Communications



Oxidative Coupling Hot Paper

 How to cite:
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 15468–15473

 International Edition:
 doi.org/10.1002/anie.202002595

 German Edition:
 doi.org/10.1002/ange.202002595

Transition-Metal-Free Oxidative Cross-Coupling of Tetraarylborates to Biaryls Using Organic Oxidants

Carolin Gerleve and Armido Studer*



15468 Wiley Online Library © 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 15468–15473

Communications

F

Abstract: Readily prepared tetraarylborates undergo selective (cross)-coupling through oxidation with Bobbitt's salt to give symmetric and unsymmetric biaryls. The organic oxoammonium salt can be used either as a stoichiometric oxidant or as a catalyst in combination with in situ generated NO_2 and molecular oxygen as the terminal oxidant. For selected cases, oxidative coupling is also possible with NO_2/O_2 without any additional nitroxide-based cocatalyst. Transition-metal-free catalytic oxidative ligand cross-coupling of tetraarylborates is unprecedented and the introduced method provides access to various biaryl and heterobiaryl systems.

Biaryls play an important role as structural motifs in biologically active compounds, organic materials, and pharmaceuticals, rendering the development of novel methods for aryl-aryl bond formation a topic of ongoing interest.^[1] Since the first reported biaryl synthesis by Ullmann in 1901,^[2] different synthetic strategies have been introduced, including transition-metal-catalyzed coupling,^[3] direct^[4] and dehydrogenative^[4d,5] C-H arylation, and decarboxylative coupling.^[6] In addition, significant efforts have been devoted to the development of transition-metal-free alternatives.^[7-9] As in the classical Suzuki-Miyaura coupling,^[10] many of these transition-metal-free variants use organoboron compounds as aryl donors.^[7a,9] Along these lines, it has been reported that tetraphenylborate undergoes coupling to biphenyl via electrochemical^[11] or photochemical^[12] oxidation. Still, only a few methods for the direct oxidative biaryl synthesis via intramolecular ligand coupling of borate complexes with chemical oxidants have been presented. In these transformations iridium(IV),^[13] vanadium(V),^[14] a Zn^{II}₄L₆ cage,^[15] and chlorosilanes in the presence of dioxygen^[16] were chosen as oxidants (Scheme 1A,B). However, mainly the preparation of symmetric biaryls was achieved by using these methods, whereas the oxidative cross-coupling of unsymmetric tetraarylborates still remains challenging and is limited to a few examples.^[14,16] During the preparation of this manuscript, Didier and co-workers reported an electrochemical oxidative coupling of unsymmetric tetraarylborates to give the corresponding mixed biarvls.^[17]

We envisioned that a nitroxide-derived oxoammonium salt, such as the commercial Bobbitt salt **1**,^[18] could be used as a mild and cheap oxidant for the transition-metal-free coupling of tetraarylborates. Herein we disclose the realization of that idea and show that this approach can be used not only for the preparation of symmetric biaryls, but also for the selective cross-coupling of in situ generated unsymmetric

[*] C. Gerleve, Prof. Dr. A. Studer Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Corrensstraße 40, 48149 Münster (Germany) E-mail: studer@uni-muenster.de A) Geske (1961): electrochemical homocoupling^[11]

B) Hirao (2001, 2006): cross-couping with chemical oxidants^[14,16]

$$\frac{Ph_2SiCl_2/O_2}{Ph_3BAr M^+} \xrightarrow{Or} Ph-Ar$$

$$VO(OEt)Cl_2$$

C) This work: transition-metal-free selective cross-coupling of tetraarylborates



Scheme 1. Oxidative coupling of tetraarylborates (A and B) and transition-metal-free selective cross-coupling of in situ generated unsymmetric tetraarylborates, with a stoichiometric oxoammonium salt as oxidant or by using a catalytic process with O_2 as the terminal oxidant (C).

tetraarylborates. Unsymmetric tetraarylborates can be readily formed by addition of an aryl Grignard or aryllithium species to a triaryl borane (Scheme 1 C). It will be shown that the electron-poor *para*-trifluoromethylphenyl group mainly acts as a dummy ligand in these borates, opening the door to selective cross-coupling reactions.

