

# Association between host species choice and morphological characters of main sensory structures of *Culicoides* in the Palaeartic region

Denis Augot<sup>1</sup>, Leila Hadj-Henni<sup>1</sup>, Stavana E. Strutz<sup>2</sup>, Darine Slama<sup>3</sup>, Christine Millot<sup>1</sup>, Jérôme Depaquit<sup>1,4</sup> and Jean-Marc Millot<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Usc VECPAR, AE 4688, UFR Cap Sante, Université Champagne-Ardenne, UFR Pharmacie, ANSES, Reims, France

<sup>2</sup> University of Texas at Austin, United States of America

<sup>3</sup> Laboratoire de Parasitologie-Mycologie, 99UR/08-05, Département de biologie clinique, Faculté de Pharmacie de Monastir, Monastir, Tunisia

<sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Parasitology-Mycology, National Reference Centre for Toxoplasmosis, Biological Resource Centre Toxoplasma, Maison Blanche Hospital, Reims, France

<sup>5</sup> Laboratoire de Recherche en Nanosciences (LRN)-EA4682, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Reims, France

## ABSTRACT

*Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) serve as vectors of several mammalian and avian diseases, including bluetongue, Schmallenberg, African horse sickness, avian malaria and Oropouche. Host preference investigations are necessary to assess the transmission routes of vector-borne diseases and to inform mitigation strategies. A recent study examining the main sensory structures (palps and antennae) of *Culicoides* species suggests that they be classified as ornithophilic or mammalophilic according to their feeding habits. We analyzed *Culicoides* host preferences according to the literature and carried out a multiple correspondence analysis linking these preferences with morphological data. Seven out of 12 variables were found to be reliable predictors of host preference in *Culicoides* species: Antenna Flagellomer-Sensilla Coeloconica-Number: (7–10) and (11–13); Antenna Flagellomer-Sensilla Coeloconica IV–X: presence; Palpus-size: wide and/or narrow opening and shallow pit; Palpus-Shape: strongly swollen; Antenna-Short sensilla trichodea-distal part segment IV to X-Number: 2 seta each. Our results demonstrate that the presence of *sensilla coeloconica* and the maxillary palpus can be used to separate ornithophilic and mammalophilic or ornithophilic/mammalophilic species.

Submitted 23 December 2016

Accepted 30 May 2017

Published 27 July 2017

Corresponding author

Denis Augot, denis.augot@anses.fr

Academic editor

Rosalie Trevejo

Additional Information and  
Declarations can be found on  
page 16

DOI 10.7717/peerj.3478

© Copyright

2017 Augot et al.

Distributed under

Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

**Subjects** Entomology, Veterinary Medicine, Epidemiology

**Keywords** *Culicoides*, Sensory structures, Host preference, Vectors

## INTRODUCTION

Vector-borne diseases are health problems for humans, livestock, and wild animals and are transmitted by a variety of arthropods. *Culicoides* species constitute a diverse and widespread genus with more than 1,400 species world-wide (Borkent, 2014). Biting midges transmit multiple avian and mammalian diseases, including Bluetongue virus (BTV),

Schmallenberg virus (SV), epizootic haemorrhagic disease virus (EHDV), African horse sickness virus (AHSV) and avian *Haemoproteus* (subgenus *Parahaemoproteus*) parasites.

Knowledge of host preferences and feeding behavior are essential to understanding pathogen transmission cycles and the epidemiology of their associated diseases. Host preferences of *Culicoides* have been investigated using two laboratory methods: (i) serological analysis of visible abdominal blood via the precipitin test ([Braverman, Boreham & Galum, 1971](#); [Walker & Davies, 1971](#); [Nevill & Anderson, 1972](#)) or ELISA test ([Blackwell, Mordue, Luntz; Blackwell, Brown & Mordue, 1995](#)); (ii) polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using several genes ([Bartsch et al., 2009](#); [Votypka, Synek & Svobodova, 2009](#); [Garros et al., 2011](#); [Lassen et al., 2011](#); [Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen, 2012](#); [Ninio et al., 2011](#); [Calvo et al., 2012](#); [Martínez-de la Puente et al., 2012](#); [Pettersson et al., 2013](#); [Bobeava et al., 2015](#); [Hadj-Henni et al., 2015](#); [Slama et al., 2015](#)). Observation based studies have also been used to assess host preference: adult *Culicoides* females have been directly collected from bait animals with sticky traps, by aspiration on bait animals, and with light or animal-baited traps ([Viennet et al., 2011](#); [Braverman et al., 2012](#); [Ayllón et al., 2014](#); [Elbers & Meiswinkel, 2014](#); [Thompson et al., 2014](#); [Elbers & Meiswinkel, 2015](#)).

Direct collection from animals has been considered the most reliable method to study the vector/host ratio ([Silver & Service, 2008](#)), which is an essential parameter for the modeling of vectorial capacity and virus transmission ([Garrett-Jones, 1964](#)). Various factors, such as habitat type, season, and bait species, contribute to the capture success of engorged females when using light traps. Engorged biting midges can be either fully engorged or have partially digested blood meals. Only fully engorged females were considered for blood meal identification; and the percentage of the engorged females using UV traps was low ([Martínez-de la Puente, Figueroa & Soriguer, 2015](#)). The percentage varied from 0.97% to 27.7% with three studies presenting a percentage of engorged females greater than 10% and seven studies presenting a percentage of less than 5% ([Bartsch et al., 2009](#); [Votypka, Synek & Svobodova, 2009](#); [Lassen et al., 2011](#); [Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen, 2012](#); [Garros et al., 2011](#); [Ninio et al., 2011](#); [Martínez-de la Puente et al., 2012](#); [Santiago-Alarcon et al., 2012](#); [Pettersson et al., 2013](#); [Slama et al., 2015](#); [Hadj-Henni et al., 2015](#); [Bobeava et al., 2015](#)).

*Culicoides* species are mainly mammalophilic and/or ornithophilic but females have also been found to occasionally feed on engorged insects ([Ma et al., 2013](#)). Some species of *Forcipomyia* and *Leptoconops* (Ceratopogonidae) feed on reptiles and frogs ([Borkent, 2005](#)). Hematophagous insects have highly developed olfactory systems and mainly use their antennae and, in some cases, maxillary palps, to detect semiochemicals. Semiochemicals can provide information about the location, suitability, or physiological state of conspecifics, hosts, or breeding sites ([Logan & Birkett, 2007](#)). Moreover, several studies carried out on feeding patterns of biting midges found variation in host attractiveness to be correlated with exhaled carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), 1-octen-3-ol, lactic acid, acetone ([Zimmer et al., 2015](#)), specific phenolic compounds emitted from urine, ([Bhasin, Luntz & Mordue, 2001](#)) or hair fragrance ([Mands, Kline & Blackwell, 2004](#)).

Consequently, the morphological characterization of the *Culicoides* sensory structures can serve as an indirect method to assess host preference ([Jammback, 1965](#); [Braverman &](#)

Hulley, 1979; McKeever, Hagan & Wang, 1994; Blackwell, Mordue & Mordue, 1994). Here, we investigate how differences in morphological characters of the sensory structures of female *Culicoides* may impact host feeding choice. Specific objectives included morphological analysis of the main sensory structures previously explored by Blackwell (2004), Braverman et al. (2012) and Talavera et al. (2015) using host species published in the literature (engorged females and animal baits). We analyzed whether a combination of morphological variables could be used to predict host preference.

