of a specific kind of bacteria. In vitro, it might accelerate the biofilm formation of CD-associated adherent invasive *E. coli* (AIEC), which is uniquely presented in the mucosa-associated bacteria from patients with CD. MDX exposure induced type I pili expression and increased bacterial adhesion to human intestinal epithelial cell monolayers in a mechanism dependent on type 1 pili. The study also demonstrated an increased prevalence of malX, a gene essential for MDX metabolism in AIEC strains. This suggested that MDX metabolism might help colonization of *E. coli* in the terminal ileum [33]. Similarly, MDX wrecked the intestinal antimicrobial barrier and enhanced mucosal *Salmonella* colonization in mice with *Salmonella*-infected gastroenteritis. The study also found the inhibition of energy metabolism in murine bone marrow derived macrophages after the exposure of MDX with the downregulated expression of NAPDH oxidase and limited recruitment of NADPH oxidase to *Salmonella*-containing vesicles. It would also result in the persistence of *Salmonella* in enlarged Rab7+ late endo-somal vesicles, which might explain the discovery in vivo [34]. However, another study showed that MDX promoted endoplasmic Q2 reticulum stress–driven mucus depletion and exacerbated intestinal inflammation without significant changes in mucosa-associated microbiota (Table 1) [126].

Different patterns of MDX also exert distinct influences on intestinal flora. Longer MDX chains were more effective for the biofilm formation of AIEC, while short-clustered MDX attenuated metabolic dysregulation, causing a possible probiotic effect [35]. Iso-maltodextrin, enzymatically produced from MDX by the enzymes α -glucosidase and α -amylase, promoted the growth of *Bifidobacteria* in the cecum and was supposed to be anti-inflammatory [127]. MDX also altered the concentration of SCFAs, although there is a lack of conclusive evidence about how MDX impacted bacterial metabolites (Table 2) [17,33,76,128]. In total, MDX could trigger intestinal inflammation with the proliferation and colonization of some harmful genera, while promoting the growth of some beneficial microbiota. However, recent evidence mainly originated from animal experiments and more studies are needed to clarify its effect in humans.

5. Emulsifiers

Emulsifiers are commonly applied in our daily life for their ability to stabilize emulsions and prolong shelf life by preventing separation during storage. They can act as gelling agents and surfactants via the fat molecules in food, adsorbing to the hydrophobic end of the emulsifiers and water adsorbing to the hydrophilic end. Common emulsifiers include carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), polysorbates, carrageenan, etc., [129–131].

5.1. Carboxymethylcellulose (E 466) and Polysorbate 80 (E 433)

CMC and polysorbate 80 (P80) are commonly found in edible oils, ice cream, cake mixes, icing and chocolate syrup. Nevertheless, these additives do not deserve a seat for intestinal homeostasis. Exposure to emulsifiers CMC and P80 negatively impact intestinal microbiota [131].

The emulsifiers may drive gut inflammation through microbiota (Table 1). P80 administration caused similar alterations to human gut microbiomes as IBD, resulting in a reduction of the beneficial Bifidobacterium genus, important SCFAs producers such as Faecalibacterium and Subdoligranulum genera, as well as Clostridium leptum [17]. Naimi et al., treated the fecal samples from a healthy participant with different emulsifiers in the MiniBioReactor Array model ex vivo, and they found the altered bacterial β -diversity after treatment with P80, with the lower growth of *Streptococcus* and *Faecalibacterium* [124]. In mice, an intake of P80 exacerbated indomethacin-induced ileitis, decreasing the α -diversity of intestinal microbiota. The growth of the sulphide producers including *Enterobacteriacaeae* and the swarming behavior of IBD-related species *Proteus mirabilis* were significantly promoted [36]. Swarming is a type of flagella-mediated movement that is a multicellular process and requires the differentiation of vegetative cells into a specialized cell type called a swarmer cell [132]. The swarming ability of *Proteus mirabilis* is highly related to its pathogenesis in IBD [133]. Interestingly, antibiotic pretreatment abolished this harmful effect, suggesting the important role of ileal dysbiosis [25]. Human microbiota meta-transcriptome after P80 exposure showed that biological processes including nucleic acid binding, structural constituent of ribosome, ion binding, nucleotide binding, isomerase and oxidoreductase activity were enriched [124].

A recent clinical trial investigating the effect of CMC on gut microbiota in humans reported that this exposure altered the bacterial richness and diversity, leading to an elevated level of *Roseburia* spp. and *Lachnospiraceae* as well as a lower amount of *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* and *Ruminococcus* spp. [134]. In the study from Naimi et al., the β -diversity of human gut microbiota was significantly changed with the decrease in *Streptococcus* after

CMC treatment [124]. The addition of CMC also induced severe CD-like colitis in IL10 KO mice. CMC changed the β -diversity of gut microbiota and enhanced the concentrations of total bacteria in the ileum. Moreover, there were more bacteria filling the gap between villi, increasing their migration to the bottom of the crypts [11,38]. Chassaing et al., discovered that the protracted intake of CMC or P80 induced low-grade intestinal inflammation and promoted robust colitis in mice predisposed to this disorder, ultimately resulting in the increased bacterial encroachment. These emulsifiers induced a reduction in microbial diver-

mation in germ-free mice, promoting the growth of inflammation-associated bacteria such as Proteobacteria and Enterobacteriacae and reducing the levels of Bacteroidaceae (Table 1) [39]. Many studies also investigated the interaction between emulsifiers and *E coli*. AIEC alone is sufficient to make mice prone to detrimental impacts of CMC and P80. After consuming CMC and P80, AIEC colonization elicited chronic intestinal inflammation and intestinal bacterial encroachment in germ-free mice [10]. Exposure to emulsifiers also increased its adherence to intestinal epithelial cells in vitro. Such effects are more pronounced when additional microbiota, such as altered Schaedler flora members, exist [10]. Moreover, transcriptomic analysis revealed the upregulation of genes that mediate the AIEC virulence and its ability to promote inflammation. Both emulsifiers were able to induce the expression of diaA, which can encode a DnaA initiator-associated protein. Genes related to flagella, type 1 pili and long polar fimbriae were notably upregulated by CMC in a dose-dependent manner [10]. Moreover, the intake of CMC decreased porcine mucus pore size, leading to the slower particle diffusion rates through mucus. Nevertheless, P80 seems minimal in impacting mucosal microstructure and particle dispersion [135]. P80 increased the motility of *E coli* and its ability to translocate across microfold epithelial cells, through which the gut epithelium was invaded by intestinal floras [37]. To conclude, CMC and P80 interacted with pathogenic bacteria, promoting its virulence and encroachment. The potential mechanism is demonstrated in Figure 2. The metabolites in feces or luminal content were also changed after the intake of CMC and P80. Most SCFAs such as butyric, propionic, valeric and caprylic acid were obviously increased [11,17]. Fecal bile acid composition was drastically altered with the exposure to CMC [11]. The levels of flagellin and LPS were enhanced after treatment with both emulsifiers, which conformed to the metagenomes results showing an enrichment of genes related to flagella and bacterial motility in gut microbiome (Table 2) [10,32].

sity, upregulating the richness of *Akkermansia muciniphila* and the inflammation-promoting bacteria *Proteobacteria*. After transplanting with the fecal materials from emulsifier-treated animals, germ-free mice showed a moderate inflammation in the colon with the alteration of gut microorganisms. This further illustrated the role of emulsifiers in promoting dysbiosis-driven pathologies [11]. The authors further applied for the mucosal simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem model (M-SHIME) to investigate the alterations of human microbiota composition and gene expression ex vivo. The results showed that P80 drastically influenced microbiota diversity and composition, while CMC exhibited a clear effect on the composition of a complex microbiota. Moreover, CMC-treated and P80-treated M-SHIME suspensions were both capable of promoting low-grade gut inflam-

For patients with IBD, the intake of CMC and P80 should be thoroughly concerning due to its ability to destroy the mucosal barrier and to promote robust colitis via altering the compositions and functions of gut bacteria. More evaluations should be conducted to estimate their effects on gut health in human.



Figure 2. Mechanism of emulsifier-induced colitis via gut microbiome. Emulsifiers (1) altered the growth and functions of AIEC, resulting in the activation of inflammatory pathways in the epithelium; (2) enhanced the abundance of inflammation-related bacteria; (3) destroyed the mucosal barrier. " \uparrow " means an increased level.

5.2. Carrageenan (E 407)

Carrageenans are a group of sulfated polygalactans which are GRAS for routine use [136]. They are commonly found in flavored milks, iced coffee, dairy-based ice cream and frozen desserts [137]. The re-evaluation of carrageenan by EFSA demonstrated that the current ADI of carrageenan should be considered temporary, and the opinion needs to be improved within 5 years. The metabolism of carrageenan is largely performed by gut microbiota in the host [138]. Hence, gut microbiomes directly interact with carrageenan, influencing the intestinal homeostasis. Interestingly, different kinds and molecular weights of carrageenan have various effects on the host. Carrageenan [139,140]. Low molecular weight carrageenan has been shown to increase intestinal permeability and to be associated with the onset of colitis [40]. However, high molecular weight carrageenan might have promising antitumor and antioxidant activities. Carrageenan was reported to induce and aggravate intestinal inflammation, altering gut microbiota compositions (Table 1). Onderdonk et al., showed that carrageenan induced cecal ulcerations in piglets unless in a germ-free state [46,47]. The intake of carrageenan resulted in increases in *Proteobacte*-

ria and *Deferribacteres*, as well as decreases in *Firmicutes*, *Actinobacteria*, and *Bacteroidetes* phylum [45]. Different isomers of carrageenan (κ-, ι- and λ-) were all suggested to harmfully impact gut ecology. For human gut microbiota, every isomer induced the changes of α-diversity and increased the microbiota proinflammatory potential. *Bacteroides* was significantly enriched by κ- and λ-carrageenan, while *Faecalibacterium* was decreased by ι-carrageenan, with a higher content of flagellin after treatment [124]. κ-carrageenan induced robust colitis in the high-fat diet model, significantly increasing *Shigella* and decreasing *Bifidobacterium* [17]. The abundance of two inflammatory-related bacteria *Alistipes finegoldii* and *Bacteroides acidifaciens* were remarkedly enhanced as well [42]. Likewise, rats fed with ι-carrageenan indicated a significant reduction of total bacterial abundance and the concentrations of *Enterobacteria* spp., *Staphylococci* spp., *Streptococci* spp. and *Lactobacillus* spp. in feces [141]. Shang et al., directly compared the influence of different isomers on intestinal floras. All of them remarkably induced a decrease in *Akkermansia muciniphila*. However, the richness and diversity of fecal bacteria was increased after ι-carrageenan treatment, but a reduction of both indices were observed after κ-carrageenan exposure [41,43].

Some studies draw an opposite conclusion. The addition of *Sarconema filiforme*, the red seaweed mainly containing ι-carrageenan, attenuated symptoms of metabolic syndrome and slightly modulated gut microbiota in rats [142]. In *Drosophila*, ι-carrageenan strikingly enhanced the abundance of *Commensalibacter*, which could downregulate NF-kB-dependent antimicrobial peptide genes and adjust commensal gut mutualism [143].

Moreover, previous studies supposed that the alterations of gut microbiome were only observed in carrageenan administered in drinking water but not in a carrageenansupplemented diet, suggesting that binding to other food ingredients such as protein possibly changed its conformation and removed its bioavailability to some bacteria [42].