We commenced our studies by exploring the applicability of TEMPO⁺ salts as oxidants in the coupling of tetraarylborates **2**. (p-Tolyl)₄BNa (**2a**) was chosen as the test substrate. To our delight, formation of the biaryl **3a** was achieved in 81% yield by using 1.2 equivalents of TEMPO⁺BF₄⁻ in MeCN at 60 °C. Application of the cheaper Bobbitt salt **1** (4-(acetylamino)-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-oxo-piperidinium tetrafluoroborate),^[19] which is a slightly stronger oxidant, led to a further improvement of the result and **3a** was isolated in 88% yield. The robustness of the homocoupling was shown by running the reaction at 2 mmol scale, after which a comparable yield of 84% was obtained.

After we had identified an efficient organic oxidant, we tested various commercially available or readily accessible tetraarylborates 2b-i in the oxidative homocoupling (Scheme 2, Method A). The countercation M^+ of the borate salt (Na⁺ or K⁺) does not influence the coupling process and is therefore not further specified here (see the Supporting Information). Smooth coupling was observed for the tetraphenylborate (2b), tetraarylated borates bearing alkyl-substituted aryl moieties (2c and 2d), and also for the tetra- β naphthylborate salt (2e) and the corresponding products 3be were isolated in excellent yields (88-90%). A good yield of 75% was also achieved for the *para*-methoxy analogue 3f, while the oxidative coupling of tetrakis(2-thienyl)borate 2h to bithienyl **3h** occurred with slightly lower efficiency (50%). Although the homocoupling of the para-chlorophenyl-substituted borate salt could be realized in high yield (**3h**, 85%),

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 15468–15473 © 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim www.angewandte.org 15469



Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for
 the author(s) of this article can be found under:

https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202002595.

^{© 2020} The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not used for commercial purposes.



Scheme 2. Transition-metal-free oxidative homocoupling of tetraarylborates by three different methods (A, B and C). Yields provided represent isolated yields. Conditions: Method A: 2 (0.30 mmol), 1 (0.36 mmol) in MeCN (3.0 mL); Method B: 2 (0.2 mmol), 1 (0.03 mmol), NaNO₂ (0.06 mmol), H₂SO₄ (0.06 mmol), 2 bar O₂ in MeCN (2.0 mL); Method C: 2 (0.1 mmol), NaNO₂ (0.03 mmol), H₂SO₄ (0.03 mmol), 2 bar O₂ in MeCN (1.0 mL). ^a 84% yield when conducted on a 2 mmol scale. ^b 20 mol% of NaNO₂ and H₂SO₄ were used.
^c conducted on a 0.1 mmol scale ^d detected by GC-MS analysis.

the presence of more electron-withdrawing CF_3 groups almost completely suppressed the transformation, probably due to the increased oxidation potential of **2i**,^[11c] and only traces of **3i** could be detected by GC-MS analysis. Importantly, the reluctance of trifluoromethylphenyl groups in such borate salts to engage in oxidative C–C coupling can be harnessed for selective cross-coupling processes (see below).

TEMPO⁺BF₄⁻ is a two-electron oxidant with TEMPO-BAr₂ being formed as the byproduct in these couplings. In principle, TEMPOBAr₂ can be reoxidized to the starting oxoammonium salt ex situ. Despite this option, we were looking for a cheaper and more straightforward variant that uses the nitroxide component as a catalyst. Oxoammonium salts derived from TEMPO have found widespread application as cocatalysts in transition-metal-catalyzed aerobic oxidations of alcohols.^[20] Since 2004, transition-metal-free processes using TEMPO as a catalyst, nitric oxide as a cocatalyst, and molecular oxygen as the terminal oxidant have been developed.^[21] Motivated by these reports, we tested different cocatalytic systems to regenerate the oxoammonium salt in situ.

We were very pleased to find that by addition of 30 mol% NaNO₂ along with H_2SO_4 under an O_2 atmosphere, biaryls **3a-h** could be obtained under catalytic conditions using 15 mol% of Bobbitt's salt (Scheme 2, method B). In the

