

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Blenkiron C, Tsai P, Brown LA, Tintinger V, Askelund KJ, Windsor JA, et al. (2015) Characterisation of the Small RNAs in the Biomedically Important Green-Bottle Blowfly *Lucilia sericata*. PLoS ONE 10(3): e0122203. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0122203

Academic Editor: Yun Zheng, Kunming University of Science and Technology, CHINA

Received: October 5, 2014

Accepted: February 8, 2015

Published: March 24, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Blenkiron et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The data sets supporting the results in this article are available from the Sequence Read Archive, project number SRP028914.

Funding: Funding was received from internal University of Auckland research grants and from the Maurice Wilkins Centre for Molecular Biodiscovery (<u>www.mauricewilkinscentre.org</u>). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Characterisation of the Small RNAs in the Biomedically Important Green-Bottle Blowfly *Lucilia sericata*

Cherie Blenkiron^{1,2,5}*, Peter Tsai³, Lisa A. Brown², Vernon Tintinger^{4†}, Kathryn J. Askelund², John A. Windsor^{2,5}, Anthony R. Phillips^{2,5,6}

1 Department of Molecular Medicine and Pathology, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand, 2 Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand, 3 Bioinformatics Institute, University of Auckland, Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand, 4 Department of Anthropology, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand, 5 Maurice Wilkins Centre for Biodiscovery, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand, 6 School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

† Deceased.

* c.blenkiron@auckland.ac.nz

Abstract

Background

The green bottle fly maggot, *Lucilia sericata*, is a species with importance in medicine, agriculture and forensics. Improved understanding of this species' biology is of great potential benefit to many research communities. MicroRNAs (miRNA) are a short non-protein coding regulatory RNA, which directly regulate a host of protein coding genes at the translational level. They have been shown to have developmental and tissue specific distributions where they impact directly on gene regulation. In order to improve understanding of the biology of *L. sericata* maggots we have performed small RNA-sequencing of their secretions and tissue at different developmental stages.

Results

We have successfully isolated RNA from the secretions of *L. sericata* maggots. Illumina small RNA-sequencing of these secretions and the three tissues (crop, salivary gland, gut) revealed that the most common small RNA fragments were derived from ribosomal RNA and transfer RNAs of both insect and bacterial origins. These RNA fragments were highly specific, with the most common tRNAs, such as GlyGCC, predominantly represented by reads derived from the 5' end of the mature maggot tRNA. Each library also had a unique profile of miRNAs with a high abundance of miR-10-5p in the maggot secretions and gut and miR-8 in the food storage organ the crop and salivary glands. The pattern of small RNAs in the bioactive maggot secretions suggests they originate from a combination of saliva, foregut and hindgut tissues. Droplet digital RT-PCR validation of the RNA-sequencing data shows that not only are there differences in the tissue profiles for miRNAs and small



Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RNA fragments but that these are also modulated through developmental stages of the insect.

Conclusions

We have identified the small-RNAome of the medicinal maggots *L*. *sericata* and shown that there are distinct subsets of miRNAs expressed in specific tissues that also alter during the development of the insect. Furthermore there are very specific RNA fragments derived from other non-coding RNAs present in tissues and in the secretions. This new knowledge has applicability in diverse research fields including wound healing, agriculture and forensics.

Introduction

Lucilia sericata larvae are commonly known as green-bottle blowfly maggots and are an important species in forensics, agriculture and biomedicine [1,2]. Their ability to assist in wound debridement has been exploited for centuries and they are still used today in the treatment of chronic skin wounds and ulcers to promote healing [3]. *Lucilia* have also proven useful in forensics for estimation of post-mortem intervals [4]. Conversely in agriculture, *Lucilia*, both *L. sericata* and to a greater extent *L. cuprina*, are parasites of sheep causing blow-fly strike which has detrimental economic effects worldwide [5,6].

Medicinal *L. sericata* maggots are believed to have a multifactoral influence on wound healing. Initially believed to be due to the mechanical eating of dead tissue, (debridement), they are now thought to mostly function through their biochemically active excretions and secretions (ES) [7]. The ES has antimicrobial activity [8], protease activity to digest dead wound eschar [9], and even has a direct effect on cells to promote skin wound healing [10]. Studies of *L. sericata* ES have focused on the identification of molecules such as amino acids and fatty acids which may play a role in the wound healing [11,12]. Proteins are also involved, for example, a chymotrypsin is reported to degrade dead wound eschar and has the ability to break up bacterial biofilms which are often formed when a wound is infected [13,14]. A nuclease has been identified that can also degrade bacteria biofilms by breaking down their DNA component [15]. The secretions from sibling species *L. cuprina* have also been reported to have anti-microbial activity, suggesting that this may be a common feature of fly larvae [16].

The full genome for *L. sericata* is not publically available, only the mitochondrial genome is published [17]. Some short DNA sequences have also been released for use in species identification in forensics [1,18]. Due to the importance of this species, the transcriptomes of the developmental stages and dissected salivary glands have recently been published [19]. An expressed sequence tag transcriptome has also been assembled for *L. cuprina* [20]. Gene expression analysis of *Lucilia* has already shown great value as it is accurate in developmental stage estimation for use in forensics [21].

The small RNA profiles in multiple flying insects such as *Drosophila* [22], honey bee (*Apis Mellifera*) [23] and mosquito (*Anopheles; Aedes; Culex*) [24,25] have recently added to the better understanding of their development as well as ability to transmit various diseases as vectors. Therefore, to broaden the biological knowledge of *L. Sericata* we have performed small RNA-sequencing on their larvae tissues and ES. When the data was matched to known small RNA databases we identified both common and tissue specific RNAs, derived from various families of annotated small RNAs. The abundant small RNAs were then were assayed across developmental stages of *L. sericata* and validated in the dissected tissues by droplet digital RT-PCR.

Materials and Methods

Lucilia sericata

Eggs and Instar 2/3 larvae were provided for sterilisation and dissection by Consultant Entomologist Dr Dallas Bishop, Upper Hutt, New Zealand. She also provided the developmental stages of the insect, as determined by visual inspection of morphology, snap frozen on dry-ice. The eggs were laid onto liver, removed and sent by overnight courier to our laboratory with an ice-pack to delay hatching. A colony was also established in Auckland, using the same egg supply and rearing techniques, by Mr Vernon Tintinger. All eggs and larvae were maintained at ambient temperature.

Larvae sterilisation

All equipment and reagents were autoclaved for sterility prior to use. Insect handling was performed in a laminar flow hood and maggots were stored in a sealed box on plates at room temperature.