After immunizing the animals with *Bacteroides vulgatus*, the exposure of carrageenan led to more severe intestinal lesions, with the discovery of antibodies to these bacteria in the serum [47]. The activities of some enzymes such as azo reductase, β -glucosidase and nitro-reductase were decreased in the cecal contents [141]. In another study, two synergistic strains, Bacteroides xylanisolvens 38F6A4 and E. coli 38F6C1, were obtained from the feces of a healthy person and administrated to germ-free mice, aggravating the gut inflammation when consumed with carrageenan. Bacteroides xylanisolvens 38F6A4 could produce β-carrageenase for the degradation of carrageenan. Moreover, E. coli 38F6C1 could indirectly contact with *Bacteroides xylanisolvens 38F6A4*. It would rapidly consume the oxygen during fermentation and provide a relatively hypoxic environment that favored the growth of *Bacteroides xylanisolvens* 38F6A4. These studies suggested that certain gut microbes might contain carrageenases that can interact together to degrade carrageenan and thus generate harmful metabolites, altering adaptive immune responses in the host [40]. The glycoprotein deleted in malignant brain tumors 1 (DMBT1) has been reported to interact with carrageenan. DMBT1 is a secreted glycoprotein displaying a broad bacterialbinding spectrum and helps prevent bacterial encroachment. The exposure of carrageenan competed for DMBT1-mediated bacterial aggregation via binding to the specific peptide that recognized bacteria and disrupted the mucosal protection provided by DMBT1. This showed a novel mechanism that carrageenan was able to wreck the DMBT1-provided innate mucosal immune function, which could then trigger the onset or perpetuation of an inflammatory response to intestinal bacteria or bacterial antigens [44].

For patients with UC in remission, a higher rate of relapse was performed after carrageenan-containing diet treatment [140]. With the direct harmful effect of carrageenan on IBD patients and gut microbiota, we supposed that carrageenan might have harmful effects on IBD patients as a result of the disorder of gut microbiome and intestinal homeostasis.

5.3. Glycerol Monolaurate

Glycerol monolaurate (GML) is a natural glycerol monoester of lauric acid and is approved as a safe emulsifier by the FDA. In vitro, GML inhibits the growth and pathogenicity of bacteria, fungi and enveloped viruses [144]. Hence, GML is considered an antimicrobialemulsifier that is commonly used in the general public.

Jiang et al., exerted a series of investigations on how GML influenced gut microbiota and affected systemic inflammation. The GML consumption would upregulate the circulating levels of proinflammatory cytokines. This significantly changed the β-diversity and composition of gut microbiota as a result of the increased abundance of *Bacteroides acidifaciens* and the reduced level of *Akkermansia muciniphila* and *Lupinus luteus* [145]. However, GML modulated the indigenous microbiota in a dose-dependent manner. A high dose of GML (1600 mg/kg) upregulated the expression of anti-inflammatory TGF-β1 and IL-22, increasing the relative abundances of healthy core microbiota such as *Clostridium XIVa*, *Oscillibacter* and *Parasutterella* [48]. Amounts of 400 and 800 mg/kg GML also improved the richness of anti-inflammatory *Barnesiella* in the context of a DSS challenge. GML demonstrated a beneficial effect for metabolic syndrome and obesity [48,49]. GML attenuated high-fat diet-induced circulating LPS load and alleviated insulin resistance, with increases in *Bacteroides uniformis*, *Bifidobacterium pseudolongum*, *Akkermansia* and *Lactobacillus*. These genera and species are remarkably relevant to the metabolic improvements by GML [50]. Table 1 shows the interactions between GML, gut microbiota and gut inflammation.

The protective effect of GML on colitis and the potential dysbiosis-related mechanism were also assessed [48,51]. GML pretreatment is superior to GML correatment for colitis. Pretreatment with GML increased the abundance of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* in feces, with a higher level of propionic acid and butyric acid. It led to a more rapid and a better remission of colitis, resulting in the reconstructed microbial communities with an enhancement of fecal SCFAs (Table 2) [31]. In summary, colitis remission induced by GML is associated with altered gut microbiota patterns, suggesting that it might be a friendly companion for IBD.

6. Food Colorants

The food colorant market is valued at USD 5 billion in 2020 and is estimated to grow to USD 6.8 billion by 2025, with a compound growth rate (CAGR) of 5.4% [146]. Food colorants such as azo food dyes and titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO₂ NPs) are commonly applied in food industries to make food more appealing and to protect against other contaminants.

6.1. Titanium Dioxide (E 171)

TiO₂ NPs are commonly used engineered nanomaterials, which would be commonly found in foods, ink and sunscreen. Food grade TiO₂ NPs are whitening agents, which have been widely applied in food products [147]. More than 40% of them are capable of being swallowed when chewing commercial gums [148]. Yet, according to the update from the European Food Safety Authority (ESFA), TiO₂ NPs are no longer considered safe when used as a food additive due to their genotoxicity [149]. Exposure to TiO₂ NPs might also lead to gut barrier dysfunction [150], resulting in moderate gut inflammation and exacerbated immunological response [58]. They also showed an antibacterial effect on probiotic or symbiotic bacterium and exerted negative effects on human beings [151].

In humans, the addition of TiO₂ NPs resulted in a modest decrease in community density [78] and induced the reduction of the dominant *Bacteroides ovatus* and *Clostridium cocleatum* [79]. The enhancement of *Firmicutes* as well as the reduction of *Bacteroidetes* were reported in several animal studies [52–54,59]. The abundance of *Proteobacteria, Cyanobacteria* and *Actinobaceria* were also increased [152]. At the genus level, TiO₂ NPs caused a diminishment in the beneficial bacteria, including *Barnesiella, Akkermansia* and *Bacteroides,* in a dose-dependent manner [54]. *Barnesiella* was reported to remove pathogenic bacterium, to eliminate the colonization of *Enterococcus* that are resistant to vancomycin and to restrain

antibiotic-resistant bacteria from spreading [153]. It could improve anticancer compounds such as cyclophosphamide [154]. The reduction of *Barnesiella* was probably related to the pathogenesis of IBD. Moreover, they caused inflammatory infiltration and mitochondrial abnormalities in the colon, leading to an increase in the *Turicibacter* genus and *Lactobacillus_gasseri* and *Lactobacillus NK4A136_group* in feces [56]. Notably, *Lactobacillus* is considered a major biofilm producer [57]. TiO₂ NPs may therefore combine to the bacteria, triggering the formation of biofilm [155]. In IL 10 KO mice, *Lactobacillus gasseri* might exert obvious anti-inflammatory effects, possibly via its ability to produce manganese superoxide dismutases [156]. Thus, the enhancement of *Lactobacillus gasseri* is supposed to be the adaptive response to the inflammatory and oxidative stress state led by TiO₂ NPs [157]. Similar results were also demonstrated in *Drosophila* and *zebrafish* [151,158]. Details are revealed in Table 4.

Table 4. The impact of titanium dioxide nanoparticles on gut microbiota.

Deference	[17]	[59]	[151]	[79]	[70]	[50]	[52]	[54]	[50]	[152]	[56]	[57]	[155]	[55]	[150]	[77]	То	tal
Kelefence	[1/]	[30]	[131]	[70]	[79]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[39]	[132]	[30]	[37]	[155]	[33]	[139]	[//]	\uparrow	\downarrow
α-diversity																		
Richness Diversity	- -	N N	- -	$\stackrel{\downarrow}{N}$	-	- -	- -	$\stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow}$	-	$\stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow}$	N N	N N	↓ -	- -	N N	- -	0 0	4 2
β-diversity	S	-	-	Ν	-	-	-	S	S	S	Ν	Ν	S	-	Ν	-	S =	= 5
Phylum																		
Bacteroidetes Verrucomicrobia Firmicutes Proteobacteria Actinomycetes Cyanobacteria Deferribacteres		↑ ↑				↓ ↑	↓ ↑	$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \uparrow \end{array}$		↑ ↑			† †	¢	¢	$\uparrow \\ \downarrow \\$	3 1 6 1 2 1 1	3 1 0 1 0
Genus																		
Bifidobacterium Bacteroides Parabacteroides Lactobacillu Prevotella Turicibacter Akkermansia Adlercreutzia Barnesiella Rhodococcus Lawsonia Allobaculum Enterobacteria Acetobacteria		ţ	↑ ↑			\downarrow		\downarrow			Ţ	↑ ↑ ↓	¢		↑ ↓ ↑		0 1 2 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1	$2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$
Species																		
Clostridium leptum Clostridium	\downarrow				¢												0 1	1 0
cocleatum Bacteroides ovatus					Ļ												0	1

Abbreviations: " \uparrow "—higher α -diversity or bacteria are more abundant; " \downarrow "—Lower α -diversity or bacteria are less abundant; S—significant difference found in β -diversity.

TiO₂ NPs might exacerbate the severity of colitis and even consequent colon carcinogenesis via intestinal microorganisms. Treatment with TiO₂ aggravated high-fat dietinduced mucus layer disruption, while the depletion of intestinal floras would eliminate these effects. TiO₂ NPs consumption also induced the increase in *Firmicutes* as well as the reduction in *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* genus in obese mice [52,53]. TiO₂ NPs might worsen immune disorders by reducing the proportion of Tregs and CD4+ T cells [58]. Similarly, gut microbiota removal would rescue the gut inflammation induced by TiO_2 NPs. In summary, TiO_2 NPs are capable of triggering inflammation and changing the mucosal barrier via gut microbiomes, which might be related to different disease states including obesity and IBD (Table 1).

Different dosage or size of TiO_2 NPs had various impacts on gut microbiota (Table 4). A lower dose of TiO₂ NPs exposure shared some similar results with the studies on CMC and P80. There were significant increases in Lactobacillus and Allobacullum [56]. Some studies showed that a higher dose did not cause a significant change [59], while an acute high-dose TiO₂ NPs exposure would elicit an inflammatory response in ileum and affect the level of mucosa-associated bacteria including Lactobacillus [55]. Moreover, a larger size (50 nm or 100 nm) of TiO₂ NPs inhibited *Lactobacilli* growth more obviously than a smaller size (10 nm) [151]. The smaller size would induce a higher concentration of endocellular ROS, corresponding to the better antibacterial effect [56]. Different components of TiO_2 NPs also had various effects on gut microbiota. The food grade TiO_2 NPs had greater rutile structure, but the industrial grade TiO₂ NPs were largely anatase. Gavage of rutile TiO₂ NPs led to irregular villus epithelium cell arrangement with longer intestinal villi. It impacted the intestinal microbiota more profoundly than anatase NPs. At the genus level, rutile TiO₂ NPs increased the level of *Rhodococcu*, and anatase TiO₂ NPs enhanced the abundance of *Bacteroides* [159]. Moreover, food grade TiO_2 NPs exposure had a greater inhibitory effect on the shift from *Proteobacteria* to *Firmicutes* phylum [77].

TiO₂ NPs alter the metabolites in feces (Table 2). Although three studies indicated no significant difference in SCFAs levels [17,78,79], two studies showed a reduction in SCFAs concentrations in mice exposure with a lower dose of TiO₂ NPs [19,57]. However, a high dose of TiO₂ NPs increased the fecal SCFAs level [55]. Fecal metabolomic analysis showed the increases in caprolactam, *N*-acetylhistamine and glycerol-phosphocholine as well as the decreases in L-histidine, 4-methyl-5-thiazoleethanol and L-ornithine [56]. The pathway of aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis was remarkedly enriched in bioinformatics analysis. Aminoacyl-tRNAs are the essential substrates for translation in gut microbiota [66]. Moreover, it was demonstrated that TiO₂ NPs alone could act as adjuvants, inducing cytokine responses through NLRP3 inflammasome activation and ROS generation when presented with bacterial antigens such as LPS [160].

In summary, the intake of TiO_2 NPs will destroy the mucosal integrity and change the function and abundance of specific flora in the intestine, which is associated with the risk and relapse of IBD.

6.2. Azo Dyes

Azo dyes consist of a diazotized amine which is attached with a phenol or an amine and includes at least one azo linkage. In vivo, the azo bond of azo dyes can be cleaved by azo reductase to produce aromatic amines [161]. The food azo dyes include Allura Red (Red 40, numbered as E 129), Ponceau 4R (E124), Carmoisine (E 122), Quinoline Yellow (E 104), Tartrazine (TZ, numbered as E102), and Sunset Yellow (Yellow 6, numbered as E110) [162]. Red 40 was considered to exacerbate gut inflammation in colitis-prone mice [60], while the composition of fecal bacteria was not altered significantly (Table 1). However, they did not observe colitis in germ-free mice until colonized mice with *Bacteroides ovatus*, indicating that the proinflammatory effect of Red 40 depended on gut bacterium. Furthermore, Red 40 and Yellow 6 could be metabolized by commensal bacteria into 1amino-2-naphthol-6-sulfonate sodium salt (ANSA-Na). Treatment with ANSA-NA also caused colitis in wild-type mice rather than germ-free mice. This suggested that the metabolite exerting the colitis-prone effect required the participation of bacteria (Table 2 and Figure 3) [60].



