# **Receptor-bound Somatostatin and Epidermal Growth Factor Are Processed Differently in GH4C1 Rat Pituitary Cells**

## David H. Presky, and Agnes Schonbrunn

Department of Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, and Laboratory of Toxicology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

*Abstract.* GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells, a clonal strain of rat pituitary tumor cells, have high-affinity, functional receptors for the inhibitory hypothalamic peptide somatostatin (SRIF) and for epidermal growth factor (EGF). In this study we have examined the events that follow the initial binding of SRIF to its specific plasma membrane receptors in  $GH_4C_1$  cells and have compared the processing of receptor-bound SRIF with that of EGF. When cells were incubated with  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF at temperatures ranging from 4 to 37"C, >80% of the specifically bound peptide was removed by extraction with 0.2 M acetic acid, 0.5 M NaC1, pH 2.5. In contrast, the subeellular distribution of receptor-bound  $125$ I-EGF was temperature dependent. Whereas  $>95\%$ of specifically bound  $^{125}$ I-EGF was removed by acid treatment after a 4"C binding incubation, <10% was removed when the binding reaction was performed at 22 or 37"C. In pulse-chase experiments, receptorbound  $^{125}$ I-EGF was transferred from an acid-sensitive to an acid-resistant compartment with a half-time of 2 min at 37°C. In contrast, the small amount of  $[1^{25}I-$ Tyr<sup>1</sup> SRIF that was resistant to acid treatment did not increase during a 2-h chase incubation at 37"C. Chromatographic analysis of the radioactivity released

from cells during dissociation incubations at 37"C showed that  $>90\%$  of prebound <sup>125</sup>I-EGF was released as  $^{125}$ I-tyrosine, whereas prebound  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF was released as a mixture of intact peptide  $(55\%)$  and <sup>125</sup>Ityrosine (45%). Neither chloroquine (0.1 mM), ammonium chloride (20 mM), nor leupeptin (0.1 mg/ml) increased the amount of  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF bound to cells at 37"C. Furthermore, chloroquine and leupeptin did not alter the rate of dissociation or degradation of prebound  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF. In contrast, these inhibitors increased the amount of cell-associated  $^{125}$ I-EGF during 37"C binding incubations and decreased the subsequent rate of release of <sup>125</sup>I-tyrosine.

The results presented indicate that, as in other cell types, EGF underwent rapid receptor-mediated endocytosis in  $GH_4C_1$  cells and was subsequently degraded in lysosomes. In contrast, SRIF remained at the cell surface for several hours although it elicits its biological effects within minutes. Furthermore, a constant fraction of the receptor-bound  $[$ <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup> ] SRIF was degraded at the cell surface before dissociation. Therefore, after initial binding of  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF and  $1^{25}I$ -EGF to their specific membrane receptors, these peptides are processed very differently in  $\text{GH}_4\text{C}_1$  cells.

**S OMATOSTATIN (SRIF)<sup>1</sup>** is a 14 amino acid peptide that inhibits secretion by a large variety of pituitary, pancreatic, and gastrointestinal target cells (28). The bestinhibits secretion by a large variety of pituitary, pandocumented physiological function for SRIF is in the control of growth hormone secretion by the pituitary gland (28).  $G_HC_1$  cells, a clonal strain of rat pituitary tumor cells that synthesize and secrete both growth hormone and prolactin, provide a homogeneous population of pituitary target cells for studies of SRIF action (33, 40). These cells have specific, high-affinity membrane receptors for SRIF that mediate the inhibitory effects of the peptide on hormone secretion (32, 33, 35). Inhibition of secretagogue-stimulated hormone release by SRIF results from its inhibition of secretagoguestimulated adenylate cyclase activity (19) and cyclic AMP production (9, 10). In addition, SRIF inhibition of basal hormone secretion may result from a reduction in intracellular calcium levels (10, 20, 31). However, although SRIF receptors have been characterized in GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells, as well as in other cell types, little is known about the processing of receptor-bound SRIF after the initial binding event at the plasma membrane.

All peptide ligands examined to date have been shown to interact first with membrane receptors on the cell surface. Thereafter many peptides are rapidly internalized by receptormediated endocytosis and subsequently degraded in lysosomes (1, 14, 23, 25). Therefore, the importance of this internalization process in eliciting a specific biological response and in regulating target cell sensitivity to peptide hormones and growth factors is of general interest. In the

*Abbreviations used in this paper:* EGF, epidermal growth factor; F10-1h, Ham's Fl0 medium supplemented with 5 mg/ml lactalbumin hydrolysate; SRIF, somatostatin; TLCK, Na-p-tosyl-L-lysine chloromethyl ketone; TPCK, L-1tosylamide 2-phenylethyl chloromethyl ketone.

present study we have examined the processing events that follow the surface binding of  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF in GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells. Because  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF appeared to be degraded by an unusual, nonlysosomal mechanism, we examined the processing of receptor-bound  $^{125}$ I-epidermal growth factor in parallel experiments. We have previously shown that in  $GH_4C_1$  cells epidermal growth factor (EGF) stimulates prolactin release and synthesis, inhibits growth hormone production, and, unlike its mitogenic effect in most other target ceils, reduces the rate of cell proliferation (34). In this report we demonstrate that, as in other cell types  $(1, 14, 23, 25)$ ,  $^{125}$ I-EGF is initially bound to surface receptors at 37"C and subsequently undergoes receptor-mediated endoeytosis and lysosomal degradation. In contrast, under the same conditions,  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF is not internalized, and lysosomal proteases do not play a role in receptor-mediated  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF degradation. A preliminary report of some of these results has been presented (27).

## *Materials and Methods*

#### *Materials*

Materials were obtained from the following sources: synthetic SRIF, [Tyr<sup>1</sup>]-SRIF, thyrotropin-releasing hormone, and bombesin from Bachem, Torrance, CA: EGF from ICN Biomedicals, Inc., Cambridge, MA; insulin, chloroquine, leupeptin, tyrosine, L- l-tosylamide 2-phenylethyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK), Nc~-p-tosyl-L-lysine chloromethyl ketone *(TLCK),* iodoacetamide, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, bacitracin, soybean trypsin inhibitor, puromycin,  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin, activated charcoal, T70 dextran, and N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-*N'-2-ethanesulfonic* acid (Hepes) from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO; chloromercuribenzoate from Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, WI; chloramine T from Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY; [fructose-1-3H(N)]sucrose (10.8 Ci/mmol) and 2-deoxy-[1,2-<sup>3</sup>H]glucose (37 Ci/mmol) from New England Nuclear, Boston, MA; Na<sup>125</sup>I (17 Ci/mg) and lactalbumin hydrolysate from I.C.N. Nutritional Biochemicals, Cleveland, OH; Sep-Pak C<sub>18</sub> cartridges from Waters Associates, Milford, MA; Ham's FI0 culture medium and sera from Gibco, Grand Island, NY; and culture plates from Falcon Labware, Oxnard, CA. Phosphoramidon was the kind gift of Dr. A. Goldberg, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA.

#### *Cell Culture*

The properties of the  $GH_4C_1$  clonal rat pituitary tumor cell strain and the method of its culture have been described previously (32, 33, 40). Experiments were performed using 35-mm dishes inoculated at a density of  $2-3 \times 10^5$  cells/ dish from a single donor cell suspension and grown under identical conditions in 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>/95%$  air at 37°C. The culture medium was replaced every 3-4 d, and experiments were conducted 1.5-2 wk after plating.

#### *Radioiodination of Peptides and Tyrosine*

 $[$ <sup>125</sup>l-Tyr<sup>1</sup>JSRIF was prepared by chloramine T iodination as described previously (32). The reaction mixture was purified on a Sephadex G-25 column eluted with 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in 0.087 M acetic acid. This chromatographic procedure separates uniodinated from radiolabeled peptide and yields monoiodo[Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF with a specific activity of  $\sim$ 2,200 Ci/mmol.

<sup>125</sup>I-EGF was also prepared by chloramine T iodination and was purified by chromatography on a Sephadex G-50 column eluled with 0.05 M NaPO4, 0.075 M NaCl, 0.1% BSA, pH 7.5 (4). The minimum specific activity of  $^{125}$ I-EGF was caicuiated assuming complete recovery of peptide and varied between 1,200 and 2,000 Ci/mmol.

Tyrosine was radioiodinated by the chloramine T method as described previously (42). The minimum specific activity of the  $^{125}$ I-tyrosine was calculated to be 1.3 Ci/mmol.