Eggs were surface sterilised upon arrival approximately 16 hrs after oviposition to mimic the human clinical application state by immersion in 1% sodium hypochlorite for 5min followed by 5min in 70% EtOH with a final rinse in dH₂O. Eggs were transferred to sterile 10% sheep blood, BHI agar, Colombia blood agar base plates (CBB) (Per L: 7.7g calf brain, 9.8g beef heart, 15g proteose peptone, 2g dextrose, 10g sodium chloride, 2.5g Disodium phosphate, 30g agar, 10g pancreatic digest of casein, 5g yeast extract, 3g beefheart infusion, 1g corn starch, 100ml difibrinated sheep blood). Larvae were hatched in a sealed sterile box. After 48 hours the instar 2 maggots were re-bleached briefly in 0.5% sodium hypocholorite and rinsed in 70% EtOH as for the eggs and plated overnight on soy agar plates (Per L: 15g pancreatic digest of casein, 5g papaic digest of soybean, 5g sodium chloride, 15g Agar) to ensure the blood agar was removed from their systems along with potential food-derived animal RNAs.

At each stage of growth, sample sterilised eggs/maggots were crushed and streaked on CBB plates and grown at 37°C overnight to confirm there was no surface bacterial contamination.

Larvae dissection and excretion/secretion collection

On day three after eggs bleaching, at instar 2/3, maggots were used for collection of excretions and secretions (ES) and dissections. Prior to use, maggots were again washed in 70% EtOH for 5 min, rinsed in dH₂O and blotted dry.

To isolate the salivary glands, crop and gut we used a dissecting microscope. Maggots were anaesthetised on ice and quickly placed in a sterile petri dish containing sterile water. Forceps were used at the proximal and distal ends to hold the maggot and pull laterally, ensuring a good hold on the mouthparts. Whole salivary glands, then the diverticulated foregut crop and lastly gut were dissected out and placed into 0.5mL of Trizol LS (Fig. 1).

ES was collected in by placing batches of 50 larvae in 250μ L dH₂O at room temperature in the dark for 1 hour. Maggots were removed and ES spun 5min 2,500g to pellet debris and filtered through a 0.2 μ M syringe filter. Trizol LS was added at a volume of 3:1 as per manufacturers recommendations.

Representative insects from each of the developmental stages (Fig. 1), as determined by time post-hatching and larval size/morphology by Entomologist Dallas Bishop were snap frozen and then homogenized in 1mL Trizol using a Bullet Blender storm.



Fig 1. Lifecycle and anatomy of Lucilia sericata. A. Lifecycle of the blow-fly from eggs through to adult. B. Anatomy of a maggot showing the location of the gut, crop and salivary glands. The gut was sampled from the whole specimen shown. The crop is the round organ filled with air-bubbles which was further dissected away from the mouthparts, highlighted by the dotted-line circle. The salivary glands are the Y-shaped tubes shown here encircled by dotted lines, still attached to the mouthparts.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122203.g001

PLOS ONE

RNA isolation

RNA was extracted from the Trizol samples with a modified Purelink RNA mini kit (Life Technologies) protocol which ensures retention of the small RNA fraction by adding an equal volume of 100% EtOH to the aqueous phase prior to addition onto the filter column. RNA was DNase I treated prior to sequencing using Turbo DNase-free (Ambion). RNA quantity and quality were assessed by Nanodrop and Experion bioanalyser chip (BioRad).

2S rRNA depletion

Modified from the method of Seitz *et. al.* from *Drosophila* [26], a complementary biotinylated oligo, 5'-biotin-TCA ATG TCG ATA CAA CCC TCA ACC ATA TGT AGT CCA AGC A-3', was bound to magnetic beads to deplete tissue RNA (SG, Crop, Gut) of the abundant 2S rRNA fragment. This oligo sequence was based on the most common 2S rRNA read identified in a pilot sequencing run and identical to *Drosophila*.

20 pmol oligo synthesised by IDT was coupled to 0.8mg of M279 streptabeads beads (Life Technologies, NZ) washed prior in 0.5xSSC, by mixing on ice for 30 min. Uncoupled oligos were removed by placing the beads on a magnet and washing once with 0.5x SSC, resuspending in a volume of 80μ L and then incubating at 65°C for 5 min then quenching on ice.

To the coupled beads we added 5µg total RNA denatured previously at 85°C for 5min, then standing for one hour at 50°C. After depletion the rRNA bound beads were removed using a magnet and the supernatant retained. RNA was extracted from the depleted RNA using an Ambion miRvana column (Life Technologies, NZ) to enrich for the <200bp fraction as per manufacturers instructions.

RNA sequencing

Sample inputs were 9.2ng enriched depleted SG, 25.4ng depleted gut, 58.0ng depleted crop, 246.8ng whole ES RNA, all collected from sterilised Instar 3 animals. Small RNA libraries were prepared using a TruSeq small RNA sample preparation kit (Illumina) as standard and run on a MiSeq with two samples per lane, 2x25bp paired end reads. Sequencing was performed as a service by New Zealand Genomics Ltd. The data sets supporting the results in this article are available from the Sequence Read Archive, project number SRP028914.

Sequencing data analysis

MiSeq Reads were linker sequence trimmed, quality trimmed then self-aligned into unique reads. The reads were BLAST against miRBase V19 [27], the Functional RNA database (fRNAdb) [28], and the genomic tRNA database (gtRNAdb) [29] allowing a single mismatch within the query sequence. Unmatched reads from the top ten most common URs by count were BLAST searched against GenBank, nr, and RefSeq databases for all species for identification. Due to the lack of a genome of alignment and the presence of contaminating bacterial RNAs, miRNA abundances were normalised to the total number of reads matching to miRBase V19 content.

Droplet digital RT-PCR (ddPCR) validation

We used ddPCR to validate the tissue specificity and developmental stage expression of selected miRNAs. Briefly, RNA was isolated from whole samples at seven developmental stages after homogenisation in Trizol with a Purelink Mini kit (Invitrogen) following the protocol for total RNA or from dissected tissues as previously detailed. Developmental stages were RNA extracted in triplicates from individuals (pupae/adult) or pools of insects. The dissected tissues were tested in singleplex due to the lack of excess source material and six replicate ES samples, collected from Instar 1 animals, were assayed. RNA was DNase treated using Zymo DNA-free RNA kit (Zymo Research) and RNA yield was assessed by a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (Life Technologies) for accuracy.

cDNA was synthesised from 100ng RNA using the Qiagen miScript cDNA kit and diluted 1:10 to 1:4000 with distilled water before use. PCR was performed with custom small RNA primers and predesigned miScript miRNA primer assays (Qiagen) using ddPCR EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad). Reactions were carried out with 4 μ l of the diluted cDNA in a 20 μ l final volume, then mixed with 70 μ l Evagreen droplet generation oil for droplet synthesis using the QX200 system. From this, 40 μ l of PCR-oil mix was cycled as per manufacturers recommended conditions and read on a QX200 droplet reader. Positive droplet counts as determined by Quantasoft software with samples with less than 10,000 droplets discarded and repeated. The quantification is presented as copies per μ l of PCR mixture adjusted for the cDNA dilution factor. The use of ddPCR which does not require a reference gene is ideal for this application due to a lack of genome for *L. sericata* to assess conservation of standard small RNAs such as U6.