## MATERIALS & METHODS

Table 1 summarizes host species of biting females of species of *Culicoides* identified using molecular methods and animal baits. In order to exclude variability of morphological characters, we use an Interactive Identification Key for *Culicoides* (Mathieu et al., 2012). The raw dataset included 12 morphological characters (Table 2): (1) Antenna Flagellomer-Sensilla coeloconica- number with [0]: 0–6, [1]: 7–10, [2]: 11–13; (2) Antenna Flagellomer-Sensilla coeloconica-Segment-IV-X with [0]: absence, [1]: presence; (3) Antenna Flagellomer-Sensilla coeloconica-Segment-XI-XV (H16) with [0]: absence, [1]: presence; (4) Antenna-Short-segment-Shape-Flask-Shape (H09) with [0]: inflated, [1]: flask shape, [2]: inflated and flask; (5) Antenna-Short sensilla trichodea, distal part segment IV to X-Number (H11) with [0]: 2 seta each, [1]: 1 seta each; (6) Antenna segment XI/X ratio, length of segment XI divided by length of segment X (H13); (7) Palp-3rd palpal segment-sensory pits-Number (H07) with [0]: multiple, [1]: single, [2]: multiple and single; (8) Palp-3rd palpal segment-single sensory pit-opening versus depth = large/small ; (H08) with[0]:small, [1]: wide opening and shallow pit, [2]: narrow opening and shallow pit, wide opening and shallow pit; (9) Palp-3rd palpal segment-Shape (H06) with [0]: strongly swollen, [1]: triangular and moderately swollen, [2]: slender or slightly swollen, triangular and moderately swollen, [3]: lender or slightly swollen; (10) Cibarial-Armature (H04); (11) Pharynx posterior-Armature- (H05); (12) Eyes-Inter Ocular-Space-Shape (H02). For the size of the maxillary palpus, only a single sensory pit was used. However, if a *Culicoides* specimen had multiple irregular pits then we classified them as a small opening. All specimens in this study present a *sensilla coeloconica* in segment III. Therefore, a new group (Segment-IV-X) has been drawn according to Talavera et al. (2015). Finally, *Culicoides* species were classified into ornithophilic (O) and mammalophilic (M) or ornithophilic/mammalophilic (O, M) according to their host species (Table 1).

For the statistical analysis, the morphological characteristics and species classification are coded as qualitative variables (see Data S1). Therefore, quantitative methods such as the Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCO) or Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS) are not applicable here. Multiple correspondence analysis (MCA) is a data analysis technique for qualitative variables (Greenacre & Jörg, 2006), to obtain maps showing the distances between the qualitative variables and the observations. MCA was performed with XLSTAT software and used to explore the correlation structure between morphological characteristics and host preference. Within the indicator matrix, the rows represented individuals and the columns represented categories of the variables. Correspondence

**Table 1** Host preference of *Culicoides* species, based on animal baits and molecular analysis of engorged *Culicoides* females.

Species	Host preference Host	Mammalophilic (M) or ornithophilic (O) species	Primers used for bloodmeals identification	References
<i>C. achrayi</i>	<i>Equus caballus, Homo sapiens, Equus asinus, Gallus gallus</i>	<b>M, O</b>	PNOC, Cytb	Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)
	<i>Bos taurus</i> Cattle, sheep, Shetland pony		PNOC, COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	Ninio et al. (2011), Pettersson et al. (2013) Viennet et al. (2011), Ayllón et al. (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015)
<i>C. alazanicus</i>	<i>Anthus trivialis, Ardea purpurea, Asio otus, Columba palumbus, Delichon urbica, Ixobrychus minutus, Luscinia luscinia, Muscicapa striata, Oriolus oriolus, Parus major, Phylloscopus trochilus, Pica pica, Sylvia borin, Turdus merula, Turdus philomelos, Homo sapiens</i>	<b>M, O</b>	Cytb	Bobeva et al. (2014) & Bobeva et al. (2015)
<i>C. albicans</i>	Cow	<b>M</b>		Elbers & Meiswinkel (2014)
	<i>Ovis aries</i>		Cytb <sup>a</sup>	Garros et al. (2011)
<i>C. brunnicanus</i>	<i>Bos taurus</i>	<b>M</b>	PNOC	Ninio et al. (2011)
	<i>Equus caballus</i>		PNOC, Cytb	Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)
	Sheep			Viennet et al. (2011)
<i>C. cataneii</i>	<i>Mus musculus</i>	<b>M</b>	PNOC, Cytb	Slama et al. (2015)
	cattle, man			Braverman et al. (2012)
<i>C. chiopterus</i>	<i>Bos taurus</i>	<b>M, O</b>	PNOC, Cytb, Cytb <sup>a</sup> , Cytb <sup>c</sup>	Lassen et al. (2011), Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012), Garros et al. (2011), Ninio et al. (2011), Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)
	<i>Columba palumbus</i>		COI-Cytb <sup>b</sup>	Lassen et al. (2011)
	<i>Ovis aries</i>		Cytb <sup>a</sup>	Garros et al. (2011)
	<i>Capra hircus</i>		Cytb <sup>a</sup>	Garros et al. (2011)
	<i>Homo sapiens</i>		COI	Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012)
	<i>Equus caballus</i>		PNOC, Cytb, COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	Pettersson et al. (2013), Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)
	<i>Capreolus capreolus</i>		Cytb <sup>c</sup>	Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012)
	Cow, sheep, Shetland pony			Viennet et al. (2011), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015), Ayllón et al. (2014), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012)
	Dog			Mezenev (1990)

(continued on next page)

**Table 1** (continued)

Species	Host preference Host	Mammalophilic (M) or ornithophilic (O) species	Primers used for bloodmeals identification	References
<i>C. circumscriptus</i>	Birds, cattle, man, rabbit, sheep	<b>M, O</b>	Cytb PNOC, Cytb, Cytb <sup>c</sup> COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	Viennet et al. (2011), Ferraguti et al. (2013); in Braverman et al. (2012)
	<i>Asio otus</i>			Bobeva et al. (2014), Bobeva et al. (2015)
	<i>Homo sapiens</i>			Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012), Slama et al. (2015)
	<i>Phylloscopus trochilus, Corvus corone, Turdus philomelos, Pica pica, Columba palumbus</i> <i>Pica pica, Turdus merula</i>			Pettersson et al. (2013)
<i>C. clastrieri</i>	<i>Homo sapiens</i>	<b>M, O</b>	COI	Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012)
	Birds ( <i>Tadorna ferruginea, Turdus philomelos</i> )			Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013)
<i>C. deltus</i>	Horses, cows, man	<b>M</b>	COI COI-Cytb <sup>b</sup>	Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013)
	<i>Homo sapiens</i>			Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012)
	<i>Bos taurus</i>			Lassen et al. (2011)
<i>C. dewulfi</i>	Cattle, Sheep, Shetland pony	<b>M</b>	Cytb <sup>c</sup> , COI PNOC, Cytb <sup>a</sup> , Cytb <sup>c</sup> Cytb <sup>a</sup> PNOC, COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup> PNOC	Elbers & Meiswinkel (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015), Ayllón et al. (2014), Viennet et al. (2011)
	<i>Homo sapiens</i>			Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012)
	<i>Bos taurus</i>			Garros et al. (2011), Ninio et al. (2011), Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012)
	<i>Ovis aries</i>			Garros et al. (2011)
	<i>Equus caballus</i>			Ninio et al. (2011), Pettersson et al. (2013)
	<i>Oryctolagus cuniculus, Sus scrofa</i>			Ninio et al. (2011)
	<i>Passer montanus, Cyanistes caeruleus, Pica pica, Passer domesticus</i> <i>Garrulus glandarius</i>			Pettersson et al. (2013)
<i>C. duddingstoni</i>	cattle, man	<b>O</b>	Cytb <sup>c</sup>	Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012)
<i>C. fagineus</i>	cattle, man, birds, rabbit, Shetland pony	<b>M</b>		Braverman et al. (2012)
<i>C. fascipennis</i>	Dog	<b>M, O</b>		Elbers & Meiswinkel (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015), Braverman et al. (2012) Mezenev (1990)