#### *Measurement of Peptide Binding to Cells*

Binding studies at 37"C were performed in Ham's FI0 medium supplemented with 5 mg/ml lactalbumin hydrolysate (F10-lh). Experiments conducted at 22 and 4"C were performed in Fl0-1h lacking sodium bicarbonate and buffered with 20 mM Hepes at pH 7.4 (Hepes-F10-lh). The binding of both  $[^{125}I Tyr^{1}$  SRIF and <sup>125</sup>I-EGF to GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>t</sub> cells were determined as described previously (32, 42). In brief, cells were equilibrated to the temperature of the binding reaction in 1.0 ml of the appropriate medium, and then  $[^{125}1-Tyr^4]$ SRIF or  $^{125}1-$ EGF was added to a final concentration of  $~100,000$  cpm/ml. The cells were incubated either at  $37^{\circ}$ C in a  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -air incubator or at the indicated temperature in air. At the end of the binding incubation cells were rapidly rinsed with 4"C saline and dissolved in 0.7 ml of I N NaOH. Cell-associated radioactivity was determined in a Beckman 8000 Gamma counter (Beckman Instruments Inc., Palo Alto, CA) at a counting efficiency of 60%. The binding of peptide to specific, saturable receptors (specific binding) was calculated as the difference between the amount of radiolabeled peptide bound in the absence (total binding) and presence (nonspecific binding) of excess unlabeled pepide. Nonspecific  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>JSRIF and <sup>125</sup>I-EGF binding were measured in the presence of 100 nM SRIF and 10 nM EGF, respectively, and composed 25% of total [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF binding and 5% of total <sup>125</sup>I-EGF binding. Values for specific binding are reported in all experiments unless otherwise specified. The standard error for specific binding was calculated by taking the square root of the sum of the squares of the standard errors for total and nonspecific binding.

## *Measurement of 2-Deoxy-[1,2-3H]-Glucose and [Fructose- l-3 H (N)]Sucrose Uptake*

Deoxyglucose and sucrose uptake were measured under the same incubation conditions as peptide binding. After equilibration at 37"C, cells were incubated with 3  $\mu$ Ci/ml of 2-deoxy-[1,2-<sup>3</sup>H]-glucose or 5  $\mu$ Ci/ml of [fructose-1-<sup>3</sup>H(N)]sucrose for 60 min. The cells were subsequently rinsed rapidly with cold saline, incubated for an additional 10 min at  $37^{\circ}$ C to allow surface-bound deoxyglucose or sucrose to dissociate, and then dissolved in 0.8 ml of 1% Nonidet P-40. The cell-associated radioactivity was measured in 14 ml Aquasol with a Beckman LS7000 scintilation counter at a counting efficiency of 30%.

#### *Extraction of Cell-associated Radioactivity*  with *Acid*/Salt

The procedure of Haigler et al. (15) was used to determine the cellular distribution of specifically bound peptides. After the appropriate incubations with radiolabeled peptide, cells were washed with cold saline and treated with 1.0 ml of 4\*C 0.2 M acetic acid, 0.5 M NaCI, pH 2.5 (acid/salt). After 3-5 min at 4"C, the extract was collected, the cells were rinsed with an additional 1 ml of acid/salt solution, and the two extracts were combined. Cells were then dissolved in 0.8 ml of 1 N NaOH. The radioactivity in both the acid/salt extract and the base solubilized cell residue was measured. All values were corrected to represent specific binding as described above.

## *Measurement of*  $\int$ <sup>125</sup>*I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>JSRIF Binding to Membranes*

 $GH_{4}C_{1}$  cell membranes were prepared by the procedure of Koch and Schonbrunn (19). Freshly thawed cell membranes were washed twice and resuspended in cold Tris buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 2 mM  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ , 2 mM EDTA, pH 7.6) at a protein concentration of  $\sim$ 1 mg/ml. The membranes were Teflon on glass homogenized at 4"C, and an equal volume of cold 50 mM Tris-HC1, 12 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM EDTA, 2% BSA, 4 U/ml bacitracin, pH 7.6, was added. The binding reaction was carried out in 1 ml of this buffer containing  $\sim$ 150  $\mu$ g membrane protein and 100,000 cpm [125I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF. After 90 min at 37°C, the membranes were diluted with 3 vol 50 mM Tris-HCl, 7 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM EDTA, 2 U/ml bacitracin, pH 7.6 (binding buffer) containing 1% BSA and collected by centrifuging at 40,000 g for 10 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C. The supernatants were removed, and the amount of radioactivity in the membrane pellet was determined. Specific [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF binding was calculated as described for experiments with intact cells and represented 50-70% of total membrane binding.

For experiments examining the dissociation of membrane-bound  $[$ <sup>125</sup>1-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF, the membranes were washed twice by centrifugation at  $10,000$  g for 25 min at 4 $\degree$ C and then were incubated at 37 $\degree$ C in binding buffer containing 0.05% BSA for the indicated period. At the end of this dissociation incubation the membranes were centrifuged at  $40,000$  g for 10 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C, and the amount of radioactivity in the supernatant and in the pellet was determined.

## *Analysis of Radiolabeled Peptide Degradation*

Degradation of <sup>125</sup>I-EGF was assessed by chromatography on Bio-Gel P-2 columns eluted with 0.05 M NaPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.075 M NaCl, 0.1% BSA, pH 7.5.  $^{125}$ I-EGF and <sup>125</sup>I-tyrosine standards were run under the same conditions as experimental samples and eluted as single peaks.

Degradation of  $[{}^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF was determined using a modification of the method of Bohlen et al. (3). Samples were applied to Sep-Pak  $C_{18}$  reversed phase cartridges prewashed with 10 ml methanol and 10 ml water. Na<sup>125</sup>I was eluted with 5 ml of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid,  $^{125}$ I-tyrosine was eluted with two 5-ml aliquots of  $0.1\%$  trifluoroacetic acid in 25% methanol, and  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>JSRIF was eluted with two 5-ml aliquots of  $0.1\%$  trifluoroacetic acid in 80% methanol. Recovery of radioactivity from columns was >90%.

The radioactive materials eluted from Sep-Pak  $C_{18}$  cartridges were further analyzed by high pressure liquid chromatography to determine whether they co-chromatographed with intact [125]-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF or <sup>125</sup>I-tyrosine. Samples eluted from the Sep-pak cartridges were evaporated to dryness on a Savant SpeedVac Concentrator (Savant Instruments, Inc., Hicksville, NY), redissolved in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, and applied to a Supelco Supelcosil LC-18 reversed-phase column ( $25 \times 4.6$  mm; Supelco, Inc., Bellefonte, PA). The column was eluted at a flow rate of I ml/min with a 45-min linear gradient of0.1% trifluoroacetic acid to  $0.1\%$  trifluoroacetic acid in  $80\%$  acetonitrile/20% water.  $[1^{25}]$ - $Tyr<sup>1</sup>$ SRIF and  $^{125}$ I-tyrosine standards were carried through the same protocol as experimental samples and eluted as single peaks.

## *Measurement of*  $\int_1^{125} I-Tyr^1$  *SRIF Binding to Cytosolic Proteins*

The procedure of Reyl et al. (29, 30) was adapted for the detection of cytosolic  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF binding proteins. GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells were homogenized in 250 mM sucrose, 0.1 mg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4. The cell homogenate was centrifuged at  $100,000$  g for 60 min at 4°C to remove all particulate material. Aliquots of the supernatant (0.25-0.6 mg protein) were incubated with  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF (50,000 cpm) for 15 min at 22°C in a total volume of 0.5 ml. One ml of cold 0.25% charcoal, 0.025% T70 dextran, 0.5% BSA in 50 mM Tris-HCI, pH 7.4, was then added, and the suspension was centrifuged at 2,500 g for 10 min at 4"C. This charcoal/dextran adsorption procedure removes 99% of unbound [1251-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF from solution. The amount of  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF bound to cytosolic proteins was determined as the radioactivity remaining in the supernatant after eharcoal/dextran precipitation. As in all other binding experiments, both total and nonspecific binding were determined.

#### *Measurement of Cell Number and Protein*

Cell number was determined as described by Schonbrunn et al. (34). Experiments were performed at a cell density of  $1.5-2 \times 10^6$  cells/35 mm dish. Cell protein was measured by the Pcterson modification (26) of the Lowry method using bovine albumin as a standard.