Results

Small RNA-sequencing was performed on tissues from instar 3 larvae and also from their bioactive ES (Fig. 1). A pilot sequencing run of an unsterilised *L. sericata* salivary gland (SG) library was found to contain a single unique read at a very high abundance where of 2.71M total reads, 91% of these matched to this single RNA. This read aligned to the 30nt 2S rRNA which is reported to be present in all Diptera such as *Drosophila* [30]. In order to remove some of this 2S rRNA sequence from the subsequent tissue RNA samples, we performed a targeted oligodepletion step prior to library preparations as per protocols used for *Drosophila* [26]. This proved successful and depleted the sequence from accounting for 91% of all counts in SG down to 50%.

Our initial pilot run also included an unsterilised excretion and secretion (ES) library which was found to contain an unexpectedly large amount of bacterial RNA. Medicinal maggots are used in the clinic after a process designed to generate "sterile" larvae, which we undertook to replicate by bleaching of eggs and then bleaching 3-day old larvae before collection of 'steri-lised' tissue and ES samples. This bleaching is essentially a surface sterilisation process. Four tissue specific libraries were prepared from the instar 2/3 *L. sericata* larvae for ES, salivary gland (SG), GUT and CROP (Fig. 1). The latter three tissues were 2S rRNA depleted before sequencing. Each library generated between 4.4–7.6M reads (Table 1). Most reads were 26nt in length after adaptor and quality trimming, although we expect this to be size-biased by the high abundance of the 30nt insect 2S rRNA. The complexity of the libraries in terms of 'singleton' reads, that is unique reads (UR) with a single count in the sample, varied across libraries ranging from 68–75% of all UR but accounting for only 3–6% of all counts.

Reads were BLAST searched against three small RNA databases (miRBase, fRNAdb and gtRNAdb) to identify known annotated small RNAs and their species of origin (<u>Table 1</u>). Only 2.5–3.7% of UR matched to these databases, but these comprised 35–69% of all counts in the samples. Bacterial RNAs comprised 40–89% of all URs in the four libraries with the highest proportion in CROP (88.8%) and surprisingly the lowest in GUT (39.6%). Conversely, the CROP only contained 30.3% of UR and 18.4% of read counts from insect origins.

When we focused on the database matched insect-derived small RNAs, each sample had a slightly different profile of RNA types (<u>Table 2</u>). The most common small RNA by UR was ribosomal RNA (rRNA) in all samples except for the GUT, which had a higher number of transfer RNA (tRNA) URs (55.6%). The single UR with the highest count in all libraries was either the 2S rRNA or tRNA GlyGCC. Indeed the 2S rRNA fragment, even with the depletion step included in the tissue sample processing, still accounted for 74% of matched counts in SG although only 11–14% of GUT and CROP counts.

The top ten most common URs in each of the four samples were further BLAST searched against RefSeq databases (<u>S1 Table</u>). Firstly, this confirmed that much of the bacterial RNA in the ES was successfully removed by our surface sterilisation of the maggots. This was evidenced by the reduction from all ten reads matching to bacteria in the pilot unsterilised ES down to only three of ten reads post-sterilisation. In the tissue libraries, SG, GUT and CROP, we see variation in the number of bacterial and insect matches. All GUT top ten reads were insect-derived, whereas the CROP sample was almost the direct opposite with 9 of the 10 matching bacterial RNAs. In both ES and CROP we identified a 23S rRNA fragment which maps uniquely to the bacterium *Proteus mirabilis*. Another 23S rRNA fragment derived from closely related genus *Providencia* was also detected at high abundance in the SG and CROP samples.

We next looked at the patterns of insect tRNA fragments that were found in each sample (Fig. 2; S2 Table). A tRNA GlyGCC was the most common fragment identified, accounting for 55–70% of all insect tRNA counts. Other common tRNAs were AspGTC, LysCTT, LysTTT,

| Table 1. § | summary of c | ounts per li | brary and ui | nique reads | once self-al | igned. | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | Total d | ata set | Sing | letons | miRB | ase ^a hes | gtRNAdb | matches ^b | Riboson mato | nal RNA ches | Insect m | latches | bacteria | matches |
| Sample | Counts | UR | Counts | R | Counts | R | Counts | R | Counts | ЛR | Counts | R | Counts | ЛR |
| ES all data | 6785399 (100%) | 289464 (100%) | 197489 (2.9%) ^c | 197489 (68.2%) ^d | | | | | | | | | | |
| ES matched | 4462642 (65.8%) | 10621 (3.7%) | 3731 (0.01%) ^e | 3731 (35.1%) ^f | 2287 (0.05%) | 127 (1.2%) | 887957 (19.9%) | 5909 (55.6%) | 3518995 (78.9%) | 3601 (33.9%) | 4016110 (90.0%) | 4615 (43.5%) | 442048 (9.9%) | 5430 (51.1%) |
| SG All data | 4439026 (100%) | 317864 (100%) | 233500 (5.3%) | 233500 (73.5%) | | | | | | | | | | |
| SG matched | 3048999 (68.7%) | 9558 (3.0%) | 3516 (0.1%) | 3516 (8.3%) | 16146 (0.53%) | 423 (4.4%) | 560570 (18.4%) | 4302 (45.0%) | 2451184 (80.4%) | 3801 (39.8%) | 2678854 (87.9%) | 4802 (50.2%) | 368505 (12.1%) | 4179 (43.7%) |
| GUT AII data | 7487282 (100%) | 450326 (100%) | 328450 (4.4%) | 328450 (72.9%) | | | | | | | | | | |
| GUT matched | 2676481 (35.7%) | 14737 (3.3%) | 7602 (0.2%) | 7602 (51.6%) | 23071 (0.86%) | 409 (2.8%) | 1321365 (49.3%) | 12729 (86.4%) | 1318496 (49.3%) | 4117 (27.9%) | 2494445 (93.2%) | 8318 (56.4%) | 172922 (6.5%) | 5839 (39.6%) |
| CROP All data | 7563354 (100%) | 599206 (100%) | 450769 (6.0%) | 450769 (75.2%) | | | | | | | | | | |
| CROP matched | 2700838 (35.7%) | 14918 (2.5%) | 4758 (0.2%) | 4758 (31.9%) | 11568 (0.43%) | 386 (2.6%) | 1713100 (63.4%) | 9101 (61.0%) | 438935 (16.3%) | 3785 (25.5%) | 497270 (18.4%) | 4522 (30.3%) | 1722144 (63.8%) | 13256 (88.8%) |
| ^a matched | reads that aliç | gn to known | miRNAs in r | miRBase V19 |) for any spe | icies, | | | | | | | | |
| ^b matched | reads that ali | gn to the ger | nomic transfe | er RNA datab | ase for any | species, | | | | | | | | |
| cpercenta | ge relative to t | otal unmato | hed counts; | | | | | | | | | | | |