catalytic cycle, in situ generated NO2 is suggested to reoxidize 4-AcNH-TEMPOBAr₂ to the corresponding oxoammonium salt and NO₂ is regenerated by O_2 .^[21] Notably, for this protocol an oxygen pressure of 2 bar was sufficient, which could be applied using a normal Schlenk tube and an autoclave was not required. Biaryls 3a-3e were obtained in good to very good yields (up to 85%) using the nitroxide/NO₂ cocatalysis protocol. Compared to the stoichiometric variant (method A), method B generally provided slightly lower yields, as documented by the preparation of the biaryls 3 f, 3h, and the bithienyl 3g. In these cases, the ate complexes were fully converted and the corresponding phenol derivatives were observed as main side products, explaining the lower yields. Surprisingly, control experiments revealed that in the absence of the oxoammonium salt 1, coupling of the tetraarylborates 2a-h still occurs. Obviously, NO₂ is able to directly oxidize a tetraarylborate salt, further lowering the costs of our homocoupling process. Through direct oxidation with catalytic NO₂ under O₂ atmosphere, yields of 24-85% were achieved showing that, at least for the readily oxidized, more electron-rich tetraarylborate salts, method C provides satisfying results (Scheme 2). Methods B and C, which are based on simple and cheap catalysts, represent to our knowledge the first transition-metal-free catalytic protocols for the oxidative ligand coupling of tetraarylborates.

We next turned our attention to the more challenging cross-coupling using unsymmetric tetraarylborate salts as substrates. Preliminary studies revealed that oxidation of tetraarylborates, derived from Ph₃B and p-tolyllithium or (4methoxyphenyl)lithium, with TEMPO⁺BF₄⁻ almost exclusively led to the formation of the cross-coupling products Php-CH₃C₆H₄ (53%) and Ph-p-CH₃OC₆H₄ (52%, see the Supporting Information). However, triphenyl(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)borate gave biphenyl as the main product (52%) and only a trace amount of the trifluoromethylated biaryl was observed (see the Supporting Information). These findings and the failed oxidative homocoupling of borate 2i (see above) indicate that electron-withdrawing substituents at the aryl moiety lower the ligand's coupling tendency which should enable selective coupling of more electron- rich arenes in mixed borate salts. Notably, (para-tolyl)pheB-(pin)Li and also the more reactive (para-tolyl)pheB(cat)Li did not react with TEMPO⁺BF₄⁻ to 4-methylbiphenyl (see the Supporting Information, pin = pinacolato, cat = catecholato), indicating that the coupling is restricted to tetraarylborates. Moreover, alkyl/aryl coupling did not work, as tested for the oxidation of lithium triethyl(6-methoxynaphthalen-2yl)borate with the Bobbitt salt (see the Supporting Information).

We therefore designed a synthetic strategy for the oxidative cross-coupling of in situ generated mixed tetraarylborates containing two *para*-trifluoromethylated phenyl groups as dummy ligands (Scheme 3). Bench-stable aryl(*p*-CF₃C₆H₄)₂B-NH₃ adducts **4** were chosen as starting materials that could be readily transformed in situ to the corresponding triarylboranes upon treatment with HCl (in Et₂O). Addition of aryllithium or aryl Grignard reagents resulted in the formation of the corresponding mixed tetraarylborate salts (aryl¹aryl²(*p*-CF₃C₆H₄)₂BM with M = Li or MgX).



raarylborates using methods A and B. Yields provided represent isolated yields of the overall sequence. Conditions: Method A: 4 (0.22 mmol), HCl (0.24 mmol), Ar'-M (0.20 mmol) 1 (0.24 mmol) in MeCN (2.0 mL); Method B: 4 (0.22 mmol), HCl (0.24 mmol), Ar'-M (0.20 mmol) 1 (30 μ mol), NaNO₂ (60 μ mol), H₂SO₄ (60 μ mol), 2 bar O₂ in MeCN (2.0 mL). ^a Isolated as an inseparable mixture with 4-(trifluoromethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl.

After removal of the solvent, the crude borate complex was redissolved in acetonitrile and subsequently oxidized using either stoichiometric or catalytic amounts of Bobbitt's salt (1), applying methods A or B. For example, reaction of 4a and *p*-tolyllithium, followed by 1-mediated oxidative coupling (1.2 equivalents) gave the unsymmetric biaryl 5a in 74% yield. Notably, a comparatively good yield of 68% was achieved using catalytic amounts of 1, NaNO₂, H₂SO₄, and O₂ (method B), demonstrating the synthetic value of this "catalytic" procedure in cross-coupling reactions as well. With both methods the undesired trifluoromethylated byproduct was detected only in a trace amount by GC-MS analysis and could not be isolated.

