





Draft Genome Sequences of 12 Panton-Valentine Leucocidin-Positive and Multidrug-Resistant Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Strains Isolated from an Intensive Care Unit in Pakistan

®Kidon Sung,ª Dereje D. Gudeta,ª Miseon Park,ª Jungwhan Chon,♭ ®Sungguk Kim,¢ Mohamed S. Nawaz,ª ®Steven L. Foley,ª Saeed A. Khana

^aDivision of Microbiology, National Center for Toxicological Research, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Jefferson, Arkansas, USA Department of Pet Total Care, Division of Nursing and Welfare, Kyung-in Women's University, Incheon, South Korea coffice of Scientific Coordination, National Center for Toxicological Research, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Jefferson, Arkansas, USA

ABSTRACT Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is a pathogenic bacterium responsible for difficult-to-treat staphylococcal infections due to multidrug resistance. Twelve Panton-Valentine leucocidin (PVL)-positive and multidrug-resistant clinical MRSA isolates from hospitals in Pakistan were sequenced and annotated to investigate genetic markers associated with antimicrobial resistance, virulence, and biofilm formation.

taphylococcus aureus is a commensal bacterium that is found in the skin and nasal cavity (1). Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is a major cause of morbidity and death and can cause soft tissue infections, endocarditis, pneumonia, sepsis, bloodstream infections, and toxic shock syndrome (2). MRSA is known to harbor a wide range of extracellular enterotoxin genes, virulence factors, and Panton-Valentine leucocidin (PVL) genes that help it to infect its host and evade host defenses (3). Therefore, it is important to have the genomic sequences of MRSA strains to analyze the factors responsible for their pathogenicity, virulence, diagnosis, and evolution.

MRSA strains used in this study were isolated (6 from nares and 6 from rectum) from 12 patients admitted to an intensive care unit in Rawalpindi, Pakistan, and were sequenced. Four of the patients died due to complications resulting from infections. MRSA isolates were characterized by *nucA* and coagulase profiles and identified using Vitek 2 identification cards. Details of their growth conditions and isolation have been described previously (4, 5). The isolates were grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) at 35°C for 18 h, and the pellet was obtained after centrifugation at 10,000 \times q for 5 min. Pellets of MRSA strains were incubated at 37°C for 1 h in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl plus 1 mM EDTA [pH 8.0]) containing lysostaphin (MilliporeSigma, St. Louis, MO). This was followed by the addition of proteinase K (MilliporeSigma) and AL buffer (Qiagen, Germantown, MD) and incubation at 56°C for 30 min and then at 95°C for 15 min. A Nextera XT DNA library preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) was employed to make DNA sequencing libraries. Samples were multiplexed using a combination of two indexes with a Nextera XT index kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) reactions were carried out on a MiSeq sequencer (Illumina) in 2 \times 300-bp paired-end format (4).

Removal of the adapter sequences, filtering of low-quality sequence reads, quality control, and de novo assembly of high-quality sequences were performed using the Trim Reads, QC for Sequencing Reads, and De Novo Assembly tools of CLC Genomics Workbench v21.0.4 (Qiagen) (5, 6). QUAST v5.1 (http://quast.sourceforge.net) was employed to check the assembly quality and the completeness of the draft genomes and to compare contig and scaffold statistics (7). The draft genome assembly was first annotated using the Rapid

Editor Steven R. Gill, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry

This is a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Foreign copyrights may apply.

Address correspondence to Saeed A. Khan, saeed.khan@fda.hhs.gov.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 9 December 2021 Accepted 9 January 2022 Published 27 January 2022

TABLE 1 WGS analyses of 12 MRSA isolates

Year of Isolate isolation 165 2010 255 2010 315 2011 335 2011	Source	MIST														
Year of solation 2010 2010 2011 2011	Source	MEST			Total	no.	Genome				0+C			antibiotic	No. of	
ate isolation 2010 2010 2011 2011	Source	sednence	sba	SCCmec	genome	of	coverage	Nso	No. of	No. of	content	No. of	No. of	resistance	virulence	GenBank
2010 2010 2011 2011	1	type	type	type	size (bp)	reads	(×)	(pb)	contigsa	CDSsp	(%)ر	tRNAsb	rRNAs	genes _d	genes	accession no.
2010 2011 2011	Vare	239	t632	III(3A)	2,886,329	2,088,656	259	59,651	176	2,916	32.70	54	5	46	77	JAHNFC0000000000
2011	Vare	8	t064	IV(2B)	2,860,610	1,737,038	257	36,678	240	2,909	32.66	52		47	69	JAHNFD00000000000
2011	Vare	239	t030	III(3A)	2,882,928	2,117,788	258	17,384	118	2,889	32.68	51	5	46	72	JAHNFE0000000000
	3ect nm	239	t030	III(3A)	2,935,581	2,363,144	263	79,937	185	2,988	32.75	55	2	45	74	JAHNFF0000000000
2011	3ect nm	239	t030	III(3A)	2,965,579	2,513,282	262	45,957	178	3029	32.73	51	2	46	73	JAHNFG0000000000
	Vare	8	t064	IVa(2B)	2,853,783	77,766	256	64,946	167	2,871	32.70	55	4	47	69	JAHNFH00000000000
2011	3ect nm	8	t064	IVa(2B)	2,831,079	60,384	254	25,082	271	2,873	32.71	37	4	47	26	JAHNF10000000000
2011	Vare	30	t148	III(3A)	2,831,307	67,224	254	29,415	292	2,889	32.74	51	2	48	71	JAHNFJ00000000000
	3ect nm	239	t030	III(3A)	2,940,057	91,218	264	73,184	121	2,961	32.74	26	5	45	71	JAHNFK0000000000
2011	Rectum	239	t030	III(3A)	2,849,750	127,630	255	6,195	934	3,125	32.89	49	4	45	68	JAHNFL0000000000
	3ect nm	503	t138	IVg(2B)	2,830,515	63,526	254	908'65	103	2,810	32.71	20	3	43	73	JAHNFM00000000000
525 2010	Rectum	15	t1509	IVa(2B)	2,649,066	31,976	237	9,228	265	2,718	32.77	33	3	39	70	JAHNFN0000000000