PLOS ONE | DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0122203 March 24, 2015

dercentage relative to total unmatched UR;

^epercentage relative to total matched counts; ^fpercentage relative to total matched UR.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122203.t001

| non-coding RNA. |
|-----------------|
| đ |
| t types |
| differen |
| õ |
| reads t |
| matched |
| đ |
| Summary |
| Table 2. |

| | Ribos | omal RNA | Trans | sfer RNA | Rec Pa | ignal ognition article | Ē | RNA | Óthe In | er RNA' sect | Tra Mess R | nsfer senger NA | RN | Ase P | 'Oth∉ Ba | er RNA' cteria | Cuk | uwou | Ĕ | otal |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----|-------|------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----|-------|-------------|-------------------|-----|-------|-------|---------|
| Sample | ЯIJ | Count | Л | Count | R | Count | R | Count | ЛR | Count | ЯŊ | Count | R | Count | R | Count | R | Count | R | Count |
| ES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All ^a | 3601 | 3518995 | 6228 | 919430 | 55 | 303 | 130 | 2287 | 96 | 1194 | 109 | 17236 | 134 | 538 | 115 | 579 | 140 | 655 | 10608 | 4461217 |
| Insect | 2563 | 3505802 | 1751 | 506339 | 6 | 45 | 130 | 2287 | 61 | 1139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 498 | 4615 | 4016110 |
| Bacteria | 652 | 10571 | 4374 | 412866 | 46 | 258 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 17236 | 134 | 538 | 115 | 579 | 0 | 0 | 5430 | 442048 |
| SG | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AII | 3801 | 2451184 | 4680 | 575440 | 45 | 179 | 418 | 16146 | 87 | 354 | 126 | 6073 | 81 | 313 | 96 | 242 | 255 | 2646 | 9589 | 3052369 |
| Insect | 2832 | 2443157 | 1392 | 218754 | 8 | 102 | 418 | 16146 | 64 | 289 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 136 | 4802 | 2678854 |
| Bacteria | 679 | 5640 | 3160 | 356170 | 37 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 6073 | 81 | 313 | 96 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 4179 | 368515 |
| GUT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AII | 4117 | 1318496 | 9201 | 1321365 | 81 | 472 | 391 | 23071 | 197 | 4636 | 195 | 4494 | 111 | 248 | 179 | 1053 | 265 | 2646 | 14737 | 2676481 |
| Insect | 3020 | 1293594 | 4630 | 1172835 | 41 | 291 | 391 | 23071 | 172 | 4503 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 151 | 8318 | 2494445 |
| Bacteria | 822 | 18721 | 4494 | 148227 | 37 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 4494 | 111 | 248 | 179 | 1053 | - | - | 5839 | 172922 |
| CROP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AII | 3785 | 438935 | 13061 | 1713100 | 96 | 429 | 371 | 11568 | 56 | 222 | 271 | 54582 | 226 | 1944 | 270 | 2994 | 173 | 2000 | 18309 | 2225774 |
| Insect | 2607 | 404716 | 1483 | 80783 | N | 23 | 371 | 11568 | 29 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 31 | 4522 | 497270 |
| Bacteria | 1005 | 31540 | 11390 | 1630678 | 93 | 405 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 54582 | 226 | 1944 | 270 | 2994 | - | ۰ | 13256 | 1722144 |
| ^a Includes | insect, | bacterial, ot | her and L | unknown sp | ecies | matches. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| doi:10.1371 | /journal.pv | one.0122203.t | 002 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |







Fig 2. Summary of insect tRNA counts in L. sericata tissue libraries. The proportion of each tRNA in the different tissue libraries as normalised to the total number of insect tRNA matches in that sample. tRNAs are denoted by their amino acid name.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122203.g002

HisGTG, ProCGG and ValCAC. Interestingly when the fragments are matched to the known *Drosophila* mature tRNA sequences they are predominantly at the 5' end, particularly when the tRNA is highly abundant. The fragmentation patterns for tRNAs were generally consistent across samples with the only differences seen for those with lower read counts suggesting that they are random degradation products.

Finally we focused on the identification of known miRNA homologues by BLAST searching against miRBase V19 (Fig. 3; S3 Table). The miRNA matches for each sample comprised only a small proportion (1.2-4.4%) of the total matched URs. This low number is due to the high levels of contaminating bacterial RNAs and insect rRNA/tRNAs which dominated the samples. The miRNA profiles between samples varied distinctly. The most common miRNA in the ES library for example was miR-10-5p which accounted for 46% of all matched miRNA counts and was also the highest in GUT (31%). However, this only contributed 2.6% in SG and 4% in CROP. This variation continued with the second most common in the ES, miR-263a, comprising 15% of all miRNA ES reads. This was the most abundant miRNA in SG at 29% but only accounted for 2% in GUT and 10% in CROP. Looking at the solid tissues, rather than the secreted ES sample, we see many highly abundant Drosophila miRNAs were also found in L. sericata larval tissues, such as miR-8, miR-31a, bantam and miR-275 [22]. In our data we also found a small number of reads which matched to miRNAs in miRBase but appear to be misannotated small RNA fragments. For example, sha-miR-716b from Sarcophilus harrisii (Tasmanian devil) also matched to fRNAdb as a fragment of insect large subunit rRNA. To note, we have also identified some low read count mammalian miRNAs in our tissue libraries, such as miR-486-5p, a red blood cell abundant miRNA, that we hypothesise to be derived from the

PLOS ONE

Small RNAs in Bioactive Maggot Secretions



miRBase miRNA match

Fig 3. Abundance of miRNA counts in L. sericata tissue libraries. Matching of the counts to miRBase V19 identified known annotated miRNAs from insect and mammals. The counts per matched miRNA as a proportion of all counts that matched to miRBase are shown in the four tissues. Species nomenclature is used for the miRNA with the perfect matches to the L. sericata reads: dme, Drosophila melanogaster (fruit fly); tca, Tribolium castaneum (red flour beetle); sha, Sarcophilus harrisii (Tasmanian Devil); dps, Drosophila pseudoobscura (fruit fly); aae, Aedes aegypti (Mosquito).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122203.g003

sheep blood agar which was provided as a food source for the maggots. Unfortunately, due to the current lack of a genome for L. sericata and the limited depth of our RNA-seq data we are unable to identify novel miRNAs in the species.

