

ORIGINAL PAPER

doi: 10.5455/medarh.2024.78.122-126

MED ARCH. 2024; 78(2): 122-126

RECEIVED: JAN 20, 2024

ACCEPTED: MAR 10, 2024

¹Department of Child neurology, Paediatric Clinic, Clinical Center University of Sarajevo

Corresponding author: prof. dr. Feriha Hadžagic-Catibušić, Department of Child neurology Paediatric Clinic, Clinical Center University of Sarajevo, Bolnička 25, Sarajevo, email:feriha1106@gmail.com, tel: +38733566446, ORCID ID:<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9242-9036>

Efficacy and Safety of Levetiracetam for Childhood Epilepsies

Feriha Hadzagic Catibusic¹, Sajra Uzicanin¹, Emina Vukas Salihbegovic¹, Zinka Huseinbegovic¹

ABSTRACT

Background: Levetiracetam (LEV) is a broad spectrum second-generation antiepileptic drug (AED). **Objective:** The objective of the study was to investigate the efficacy and safety of levetiracetam for childhood epilepsies. **Methods:** This is single, tertiary centre observational, prospective study, that included paediatric patients who were treated with levetiracetam at Paediatric hospital University Clinical Centre Sarajevo, during the period of 15 years (2008-2022). Inclusion criteria were: paediatric patients age > 1 month, diagnosed with epilepsy according to International League Against Epilepsy. After the introduction of levetiracetam, each patient has been followed up at least 12 months. According to the outcome the patients were divided into 5 groups: seizure reduction >50%, seizure reduction <50%, complete seizure freedom, the same number of seizures and increased number of seizures. From these groups two intergroups have been formed: responders (seizure reduction >50% and complete seizure freedom) and non-responders (seizure reduction <50%, the same number of seizures and increased number of seizures). **Results** The study enrolled 259 patients (141 female and 118 male), with mean age 7 years (3,0–12,0). Comorbidities were present at 129/259 (49.8%) patients. After 12 months of treatment, 25/259 (9.7%) patients had seizure reduction >50%, 30/259 (11.6%) patients had seizure reduction <50%, 154/259 (56.5%) patients had achieved seizure freedom, 31/259 (12%) patients had same number of seizures, while 19/259 (7.3%) patients had increased number of seizures. Seizure frequency between responders and non-responders, before treatment and after 12 months of treatment was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$). **Discussion:** Non responders had the best outcome with ditherapy (30/79; 38%), while responders had the best outcome with monotherapy (161/180; 89.4%). **Conclusion:** Levetiracetam is efficient antiepileptic drug for different types of epilepsies in childhood, used as mono, di or polytherapy.

Keywords: epilepsy, levetiracetam, efficacy, safety.

1. BACKGROUND

Epilepsy is one of the most common neurological diseases in childhood. The aims of adequate treatment are seizure freedom, if possible, and improvement of quality of life for patients and their families.

Levetiracetam (LEV) is a broad spectrum second-generation antiepileptic drug (AED), structural analogue of piracetam. Its mechanism of action is modulation of neurotransmitter release through binding to the synaptic vesicle glycoprotein SV2A (1, 2). SV2A is an integral membrane protein found in the vesicles of almost all synaptic terminals, regardless of neurotransmitter content and its expression is similar on both glutamatergic and GABAergic terminals (3, 4). LEV also modulates AMPA receptor channels, with result of decreased kainate and AMPA -induced excitatory currents (5).

