



ANDSTRUCTURAL BIOTECHNOLOGY

JOURNAL



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/csbj

# The mitogenomes of two saprophytic Boletales species (*Coniophora*) reveals intron dynamics and accumulation of plasmid-derived and non-conserved genes



Peng Wu<sup>a,1</sup>, Zhijie Bao<sup>b,1</sup>, Wenying Tu<sup>b</sup>, Lijiao Li<sup>b</sup>, Chuan Xiong<sup>c</sup>, Xin Jin<sup>c</sup>, Ping Li<sup>c</sup>, Mingying Gui<sup>a,\*</sup>, Wenli Huang<sup>c,\*</sup>, Qiang Li<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Yunnan Plateau Characteristic Agricultural Industry Research Institute, Yunan Agricultural University, Kunming, Yunnan, China

<sup>b</sup> School of Food and Biological Engineering, Chengdu University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

<sup>c</sup> Biotechnology and Nuclear Technology Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 November 2020 Received in revised form 25 December 2020 Accepted 26 December 2020 Available online 30 December 2020

Keywords: Boletales Mitochondrial genome Repeat Gene rearrangement Evolution Phylogenetic analysis

#### ABSTRACT

The order Boletales is a group of fungi with complex life styles, which include saprophytic and ectomycorrhizal mushroom-forming fungi. In the present study, the complete mitogenomes of two saprophytic Boletales species, Coniophora olivacea, and C. puteana, were assembled and compared with mitogenomes of ectomycorrhizal Boletales. Both mitogenomes comprised circular DNA molecules with sizes of 78,350 bp and 79,655 bp, respectively. Comparative mitogenomic analysis indicated that the two saprophytic Boletales species contained more plasmid-derived (7 on average) and unknown functional genes (12 on average) than the four ectomycorrhizal Boletales species previously reported. In addition, the core protein coding genes, nad2 and rps3, were found to be subjected to positive selection pressure between some Boletales species. Frequent intron gain/loss events were detected in Boletales and Basidiomycetes, and several novel intron classes were found in two Coniophora species. A total of 33 introns were detected in C. olivacea, and most were found to have undergone contraction in the C. olivacea mitogenome. Mitochondrial genes of Coniophora species were found to have undergone large-scale gene rearrangements, and the accumulation of intra-genomic repeats in the mitogenome was considered as one of the main contributing factors. Based on combined mitochondrial gene sets, we obtained a wellsupported phylogenetic tree for 76 Basidiomycetes, demonstrating the utility of mitochondrial gene analysis for inferring Basidiomycetes phylogeny. The study served as the first report on the mitogenomes of the family Coniophorineae, which will help to understand the origin and evolution patterns of Boletales species with complex lifestyles.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

The genus *Coniophora*, which belongs to Boletales, Basidiomycota, is a group of brown-rot fungi [1]. The *Coniophora* species can be found both in building materials and the natural environment in temperate and boreal regions. The *Coniophora* species is characterized by producing brown-rot decay on dead wood of conifers (softwood) in Boletales, which only attack cell wall carbohydrates and leave lignin undigested [2–4]. Boletales species have diverse lifestyles, including saprophytic and ectomycorrhizal fungal species [5]. It is predicted that the common ancestor of Boletales appeared 84 million years ago (presumably a brown-rot saprotroph), and then differentiated into saprophytic and ectomycorrhizal Boletales species [6,7]. Genomic sequencing showed that Boletales species with different life styles had varied genomic characteristics, such as genome size, gene content and plant cell walldegradeing enzyme coding genes (PCWDE) [2,5,6]. However, differentiations of mitogenomes between Boletales species with different lifestyles have not been known. Previous studies found that ectomycorrhizal *Amanita* species had more repetitive sequences and fewer intergenic sequences than asymbiotic *Amanita* species in their mitogenomes [8]. Up to now, the mitogenomes of four ectomycorrhizal Boletales species have been reported,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2020.12.041

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors at: School of Food and Biological Engineering, Chengdu University, 2025 # Chengluo Avenue, Chengdu 610106, Sichuan, China.

*E-mail addresses*: Guimingying@126.com (M. Gui), wenlih11@126.com (W. Huang), leeq110@126.com (Q. Li).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Peng Wu and Zhijie Bao contribute equally to this work.

<sup>2001-0370/© 2021</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

including *Rhizopogon salebrosus*, *R. vinicolor* [9], *Paxillus involutus*, and *P. rubicundulus* [10]. However, the mitogenomic characteristics of saprophytic Boletales species and variations between saprophytic and ectomycorrhizal Boletales species have not been revealed.

Variations or mutations in mitogenomes could significantly affect the growth, development and metabolism of eukaryotes [11–13]. In addition, the mitogenome has been widely used as an effective tool to analyze the origin, classification and phylogeny of species due to its rapid evolution rate, single parent inheritance and several available molecular markers [14,15]. The phylum Basidiomycota, the largest group of mushroom-forming fungi on the earth, plays an important role in the natural circulation of forest ecosystems and people's production and life [16,17]. The information inferred from mitogenome analyses promoted better understanding of the origin and population genetics of Basidiomycota [18,19]. It has been reported that the mitogenomes of Basidiomycota vary greatly in size, genome structure, gene content, gene arrangement, repeat sequence content and intron type 20-23]. So far, there are less than 120 complete mitogenomes available in public database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/ browse#!/overview/), far less than the number of Basidiomycete nuclear genomes available. Boletales is an important group of Basidiomycota, which contains more than 1300 described species with diverse lifestyles. It is one of the model groups to study the lifestyle and environmental adaptive evolution of Basidiomycota. However, up to now, only four mitogenomes from the order Boletales are available, and no saprophytic Boletales mitogenome has been completely assembled.

In the present study, the mitogenomes of two saprophytic Boletales species, including *Coniophora olivacea*, and *C. puteana*, were assembled, annotated, and compared with other ectomycorrhizal Boletales species. The aims of this study are: 1) to reveal the mitogenome characterizations of two Boletales species with saprophytic lifestyles; 2) to reveal the variations or conservations between saprophytic and ectomycorrhizal Boletales species by comparative mitogenomic analysis; 3) to reveal the intron dynamics of  $cox_1$  genes in Boletales and other Basidiomycota mitogenomes; 4) to understand the phylogenetic position and origin of Boletales in the phylum Basidiomycota based on mitochondrial genes. This study served as the first report on mitogenomes of saprophytic Boletales species, which will promote the understanding of the origin, evolution and genetics of the order Boletales.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Assembly and annotation of Coniophora mitogenomes

We downloaded the raw sequencing data of C. olivacea and C. puteana from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database (acc. SRR4171236 and SRR3927427) [2,5]. A series of quality control steps were conducted to generate clean reads from the raw sequencing data, which included filtering low-quality sequences by AdapterRemoval v 2 [24] and removing adapter reads by ngsShoRT [25]. We filtered out adaptors and reads with N bases exceeding 10% in the raw reads. And then we discarded reads that contained more than 50% of the low-quality bases (phred quality score < 5). The SPAdes 3.9.0 software was used to assemble mitogenomes with default parameters [26]. There were 2 (with an average size of 38.30 kb) and 3 contigs (with an average size of 26.32 kb) obtained in the SPAdes assembly of C. olivacea and C. puteana mitogenomes, respecitively. Then, we filled gaps between these contigs by the MITObim V1.9 [27], using the mitogenome of *R. salebrosus* [9] as the reference. In addition, NOVO Plasty [28] was also used to verify the assembly . The obtained complete

mitogenomes of C. olivacea and C. puteana were annotated according to our previously described methods [22,29]. Briefly, the protein-coding genes (PCGs), rRNA genes, tRNA genes, and introns in the two Coniophora mitogenomes were initially annotated using MFannot [30] and MITOS [31], both based on the genetic code 4. Then PCGs were modified or predicted using the NCBI Open Reading Frame Finder [32], and further annotated by BLASTP searches (e-value less than 10<sup>-10</sup>) against the NCBI non-redundant protein sequence database [33]. We detected the intron-exon borders of PCGs by the exonerate v2.2 software [34] using closely related species as the reference. We also predicted tRNA genes in the two Coniophora mitogenomes using tRNAscan-SE v1.3.1 [35]. The secondary structures of tRNAs were detected using MITOS with default parameters [31]. rRNA genes were also modified using mitogenomes from the order Boletales as references [9,10]. Graphical maps of the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes were drawn using OGDraw v1.2 [36].

#### 2.2. Sequence analysis of Coniophora mitogenomes

Base compositions of the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes and other Boletales mitogenomes were calculated using the DNASTAR Lasergene v7.1 (http://www.dnastar.com/). Strand asymmetries of the 6 Boletales mitogenomes were assessed based on the following formulas: AT skew = [A - T] / [A + T], and GC skew = [G - C] / [G + C] [37]. The pairwise genetic distances between each pair of the 15 core PCGs (*atp6, atp8, atp9, cob, cox1, cox2, cox3, nad1, nad2, nad3, nad4, nad4L, nad5, nad6,* and *rps3*) in the 6 Boletales mitogenomes were calculated using MEGA v6.06 [38] based on the Kimura-2-parameter (K2P) substitution model. The DnaSP v6.10.01 software [39] was used to calculate synonymous (*Ks*) and nonsynonymous substitution rates (*Ka*) for core PCGs in the 6 Boletales mitogenomes. Gene collinearity analysis of 6 Boletales species was conducted by using Mauve v2.4.0 [40].