In order to validate and expand on the findings from the RNA-seq we performed droplet digital RT-PCR on RNAs from dissected tissues and also from various developmental stages of L. sericata from whole eggs through larval stages to pupae and eventually to newly emerged adult fly (specifically ES, SG, Gut, Crop, eggs, instar 1, instar 2, early instar 3, late instar 3, pupae, and newly emerged Adult fly). We assessed the highly common 2S rRNA fragment, tRNA GlyGCC 5' fragment, and 7 miRNAs in these samples (miR-10-5p, miR-184-3p, miR-31a-5p, miR-263a, miR-8-3p, miR-276a-3p, miR-956-3p) (Fig. 4). This method was chosen to negate issues with finding a suitable small RNA normaliser for use in standard quantitative RT-PCR due to the lack of genome for *L. sericata*. The ddPCR analysis validated the presence of the identified miRNAs and RNA small fragments in the dissected tissues. It also highlighted the variability seen for small RNAs that are commonly used as qRT-PCR reference genes, such as 2S rRNA, between tissue types. Overall, in agreement with the sequencing data, the miRNAs are less abundant in ES than rRNA or tRNA suggesting that the bulk of the insect derived RNA found in ES comprises these small RNA families.



Fig 4. Droplet digital RT-PCR validation of small RNAs in tissue samples and through *L. sericata* developmental stages. A. Assessment of small RNA abundances in dissected tissues, instar 1 ES and whole instar 1 larvae and B. throughout developmental stages. Average of replicates (for ES and developmental stages) plotted and error bars are standard deviations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122203.g004

We also detected differences in many of the small RNAs assayed through developmental stages. For the most part, their abundance is lowest in eggs with an increase after hatching into instar 1 larvae. The miRNAs have specific patterns of expression throughout the developmental process with miR-10-5p gradually declining in abundance and miR-956-3p having the opposite pattern of expression.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to use small RNA-sequencing technology to identify the profiles in a range of medicinal maggot tissue samples and in its ES. The standard for analysis of such data is to initially map the reads to the genome of interest before further analysis, discarding any which are unmapped. In our case this was not possible due to lack of a complete published genome. We note therefore that this dataset is limited to common subcategories of small RNAs from orthologous insects. However because of our non-genome-mapping approach to the analysis we were able to identify small RNAs in an unbiased manner, including both fly and

bacterial sequences. The RNA component present in ES appears to be derived from all its potential tissue sources (gut, saliva and crop regurgitation). In terms of the overall RNA profiles, tRNA and miRNA patterns, the SG and CROP source libraries are most similar to one another, possible due to the close proximity of these tissues in the maggot foregut.

Bacterial small RNA signatures

Small RNA-seq of *L. sericata* tissues and ES highlighted the presence of a varied profile of commonly annotated small RNAs, derived from insect, bacterial and food sources. The surface bleaching of eggs and larvae into medicinal-grade maggots, did as expected and removed a large proportion of bacterial burden; however the database read matches still identified bacterial RNAs in the tissues and ES. The bacterial content was highest in the crop, a food storage organ [31], which had almost 10-fold more bacterial RNA reads than the gut sample. The crop is where pathogenic bacteria are killed so that the gut remains for the most part, sterile [32]. Thus the crop acts as a major reservoir for pathogens and bacteria. Read matches from the CROP library pinpointed the presence of *Proteus mirabilis* 23s rRNA, a known symbiotic bacterium in flies [33] and also *Providencia*, identified as an insect pathogen in *Drosophila* [34]. The bacterial rRNAs and tRNAs in all of the libraries show very specific fragmentation patterns. The origins of these RNAs could be due to either bacterial autolysis [35] or even due to specific RNA secretion from the live bacteria as recently reported for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [36].

Maggot small RNA profile

Here we have shown for the first time that the bioactive maggot ES contains RNA. Furthermore the RNA profiling of the three tissues from the gastrointestinal tract of *L. Sericata* has shown that each has a distinct pattern of small RNA expression. The most common reads in all samples were fragments from 2S rRNA and tRNA GlyGCC. The 2S rRNA is found only in Diptera, processed as a 30nt fragment from the 5S rRNA [37]. It is commonly used as a loading control for miRNA/siRNA expression analysis as it is ubiquitously present in all tissues at a high level [38,39], its high abundance causing a skew in overall size profiles in the sequencing libraries. This 2S rRNA was present at high levels in all *L. sericata* samples we assayed by RNA-seq and across the developmental stages of the insect by ddPCR. Its function, other than as a ribosomal component is unknown. The ddPCR validation showed that the 2S rRNA was present in all tissues and the ES, at levels 13 to 22-fold higher than the most abundant miRNA assayed.

The second most common single read in the RNA-seq libraries was a very specific fragment (tRF) from the 5' end of the GlyGCC tRNA. This tRF was in particular highly abundant in the GUT and ES libraries and ddPCR showed it was 37 to 60-fold more abundant in these than any of the other miRNAs assayed. Other 5' tRFs that had high counts in all tissue libraries were AspGTC, LysCTT and HisGTG. Indeed, all of the tRFs with high count numbers were derived from the 5' end of the mature tRNAs. Furthermore the dominant fragment for each tRNA was found to be consistent across the different tissues. tRFs have been explored in response to stress in mammals where they can function as novel global translation inhibitors [40,41]. In Drosoph*ila*, tRFs have been found complexed with the piRNA-binding protein PIWI and have a role in RNA silencing [42]. In the archeon *Haloferax volcanii* these tRFs bind to the ribosome to interfere with peptidyl transferase activity and reduce translation [43]. In the ES we also identified a high abundance of tRFs. This parallels what was seen in mouse serum samples where 5' tRNA halves are present in 100-300KDa protein complexes, with the most common read being GlyGCC [44]. These protein complexes may therefore provide protection from degradation in biofluids such as serum and ES. Interestingly GlyGCC 5' tRF is also induced in mammalian endothelial cells after bacterial infection [45]. It was predicted to directly regulate mRNAs, using

the same mechanisms as miRNAs, with targets including genes important in the infection response; endothelial barrier, inflammatory response and autophagy [45]. It is therefore possible that secreted tRNA has an anti-bacterial function, perhaps even controlling symbiotic relationships with bacteria such as *Proteus* and *Providencia*. Other fragments identified in addition to=these 5' tRNA fragments were at very low counts and were likely to be non-specific degradation products.