#### *Results*

#### *Cellular Distribution of Receptor-bound Peptides*

Both morphological and biochemical studies have shown that fibroblasts internalize EGF by receptor-mediated endocytosis within minutes of receptor occupancy at physiologic temperatures (1, 4, 14, 15, 23, 25). To determine whether receptorbound SRIF was processed by a similar pathway, we have used an acid extraction protocol originally developed by Haigler et al. for the rapid quantitation of surface bound and internalized  $^{125}$ I-EGF (15). We have previously used this protocol to demonstrate that in  $GH_4C_1$  cells, as in fibroblasts, receptor-bound EGF is transformed from an acid-extractable to an acid-resistant state within 10 min at 37"C (42). The experiment in Table I was carried out to determine the cellular distribution of  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF bound at different temperatures.

 $GH_4C_1$  cells were incubated with  $[{}^{125}I-Tyr'$ ]SRIF at various temperatures and then treated with cold acid/salt as described in Materials and Methods (Table I). Unexpectedly,  $86 \pm 4\%$ of the specifically bound  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF was acid extractable regardless of the temperature of the binding incubation. In contrast, the distribution of receptor-bound  $^{125}$ I-EGF after similar binding incubations was markedly temperature dependent: the fraction of specifically bound <sup>125</sup>I-EGF that was dissociated by acid after 2-h binding incubations at either 4 or 37"C were 97 and 6%, respectively.

To evaluate the extent of cellular leakage caused by acid

*Table I. Effect of Binding Temperature on the Distribution of [125 I\_ Tyr I]SRIF* 



 $GH_{4}C_{1}$  cells were incubated with  $[^{125}I-Tyr^{1}]$ SRIF (102,000 cpm/ml, 35 pM) under the conditions shown. The amount of specifically bound peptide was then determined before (total) and after acid/salt (A/S resistant) treatment of the cells with 0.2 M acetic acid/0.5 M NaCl, pH 2.5, for 2-3 min at 4"C. \* Mean  $\pm$  SEM of triplicate determinations.

treatment, we measured the effect of the acid extraction procedure on cell-associated 2-deoxy-[1,2-3H]-glucose. This glucose analogue is phosphorylated intracellularly and provides a useful marker for cytoplasmic constituents. After a 60-min binding incubation at  $37^{\circ}$ C, exposure of cells to cold 0.2 M acetic acid/0.5 M NaCl, pH 2.5, for 3 min removed  $>80\%$  of specifically bound  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF. However, in the same experiment, <10% of cell-associated 2-deoxyglucose was removed by acid extraction (data not shown). Furthermore, extraction periods of 30 s to 15 min gave identical results. Therefore, treatment of  $\text{GH}_{4}C_{1}$  cells with an acid solution dissociates most of the specifically bound  $[1^{25}]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup> SRIF without causing significant leakage of cytoplasmic contents.

The results in Table I indicated that if receptor-mediated internalization of  $[{}^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF occurred, its rate was much slower than that for  $^{125}I\text{-}EGF (42)$ . To examine this difference further, we determined the cellular distribution of bound peptides after different periods of binding at 37°C (Fig. l). After 2 min at 37°C, 80% of the specifically bound  $^{125}$ I-EGF was removed by acid treatment (Fig. 1, *inset).* However, the fraction of specifically bound 12SI-EGF that was resistant to acid extraction increased rapidly thereafter, and by 60 min <10% of the specifically bound radioactivity could be released (Fig. 1, *top*). In contrast,  $82 \pm 1\%$  of the specifically bound  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF was removed by acid treatment at all time points examined (Fig. 1, *bottom*). The acid-resistant [<sup>125</sup>]-Tyr<sup>1</sup> SRIF binding could not be accounted for by bulk pinocytosis of the binding medium. Measurement of [fructose- l- $3H(N)$ ]sucrose uptake showed the rate of bulk pinocytosis at 37°C to be 1.1  $\pm$  0.1 (n = 2) nl/min per 10<sup>6</sup> cells. This rate of pinocytosis could account for the internalization of <20 cpm of  $[$ <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF during the 60-min binding incubation.

The low steady state level of intracellular  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF could result from ligand internalization being followed rapidly by degradation and extrusion of radiolabeled degradation products. If this were the case, radioactivity would not accumulate intracellularly. Therefore, to examine directly the fate of surface-bound  $[{}^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF and  ${}^{125}I-EGF$ , we carried out pulse-chase experiments in which the redistribution of receptor-bound peptides between acid-sensitive and resistant compartments was monitored (Fig. 2). The binding of  $^{125}$ I-EGF was carried out at 4"C so that this peptide would remain at the cell surface. However, since the amount of  $[$ <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF bound to cells at 4"C was substantially less than that bound at higher temperatures, and the fraction of the bound radioactivity that was acid extractable was independent of the



*Figure 1.* Distribution of receptor-bound peptides after different periods of binding at 37°C.  $GH_4C_1$  cells were incubated at 37°C with either <sup>125</sup>I-EGF (99,500 cpm/ml, 47 pM) or  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF (155,000 cpm/ml, 53 pM). At the indicated times, cells were treated with 0.2 M acetic acid/0.5 M NaCI, pH 2.5, at 4"C, and the amount of specifically bound peptide removed by  $(O)$  and resistant to  $(Q)$  acid/ salt extraction was determined as described in Materials and Methods. Error bars represent the standard error of triplicate determinations for  $[{}^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF and duplicate determinations for  ${}^{125}I$ -EGF.

temperature of the binding incubation (Table I),  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ -SRIF binding was done at 37"C. After the binding incubations, 37"C medium without radiolabeled peptides was added to cells, and the distribution of receptor-bound peptides was determined as a function of time (Fig. 2).

Receptor-bound <sup>125</sup>I-EGF was rapidly transformed from an acid-extractable to an acid-resistant compartment at  $37^{\circ}C(t_{\frac{1}{2}})$  $= 2$  min). In contrast, the fraction of receptor-bound  $[^{125}I-$ Tyr<sup>1</sup>JSRIF present in an acid-resistant state remained at or below its initial value of 20% throughout a 90-min chase incubation at 37"C. Although the amount of acid-extractable  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF decreased during this period  $(t<sub>y</sub> = 60$  min), this decrease was due to dissociation of the peptide into the medium, not to its translocation into an acid-resistant compartment. The addition of a saturating concentration of SRIF (100 nM) to the chase medium did not alter the distribution of receptor-bound [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF (data not shown), demonstrating that the level of receptor occupancy did not influence the processing of receptor-bound ligand.

In summary, surface bound  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF was not redis-



*Figure 2.* Redistribution of surface-bound peptides at  $37^{\circ}$ C. GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells were incubated with either  $^{125}$ I-EGF (171,000 cpm/ml, 80 pM) for 5 h at 4°C or with  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF (157,000 cpm/ml, 54 pM) for 45 min at 37°C. After the binding reaction, the cells were rapidly rinsed with 4°C saline, and fresh 37°C F10-lh was added ( $t = 0$ ). At the indicated times the amount of specifically bound peptide removed by (O) and resistant to (Q) acid/salt *(A/S)* extraction was determined as described in Materials and Methods. Specific binding at  $t = 0$  was 29,660  $\pm$  690 cpm for <sup>125</sup>I-EGF and 9,752  $\pm$  202 cpm for [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>JSRIF. Error bars represent the standard error of duplicate determinations.

tributed in pulse-chase experiments. In addition, the fraction of receptor-bound [125]-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF that was acid-resistant was always small (<20%) and did not vary with either the temperature or the duration of the binding incubation. These data argue strongly for the conclusion that the l0 to 20% of [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF binding that was acid resistant results from incomplete dissociation under the conditions of the extraction procedure. Therefore, our results indicate that in  $GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub>$  cells, receptor-bound  $^{125}$ I-EGF is rapidly internalized whereas [ $^{125}$ I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF is not.

In addition to EGF, three other peptides also appear to undergo rapid receptor-mediated endocytosis in  $GH_4C_1$  cells: thyrotropin releasing hormone (17), bombesin (42), and insulin (7). However, the addition of saturating concentrations of these peptides to the chase medium did not alter the fraction of receptor-bound  $[$ <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF that was dissociated by acid after either 30- or 60-min incubations at 37°C (data not shown). Thus, simulataneous, rapid endocytosis of heterologous peptides do not affect the cellular distribution of receptor-bound [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF.