As depicted in Scheme 3, the sequence was applicable to the synthesis of various unsymmetric (hetero)biaryls 5a-x. Moderate to good yields (56-76%) were obtained for the cross-coupling of alkyl- and aryl-substituted aryl groups (5b-5i). Method B was applied to the synthesis of tert-butyl- and trimethyl-substituted biaryls 5b and 5h, which were isolated in 59% and 68% yield, respectively. Naphthyl groups engaged in the cross-coupling and both electron-withdrawing and -donating substituents were tolerated at the bicyclic core without affecting the selectivity (5j-m). Heteroaryl crosscoupling worked as well, shown by the synthesis of 2phenylbenzofuran (5n) and 2-phenylbenzothiophene derivatives 50 and 5p obtained in yields of 51-66%. When we applied the catalytic variant (method B), 5p was formed in 45% yield. The pivaloyl-substituted biphenyl 5q was generated in 73% yield. The coupling comprising more electronrich methoxy-substituted aryl groups occurred with good overall yields, although a low selectivity was noted in these cases. Thus, while 4-methoxy-1,1'-biphenyl (5r) was isolated in 34% yield, the undesired 4-methoxy-4'-(trifluoromethyl)-1.1'-biphenyl was also obtained in comparable yield (35%). However, after we switched to the methylated analogue, a better cross-selectivity was observed and 5s was obtained in 54% yield, along with 22% yield of the para-trifluoromethylated biaryl side product. Biaryl 5t, bearing an ester moiety, was obtained in 46% yield. The chloro-substituted biaryl 5u was also successfully prepared, albeit with a lower yield. For both cases, lower yields were mainly caused by reduced crosscoupling selectivities resulting in the formation of biaryl side products derived from the dummy ligand. Selective crosscoupling of halogenated aryl groups with the p-tolyl substituent was achieved and biaryls 5v-5x were isolated in moderate to good yields.

Finally, we developed a modular approach starting with bis(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)isopropoxyborane in which two different aryl groups can be added sequentially and subsequently coupled to the respective biaryls. The overall sequence comprises the selective cross-coupling of two different aryllithium compounds and the readily accessed bis(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)isopropoxy borane acts as a coupling reagent (Scheme 4). We applied this sequence, and unsymmetric biaryls**5a**,**5 f**,**5 y**, and**5 z**were successfully prepared in overall yields of 43–56%.

Based on our observations and earlier reports,^[13,22] we propose the following mechanism for the ligand crosscoupling in tetraarylborates (Scheme 5). One-electron oxidation of borate 4 by Bobbitt's salt occurs most likely selectively at the most electron-rich aryl moiety, while the two dummy substituents remain untouched. The thus generated intermediate I undergoes an intramolecular 1,2-aryl shift^[23] to afford cyclohexadienyl radical II. Migration of the more electron-rich aryl group is favored over migration of the two trifluoromethylated phenyl groups.^[24] As supported by crossover experiments of Hirao et al., the ligand coupling likely proceeds intramolecularly.^[14] Intermediate II could further react via two slightly different pathways. One-electron oxidation of II to cation III by 4-AcNH-TEMPO, followed by nucleophilic attack of the thus generated 4-AcNH-TEMPO⁻ at the boron atom affords biaryl 5 along with the

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 15468–15473 © 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim www.angewandte.org 15471



Scheme 4. Modular strategy for the transition-metal-free oxidative cross-coupling of two different aryllithium compounds. Yields provided represent isolated yields. Conditions: **4** (0.22 mmol), Aryl¹Li (0.22 mmol), HCl (0.24 mmol), Aryl²Li (0.20 mmol), **1** (0.24 mmol) in MeCN (2.0 mL).



Scheme 5. Proposed mechanism for the oxidative cross-coupling of tetraarylborates with Bobbitt's salt.

byproduct **V**. Alternatively, radical/radical cross-coupling of **II** with 4-AcNH-TEMPO, steered by the persistent radical effect,^[25] leads to intermediate **IV** which can then heterolyze to **III**.