Maggot microRNA profile

MicroRNA profiling in insects has been performed on many different species from the model organism *Drosophila* to mosquitos and ticks, which are commonly responsible for the transmission of disease in mammals [22,25,46]. Many of these studies have profiled the miRNAs throughout the developmental stages of the insect and/or in dissected tissues. Our RNA-seq data identified 125 known miRNAs in various tissue libraries synthesised from sterilised *L. sericata*. These sequence matches were predominantly to miRNAs from flying insects such as *Drosophila* (82; 66%), suggesting that they're directly derived from the maggot rather than from the bacterial and food source contamination. Some low count mammalian miRNAs were found but these were rare as we ensured that the maggots were starved overnight of their sterile blood food source before sampling.

Each of the tissue libraries had a different profile of miRNAs which in the most part was validated by ddPCR. For example, two anti-apopototic miRNAs in *Drosophila* miR-263a and miR-8 [47] were the most common miRNA reads in salivary glands, and crop respectively in the sequencing data. In line with the finding for Gly GCC being enriched in the ES and GUT samples, these two libraries show similar patterns of miRNA abundances such as miR-10-5p and miR-956-3p.

When the *L. sericata* miRNAs are compared to published insect profiles, we see many overlap in terms of their overall abundance or their tissue expression profiles. For example miR-8, miR-184, and bantam are found in all *Drosophila* tissues [22] and miR-184, bantam and miR-263a are present in salivary glands from the tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis* [48].

All of the miRNAs assessed by ddPCR were expressed at relatively low levels in *L. sericata* eggs and then rapidly increased in instar 1 larvae (3.5 to 55-fold increase). It appears therefore that miRNAs are switched on during larval development and are likely important in the regulation of this process. Furthermore, most miRNAs slightly declined in levels between instar 1 and early instar 3 before either continuing this decline (e.g. miR-10-5p) or increasing again from late instar 3 to adults (miR-184-3p, miR-31a-5p, miR-276a-3p). This increase in expression at late instar 3 could be associated with feeding and rapid growth. The increase in later larval stages, pupae and adults of these miRNAs was also reported for the silkworm moth *Bombyx mori* [49]. Conversely, miR-10-5p appears to peak at instar 1 and then gradually decline in levels in late instar 3 to adult, which is not recapitulated in the silkworm moth. miR-956-3p, which is expressed higher in the gut and ES compared to the crop and SG, was found at much higher levels in the newly emerged adult fly than in the other developmental stages. This miRNA has predicted gene targets involved in muscle development, growth and signaling in *Drosophila* [50].

The variation in miRNA abundance between the developmental stages proposes the potential for them to be used in aging of maggots. Gene expression analysis has proven valuable in forensics for accurate determination of developmental stages. A set of miRNAs, such as miR-10-5p which decreases through instars and miR-956-3p which increases could therefore be useful in forensic staging. Furthermore miRNAs are more stable than messenger RNAs which are currently assayed [51]. In addition, we have identified various miRNAs, such as miR-8-3p, that are present in all larval stages of *L. sericata*. It is possible that novel siRNA-based therapeutics, aimed at the interference of these insect-specific miRNAs might be useful in the treatment of blow-fly infections in agriculture to hinder larval development.

The multimodal effects seen when maggot ES is used in the clinical setting is probably due to their diverse molecular composition, with proteins, fatty acids and peptides all found to be functional in promoting wound healing [8,12-15,52]. The RNA component of ES that we have identified here may also have activity in wound healing. Indeed Wang *et al* discussed the potential for miRNAs to act as antimicrobials by downregulating bacterial gene expression [53]. In the literature, RNA within bioactive insect secretions are limited to the study of the Honey Bee (*Apis*) which produces both honey and royal jelly. The components of the royal jelly secretions have epigenetic effects on gene expression such that a worker bee fed on it will develop into a queen bee. Like the maggot ES, royal jelly has recently been shown to contain specific miRNAs, including miR-184, -276a, -10, -8, -31a and bantam [54], all of which overlap with our maggot ES findings. Furthermore, royal jelly itself is a proposed natural therapy for treatment of chronic wounds [55] and has potent anti-bacterial properties [56].

Conclusions

The small RNA profile and in particular the miRNA profile in *L. sericata* may hold great interest for many research fields from forensics to agriculture. We have identified common subcategories of small RNA in the tissues of *L. sericata* and shown that these also vary by developmental stage. Furthermore, these tissues commonly contain specific fragments of ribosomal and transfer RNAs which appear to be more than simply degradation products. Finally, we have shown for the first time that the secretions of *L. sericata* maggots contain RNA, which we propose may be directly involved in its potency as an antimicrobial and wound healing agent.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Top Ten unique reads in maggot libraries matched to RefSeq/GenBank. (XLSX)

S2 Table. Summary of tRNA fragment counts from insect-matched reads. (XLSX)

S3 Table. Matches of unique reads to miRBase V19 for each tissue library. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dallas Bishop for provision of *L. sericata* larvae and developmental stages and Prof. Cristin Print for bioinformatics support for this project.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: CB KA JW AP. Performed the experiments: CB LB VT KA. Analyzed the data: CB PT. Wrote the paper: CB JW AP.

References

 Nelson LA, Lambkin CL, Batterham P, Wallman JF, Dowton M, et al. (2012) Beyond barcoding: a mitochondrial genomics approach to molecular phylogenetics and diagnostics of blowflies (Diptera: Calliphoridae). Gene 511: 131–142. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2012.09.103 PMID: 23043935