#### 2.3. Repetitive element analysis

BLASTN searches [41] of the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes against themselves were conducted to identify if there were interspersed repeats or intra-genomic duplications of large fragments throughout the two mitogenomes, using an E-value of  $<10^{-10}$  as the threshold. We detected tandem repeats (<10 bp in length) in the two mitogenomes using the Tandem Repeats Finder [42]. To detect if there were any gene fragments that natural transferred between nuclear and mitochondrial genomes of the two Coniophora species, we performed BlastN searches of the two Coniophora genomes mitogenomes against their nuclear (NCBI: AEIT00000000.1; JGI: Project: 1063673).

# 2.4. Intron analysis

Introns in *cox1* genes of 76 published Basidiomycota mitogenomes were classified into different position classes (Pcls) according to the method described by Férandon et al. [43]. The *cox1* genes of 76 Basidiomycota mitogenomes were first aligned with the *cox1* gene of the medical fungus *Ganoderma calidophilum* [18] by Clustal W [44], which we used as the reference. Each Pcl was constituted by introns inserted at the same position of corresponding *cox1* gene and namely by the insert sites (nt) in the reference gene. The same Pcl from different species was considered as orthologous intron and usually has a high sequence similarity.

# 2.5. Phylogenetic analysis

In order to investigate the phylogenetic positions of the two *Coniophora* species and other Boletales species in the phylum Basidiomycota, we constructed a phylogenetic tree of 77 species based on the combined mitochondrial gene set (15 core PCGs + 2 rRNA genes) [29]. We used *Annulohypoxylon stygium* from the phylum Ascomycota as an outgroup [45]. Individual mitochondrial genes were first aligned using the MAFFT v7.037 [46], and then concatenated into a combined mitochondrial gene set using SequenceMatrix v1.7.8 [47]. Partition homogeneity test was used to detect potential phylogenetic conflicts between different mitochondrial genes. Best-fit models of phylogeny and partitioning schemes for the gene set were determined using PartitionFinder v2.1.1 [48]. We conducted the phylogenetic analysis using both bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML) methods [49]. MrBayes v3.2.6 [50] was used to perform the BI analysis, and RAxML v 8.0.0 [51] was used for the ML analysis.

# 2.6. Data availability

The complete mitogenomes of *C. olivacea* and *C. puteana* were deposited in the GenBank database under the accession number MT375015 and MT375016, respectively.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Features and PCGs of Coniophora mitogenomes

Both *C. olivacea* and *C. puteana* mitogenomes were circularly assembled, with the total sizes of 78,350 bp and 79,655 bp, respectively (Fig. 1). The GC contents of *C. olivacea* and *C. puteana* mitogenomes were 27.32% and 27.61%, respectively, with an average GC content of 27.47% (Table S1). Both the two species contained negative AT skews and positive GC skews. A whole set of core PCGs was detected in the *C. olivacea* and *C. puteana* mitogenomes, respectively, which included *atp6*, *atp8*, *atp9*, *cob*, *cox1*, *cox2*, *cox3*, *nad1*, *nad2*, *nad3*, *nad4*, *nad4L*, *nad5*, *nad6* and *rps3*. In addition, fifteen and twenty-three free-standing PCGs (non-intron encoding ORFs) were detected in *C. olivacea* and *C. puteana* mitogenomes, respectively. The two *Coniophora* mitogenomes both contained 7

plasmid-derived genes (genes encoding DNA polymerases). Additionally, the *C. olivacea* and *C. puteana* mitogenomes contained 8 and 16 PCGs with unknown functions, respectively. There were 33 and 13 introns detected in the *C. olivacea* and *C. puteana* mitogenomes, respectively, which harboured 10 and 12 intron encoding ORFs, respectively. Intron encoding ORFs in the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes mainly encoded GIY-YIG and LAGLIDADG endonucleases (Table S2). In the mitogenome of *C. olivacea*, ORFs in introns mainly encoded LAGLIDADG endonucleases, which were four times as many as GIY-YIG endonucleases. However, most of intron encoding ORFs in the *C. puteana* mitogenome encoded GIY-YIG endonucleases.

#### 3.2. rRNA genes and tRNA genes

Two rRNA genes were detected in the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes, including the large subunit ribosomal RNA gene (*rnl*) and small subunit ribosomal RNA (*rns*) (Table S2). There were 10, 2, and 1 introns detected in *rnl* gene of *C. olivacea*, *rnl* and *rns* genes of *C. puteana*, respectively. The average sizes of *rnl* and *rns* genes in the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes were 3,150 and 1,629 bp, respectively. The *C. olivacea* mitogenome contained longer *rnl* and shorter *rns* genes than the *C. puteana* mitogenome.

Twenty-nine and twenty-seven tRNA genes were detected in the mitogenomes of *C. olivacea* and *C. puteana*, respectively, which encoded 20 standard amino acids (Fig. 2). The *C. puteana* mitogenome contained two additional *trnS* and *trnH* genes compared with the *C. puteana* mitogenome. All tRNAs in the two mitogenomes were folded into classical cloverleaf structures, with individual tRNA gene varied between 70 and 95 bp. The *trnL* gene in the *C. olivacea* mitogenome contained a large extra arm (25 bp), which resulted in the *trnL* gene the largest tRNA gene among all tRNAs in the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes. Of the 27 tRNAs shared in the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes, 13 contained sites that varied between the two mitogenomes. A total of 46 variable sites were detected in the 27 tRNA genes between the two species, of which



Fig. 1. Circular maps of the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes. Genes are represented by different colored blocks. Colored blocks outside each ring indicate that the genes are on the direct strand, while colored blocks within the ring indicates that the genes are located on the reverse strand.

22 occurred on the extra arm, suggesting that the extra arm was highly variable in the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes.

#### 3.3. Repetitive elements in Coniophora mitogenomes

By BlastN searches of the two Coniophora mitogenomes against themselves, we identified 32 and 27 intra-genomic duplications in the C. olivacea and C. puteana mitogenomes, respectively (Table S3). The length of these duplications in the two Coniophora mitogenomes ranged from 35 bp to 851 bp, with pair-wise nucleotide similarities ranging from 71.29% to 100%. The largest repeats were observed in the intergenic region between *trnM* and *orf813*, as well as in the protein coding region of orf767 in the mitogenome of C. puteana. The largest repeats in the C. olivacea mitogenome were found located in the protein coding regions of orf891 and orf516, with each repeating sequence 493 bp long. Repeat sequences accounted for 7.06% and 10.07% of the C. olivacea and C. puteana mitogenomes, repectively. Using Tandem repeat finder, we identified 6 and 15 tandem repeats in the C. olivacea and C. puteana mitogenomes, repectively (Table S4). The longest tandem repeat sequence was found located in the intergenic region between

orf339 and *trnH* from the *C. olivacea* mitogenome, with a length of 74 bp. Most of tandem repeats in the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes contained 2 copies. Tandem repeated sequences accounted for 0.40% and 0.80% of the *C. olivacea* and *C. puteana* mitogenomes, repectively.

To detect if there was any gene fragment that naturally transferred between the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes of the two Coniophora species, we BlastN searches of the two newly sequenced mitogenomes with their published nuclear genomes. A total of 4 and 31 repetitive fragments were identified between mitochondrial and nuclear genomes of C. olivacea and C. puteana, respectively (Table S5). These repetitive fragments ranged from 31 bp to 141 bp in length, with pair-wise nucleotide similarities ranging from 90.20% to 100%. The largest repeat fragment was found located in the intergenic region between trnM and orf813 in the C. puteana mitogenome. A total of 193 bp and 1.973 bp aligned fragments were detected in the C. olivacea and C. puteana mitogenomes, respectively, indicating natural gene transfer events between mitochondrial and nuclear genomes may have occurred during the evolution process of Coniophora species.



**Fig. 2.** Putative secondary structures of tRNA genes in the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes. tRNA genes with green color represent tRNAs shared by the two *Coniophora* species, while tRNA genes with blue color represent the unique tRNAs in *Coniophora olivacea*. Residues conserved across the two mitogenomes are shown in green, while variable sites are shown in red. All genes are shown in order of occurrence in the mitogenome of *C. olivacea*, starting from *trnM*. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