(continued on next page)

**Table 1** (continued)

Species	Host preference Host	Mammophilic (M) or ornithophilic (O) species	Primers used for bloodmeals identification	References
<i>C. festivipennis</i>	<i>Ovis aries</i>	M, O	Cytb	Calvo et al. (2012)
	<i>Homo sapiens</i>		COI, Cytb	Calvo et al. (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Pettersson et al. (2013)
	<i>Pica pica, Turdus philomelos</i>		COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	
	<i>Anthus trivialis, Asio otus, Nycticorax nycticorax, Oriolus oriolus, Passer domesticus, Passer hispaniolensis, Passer montanus, Pica pica, Streptopelia decaocto</i>		Cytb	Bobeava et al. (2014), Bobeava et al. (2015)
<i>C. furcillatus</i>	<i>Homo sapiens</i>	M		Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013)
	<i>Columba palumbus</i>		Cytb <sup>c</sup>	Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012)
	poultry, birds, man, cows			Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013), Braverman et al. (2012), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015)
<i>C. geigelensis</i>	<i>Oryctolagus cuniculus</i>	M	PNOC	Ninio et al. (2011)
	<i>Bos taurus</i>		Cytb <sup>c</sup>	Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012)
	<i>Equus caballus, Homo sapiens</i>		PNOC, Cytb	Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)
<i>C. griseidorsum</i>	cattle, man	M		in Braverman et al. (2012)
<i>C. griseescens</i>	cattle, sheep, horses, donkeys	M, O		Ayllón et al. (2014), Braverman et al. (2012)
	<i>Coccothraustes coccothraustes, Luscinia megarhynchos, Pica pica, Cervus elaphus</i>			Bobeava et al. (2015)
<i>C. heliophilus</i>	Cattle	M		Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015)
	<i>Bos Taurus</i>		COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	Pettersson et al. (2013)
<i>C. haranti</i>	cattle, man	M		Braverman et al. (2012)
<i>C. imicola</i>	man, cows, sheep, dogs, Shetland pony	M		Elbers & Meiswinkel (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013)
<i>C. impunctatus</i>	Horse, sheep, cattle, ibex, pig, poultry	M, O		Fall et al. (2015), Braverman et al. (2012)
	<i>Homo sapiens, Capra hircus, Ovis aries, Canis lupus familiaris, Lanius meridionalis</i>		PNOC, Cytb	Slama et al. (2015)
<i>C. imicola</i>	Birds, cow, ewe, Shetland pony	M, O		Ziegyte et al. (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013)
	<i>Equus caballus, Ovis aries</i>		COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	Pettersson et al. (2013)

(continued on next page)

**Table 1** (continued)

Species	Host preference Host	Mammalophilic (M) or ornithophilic (O) species	Primers used for bloodmeals identification	References
<i>C. jumineri</i>	<i>Homo sapiens, Bos taurus, Mustela nivalis, Gallus gallus, Drosophila melanogaster, Carlia fusca, Aedes sp.</i>	M, O	PNOC, Cytb	<i>Slama et al. (2015)</i>
	cattle, man, birds			<i>Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013), Braverman et al. (2012)</i>
<i>C. kibunensis</i>	<i>Bos taurus</i>	M, O	Cytb <sup>c</sup>	<i>Lassen, Nielsen &amp; Kristensen (2012)</i>
	<i>Homo sapiens, Sylvia atricapilla</i>		COI	<i>Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013)</i>
	<i>Acrocephalus pa lustris, Columba palumbus, Emberiza citrinella</i>		Cytb <sup>c</sup>	<i>Lassen, Nielsen &amp; Kristensen (2012)</i>
<i>C. longipennis</i>	<i>Erithacus rubecula</i>	M		<i>Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013)</i>
	cattle, man			<i>Braverman et al. (2012)</i>
	Cow, sheep			<i>Viennet et al. (2011), Ayllón et al. (2014), Elbers &amp; Meiswinkel (2014), Elbers &amp; Meiswinkel (2015)</i>
<i>C. lupicaris</i>	<i>Ovis aries</i>	M	Cytb <sup>a</sup>	<i>Garros et al. (2011)</i>
	<i>Equus caballus</i>		PNOC, Cytb	<i>Ninio et al. (2011), Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)</i>
	<i>Homo sapiens, Equus asinus</i>		PNOC, Cytb	<i>Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)</i>
<i>C. maritimus</i>	<i>Oryctolagus cuniculus, Bos taurus, Sus scrofa</i>	M	PNOC	<i>Ninio et al. (2011)</i>
	cattle, man, rabbit			<i>Braverman et al. (2012)</i>
<i>C. minutissimus</i>	<i>Pica pica</i>	O	Cytb	<i>Votypka, Synek &amp; Svobodova (2009)</i>
<i>C. montanus</i>	cattle, man	M		<i>Braverman et al. (2012)</i>
	cattle, horse, poultry, man			<i>Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013), Braverman et al. (2012)</i>
<i>C. newsteadi</i>	<i>Ovis aries</i>	M, O	PNOC, Cytb, Cytb <sup>a</sup>	<i>Garros et al. (2011), Calvo et al. (2012), Slama et al. (2015)</i>
	<i>Bos taurus</i>		COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	<i>Pettersson et al. (2013)</i>
	<i>Homo sapiens</i>		PNOC, Cytb	<i>Slama et al. (2015), Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)</i>
	<i>Capra hircus, Meleagris gallopavo, Gallus gallus</i>		PNOC, Cytb	<i>Slama et al. (2015)</i>
	<i>Equus caballus, Equus asinus</i>		PNOC, Cytb	<i>Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)</i>

(continued on next page)