- Concha C, Belikoff EJ, Carey BL, Li F, Schiemann AH, et al. (2011) Efficient germ-line transformation of the economically important pest species Lucilia cuprina and Lucilia sericata (Diptera, Calliphoridae). Insect Biochem Mol Biol 41: 70–75. doi: <u>10.1016/j.ibmb.2010.09.006</u> PMID: <u>20869440</u>
- Whitaker IS, Twine C, Whitaker MJ, Welck M, Brown CS, et al. (2007) Larval therapy from antiquity to the present day: mechanisms of action, clinical applications and future potential. Postgrad Med J 83: 409–413. PMID: <u>17551073</u>
- Reibe S, Doetinchem PV, Madea B (2010) A new simulation-based model for calculating post-mortem intervals using developmental data for Lucilia sericata (Dipt.: Calliphoridae). Parasitol Res 107: 9–16. doi: <u>10.1007/s00436-010-1879-x</u> PMID: <u>20440626</u>
- Heath AC, Bishop DM (2006) Flystrike in New Zealand: An overview based on a 16-year study, following the introduction and dispersal of the Australian sheep blowfly, Lucilia cuprina Wiedemann (Diptera: Calliphoridae). Vet Parasitol 137: 333–344. PMID: <u>16464534</u>
- Rose H, Wall R (2011) Modelling the impact of climate change on spatial patterns of disease risk: sheep blowfly strike by Lucilia sericata in Great Britain. Int J Parasitol 41: 739–746. doi: <u>10.1016/j.</u> ijpara.2011.01.012 PMID: <u>21397606</u>
- Blake FA, Abromeit N, Bubenheim M, Li L, Schmelzle R (2007) The biosurgical wound debridement: experimental investigation of efficiency and practicability. Wound Repair Regen 15: 756–761. PMID: 17971022
- Cerovsky V, Zdarek J, Fucik V, Monincova L, Voburka Z, et al. (2009) Lucifensin, the long-sought antimicrobial factor of medicinal maggots of the blowfly Lucilia sericata. Cell Mol Life Sci 67: 455–466. doi: 10.1007/s00018-009-0194-0 PMID: 19921400
- 9. Telford G, Brown AP, Rich A, English JS, Pritchard DI (2012) Wound debridement potential of glycosidases of the wound-healing maggot, Lucilia sericata. Med Vet Entomol.
- Horobin AJ, Shakesheff KM, Pritchard DI (2005) Maggots and wound healing: an investigation of the effects of secretions from Lucilia sericata larvae upon the migration of human dermal fibroblasts over a fibronectin-coated surface. Wound Repair Regen 13: 422–433. PMID: <u>16008732</u>
- 11. Bexfield A, Bond AE, Morgan C, Wagstaff J, Newton RP, et al. (2010) Amino acid derivatives from Lucilia sericata excretions/secretions may contribute to the beneficial effects of maggot therapy via increased angiogenesis. Br J Dermatol 162: 554–562. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.2009.09530.x PMID: 19799603
- Zhang Z, Wang S, Diao Y, Zhang J, Lv D (2010) Fatty acid extracts from Lucilia sericata larvae promote murine cutaneous wound healing by angiogenic activity. Lipids Health Dis 9: 24. doi: <u>10.1186/1476-511X-9-24</u> PMID: <u>20211009</u>
- Harris LG, Nigam Y, Sawyer J, Mack D, Pritchard DI (2013) Lucilia sericata chymotrypsin disrupts protein adhesin-mediated staphylococcal biofilm formation. Appl Environ Microbiol 79: 1393–1395. doi: <u>10.1128/AEM.03689-12</u> PMID: <u>23220967</u>
- Telford G, Brown AP, Rich A, English JS, Pritchard DI (2012) Wound debridement potential of glycosidases of the wound-healing maggot, Lucilia sericata. Med Vet Entomol 26: 291–299. doi: <u>10.1111/j.</u> <u>1365-2915.2011.01000.x</u> PMID: <u>22620282</u>
- Brown A, Horobin A, Blount DG, Hill PJ, English J, et al. (2012) Blow fly Lucilia sericata nuclease digests DNA associated with wound slough/eschar and with Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm. Med Vet Entomol 26: 432–439. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2915.2012.01029.x PMID: 22827809
- 16. Teh CH, Nazni WA, Lee HL, Fairuz A, Tan SB, et al. (2013) In vitro antibacterial activity and physicochemical properties of a crude methanol extract of the larvae of the blow fly Lucilia cuprina. Med Vet Entomol.
- Stevens JR, West H, Wall R (2008) Mitochondrial genomes of the sheep blowfly, Lucilia sericata, and the secondary blowfly, Chrysomya megacephala. Med Vet Entomol 22: 89–91. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1365-</u> 2915.2008.00710.x PMID: 18380659
- Zaidi F, Wei SJ, Shi M, Chen XX (2011) Utility of multi-gene loci for forensic species diagnosis of blowflies. J Insect Sci 11: 59. doi: 10.1673/031.011.5901 PMID: 21864153
- Sze SH, Dunham JP, Carey B, Chang PL, Li F, et al. (2012) A de novo transcriptome assembly of Lucilia sericata (Diptera: Calliphoridae) with predicted alternative splices, single nucleotide polymorphisms and transcript expression estimates. Insect Mol Biol 21: 205–221. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1365-2583.2011.</u> 01127.x PMID: 22283785
- Lee SF, Chen Z, McGrath A, Good RT, Batterham P (2011) Identification, analysis, and linkage mapping of expressed sequence tags from the Australian sheep blowfly. BMC Genomics 12: 406. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1186/1471-2164-12-406</u> PMID: <u>21827708</u>
- Tarone AM, Picard CJ, Spiegelman C, Foran DR (2011) Population and temperature effects on Lucilia sericata (Diptera: Calliphoridae) body size and minimum development time. J Med Entomol 48: 1062–1068. PMID: <u>21936326</u>