				Б	Ansi	cor1	nad6	nad1	nad4I	nads	rnl	atp9	nad2	nad3	atn8	atn6	cor2	cor3	nad4	coh	rns3	rns
			_	Ľ	Pmoi	corl	nad6	nadl	nad4I	nads	rnl	atn9	nad2	nad3	atn8	atn6	cor2	cor3	nad4	coh	rpss rns3	rns
				ሆ	D	0.01	nadb	madi	nuu+L	nad5	1111	atn0	nad2	nad2	ato 8	atre	0012	00002	nau+	cob	1035	1113
			_	Ľ	r pac	COX1	maa d 4	nadh	nuu4L	nuus	THL anter Q	aup >	march 1	nuus	uipo	uipo	atn0	2013	nuu4	000	nad2	1115
			Г	ŀ	Abis	coxI	naa4	10	atpo	naal	atp8	coxs	naa4L	naas	COD	cox2	aip9	rps3	rnl	naas	naaz	rns
		_		Π.	Cein	cox1	rnl	nad3	naaz	rps3	rns	atp8	cox2	nadl	nads	nad4L	atp9	naao	atp6	cob	cox3	nad4
			Ш	μ	Lame	coxl	nad4L	nad5	rps3	nadb	rns	atp6	nad4	cob	cox2	cox3	atp9	rnl	nad2	nad3	atp8	nad1
		- 1	Ц	ղ	Lbic	cox1	rps3	nad5	nad4L	nad6	rns	atp8	nad4	atp6	nad3	nad2	rnl	atp9	cox3	cox2	cob	nad1
		- 1		Ъ	Ldec	cox1	atp6	atp9	nad6	cox3	nad4L	nad5	nad2	nad3	atp8	rps3	cox2	rns	rnl	cob	nad1	nad4
		- 1	Ц	Ч	Lshi	cox1	atn6	rns3	rnl	cox2	rns	atn8	nad3	nad2	nad5	nad4L	cox3	nad6	atp9	coh	nad1	nad4
				Цŕ,	Tmot	corl	nadA	nad6	PDS	nadl	coh	cor2	cor3	nadAI	nads	rns3	rnl	nad2	nad3	atn8	atnh	atn9
		- 1		Ł	1 mai	1	nuu4	atpl	1115	14	141	15	11	10041	nuus	1055	7111	12	nad2	nade	uipo	uip)
		h	1	dł	Abor	cox1	atpo	aipy	rnl	nad4	nad4L	nads	nad1	cob	cox2	rps3	cox3	nad3	$naa_2$	naao	rns	atp8
		11	d	174	Asol	coxI	atp6	atp9	rnl	nad4	nad4L	nad5	nad1	cob	cox2	rps3	cox3	nad3	naa2	naao	rns	atp8
			ď	٦	Dtab	cox1	nad2	nad3	nad6	cox3	atp6	atp9	rnl	nad4	nad4L	nad5	nad1	cob	cox2	rps3	rns	atp8
			ď۲	-	Asin	cox1	atp9	rnl	nad4	nad4L	nad5	nad1	cob	cox2	rps3	nad2	nad3	nad6	atp6	cox3	rns	atp8
		11.	յ֊	-6	Evel	cox1	rnl	rns3	rns	atn8	nad1	coh	cox2	nad4L	nad5	cox3	nad2	nad3	nad4	nad6	atp9	atn6
		ᆒ	Π.	гď	Lodo	cox1	mal	atn8	rns?	mas	nad?	nad3	atn9	nadA	nadl	coh	cor?	nadb	atnh	cor3	padAI	nads
		111	Ч	Ъ	Leuo	COX 1	1111	uipo mad 11	1035	1115	atn0	nuus	nadh	nuu+	nad2	000	cor2	aab	apo apr2	2023	nuu+L	nuus atra 0
		111	l '	ሢ	viper	COXI	coxs	naa4L	naas	rns	atro 0	14	nuuo	aipo	nuuz	12	11	200	$cox_2$	rpss	rni	aipo
				4	Mror	cox1	cox3	nad4L	nads	rns	агру	nad4	naao	atp6	naaz	nad3	nadI	cob	cox2	rps3	rnl	atp8
		11			Scom	cox1	rps3	atp6	rns	rnl	nad5	nad4L	схо3	nad3	nad2	nadb	nad4	cob	nad1	cox2	atp9	atp8
		dl -	Г		Pcit	cox1	nad4	nad6	atp6	rps3	nad1	nad2	nad3	rns	atp9	cob	nad2	nad3	nad4L	nad5	atp8	rnl
		11-		Г	Perv	cox1	nad4	nad6	atp6	nad2	nad3	rns	atp9	nad1	rps3	cob	cox2	cox3	nad4L	nad5	atp8	rnl
			1	٦	Post	cor1	nad4	nad6	atn6	nad2	nad3	rns	atn9	nadl	rns3	coh	cor?	cor3	nad41	nads	atn8	rnl
				ሢ	Dala	cox1	nadi	0023	nadAI	nads	atn	atn9	mag	nad2	nad2	vad1	2022	eeb	aax2	nadb	atn6	1111
	1	╢└─		-ť	гра		nuu+	2015	nuu+L	nuus	uipo	aup 3	1115	nad2	1101012	nuui	atn0	200	COX2	11440	uipo	nadh
		11		Щ	Hrus	cox1	rns	агро	naal	cox2	aipo	rpss	COD	nuuz	naas	rni	uip 3	coxs	naa4L	naas	<i>naa4</i>	nuuo
		11	1	ηŲ	Rsal	coxl	atp6	rnl	cox2	rps3	nado	atp9	atp8	naa2	nad3	rns	nad1	cob	cox3	nad4L	nad5	nad4
		11	d		Rvin	cox1	atp6	rnl	cox2	rps3	nad6	nad2	nad3	rns	atp9	atp8	nad1	cob	cox3	nad4L	nad5	nad4
			- II	46	Pinv	cox1	atp6	rnl	cox2	rps3	nad6	nad2	nad3	rns	atp9	atp8	nad1	cob	cox3	nad4L	nad5	nad4
		<b></b>	┫	ĥ	Pruh	cox1	atp6	rnl	cox2	rps3	nad6	nad2	nad3	rns	atp9	atp8	nad1	cob	cox3	nad41	nad5	nad4
			L	ď.	Coli	corl	atn6	rnl	cor2	rnc3	nad6	atn9	nad?	nadz	rne	atn8	nadl	coh	cor3	nadAI	nads	nadA
				ሢ		COX 1	aipo	7111	COX2	1055	mado	uip >	11442	nuus	nade	aipo	nuur	000	pad2	nuu4L	naas	atp0
	d		-		Cput	cox1	COD	cox3	naa4L	naas	naa4	rni	cox2	rps3	naao	atp8	naal	atpo	nuuz	naas	rns	aipy
				Ш	Hcor	coxI	rps3	atp8	nad4	cox2	rnl	atp9	nad1	cob	naab	nad3	nad2	cox3	atp6	rns	nad5	nad4L
			Iг	Ш	Ldel	cox1	nad4	atp9	rps3	cox3	nad4L	nad5	atp8	cox2	cob	rnl	nad6	nad1	rns	nad2	nad3	atp6
-		Ι.	44.	D	Lhat	cox1	nad4	atp9	rps3	cox3	nad4L	nad5	atp8	cox2	cob	rnl	nad6	nad1	rns	nad2	nad3	atp6
				Π	Lhvo	cox1	nad4	atp9	rps3	cox3	nad4L	nad5	atn8	cox2	coh	rnl	nad6	nad1	rns	nad2	nad3	atn6
				١ť	I nin	corl	nad4	atn9	rns3	cor3	nad41	nads	atn8	cor?	coh	rnl	nad6	nadl	rns	nad2	nad3	atn6
			Ш	쀿	Lpip	001	nuu+	$\frac{dtp}{dtn}$	1000	0000	nuu+L	nuus wads	atp 8	0012	cob	1111	nad6	madl	1115	nad2	nuus wad2	atro
			11	ď	LVOI	COXI	<i>naa4</i>	uips	rpss	coxs	naa4L	naas	aipo	cox2	COD	rni	nuuo	11	rns	11112	12	aipo
	- P	Ч	Ш	ſΨ	Rabi	cox1	nad4	aipy	rps3	cox3	nad4L	nads	atp8	cox2	cob	rnl	naao	nad1	rns	naaz	nad3	atp6
	ſ		10	Ц	Rlep	cox1	nad4	atp9	rps3	cox3	nad4L	nad5	atp8	cox2	cob	rnl	nadb	nad1	rns	nad2	nad3	atp6
			11	J	Rcom	cox1	atp6	nad4	atp9	rps3	cox3	nad4L	nad5	atp8	cox2	cob	rnl	nad6	nad1	rns	nad2	nad3
			-	-15	Rfoe	cox1	nad4	atp9	rps3	cox3	nad4L	nad5	atp8	cox2	cob	rnl	nad6	nad1	rns	nad2	nad3	atp6
				T	Rvir	cor1	nad4	atp9	rns3	cor3	nad41	nad5	atn8	cor?	coh	rnl	nad6	nadl	rns	nad2	nad3	atn6
			_	Ť	II:uu	cox1	mag	cor?	atn 8	nadAI	nads	nad6	ung 3	nad?	nad3	nadl	aab	atn9	nadA	atnh	aax3	ml
			_ 1	٢ť	nirr Fill	COX 1	1115	COX2	atp0	nad4L	maas	maao	nad2	naa2	nuus	nuu1	000	aup >	1004	aipo	COX5	THI mater 9
				LT:	<u>Fpai</u>	COXI	1004	агро	ups	atno	rni	rns	12	11005	rpss	COX2	naas	11	cox5	<i>COD</i>	15	uipo wad6
				벁	Lsul	cox1	cox2	rns	aipo	uip9	rpss	nuuz	naas	rni	aipo	coxs	naa4	naai	COD	naa4L	naas	nuuo
				ᄖ	Prad	cox1	rnl	atp6	cox2	cox3	nad4L	nads	atp8	naaz	nad3	atp9	rns	cob	nad4	naao	atp6	nad1
			h I	d.	Gapp	cox1	nad4	atp6	rnl	cox3	nad4L	nad5	rns	cox2	rps3	nadb	atp8	nad2	nad3	atp9	nad1	cob
	լե	_	l ľ	Ц	Gleu	cox1	nad4	rps3	nad6	atp8	nad2	nad3	atp9	nad1	cob	cox2	rns	nad5	nad4L	cox3	rnl	atp6
	Ч		I N.	_	Gtsu	cox1	nad4	atp6	rnl	cox3	nad4L	nad5	rns	cox2	rps3	nad6	atp8	nad2	nad3	atp9	nad1	cob
			4	L	Geal	cox1	nad4	atn6	rnl	cox3	nad41	nad5	rns	cox2	atn8	rns3	nad6	nad2	nad3	atp9	nad1	coh
			10	ЧĽ	Chro	corl	nadA	atn6	rnl	cor3	nadAI	nads	rns	cor?	rns?	nadh	atn 8	nad?	nada	atn9	nadl	coh
			0	1	Cree	acri 1	nadt	atric	nnel	0015	nad41	nads	1113	0012	1035	nade	atro	nad?	nads	atn0	nadi	ach
			1۲	ť	Gmer	COXI	11004	aipo	rni	coxs	naa4L	naas	rns	cox2	rpss	nade	aipo	nade	12	atro	11	200
			L	ţŀ	Gsin	coxI	nad4	atpo	rnl	cox3	nad4L	nads	rns	cox2	rps3	naao	atp8	naaz	naa3	aipy	nadI	COD
	╢			4	l'cin	coxI	nad4	naaz	nad3	aipy	rns	cox2	rps3	nado	atp6	rnl	cox3	nad4L	nad5	atp8	nad1	cob
	111		I	ď	Thir	cox1	nad4	nad2	nad3	atp9	rns	cox2	rps3	nad6	atp6	rnl	cox3	nad4L	nad5	atp8	nad1	cob
			d	6	Csul	cox1	nad4L	nad5	nad4	rps3	atp9	cox2	cob	cox3	atp6	nad1	nad6	nad2	nad3	atp8	rns	rnl
			ď	۲ŋ	Fmed	cox1	nad4L	nad5	atp9	cox2	cob	cox3	atp6	nad1	nad6	nad2	nad3	nad4	rnl	rns	rps3	atp8
	۱Ľ		⊣∟	Ľ	Ssan	cox1	nad41	nad5	atp9	cox2	coh	cox3	atn6	nad1	rnl	nad4	rns	rps3	atn8	nad6	nad2	nad3
11	11		L	Ľ	Plam	cor1	nadAI	nads	atn9	cor2	coh	cor3	atro	nadl	nad6	nad2	nadz	rns	nadA	rnl	rns3	atp8
	11		_	]!	r iaill	cox1	nadit	nads	ach	atul	nadi	nadh	nad?	nadi	nadd	1012	atno	0022	atr 9	wn 2	002	nipo nuo
- 11	I–			ľ	Spar	2011	nuu4L	naas	200	aipo	naul	1	nuuz	naas	14	11	uips	2012	aipo	rpss	0000	112
hl	L			Ľ,	Capp	cox1	atp8	atpo	cob	100	aip9	rni	rps3	cox3	naa4	nadl	rns	cox2	nad4L	nads	$nuu_2$	nads
			_	14	Ccib	coxl	atp8	atp6	cob	nadb	atp9	rnl	nad4	nad1	cox3	rps3	rns	cox2	nad4L	nad5	nad2	nad3
				4	Clut	cox1	atp8	atp6	cob	nad6	atp9	rnl	nad4	nad1	cox3	rps3	rns	cox2	nad4L	nad5	nad2	nad3
լլլ				-1	Rsol	cox1	atp8	rnl	atp6	rns	cox2	nad1	nad4	rps3	nad2	nad3	cox3	atp9	cob	nad6	nad4L	nad5
- 11				Б	Coat	cox1	atp8	nad2	nad3	cox2	nad1	cob	rps3	rnl	cox3	nad4	nad4L	nad5	nad6	rns	atp6	atp9
				Ц,	Cneo	cox1	atn8	nad2	nad3	cox2	nad1	coh	rns3	rnl	cox3	nad4	nad41	nad5	nad6	rns	atn6	atp9
4			1	L,	How	cor1	atn8	PDC	atnh	rul	atn9	nad2	nad3	rns3	nad6	nadl	coh	nadl	cor2	nads	nadAI	cor3
1			ď	ሇ	TE	acri 1	atro	1113	atal	nada	nada	atno	nadi	nals	0022	nadi	ach	naul	nadh	una?	acre 2	wn I
				1	1 TUC	coxi	aips	rns	aipo	1442	naas	ups	naa4L	naas	cox2	naal	000	naa4	nuuo	rpss	coxs	rni
1			ł٦	ľ	l'as a	coxI	atp8	nadI	rps3	cob	nad4	nads	nad4L	aipy	nad3	naaz	naao	cox2	atp6	rns	cox3	rni
			1	٩Ļ	Mvio	cox1	atp8	rnl	cox2	rns	nad4L	nad5	rps3	nadb	nad2	nad3	cox3	atp6	nad1	cob	nad4	atp9
			Щ	14	Mlyc	cox1	atp8	rnl	atp9	atp6	nad1	cob	nad4	nad6	cox3	nad3	nad2	nad6	rps3	nad5	nad4L	rns
L			1'	Чī	Rmuc	cox1	atp8	rps3	cob	nad4	cox3	nad1	cox2	atp9	nad4L	nad5	rns	rnl	atp6	nad3	nad2	nad6
			Ŀ	гĽ	Jano	cox1	nad3	nad2	atn8	atn6	cox2	nad1	rns	nad41	nad5	nad4	coh	cox3	nad6	rnl	rps3	atp9
			l d	Ьť	Uhro	cor1	atn8	atn6	cor?	nadl	cor3	coh	rns	nadAI	nads	atn9	nad6	rnl	rns3	nad2	nadz	nadA
			Ц	ťŀ	Unit	cox1	nada	nadi	0012	ach	PROAD	nadit	nads	atn0	nade	nu l	100.2	nad?	nada	0022	atul	atal
			1	H	$\cup$ may	COXI	naa4	atn	nad2	200	ms	nuu4L	naus	up)	nuao	11	pss	11442	nuus	2012	aipo	upo
					the second second	corl	coh	any	nuaz	nads	rDS3	nads	nad4L	rns	COXS	nadl	naao	rni	nad4	COX2	aino	atp8
			Ľ	-II-	Ina	COAT	000	1	10								11					-