**Table 1** (continued)

Species	Host preference Host	Mammalophilic (M) or ornithophilic (O) species	Primers used for bloodmeals identification	References
<i>C. oboletus</i>	Sheep, horse, man, cattle, bird, poultry, live-stock, Shetland pony	M, O	PNOC, Cytb <sup>a</sup> , COI-Cytb <sup>b</sup> , Cytb <sup>c</sup> , COI, COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup> PNOC, COI-Cytb <sup>b</sup> , Cytb <sup>c</sup> , COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup> COI-Cytb <sup>b</sup> PNOC, Cytb <sup>a</sup> , Cytb <sup>c</sup> , COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup> PNOC PNOC, Cytb, Cytb <sup>c</sup> , COI Cytb Cytb <sup>c</sup> PNOC, Cytb	Viennet et al. (2011), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013), Braverman et al. (2012), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015) Lassen et al. (2011), Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012), Garros et al. (2011), Ninio et al. (2011), Calvo et al. (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Pettersson et al. (2013), Hadj-Henni et al. (2015) Lassen et al. (2011), Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012), Ninio et al. (2011), Pettersson et al. (2013), Hadj-Henni et al. (2015) Lassen et al. (2011) Garros et al. (2011), Ninio et al. (2011), Calvo et al. (2012), Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012), Pettersson et al. (2013) Ninio et al. (2011) Ninio et al. (2011), Calvo et al. (2012), Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013), Hadj-Henni et al. (2015) Calvo et al. (2012) Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012) Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)
	<i>Bos taurus</i>			
	<i>Equus caballus</i>			
	<i>Anas platyrhynchos, Columba palumbus</i>			
	<i>Ovis aries</i>			
	<i>Oryctolagus cuniculus</i>			
	<i>Homo sapiens</i>			
	<i>Gallus gallus, Microtus savii</i>			
	<i>Capreolus capreolus, Capra hircus, Cervus elaphus, Mus musculus</i>			
	<i>Equus asinus, Felis silvestris</i>			
<i>C. pallidicornis</i>	Sheep, cows, birds, man, Shetland pony	M, O	PNOC Cytb <sup>c</sup> COI	Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013), Ayllón et al. (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015) Ninio et al. (2011) Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012) Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012)
	<i>Oryctolagus cuniculus, Bos taurus</i>			
	<i>Capra hircus</i>			
<i>C. parroti</i>	<i>Homo sapiens</i>	M	Cytb	Calvo et al. (2012) Elbers & Meiswinkel (2014), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012)
	<i>Ovis aries</i>			
	Cow, birds, man			
	<i>Pica pica</i>		Cytb, Cytb <sup>c</sup>	Votypka, Synek & Svobodova (2009), Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012)

(continued on next page)

**Table 1** (continued)

Species	Host preference		References
	Host	Mammalophilic (M) or ornithophilic (O) species	Primers used for bloodmeals identification
<i>C. pictipennis</i>	<i>Ovis aries</i>	M, O	Cytb <sup>a</sup>
	<i>Turdus merula, H omo sapiens</i>		COI
	<i>Parus major</i>		COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>
	<i>Erithacus rubecula</i>		
	<i>Bos taurus, Cervus elaphus</i>		
<i>C. picturatus</i>	Sheep	M	
	<i>Bos taurus</i>		PNOC
<i>C. poperinghensis</i>	Man	M	
	<i>Bos taurus</i>		PNOC, Cytb <sup>c</sup>
	<i>Homo sapiens</i>		COI
Cows, sheep, horses, buffaloes, man, cattle, Shetland pony			Viennet et al. (2011), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013), Ayllón et al. (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015), Braverman et al. (2012)
<i>C. pulicaris</i>	Dog	M, O	Mezenev (1990)
	<i>Bos taurus</i>		PNOC, Cytb, COI-Cytb <sup>b</sup> , Cytb <sup>c</sup>
	<i>Oryctolagus cuniculus</i>		PNOC
	<i>Ovis aries, Gallus gallus</i>		Cytb
	<i>Homo sapiens</i>		PNOC, Cytb, COI
	<i>Equus caballus</i>		PNOC, Cytb
	<i>Capra hircus, Cervus elaphus</i>		Cytb <sup>c</sup>
	cattle, man, birds, rabbit, sheep, Shetland pony		Viennet et al. (2011), Ayllón et al. (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2014), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015), Braverman et al. (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013)
	<i>Bos taurus</i>		PNOC, Cytb <sup>a</sup> , COI-Cytb <sup>b</sup> , Cytb <sup>c</sup> , COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>
			Lassen et al. (2011), Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012), Garros et al. (2011), Ninio et al. (2011), Pettersson et al. (2013)

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Species	Host preference Host	Mammophilic (M) or ornithophilic (O) species	Primers used for bloodmeals identification	References
<i>C. punctatus</i>	<i>Capra hircus, Capreolus capreolus</i>	<b>M, O</b>	Cytb <sup>c</sup>	Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012)
	<i>Equus caballus</i>		PNOC, Cytb, COI- Cytb <sup>b</sup> , COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	Lassen et al. (2011), Ninio et al. (2011), Pettersson et al. (2013), Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)
	<i>Anas platyrhynchos, Columba palumbus</i>		COI-Cytb <sup>b</sup>	Lassen et al. (2011)
	<i>Oryctolagus cuniculus</i>		PNOC	Ninio et al. (2011)
	<i>Ovis aries</i>		COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	Calvo et al. (2012); Pettersson et al. (2013)
	<i>Homo sapiens</i>		PNOC, Cytb	Calvo et al. (2012), Bobeva et al. (2014), Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)
<i>C. puncticollis</i>	<i>Gallus gallus, Microtus savii</i>	<b>M</b>	Cytb	Calvo et al. (2012)
	<i>Alces alces, Luscinia svecica</i>		COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	Pettersson et al. (2013)
	<i>Equus asinus</i>		PNOC, Cytb	Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)
	<i>Cervus elaphus</i>			Bobeva et al. (2015)
	cattle, horses, man; donkey			Braverman et al. (2012)
	<i>Pica pica</i>		Cytb <sup>c</sup>	Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012)
<i>C. riethi</i>	Shetland pony	<b>M</b>		Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015)
	<i>Bos taurus</i>		Cytb <sup>c</sup>	Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012)
	<i>Columba palumbus</i>		COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	Pettersson et al. (2013)
	<i>Passer montanus</i>		Cytb <sup>c</sup>	Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012)
	<i>Sheep</i>			Viennet et al. (2011)
	Sheep, cows, horses, Shetland pony, man			Viennet et al. (2011), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013), Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015)
<i>C. scoticus</i>	<i>Bos taurus</i>	<b>M, O</b>	PNOC, COI- Cytb <sup>b</sup> , Cytb <sup>c</sup> , COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	Lassen et al. (2011), Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012), Ninio et al. (2011), Pettersson et al. (2013)
	<i>Capreolus capreolus</i>		COI-Cytb <sup>b</sup> , Cytb <sup>c</sup>	Lassen et al. (2011), Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012)
	<i>Anas platyrhynchos, Columba palumbus</i>		COI-Cytb <sup>b</sup>	Lassen et al. (2011)
	<i>Equus caballus</i>		PNOC, Cytb, Cytb <sup>c</sup> , COI, COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	Ninio et al. (2011), Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Pettersson et al. (2013), Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)
	<i>Ovis aries</i>		PNOC, COI-Cyt <sup>d</sup>	Ninio et al. (2011), Pettersson et al. (2013)
	<i>Oryctolagus cuniculus, Sus scrofa</i>		PNOC	Ninio et al. (2011)
<i>C. segnis</i>	<i>Homo sapiens</i>	<b>M</b>	PNOC, Cytb, Cytb <sup>c</sup> , COI	Lassen, Nielsen & Kristensen (2012), Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012), Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)
	<i>Cow, sheep</i>			Elbers & Meiswinkel (2015)


(continued on next page)