After the FDA approval of levetiracetam in 1999, the proportion of patients with epilepsy who were treated with LEV has increased rapidly due to better tolerability and an improved efficiency compared to other AED (3). Today, it is one of the most prescribed AED, due to its favourable efficacy and few drug-drug interactions. Several clinical trials confirmed its efficacy for multiple seizure types, status epilepticus and electrical status epilepticus during slow-wave sleep (ESES) (5). Besides antiepileptic effect, LEV also has antiepileptogenic, neuroprotective, anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant effects (3). LEV binds to plasma proteins less than 20% and does not affect the protein binding of other drugs (3). It readily crosses blood-brain barrier and its CSF half-life is 3 time longer than that for plasma. It is metabolized predominantly

© 2024 Feriha Hadzagic Catibusic, Sajra Uzicanin, Emina Vukas Salihbegovic, Zinka Huseinbegovic

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

by nonhepatic hydrolysis and remainder is excreted by kidneys unchanged (6). It makes it useful in patients with hepatic dysfunction and it is independent of the hepatic cytochrome p450 (CYP450) system (3). Therapeutic drug monitoring of levetiracetam is generally unnecessary (9). The most common side effects are drowsiness, dizziness, headache, fever, dry mouth, asthenia and behavioural changes.

There are no relevant pharmacokinetic interactions between levetiracetam and other antiepileptic drugs.

2. OBJECTIVE

The objective of the study was to investigate the efficacy and safety of levetiracetam for childhood epilepsies.

3. PATIENTS AND METHODS

This is single, tertiary center observational, prospective study, that included pediatric patients who were treated with levetiracetam at Pediatric hospital Clinical Center University of Sarajevo, during the period of 15 years (2008-2022).

Inclusion criteria were: pediatric patients age > 1 month, treated with levetiracetam, diagnosed with epilepsy according to guidelines from International League Against Epilepsy. After the introduction of levetiracetam, each patient has been followed up at least 12 months, with regular checkups each 3 to 4 months. For each patient, several demographic and clinical data were collected: age, gender, age of epilepsy diagnosis, epilepsy semiology, type of comorbidity, duration of therapy, therapeutic modality (monotherapy or polytherapy), frequency of seizures before and 12 months after the introduction of levetiracetam, brain MRI and side effects of the drug. Drug levels were not monitored for patients included in the study. The frequency of seizures before the introduction of levetiracetam therapy was defined by the number of seizures according to the scheme: less than five seizures, five to ten seizures, more than ten and complete absence of seizures.

Based on the clinical response and after 12 months of using the LEV, the evaluation of the number of seizures was performed, on the basis of which the patients were divided into 5 groups: reduction of seizures by more than 50%, reduction of seizures by less than 50%, complete absence/elimination of seizures, number of seizures without significant changes and worsening/increased number of seizures. Patients by whom there was a reduction of seizures by more than 50% and complete elimination of seizures were defined as the subgroup of responders, the rest were classified in the subgroup of non-responders.

All patients had a brain MRI that was classified as normal or pathologically changed. Adverse effects of the drug and its tolerability were recorded based on clinical monitoring and reporting by patients and their parents, and most often manifested as drowsiness and irritability.

Sex male- 141 (54.4%); female- 118 (45.6%)

Median age (years) - 7.0 (3.0-12.0)

Seizure type:

generalized clonic tonic 172/259 (66.4%)

focal attacks in 58/259 (22.4%)

absences in 2/259 (0.8%)

myoclonic in 2/259 (0.8%)

focal with transition to bilateral tonic-clonic 18/259 (6.9%)

generalized myoclonic 5/259 (1.9%)

focal-myoclonic, atonic in 2/259 (0.8%)

Comorbidities Yes - 129 (49.8%), No - 130 (50.2%)

Type of comorbidity

Cerebral palsy - 14/129 (5.4%)

Neurological at-risk child - 1/129 (0.4%)

Encephalopathy 23/129 (8.9%)

Developmental brain anomaly 18/129 (6.9%)

Autism and pervasive disorders 12/129 (4.6%)

Condition after CNS infections 9/129 (3.5%)

Metabolopathy 6/129 (2.3%)

Other - 46 (17.8%)

Duration of LEV treatment (years) - 4.0 (2.5-6.0)

Therapy

Monotherapy- 181/259 (69.9%)

Ditherapy- 44/259 (17.0%)

Politherapy - 32/259 (12.4%)

Seizure frequency before LEV treatment

>10 - 73/259 (28.2%)

5 do 10 - 29/259 (11.2%)