**Fig. 3.** Mitochondrial gene arrangement analyses of 76 Basidiomycota species. All genes are shown in order of occurrence in the mitochondrial genome, starting from *cox1*. Fifteen core protein coding genes and two rRNA genes were included in the gene arrangement analysis. The phylogenetic positions of 76 Basidiomycota species were established using the Bayesian inference (BI) method and Maximum Likelihood (ML) method based on concatenated mitochondrial genes. Species and NCBI accession number used for gene arrangement analysis in the present study are listed in Supplementary Table S6.

In this study, the arrangements of 15 core PCGs and 2 rRNA genes in 76 Basidiomycota species were compared (Fig. 3). We found that the gene arrangement of Basidiomycetes varied greatly at the order level, and any fungus from different orders contained inconsistent gene arrangement. In the case of Boletales, it was found that there were three Boletales species with the same gene arrangement, including *R. vinicolor*, *P. involutus* and *P. rubicundulus*, which may represent the gene order of the common ancestor of Boletales. Compared with the putative gene arrangement of Boletales ancestor, the other three Boletales species underwent largescale gene rearrangements, even between species from the same genus, such as Coniophora, and Rhizopogon. In C. olivacea and R. salebrosus, we observed gene position exchange within species compared with gene orders of other Boletales species. The results showed that the arrangement of mitochondrial genes in the two Coniophora species is highly variable.

The complete mitogenomes of 6 Boletales species were analyzed by collinearity analysis, and 18 homologous regions were detected in the 6 Boletales species (Fig. 4). *Coniophora olivacea* was found had lost homologous regions P, Q and R, while C. *puteana* lacked homologous regions R. Homologous regions D, E, I, and J were unique homologous regions in *Coniophora* species, which have never been detected in the other Boletales species. These unique homologous regions were related to *orf416*, *orf400* and *orf302* encoding proteins with unknown functions and *orf113* and *orf516* encoding DNA polymerases. Collinearity analysis showed that *Rhizopogon* species and *Paxillus* species had a high degree of collinearity within and between genera, while *Coniophora* species showed large-scale gene rearrangements, indicating variability of gene arrangement and gene content in *Coniophora* species.

# 3.5. Genetic distance, and evolutionary rates of core PCGs

The *rps3* gene was found had the largest K2P genetic distance between the 6 Boletales species (overall mean 0.41), which indicted this gene had the fastest mutation rate among the 15 core PCGs in Boletales (Fig. 5). The *cox3* and *nad6* genes also showed great gene differentiations between the 6 Boletales species, with an overall mean K2P distance of 0.26 and 0.21, respectively. The *atp8* and *atp9* genes had the lowest mean K2P genetic distance between the 6 Boletales species, indicating that the two genes were highly conservative. We found that there was a close genetic distance between species within the same genera, while the K2P genetic distances between species from different genera varied greatly.

The *rps3* gene was detected had the highest mean nonsynonymous substitution rate (*Ka*) among the 15 core PCGs detected (average value 0.41), followed by the *nad3* gene (average value 0.08) (Fig. 6). While the *atp9* gene had the lowest mean *Ka* value among the 15 core PCGs from the 6 Boletales species (average value 0.03). The *cox1* gene was found exhibited the highest mean synonymous substitution rate (*Ks*) (average value 0.80), while *nad2* exhibited the lowest mean *Ks* value among the 15 PCGs detected (average value 0.27). The *Ka/Ks* values for 13 of the 15 core PCGs ranged from 0 to 0.37 (*Ka/Ks* < 1), indicating that these genes had been subjected to purifying selection in the process of evolution. However, the *Ka/Ks* values of the *nad2* and *rps3* genes were observed more than 1 between some species, including between *C. olivacea* and *C. puteana*, between *R. vinicolor*, *P. involutus*, as well as between *R. vinicolor* and *P. rubicundulus*, indicating



Fig. 4. Gene collinearity analysis of 6 Boletales species using Mauve v2.4.0. Color blocks of the same color represent homologous regions between different mitogenomes. The schematic diagram of *Coniophora olivacea*'s mitogenome is shown at the top of the picture.



Fig. 5. Pairwise genetic distances between each pair of the 15 core PCGs in the 6 Boletales mitogenomes based on the Kimura-2-parameter model. Species and NCBI accession number used for genetic distance analysis in the present study are listed in Supplementary Table S6.

that the two genes may be under pressure of positive selection in some Boletales species.