Figure 3. The influence of different food colorants on mucosal barrier and intestinal microecology. " \uparrow " means an increased level; " \downarrow " means a decreased level.

TZ consumption could cause severe physiological dysfunction and histopathological alterations in crucian carp. It might trigger the oxidative stress and upregulate the proinflammatory cytokines in a dose-dependent manner, indicating a tendency to intestinal inflammation. Moreover, a significant reduction was found in certain probiotic bacteria, including *Rhodococcus*, *Roseomonas* and *Bacillu*, while the pathogenic bacteria such as *Bdellovibrio* and *Shewanella* were enriched [61]. SCFAs, especially butyrate, also decreased after TZ uptake [80]. Hence, it is of significance to address the deleterious effect of TZ on intestinal barriers and microbiota, which might be hostile to patients with IBD (Figure 3).

Sudan azo dyes (Sudan I, II, III, IV and Para Red) have been commonly applied in making printing inks, plastics, leather, waxes and fabrics [82]. Due to their carcinogenicity, most countries have banned them from the food industry. They are still illegally used in some agencies due to their cheap price and bright coloring. Sudan I and II restrained the growing of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* and *Clostridium perfringens*. Sudan II might influence the growth of *Enterococcus faecalis*; however, after being cultured with Sudan III and IV the content of *Bifidobacterium catenulatum*, *Clostridium perfringens*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Peptostreptococcus magnus* and *E. coli s* was significantly decreased in vitro. All of them are capable of selectively suppressing the survival capability of two *Clostridium* species (*Clostridium indolis* and *Clostridium ramosum*). Similar to TZ, one of the metabolites of the dyes, 1-Amino-2-naphthol, was able to inhibit the growing of most bacteria [82]. Sudan III and IV could be degraded into some carcinogenic aromatic amines such as aniline and o-toluidine by some common bacteria, respectively [81]. In summary, Sudan III and IV

could inhibit the growth of human gut microbiota more strongly than Sudan I, II and Para Red. Current evidence show that Sudan azo dyes and their metabolites play hazardous roles on intestinal homeostasis. (Table 2 and Figure 3) [80,82].

7. Preservatives

Food preservatives can postpone degradation and prolong expiration time in foods, limiting the growth of microorganisms and inhibiting the food oxidation. Nowadays, many common preservations such as benzoic acid, potassium sorbate, sodium nitrite and sodium sulfite as well as Ag nanoparticles (Ag NPs) are also reported to induce the alteration of gut microbiota. However, the American Academy of Pediatrics cautions that preservatives may be associated with worsened hyperactive behavior or risk of carcinogenicity, suggesting some preservatives should be avoided in children. This finding has attracted the attention of the FDA in recent years [163–167].

7.1. Benzoic Acid and Sodium Benzoate (E210-213)

Both benzoic acid (BA) and sodium benzoate (SB) act as food preservatives due to their ability to limit the growth of pathogenic microorganisms. All the absorbed BA can be completely degraded into hippuric acid [168]. Recent studies have investigated the beneficial effect of BA and SB on intestinal barrier functions and gut microbiota by regulating oxidative status and the immune state. However, excessive intake might result in the destruction of the intestinal barrier through redox status.

Treatment with BA enhanced the degree of biodiversity of ileal microbiota, lowering the abundance of total aerobic bacteria in a dose-dependent manner [83]. It would also reduce the richness of Gram-negative bacteria in the duodenum [84]. In piglets, treatment with BA increased the height of the intestinal villus, enhanced the level of beneficial microorganisms (e.g., *Bifidobacterium* and lactic acid bacteria) and decreased the population of harmful microorganisms [85,169]. The cecum content of piglets showed a similar effect, with lower concentrations of *Escherichia-Shigella* and a higher level of *Lactobacillus* and *Bacillus* [86,170]. However, a mixture of sorbate, benzoate and nitrite led to the thriving of *Proteobacteria* and the significant reduction in *Clostridiales*. Recent studies reported that BA increased the susceptibility to induce *Proteobacteria* dysbiosis in the NOD2 KO mice (Table 1). Remarkably, the proliferation of *Proteobacteria* is suggested as a potential diagnostic marker of dysbiosis and related to the risk of diseases such as IBD [62]. Moreover, hippuric acid was decreased in the urine, which found a reduction in patients with CD due to the alteration of gut microbiome (Table 2) [83].

The therapeutic potential of SB was observed in a UC model, possibly due to its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities. SB might selectively suppress the growth of susceptible gut microbes. The addition of benzoate and glyphosate in the diet might lead to the overgrowth of total intestinal microbiomes, especially the *Enterobacteriaceae* family [97]. Interestingly, *Enterococcus faecalis, Lactobacillus paracasei* and *Bifidobacterium longum* are more susceptible to SB [62,171]. We indicated that SB might be a friendly player in the gut microbiota of IBD. While the existing studies showed contradictory opinions on BB, more studies are needed to clarify their effects on intestinal flora and gut inflammation.

7.2. Potassium Sorbate (E 202)

Potassium sorbate (PS) is an antiseptic agent of low toxicity, strongly inhibiting spoilage bacteria and mold with slight effects on its organoleptic properties [172]. Previous studies have reported that PS restrained the viability of gut microbiota via the alteration in host immunity. Exposure to PS remarkably reduced the content of IgG, IL-1 β and TNF- α in the gut, with the activation of the immune system in zebrafish. At the genus level, the content of beneficial bacteria *Faecalibacterium*, as well as pathogenic bacteria *Aeromonas* and *Methylobacterium*, demonstrated a significant downward trend [172]. In vitro, the growth of *Faecalibacterium prausnitzi* also significantly decreased after treatment with PS [92]. Moreover, most of the susceptible bacteria are Gram-negative after PS exposure. Notably, the

lipophicity of PS plays an important role in modulating different types of gut microbiota. There is a large amount of lipid content in Gram-negative bacterial cell walls, thus inhibiting the pathway in transcription and carbohydrate metabolism. However, the Gram-positive bacterium contains a higher peptidoglycan content, possibly blocking the delivery of PS [172]. *E. coli* has been shown to be resistant to PS by its efflux pump mechanism [171]. The combination of benzoate, nitrite and sorbate significantly affected the susceptibility of intestinal microbes to preservatives in human, which suggested the pathogenesis of metabolic or immune-mediated diseases such as IBD [62,171]. However, more studies are needed to investigate the interplay between potassium sorbate, gut microbiota and IBD.

7.3. Sulfites (E 211)

Sulfites refer to metabisulfites including: hydrogen sulfites, sulfur dioxide gas and sulfur salts containing potassium, calcium or sodium [173]. Sodium sulfite (SS) is one of the most common food preservatives among them. It strongly inhibited the growth of *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* [92]. *Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus rhamnosus* and *Lactobacillus plantarum*, considered as beneficial gut bacteria for their ability to produce lactic acid, were also reduced [173]. In another study, SS consistently decreased the viability of *Proteus mirabilis, Escherichia fergusonii, Morganella morganii* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* obtained from patients with CD (Table 1) [63]. In summary, sulfites, especially SS, might have a stronger antimicrobial ability on beneficial bacteria in the gut, which may induce the intestinal disorders of IBD.

7.4. Ag NPs (E 174)

Since 1891, Ag NPs have been used as a wound antiseptic [174]. The mechanism for its antibacterial properties is believed to be that Ag ions interact with the thiol group of some essential enzymes of bacteria, suppressing the normal activities and physiological functions [175]. In vitro, Ag NPs showed a negative impact on the human bacterial community with a significant reduction in culture-generated gas production. Moreover, the abundance of *Ruminococcus torques*, *Roseburia intestinalis*, *Eubacterium rectale*, *Roseburia faecalis* and *Bacteroides ovatus* were remarkedly declined [176]. Another study found a decrease in *Enterococcus* spp. and lactic acid producing bacteria, as well as an increasement in *Clostridium* spp. after treatment with solid Ag NPs, while limited changes of bacterial composition and metabolism were found in an in vitro dynamic model [87].

Moreover, the α -diversity and β -diversity were disturbed in a dose-dependent manner, with the elevated ratio between *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* phyla. *Lachnospiraceae* and the *S24-7* family mainly accounted for the increase in *Firmicutes* and decrease in *Bacteroidetes*, respectively [177]. In another study, Ag NPs and silver acetate induced the reduction of the *Firmicutes* phylum and the *Lactobacillus* genus. Exposure to Ag NPs in a smaller size or at a lower dose might downregulate some immunomodulatory genes [178]. Interestingly, different shapes of Ag NPs such as cube shapes (AgNC) and sphere shapes (AgNS) might lead to varied effects. *Bacteroides uniformis* and *Clostridium* spp. were declined after AgNC exposure, whereas *Dehalobacterium* spp., *Peptococcaeceae* and *Oscillospira* spp. were altered in the AgNS-treated group [179]. However, a varied-coating dose did not significantly change gut microbiomes [180]. The bacterial alteration might be gender-specific in zebrafish according to a previous study [181].

Ag NPs limited the growth of the probiotic *Lactobacilli* and some common opportunistic bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus* and *E. coli*. *Lactobacilli* are more susceptible than the opportunistic bacteria when Ag NPs presented. *Lactobacilli* can provide an acidic environment, which promotes Ag NPs dissolution and can overproduce hydroxyl radical (•OH), eliminating the intracellular glutathione pool and elevating ROS levels. Higher ROS content will damage DNA and cause apoptosis. This study summarized the possible mechanism of a pH-Ag⁺-•OH bactericidal pathway, showing a new insight to explain the effect of Ag NPs on human health [182]. It seemed that Ag NPs exerted a more inhibitory

influence on some beneficial or common bacteria and damage to the intestinal homeostasis. It is of great concern for IBD onset and flare-ups if consumed in a higher level.

7.5. Other Preservatives

ε-polylysine is composed of isopeptide linked with 25–40 L-lysine residues between ε-amino and α-carboxyl groups. Although it is not permitted as a food additive in most countries, the FDA has granted the status of GRAS to this product. A recent study discovered an alteration of the intestinal microorganisms, increasing the abundance of *Bacteroides, Oscillospira* and *Coprococcus*, as well as decreasing the level of *Ruminococcus* and *Lactobacillu* [183]. Triclosan (TCS) is also applied as an antimicrobial ingredient used in toothpaste, cosmetics, kitchenware and toys, but was banned in 2013 by the FDA. TCS was found to be associated with colon inflammation and tumorigenesis through altering the intestinal microflora and toll-like receptor 4 signaling pathway. TCS significantly lowers the α- and β-diversity (Table 1). At the phylum level, there is a declination in *Bacteroidetes, Actinomycetes* and *Cyanobacteria*, with an enhancement in *Firmicutes*. In addition, *Bifidobacterium* and *Bacteroides* were significantly decreased at the genus level after treatment [64].