< 5 - 153/259 (59.1%)

No seizures - 4/259 (1.5%)

Seizure frequency after 12 months of LEV treatment:

>50% - 25/259 (9.7%)

<50% - 30/259 (11.6%)

No seizures - 154/259 (56.5%)

Same number of seizures - 31/259 (12.0%)

Increased number of seizures - 19/259 (7.3%)

Brain MRI Normal - 143/259 (55.2%), Pathological 116/259 (44.8%)

Side effects Yes - 3/259 (1.2%), No - 256/259 (98.8%)

Table 1. Baseline characteristics

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 21.0. The data were presented as absolute value (N), percentage (%), and the median and interquartile range (25th-75th percentiles). The significance of the divergence from the normal distribution was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. The Mann-Whitney U-test were used to compare the groups based on the distribution of variables. The χ^2 and Fisher's exact tests were used to analyze the dependence between categorical variables. A $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant

4. RESULTS

A total number of 259 patients (141 female and 118 male) were included in the study. All the demographic and clinical data of patients are summarized in Table 1.

As a starting parameter of the efficacy of levetiracetam, patients were divided into four groups, according to the frequency of seizures before the introduction of therapy. The first group included patients with more than ten seizures (73/259; 28.2%), the second group included patients with 5 to 10 seizures (29/259; 11.2%),

Variable	Non responders (n=79)	Responders (n=180)	P
Male	42/79 (53.2%)	99/180 (55.0%)	0.785
Female	37/79 (46.8%)	81/180 (45.0%)	
Mean age (years)	5.0 (2.0-10.0)	8.0 (4.0-12.0)	0.001
Seizure type			
Generalized tonic-clonic	46/79 (58.2%)	126/180 (70.0%)	<0.001
Focal	19/79 (24.1%)	39/180 (21.7%)	
Absence	1/79 (1.3%),	1/180 (0.6%)	
Myoclonic	1/79 (1.3%)	1/180 (0.6%)	
Focal with transition to bilat.tonic-clonic	6/79 (7.6%)	12/180 (6.7%)	
Focal+myoclonic+atonic	2/79 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	
Generalized tonic-clonic +myoclonic	4/79 (5.1%)	1/180 (0.6%)	
Comorbidities	53/79 (67.1%)	77/180 (42.8%)	
Duration of LEV treatment (years)	5.0 (3.0-7.0)	4.0 (2.5-5.5)	0.069
Therapy modalities			
Monotherapy	21/79 (26.9%)	161/180 (89.4%)	<0.001
Ditherapy	30/79 (38.0%)	14/180 (7.8%)	
Politherapy	28/79 (35.1%)	5/180 (2.8%)	
Seizure frequency before LEV			
> 10	43/79 (54.4%)	30/180 (16.7%)	<0.001
5 do 10	4/79 (5.1%)	(13.9%) 125/180	
<5	32/79 (40.5%)	(69.4%)	
Brain MR pathological	46/79 (58.2%)	70/180 (38.9%)	0.004
Side effects	1/79 (1.3%)	2/180 (1.1%)	-

Table 2. Comparative analysis of demographic and clinical characteristics between non-responders and responders

the third group included patients who had less than 5 seizures (153/259;59.1%) and the fourth group included patients without clinically evident seizures (/259;1.5%).

After 12 months of treatment, 25/259 (9.7%) patients had seizure reduction >50%, 30/259 (11.6%) patients had seizure reduction <50%, 154/259 (56.5%) patients had achieved seizure freedom, 31/259 (12%) patients had same number of seizures, while 19/259 (7.3%) patients had increased number of seizures.

Results are presented as absolute numbers N and as percentage values, and as median and interquartile range (25-75 percentiles).

The comparative analysis of demographic and clinical characteristics between non-responders and responders subgroup is summarized in the Table 2.