**Table 1** (continued)

Species	Host preference			References
	Host	Mammalophilic (M) or ornithophilic (O) species	Primers used for bloodmeals identification	
<i>C. semimaculatus</i>	cattle, man <i>Homo sapiens</i> Birds ( <i>Erithacus rubecula</i> )	M, O	COI	<a href="#">Braverman et al. (2012)</a> <a href="#">Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012)</a> <a href="#">Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013)</a>
<i>C. shaklawensis</i>	cattle, man, sheep	M		<a href="#">Viennet et al. (2011)</a> , <a href="#">Braverman et al. (2012)</a>
<i>C. simulator</i>	Sheep	M		<a href="#">Viennet et al. (2011)</a>
<i>C. stigma</i>	Cow, sheep, man, Shetland pony	M		<a href="#">Elbers &amp; Meiswinkel (2014)</a> , <a href="#">Elbers &amp; Meiswinkel (2015)</a> , <a href="#">Ayllón et al. (2014)</a> , <a href="#">Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012)</a> , <a href="#">Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013)</a>
<i>C. subfagineus</i>	Cattle	M		<a href="#">Ayllón et al. (2014)</a>
	Cow, sheep			<a href="#">Viennet et al. (2011)</a> , <a href="#">Ayllón et al. (2014)</a> , <a href="#">Elbers &amp; Meiswinkel (2014)</a> , <a href="#">Elbers &amp; Meiswinkel (2015)</a>
<i>C. subfasciipennis</i>	<i>Equus caballus</i> , <i>Homo sapiens</i>	M	PNOC, Cytb	<a href="#">Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)</a>
<i>C. truncorum</i>	<i>Pica pica</i> Sheep, horses, goats, man, birds, cow	O	Cytb	<a href="#">Votypka, Synek &amp; Svobodova (2009)</a> <a href="#">Viennet et al. (2011)</a> , <a href="#">Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2012)</a> , <a href="#">Santiago-Alarcon et al. (2013)</a> , <a href="#">Elbers &amp; Meiswinkel (2015)</a>
<i>C. vexans</i>	<i>Homo sapiens</i> <i>Bos taurus</i> , <i>Capreolus capreolus</i>	M, O	PNOC, Cytb, Cytb <sup>c</sup> Cytb <sup>c</sup>	<a href="#">Lassen, Nielsen &amp; Kristensen (2012)</a> , <a href="#">Hadj-Henni et al. (2015)</a> <a href="#">Lassen, Nielsen &amp; Kristensen (2012)</a>

**Notes.**

Cytb<sup>a</sup>, specific multiplex PCR based on Cytb polymorphism; COI-Cytb<sup>b</sup>, five primer pairs amplifying different regions of mtDNA(COI or Cytb): Mammal, Avian, COI short, Cytb, Cow121F; Cytb<sup>c</sup>, specific cytb primer pair for cow and universal cytochrome b primer; COI-Cyt<sup>d</sup>, Cytb, COI, Sheep universal, Sheep-specific, Human.




**Figure 1** Host preference behavior of *Culicoides* in the Palaeartic region collected from the literature.

analysis was applied through the symmetric matrix of all two-way cross-tabulations, to present the indicator matrix in a low-dimensional Euclidean space. The first axis was found to be the most important dimension, the second axis the second most important, and so on, in terms of the amount of variance accounted for. All *Culicoides* species (Data S1) were first coded into a 12, 10 or 7 morphological characteristics indicator matrix and analyzed by MCA to obtain the discriminant factors. All species were then projected in order to indicate the species preferences. The MCA map showed that the inertia for the two first dimensions is >70%. So, 3 groups were obtained into three ellipses, based on the F1 and F2 axis. The ellipses were built with the average of each group  $\pm$  1 SD (standard deviation), includes about 68% of the observations. A student test was used to compare the several categories (M vs. M/O and O vs. M/O).

## RESULTS

Overall, 53 species were investigated. Five species (*C. duddingstoni*, *C. minutissimus*, *C. reconditus*, *C. salinarius* and *C. truncorum*) are ornithophilic, 27 are mammalophilic and 21 are ornithophilic/mammalophilic (Fig. 1). Multiple correspondence analysis locates all the categories in a Euclidean space. The MCA map showed that the inertia for the two first dimensions is ranging to 74% and 90% (Fig. 2). The first dimension explained more of 59% of data variability, and the categories are mainly organized along this axis. In the Fig. 2, each point (red, green, blue) corresponds to a *Culicoides* species category and several species could be plotted in the same point.

A first MCA allowed a separation between ornithophilic (O), mammalophilic (M) and ornithophilic/mammalophilic (O/M) (results not shown but very similar to those of the Fig. 2A). A second analysis using ten items (H05 and H13 morphological characters were not discriminants) showed similar results (Fig. 2A) with 3 ellipses. Finally, clearer



**Figure 2** Results of multicomponent analyses of morphological characteristics of *Culicoides* and host preference. Results based on 10 characteristics (A); seven characteristics (B) and four characteristics (C) according to [Talavera et al. \(2015\)](#) (Red: Mammals; green: mammals and birds and blue: birds).

resolution was obtained with seven morphological characteristics Antenna Flagellomer-Sensilla coeloconica- number; Antenna Flagellomer- Sensilla coeloconica-Segment-IV-X-Presence; Antenna-Short sensilla trichodea, distal part segment IV to X-Number: 1 seta each (H11); Palp-3rd palpal segment-sensory pits-Number (H07); Palp-3rd palpal segment-single sensory pit-opening versus depth = large/small (H08); Palp-3rd palpal segment-Shape (H06); Eyes-Inter Ocular-Space-Shape (H02) than with ten characteristics (Fig. 2B). The mammals (in red), Mammals/birds (in green) and birds (blue) categories are more clustered in Fig. 2A and Fig. 2C than in Fig. 2B. The Fig. 2C presents approximately the same topology than that of Fig. 2A and Fig. 2B.

Table 2 shows a good discrimination between ornithophilic/mammalophilic species and ornithophilic ( $p < 10^{-4}$ ) with F1 axis based on 10, 7 and 4 morphological characters. The first axis, called F1, separates ornithophilic and mammalophilic or ornithophilic/mammalophilic species. In contrast, the second axis F2 doesn't separate the species (Table 3).

Table 2 shows the results of multiple component analyses obtained with 4, 7 and 10 characteristics. Finally, seven morphological characteristics (Antenna Flagellomer-Sensilla Coeloconica-Number: (7–10)and (11–13); Antenna Flagellomer-Sensilla Coeloconica IV-X: presence; Palpus-size: wide and/or narrow opening and shallow pit; Palpus-Shape:

**Table 2** List of morphological characters used in our study. Results of multiple component analyses with 10, 7 and 4 morphological characteristics. The F1 axis sufficiently separated feeding preferences while the F2 axis did not. Numbers in bold were correlated with host choice.