8. Antioxidants

Rosemary extract (RE, numbered as E 392), extracted from Rosmarinus officinalis Linn, has multiple biological and pharmacological functions and can be used as antioxidants in food additives and medicines. RE has shown protective effects against oxidation, inflammation and microbiota imbalance [184]. Dietary supplements with RE elevated the digestibility of nutrients, improving antioxidant status and intestinal morphology in pigs. In cecum, an increased number of *Bifidobacterium* and *Bacteroidetes* and a decreased abundance of E. coli was shown [185]. Both lean and obese female rats demonstrated similar effects, with increases in the Bacteroides/Prevotella groups and in Blautia coccoides. Moreover, *Clostridium leptum* and *Bifidobacterium* were significantly altered in the lean rats. The fecal SCFAs increased in obese rats, while they decreased in lean rats [88]. RE also exerts beneficial impacts on diabetes and depression [186,187]. Rosmarinic acid and carnosic acid are two rosemary components. They showed an antiglycative and antioxidative effect in diabetic rats. RE not only prevented against inflammation and tissue damage, but also exerted prebiotic effects on gut microbiota, accompanied with the overgrowth of diabetesresistant bacteria such as Actinobacteria, Bacteroides, Faecalibacterium, Lachnospiraceae and Prevotella, as well as decreased amounts of diabetes-sensitive bacteria such as Firmicutes and *Ruminococcaceae*. Rosmarinic acid demonstrated more effectiveness in relieving the metabolic symptoms than carnosic acid [186]. Moreover, RE enhanced the sequence proportion of Lactobacillus and Firmicutes, and reduced the sequence proportion of Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria in feces from chronic restraint stress mice [187]. In accordance with the evidence above, rosemary extract did play a role in improving inflammatory status and modulating intestinal microbiota, which might be a confidence for patients with IBD.

9. Conclusions

A growing number of studies investigate the interactions between gut microbiota and food additives, indicating that these interplays might be involved in the pathogenesis of IBD. After summarizing the collected information from the current studies, our review concluded that food additives exert multiple effects on gut microbiota and intestinal homeostasis, which may be associated with the onset and progression of IBD. Furthermore, food additives also remarkably mediated the alterations of bacterial functions. Taken together, sweeteners such as Ace-K, sucralose and saccharin might induce or exacerbate colitis via elevating the bacterial inflammatory potentials. Emulsifiers such as CMC and P80 were considered deleterious to intestinal health by altering the diversity of gut microbiota and increasing bacterial encroachment. The safety of food colorants should be re-estimated due to their negative effect of triggering intestinal disorder and dysbiosis; However, polyols and antioxidants included in this study seem beneficial for gut microbiota by improving

the intestinal microorganism structures and functions. Aspartame may also be intestinefriendly for IBD, with an elevated level of probiotic bacteria. Although included studies showed different impacts of food additives on intestinal microbiomes and gut inflammation, it is not feasible to attribute the same results obtained in vitro and/or in animal models to humans. Hence, future research should replicate human physiological conditions based on bio-relevant models.

According to current evidence and regulations, unfavorable food additives such as food colorants and emulsifiers including CMC and P80 for patients with IBD must be cautiously considered, and polyols such as lactitol are acceptable. However, the influence of sweeteners and antioxidants on gut microbiota and colitis is uncertain in humans and further studies are urgently needed to validate. In addition, the issues of MDX and preservatives on health and intestinal floras should be highlighted, and more trials are recommended to clarify their definite effect in humans. Meanwhile, substantial revision for the GRAS process is also recommended, especially in special groups (including children, pregnant and lactating women, etc.). Moreover, all food safety agencies should mutually leverage their professional knowledge and expertise evaluations to access the missing information and recognize the knowledge gap of additive food. In conclusion, more studies are needed to elucidate the relationship between food additives, gut microbiota and IBD, and to understand the risks of IBD to which future generations are potentially exposed due to the consumption habits that current generations have.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nu14153049/s1, Supplementary Table S1: The impact of different artificial sweeteners on gut microbiota.

Author Contributions: X.Z. and Z.Z. designed the study. C.L. wrote the manuscript. C.L., S.Z. and Z.T. collected the data. N.L., D.W. and T.L. analyzed the data. X.Z. and Z.Z. modified the manuscript. The final version was approved by all authors. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by [The National Natural Science Foundation of China] grant number [#8210031148]; [The GuangDong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation] grant number [#2020A1515111087]; and [The China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Funding Agency] grant number [2021M703750].

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Statement of Significance: To date, this is the first systematic review to narrate the potential molecular mechanisms of food additives–associated microbiota changes involved in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). These results implied high risks and rapid progression of IBD in current and future generations due to the undesirable consumption habits.

References

- 1. Hodson, R. Inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature* **2016**, *540*, S97. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Chang, J.T. Pathophysiology of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 383, 2652–2664. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Owczarek, D.; Rodacki, T.; Domagała-Rodacka, R.; Cibor, D.; Mach, T. Diet and nutritional factors in inflammatory bowel diseases. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2016**, *22*, 895–905. [CrossRef]
- 4. Campmans-Kuijpers, M.J.E.; Dijkstra, G. Food and Food Groups in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD): The Design of the Groningen Anti-Inflammatory Diet (GrAID). *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 1067. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hills, R.D., Jr.; Pontefract, B.A.; Mishcon, H.R.; Black, C.A.; Sutton, S.C.; Theberge, C.R. Gut Microbiome: Profound Implications for Diet and Disease. *Nutrients* 2019, 11, 1613. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Berglund, F. Food Additives. In *Toxicological Aspects of Food Safety*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1978; Volume 1, pp. 33–46.
- Food Ingredients and Colors. Available online: https://www.fda.gov/food/food-ingredients-packaging/overview-foodingredients-additives-colors (accessed on 26 February 2022).
- Wang, X.; Guo, J.; Liu, Y.; Yu, H.; Qin, X. Sucralose Increased Susceptibility to Colitis in Rats. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* 2019, 25, e3–e4. [CrossRef]

- Franzosa, E.A.; Sirota-Madi, A.; Avila-Pacheco, J.; Fornelos, N.; Haiser, H.J.; Reinker, S.; Vatanen, T.; Hall, A.B.; Mallick, H.; McIver, L.J.; et al. Gut microbiome structure and metabolic activity in inflammatory bowel disease. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2019, 4, 293–305. [CrossRef]
- Viennois, E.; Bretin, A.; Dubé, P.E.; Maue, A.C.; Dauriat, C.J.G.; Barnich, N.; Gewirtz, A.T.; Chassaing, B. Dietary Emulsifiers Directly Impact Adherent-Invasive *E. coli* Gene Expression to Drive Chronic Intestinal Inflammation. *Cell Rep.* 2020, 33, 108229. [CrossRef]
- 11. Chassaing, B.; Koren, O.; Goodrich, J.K.; Poole, A.C.; Srinivasan, S.; Ley, R.E.; Gewirtz, A.T. Dietary emulsifiers impact the mouse gut microbiota promoting colitis and metabolic syndrome. *Nature* **2015**, *519*, 92–96. [CrossRef]
- 12. The European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council. *Off. J. Eur. Union* **2008**, *81*, 16–33.
- EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food (ANS); Mortensen, A.; Aguilar, F.; Crebelli, R.; Di Domenico, A.; Dusemund, B.; Frutos, M.J.; Galtier, P.; Gott, D.; Gundert-Remy, U.; et al. Re-evaluation of fatty acids (E 570) as a food additive. EFSA J. 2017, 15, e04785. [PubMed]
- 14. EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food (ANS). Scientific Opinion on the re-evaluation of aspartame (E 951) as a food additive. *EFSA J.* **2013**, *11*, 3496.
- 15. Frankenfeld, C.L.; Sikaroodi, M.; Lamb, E.; Shoemaker, S.; Gillevet, P.M. High-intensity sweetener consumption and gut microbiome content and predicted gene function in a cross-sectional study of adults in the United States. *Ann. Epidemiol.* **2015**, *25*, 736–742.e4. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ahmad, S.Y.; Friel, J.; Mackay, D. The Effects of Non-Nutritive Artificial Sweeteners, Aspartame and Sucralose, on the Gut Microbiome in Healthy Adults: Secondary Outcomes of a Randomized Double-Blinded Crossover Clinical Trial. *Nutrients* 2020, 12, 3408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Gerasimidis, K.; Bryden, K.; Chen, X.; Papachristou, E.; Verney, A.; Roig, M.; Hansen, R.; Nichols, B.; Papadopoulou, R.; Parrett, A. The impact of food additives, artificial sweeteners and domestic hygiene products on the human gut microbiome and its fibre fermentation capacity. *Eur. J. Nutr.* **2020**, *59*, 3213–3230. [CrossRef]
- 18. Palmnäs, M.S.; Cowan, T.E.; Bomhof, M.R.; Su, J.; Reimer, R.A.; Vogel, H.J.; Hittel, D.S.; Shearer, J. Low-dose aspartame consumption differentially affects gut microbiota-host metabolic interactions in the diet-induced obese rat. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e109841. [CrossRef]
- 19. Nettleton, J.E.; Cho, N.A.; Klancic, T.; Nicolucci, A.C.; Shearer, J.; Borgland, S.L.; Johnston, L.A.; Ramay, H.R.; Noye Tuplin, E.; Chleilat, F.; et al. Maternal low-dose aspartame and stevia consumption with an obesogenic diet alters metabolism, gut microbiota and mesolimbic reward system in rat dams and their offspring. *Gut* **2020**, *69*, 1807–1817. [CrossRef]
- Mahmud, R.; Shehreen, S.; Shahriar, S.; Rahman, M.S.; Akhteruzzaman, S.; Sajib, A.A. Non-Caloric Artificial Sweeteners Modulate the Expression of Key Metabolic Genes in the Omnipresent Gut Microbe Escherichia coli. *J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2019, 29, 43–56. [CrossRef]
- 21. EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food (ANS). Safety of the proposed extension of use of acesulfame K (E 950) in foods for special medical purposes in young children. *EFSA J.* **2016**, *14*, 4437.
- 22. Uebanso, T.; Ohnishi, A.; Kitayama, R.; Yoshimoto, A.; Nakahashi, M.; Shimohata, T.; Mawatari, K.; Takahashi, A. Effects of Low-Dose Non-Caloric Sweetener Consumption on Gut Microbiota in Mice. *Nutrients* **2017**, *9*, 560. [CrossRef]
- 23. Bian, X.; Chi, L.; Gao, B.; Tu, P.; Ru, H.; Lu, K. The artificial sweetener acesulfame potassium affects the gut microbiome and body weight gain in CD-1 mice. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0178426. [CrossRef]
- 24. Olivier-Van Stichelen, S.; Rother, K.I.; Hanover, J.A. Maternal Exposure to Non-nutritive Sweeteners Impacts Progeny's Metabolism and Microbiome. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 1360. [CrossRef]
- Hanawa, Y.; Higashiyama, M.; Sugihara, N.; Wada, A.; Inaba, K.; Horiuchi, K.; Furuhashi, H.; Kurihara, C.; Okada, Y.; Shibuya, N.; et al. Su1775—Artificial Sweetener Acesulfame Potassium Enhanced Lymphocyte Migration to Intestinal Microvessels by Enhancing Expression of Adhesion Molecules Through Dysbiosis. *Gastroenterology* 2019, 156, S-606. [CrossRef]
- 26. Wang, Q.P.; Browman, D.; Herzog, H.; Neely, G.G. Non-nutritive sweeteners possess a bacteriostatic effect and alter gut microbiota in mice. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0199080. [CrossRef]
- 27. Lavelle, A.; Sokol, H. Gut microbiota-derived metabolites as key actors in inflammatory bowel disease. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2020**, *17*, 223–237. [CrossRef]
- 28. Li, X.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Li, X.; Liu, X.; Guo, M.; Tan, Y.; Qin, X.; Wang, X.; Jiang, M. Sucralose Promotes Colitis-Associated Colorectal Cancer Risk in a Murine Model along with Changes in Microbiota. *Front. Oncol.* **2020**, *10*, 710. [CrossRef]
- 29. Rodriguez-Palacios, A.; Harding, A.; Menghini, P.; Himmelman, C.; Retuerto, M.; Nickerson, K.P.; Lam, M.; Croniger, C.M.; McLean, M.H.; Durum, S.K.; et al. The Artificial Sweetener Splenda Promotes Gut Proteobacteria, Dysbiosis, and Myeloperoxidase Reactivity in Crohn's Disease-Like Ileitis. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2018**, *24*, 1005–1020. [CrossRef]
- 30. Sünderhauf, A.; Pagel, R.; Künstner, A.; Wagner, A.E.; Rupp, J.; Ibrahim, S.M.; Derer, S.; Sina, C. Saccharin Supplementation Inhibits Bacterial Growth and Reduces Experimental Colitis in Mice. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 1122. [CrossRef]
- 31. Wang, Y.N.; Meng, X.C.; Dong, Y.F.; Zhao, X.H.; Qian, J.M.; Wang, H.Y.; Li, J.N. Effects of probiotics and prebiotics on intestinal microbiota in mice with acute colitis based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing. *Chin. Med. J.* **2019**, *132*, 1833–1842. [CrossRef]
- 32. Zangara, M.; Sangwan, N.; Mcdonald, C.J.G. Common Food Additives Accelerate Onset of Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Mice by Altering Microbiome Composition and Host-Microbe Interaction. *Gastroenterology* **2021**, *160*, S53. [CrossRef]