There were no statistically significant differences in the gender distribution between the examined groups, as well as the length of levetiracetam administration. The median age in the group of non-responders was 5.0 (2.0-10.0) years, and in the group of responders 8.0 (4.0-12.0), and the determined difference was statistically significant (p<0.001). The established difference in the frequency of comorbidities between the examined groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). The difference in the representation of therapeutic modalities between the non-responders and responders was statistically significant (p<0.001). The difference in the frequency of seizures before the start of therapy between non-responders and responders was statistically significant (p<0.001). Pathological MRI of the brain was

more frequent in non –responders group and difference between the examined groups was statistically significant (p<0.001).

Results are presented as absolute numbers N and as percentage values, and as median and interquartile range (25-75 percentiles).

5. DISCUSSION

Levetiracetam is a broad-spectrum anticonvulsant drug with an unconventional mechanism of action that can be used as monotherapy or adjunctive therapy for various types of epileptic seizures. Looking at its safety profile—the half-life of the drug, rapid absorption, metabolism that does not take place through the liver, minimal binding to plasma proteins, absence of interaction with other AET and passing through the blood-brain barrier, it proved to be one of the preferred antiepileptic drugs for use in the paediatric population (3).

Due to its effectiveness and good tolerance, levetiracetam has recently been increasingly used as a drug of first choice—monotherapy in the treatment of epileptic seizures, especially focal and bilateral clonic-tonic seizures. Numerous studies confirmed a significant reduction of seizures in children on polytherapy due to focal, myoclonic, generalized seizures and juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (10). It has also been shown to be effective treatment for patients in status epilepticus (11, 12).

To monitor the effectiveness of levetiracetam therapy, patients were divided into three groups: patients with monotherapy, ditherapy and polytherapy, with a com-

parison of responders and non-responders. Patients in both, responders and non-responders group responded positively to the registered modalities of therapy. In the non-responders group the best clinical response was to the ditherapy 30/79 (38.0%), and in the group of responders 161/180 (89.4%) to the monotherapy modality. The comparison between these groups showed a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.001$) in favour of responders, where a smaller number of antiepileptic drugs was needed for better control of epileptic seizures, which is in accordance with studies that confirmed that the use of levetiracetam as monotherapy can be considered effective (13-15,18).

The largest number of patients included in our study generally had a satisfactory response to levetiracetam therapy. Seizure frequency before the initiation of levetiracetam was significantly higher in non-responders' group. Monotherapy was applied for 161/180 (89.4%) patients in responders' group and 21/79 (26.9%) patients in non-responders' group, with statistically significant difference in favour of responders ($p < 0.001$). The positive response to therapy fits with studies where monotherapy with levetiracetam for at least one year led to a significant reduction or complete cessation of seizures, regardless of whether they were focal or generalized clonic tonic seizures (14,17,18).

The mean age of the patients in non-responders' group was 5.0 (2.0-10.0) years and in responders' group 8.0 (4.0-12.0) years which is statistically significant difference ($p < 0.001$). Based on the obtained results, older patients responded better to therapy, which could be explained by the fact that epilepsy in children with comorbidities occurred earlier, and consequently, the response to therapy was weaker. In our study, in addition to diagnosed epilepsy, the existence of comorbidities in children was monitored. The most common comorbidities were developmental anomalies of the CNS, cerebral palsy, autism and the condition after an infection of the central nervous system. The results showed that there was a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.001$) in the frequency of comorbidities in non-responders' group (53/79; 67.1%) compared to responders' group (44/180; 42.8%). Children with comorbidities generally had a poorer response to treatment with levetiracetam, either as monotherapy or polytherapy, in contrast to certain studies in which levetiracetam was documented to have satisfactory efficacy in the treatment of epileptic seizures in children with comorbidities (19,20). Although it is generally more difficult to obtain satisfactory seizure control in this group of children, the use of polytherapy including levetiracetam has proven to be effective (21).

All patients included in the study had done brain MRI. Normal findings had 143/259 patients (55.2%), while pathologically altered brain MRI was detected in 116/259 (44.8%) patients.