## *Degradation of Receptor-bound Peptides*

The lack of internalization of receptor-bound  $[1^{25}]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF

#### Table II. Degradation of Receptor-bound  $\int_1^{125} I-Tvr^1/SRIF$



GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells were incubated at 37°C with [<sup>125</sup>]-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF (150,000 cpm/ml, 51 pM) in the presence or absence of 100 nM SRIF. After 60 min, the binding medium was removed, and the cells were rapidly rinsed in 4°C saline before being divided into two groups. One group was immediately extracted with 1 ml 0.2 M acetic acid/0.5 M NaCl, pH 2.5, for 3 min at 4°C (Cell extract). The other group was incubated for an additional 60 min at 37°C in 1.0 ml fresh F10-lh medium to allow dissociation of cell-associated radioactivity (dissociation medium). Samples and standards were analyzed by chromatography on reverse-phase minicolumns as described in Materials and Methods. Fractions I, II, and III represent the radioactivity eluted with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, in 0, 25, and 80% methanol, respectively. Recovery of radioactivity from the columns was >95% for all samples. Errors were  $\pm 2$ % for triplicate determinations.

\* Mean  $\pm$  SEM of duplicate determinations.

suggested that the binding of this peptide to its plasma membrane receptor should be truly reversible, resulting in the release of intact  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF into the medium. Two chromatographic procedures were used to examine directly the nature of the [125]-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF-derived material that dissociated from cells. Stepwise elution of reverse-phase minicolumns was used to achieve a rapid and convenient separation of intact  $[{}^{125}I$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF from its probable degradation product, <sup>125</sup>I-tyrosine (Table II). High-performance liquid chromatography was performed to provide better resolution between intact  $[1^{25}]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF and possible intermediate peptide degradation products (Fig. 3).

After incubation of  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF with GH<sub>4</sub>C, cells for 60 min at 37°C, the specifically bound radioactivity extracted from cells with acid/salt co-eluted with intact  $[1^{25}]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF both on minicolumns (Table II) and high-performance liquid chromatography (Fig. 3 C). Therefore,  $[$ <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF specifically bound at the surface of cells represents intact peptide. In contrast, the specifically bound radioactivity released into the medium during a subsequent 60-min incubation at 37°C chromatographed as  $\sim 50\%$  intact peptide and 50% <sup>125</sup>I-tyrosine (Table II and Fig. 3,  $A$  and  $B$ ). This degradation was not due to instability of the peptide in the medium since  $[1^{25}]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup> $|SRIF$  incubated in F10-lh for 60 min under the same conditions remained 95% intact (Table II). Furthermore, 90% of the nonspecifically bound  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF dissociated as intact peptide (Table II), indicating that the degradation must be a receptor-mediated process.

We next measured the rates of release of both intact  $[1^{25}]$ - $Tyr'$  SRIF and  $^{125}$ I-tyrosine from cells to determine whether radioactivity was released primarily as intact peptide early and as degradation products later. The results shown in Fig. 4 demonstrate that the rates of release of intact  $[125]$ - $Tyr'$ ]SRIF and  $^{125}$ I-tyrosine from cellular receptors were indistinguishable: receptor-bound [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF was released as  $\sim$  50% intact peptide and  $\sim$  50% <sup>125</sup>I-tyrosine at all time points examined. In contrast, when cells were incubated with  $^{125}$ I-EGF for 60 min at 37°C, the radioactivity released during a subsequent 60-min incubation at 37°C chromatographed as  $>90\%$  <sup>125</sup>I-tyrosine (data not shown). These results are consistent with the fate of receptor-bound '25I-EGF in other cell types: rapid internalization of the peptide is followed by



*Figure 3.* Identification of the degradation products of receptor-bound  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^4]$ SRIF by HPLC. GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells were incubated with  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^4]$ Tyr<sup>1</sup> SRIF for 60 min at  $37^{\circ}$ C. After the binding incubation, the cells were rapidly rinsed in 4"C saline, incubated for 5 min at 37"C to allow  $>75\%$  of the nonspecifically bound  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF to dissociate, and then divided into two groups. One group was immediately extracted with 0.2 M acetic acid/0.5 M NaCI (cell extract). The other group was incubated for an additional 60 min at  $37^{\circ}$ C in fresh medium to allow dissociation of cell-associated radioactivity (release medium). Samples were first purified by stepwise elution on reverse-phase minicolumns and then analyzed by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography as described in Materials and Methods. A and B show the elution profiles of the release media fractions eluted from reverse-phase minicolumns with 25% methanol/0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (fraction II, 7,100 cpm) and 80% methanol/0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (fraction Ill, 7,000 cpm), respectively. C represents the elution profile of the cell extract fraction eluted from Sep-Pak minicolumns with 80% methanol/0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (fraction III, 24,000 cpm). The retention times of  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]S$  "" and  $^{125}I-tyrosine$  standards are shown by arrows. Recovery for all samples was >85%.

degradation and subsequent extrusion of  $^{125}$ I-tyrosine from cells (1, 14, 23, 25).

The results presented demonstrate that [125]-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF is degraded upon dissociation from cellular receptors without prior internalization. Therefore, in marked contrast to the lysosomal degradation previously reported for  $^{125}I\text{-}EGF$  and other internalized peptide ligands, receptor-mediated degradation of  $[{}^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF is more likely to occur at the plasma membrane than in lysosomes.

### *Effect of Lysosomal lnhibitors on Peptide Binding and Processing*

To evaluate further the role of lysosomal enzymes in the degradation of receptor-bound peptides in  $\text{GH}_{4}C_{1}$  cells we



*Figure 4.* Time course for the release of  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF and  $^{125}I$ tyrosine from  $GH_4C_1$  cells.  $GH_4C_1$  cells were incubated with  $[1^{25}I-$ Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF (136,000 cpm/ml, 46 pM) for 60 min at 37°C. After the binding incubation, the cells were rinsed with 4<sup>o</sup>C saline ( $t = 0$ ) and then incubated at 37°C for the indicated times. The radioactivity accumulated in the medium was analyzed by chromatography on reverse-phase minicolumns as described in Materials and Methods. The amount of radioactivity eluted with 25% methanol/0.1% trifluoroacetic acid  $(\bullet)$  (co-chromatographing with  $^{125}$ I-tyrosine) and with 80% methanol/0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (O) (co-chromatographing with intact  $[{}^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF) are shown. All values have been corrected for nonspecific binding. Specific binding at  $t = 0$  was 7,382  $\pm$  20 cpm. Error bars represent the standard error of duplicate determinations.

determined the effects of the lysosomal inhibitors chloroquine, ammonium chloride, and leupeptin on the binding of  $^{125}$ I-EGF and  $[$ <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF and the subsequent release of their degradation products. Chloroquine and ammonium chloride inhibit lysosomal proteolysis by reducing the transmembrane pH gradient of lysosomes. Leupeptin is a specific inhibitor of thiol proteases, including cathepsin B. The results in Fig. 5 and Table III show that chloroquine and leupeptin increased the amount of cell-associated '25I-EGF during prolonged 37°C binding incubations. However, neither inhibitor



*Figure 5.* Effect of leupeptin and chloroquine on peptide binding.  $GH_4C_1$  cells were preincubated at 37°C for 60 min either in F10-lh alone ( $\bullet$ ) or with 0.1 mg/ml leupeptin ( $\circ$ ) or 0.1 mM chloroquine ( $\triangle$ ). <sup>125</sup>I-EGF (165,000 cpm/ml, 77 pM) or  $[^{125}$ I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>JSRIF (140,000 cpm/ml, 48 pM) were then added, and the incubations were continued at 37"C. At the times shown, the amount of specifically bound peptides was determined as described in Materials and Methods. Error bars represent the standard error of duplicate determinations.

*Table III. Effect of Leupeptin and Chloroquine on*  $\int^{125} I - Tyr^1$  *[SRIF Processing* 

Chase incubation		[ <sup>125</sup> I-Tyr <sup>1</sup> ]SRIF bound			
Time	Treatment	Total	A/S resistant	% A/S resistant	% Intact peptide
min		$\mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{m}^*$	$com^*$		
$\bf{0}$	Control	$10,677 \pm 463$	$1,675 \pm 98$	16	
	Leupeptin	$9,346 \pm 92$	$1,472 \pm 8$	16	
	Chloroquine	$5.215 \pm 131$	$952 + 43$	18	
30	Control	$6.150 \pm 151$	$1.144 \pm 44$	9	
	Leupeptin	$5.537 \pm 28$	$1.031 \pm 19$		
	Chloroquine	$3.491 \pm 76$	$800 \pm 27$	23	
60	Control	$3,110 \pm 301$	$602 \pm 34$	9	64
	Leupeptin	$3.385 \pm 212$	$777 \pm 85$	23	65
	Chloroquine	$1,725 \pm 146$	$505 \pm 59$	29	68

GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells were preincubated at 37°C with 0.1 mg/ml leupeptin for 60 min or 0.1 mM chloroquine for 30 min. [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF (150,000 cpm/ml, 51 pM) was<br>then added, and the incubations were continued for 60 min at 3 -sensitive compartments was determined either immediately after the binding incubation ( $t = 0$ ) or after an additional 30- or 60-min incubation in fresh 37°C medium containing the appropriate inhibitor. The nature of the radioactivity released during the 60-min chase incubation at 37°C was determined by chromatography of the medium on reverse-phase minicolumns as described in Materials and Methods. The percent intact peptide was calculated from the ratio of the amount of radioactivity eluted from the columns with 80% methanol, 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid to the total radioactivity eluted. All values have been corrected for nonspecific binding.