- Thymann, T.; Møller, H.K.; Stoll, B.; Støy, A.C.; Buddington, R.K.; Bering, S.B.; Jensen, B.B.; Olutoye, O.O.; Siggers, R.H.; Mølbak, L.; et al. Carbohydrate maldigestion induces necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm pigs. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 2009, 297, G1115–G1125. [CrossRef]
- Nickerson, K.P.; Homer, C.R.; Kessler, S.P.; Dixon, L.J.; Kabi, A.; Gordon, I.O.; Johnson, E.E.; de la Motte, C.A.; McDonald, C. The dietary polysaccharide maltodextrin promotes Salmonella survival and mucosal colonization in mice. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e101789. [CrossRef]
- 35. Nickerson, K.P.; McDonald, C. Crohn's disease-associated adherent-invasive Escherichia coli adhesion is enhanced by exposure to the ubiquitous dietary polysaccharide maltodextrin. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e52132. [CrossRef]
- Furuhashi, H.; Higashiyama, M.; Okada, Y.; Kurihara, C.; Wada, A.; Horiuchi, K.; Hanawa, Y.; Mizoguchi, A.; Nishii, S.; Inaba, K.; et al. Dietary emulsifier polysorbate-80-induced small-intestinal vulnerability to indomethacin-induced lesions via dysbiosis. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2020, 35, 110–117. [CrossRef]
- Roberts, C.L.; Keita, A.V.; Duncan, S.H.; O'Kennedy, N.; Söderholm, J.D.; Rhodes, J.M.; Campbell, B.J. Translocation of Crohn's disease Escherichia coli across M-cells: Contrasting effects of soluble plant fibres and emulsifiers. *Gut* 2010, *59*, 1331–1339. [CrossRef]
- Swidsinski, A.; Ung, V.; Sydora, B.C.; Loening-Baucke, V.; Doerffel, Y.; Verstraelen, H.; Fedorak, R.N. Bacterial overgrowth and inflammation of small intestine after carboxymethylcellulose ingestion in genetically susceptible mice. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* 2009, 15, 359–364. [CrossRef]
- 39. Chassaing, B.; Van de Wiele, T.; De Bodt, J.; Marzorati, M.; Gewirtz, A.T. Dietary emulsifiers directly alter human microbiota composition and gene expression ex vivo potentiating intestinal inflammation. *Gut* **2017**, *66*, 1414–1427. [CrossRef]
- Li, M. The Degradation and Utilization Study of Agarose, κ-Carrageenan and Their Oligosaccharides by Human Gut Microbiota. Ph.D. Thesis, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China, 2014.
- Shang, Q.; Sun, W.; Shan, X.; Jiang, H.; Cai, C.; Hao, J.; Li, G.; Yu, G. Carrageenan-induced colitis is associated with decreased population of anti-inflammatory bacterium, Akkermansia muciniphila, in the gut microbiota of C57BL/6J mice. *Toxicol. Lett.* 2017, 279, 87–95. [CrossRef]
- Mi, Y.; Chin, Y.X.; Cao, W.X.; Chang, Y.G.; Lim, P.E.; Xue, C.H.; Tang, Q.J. Native κ-carrageenan induced-colitis is related to host intestinal microecology. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2020, 147, 284–294. [CrossRef]
- Wu, W.; Zhen, Z.; Niu, T.; Zhu, X.; Gao, Y.; Yan, J.; Chen, Y.; Yan, X.; Chen, H. κ-Carrageenan Enhances Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Interleukin-8 Secretion by Stimulating the Bcl10-NF-κB Pathway in HT-29 Cells and Aggravates *C. freundii*-Induced Inflammation in Mice. *Mediat. Inflamm.* 2017, 2017, 8634865. [CrossRef]
- End, C.; Bikker, F.; Renner, M.; Bergmann, G.; Lyer, S.; Blaich, S.; Hudler, M.; Helmke, B.; Gassler, N.; Autschbach, F.; et al. DMBT1 functions as pattern-recognition molecule for poly-sulfated and poly-phosphorylated ligands. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 2009, *39*, 833–842. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Munyaka, P.M.; Sepehri, S.; Ghia, J.E.; Khafipour, E. Carrageenan Gum and Adherent Invasive Escherichia coli in a Piglet Model of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Impact on Intestinal Mucosa-associated Microbiota. *Front. Microbiol.* 2016, 7, 462. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Onderdonk, A.B.; Hermos, J.A.; Dzink, J.L.; Bartlett, J.G. Protective effect of metronidazole in experimental ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* **1978**, 74, 521–526. [CrossRef]
- 47. Onderdonk, A.B.; Cisneros, R.L.; Bronson, R.T. Enhancement of experimental ulcerative colitis by immunization with Bacteroides vulgatus. *Infect. Immun.* **1983**, *42*, 783–788. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mo, Q.; Fu, A.; Deng, L.; Zhao, M.; Li, Y.; Zhang, H.; Feng, F. High-dose Glycerol Monolaurate Up-Regulated Beneficial Indigenous Microbiota without Inducing Metabolic Dysfunction and Systemic Inflammation: New Insights into Its Antimicrobial Potential. *Nutrients* 2019, *11*, 1981. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, M.; Jiang, Z.; Cai, H.; Li, Y.; Mo, Q.; Deng, L.; Zhong, H.; Liu, T.; Zhang, H.; Kang, J.X.; et al. Modulation of the Gut Microbiota during High-Dose Glycerol Monolaurate-Mediated Amelioration of Obesity in Mice Fed a High-Fat Diet. *mBio* 2020, 11, e00190-20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Zhao, M.; Cai, H.; Jiang, Z.; Li, Y.; Zhong, H.; Zhang, H.; Feng, F. Glycerol-Monolaurate-Mediated Attenuation of Metabolic Syndrome is Associated with the Modulation of Gut Microbiota in High-Fat-Diet-Fed Mice. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **2019**, *63*, e1801417. [CrossRef]
- Mo, Q.; Liu, T.; Fu, A.; Ruan, S.; Zhong, H.; Tang, J.; Zhao, M.; Li, Y.; Zhu, S.; Cai, H.; et al. Novel Gut Microbiota Patterns Involved in the Attenuation of Dextran Sodium Sulfate-Induced Mouse Colitis Mediated by Glycerol Monolaurate via Inducing Anti-inflammatory Responses. *mBio* 2021, 12, e0214821. [CrossRef]
- Cao, X.; Han, Y.; Gu, M.; Du, H.; Song, M.; Zhu, X.; Ma, G.; Pan, C.; Wang, W.; Zhao, E.; et al. Foodborne Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles Induce Stronger Adverse Effects in Obese Mice than Non-Obese Mice: Gut Microbiota Dysbiosis, Colonic Inflammation, and Proteome Alterations. *Small* 2020, *16*, e2001858. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, X.; Zhao, L.; Liu, Z.; Zhou, Q.; Zhu, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Yang, X. Long-term exposure to titanium dioxide nanoparticles promotes diet-induced obesity through exacerbating intestinal mucus layer damage and microbiota dysbiosis. *Nano Res.* 2021, 14, 1512–1522. [CrossRef]

- 54. Yan, J.; Wang, D.; Li, K.; Chen, Q.; Lai, W.; Tian, L.; Lin, B.; Tan, Y.; Liu, X.; Xi, Z. Toxic effects of the food additives titanium dioxide and silica on the murine intestinal tract: Mechanisms related to intestinal barrier dysfunction involved by gut microbiota. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* **2020**, *80*, 103485. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Kurtz, C.C.; Mitchell, S.; Nielsen, K.; Crawford, K.D.; Mueller-Spitz, S.R. Acute high-dose titanium dioxide nanoparticle exposure alters gastrointestinal homeostasis in mice. J. Appl. Toxicol. JAT 2020, 40, 1384–1395. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Chen, Z.; Han, S.; Zhou, D.; Zhou, S.; Jia, G. Effects of oral exposure to titanium dioxide nanoparticles on gut microbiota and gut-associated metabolism in vivo. *Nanoscale* **2019**, *11*, 22398–22412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Pinget, G.; Tan, J.; Janac, B.; Kaakoush, N.O.; Angelatos, A.S.; O'Sullivan, J.; Koay, Y.C.; Sierro, F.; Davis, J.; Divakarla, S.K.; et al. Impact of the Food Additive Titanium Dioxide (E171) on Gut Microbiota-Host Interaction. *Front. Nutr.* 2019, *6*, 57. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Mu, W.; Wang, Y.; Huang, C.; Fu, Y.; Li, J.; Wang, H.; Jia, X.; Ba, Q. Effect of Long-Term Intake of Dietary Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles on Intestine Inflammation in Mice. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2019**, *67*, 9382–9389. [CrossRef]
- Chen, H.; Zhao, R.; Wang, B.; Cai, C.; Zheng, L.; Wang, H.; Wang, M.; Yang, H.; Zhou, X.; Chai, Z.; et al. The effects of orally administered Ag, TiO₂ and SiO₂ nanoparticles on gut microbiota composition and colitis induction in mice. *NanoImpact* 2017, *8*, 80–88. [CrossRef]
- He, Z.; Chen, L.; Catalan-Dibene, J.; Bongers, G.; Faith, J.J.; Suebsuwong, C.; DeVita, R.J.; Shen, Z.; Fox, J.G.; Lafaille, J.J.; et al. Food colorants metabolized by commensal bacteria promote colitis in mice with dysregulated expression of interleukin-23. *Cell Metab.* 2021, 33, 1358–1371.e5. [CrossRef]
- 61. Wu, L.; Xu, Y.; Lv, X.; Chang, X.; Ma, X.; Tian, X.; Shi, X.; Li, X.; Kong, X. Impacts of an azo food dye tartrazine uptake on intestinal barrier, oxidative stress, inflammatory response and intestinal microbiome in crucian carp (*Carassius auratus*). *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2021**, 223, 112551. [CrossRef]
- 62. Hrncirova, L.; Machova, V.; Trckova, E.; Krejsek, J.; Hrncir, T. Food Preservatives Induce Proteobacteria Dysbiosis in Human-Microbiota Associated Nod2-Deficient Mice. *Microorganisms* **2019**, *7*, 383. [CrossRef]
- 63. Schooth, L.F.; Loayza, J.J.J.; Teh, J.J.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, F.; Liu, Q.; Hamilton, A.L.; Wilson-O'Brien, A.; Trakman, G.L.; Lin, W.Y.; et al. 4 Crohn's Disease Pathobiont Enterobacteriaceae Growth Is Modulated by Food Additives and Oxygen Availability: Factors in Pathophysiology and Recurrence. The Enigma Study. *Gastroenterology* **2020**, *158*, S-2. [CrossRef]
- 64. Yang, H.; Wang, W.; Romano, K.A.; Gu, M.; Sanidad, K.Z.; Kim, D.; Yang, J.; Schmidt, B.; Panigrahy, D.; Pei, R.; et al. A common antimicrobial additive increases colonic inflammation and colitis-associated colon tumorigenesis in mice. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2018**, *10*, eaan4116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Vamanu, E.; Pelinescu, D.; Gatea, F.; Sârbu, I. Altered in Vitro Metabolomic Response of the Human Microbiota to Sweeteners. *Genes* 2019, 10, 535. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Suez, J.; Korem, T.; Zeevi, D.; Zilberman-Schapira, G.; Thaiss, C.A.; Maza, O.; Israeli, D.; Zmora, N.; Gilad, S.; Weinberger, A.; et al. Artificial sweeteners induce glucose intolerance by altering the gut microbiota. *Nature* **2014**, *514*, 181–186. [CrossRef]
- 67. Bian, X.; Tu, P.; Chi, L.; Gao, B.; Ru, H.; Lu, K. Saccharin induced liver inflammation in mice by altering the gut microbiota and its metabolic functions. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2017**, *107*, 530–539. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Chi, L.; Bian, X.; Gao, B.; Tu, P.; Lai, Y.; Ru, H.; Lu, K. Effects of the Artificial Sweetener Neotame on the Gut Microbiome and Fecal Metabolites in Mice. *Molecules* **2018**, *23*, 367. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Mahalak, K.K.; Firrman, J.; Tomasula, P.M.; Nuñez, A.; Lee, J.J.; Bittinger, K.; Rinaldi, W.; Liu, L.S. Impact of Steviol Glycosides and Erythritol on the Human and Cebus apella Gut Microbiome. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2020, 68, 13093–13101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gostner, A.; Blaut, M.; Schäffer, V.; Kozianowski, G.; Theis, S.; Klingeberg, M.; Dombrowski, Y.; Martin, D.; Ehrhardt, S.; Taras, D.; et al. Effect of isomalt consumption on faecal microflora and colonic metabolism in healthy volunteers. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2006, *95*, 40–50. [CrossRef]
- Chu, J.R.; Kang, S.Y.; Kim, S.E.; Lee, S.J.; Lee, Y.C.; Sung, M.K. Prebiotic UG1601 mitigates constipation-related events in association with gut microbiota: A randomized placebo-controlled intervention study. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2019, 25, 6129–6144. [CrossRef]
- 72. Ballongue, J.; Schumann, C.; Quignon, P. Effects of lactulose and lactitol on colonic microflora and enzymatic activity. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol. Suppl.* **1997**, 222, 41–44. [CrossRef]
- 73. Finney, M.; Smullen, J.; Foster, H.A.; Brokx, S.; Storey, D.M. Effects of low doses of lactitol on faecal microflora, pH, short chain fatty acids and gastrointestinal symptomology. *Eur. J. Nutr.* **2007**, *46*, 307–314. [CrossRef]
- 74. Peuranen, S.; Tiihonen, K.; Apajalahti, J.; Kettunen, A.; Saarinen, M.; Rautonen, N. Combination of polydextrose and lactitol affects microbial ecosystem and immune responses in rat gastrointestinal tract. Br. J. Nutr. 2004, 91, 905–914. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Pinna, C.; Stefanelli, C.; Biagi, G. In vitro effect of dietary protein level and nondigestible oligosaccharides on feline fecal microbiota. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2014**, *92*, 5593–5602. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kong, H.; Yu, L.; Gu, Z.; Li, C.; Ban, X.; Cheng, L.; Hong, Y.; Li, Z. Novel Short-Clustered Maltodextrin as a Dietary Starch Substitute Attenuates Metabolic Dysregulation and Restructures Gut Microbiota in db/db Mice. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2020, 68, 12400–12412. [CrossRef]
- 77. Waller, T.; Chen, C.; Walker, S.L. Food and Industrial Grade Titanium Dioxide Impacts Gut Microbiota. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* 2017, 34, 537–550. [CrossRef]