The pathological brain MRI was present in 46/79 (58.2%) patients of non-responders' group and 70/180 (38.9%) patients of responders group. There is statistically significant difference between these groups in favour of non-responders' group ($p = 0.004$), which fits in

with the study where the epilepsies with pathologically altered brain MRI, gave a weaker response to antiepileptic therapy (22).

There are studies on new methods in radiological diagnostics, such as diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), which in the future could be used as a predictive indicator of the success of levetiracetam therapy. This would undoubtedly contribute to the development of personalized therapy and patient screening (23).

In our study all patients were monitored for possible side effects of levetiracetam. The most frequently reported side effects in similar studies were somnolence, nausea, instability, hyperactivity, aggressiveness, anxiety, depression, psychosis and suicidal ideation. These side effects were more prevalent than in our study, where the minimal number of side effects was reported. Side effects were noted only in 3 cases: two patients in the responders' and only one patient in non-responders' group (24, 25).

6. CONCLUSION

Levetiracetam has proven to be a very effective antiepileptic drug in the treatment of various types of epilepsy in children, whether it is used as mono, di or polytherapy. It has a satisfactory effect in the treatment of epilepsies in children with comorbidities. Due to the small number of side effects related to its use, it can be considered a drug with a very high safety profile, which allows the use of levetiracetam in all age groups.

- **Acknowledgement:** This study is supported by the University of Sarajevo.
- **Author's contribution:** All authors have critically reviewed and approved the final draft and responsible for the content and of the manuscript.
- **Conflicts of interest:** There are no conflicts of interest.
- **Financial support and sponsorship:** None.

REFERENCES

1. Zhu H, Deng X, Feng L, Lian Y, Han X, Guo Z, et al. Efficacy comparison of oxcarbazepine and levetiracetam monotherapy among patients with newly diagnosed focal epilepsy in China: A multicenter, open-label, randomized study. *CNS Neuroscience & Therapeutics*. 2022 Apr 15; 28(7): 1072–1080.
2. Celdran de Castro A, Nascimento FA, Beltran-Corbellini Á, Toledano R, Garcia-Morales I, Gil-Nagel A, et al. Levetiracetam, from broad-spectrum use to precision prescription: A narrative review and expert opinion. *Seizure*. 2023 Apr; 107: 121-131.
3. Contreras-García IJ, Cárdenas-Rodríguez N, Romo-Mancillas A, Bandala C, Zamudio SR, Gómez-Manzo S, et al. Levetiracetam Mechanisms of Action: From Molecules to Systems. *Pharmaceuticals* [Internet]. 2022 Apr 13; 15(4): 475. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8247/15/4/475/pdf>
4. Galuh Anis Tasya, Nadhira Iriani Djatmiko, Farhan, Vita Kusuma Rahmawati. Comparative efficacy of intravenous levetiracetam and phenytoin in status epilepticus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medical Journal of Indonesia*. 2023 Jul 18;
5. Besag FMC, Vasey MJ, Sen A. Current evidence for adjunct