In summary, we have reported a novel transition-metalfree oxidative ligand coupling in tetraarylborates for the synthesis of various biaryls using an oxoammonium salt as an inexpensive and mild oxidant. This strategy could also be applied to selective cross-couplings for the preparation of unsymmetric biaryls. Tetraarylborates bearing different aryl groups were formed in situ and the cross-selectivity was controlled by installation of unreactive trifluoromethylated phenyl groups as dummy ligands. In addition to the standard method that uses a stoichiometric amount of Bobbitt's salt **1**, ligand coupling in tetraarylborate salts could also be achieved using oxidant 1 as a catalyst in combination with NO₂ as a cocatalyst and O₂ as the terminal oxidant. For reactive borate salts, coupling worked even in the absence of 1 with NO₂ as the sole catalyst. Transition-metal-free, catalytic processes for the oxidative ligand coupling in tetraarylborates are unprecedented.

Acknowledgements

We thank the European Research Council ERC (Advanced Grant agreement No. 692640) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (fellowship to C.G.) for supporting this work. We thank Dr. Kazuhiro Okamoto (Kyoto University) for conducting initial experiments.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: biaryls \cdot oxidative coupling \cdot oxoammonium salts \cdot tetraarylborates \cdot transition-metal-free

- a) D. A. Horton, G. T. Bourne, M. L. Smythe, *Chem. Rev.* 2003, 103, 893–930; b) P. J. Hajduk, M. Bures, J. Praestgaard, S. W. Fesik, *J. Med. Chem.* 2000, 43, 3443–3447; c) J. Klekota, F. P. Roth, *Bioinformatics* 2008, 24, 2518–2525; d) I. Cepanec in *Synthesis of Biaryls*, Elsevier, New York, 2004; e) J. Hassan, M. Sévignon, C. Gozzi, E. Schulz, M. Lemaire, *Chem. Rev.* 2002, 102, 1359–1470; f) J. Wencel-Delord, A. Panossian, F. R. Leroux, F. Colobert, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 44, 3418–3430.
- [2] F. Ullmann, J. Bielecki, Chem. Ber. 1901, 34, 2174-2185.
- [3] a) S. P. Stanforth, *Tetrahedron* 1998, 54, 263-303; b) C. C. C. Johansson Seechurn, M. O. Kitching, T. J. Colacot, V. Snieckus, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, 51, 5062-5085; *Angew. Chem.* 2012, 124, 5150-5174; c) A. R. Hajipour, F. Rafiee, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 2013, 27, 412-418.
- [4] a) D. Alberico, M. E. Scott, M. Lautens, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 174–238; b) G. P. McGlacken, L. M. Bateman, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2447–2464; c) L. Ackermann, R. Vincente, A. R. Kapdi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9792–9826; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 9976–10011; d) N. Kuhl, M. N. Hopkinson, J. Wencel-Delord, F. Glorius, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10236–10254; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 10382–10401.
- [5] a) D. R. Stuart, K. Fagnou, *Science* 2007, *316*, 1172–1175; b) Y. Yang, J. Lan, J. You, *Chem. Rev.* 2017, *117*, 8787–8863; c) Y.-F. Zhang, Z.-J. Shi, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2019, *52*, 161–169; d) J. L. Röckl, D. Pollok, R. Franke, S. R. Waldvogel, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2020, *53*, 45–61.
- [6] a) L. J. Gooßen, G. Deng, L. M. Levy, *Science* 2006, 313, 662–664; b) O. Baudoin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, 46, 1373–1375; *Angew. Chem.* 2007, 119, 1395–1397; c) G. J. P. Perry, I. Larrosa, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 3517–3527.
- [7] For reviews see a) C.-L. Sun, Z.-J. Shi, *Chem. Rev.* 2014, 114, 9219–9280; b) F. Piazzolla, F. Colognese, A. Temperini, *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2018, 22, 2537–2554.
- [8] For selected examples see: a) A. Krasovskiy, A. Tishkov, V. del Amo, H. Mayr, P. Knochel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5010-5014; Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 5132-5136; b) M. S. Maji, T. Pfeiffer, A. Studer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 9547-9550; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 9690-9692; c) T. Dohi, M. Ito, N. Yamaoka, K. Morimoto, H. Fujioka, Y. Kita, Angew. Chem. Int.