- Agans, R.T.; Gordon, A.; Hussain, S.; Paliy, O. Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles Elicit Lower Direct Inhibitory Effect on Human Gut Microbiota Than Silver Nanoparticles. *Toxicol. Sci.* 2019, 172, 411–416. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dudefoi, W.; Moniz, K.; Allen-Vercoe, E.; Ropers, M.H.; Walker, V.K. Impact of food grade and nano-TiO₂ particles on a human intestinal community. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2017, 106, 242–249. [CrossRef]
- 80. Polic, I.I. Evaluation of the Impact of Azo Dyes on the Metabolism of Stabilized Fecal Communities and In Vitro Cell Culture. Master's Thesis, The University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada.
- 81. Chen, H.; Xu, H.; Heinze, T.M.; Cerniglia, C.E. Decolorization of water and oil-soluble azo dyes by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Lactobacillus fermentum*. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2009, 36, 1459–1466. [CrossRef]
- 82. Pan, H.; Feng, J.; He, G.X.; Cerniglia, C.E.; Chen, H. Evaluation of impact of exposure of Sudan azo dyes and their metabolites on human intestinal bacteria. *Anaerobe* **2012**, *18*, 445–453. [CrossRef]
- Torrallardona, D.; Badiola, I.; Broz, J. Effects of benzoic acid on performance and ecology of gastrointestinal microbiota in weanling piglets. *Livest. Sci.* 2007, 108, 210–213. [CrossRef]
- 84. Kluge, H.; Broz, J.; Eder, K. Effect of benzoic acid on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, nitrogen balance, gastrointestinal microflora and parameters of microbial metabolism in piglets. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 2006, 90, 316–324. [CrossRef]
- 85. Diao, H.; Zheng, P.; Yu, B.; He, J.; Mao, X.B.; Yu, J.; Chen, D.W. Effects of dietary supplementation with benzoic acid on intestinal morphological structure and microflora in weaned piglets. *Livest. Sci.* **2014**, *167*, 249–256. [CrossRef]
- Diao, H.; Zheng, P.; Yu, B.; He, J.; Mao, X.; Yu, J.; Chen, D. Effects of benzoic Acid and thymol on growth performance and gut characteristics of weaned piglets. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 2015, 28, 827–839. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cc, A.; Gs, A.; At, A.; Bm, A.; Jc, B.; Bb, A.; Ma, A.J.F.; Toxicology, C. Gastrointestinal digestion of food-use silver nanoparticles in the dynamic SIMulator of the GastroIntestinal tract (simgi[®]). Impact on human gut microbiota. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2019, 132, 110657.
- 88. Romo-Vaquero, M.; Selma, M.V.; Larrosa, M.; Obiol, M.; García-Villalba, R.; González-Barrio, R.; Issaly, N.; Flanagan, J.; Roller, M.; Tomás-Barberán, F.A.; et al. A rosemary extract rich in carnosic acid selectively modulates caecum microbiota and inhibits β-glucosidase activity, altering fiber and short chain fatty acids fecal excretion in lean and obese female rats. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e94687.
- 89. Thomson, P.; Santibañez, R.; Aguirre, C.; Galgani, J.E.; Garrido, D. Short-term impact of sucralose consumption on the metabolic response and gut microbiome of healthy adults. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2019**, *122*, 856–862. [CrossRef]
- Méndez-García, L.A.; Bueno-Hernández, N.; Cid-Soto, M.A.; De León, K.L.; Mendoza-Martínez, V.M.; Espinosa-Flores, A.J.; Carrero-Aguirre, M.; Esquivel-Velázquez, M.; León-Hernández, M.; Viurcos-Sanabria, R.; et al. Ten-Week Sucralose Consumption Induces Gut Dysbiosis and Altered Glucose and Insulin Levels in Healthy Young Adults. *Microorganisms* 2022, 10, 434. [CrossRef]
- 91. Bian, X.; Chi, L.; Gao, B.; Tu, P.; Ru, H.; Lu, K. Gut Microbiome Response to Sucralose and Its Potential Role in Inducing Liver Inflammation in Mice. *Front. Physiol.* **2017**, *8*, 487. [CrossRef]
- Loayza, J.; Berendsen, E.; Teh, J.-J.; Hoedt, E.C.; Zhang, J.; Liu, Q.; Hamilton, A.L.; Wilson-O'Brien, A.; Trakman, G.L.; Lin, W.Y.; et al. Su1994—The Common Food Additives Sodium Sulfite and Polysorbate 80 Have a Profound Inhibatory Effect on the Commensal, Anti-Inflammatory Bacterium *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*. The Enigma Study. *Gastroenterology* 2019, 156, S-684–S-685. [CrossRef]
- Rettig, S.; Tenewitz, J.; Ahearn, G.; Coughlin, C.J.F.J. Sucralose causes a concentration dependent metabolic inhibition of the gut flora Bacteroides, *B. fragilis* and *B. uniformis* not observed in the Firmicutes, *E. faecalis* and *C. sordellii* (1118.1). FASEB J. 2014, 28, 1118.1. [CrossRef]
- 94. Serrano, J.; Smith, K.R.; Crouch, A.L.; Sharma, V.; Yi, F.; Vargova, V.; LaMoia, T.E.; Dupont, L.M.; Serna, V.; Tang, F.; et al. High-dose saccharin supplementation does not induce gut microbiota changes or glucose intolerance in healthy humans and mice. *Microbiome* **2021**, *9*, 11. [CrossRef]
- Xu, D.; Wu, X.; Yu, T.; Xu, H.; Zhou, S.Y.; Li, J.Y.; Chung, O.J.G. 1099—Artificial Sweetener (Saccharin) Modulates Visceral Nociception by Lipopolysaccharide-Mediated Gut Barrier Dysfunction and Intestinal Inflammation. AGA J. 2018, 154, S-217. [CrossRef]
- 96. Anderson, R.L.; Kirkland, J.J. The effect of sodium saccharin in the diet on caecal microflora. *Food Cosmet. Toxicol.* **1980**, *18*, 353–355. [CrossRef]
- 97. Bilan, M.V.; Lieshchova, M.A.; Tishkina, N.M.; Brygadyrenko, V.V. Combined effect of glyphosate, saccharin and sodium benzoate on the gut microbiota of rats. *Regul. Mech. Biosyst.* **2019**, *10*, 228–232. [CrossRef]
- 98. Daly, K.; Darby, A.C.; Hall, N.; Nau, A.; Bravo, D.; Shirazi-Beechey, S.P. Dietary supplementation with lactose or artificial sweetener enhances swine gut Lactobacillus population abundance. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2014**, *111*, S30-5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 99. Daly, K.; Darby, A.C.; Hall, N.; Wilkinson, M.C.; Pongchaikul, P.; Bravo, D.; Shirazi-Beechey, S.P. Bacterial sensing underlies artificial sweetener-induced growth of gut Lactobacillus. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2016**, *18*, 2159–2171. [CrossRef]
- Li, Z.; Gao, J.; Guo, Y.; Cui, Y.; Wang, Y.; Duan, W.; Wu, Z. Enhancement of antibiotic resistance dissemination by artificial sweetener acesulfame potassium: Insights from cell membrane, enzyme, energy supply and transcriptomics. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2022, 422, 126942. [CrossRef]
- 101. Yu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Henderson, I.R.; Guo, J. Artificial sweeteners stimulate horizontal transfer of extracellular antibiotic resistance genes through natural transformation. *ISME J.* 2022, *16*, 543–554. [CrossRef]