\* Mean  $\pm$  SEM of duplicate or triplicate determinations.

increased the amount of  $[$ <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF bound to cells during a 4 to 6 h incubation at 37"C. In fact, chloroquine caused a marked decrease in  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF binding at all times examined. However, the effect of chloroquine to decrease ['25I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF binding is probably not a result of its lysosomotropic action. When lysosomal function was inhibited with  $20 \text{ mM ammonium chloride, the amount of } <sup>125</sup>I-EGF bound$ to cells during 4 h at 37"C was increased to 210% of untreated controls. In the same experiment [125]-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF binding was unaffected by the ammonium chloride.

The results in Fig. 6 show the effect of chloroquine on the rate of release of radioactivity after the binding of <sup>125</sup>I-EGF and  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF to cells. The half-time for the release of <sup>125</sup>I-tyrosine derived from receptor-bound <sup>125</sup>I-EGF was increased from 45 min in control cells to 150 min in chloro-



*Figure 6.* Effect of chloroquine on the release of cell-associated radioactivity.  $GH_4C_1$  cells were preincubated for 30 min at 37°C either in F10-lh alone  $\omega$  or in the presence of 0.1 mM chloroquine (O). Subsequently, either <sup>125</sup>I-EGF (125,000 cpm/ml, 59 pM) or  $[1^{25}]$ -Tyrl]SRIF (103,000 cpm/ml, 35 pM) was added, and the incubations were continued for 90 min for  $^{125}$ I-EGF and 60 min for  $[^{125}$ I-Tyr']SRIF. After the binding incubation, the cells were rapidly rinsed with 4<sup>°</sup>C saline, and the dissociation reaction was initiated at  $t = 0$ by the addition of fresh  $37^{\circ}$ C F10-lh medium in the continued absence or presence ofchloroquine. The amount of specifically bound peptide was determined at the indicated times as described in Materials and Methods. Specific binding before the initiation of the dissociation reactions was 7,131  $\pm$  67 cpm ( $\bullet$ ) and 3,949  $\pm$  325 cpm ( $\circ$ ) for [<sup>125</sup>]. Tyr<sup>1</sup>JSR1F and 13,810  $\pm$  210 ( $\bullet$ ) and 15,540  $\pm$  70 ( $\circ$ ) cpm for <sup>125</sup>I-EGF. Each point represents the mean of duplicate determinations. B, bound.

quine-treated cells. In contrast, the rate of release of radioactivity after  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF binding was not significantly altered by chloroquine treatment: the half-times were 49 and 41 min in the absence and presence of chloroquine, respectively. Similarly, 0.1 mg/ml leupeptin decreased the rate of release of <sup>125</sup>I-EGF derived radioactivity whereas the dissociation rate for  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF derived material was unchanged  $(t_{\nu_2} = 48 \text{ min}).$ 

We next determined the effects of chloroquine and leupeptin on the cellular distribution and subsequent degradation of specifically bound  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF (Table III). Neither chloroquine nor leupeptin changed the ratio of acid-extractable to acid-resistant  $[1^{25}I-Tvr^1]SRIF$  after a 60-min binding incubation at 37"C. Furthermore, neither agent altered the cellular distribution of  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF after a 30- or 60-min chase incubation at 37"C. Finally, neither inhibitor changed the ratio of intact  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF to  $^{125}I$ -tyrosine that was released by the cells.

The observation that chloroquine and leupeptin increased the steady state levels of  $^{125}$ I-EGF accumulated in GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells and decreased the subsequent rate of release of '25I-tyrosine indicates that, as in fibroblasts, internalized '25I-EGF was degraded in lysosomes. In contrast, these inhibitors did not increase the steady state accumulation of cellular  $[125]$ -Tyr']SRIF, nor did they alter the subcellular distribution or degradation of this peptide. Combined with the apparent lack of internalization of  $[{}^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF, these results provide compelling evidence for the conclusion that the degradation of specifically bound  $[$ <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF does not occur in lysosomes.

## *Degradation of*  $\int_1^{125} I - Tyr^1$  *[SRIF by GH4CI Cell Membranes*

To assay directly for membrane proteases that might be responsible for the degradation of receptor-bound  $[$ <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr']SRIF, we examined the nature of the radioactivity released after binding  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF to GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cell membranes. In contrast to intact cells, 85-90% of the specifically bound radioactivity released from membranes during dissociation incubations of 2-4 h chromatographed as intact  $[1^{25}]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF (Table IV). In addition, the amount of degradation was not affected by 100 nM SRIF. Nonspecifically bound peptide dissociated as  $82\%$  intact  $[^{125}I-Tvr^{1}]$ SRIF, showing the same small amount of degradation as specifically bound pcptide (data not shown). The observation that specific proteolysis of receptor-bound peptide did not occur in isolated

Dissociation incubation	<sup>125</sup> I bound	<sup>125</sup> I dissociated	% Intact $[$ <sup>125</sup> I-Tyr <sup>1</sup> ]SRIF <sup>‡</sup>
	$cm*$	$cpm$ <sup>*</sup>	
0	$21,449 \pm 750$		
2 <sub>h</sub>	$15,791 \pm 421$	$7.378 \pm 50$	90
4 h	$11,630 \pm 742$	$10,576 \pm 360$	87
$4 h + 100 nM$ SRIF	$9.695 \pm 849$	$10,791 \pm 343$	85

Table IV. Degradation of [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF Bound to Membranes

GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> membranes (150  $\mu$ g/ml) were incubated with [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF (342,000 cpm/ml, 120 pM) for 90 min at 37°C in the absence or presence of 100 nM SRIF as described in Materials and Methods. The membranes were then collected by centrifugation, washed twice, and resuspended in 37°C binding buffer containing 0.05% BSA with or without SRIF. After incubating at 37°C with shaking for the indicated amount of time the membranes were centrifuged at 40,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The nature of the dissociated material present in the supernatants was analyzed on Sep-Pak C<sub>18</sub> reverse-phase minicolumns, and the binding of  $\binom{125}{1}$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]-SRIF to the membranes were determined as described in Materials and Methods.

\* Mean  $\pm$  SEM of triplicate determinations.

<sup>#</sup> Percent dissociated <sup>125</sup>I.

membranes indicated either that intact cells were necessary for such degradation or that the proteases involved were inactivated during the process of membrane preparation.

## *Effect of Protease Inhibitors on [12~ l\_ Tyrt ]SRl F Degradation*

To characterize further the enzyme(s) responsible for the degradation of receptor-bound  $[$ <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF in cells we examined the effects of several protease inhibitors and unlabeled SRIF on peptide degradation. The presence of saturating concentrations of SRIF (100 nM and  $0.1$  mM) in the dissociation medium had only a slight effect on  $[1^{25}]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF degradation (Table V). Therefore this degradation was either catalyzed by a low-affinity enzyme or else receptor-bound peptide was not released into the medium before degradation. Bacitracin, a noncompetitive inhibitor of papain and subtilisin; phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, a general irreversible inhibitor of serine proteases; TLCK, an irreversible inhibitor of trypsin-like enzymes;  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin, which binds and inactivates a wide variety of proteases; and puromycin, an inhibitor of certain aminopeptidases, had the same small effect on receptor-mediated degradation as did 0.1 mM SRIF. However, TPCK, an inhibitor of chymotrypsin-like enzymes; the two sulfhydryl reagents iodoacetamide and chloromercuribenzoate; and phosphoramidon, which inhibits metalloendoproteases, substantially inhibited receptor-mediated degradation of  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF (Table V).