15472 www.angewandte.org © 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 15468–15473

Ed. **2010**, *49*, 3334–3337; *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 3406–3409; d) C.-L. Sun, H. Li, D.-G. Yu, M. Yu, X. Zhou, X.-Y. Lu, K. Huang, S.-F. Zheng, B.-J. Li, Z.-J. Shi, *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 1044– 1049; e) E. Shirakawa, Y. Hayashi, K.-I. Itoh, R. Watabe, N. Uchiyama, W. Konagaya, S. Masui, T. Hayashi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 218–221; *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 222–225; f) A. Dewanji, S. Murarka, D. P. Curran, A. Studer, *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 6102–6105; g) F. Kloss, T. Neuwirth, V. G. Haensch, C. Hertweck, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 14476–14481; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 14684–14689; h) M. C. Hilton, X. Zhang, B. T. Boyle, J. V. Alegre-Requena, R. S. Paton, A. McNally, *Science* **2018**, *362*, 799–804.

- [9] a) Y. Wang, A. Noble, C. Sandford, V. K. Aggarwal, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1810–1814; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 1836–1840; b) W. Liu, J. Li, P. Querard, C.-J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 6755–6764; c) S. Paul, K. K. Das, S. Manna, S. Panda, Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 1922–1927.
- [10] a) N. Miyaura, K. Yamada, A. Suzuki, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1979, 20, 3437–3440; b) N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, *Chem. Rev.* 1995, 95, 2457–2483.
- [11] a) D. H. Geske, J. Phys. Chem. 1959, 63, 1062-1070; b) D. H. Geske, J. Phys. Chem. 1962, 66, 1743-1744; c) S. B. Beil, S. Möhle, P. Enders, S. R. Waldvogel, Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 6128-6131.
- [12] J. C. Doty, P. J. Grisdale, T. R. Evans, J. L. R. Williams, J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 32, C35-C37.
- [13] P. Abley, J. Halpern, J. Chem. Soc. D 1971, 1238-1239.
- [14] H. Mizuno, H. Sakurai, T. Amaya, T. Hirao, Chem. Commun. 2006, 5042–5044.
- [15] Z. Lu, R. Lavendomme, O. Burghaus, J. R. Nitschke, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 9073–9077; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 9171–9175.
- [16] H. Sakurai, C. Morimito, T. Hirao, Chem. Lett. 2001, 30, 1084– 1085.

[17] A. Music, A. N. Baumann, P. Spieß, A. Plantefol, T.-C. Jagau, D. Didier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs. 9b12300.

Angewandte

Chemie

- [18] N. Merbouh, J. M. Bobbitt, C. Brückner, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* **2004**, *36*, 1–31.
- [19] a) J. M. Bobbitt, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9367–9374; b) M. A. Mercadante, C. B. Kelly, J. M. Bobbitt, L. J. Tilley, N. E. Leadbeater, Nat. Protoc. 2013, 8, 666–676; c) L. J. Tilley, J. M. Bobbitt, S. A. Murray, C. E. Camire, N. A. Eddy, Synthesis 2013, 45, 326–329; d) D. P. Hickey, D. A. Schiedler, I. Matanovic, P. V. Doan, P. Atanassov, S. D. Minteer, M. S. Sigman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 16179–16186.
- [20] a) T. Vogler, A. Studer, Synthesis 2008, 1979–1993; b) S. Wertz, A. Studer, Green Chem. 2013, 15, 3116–3134.
- [21] For selected examples see: a) R. Liu, X. Liang, C. Dong, X. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4112–4113; b) X. L. Wang, R. H. Liu, Y. Jin, X. M. Liang, Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 2679–2685; c) X. He, Z. Shen, W. Mo, N. Sun, B. Hu, X. Hu, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 89–92; d) S. Wertz, A. Studer, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 69–72.
- [22] R. N. Dhital, H. Sakurai, Asian J. Org. Chem. 2014, 3, 668-684.
- [23] For recent reviews on 1,2-migrations of boronate complexes see:
 a) S. Namirembe, J. P. Morken, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2019, *48*, 3464–3474;
 b) M. Kischkewitz, F. W. Friese, A. Studer, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2020, *362*, https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201901503;
 c) N. D. C. Tappin, P. Renaud, *Chimia* 2020, *74*, 33–38.
- [24] Z. Pachuau, R. H. D. Lyngdoh, J. Chem. Sci. 2004, 116, 83-91.
- [25] D. Leifert, A. Studer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 74–108; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 74–110.

Manuscript received: February 19, 2020

Accepted manuscript online: March 11, 2020

Version of record online: April 24, 2020