# 3.6. Intron dynamics of cox1 gene in Basidiomycota species

Introns (coding introns or noncoding introns) could be classified into different Pcls according to their insertion sites in the protein coding region of host gene. Introns belonging to the same Pcls were considered to be homologous and showed high sequence similarities. In the present study, we analyzed the intron dynamics of 76 Basidiomycota mitogenomes, which accounted for 2/3 of Basidiomycota mitogenomes available in the NCBI database. A total of 1058 introns were detected in the 76 Basidiomycota species, with each species containing 0 to 46 introns. Introns were unevenly distributed in core PCGs and rRNA genes of Basidiomycota species. It was found that the *cox1* gene was the largest host gene of introns in Basidiomycota, and about 45.46% of introns were located in it.

We further studied the dynamic changes of introns in *cox1* genes of Basidiomycota. The *cox1* gene of medical fungus *G. calidophilum* [18] was used as the reference to determine corresponding insertion sites of introns in Basidiomycota species. A total of 45 Pcls were detected in *cox1* genes of 76 Basidiomycota species (Fig. 7). *Agaricus bisporus* [52] was found containing the largest number of Pcls, while *cox1* genes of species, such as *Coprinopsis cinerea* [53], *Lyophyllum decastes* [54], and *Schizophyllum commune* [55] did not contain any introns. Pcls present in more than 1/5 of Basidiomycota species were considered as common Pcls in Basidiomycota species were considered to be rare introns. In this study, 12 Pcls were detected widely distributed in Basidiomycota species, of which P383 was the most common, which distributed in 40 of the 76 Basidiomycota species, followed by the P706, which could

be detected in 35 Basidiomycota species. Pcls, including P166, P193, and P218, could only be detected in one of 76 Basidiomycota species. The 6 Boletales species were found containing 19 Pcls, 9 of which were common introns in Basidiomycota, including P209, P383, P612, etc. The class and quantity of introns in different Boletales species varied greatly, which indicated that the loss/gain of introns occurred in the evolution of Boletales. The two newly sequenced Boletales species contained a Pcl (P867), which was not found in other Boletales but in distant species, such as *Laetiporus sulphureus* [29] and *Ustilago maydis*, indicating potential intron transfer events. In addition, the two *Coniophora* species also contained several novel introns in Basidiomycota, including P875, P1110 and P1296, and none of these unique introns has been detected in Boletales and Basidiomycota.

#### 3.7. Comparative mitogenomic analysis and phylogenetic analysis

Comparative mitogenomic analysis showed that the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes were the largest among the 6 Boletales mitogenomes tested, indicating that the *Coniophora* mitogenomes experienced mitogenome expansions in the evolutionary process (Table S1). The GC content of the two *Coniophora* mitogenomes (average 27.47%) was higher than the GC contents of other Boletales species (21.42%). All the 6 Boletales species contained negative AT skews and positive GC skews. Comparative analysis of gene content indicated that the two *Coniophora* species contained the most number of non-intron encoding PCGs among the 6 Boletales species, which was mainly due to the expansion of plasmid-derived genes (genes encoding DNA polymerases) and unknown functional genes in the two saprophytic Boletales species. *C. olivacea* was found containing the most introns (33) in Boletales species.



Fig. 6. Genetic analysis of 15 core protein coding genes conserved in the 6 Boletales mitogenomes. Ka, nonsynonymous substitution site; Ks, synonymous substitution site.

encoding ORFs, while 92.31% introns in *C. puteana* contained intron encoding ORFs. The results indicated that a large number of introns in *C. olivacea* lost their homing ORFs. The two *Coniophora* species

also contained the most number of tRNA genes among the 6 Boletales reported. We found that the intra-genomic repeats accumulated in the two saprophytic Boletales species (the genus

Apsi P196 P318 P383 P612 P623 P706 P717 P807 P867 P900 P1107 P1305 Pmei P383 P706 P706 P1107 Ppac P383 P706 P706 P1107 Abis P193 P196 P209 P237 P278 P369 P383 P490 P612 P706 P717 P807 P894 P941 P971 P1057 P1107 P1262 P1305 - Ccin Lame P383 P612 P1107 Lbic P209 P369 P706 P1305 Ldec Lshi P383 P612 P706 P717 P731 P894 Pcl Frequency Tmat P278 P369 P383 P717 P900 P209 26 P228 P278 P480 P894 P1030 P1057 P1107 P1122 P1125 Abor P75 P237 19 common introns Asin P228 P480 P1030 P1057 40 P383 P237 P480 P1030 P1057 P1107 P1122 P1125 Dtab P75 Asol P75 P228 P278 P480 P900 P1030 P1057 P1107 P1122 P1125 32 P612 Fvel P209 P237 P383 P717 P900 P1125 P1305 P706 35 Ledo P237 P383 P717 P926 P1057 P1107 P1305 Mper P383 P612 P731 P867 P900 P1305 Mror P383 P612 P706 P900 P1305 P717 17 P731 24 Scom 18 Pcit P383 P490 P900 P807 Pery P237 P383 P612 P706 P717 P731 P821 P1125 P1305 17 P867 Post P383 P612 P706 P717 P900 P971 P1107 P1125 P1305 Ppla P383 P612 P706 P717 P900 P971 P1107 P1125 P1305 P900 19 P383 P612 P706 P717 P900 P971 P1107 P1125 P1305 Hrus P273 P612 P1305 P1107 34 Rsal P383 P480 P706 P731 P1057 P1107 P1305 30 **Rvin** P209 P237 P273 P383 P612 P701 P731 P821 P971 P1057 P1107 P1305 Pinv P706 P1107 P75 3 Ectomycorrhizal Prub P273 P166 1 Coli P209 P273 P612 P706 P821 P867 P875 P971 P1110 P1296 P193 1 Saprophytic Cput P209 P867 P1030 P1296 Hcor P196 4 Ldel P278 P1107 P218 1 Lhat P278 P1125 Lhyg P731 P1305 P228 3 Lpip P383 P612 P731 P273 13 Lvol 13 P278 Rabi P278 P807 P900 Rlep P237 P383 P612 P1305 P309 1 Rcom P237 P383 P900 P1107 P318 1 **G R**foe P209 P383 P612 P731 P900 P1107 P706 P369 8 Rvir P273 P383 P612 P706 P717 P731 P807 P900 P1057 P1107 P1305 Hirr 7 P480 P237 P383 P717 P731 P807 P1305 Fpal rare introns Lsul 9 P490 P237 P547 P706 P821 P867 P894 P941 P1107 Prad P209 P237 P278 P480 P547 P706 P726 P900 P926 P1057 P1107 P1125 P1305 3 P528 Gapp P209 P383 P490 P612 P706 P731 P807 P821 P867 P894 P926 P1057 P1262 P1305 2 Gleu P209 P218 P547 P490 P612 P706 P731 P807 P867 P1262 Gtsu P209 P218 P273 P369 P383 P612 P706 P731 P807 P1262 P1305 P623 1 Gcal P278 P369 P383 P612 P706 P717 P807 P867 P894 P900 P971 P1057 P1107 P1305 P701 1 Gluc P209 P273 P706 P867 P926 P1305 1 Gmer P237 P278 P490 P706 P731 P807 P894 P926 P1057 P1305 P726 Gsin P209 P237 P309 P383 P490 P612 P717 P731 P807 P867 P894 P1305 9 P821 Tcm P209 P237 P278 P369 P383 P612 P706 P717 P731 P807 P821 P867 P894 P1107 P1125 P875 1 Thir P273 P612 P731 P807 P900 P1107 P1262 P1305 Csul Fmed P209 P369 P383 P612 P706 P731 P807 P821 P971 P1058 P1107 P1305 P894 10 P926 7 Ssan P209 P237 P369 P383 P612 P731 P807 P894 P900 P926 P941 P971 P1107 P1262 P1305 Plam P731 P941 6 Spar P273 P612 P731 P867 P971 P1125 P971 15 Capp P209 P278 P528 P612 P706 P731 P807 P900 P1107 P1262 P1030 5 Ccib P209 P237 P383 P528 P731 P807 P1107 L Clut P209 P237 P278 P383 P480 P528 P612 P731 P821 P971 P1107 P1125 P1262 13 P1057 P209 P383 P706 P821 P867 P926 P1305 - Rsol Cgat P717 P971 P1107 P1125 P1058 1 P1110 1 Hory Tfuc P1117 1 3 - Tasa P383 P867 P1122 Mvio P196 P209 P273 P383 P490 P612 P941 P971 P1117 P1125 P1305 P1125 15 Mile P190 P209 P273 P383 P612 P706 P717 P867 P941 P971 P1107 P1125 P1305 P1262 8 L Rmuc P209 P941 Jang P706 2 P1296 Ubro P166 P209 P237 P273 P383 P490 P612 P706 P717 P807 P867 P900 P971 P1107 Umay P273 P383 P490 P612 P706 P867 P971 P1107 Tind P209 P383 P706 P1107 P1305 Twal P209 P383 P706 P1107

**Fig. 7.** Position class (Pcl) information of *cox1* gene in the 76 Basidiomycota species. Introns in *cox1* genes of 76 published Basidiomycota mitogenomes were classified into different position classes (Pcls) using the cox1 gene of medical fungus *Ganoderma calidophilum* as the reference. Each Pcl was constituted by introns inserted at the same position of corresponding *cox1* gene and named according to its insertion site in the aligned corresponding reference sequence (nt). The Pcls present in more than 1/5 of Basidiomycota species were considered as common Pcls in Basidiomycota, while introns detected in less than 1/5 of Basidiomycota species were considered to be rare introns. The phylogenetic positions of 76 Basidiomycota species were established using the Bayesian inference (BI) method and Maximum Likelihood (ML) method based on concatenated mitochondrial genes. Species information is shown in Supplementary Table S6.

Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal 19 (2021) 401-414

*Coniophora*) relative to the four ectomycorrhizal Boletales species we tested, and the two saprophytic Boletales species also contained higher protein coding regions due to accumulation of plasmid-derived genes and non-conserved genes.

We obtained identical tree topologies using both Bayesian inference (BI) and Maximum likelihood (ML) methods based on the combined mitochondrial gene set (15 core PCGs + 2 rRNA genes) (Fig. 8). All major clades within the trees were well supported (BPP  $\geq$  0.97; BS  $\geq$  98). Based on the phylogenetic analyses, the 76 *Basidiomycota* species could be divided into 14 major clades, corresponding to the orders Pucciniales, Agaricales, Boletales, Russulales, Polyporales, Hymenochaetales, Cantharellales, Tremellales, Trichosporonales, Microbotryales, Sporidiobolales, Microstromatales, Ustilaginales, and Tilletiales (Table S6). The 6 Boletales species could be divided into two groups, wherein the first comprised two species form the *Coniophora* genus, and the second group comprised four species within the *Paxillus* genus and *Rhizopogon* genus. The results indicated that *Coniophora* differentiated from Boletales in the early stage .

# 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Gene content variation in Boletales mitogenomes

In the present study, we found the core PCGs [56,57] underwent non synonymous and synonymous mutations in Boletales, and different core PCGs showed differentiated mutation rate. The results were consistent with previous studies [58,59]. The *rps3* gene was found differentiated greatly in Boletales species. In addition, two core PCGs, including *nad2* and *rps3*, were observed subjected to strong pressure of positive selection between some Boletales species (Ka/Ks > 1). Different Boletales species have varied life styles, different host plant preferences and diverse habitat environments [5,60]. The positive selection pressure on *nad2* and *rps3* genes may result from differentiations of life styles and environmental adaptations.

In addition to these core PCGs, non-conserved PCGs were frequently detected in mitogenomes of Basidiomycota, including intron encoding ORFs, plasmid-derived genes and ORFs with unknown functions. We found that there were more plasmidderived genes and non-conserved ORFs in the two saprophytic Boletales species than in the four ectomycorrhizal Boletales species. Plasmid-derived genes were thought to be obtained from mitochondrial plasmids [61], which were considered as selfreplicating genetic elements. Mitochondrial plasmids were commonly observed in the mitogenomes of plants and fungi and were believed to have a separate evolutionary history from their hosts [62,63]. In some Basidiomycota species, mitochondrial plasmids were free-living [64,65], while in other species, they were integrated into the mitogenome of Basidiomycota [63,66]. The impact of its dynamic changes on the evolution and function of mitogenome is still unknown. In addition, more non-conserved ORFs were detected in the two Coniophora mitogenome than the other ectomycorrhizal Boletales species, and their functions need to be further revealed to promote a comprehensive understanding of mitochondrial functions and evolution in saprophytic Boletales species. Compared with the four ectomycorrhizal Boletales species, the saprophytic Boletales species contained more tRNA genes. In addition, we found that the tRNA of *Coniophora* species underwent base mutation. Previous studies have found that mutations in tRNAs could affect protein synthesis and metabolism of eukaryotes [67,68]. The effect of tRNA mutation on the growth and development of Coniophora species needs to be further investigated. In general, the variations of gene contents, including intron encoding ORFs, plasmid-derived gene, non-conserved PCGs and tRNA genes, led to variable Coniophora mitogenomes.

#### 4.2. Introns dynamics in Boletales and Basidiomycota mitogenomes

Introns are considered to be one of the main factors leading to the size variations of mitogenomes in fungi [52,69,70]. Compared with the mitochondrial introns of plants, most of which belongs to the group II, while most fungal introns are found belonging to the group I [71]. In the present study, 1058 introns were detected in 76 Basidiomycota species, with an average of 14 introns in each Basidiomycota species. These introns were unevenly distributed in species and genes of the phylum Basidiomycota, and the *cox1* gene was the largest host gene of Basidiomycete introns. The classes of Basidiomycete introns in cox1 genes were diverse, and a total of 45 Pcls were detected in cox1 genes of Basidiomycota species. We found that the type and number of introns in *cox1* gene of the 6 Boletales species varied greatly, which indicated that intron loss/gain may have occurred in the evolution of Boletales. Some intron types were found widely distributed in Boletales species, and they may be obtained from the common ancestor of Boletales. In addition, several Pcls could only be detected in one of the 6 Boletales species, and homologous introns were detected in distant species, indicating potential intron transfer events [52]. Interestingly, we found several novel Pcls in two saprophytic Boletales species, which were not detected in other Boletales species and Basidiomycota species. The origin and evolution of these novel Pcls need to be further investigated, which will help us to understand the evolution of the order Boletales. In addition, we found that the C. olivacea with the most introns among the 6 Boletales species lost most of the intron encoding ORFs, and the P. rubicundulus species lost all of the intron encoding ORFs, indicating that the introns of Boletales are undergoing contraction.

#### 4.3. Mitochondrial gene rearrangements in Boletales species

The rearrangement of mitochondrial genes is one of the important references to reflect the evolutionary status of eukarvotes [22.72.73]. In the past, the arrangement of mitochondrial genes in animals was considered to be conservative. However, with more and more animal mitogenomes having been obtained, gene rearrangements have been frequently observed in animals, and several models have been proposed to reveal the rearrangement of animal mitogenome [74,75]. Compared with the arrangement of animal mitogenomes, the arrangement of fungal mitogenome is highly variable. However, no model has been proposed to reveal the mechanism of mitogenome rearrangement in fungi. In this study, we found that three ectomycorrhizal Boletales species, including R. vinicolor [9], P. involutus, and P. rubicundulus, had identical gene arrangement, which may represent the gene arrangement of the common ancestor of the 6 Boletales species. Compared with the mitochondrial gene arrangement of the presumed ancestor of the 6 Boletales, large-scale gene rearrangements were observed in the two saprophytic Boletales species (Coniophora) and one ectomycorrhizal Boletales species (R. salebrosus), including gene position exchanges, possible gene migrations and gene insertions. Previous studies have shown that the accumulation of repetitive sequences may lead to the recombination of fungal mitogenome, and thus contributing to the rearrangement of fungal mitogenome [71]. In the present study, we observed a large amount of repeat sequences in two saprophytic Boletales species, which may be one of the main factors contributing to large-scale gene rearrangements in the two Coniophora species. However, in R. salebrosus mitogenome [9], no intra-genomic duplications has been detected, but gene rearrangements have been detected, indicating that there may be other driving mechanisms for mitogenome rearrangement of Rhizopogon species, which needs further study.



**Fig. 8.** Molecular phylogeny of 76 Basidiomycota species based on Bayesian inference (BI) and Maximum likelihood (ML) analysis of 15 protein coding genes and two rRNA genes. Support values are Bayesian posterior probabilities (before slash) and bootstrap (BS) values (after slash). The asterisk indicates that the BPP value is 1 and the BS value is 100 of the branch. Species and NCBI accession numbers for mitogenomes used in the phylogenetic analysis are provided in Supplementary Table S6.

#### 4.4. Phylogeny of Basidiomycota based on mitochondrial genes

The phylum Basidiomycota is the largest group of mushroomforming fungi on the earth, which plays an important role in the natural cycle, ecological protection and medical or industrial application [17,62,76]. Understanding the origin and evolution of Basidiomycetes contributes to the understanding and utilization of Basidiomycetes in human life. However, it is very difficult to classify Basidiomycetes only by morphology even in today's rapid development of microscopic observation equipment, because many Basidiomycetes have variable and overlapping morphological characteristics [54]. Therefore, the introduction of molecular markers can greatly promote the understanding of the inheritance, origin and evolution of Basidiomycetes [77]. Up to now, rRNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequence has been widely used in the phylogeny of Basidiomycetes and other fungi [78]. Nuclear genome has also become an important option in the phylogeny of Basidiomycetes, because it can provide enough evolutionary information [79,80]. Compared with ITS sequence and nuclear genomes, mitogenome provide adequate genetic information and is easy to obtain, thus becoming an important tool to understand the origin, classification and evolution of Basidiomycetes [20,81-83]. However, compared with the available nuclear genome of Basidiomycetes, the number of complete mitogenome of Basidiomycetes is far from enough (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/browse#!/overview/), which limits the large-scale application of this important molecular marker in phylogeny of Basidiomycetes. In this study, 2/3 of the complete mitogenomes of Basidiomycetes available in NCBI were included in the phylogeny study. Based on ML and BI methods, we obtained well-supported phylogenetic tree for 76 Basidiomycota species, which showed that mitochondrial gene was a powerful tool to analyze the phylogenetic relationships of Basidiomycetes. In addition, based on the phylogenetic tree, we found that the Coniophora species differentiated from the order Boletales in the early stage. More mitogenomes of Boletales species need to be obtained to understand the origin and evolution patterns of Boletales species with complex lifestyles.

# Funding

This study was supported by the project of protection, breeding and high value utilization of Plateau Characteristic edible fungi resources (2019ZG00906), the project of analysis of species diversity and ecological adaptation mechanism of Boletales based on mitochondrial genome (2019ZG00909-04) and the high tech field expansion project of Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences (2018GXTZ-001).

# 6. Contributions

Data curation and Formal analysis: Q.L., C.X., Z.B., L.L., and W.T.. Funding acquisition and Project administration: P.L., W.H., and M. G.. Visualization: X.J.. Writing - original draft: Q.L. Writing - review & editing: P.W., and Q.L.