*Table V. Effect of Peptidase Inhibitors on Degradation of [12s L Tyr I]SRIF Bound to Cells* 

		125 <sub>T</sub>	% Intact $[$ <sup>125</sup> I-Tyr <sup>1</sup> ]-	
Treatment		released	<b>SRIF</b>	
		$cpm$ *		
Exp. 1 ( $B_{1-0} = 8,596 \pm 246$ )				
Control		$3,947 \pm 358$	54	
<b>SRIF</b>	$(100 \text{ nM})$	$4.362 \pm 104$	60	
	$(0.1 \text{ mM})$	$4.293 \pm 321$	65	
Bacitracin		$(0.5 \text{ mM})$ $5,190 \pm 501$	65	
PMSF		$(0.1 \text{ mM})$ $5.562 \pm 165$	63	
TLCK		$(0.1 \text{ mM})$ $6,357 \pm 484$	67	
<b>TPCK</b>		$(0.1 \text{ mM})$ 7.789 $\pm$ 105	87	
Iodoacetamide		$(1.0 \text{ mM})$ $6.834 \pm 286$	72	
Chloromercu- ribenzoate		$(0.1 \text{ mM})$ $6.810 \pm 224$	80	
Exp. 2 ( $B_{\text{tan}} = 8,064 \pm 48$ )				
Control		$2,686 \pm 130$	54	
$\alpha_2$ -Macroglobulin (50 µg/ml)		$2,217 \pm 44$	64	
Exp. 3 ( $B_{t=0} = 9,866 \pm 128$ )				
Control		$2,613 \pm 124$	52	
Puromycin	$(0.1 \text{ mM})$	$2.034 \pm 142$	56	
Phosphoramidon		$(0.1 \text{ mM})$ $1,703 \pm 45$	70	

GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells were incubated with  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF (115,000 cpm/ml, 39 pM) for 60 rain at 37"C. After the binding reaction, the cells were rinsed with 4"C saline and fresh 37°C F10-lh containing the indicated compounds was added  $(t = 0)$ . After 60 min at  $37^{\circ}$ C, the radioactivity released into the medium was measured and then analyzed 'by chromatography on reverse-phase minicolumns (see Materials and Methods). The percent intact peptide was calculated from the ratio of the amount of <sup>125</sup>l eluted from the columns with 80% methanol, 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (fraction lIl) to the total radioactivity eluted. All values have been corrected for nonspecific binding. For experiment 3, inhibitors were added 30 min before the initiation of the binding incubation. PMSF, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. B, bound.

\* Mean  $\pm$  SEM of triplicate determinations.

## Table VI. Binding of  $\int_1^{125} I - Tyr^1$  *SRIF to GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cytosol*



GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cell cytosol (255  $\mu$ g protein/0.5 ml) was incubated with [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF • (44,100 cpm/0.5 ml, 30 pM) in the absence (total binding) or presence (nonspecific binding) of 100 nM SRIF. After 15 min at 22°C, the amount of  $[$ <sup>1</sup> Tyr<sup>1</sup>JSRIF remaining free in solution was determined by charcoal-dextran adsorption as described in Materials and Methods. Both the amount of [125]-Tyr<sup>1</sup>JSRIF precipitated by charcoal and the amount remaining in solution were measured.

\* Mean  $\pm$  SEM of quadruplicate determinations.

## *Binding of [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF to Cytosolic Proteins*

Recent reports have suggested that an intracellular cytosolic receptor for SRIF accounts for most of the  $[1^{25}]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF binding in gastric mucosal and pancreatic cells (29, 30). These cytosolic receptors are reported to mediate stimulation of soluble phosphoprotein phosphatases by SRIF (29). However, our results indicate that receptor-bound SRIF is not internalized in  $GH_4C_1$  cells. Therefore, following procedures similar to those described by Reyl and Lewin (29), we have tried to identify saturable binding sites for  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF in GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cell cytosol. The results in Table VI show that the binding of  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup> SRIF to cytosolic proteins was not decreased by 100 nM unlabeled SRIF and therefore must represent binding to a low-affinity site. The lack of high-affinity binding sites for SRIF in  $GH_4C_1$  cell cytosol combined with the observation that receptor-bound SRIF remains at the cell surface while it inhibits hormone release indicate that the biological actions of SRIF in  $GH_4C_1$  cells are initiated at the plasma membrane.

### *Discussion*

In this study we have shown that receptor-bound SRIF and EGF are processed very differently in  $GH_4C_1$  cells. Whereas <sup>125</sup>I-EGF underwent rapid receptor-mediated internalization and was subsequently degraded in lysosomes, [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF remained bound at the cell surface and was degraded in a nonlysosomal compartment. The absence of [125]-Tyr1]SRIF internalization is not due to a general defect in  $GH_4C_1$  cells:  $^{125}I-EGF$  is internalized with the same rapid kinetics as in other cell types, and both  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF and SRIF are biologically active in  $GH_4C_1$  cells under the conditions of the binding incubation (32, 35). In fact, SRIF elicits a maximal inhibitory effect on hormone secretion within 5 min (32; Koch, B. D., and A. Schonbrunn, unpublished observations). The rapid biological action of SRIF combined with the lack of rapid internalization indicate that SRIF must elicit its biological effects at the plasma membrane.

To examine the cellular processing of receptor-bound peptides in GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells we have used an acid extraction protocol first introduced by Haigler et al. for distinguishing intracellular and extracellular  $^{125}$ I-EGF (15). This indirect biochemical method for quantitating the extent of internalization of receptor-bound peptides has produced results in excellent agreement with morphological studies using appropriately labeled peptide analogs (1, 14, 23, 25). In fact, pH-induced dissociation of peptides from their receptors is a physiological

mechanism for intracellular segregation of ligands and their receptors within acidic, prelysosomal vesicles (8, 41).

The kinetics of receptor-mediated internalization, as measured by translocation of bound peptides from an acid-extractable to an acid-resistant compartment, were markedly different for  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF and <sup>125</sup>I-EGF in GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells. <sup>125</sup>I-EGF was internalized rapidly ( $t<sub>1/2</sub> = 2$  min), as previously observed in a variety of cell types (1, 4, 14-16, 23, 25, 42). In contrast, under identical conditions, receptor-bound  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF was not transformed into an acid-resistant state at either low  $(<10\%)$  or high ( $>95\%$ ) levels of receptor occupancy. Furthermore, the addition of saturating concentrations of heterologous peptides, which themselves undergo rapid internalization, did not alter the distribution of bound  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ -SRIF. Therefore receptor-bound  $[$ <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF must be processed independently of EGF, thyrotropin releasing hormone, bombesin, and insulin in  $GH_4C_1$  cells. Similarly, Ciechanover et al. have found that insulin, transferrin, and asialoglycoproteins are processed independently after binding to their respective receptors in hepatoma cells (6).

Since receptor-bound  $[$ <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF appeared not to be rapidly internalized, we expected that its binding would be fully reversible and that dissociated radioactivity would consist entirely of the intact peptide. Although all the surfacebound material was intact  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF, radioactivity released from cells was composed of an approximately equal mixture of intact peptide and its degradation product,  $^{125}$ Ityrosine. Since such degradation has been assumed to reflect receptor-mediated endocytosis and lysosomal degradation of other hormones (22), we compared the effects of lysosomal protease inhibitors on the processing and degradation of  $[1^{25}]$ - $Tyr^1$ ]SRIF and  $^{125}$ I-EGF. Lysosomotropic agents have been shown to increase the intracellular accumulation of peptides that are degraded in lysosomes and therefore should enhance the ability to detect peptides usually present intracellularly only at low steady state concentrations (l, 14, 23, 25). Although chloroquine, NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, and leupeptin elicited the expected increase in  $^{125}$ I-EGF accumulation in GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells, none of these inhibitors increased the cellular accumulation of total or acid-resistant [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF. In fact, chloroquine caused a 50% decrease in  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF binding at all times. This effect of chloroquine was probably due to nonspecific membrane perturbation rather than to its lysomotropic action because ammonium chloride, which inhibits lysosomal function by a similar mechanism, did not decrease  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF binding. Furthermore, neither chloroquine nor leupeptin altered the rate of degradation or dissociation of receptor-bound  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF under conditions in which they inhibited the degradation of <sup>125</sup>I-EGF. These results indicated that receptormediated degradation of [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF did not occur in lysosomes.