- 102. Yu, Z.; Guo, J. Non-caloric artificial sweeteners exhibit antimicrobial activity against bacteria and promote bacterial evolution of antibiotic tolerance. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2022**, *433*, 128840. [CrossRef]
- 103. Markus, V.; Share, O.; Shagan, M.; Halpern, B.; Bar, T.; Kramarsky-Winter, E.; Teralı, K.; Özer, N.; Marks, R.S.; Kushmaro, A.; et al. Inhibitory Effects of Artificial Sweeteners on Bacterial Quorum Sensing. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 9863. [CrossRef]
- Lobach, A.R.; Roberts, A.; Rowland, I.R. Assessing the in vivo data on low/no-calorie sweeteners and the gut microbiota. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2019, 124, 385–399. [CrossRef]
- 105. Pfeffer, M.; Ziesenitz, S.C.; Siebert, G. Acesulfame K, cyclamate and saccharin inhibit the anaerobic fermentation of glucose by intestinal bacteria. Z. Ernahr. 1985, 24, 231–235. [CrossRef]
- 106. Bernt, W.O.; Borzelleca, J.F.; Flamm, G.; Munro, I.C. Erythritol: A review of biological and toxicological studies. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. RTP* **1996**, 24, S191-7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Arrigoni, E.; Brouns, F.; Amadò, R. Human gut microbiota does not ferment erythritol. Br. J. Nutr. 2005, 94, 643–646. [CrossRef]
- Han, Y.; Kwon, E.Y.; Choi, M.S. Anti-Diabetic Effects of Allulose in Diet-Induced Obese Mice via Regulation of mRNA Expression and Alteration of the Microbiome Composition. *Nutrients* 2020, *12*, 2113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 109. Kawano, R.; Okamura, T.; Hashimoto, Y.; Majima, S.; Senmaru, T.; Ushigome, E.; Asano, M.; Yamazaki, M.; Takakuwa, H.; Sasano, R.; et al. Erythritol Ameliorates Small Intestinal Inflammation Induced by High-Fat Diets and Improves Glucose Tolerance. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 5558. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 110. Caballero, B.; Finglas, P.; Toldrá, F. (Eds.) Encyclopedia of Food and Health; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2015.
- 111. Jiang, L.; Xie, M.; Chen, G.; Qiao, J.; Zhang, H.; Zeng, X. Phenolics and Carbohydrates in Buckwheat Honey Regulate the Human Intestinal Microbiota. *Evid.-Based Complement. Altern. Med.* **2020**, 2020, 6432942. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Lynch, H.; Milgrom, P. Xylitol and dental caries: An overview for clinicians. J. Calif. Dent. Assoc. 2003, 31, 205–209.
- Campus, G.; Cagetti, M.G.; Sacco, G.; Solinas, G.; Mastroberardino, S.; Lingström, P. Six months of daily high-dose xylitol in high-risk schoolchildren: A randomized clinical trial on plaque pH and salivary mutans streptococci. *Caries Res.* 2009, 43, 455–461. [CrossRef]
- 114. Uebanso, T.; Kano, S.; Yoshimoto, A.; Naito, C.; Shimohata, T.; Mawatari, K.; Takahashi, A. Effects of Consuming Xylitol on Gut Microbiota and Lipid Metabolism in Mice. *Nutrients* **2017**, *9*, 756. [CrossRef]
- 115. Tamura, M.; Hoshi, C.; Hori, S. Xylitol affects the intestinal microbiota and metabolism of daidzein in adult male mice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2013, 14, 23993–24007. [CrossRef]
- Salminen, S.; Salminen, E.; Koivistoinen, P.; Bridges, J.; Marks, V. Gut microflora interactions with xylitol in the mouse, rat and man. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 1985, 23, 985–990. [CrossRef]
- 117. Söderling, E.M.; Ekman, T.C.; Taipale, T.J. Growth inhibition of Streptococcus mutans with low xylitol concentrations. *Curr. Microbiol.* **2008**, *56*, 382–385. [CrossRef]
- Schauber, J.; Weiler, F.; Gostner, A.; Melcher, R.; Kudlich, T.; Lührs, H.; Scheppach, W. Human rectal mucosal gene expression after consumption of digestible and non-digestible carbohydrates. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2006, 50, 1006–1012. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 119. Lu, H.; Chen, L.; Pan, X.; Yao, Y.; Zhang, H.; Zhu, X.; Lou, X.; Zhu, C.; Wang, J.; Li, L.; et al. Lactitol Supplementation Modulates Intestinal Microbiome in Liver Cirrhotic Patients. *Front. Med.* **2021**, *8*, 762930. [CrossRef]
- Björklund, M.; Ouwehand, A.C.; Forssten, S.D.; Nikkilä, J.; Tiihonen, K.; Rautonen, N.; Lahtinen, S.J. Gut microbiota of healthy elderly NSAID users is selectively modified with the administration of Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM and lactitol. *Age* 2012, 34, 987–999. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 121. Ouwehand, A.C.; Tiihonen, K.; Saarinen, M.; Putaala, H.; Rautonen, N. Influence of a combination of Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM and lactitol on healthy elderly: Intestinal and immune parameters. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2009**, *101*, 367–375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 122. Li, X.Q.; Zhang, X.M.; Wu, X.; Lan, Y.; Xu, L.; Meng, X.C.; Li, J.N. Beneficial effects of lactitol on the composition of gut microbiota in constipated patients. *J. Dig. Dis.* 2020, *21*, 445–453. [CrossRef]
- 123. Chen, C.; Li, L.; Wu, Z.; Chen, H.; Fu, S. Effects of lactitol on intestinal microflora and plasma endotoxin in patients with chronic viral hepatitis. *J. Infect.* 2007, 54, 98–102. [CrossRef]
- 124. Naimi, S.; Viennois, E.; Gewirtz, A.T.; Chassaing, B. Direct impact of commonly used dietary emulsifiers on human gut microbiota. *Microbiome* **2021**, *9*, 66. [CrossRef]
- 125. Calgaro, M.; Pandolfo, M.; Salvetti, E.; Marotta, A.; Larini, I.; Pane, M.; Amoruso, A.; Del Casale, A.; Vitulo, N.; Fiorio, M.; et al. Metabarcoding analysis of gut microbiota of healthy individuals reveals impact of probiotic and maltodextrin consumption. *Benef. Microbes* 2021, *12*, 121–136. [CrossRef]
- 126. Laudisi, F.; Di Fusco, D.; Dinallo, V.; Stolfi, C.; Di Grazia, A.; Marafini, I.; Colantoni, A.; Ortenzi, A.; Alteri, C.; Guerrieri, F.; et al. The Food Additive Maltodextrin Promotes Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress-Driven Mucus Depletion and Exacerbates Intestinal Inflammation. *Cell. Mol. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2019, 7, 457–473. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 127. Nishimura, N.; Tanabe, H.; Yamamoto, T. Isomaltodextrin, a highly branched α-glucan, increases rat colonic H₂ production as well as indigestible dextrin. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 2016, *80*, 554–563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Beards, E.; Tuohy, K.; Gibson, G. A human volunteer study to assess the impact of confectionery sweeteners on the gut microbiota composition. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2010, 104, 701–708. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 129. Cox, S.; Sandall, A.; Smith, L.; Rossi, M.; Whelan, K. Food additive emulsifiers: A review of their role in foods, legislation and classifications, presence in food supply, dietary exposure, and safety assessment. *Nutr. Rev.* **2021**, *79*, 726–741. [CrossRef]

- Garzón, R.; Hernando, I.; Llorca, E.; Rosell, C.M. Understanding the effect of emulsifiers on bread aeration during breadmaking. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2018, 98, 5494–5502. [CrossRef]
- Partridge, D.; Lloyd, K.A.; Rhodes, J.M.; Walker, A.W.; Johnstone, A.M.; Campbell, B.J. Food additives: Assessing the impact of exposure to permitted emulsifiers on bowel and metabolic health—Introducing the FADiets study. *Nutr. Bull.* 2019, 44, 329–349. [CrossRef]
- 132. Rather, P.N. Swarmer cell differentiation in Proteus mirabilis. Environ. Microbiol. 2005, 7, 1065–1073. [CrossRef]
- Allison, C.; Lai, H.C.; Hughes, C. Co-ordinate expression of virulence genes during swarm-cell differentiation and population migration of Proteus mirabilis. *Mol. Microbiol.* 1992, *6*, 1583–1591.
- 134. Chassaing, B.; Compher, C.; Bonhomme, B.; Liu, Q.; Tian, Y.; Walters, W.; Nessel, L.; Delaroque, C.; Hao, F.; Gershuni, V.; et al. Randomized Controlled-Feeding Study of Dietary Emulsifier Carboxymethylcellulose Reveals Detrimental Impacts on the Gut Microbiota and Metabolome. *Gastroenterology* 2022, *162*, 743–756. [CrossRef]
- Lock, J.Y.; Carlson, T.L.; Wang, C.M.; Chen, A.; Carrier, R.L. Acute Exposure to Commonly Ingested Emulsifiers Alters Intestinal Mucus Structure and Transport Properties. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 10008. [CrossRef]
- 136. Martino, J.V.; Van Limbergen, J.; Cahill, L.E. The Role of Carrageenan and Carboxymethylcellulose in the Development of Intestinal Inflammation. *Front. Pediatr.* **2017**, *5*, 96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 137. Nicklin, S.; Miller, K. Intestinal uptake and immunological effects of carrageenan–current concepts. *Food Addit. Contam.* **1989**, *6*, 425–436. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Michel, C.; Macfarlane, G.T. Digestive fates of soluble polysaccharides from marine macroalgae: Involvement of the colonic microflora and physiological consequences for the host. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 1996, 80, 349–369. [CrossRef]
- 139. Ariffin, S.H.; Yeen, W.W.; Abidin, I.Z.; Abdul Wahab, R.M.; Ariffin, Z.Z.; Senafi, S. Cytotoxicity effect of degraded and undegraded kappa and iota carrageenan in human intestine and liver cell lines. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* **2014**, *14*, 508.
- Bhattacharyya, S.; Shumard, T.; Xie, H.; Dodda, A.; Varady, K.A.; Feferman, L.; Halline, A.G.; Goldstein, J.L.; Hanauer, S.B.; Tobacman, J.K. A randomized trial of the effects of the no-carrageenan diet on ulcerative colitis disease activity. *Nutr. Healthy Aging* 2017, *4*, 181–192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 141. Mallett, A.K.; Rowland, I.R.; Bearne, C.A.; Nicklin, S. Influence of dietary carrageenans on microbial biotransformation activities in the cecum of rodents and on gastrointestinal immune status in the rat. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **1985**, *78*, 377–385. [CrossRef]
- 142. du Preez, R.; Paul, N.; Mouatt, P.; Majzoub, M.E.; Thomas, T.; Panchal, S.K.; Brown, L. Carrageenans from the Red Seaweed Sarconema filiforme Attenuate Symptoms of Diet-Induced Metabolic Syndrome in Rats. *Mar. Drugs* **2020**, *18*, 97. [CrossRef]
- 143. Ma, C.; Li, Q.; Dai, X. Carrageenan Oligosaccharides Extend Life Span and Health Span in Male *Drosophila melanogaster* by Modulating Antioxidant Activity, Immunity, and Gut Microbiota. *J. Med. Food* **2021**, 24, 101–109. [CrossRef]
- 144. Zhang, M.S.; Sandouk, A.; Houtman, J.C. Glycerol Monolaurate (GML) inhibits human T cell signaling and function by disrupting lipid dynamics. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 30225. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, Z.; Zhao, M.; Zhang, H.; Li, Y.; Liu, M.; Feng, F. Antimicrobial Emulsifier-Glycerol Monolaurate Induces Metabolic Syndrome, Gut Microbiota Dysbiosis, and Systemic Low-Grade Inflammation in Low-Fat Diet Fed Mice. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2018, 62, 1700547. [CrossRef]
- 146. Sakhr, K.; El Khatib, S. Physiochemical properties and medicinal, nutritional and industrial applications of Lebanese Sumac (Syrian Sumac—*Rhus coriaria*): A review. *Heliyon* **2020**, *6*, e03207. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 147. Winkler, H.C.; Notter, T.; Meyer, U.; Naegeli, H. Critical review of the safety assessment of titanium dioxide additives in food. *J. Nanobiotechnol.* **2018**, *16*, 51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 148. Barreau, F.; Tisseyre, C.; Ménard, S.; Ferrand, A.; Carriere, M. Titanium dioxide particles from the diet: Involvement in the genesis of inflammatory bowel diseases and colorectal cancer. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* **2021**, *18*, 26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 149. Younes, M.; Aquilina, G.; Castle, L.; Engel, K.-H.; Fowler, P.; Frutos Fernandez, M.J.; Fürst, P.; Gundert-Remy, U.; Gürtler, R.; Husøy, T.; et al. Safety assessment of titanium dioxide (E171) as a food additive. *EFSA J.* **2021**, *19*, e06585.
- 150. Baranowska-Wójcik, E.; Gustaw, K.; Szwajgier, D.; Oleszczuk, P.; Pawlikowska-Pawlęga, B.; Pawelec, J.; Kapral-Piotrowska, J. Four Types of TiO₂ Reduced the Growth of Selected Lactic Acid Bacteria Strains. *Foods* **2021**, *10*, 939. [CrossRef]
- 151. Liu, L.Y.; Sun, L.; Zhong, Z.T.; Zhu, J.; Song, H.Y. Effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles on intestinal commensal bacteria. *Nucl. Sci. Tech.* **2016**, *27*, 5. [CrossRef]
- 152. Zhang, S.; Jiang, X.; Cheng, S.; Fan, J.; Qin, X.; Wang, T.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, J.; Qiu, Y.; Qiu, J.; et al. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles via oral exposure leads to adverse disturbance of gut microecology and locomotor activity in adult mice. *Arch. Toxicol.* 2020, *94*, 1173–1190. [CrossRef]
- Ubeda, C.; Bucci, V.; Caballero, S.; Djukovic, A.; Toussaint, N.C.; Equinda, M.; Lipuma, L.; Ling, L.; Gobourne, A.; No, D.; et al. Intestinal microbiota containing Barnesiella species cures vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium colonization. *Infect. Immun.* 2013, *81*, 965–973. [CrossRef]
- 154. Kim, E.; Ahn, H.; Park, H. A review on the role of gut microbiota in immune checkpoint blockade therapy for cancer. *Mamm. Genome* **2021**, *32*, 223–231. [CrossRef]
- Butler, K.S.; Casey, B.J.; Garborcauskas, G.V.; Dair, B.J.; Elespuru, R.K. Assessment of titanium dioxide nanoparticle effects in bacteria: Association, uptake, mutagenicity, co-mutagenicity and DNA repair inhibition. *Mutat. Res. Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagenesis* 2014, 768, 14–22. [CrossRef]