# **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2020.12.041.

#### References

- Kauserud H, Shalchian-Tabrizi K, Decock C. Multilocus sequencing reveals multiple geographically structured lineages of Coniophora arida and C. olivacea (Boletales) in North America. Mycologia 2007;99(5):705–13.
- [2] Castanera R, Perez G, Lopez-Varas L, Amselem J, LaButti K, Singan V, et al. Comparative genomics of Coniophora olivacea reveals different patterns of genome expansion in Boletales. BMC Genomics 2017;18(1):883.
- [3] Irbe I, Andersone I, Andersons B, Noldt G, Dizhbite T, Kurnosova N, et al. Characterisation of the initial degradation stage of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) sapwood after attack by brown-rot fungus Coniophora puteana. Biodegradation 2011;22(4):719–28.
- [4] Riley, R., Salamov, A. A., Brown, D. W., Nagy, L. G., Floudas, D., Held, B. W., Levasseur, A., Lombard, V., Morin, E., Otillar, R., Lindquist, E. A., Sun, H., LaButti, K. M., Schmutz, J., Jabbour, D., Luo, H., Baker, S. E., Pisabarro, A. G., Walton, J. D., Blanchette, R. A., Henrissat, B., Martin, F., Cullen, D., Hibbett, D. S., Grigoriev, I. V., 2014. Extensive sampling of basidiomycete genomes demonstrates inadequacy of the white-rot/brown-rot paradigm for wood decay fungi (vol 111, pg 9923, 2014). Proceed Natl Acad Sci USA 111, (41), 14959-14959.
- [5] Floudas D, Binder M, Riley R, Barry K, Blanchette RA, Henrissat B, et al. The Paleozoic Origin of Enzymatic Lignin Decomposition Reconstructed from 31 Fungal Genomes. Science 2012;336(6089):1715–9.
- [6] Kohler A, Kuo A, Nagy LG, Morin E, Barry KW, Buscot F, Canbäck B, Choi C, Cichocki N, Clum A, Colpaert J, Copeland A, Costa MD, Doré J, Floudas D, Gay G, Girlanda M, Henrissat B, Herrmann S, Hess J, Högberg N, Johansson T, Khouja H-R, LaButti K, Lahrmann U, Levasseur A, Lindquist EA, Lipzen A, Marmeisse R, Martino E, Murat C, Ngan CY, Nehls U, Plett JM, Pringle A, Ohm RA, Perotto S, Peter M, Riley R, Rineau F, Ruytinx J, Salamov A, Shah F, Sun H, Tarkka M, Tritt A, Veneault-Fourrey C, Zuccaro A, Tunlid A, Grigoriev IV, Hibbett DS, Martin F. Convergent losses of decay mechanisms and rapid turnover of symbiosis genes in mycorrhizal mutualists. Nat Genet 2015;47(4):410–5. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/ng.3223</u>.
- [7] Martin F, Kohler A, Murat C, Veneault-Fourrey C, Hibbett DS. Unearthing the
- roots of ectomycorrhizal symbioses. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016;14(12):760–73.
  [8] Li Q, He X, Ren Y, Xiong C, Jin X, Peng L, et al. Comparative Mitogenome Analysis Reveals Mitochondrial Genome Differentiation in Ectomycorrhizal and Asymbiotic Amanita Species. Front Microbiol 2020;11:1382.
- [9] Li Q, Ren Y, Shi X, Peng L, Zhao J, Song Y, et al. Comparative Mitochondrial Genome Analysis of Two Ectomycorrhizal Fungi (Rhizopogon) Reveals Dynamic Changes of Intron and Phylogenetic Relationships of the Subphylum Agaricomycotina. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20:(20).
- [10] Li Q, Ren Y, Xiang D, Shi X, Zhao J, Peng L, et al. Comparative mitogenome analysis of two ectomycorrhizal fungi (Paxillus) reveals gene rearrangement, intron dynamics, and phylogeny of basidiomycetes. IMA Fungus 2020;11:12.
- [11] Ernster L, Schatz G. Mitochondria: a historical review. J Cell Biol 1981;91(3 Pt 2):227s-55s.
   [12] M. Disciple J. M. Marcial, G. Mitochondria: more than inter-
- [12] McBride HM, Neuspiel M, Wasiak S. Mitochondria: more than just a powerhouse. Current Biol CB 2006;16(14):R551–60.
- [13] Gu X, Kang X, Liu J. Mutation signatures in germline mitochondrial genome provide insights into human mitochondrial evolution and disease. Hum Genet 2019;138(6):613–24.
- [14] Richards MB, Macaulay VA, Bandelt HJ, Sykes BC. Phylogeography of mitochondrial DNA in western Europe. Ann Hum Genet 1998;62(Pt 3):241–60.
- [15] Li H, Shao R, Song N, Song F, Jiang P, Li Z, et al. Higher-level phylogeny of paraneopteran insects inferred from mitochondrial genome sequences. Sci Rep 2015;5:8527.
- [16] Matheny PB, Wang Z, Binder M, Curtis JM, Lim YW, Nilsson RH, et al. Contributions of rpb2 and tef1 to the phylogeny of mushrooms and allies (Basidiomycota, Fungi). Mol Phylogenet Evol 2007;43(2):430–51.
- [17] Martinkova L, Kotik M, Markova E, Homolka L. Biodegradation of phenolic compounds by Basidiomycota and its phenol oxidases: A review. Chemosphere 2016;149:373–82.
- [18] Li Q, Xiang D, Wan Y, Wu Q, Wu X, Ma C, et al. The complete mitochondrial genomes of five important medicinal Ganoderma species: Features, evolution, and phylogeny. Int J Biol Macromol 2019;139:397–408.
- [19] Ye J, Cheng J, Ren Y, Liao W, Li Q. The First Mitochondrial Genome for Geastrales (Sphaerobolus stellatus) Reveals Intron Dynamics and Large-Scale Gene Rearrangements of Basidiomycota. Front Microbiol 1970;2020:11.
- [20] Sandor S, Zhang Y, Xu J. Fungal mitochondrial genomes and genetic polymorphisms. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2018;102(22):9433–48.
- [21] Mardanov AV, Beletsky AV, Kadnikov VV, Ignatov AN, Ravin NV. The 203 kbp mitochondrial genome of the phytopathogenic fungus Sclerotinia borealis reveals multiple invasions of introns and genomic duplications. PLoS ONE 2014;9(9):e107536.
- [22] Li Q, Chen C, Xiong C, Jin X, Chen Z, Huang W. Comparative mitogenomics reveals large-scale gene rearrangements in the mitochondrial genome of two Pleurotus species. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2018;102(14):6143–53.
- [23] Wang X, Wang YJ, Yao W, Shen JW, Chen MY, Gao M, et al. The 256 kb mitochondrial genome of Clavaria fumosa is the largest among phylum Basidiomycota and is rich in introns and intronic ORFs. IMA fungus 2020;11(1).
- [24] Schubert M, Lindgreen S, Orlando L. AdapterRemoval v2: rapid adapter trimming, identification, and read merging. BMC Res Notes 2016;9:88.
- [25] Chen C, Khaleel SS, Huang H, Wu CH. Software for pre-processing Illumina next-generation sequencing short read sequences. Source Code Biol Med 2014;9:8.