Although unusual, nonlysosomal degradation of a receptorbound peptide has been observed previously. In rat adipocytes receptor-bound insulin was degraded by a mechanism that markedly resembles the process of SRIF degradation in GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells (13, 38). Whereas >95% of the specifically bound ligand was intact  $^{125}$ I-insulin, the radioactive material dissociated from receptors consisted of an approximately equal mixture of intact  $^{125}$ I-insulin and iodotyrosine (13). Degradation was specific for receptor-bound peptide since only intact  $125$ I-insulin was released from nonspecific binding sites. Furthermore, the fraction of receptor-bound  $125$ I-insulin that was degraded did not vary with receptor occupancies between l and  $90\%$ , demonstrating that  $^{125}$ I-insulin degradation was proportional to receptor occupancy (13). Leupeptin did not decrease receptor-mediated <sup>125</sup>I-insulin degradation, nor did it increase the accumulation of cellular <sup>125</sup>I-insulin (38). Although chloroquine did increase cell-associated  $125$ I-insulin during binding incubations, it had only a small effect on receptor-mediated degradation, and two other lysosomotropic agents, methylamine and NH4C1, did not increase insulin binding (38). Since lysosomotropic agents did not uniformly decrease the degradation of  $^{125}$ I-insulin or increase its intracellular accumulation, the major degradation pathway for receptor-bound <sup>125</sup>I-insulin in adipocytes was proposed to be nonlysosomal (38).

In GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells degradation of receptor-bound  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^1]$ -SRIF was unaffected by the presence of excess unlabeled SRIF in the dissociation medium. This lack of protection is consistent with the hypothesis that the degrading enzyme or enzymes have low affinity  $(K_m > 10^{-4} \text{ M})$  for  $\lceil 1^{25} \text{I-Tyr}^1 \rceil$ SRIF. However, the observation that  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF degradation occurred at the very low  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF concentrations  $({\sim}10^{-13}$  M) present in the medium when  $[{}^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF dissociates from its receptor makes this explanation unlikely. Alternatively, the receptor itself may mediate the degradation of  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF or directly transfer the bound  $[^{125}I-$ Tyr<sup>1</sup> SRIF to the degrading enzyme(s). The latter mechanisms have also been postulated for the adipocyte insulin receptor but remain to be proven  $(11, 38)$ .

Membrane proteases have been hypothesized to catalyze the nonlysosomal degradation of insulin in rat adipocytes; however a protease that selectively degrades only receptorbound  $125$ I-insulin has not been identified (11, 38). Similarly, we could not identify specific degradation of receptor-bound  $[125]$ -Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF in GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> membranes. Although receptormediated degradation of  $^{125}$ I-insulin in adipocytes and  $[^{125}$ I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF in  $GH_4C_1$  cells appear to occur by similar mechanisms, there are some significant differences between the two systems. In adipocytes, intact insulin was released somewhat more rapidly than iodotyrosine (13, 24). In fact, the increasing degradation of receptor-bound insulin observed with time correlated with the conversion of the insulin receptor from a rapidly to a slowly dissociating state (24). In contrast, after  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^{1}]$ SRIF binding to  $GH_{4}C_{1}$  cells, intact  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^{1}]$ SRIF and <sup>125</sup>I-tyrosine were released at the same rate. Furthermore, the dissociation of both  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF and  $^{125}I$ -tyrosine followed simple first-order kinetics, and the same dissociation rate constant was observed whether equilibrium binding had been achieved or the dissociation reaction was initiated preequilibrium (32). These results indicate that the affinity state of the SRIF receptor does not change during the binding reaction and that both intact and degraded peptide dissociate from a single form of the receptor. The fact that intermediate degradation products were not found in the dissociation medium suggested that an aminopeptidase cleaved the NH2 terminal  $^{125}$ I-tyrosine residue from  $[^{125}$ I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF. Such an activity has been reported in GH cells (12). Alternatively, intermediate degradation products may be too unstable to accumulate to detectable levels.

To characterize further the proteases that degrade receptorbound  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^1]SRIF$ , we examined the ability of different **protease inhibitors to block the degradation. As stated previ**ously, chloroquine and leupeptin did not inhibit  $[1^{25}I-Tyr^1]$ **SRIF degradation. Bacitracin, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride,**  TLCK,  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin, and puromycin had only a small **effect. Only phosphoramidon, TPCK and the sulfhydryl protease inhibitors iodoacetamide and chloromercuribenzoate**  produced substantial inhibition of [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF degrada**tion. Interestingly, sulthydryl protease inhibitors also reduced**  the nonlysosomal degradation of receptor-bound <sup>125</sup>I-insulin **in rat adipocytes (38). It must be kept in mind, however, that these inhibitors can have multiple targets and are quite toxic to cells. Therefore, the inhibitors may be eliciting their effects indirectly rather than by specific inactivation of degradative enzymes.** 

**The importance of ligand-receptor internalization in the mechanism of action of peptide hormones and growth factors remains unresolved because an agent that selectively inhibits the process of receptor-mediated internalization without serious side effects has not been discovered. Since anti-receptor antibodies have been shown to mimic the effects of EGF (36) and insulin (18), internalization of these ligands was suggested to be unnecessary for their biological activity. However, since anti-receptor antibodies lead to the internalization of the receptors for both insulin (5) and EGF (37), receptor internalization may be required. We have demonstrated that ['25I-**Tyr<sup>1</sup> [SRIF is biologically active under conditions where it is **not internalized (35). In addition, we have found that SRIF receptor number is increased rather than decreased by SRIF pretreatment (manuscript in preparation). The absence of internalization of either SRIF or its receptor under conditions where SRIF inhibits hormone secretion indicates that these**  processes are not required for SRIF action in GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells.

**Reyl and Lewin have reported that most of the SRIF binding sites in rat pancreatic and gastric mucosal cells are cytosolic and have proposed that these binding sites may mediate the biological actions of SRIF by stimulating soluble phosphoprotein phosphatase activity (29, 30). We did not**  detect any high-affinity binding of [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF to cytosolic proteins prepared from GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells. The absence of receptormediated uptake of  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF suggests that, even if **cytosolic receptors were present, they would not be accessible to SRIF. Therefore, these results further support the conclusion that the actions of SRIF are mediated directly by the plasma membrane receptor in GH4C, cells. In fact, the SRIF**  receptor present in membranes prepared from GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells **inhibits adenylate cyclase activity (19), and this effect clearly initiates at least some of the biological actions of SRIF (10, 33). However, the fact that the SRIF receptor is coupled to adenylate cyclase does not explain its unique form of process**ing: other receptors that either stimulate (e.g.,  $\beta$ -adrenergic **receptors) or inhibit (e.g., enkephalin receptors) adenylate cyclase have been shown to mediate rapid endocytosis of their respective ligands (2, 21, 39).** 

**In summary, we have shown that the processing events that**  follow the initial binding of  $[^{125}I-Tyr^1]$ SRIF to its plasma **membrane receptor differ markedly from those that follow binding of all other peptide ligands that have been studied in**  GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> cells. Receptor-bound [<sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>1</sup>]SRIF remains at the **cell surface while it elicits its biological effects and is partially degraded by membrane proteases, apparently simultaneously with dissociation. The importance of SRIF degradation in its** 

mechanism of action and the consequences of the lack of rapid internalization for receptor regulation and desensitization present interesting questions for study.

**We** thank Dr. Susan J. Sullivan for her critical reading of **the**  manuscript and Ms. Jean Foley for providing word-processing expertise

**This work was supported by** a research grant from the National **Institute** of Arthritis, Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Diseases (AM 32234). D. H. Presky was partially supported by a National **Research**  Service Award training grant (5 T32 GM07306) and a fellowship from the Albert J. Ryan Foundation, Cincinatti, OH.

**Received** for publication 13 July 1985, and in revised form 21 October 1985.

#### $References$

1. Anderson, R. G. W., and J. Kaplan. 1983. Receptor-mediated endocytosis. *Mod Cell Biol.* 1:1-52.

2. Blanchard, S. G., K. J. Chang, and P. Cuatrecasas. 1983. Characterization of the association of tritiated enkephalin with neuroblastoma cells under conditions optimal for receptor down regulation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 258:1092- 1097.

3. Bohlen, P., F. Castillo, N. Ling, and R. Guillemin. 1980. Purification of peptides: an efficient procedure for the separation of peptides from amino acids and salt. *Int. J. Pept. Protein Res.* 16:306-310.

4. Carpenter, G., and S. Cohen. 1976. <sup>125</sup>1-Labeled human epidermal growth factor. Binding, internalization, and degradation in human fibroblasts. *J. Cell Biol.* 71:159-171.