- 156. Carroll, I.M.; Andrus, J.M.; Bruno-Bárcena, J.M.; Klaenhammer, T.R.; Hassan, H.M.; Threadgill, D.S. Anti-inflammatory properties of Lactobacillus gasseri expressing manganese superoxide dismutase using the interleukin 10-deficient mouse model of colitis. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 2007, 293, G729–G738. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 157. Chen, Z.; Zhou, D.; Han, S.; Zhou, S.; Jia, G. Hepatotoxicity and the role of the gut-liver axis in rats after oral administration of titanium dioxide nanoparticles. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* **2019**, *16*, 48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 158. Chen, L.; Guo, Y.; Hu, C.; Lam, P.K.S.; Lam, J.C.W.; Zhou, B. Dysbiosis of gut microbiota by chronic coexposure to titanium dioxide nanoparticles and bisphenol A: Implications for host health in zebrafish. *Environ. Pollut.* 2018, 234, 307–317. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 159. Li, J.; Yang, S.; Lei, R.; Gu, W.; Qin, Y.; Ma, S.; Chen, K.; Chang, Y.; Bai, X.; Xia, S.; et al. Oral administration of rutile and anatase TiO₂ nanoparticles shifts mouse gut microbiota structure. *Nanoscale* **2018**, *10*, 7736–7745. [CrossRef]
- Butler, M.; Boyle, J.J.; Powell, J.J.; Playford, R.J.; Ghosh, S. Dietary microparticles implicated in Crohn's disease can impair macrophage phagocytic activity and act as adjuvants in the presence of bacterial stimuli. *Inflamm. Res.* 2007, 56, 353–361. [CrossRef]
- 161. Ogugbue, C.J.; Morad, N.; Sawidis, T.; Oranusi, N.A. Decolorization and partial mineralization of a polyazo dye by Bacillus firmus immobilized within tubular polymeric gel. *3 Biotech* **2012**, *2*, 67–78. [CrossRef]
- 162. Sperandio, F.F.; Huang, Y.Y.; Hamblin, M.R. Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy to kill Gram-negative bacteria. *Recent Pat. Anti-Infect. Drug Discov.* **2013**, *8*, 108–120. [CrossRef]
- 163. Bouvard, V.; Loomis, D.; Guyton, K.Z.; Grosse, Y.; Ghissassi, F.E.; Benbrahim-Tallaa, L.; Guha, N.; Mattock, H.; Straif, K. Carcinogenicity of consumption of red and processed meat. *Lancet Oncol.* **2015**, *16*, 1599–1600. [CrossRef]
- 164. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. In *Ingested Nitrate and Nitrite, and Cyanobacterial Peptide Toxins;* International Agency for Research on Cance: Lyon, France, 2010.
- 165. McCann, D.; Barrett, A.; Cooper, A.; Crumpler, D.; Dalen, L.; Grimshaw, K.; Kitchin, E.; Lok, K.; Porteous, L.; Prince, E.; et al. Food additives and hyperactive behaviour in 3-year-old and 8/9-year-old children in the community: A randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2007, 370, 1560–1567. [CrossRef]
- 166. Pogoda, J.M.; Preston-Martin, S.; Howe, G.; Lubin, F.; Mueller, B.A.; Holly, E.A.; Filippini, G.; Peris-Bonet, R.; McCredie, M.R.; Cordier, S.; et al. An international case-control study of maternal diet during pregnancy and childhood brain tumor risk: A histology-specific analysis by food group. *Ann. Epidemiol.* 2009, *19*, 148–160. [CrossRef]
- 167. Tonacchera, M.; Pinchera, A.; Dimida, A.; Ferrarini, E.; Agretti, P.; Vitti, P.; Santini, F.; Crump, K.; Gibbs, J. Relative potencies and additivity of perchlorate, thiocyanate, nitrate, and iodide on the inhibition of radioactive iodide uptake by the human sodium iodide symporter. *Thyroid* 2004, 14, 1012–1019. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mao, X.; Yang, Q.; Chen, D.; Yu, B.; He, J. Benzoic Acid Used as Food and Feed Additives Can Regulate Gut Functions. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2019, 2019, 5721585. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 169. Guggenbuhl, P.; Séon, A.; Quintana, A.P.; Nunes, C.S. Effects of dietary supplementation with benzoic acid (VevoVitall[®]) on the zootechnical performance, the gastrointestinal microflora and the ileal digestibility of the young pig. *Livest. Sci.* 2007, 108, 218–221. [CrossRef]
- 170. Silva Júnior, C.D.; Martins, C.C.S.; Dias, F.T.F.; Sitanaka, N.Y.; Ferracioli, L.B.; Moraes, J.E.; Pizzolante, C.C.; Budiño, F.E.L.; Pereira, R.; Tizioto, P.; et al. The use of an alternative feed additive, containing benzoic acid, thymol, eugenol, and piperine, improved growth performance, nutrient and energy digestibility, and gut health in weaned piglets. *J. Anim. Sci.* 2020, *98*, skaa119. [CrossRef]
- 171. Hrncirova, L.; Hudcovic, T.; Sukova, E.; Machova, V.; Trckova, E.; Krejsek, J.; Hrncir, T. Human gut microbes are susceptible to antimicrobial food additives in vitro. *Folia Microbiol.* **2019**, *64*, 497–508. [CrossRef]
- Peng, Q.; Chang, H.; Wang, R.; You, Z.; Jiang, S.; Ma, C.; Huo, D.; Zhu, X.; Zhang, J. Potassium sorbate suppresses intestinal microbial activity and triggers immune regulation in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Food Funct. 2019, 10, 7164–7173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 173. Irwin, S.V.; Fisher, P.; Graham, E.; Malek, A.; Robidoux, A. Sulfites inhibit the growth of four species of beneficial gut bacteria at concentrations regarded as safe for food. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0186629. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 174. Coll Ferrer, M.C.; Dastgheyb, S.; Hickok, N.J.; Eckmann, D.M.; Composto, R.J. Designing nanogel carriers for antibacterial applications. *Acta Biomater.* 2014, *10*, 2105–2111. [CrossRef]
- 175. Salem, H.M.; Ismael, E.; Shaalan, M. Evaluation of the Effects of Silver Nanoparticles Against Experimentally Induced Necrotic Enteritis in Broiler Chickens. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2021**, *16*, 6783–6796. [CrossRef]
- 176. Das, P.; McDonald, J.A.K.; Petrof, E.O.; Allen-Vercoe, E.; Walker, V.K. Nanosilver-Mediated Change in Human Intestinal Microbiota. *J. Nanomed. Nanotechnol.* **2014**, *5*, 235.
- 177. van den Brule, S.; Ambroise, J.; Lecloux, H.; Levard, C.; Soulas, R.; De Temmerman, P.J.; Palmai-Pallag, M.; Marbaix, E.; Lison, D. Dietary silver nanoparticles can disturb the gut microbiota in mice. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* **2016**, *13*, 38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Williams, K.; Milner, J.; Boudreau, M.D.; Gokulan, K.; Cerniglia, C.E.; Khare, S. Effects of subchronic exposure of silver nanoparticles on intestinal microbiota and gut-associated immune responses in the ileum of Sprague-Dawley rats. *Nanotoxicology* 2015, 9, 279–289. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 179. Javurek, A.B.; Suresh, D.; Spollen, W.G.; Hart, M.L.; Hansen, S.A.; Ellersieck, M.R.; Bivens, N.J.; Givan, S.A.; Upendran, A.; Kannan, R.; et al. Gut Dysbiosis and Neurobehavioral Alterations in Rats Exposed to Silver Nanoparticles. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 2822. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Wilding, L.A.; Bassis, C.M.; Walacavage, K.; Hashway, S.; Leroueil, P.R.; Morishita, M.; Maynard, A.D.; Philbert, M.A.; Bergin, I.L. Repeated dose (28-day) administration of silver nanoparticles of varied size and coating does not significantly alter the indigenous murine gut microbiome. *Nanotoxicology* 2016, 10, 513–520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ma, Y.; Song, L.; Lei, Y.; Jia, P.; Lu, C.; Wu, J.; Xi, C.; Strauss, P.R.; Pei, D.-S. Sex dependent effects of silver nanoparticles on the zebrafish gut microbiota. *Environ. Sci. Nano* 2018, *5*, 740–751. [CrossRef]
- 182. Tian, X.; Jiang, X.; Welch, C.; Croley, T.R.; Wong, T.Y.; Chen, C.; Fan, S.; Chong, Y.; Li, R.; Ge, C.; et al. Bactericidal Effects of Silver Nanoparticles on Lactobacilli and the Underlying Mechanism. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *10*, 8443–8450. [CrossRef]
- 183. You, X.; Einson, J.E.; Lopez-Pena, C.L.; Song, M.; Xiao, H.; McClements, D.J.; Sela, D.A. Food-grade cationic antimicrobial ε-polylysine transiently alters the gut microbial community and predicted metagenome function in CD-1 mice. NPJ Sci. Food 2017, 1, 8. [CrossRef]
- 184. Brindisi, M.; Bouzidi, C.; Frattaruolo, L.; Loizzo, M.R.; Tundis, R.; Dugay, A.; Deguin, B.; Cappello, A.R.; Cappello, M.S. Chemical Profile, Antioxidant, Anti-Inflammatory, and Anti-Cancer Effects of Italian *Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn. Methanol Leaves Extracts. *Antioxidants* 2020, 9, 826. [CrossRef]
- 185. Yang, M.; Yin, Y.; Wang, F.; Bao, X.; Long, L.; Tan, B.; Yin, Y.; Chen, J. Effects of dietary rosemary extract supplementation on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, antioxidant capacity, intestinal morphology, and microbiota of weaning pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 2021, 99, skab237. [CrossRef]
- 186. Ou, J.; Huang, J.; Zhao, D.; Du, B.; Wang, M. Protective effect of rosmarinic acid and carnosic acid against streptozotocin-induced oxidation, glycation, inflammation and microbiota imbalance in diabetic rats. *Food Funct.* **2018**, *9*, 851–860. [CrossRef]
- 187. Guo, Y.; Xie, J.; Li, X.; Yuan, Y.; Zhang, L.; Hu, W.; Luo, H.; Yu, H.; Zhang, R. Antidepressant Effects of Rosemary Extracts Associate With Anti-inflammatory Effect and Rebalance of Gut Microbiota. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *9*, 1126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]