- [26] Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol J Comput Mol Cell Biol 2012;19(5):455–77.
- [27] Hahn C, Bachmann L, Chevreux B. Reconstructing mitochondrial genomes directly from genomic next-generation sequencing reads-a baiting and iterative mapping approach. Nucleic Acids Res 2013;41(13):e129.
- [28] Dierckxsens N, Mardulyn P, Smits G. NOVOPlasty: de novo assembly of organelle genomes from whole genome data. Nucleic Acids Res 2017;45(4): e18.
- [29] Li Q, Yang M, Chen C, Xiong C, Jin X, Pu Z, et al. Characterization and phylogenetic analysis of the complete mitochondrial genome of the medicinal fungus Laetiporus sulphureus. Sci Rep 2018;8(1):9104.
- [30] Valach M, Burger G, Gray MW, Lang BF. Widespread occurrence of organelle genome-encoded 5S rRNAs including permuted molecules. Nucleic Acids Res 2014;42(22):13764–77.
- [31] Bernt M, Donath A, Juhling F, Externbrink F, Florentz C, Fritzsch G, et al. MITOS: improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2013;69(2):313–9.
- [32] Coordinators NR. Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. Nucleic Acids Res 2017.
- [33] Bleasby AJ, Wootton JC. Construction of validated, non-redundant composite protein sequence databases. Protein Eng 1990;3(3):153–9.
- [34] Slater GS, Birney E. Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence comparison. BMC Bioinf 2005;6:31.
- [35] Lowe TM, Chan PP. tRNAscan-SE On-line: integrating search and context for analysis of transfer RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res 2016;44(W1):W54–7.
- [36] Lohse, M., Drechsel, O., Kahlau, S., Bock, R., OrganellarGenomeDRAW–a suite of tools for generating physical maps of plastid and mitochondrial genomes and visualizing expression data sets. Nucl Acids Res 2013, 41, (Web Server issue), W575-81.
- [37] Wang J, Zhang L, Zhang QL, Zhou MQ, Wang XT, Yang XZ, et al. Comparative mitogenomic analysis of mirid bugs (Hemiptera: Miridae) and evaluation of potential DNA barcoding markers. PeerJ 2017;5:e3661.
- [38] Caspermeyer J, MEGA, Evolutionary Software Re-Engineered to Handle Today's Big Data Demands. Mol Biol Evol 2016;33(7):1887.
- [39] Rozas J, Ferrer-Mata A, Sanchez-DelBarrio JC, Guirao-Rico S, Librado P, Ramos-Onsins SE, et al. DnaSP 6: DNA Sequence Polymorphism Analysis of Large Data Sets. Mol Biol Evol 2017;34(12):3299–302.
- [40] Darling AC, Mau B, Blattner FR, Perna NT. Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. Genome Res 2004;14 (7):1394–403.
- [41] Chen Y, Ye W, Zhang Y, Xu Y. High speed BLASTN: an accelerated MegaBLAST search tool. Nucleic Acids Res 2015;43(16):7762–8.
- [42] Benson G. Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 1999;27(2):573–80.
- [43] Ferandon C, Moukha S, Callac P, Benedetto JP, Castroviejo M, Barroso G. The Agaricus bisporus cox1 gene: the longest mitochondrial gene and the largest reservoir of mitochondrial group i introns. PLoS ONE 2010;5(11):e14048.
- [44] Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 1994;22(22):4673–80.
- [45] Deng Y, Hsiang T, Li S, Lin L, Wang Q, Chen Q, et al. Comparison of the Mitochondrial Genome Sequences of Six Annulohypoxylon stygium Isolates Suggests Short Fragment Insertions as a Potential Factor Leading to Larger Genomic Size. Front Microbiol 2018;9:2079.
- [46] Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Briefings Bioinf 2017.
- [47] Vaidya G, Lohman DL, Meier R. SequenceMatrix: concatenation software for the fast assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information. Cladistics 2011;27(2):171–80.
- [48] Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T, Calcott B. PartitionFinder 2: New Methods for Selecting Partitioned Models of Evolution for Molecular and Morphological Phylogenetic Analyses. Mol Biol Evol 2017;34(3):772–3.
- [49] Li Q, Liao M, Yang M, Xiong C, Jin X, Chen Z, et al. Characterization of the mitochondrial genomes of three species in the ectomycorrhizal genus Cantharellus and phylogeny of Agaricomycetes. Int J Biol Macromol 2018;118(Pt A):756–69.
- [50] Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Hohna S, et al. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst Biol 2012;61(3):539–42.
- [51] Stamatakis A. RAXML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and postanalysis of large phylogeneis. Bioinformatics 2014;30(9):1312–3.
- [52] Ferandon C, Moukha S, Callac P, Benedetto JP, Castroviejo M, Barroso G. The Agaricus bisporus cox1 Gene: The Longest Mitochondrial Gene and the Largest Reservoir of Mitochondrial Group I Introns. PLoS ONE 2010;5(11).
- [53] Stajich JE, Wilke SK, Ahren D, Au CH, Birren BW, Borodovsky M, et al. Insights into evolution of multicellular fungi from the assembled chromosomes of the mushroom Coprinopsis cinerea (Coprinus cinereus). PNAS 2010;107 (26):11889–94.
- [54] Li Q, Wang Q, Jin X, Chen Z, Xiong C, Li P, et al. Characterization and comparison of the mitochondrial genomes from two Lyophyllum fungal species and insights into phylogeny of Agaricomycetes. Int J Biol Macromol 2019;121:364–72.

- [55] Specht CA, Novotny CP, Ullrich RC. Mitochondrial DNA of Schizophyllum commune: restriction map, genetic map, and mode of inheritance. Curr Genet 1992;22(2):129–34.
- [56] Li Q, Wang Q, Jin X, Chen Z, Xiong C, Li P, et al. Characterization and comparative analysis of six complete mitochondrial genomes from ectomycorrhizal fungi of the Lactarius genus and phylogenetic analysis of the Agaricomycetes. Int J Biol Macromol 2019;121:249–60.
- [57] Li Q, Wang Q, Chen C, Jin X, Chen Z, Xiong C, et al. Characterization and comparative mitogenomic analysis of six newly sequenced mitochondrial genomes from ectomycorrhizal fungi (Russula) and phylogenetic analysis of the Agaricomycetes. Int J Biol Macromol 2018.
- [58] Wang X, Song A, Wang F, Chen M, Li X, Li Q, et al. The 206 kbp mitochondrial genome of Phanerochaete carnosa reveals dynamics of introns, accumulation of repeat sequences and plasmid-derived genes. Int J Biol Macromol 2020;162:209–19.
- [59] Wang X, Jia LH, Wang MD, Yang H, Chen MY, Li X, et al. The complete mitochondrial genome of medicinal fungus Taiwanofungus camphoratus reveals gene rearrangements and intron dynamics of Polyporales. Sci Rep 2020;10:(1).
- [60] Cui YY, Feng B, Wu G, Xu JP, Yang ZL. Porcini mushrooms (Boletus sect. Boletus) from China. Fungal Divers 2016;81(1):189–212.
- [61] Himmelstrand K, Olson A, Brandstrom Durling M, Karlsson M, Stenlid J. Intronic and plasmid-derived regions contribute to the large mitochondrial genome sizes of Agaricomycetes. Curr Genet 2014;60(4):303–13.
- [62] Cahan P, Kennell JC. Identification and distribution of sequences having similarity to mitochondrial plasmids in mitochondrial genomes of filamentous fungi. Mol Genet Genom MGG 2005;273(6):462–73.
- [63] Formighieri EF, Tiburcio RA, Armas ED, Medrano FJ, Shimo H, Carels N, et al. The mitochondrial genome of the phytopathogenic basidiomycete Moniliophthora perniciosa is 109 kb in size and contains a stable integrated plasmid. Mycol Res 2008;112(Pt 10):1136–52.
- [64] Nakai R, Sen K, Kurosawa S, Shibai H. Basidiomycetous fungus Flammulina velutipes harbors two linear mitochondrial plasmids encoding DNA and RNA polymerases. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2000;190(1):99–102.
- [65] Yui Y, Katayose Y, Shishido K. Two linear plasmid-like DNA elements simultaneously maintained in Pleurotus ostreatus. BBA 1988;951(1): 53-60.
- [66] Ferandon C, Xu J, Barroso G. The 135 kbp mitochondrial genome of Agaricus bisporus is the largest known eukaryotic reservoir of group I introns and plasmid-related sequences. Fungal Genet Biol FG & B 2013;55:85–91.
- [67] Ding Y, Teng YS, Zhuo GC, Xia BH, Leng JH. The Mitochondrial tRNAHis G12192A Mutation May Modulate the Clinical Expression of Deafness-Associated tRNAThr G15927A Mutation in a Chinese Pedigree. Curr Mol Med 2019.
- [68] Yan Q, Li X, Faye G, Guan MX. Mutations in MTO2 related to tRNA modification impair mitochondrial gene expression and protein synthesis in the presence of a paromomycin resistance mutation in mitochondrial 15 S rRNA. J Biol Chem 2005;280(32):29151–7.
- [69] Fan WW, Zhang S, Zhang YJ. The complete mitochondrial genome of the Chanhua fungus Isaria cicadae: a tale of intron evolution in Cordycipitaceae. Environ Microbiol 2019;21(2):864–79.
- [70] Hamari Z, Juhasz A, Kevei F. Role of mobile introns in mitochondrial genome diversity of fungi (a mini review). Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung 2002;49(2– 3):331–5.
- [71] Aguileta G, de Vienne DM, Ross ON, Hood ME, Giraud T, Petit E, et al. High variability of mitochondrial gene order among fungi. Gen Biol Evolut 2014;6 (2):451–65.
- [72] Beaudet D, Terrat Y, Halary S, de la Providencia IE, Hijri M. Mitochondrial genome rearrangements in glomus species triggered by homologous recombination between distinct mtDNA haplotypes. Genome Biol Evol 2013;5(9):1628–43.
- [73] Zhang YJ, Zhang HY, Liu XZ, Zhang S. Mitochondrial genome of the nematode endoparasitic fungus Hirsutella vermicola reveals a high level of synteny in the family Ophiocordycipitaceae. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2017;101 (8):3295–304.
- [74] Lavrov DV, Boore JL, Brown WM. Complete mtDNA sequences of two millipedes suggest a new model for mitochondrial gene rearrangements: duplication and nonrandom loss. Mol Biol Evol 2002;19(2):163–9.
  [75] Xia Y, Zheng Y, Murphy RW, Zeng X. Intraspecific rearrangement of
- [75] Xia Y, Zheng Y, Murphy RW, Zeng X. Intraspecific rearrangement of mitochondrial genome suggests the prevalence of the tandem duplicationrandom loss (TDLR) mechanism in Quasipaa boulengeri. BMC Genomics 2016;17(1):965.
- [76] Maciel MJM, Silva ACE, Ribeiro HCT. Industrial and biotechnological applications of ligninolytic enzymes of the basidiomycota: A review. Electron | Biotechn 2010;13:6.
- [77] James TY, Kauff F, Schoch CL, Matheny PB, Hofstetter V, Cox CJ, et al. Reconstructing the early evolution of Fungi using a six-gene phylogeny. Nature 2006;443(7113):818–22.
- [78] Schoch CL, Seifert KA, Huhndorf S, Robert V, Spouge JL, Levesque CA, et al. Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for Fungi. PNAS 2012;109(16):6241–6.
- [79] Cornell MJ, Alam I, Soanes DM, Wong HM, Hedeler C, Paton NW, et al. Comparative genome analysis across a kingdom of eukaryotic organisms: specialization and diversification in the fungi. Genome Res 2007;17 (12):1809–22.

P. Wu, Z. Bao, W. Tu et al.

Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal 19 (2021) 401-414

- [80] Liu XB, Zhang QQ, Xia XY, Liu XY, Ge L, Yang L. Phylogenetic relationships of ascomycetes and basidiomycetes based on comparative genomics analysis. Genes Genom 2017;39(12):1307–16.
- [81] Wang L, Zhang S, Li JH, Zhang YJ. Mitochondrial genome, comparative analysis and evolutionary insights into the entomopathogenic fungus Hirsutella thompsonii. Environ Microbiol 2018;20(9):3393–405.
- [82] Wang Y, Xu J. Mitochondrial Genome Polymorphisms in the Human
- 2020;145:974-84.