5. Carpentier, J.-L., E. Van Obberghen, P. Gorden, and L. Orci. 1981. Binding, membrane redistribution, internalization and lysosomal association of  $[<sup>125</sup>$ l]anti-insulin receptor antibody in IM-9-cultured human lymphocyte. *Exp. Cell Res.* 134:81-92.

6. Ciechanover, A., A. L. Schwartz, and H. F. Lodish. 1983. The asialoglycoprotein receptor internalizes and recycles independently of the transferrin and insulin receptors. *Cell.* 32:267-275.

7. Corin, R. E., F. C. Bancroft, M. Sonenberg, and D. B. Donner. 1983. Binding and degradation of <sup>125</sup>I-labeled insulin by a clonal line of rat pituitary tumor cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 762:503-511.

8. Dautry-Varsat, A., A. Ciechanover, and H. F. Lodish. 1983. pH and **the**  recycling of transferrin during receptor-mediated endocytosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad Sci. USA.* 80:2258-2262.

9. Dortlinger, L. J., and A. Schonbrunn. 1983. Somatostatin inhibits vasoactive intestinal peptide-stimulated cyclic adenosine monophosphate accumulation in GH pituitary cells. *Endocrinology.* 113:1541-1550.

10. Dorflinger, L. J., and A. Schonbrunn. 1983. Somatostatin inhibits basal and vasoactive intestinal peptide-stimulated hormone **release by different** mechanisms in GH pituitary cells. *Endocrinology*. 113:1551-1558

11. Duckworth, W. C., and A. Kitabchi. 1981. Insulin metabolism and degradation. *Endocr. Rev.* 2:210-233

12. Friedman, T. C., M. Orlowski, and S. Wilk. 1984. Peptide-degrading enzymatic activities in GH<sub>3</sub> cells and rat anterior pituitary homogenates. *Endocrinology.* 114:1407-1412.

13. Gliemann, J., and O. Sonne. 1978. Binding and receptor-mediated degradation of insulin in adipocytes. J. Biol. Chem. 253:7857-7863.

14. Gorden, P., J.-L. Carpentier, J.-Y. Fan, and L. Orci. 1982. Receptor mediated endocytosis of polypeptide hormones: mechanism and significance. *Metabol. Clin. Exp.* 31:664-669.

15. Haigler, H. T., F. R. Maxfield, M. C. Willingham, and 1. Pastan. 1980. Dansylcadaverine inhibits internalization of  $^{125}$ I-epidermal growth factor in Balb 3T3 cells. *J, Biol. Chem.* 255:1239-1241.

16. Halpern, J., and P. M. Hinkle. 1983. Binding and internalization of epidermal growth factor by rat pituitary tumor cells. *Mol. Cell, Endocrinol.*  33:183-196.

17. Hinkle, P. M., and P. A. Kinsella. 1982. Rapid temperature-dependent transformation of the thyrotropin-releasing hormone-receptor complex in rat pituitary tumor cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 257:5462-5470.

18. Kahn, C. R., K. L. Baird, D. B. Jarrett, and J. S. Flier. 1978. Direct demonstration that receptor crosslinking or aggregation is important in insulin action. *Proc. NatL Acad Sci. USA.* 75:4209-4213.

19. Koch, B. D., and A. Schonbrunn. 1984. The somatostatin receptor is directly coupled to adenylate cyclase in  $GH_4C_1$  pituitary cell membranes. *Endocrinology.* 114:1784-1790.

20. Koch, B. D., L. J. Dorflinger, and A. Schonbrunn. 1985. Pertussis toxin blocks both cyclic AMP-mediated and cyclic AMP-independent actions of somatostatin: evidence for coupling of N<sub>i</sub> to decreases in intracellular free calcium. *J. Biol. Chem.* 260:13,138-13,145.

21. Law, P.-Y., D. S. Hom, and H. H. Loh. 1984. Down-regulation of opiate receptor in neurobalstoma X glioma NGI08-15 hybrid cells. J. *BioL Chem.*  259:4096-4104.

22. Mock, E. J., and G. D. Niswender. 1983. Differences in the rates of internalization of <sup>125</sup>I-labeled human chorionic gonadotropin, luteinizing hormone, and epidermal growth factor by ovine luteal cells. *Endocrinology.*  I 13:259-264.

23. O'Connor-McCourt, M., and M. D. Hollenberg. 1983. Receptors, acceptors and the action of polypeptide hormones: illustrative studies with epidermal growth factor (urogastrone). *Can. J. Biochem.* 61:670-682.

24. Olefsky, J. M., M. Kobayashi, and H. Chang 1979. Interactions between insulin and its receptors after the initial binding event. Functional heterogeneity and relationships to insulin degradation. *Diabetes.* 28:460-471.

25. Pastan, I. H., and M. C. Willingham. 1981. Receptor-mediated endocytosis of hormones in cultured cells. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 43:239-250.

26. Peterson, G. L. 1977. A simplification of the protein assay method of *Lowry et al.* which is generally more applicable. *Anal. Biochem.* 83:346-356.

27. Presky, D. H., and A. Schonbrunn. 1983. Receptor-bound somatostatin and epidermal growth factor are processed differently by GH<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub> pituitary cells. *Proc, Endocr. Soc., 65th, San Antonio, TX. 221.* (Abstr.).

28. Reichlin, S. 1983. Somatostatin. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 309:1495-1501, 1556- 1563.

29. Reyl, F. J., and M. J. M. Lewin. 1982. Intracellular receptor for somatostatin in gastric mucosal cells: decomposition and reconstitution of somatostatin-stimulated phosphoprotein phosphatases. *Proc. Natl. Acad Sci. USA.*  79:978-982.

30. Reyl-Desmars, F., and M. J. M. Lewin. 1982. Evidence for an intracellular somatostatin receptor in pancreas: a comparative study with reference to

gastric mucosa. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 109:1324-1331. 31. Schleg¢l, W., F. Wuarin, C. B. Woliheim, and G. R. Zahnd. 1984. Somatostatin lowers the cytosolic free  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  concentration in clonal rat pituitary cells (GH~ cells). *Cell Calcium.* 5:223-236.

32, Schonbrunn, A., and A. H. Tashjian, Jr. 1978. Characterization of

functional receptors for somatostatin in rat pituitary cells in culture. *J. Biol. Chem.* 253:6473-6483.

33. Schonbrunn, A., L. J. Dorflinger, and B. D. Koch. 1985. Mechanisms

of somatostatin action in pituitary cells. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 188:305-324. 34. Schonbrunn, A., M. Krasnoff, J. M. Westendorf, and A. H. Tasbjian, Jr. 1980. Epidermal growth factor and thyrotropin-releasing hormone act

similarly on a clonal pituitary cell strain. *J. Cell Biol.* 85:786-797. 35. Schonbrunn, A., O. P. Rorstad, J. M. Westendorf, and J. B. Martin. 1983. Somatostatin analogs: Correlation between receptor binding affinity and biological potency in GH pituitary cells. *Endocrinology.* 113:1559-1567.

36. Schreiber, A. B., I. Lax, Y. Yarden, Z. Eshhar, and J. Schlessinger. 1981. Monoclonal antibodies against receptor for epidermal growth factor induce early and delayed effects of epidermal growth factor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 78:7535-7539.

37. Schreiber, A. B., T. A. Libermann, I. Lax, Y. Yarden, and J. Schlessinger. 1983. Biological role of epidermal growth factor-receptor clustering. Investigation with monoclonal anti-receptor antibodies. *J. Biol. Chem.* 258:846-853.

38. Sonne, O., and J. Gliemann. 1983. The mechanism of receptor-mediated degradation of insulin in isolated rat adipocytes: indirect evidence for a nonlysosomal pathway. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 31:315-331.

39. Stadel, J. M., B. Strulovici, P. Nambi, T. N. Lavin, M. M. Briggs, M. G. Caron, and R. J. Lefkowitz. 1983. Desensitization of the  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptor of frog erythrocytes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 258:3032-3038.

40. Tashjian, A. H., Jr. 1979. Clonal strains of hormone-producing pituitary cells. *Methods Enzymol.* 58:527-535.

41. Tycko, B., and F. R. Maxfieid. 1982. Rapid acidification of endocytic vesicles containing  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin. *Cell.* 28:643-651.

42. Westendoff, J. M., and A. Schonbrunn. 1983. Characterization of bombesin receptors in a rat pituitary cell line. *J. Biol. Chem.* 258:7527-7535.