Name	Characters		10 characters		7 characters		4 characters	
	Codage		F1	F2	F1	F2	F1	F2
Antenna Flagellomer-Sensilla coeloconica-number	0	0–6	−0.75	0.02	−0.71	−0.12	−0.76	−0.09
	1	7–10	<b>0.92</b>	−1.11	<b>0.79</b>	1.05	<b>0.87</b>	1.80
Antenna Flagellomer-Sensilla coeloconica-Segment-IV-X	2	11–13	<b>1.00</b>	0.55	<b>1.06</b>	−0.31	<b>1.06</b>	−0.76
Antenna Flagellomer-Sensilla coeloconica-Segment-XI-XV	0	Absence	−0.83	0.28	−0.80	−0.37	−0.85	−0.10
	1	Presence	<b>0.80</b>	−0.27	<b>0.77</b>	0.36	0.82	0.10
Palp-3rd palpal segment-sensory pits-Number	0	Multiple	−0.71	0.23	−0.67	−0.38	−0.78	0.11
	1	Single	0.63	0.01	0.63	0.04	0.70	−0.08
Palp-3rd palpal segment-single sensory pit-opening versus depth = large/small	0	small	−0.52	−0.03	−0.52	0.03	−0.57	0.09
	1	Wide opening and shallow pit	<b>1.01</b>	0.35	<b>1.05</b>	−0.31	<b>1.09</b>	−0.52
	2	Narrow opening and shallow pit, wide opening and shallow pit	<b>0.84</b>	−4.85	<b>0.52</b>	4.19	<b>1.44</b>	5.44
Palp-3rd palpal segment-Shape	0	Strongly swollen	<b>1.12</b>	−0.38	<b>1.07</b>	0.54		
	1	Triangular and moderately swollen	0.48	0.25	0.52	−0.26		
	2	Slender or slightly swollen, triangular and moderately swollen	−0.84	−0.39	−0.93	0.60		
	3	Slender or slightly swollen	−1.18	−0.03	−1.12	−0.47		
Antenna-Short-segment-Shape-Flask-Shape	0	Inflated	1.29	−0.54				
	1	Flask shape	−0.11	0.15				
	2	Inflated and flask	0.14	−2.66				
Antenna-Short sensilla trichodea, distal part segment IV to X-Number	0	2 seta each	<b>1.01</b>	0.43	<b>1.06</b>	−0.27		
	1	1 seta each	−0.56	−0.24	−0.59	0.15		
Cibarial-Armature	0	Absence	0.07	−0.01				
	1	Presence	−1.09	0.13				
Eyes-Inter Ocular-Space-Shape	1	Separated narrowly	0.62	0.25	0.64	−0.20		
	2	Joined for a short distance	−1.11	0.15	−1.12	0.006		
	3	Separated narrowly, joined for a short distance or Joined for a short distance and joined for a long distance	−1.12	0.50	−1.12	−1.22		
	4	Joined for a long distance	−1.53	0.46	−1.37	−1.35		
	5	Separated widely	−0.26	−2.36	−0.43	2.31		

**Table 3** Descriptive statistics on two principals components (F1 and F2 axis) based on 10, 7 and 4 morphological characteristics. Comparison with Student test between Mammalophilic/ornithophilic group and the two other groups (Ornithophilic and Mammalophilic).

Number of morphological characters	Axis	Parameters	Host preference		
			Ornithophilic (0)	Mammalophilic (M)	Mammalophilic/ornithophilic (M/O)
10	F1		0.81 ± 0.16	-0.06 ± 0.6	-0.19 ± 0.72
		F2	-0.34 ± 1.13	-0.02 ± 0.46	0.16 ± 0.16
	F1	Mean ± SD	0.90 ± 0.26	-0.06 ± 0.70	-0.22 ± 0.87
			0.35 ± 0.94	-0.01 ± 0.5	-0.11 ± 0.29
7	F1		1.02 ± 0.23	-0.1 ± 0.7	-0.19 ± 0.93
		F2	0.49 ± 1.43	-0.03 ± 0.38	-0.11 ± 0.30
Number of morphological characters	Axis	Parameters	Student test between M/O and other groups		
			Ornithophilic (0)	Mammalophilic (M)	
10	F1		$P < 10^{-4}$	N.S.	
		F2	N.S.	N.S.	
7	F1	$P$ value	$P < 10^{-4}$	N.S.	
			N.S.	N.S.	
4	F1		$P < 10^{-4}$	N.S.	
		F2	N.S.	N.S.	

#### Notes.

N.S., Not significant.

strongly swollen; Antenna-Short sensilla trichodea, distal part segment IV to X-Number: 2 seta each) were found to be the most reliable predicting characteristics of host preference in *Culicoides* species (Table 3).

## DISCUSSION

Some aspects of the epidemiology of vector-borne diseases are linked to the host preferences and feeding behaviors of vector arthropods. This study investigates whether main sensory structures of female *Culicoides* are correlated to host species feeding preferences. Our results demonstrate that the presence of *sensilla coeloconica* and the maxillary palpus can be used to separate ornithophilic and mammalophilic or ornithophilic/mammalophilic species as previously reported on five species by [Isberg, Hillbur & Ignell \(2013\)](#).

[Talavera et al. \(2015\)](#), proposed to use only four morphological characters to predict *Culicoides* host preference based on [Blackwell \(2004\)](#), without statistical analysis. In the present study, seven characters are sufficient to assess host preference including the four parameters of [Talavera et al. \(2015\)](#). Interestingly, our results with four morphological characteristics (Fig. 2C) separate the three groups but a lot of *Culicoides* species are clustered in the same point compared to seven or 10 parameters (Figs. 2A, 2B).

Interestingly, [Talavera et al. \(2015\)](#) have predicted host preference for 29 *Culicoides* studied species. The current study identified 5 species as ornithophilic (*C. cataneii*, *C. gejgelensis*, *C. haranti*, *C. maritimus*, *C. segnis*) while there were classified as mammalophilic, 14 ornithophilic/mammalophilic species (*C. alazanicus*, *C. circumscriptus*, *C. festivipennis*, *C. griseidorsum*, *C. imicola*, *C. impunctatus*, *C. jumineri*, *C. kibunensis*, *C. newsteadi*, *C. obsoletus*, *C. pictipennis*, *C. pulicaris*, *C. punctatus*, *C. scoticus*) classified as incomplete and four species as mammalophilic (*C. brunnicans*, *C. parroti*, *C. puncticollis*, *C. shaklawensis*) while there were classified as indefinite by [Talavera et al. \(2015\)](#). In contrast six *Culicoides* species (*C. dewulfi*, *C. fagineus*, *C. furcillatus*, *C. lupicaris*, *C. poperingensis*, *C. subfagineus*) are correctly attributed by the both studies.

Previous studies have suggested a relationship between the number of sensilla and host preference ([Braverman & Hulley, 1979](#); [Isberg, Hillbur & Ignell, 2013](#)). The number of short blunt-tipped *sensilla trichodea*, *sensilla coeloconica* and *sensilla basiconica* are significantly higher in the ornithophilic *Culicoides festivipennis* compared with the mammalophilic *C. obsoletus* and *C. scoticus* ([Isberg, Hillbur & Ignell, 2013](#)). In our study, we are unable to classify species having a higher number of *sensilla trichodea* and *sensilla coeloconica* as ornithophilic, mammalophilic or both. The ornithophilic species show a number of *sensilla coeloconica* ranging from eight to 13. The morphological sensillum types of antenna and host preference were not associated with their phylogenetic relationship ([Isberg, Hillbur & Ignell, 2013](#)) but rather with volatile organic compounds, captured by different receptors present on sensillum types ([Zimmer et al., 2015](#)).

The morphological characters of the main sensory structures of *Culicoides* and their host preferences are not linked with their breeding sites for 13, 14 and 34 of *Culicoides* studied species ([Kettle & Lawson, 1952](#); [Zimmer, Haubruege & Francis, 2014](#); [Zimmer et al., 2014](#)). *Culicoides* larvae develop in a wide range of wet substrates. Each species has its own requirements; therefore, larval micro-habitats are generally species-specific, even if species associations are regularly observed ([Zimmer, Haubruege & Francis, 2014](#); [Zimmer et al., 2014](#)). The *Culicoides* species studied are recorded in the same substrates.

Finally, our study, based on 10 and seven characteristics, confirms the empirical classification of *Culicoides* into ornithophilic and mammalophilic or ornithophilic/mammalophilic, whereas, [Talavera et al. \(2015\)](#)'s analysis was based only on four morphological characters.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

### Funding

The authors received no funding for this work.

### Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

## Author Contributions

- Denis Augot conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Leila Hadj-Henni conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Stavana E. Strutz, Darine Slama and Christine Millot wrote the paper, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Jérôme Depaquit performed the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Jean-Marc Millot performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.

## Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data has been supplied as [Data S1](#).

## Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3478#supplemental-information>.

## REFERENCES

- Ayllón T, Nijhof AM, Weiher W, Bauer B, Allène X, Clausen PH. 2014. Feeding behaviour of *Culicoides* spp. (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) on cattle and sheep in northeast Germany. *Parasites & Vectors* 7:34 DOI [10.1186/1756-3305-7-34](https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-7-34).
- Bartsch S, Bauer B, Wiemann A, Clausen PH, Steuber S. 2009. Feeding patterns of biting midges of the *Culicoides obsletus* and *Culicoides pulicaris* groups on selected farms in Brandenburg, Germany. *Parasitology Research* 105:373–380 DOI [10.1007/s00436-009-1408-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-009-1408-y).
- Bhasin A, Mordue Luntz AJ, Mordue W. 2001. Field studies on efficacy of host odour baits for the biting midge *Culicoides impunctatus* in Scotland. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 15:147–156 DOI [10.1046/j.1365-2915.2001.00285.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2915.2001.00285.x).
- Blackwell A. 2004. A morphological investigation of *Culicoides* spp. biting midges (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) from the Caribbean. *Journal of Vector Ecology* 29:51–61.
- Blackwell A, Brown M, Mordue W. 1995. The use of an enhanced ELISA method for the identification of *Culicoides* blood meals in host-preference studies. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 9(2):214–218 DOI [10.1111/j.1365-2915.1995.tb00183.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2915.1995.tb00183.x).
- Blackwell A, Mordue (Luntz) AJ, Mordue W. 1994. Identification of bloodmeals of the Scottish biting midge, *Culicoides impunctatus*, by indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 8(1):20–24 DOI [10.1111/j.1365-2915.1994.tb00378.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2915.1994.tb00378.x).

- Bobeva A, Ilieva M, Dimitrov D, Zehtindjiev P.** 2014. Degree of associations among vectors of the genus *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) and host bird species with respect to haemosporidian parasites in NE Bulgaria. *Parasitology Research* **113**(12):4505–4511 DOI [10.1007/s00436-014-4140-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-014-4140-1).
- Bobeva A, Zehtindjiev P, Ilieva M, Dimitrov D, Mathis A, Bensch S.** 2015. Host preferences of ornithophilic biting midges of the genus *Culicoides* in the Eastern Balkans. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* **29**(3):290–296 DOI [10.1111/mve.12108](https://doi.org/10.1111/mve.12108).
- Borkent A.** 2005. The biting midges, the Ceratopogonidae (Diptera). In: Marquardt WC, ed. *Biology of disease vectors*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science & Technology, 113–126.
- Borkent A.** 2014. World species of biting midges (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). Available at <http://wwx.inhs.illinois.edu/files/9913/9144/3328/CeratopogonidaeCatalog.pdf>.
- Braverman Y, Boreham PF, Galum R.** 1971. The origin of bloodmeals of female *Culicoides pallidipennis* trapped in a sheepfold in Israel. *Journal of Medical Entomology* **8**:379–381 DOI [10.1093/jmedent/8.4.379](https://doi.org/10.1093/jmedent/8.4.379).
- Braverman Y, Frish K, Reis M, Mumcuoglu KY.** 2012. Host preference of *Culicoides* spp. from Israel based on sensory organs and morphometry (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). *Entomologica Generalis* **34**:97–110 DOI [10.1127/entom.gen/34/2012/97](https://doi.org/10.1127/entom.gen/34/2012/97).
- Braverman Y, Hulley PE.** 1979. The relationship between the number and distribution of some antennal and palpal sense organs and host preferences in some *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) from southern Africa. *Journal of Medical Entomology* **15**:419–424 DOI [10.1093/jmedent/15.5-6.419](https://doi.org/10.1093/jmedent/15.5-6.419).
- Calvo JH, Berzal B, Calvete C, Miranda MA, Estrada R, Lucientes J.** 2012. Host feeding patterns of *Culicoides* species (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) within the Picos de Europa National Park in northern Spain. *Bulletin Entomological Research* **102**(6):692–697 DOI [10.1017/S0007485312000284](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485312000284).
- Elbers ARW, Meiswinkel R.** 2014. *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) host preferences and biting rates in the Netherlands: comparing cattle, sheep and the black-light trap. *Veterinary Parasitology* **205**:330–337 DOI [10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.06.004).
- Elbers ARW, Meiswinkel R.** 2015. *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) and livestock in the Netherlands: comparing host preference and attack rates on a Shetland pony, a dairy cow, and a sheep. *Journal of Vector Ecology* **40**(2):308–317 DOI [10.1111/jvec.12169](https://doi.org/10.1111/jvec.12169).
- Fall M, Fall AG, Seck MT, Bouyer J, Diarra M, Lancelot R, Gimonneau G, Garros C, Bakhoum MT, Faye O, Baldet T, Balenghien T.** 2015. Host preferences and circadian rhythm of *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae), vectors of African horse sickness and bluetongue viruses in Senegal. *Acta Tropica* DOI [10.1016/j.actatropica.2015.06.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2015.06.012).
- Ferraguti M, Martínez-de la Puente J, Ruiz S, Soriguer R, Figuerola J.** 2013. On the study of the transmission networks of blood parasites from SW Spain: diversity of avian haemosporidians in the biting midge *Culicoides circumscriptus* and wild birds. *Parasite & Vectors* **6**:208 DOI [10.1186/1756-3305-6-208](https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-208).
- Garrett-Jones C.** 1964. Prognosis for interruption of malaria transmission through assessment of the mosquito's vectorial capacity. *Nature* **204**:1173–1175.