 $^{\rm o}$ The numbers of contigs were determined following de novo assembly with CLC Genomics Workbench v21.0.4. $^{\rm o}$ The numbers of CDSs, tRNAs, and rRNAs were determined by NCBI PGAP. $^{\rm c}$ The G+C content was determined by PATRIC. $^{\rm d}$ Searched by PATRIC. $^{\rm d}$ Searched by PATRIC. $^{\rm d}$ Searched by PATRIC. $^{\rm e}$ Searched in the Virulence Factor Database (VFDB).



Annotations using Subsystem Technology (RAST) tool kit (RASTtk) within Pathosystems Resource Integration Centre (PATRIC) v3.6.9 (https://www.patricbrc.org) (8). The NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) v5.2 was then employed to annotate the publicly available genome sequences (9). Multilocus sequence typing (MLST), *spa* typing, and staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* element (SCC*mec*) typing were conducted with MLST v2.0, spaTyper v1.0, and SCC*mec*Finder v1.2, respectively (10, 11). Default parameters were used for all software unless otherwise specified. The isolation year, source, MLST, *spa*, and SCC*mec* types, total genome sizes, numbers of contigs, coding sequences (CDSs), tRNA genes, rRNA genes, antibiotic resistance genes, virulence genes, genome coverage, N_{50} values, G+C contents, and GenBank accession numbers are listed in Table 1.

Data availability. The draft genome sequences reported in this study were deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank with the accession numbers listed in Table 1. The raw sequence reads were deposited in the SRA with accession numbers SRX11183745 (isolate 16S), SRX11183746 (isolate 25S), SRX11183749 (isolate 31S), SRX11183751 (isolate 33S), SRX11183751 (isolate 34S), SRX11183752 (isolate 37S), SRX11183753 (39S), SRX11183755 (isolate 41S), SRX11183754 (isolate 43S), SRX11183756 (isolate 48S), SRX11183747 (isolate 50S), and SRX11183748 (isolate 52S) under BioProject accession number PRJNA737117.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We recognize Jing Han and Jinshan Jin for their critical review of the manuscript.

This project was supported by the National Center for Toxicological Research and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (grant E0771001).

The opinions expressed in the manuscript are solely the authors' and do not necessarily represent the official views and policy of the Food and Drug Administration or the Department of Health and Human Services. Reference to any commercial material, equipment, or process does not in any way constitute approval, endorsement, or recommendation by the Food and Drug Administration.

REFERENCES

- Sollid JU, Furberg AS, Hanssen AM, Johannessen M. 2014. Staphylococcus aureus: determinants of human carriage. Infect Genet Evol 21:531–541. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2013.03.020.
- Vandecasteele SJ, Boelaert JR, De Vriese AS. 2009. Staphylococcus aureus infections in hemodialysis: what a nephrologist should know. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 4:1388–1400. https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.01590309.
- Watkins RR, David MZ, Salata RA. 2012. Current concepts on the virulence mechanisms of meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J Med Microbiol 61:1179–1193. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.043513-0.
- Sung K, Khajanchi BK, Hiett KL, Line JE, Khan SA. 2020. Draft genome sequences of two Campylobacter jejuni strains that show significantly different colonization potentials in chickens. Microbiol Resour Announc 9: e00687-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00687-20.
- Kolle M, Horta MAC, Nowrousian M, Ohm RA, Benz JP, Pilgard A. 2020. Degradative capacity of two strains of *Rhodonia placenta*: from phenotype to genotype. Front Microbiol 11:1338. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01338.
- Utturkar SM, Klingeman DM, Land ML, Schadt CW, Doktycz MJ, Pelletier DA, Brown SD. 2014. Evaluation and validation of de novo and hybrid assembly techniques to derive high-quality genome sequences. Bioinformatics 30:2709–2716. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btu391.

- Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29:1072–1075. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086.
- 8. Wattam AR, Abraham D, Dalay O, Disz TL, Driscoll T, Gabbard JL, Gillespie JJ, Gough R, Hix D, Kenyon R, Machi D, Mao C, Nordberg EK, Olson R, Overbeek R, Pusch GD, Shukla M, Schulman J, Stevens RL, Sullivan DE, Vonstein V, Warren A, Will R, Wilson MJC, Yoo HS, Zhang C, Zhang Y, Sobral BW. 2014. PATRIC, the bacterial bioinformatics database and analysis resource. Nucleic Acids Res 42: D581–D591. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1099.
- Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/qkw569.
- Larsen MV, Cosentino S, Rasmussen S, Friis C, Hasman H, Marvig RL, Jelsbak L, Sicheritz-Pontén T, Ussery DW, Aarestrup FM, Lund O. 2012. Multilocus sequence typing of total-genome-sequenced bacteria. J Clin Microbiol 50:1355–1361. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.06094-11.
- Bartels MD, Petersen A, Worning P, Nielsen JB, Larner-Svensson H, Johansen HK, Andersen LP, Jarløv JO, Boye K, Larsen AR, Westh H. 2014. Comparing whole-genome sequencing with Sanger sequencing for spa typing of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J Clin Microbiol 52:4305–4308. https:// doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01979-14.

Volume 11 lssue 2 e01190-21 mra.asm.org **3**