- Berezikov E, Robine N, Samsonova A, Westholm JO, Naqvi A, et al. (2011) Deep annotation of Drosophila melanogaster microRNAs yields insights into their processing, modification, and emergence. Genome Res 21: 203–215. doi: 10.1101/gr.116657.110 PMID: 21177969
- Chen X, Yu X, Cai Y, Zheng H, Yu D, et al. Next-generation small RNA sequencing for microRNAs profiling in the honey bee Apis mellifera. Insect Mol Biol 19: 799–805. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1365-2583.2010.</u> 01039.x PMID: <u>20807255</u>
- Mead EA, Tu Z (2008) Cloning, characterization, and expression of microRNAs from the Asian malaria mosquito, Anopheles stephensi. BMC Genomics 9: 244. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2164-9-244</u> PMID: <u>18500992</u>
- Skalsky RL, Vanlandingham DL, Scholle F, Higgs S, Cullen BR (2010) Identification of microRNAs expressed in two mosquito vectors, Aedes albopictus and Culex quinquefasciatus. BMC Genomics 11: 119. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2164-11-119</u> PMID: <u>20167119</u>
- 26. Seitz H, Ghildiyal M, Zamore PD (2008) Argonaute loading improves the 5' precision of both Micro-RNAs and their miRNA* strands in flies. Curr Biol 18: 147–151. doi: <u>10.1016/j.cub.2007.12.049</u> PMID: <u>18207740</u>
- 27. Griffiths-Jones S (2004) The microRNA Registry. Nucleic Acids Res 32: D109–111. PMID: 14681370
- Kin T, Yamada K, Terai G, Okida H, Yoshinari Y, et al. (2007) fRNAdb: a platform for mining/annotating functional RNA candidates from non-coding RNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 35: D145–148. PMID: 17099231
- Chan PP, Lowe TM (2009) GtRNAdb: a database of transfer RNA genes detected in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res 37: D93–97. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn787 PMID: 18984615
- Jordan BR (1974) '2S' RNA, a new ribosomal RNA component in cultured Drosophila cells. FEBS Lett 44: 39–42. PMID: <u>4847940</u>
- **31.** Stoffolano JG Jr, Haselton AT (2013) The adult Dipteran crop: a unique and overlooked organ. Annu Rev Entomol 58: 205–225. doi: <u>10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-153653</u> PMID: <u>23317042</u>
- Schlein Y, Warburg A (1986) Phytophagy and the feeding cycle of Phlebotomus papatasi (Diptera: Psychodidae) under experimental conditions. J Med Entomol 23: 11–15. PMID: <u>3950922</u>
- Mohd Masri S, Nazni WA, Lee HL, T Rogayah TA, Subramaniam S (2005) Sterilisation of Lucilia cuprina Wiedemann maggots used in therapy of intractable wounds. Trop Biomed 22: 185–189. PMID: 16883286
- Galac MR, Lazzaro BP (2012) Comparative genomics of bacteria in the genus Providencia isolated from wild Drosophila melanogaster. BMC Genomics 13: 612. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2164-13-612</u> PMID: <u>23145767</u>
- 35. Lewis K (2000) Programmed death in bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 64: 503–514. PMID: 10974124
- Obregon-Henao A, Duque-Correa MA, Rojas M, Garcia LF, Brennan PJ, et al. (2012) Stable extracellular RNA fragments of Mycobacterium tuberculosis induce early apoptosis in human monocytes via a caspase-8 dependent mechanism. PLoS One 7: e29970. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0029970</u> PMID: 22253841
- Pavlakis GN, Jordan BR, Wurst RM, Vournakis JN (1979) Sequence and secondary structure of Drosophila melanogaster 5.8S and 2S rRNAs and of the processing site between them. Nucleic Acids Res 7: 2213–2238. PMID: <u>118436</u>
- Yu Z, Teng X, Bonini NM (2011) Triplet repeat-derived siRNAs enhance RNA-mediated toxicity in a Drosophila model for myotonic dystrophy. PLoS Genet 7: e1001340. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pgen.</u> 1001340 PMID: 21437269
- Forstemann K, Tomari Y, Du T, Vagin VV, Denli AM, et al. (2005) Normal microRNA maturation and germ-line stem cell maintenance requires Loquacious, a double-stranded RNA-binding domain protein. PLoS Biol 3: e236. PMID: <u>15918770</u>
- Ivanov P, Emara MM, Villen J, Gygi SP, Anderson P (2011) Angiogenin-induced tRNA fragments inhibit translation initiation. Mol Cell 43: 613–623. doi: <u>10.1016/j.molcel.2011.06.022</u> PMID: <u>21855800</u>
- Sobala A, Hutvagner G (2013) Small RNAs derived from the 5' end of tRNA can inhibit protein translation in human cells. RNA Biol 10: 553–563. doi: <u>10.4161/ma.24285</u> PMID: <u>23563448</u>
- Brennecke J, Aravin AA, Stark A, Dus M, Kellis M, et al. (2007) Discrete small RNA-generating loci as master regulators of transposon activity in Drosophila. Cell 128: 1089–1103. PMID: <u>17346786</u>
- Gebetsberger J, Zywicki M, Kunzi A, Polacek N (2012) tRNA-derived fragments target the ribosome and function as regulatory non-coding RNA in Haloferax volcanii. Archaea 2012: 260909. doi: <u>10.1155/</u> <u>2012/260909</u> PMID: <u>23326205</u>
- 44. Dhahbi JM, Spindler SR, Atamna H, Yamakawa A, Boffelli D, et al. (2013) 5' tRNA halves are present as abundant complexes in serum, concentrated in blood cells, and modulated by aging and calorie restriction. BMC Genomics 14: 298. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-298 PMID: 23638709

- 45. Gong B, Lee YS, Lee I, Shelite TR, Kunkeaw N, et al. (2013) Compartmentalized, functional role of angiogenin during spotted fever group rickettsia-induced endothelial barrier dysfunction: evidence of possible mediation by host tRNA-derived small noncoding RNAs. BMC Infect Dis 13: 285. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1186/1471-2334-13-285</u> PMID: <u>23800282</u>
- 46. Barrero RA, Keeble-Gagnere G, Zhang B, Moolhuijzen P, Ikeo K, et al. (2011) Evolutionary conserved microRNAs are ubiquitously expressed compared to tick-specific miRNAs in the cattle tick Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus. BMC Genomics 12: 328. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-328 PMID: 21699734
- 47. Shomron N (2010) MicroRNAs and developmental robustness: a new layer is revealed. PLoS Biol 8: e1000397. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000397 PMID: 20563309
- Zhou J, Zhou Y, Cao J, Zhang H, Yu Y (2013) Distinctive microRNA profiles in the salivary glands of Haemaphysalis longicornis related to tick blood-feeding. Exp Appl Acarol 59: 339–349. doi: <u>10.1007/</u> <u>s10493-012-9604-3</u> PMID: <u>22918721</u>
- Jagadeeswaran G, Zheng Y, Sumathipala N, Jiang H, Arrese EL, et al. (2010) Deep sequencing of small RNA libraries reveals dynamic regulation of conserved and novel microRNAs and microRNAstars during silkworm development. BMC Genomics 11: 52. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2164-11-52</u> PMID: 20089182
- Marrone AK, Edeleva EV, Kucherenko MM, Hsiao NH, Shcherbata HR (2012) Dg-Dys-Syn1 signaling in Drosophila regulates the microRNA profile. BMC Cell Biol 13: 26. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2121-13-26</u> PMID: 23107381
- Gantier MP, McCoy CE, Rusinova I, Saulep D, Wang D, et al. (2011) Analysis of microRNA turnover in mammalian cells following Dicer1 ablation. Nucleic Acids Res 39: 5692–5703. doi: <u>10.1093/nar/gkr148</u> PMID: <u>21447562</u>
- Huberman L, Gollop N, Mumcuoglu KY, Breuer E, Bhusare SR, et al. (2007) Antibacterial substances of low molecular weight isolated from the blowfly, Lucilia sericata. Med Vet Entomol 21: 127–131. PMID: 17550431
- Wang S, Zhang Z (2011) Maggot microRNA: A new inhibitory pathway to bacterial infection. Med Hypotheses 76: 254–255. doi: <u>10.1016/j.mehy.2010.10.013</u> PMID: <u>21041037</u>
- Shi YY, Wu XB, Huang ZY, Wang ZL, Yan WY, et al. (2012) Epigenetic modification of gene expression in honey bees by heterospecific gland secretions. PLoS One 7: e43727. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.</u> 0043727 PMID: 22928024
- 55. Siavash M, Shokri S, Haghighi S, Mohammadi M, Shahtalebi MA, et al. (2011) The efficacy of topical Royal Jelly on diabetic foot ulcers healing: A case series. J Res Med Sci 16: 904–909. PMID: 22279458
- Lerrer B, Zinger-Yosovich KD, Avrahami B, Gilboa-Garber N (2007) Honey and royal jelly, like human milk, abrogate lectin-dependent infection-preceding Pseudomonas aeruginosa adhesion. ISME J 1: 149–155. PMID: 18043624