- Garros C, Gardès L, Allène X, Rakotoarivony I, Viennet E, Rossi S, Balenghien T.** 2011. Adaptation of a species-specific multiplex PCR assay for the identification of bloodmeal source in *Culicoides* (Ceratopogonidae: Diptera): applications on Palaearctic biting midge species, vectors of Orbiviruses. *Infection Genetics and Evolution* 11:1103–1110 DOI [10.1016/j.meegid.2011.04.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2011.04.002).
- Greenacre M, Jörg B.** 2006. Greenacre J, ed. *Multiple correspondence analysis and related methods*. London: Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Hadj-Henni L, Meulemeester T, Depaquit J, Noël P, Germain A, Helder R, Augot D.** 2015. Comparison of vertebrate cytochrome b and prepronociceptin for blood meal analyses in *Culicoides*. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* 2:15 DOI [10.3389/fvets.2015.00015](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2015.00015).
- Isberg E, Hillbur Y, Ignell R.** 2013. Comparative study of antennal and maxillary palp olfactory sensilla of female biting midges (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae:Culicoides) in the context of host preference and phylogeny. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 50(3):485–492 DOI [10.1603/ME12235](https://doi.org/10.1603/ME12235).
- Jamnback H.** 1965. *The Culicoides of New York State (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae)*. Albany: The University of the State of New York, State Education Department, 1–154.
- Kettle DS, Lawson JWH.** 1952. The early stages of British biting midges *Culicoides* Latreille (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) and allied genera. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 43:421–467 DOI [10.1017/S000748530002945X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S000748530002945X).
- Lassen SB, Nielsen SA, Kristensen M.** 2012. Identity and diversity of blood meal hosts of biting midges (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae: *Culicoides* Latreille) in Denmark. *Parasite & Vectors* 5:143 DOI [10.1186/1756-3305-5-143](https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-5-143).
- Lassen SB, Nielsen SA, Skovgard H, Kristensen M.** 2011. Molecular identification of bloodmeals from biting midges (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae: *Culicoides* Latreille) in Denmark. *Parasitology Research* 108(4):823–829 DOI [10.1007/s00436-010-2123-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-010-2123-4).
- Logan JG, Birkett MA.** 2007. Semiochemicals for biting fly control: their identification and exploitation. *Pest Management Science* 63:647–657 DOI [10.1002/ps.1408V](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1408V).
- Ma Y, Xu J, Yang Z, Wang X, Lin Z, Zhao W, Wang Y, Li X, Shi H.** 2013. A video clip of the biting midge *Culicoides anophelis* ingesting blood from an engorged *Anopheles* mosquito in Hainan, China. *Parasite & Vectors* 6(1):326 DOI [10.1186/1756-3305-6-326](https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-326).
- Mands V, Kline DL, Blackwell A.** 2004. *Culicoides* midge trap enhancement with animal odour baits in Scotland. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 18:336–342 DOI [10.1111/j.0269-283X.2004.00516.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0269-283X.2004.00516.x).
- Martínez-de la Puente J, Figuerola J, Soriguer R.** 2015. Fur or feather? Feeding preferences of species of *Culicoides* biting midges in Europe. *Trends in Parasitology* 31(1):16–22 DOI [10.1016/j.pt.2014.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2014.11.002).
- Martínez-de la Puente J, Martínez J, Ferraguti M, Morales-de la Nuez A, Castro N, Figuerola J.** 2012. Genetic characterization and molecular identification of the bloodmeal sources of the potential bluetongue vector *Culicoides obsoletus* in the Canary Islands, Spain. *Parasite & Vectors* 5:147 DOI [10.1186/1756-3305-5-147](https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-5-147).

- Mathieu B, Cêtre-Sossah C, Garros C, Chavernac D, Balenghien T, Carpenter S, Setier-Rio ML, Vignes-Lebbe R, Ung V, Candolfi E, Delécolle JC.** 2012. Development and validation of IIKC: an interactive identification key for *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) females from the Western Palaearctic region. *Parasite & Vectors* 5:137 DOI 10.1186/1756-3305-5-137.
- McKeever S, Hagan DV, Wang XY.** 1994. Comparative study of mouthparts of 4 species of *Culicoides* from Tibet. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 8:255–264 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2915.1994.tb00507.x.
- Mezenev NP.** 1990. КРОВОСОСУЩИЕ МОКРЕЦЫ (CERATOPOGONIDAE) СЕВЕРА СРЕДНЕЙ СИБИРИ [Bloodsucking punkies (Ceratopognidae) from the north of Middle Siberia]. *Parazitologija* 24(1):28–36.
- Nevill EM, Anderson D.** 1972. Host preferences of *Culicoides* midges (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in South Africa as determined by precipitin tests and light trap catches. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research* 39:147–152.
- Ninio C, Augot D, Delécolle JC, Dufour B, Depaquit J.** 2011. Contribution to the knowledge of *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) host preferences in France. *Parasitology Research* 108(3):657–663 DOI 10.1007/s00436-010-2110-9.
- Pettersson E, Bensch S, Ander M, Chirico J, Sigvald R, Ignell R.** 2013. Molecular identification of bloodmeals and species composition in *Culicoides* biting midges. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 27(1):104–112 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2915.2012.01038.x.
- Santiago-Alarcon D, Havelka P, Pineda E, Segelbacher G, Schaefer HM.** 2013. Urban forests as hubs for novel zoonosis: blood meal analysis, seasonal variation in *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) vectors, and avian haemosporidians. *Parasitology* 140(14):1799–1810 DOI 10.1017/S0031182013001285.
- Santiago-Alarcon D, Havelka P, Schaefer HM, Segelbacher G.** 2012. Bloodmeal analysis reveals avian Plasmodium infections and broad host preferences of *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) vectors. *PLOS ONE* 7(2):e31098 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0031098.
- Silver JB, Service MW.** 2008. Sampling adults by animal bait catches and by animal baited traps. In: *Mosquito ecology field sampling methods*. 2008. Dordrecht: Springer, 493–675.
- Slama D, Haouas N, Mezhoud H, Babba H, Chaker E.** 2015. Bloodmeal analysis of *Culicoides* (Diptera:Ceratopogonidae) in Central Tunisia. *PLOS ONE* 10(3):e0120528 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0120528.
- Talavera S, Muñoz-Muñoz F, Durán M, Verdún M, Soler-Membrives A, Oleaga Á, Arenas A, Ruiz-Fons F, Estrada R, Pagès N.** 2015. Culicoides species communities associated with wild ruminant ecosystems in spain: tracking the way to determine potential bridge vectors for arboviruses. *PLOS ONE* 10(10):e0141667 eCollection 2015 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0141667.
- Thompson GM, Jess S, Gordon AW, Murchie AK.** 2014. Sticky-trapping biting midges (*Culicoides spp.*) alighting on cattle and sheep: effects of trap colour and evidence for host preference. *Parasitology Research* 113:3085–3094 DOI 10.1007/s00436-014-3974-x.

- Viennet E, Garros C, Lancelot R, Allène X, Gardès L, Rakotoarivony I, Crochet D, Delécolle JC, Moulia C, Baldet T, Balenghien T.** 2011. Assessment of vector/host contact: comparison of animal-baited traps and UV-light/suction trap for collecting *Culicoides* biting midges (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae), vectors of Orbiviruses. *Parasite & Vectors* 4:119 DOI [10.1186/1756-3305-4-119](https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-4-119).
- Votypka J, Synek P, Svobodova M.** 2009. Endophagy of biting midges attacking cavity nesting birds. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 23(3):277–280 DOI [10.1111/j.1365-2915.2009.00800.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2915.2009.00800.x).
- Walker AR, Davies FG.** 1971. A preliminary survey of the epidemiology of bluetongue in Kenya. *Journal of Hygiene* 69(1):47–60 DOI [10.1017/S0022172400021239](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022172400021239).
- Ziegyte R, Palinauskas V, Bernotiene R, Iezhova TA, Valkunas G.** 2014. *Haemoproteus minutus* and *Haemoproteus belopolskyi* (Haemoproteidae): complete sporogony in the biting midge *Culicoides impunctatus* (Ceratopogonidae), with implications on epidemiology of haemoproteosis. *Experimental Parasitology* 145:74–79 DOI [10.1016/j.exppara.2014.07.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2014.07.014).
- Zimmer JY, Brostaux Y, Haubrûge E, Francis F.** 2014. Larval development sites of the main *Culicoides* species (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in northern Europe and distribution of coprophilic species larvae in Belgian pastures. *Veterinary Parasitology* 205(3–4):676–686 DOI [10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.08.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.08.029).
- Zimmer JY, Haubrûge E, Francis F.** 2014. Synthèse bibliographique: l’écologielarvaire des culicoïdes (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). *Biotechnology Agronomy Society Environment* 18(2):301–312.
- Zimmer JY, Verheggen FJ, Haubrûge E, Francis F.** 2015. Orientation behaviour of *Culicoides obsoletus* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae), a relevant virus vector in northern Europe, toward host-associated odorant cues. *Veterinary Parasitology* 211(3–4):274–282 DOI [10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.05.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.05.013).