- pyridoxine (vitamin B6) for the treatment of behavioral adverse effects associated with levetiracetam: A systematic review. *Epilepsy & Behavior*. 2023 Mar; 140: 109065.
6. Howard P, Remi J, Remi C, Charlesworth S, Whalley H, Bhatia R, et al. Levetiracetam. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*. 2018 Oct; 56(4): 645–649.
 7. Ha C, Lee HS, Joo EY, Shon YM, Hong SB, Seo DW, et al. Levetiracetam Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in a Large Cohort of Korean Epileptic Patients. *Pharmaceuticals*. 2021 Aug 23; 14(8): 826.
 8. Jarvie D, Mahmoud SH. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Levetiracetam in Select Populations. *Journal of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2018 Aug 10; 21(1s): 149s176s.
 9. Fluckiger P, Aicua-Rapún I, André P, Rossetti AO, Decosterd LA, Buclin T, et al. Therapeutic drug monitoring of newer generation antiseizure medications at the point of treatment failure. *Seizure [Internet]*. 2022 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Sep 14];94:66–9. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1059131121003824?via%3Dihub>
 10. Pang Q, Li B, Zhang S, Li J, Gu S. Efficacy of levetiracetam in the treatment of pediatric epilepsy. *Medicine [Internet]*. 2022 Feb 25 [cited 2024 Feb 11];101(8): e28882–2. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8878805/>
 11. Pinto LF, Oliveira JPS de, Midon AM. Status epilepticus: review on diagnosis, monitoring and treatment. *Arquivos de Neuro-Psiquiatria*. 2022 May; 80(5 suppl 1): 193–203.
 12. Wabl R, Terman SW, Kwok M, Elm J, Chamberlain J, Silbergleit R, et al. Efficacy of Home Anticonvulsant Administration for Second-Line Status Epilepticus Treatment. *Neurology*. 2021 Jun 29; 97(7): e720–7.
 13. Yıldırım M, Bektaş Ö, Akıncı Göktaş Ö, Yüksel MF, Şahin S, Tıraş Teber S. Levetiracetam monotherapy in children with epilepsy: Experience from a tertiary pediatric neurology center. *Epilepsy & Behavior*. 2021 Mar; 116: 107745.
 14. Connolly A, Quirke M, Crowley S, Hayes E, Hurley C, Keegan M, et al. A national retrospective study of the efficacy and tolerability of Levetiracetam (Keppra) as a first line monotherapy in childhood epilepsy: The Irish prospective. *European Journal of Paediatric Neurology [Internet]*. 2017 Jun [cited 2024 Feb 11];21:e34. Available from: <https://www.imj.ie/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/The-Efficacy-and-Tolerability-of-Levetiracetam-as-a-First-Line-Monotherapy-in-Childhood-Epilepsy.pdf>
 15. Zhang L, Wang C, Li W. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials on levetiracetam in the treatment of pediatric patients with epilepsy. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*. 2018 Mar; 14: 769–779.
 16. Meltem Çobanoğulları Direk, Serdar Epcacan, Asena Ayca Özdemir, Fahrettin Uysal, Çetin Okuyaz. Effects of levetiracetam treatment on autonomic nervous system functions in pediatric epilepsy patients. *Pediatrics International*. 2023 Jan 1; 65(1).
 17. Cao Y, He X, Zhao L, He Y, Wang S, Zhang T, et al. Efficacy and safety of Levetiracetam as adjunctive treatment in children with focal onset seizures: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Epilepsy Research*. 2019 Jul; 153: 40–48.
 18. Tekgül H, Gencpinar P, Çavuşoğlu D, Dündar NO. The efficacy, tolerability and safety of levetiracetam therapy in a pediatric population. *Seizure*. 2016 Mar; 36: 16–21.
 19. Kolf MJ, McPherson CC, Kniska KS, Luecke CM, Lahart MA, Pineda JA. Early Post-traumatic Seizure Occurrence in Pediatric Patients Receiving Levetiracetam Prophylaxis With Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *The Journal of Pediatric Pharmacology and Therapeutics*. 2020 Apr; 25(3): 241–25.
 20. Sadowska M, Sarecka-Hujar B, Kopyta I. Evaluation of Risk Factors for Epilepsy in Pediatric Patients with Cerebral Palsy. *Brain Sciences*. 2020 Jul 25; 10(8): 481.
 21. Dos Santos Rufino A, Pählman M, Olsson I, Himmelmann K. Characteristics and Challenges of Epilepsy in Children with Cerebral Palsy—A Population-Based Study. *Journal of Clinical Medicine [Internet]*. 2023 Jan 1 [cited 2023 Jul 27];12(1):346. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9821172/#:~:text=Focal%20seizures%20were%20the%20most>
 22. Xu Q, Hu Z, Yang F, Bernhardt BC, Zhang Q, Stufflebeas SM, et al. Resting state signal latency assesses the propagation of intrinsic activations and estimates anti-epileptic effect of levetiracetam in Rolandic epilepsy. *Brain Research Bulletin [Internet]*. 2020 Sep 1 [cited 2024 Feb 11]; 162: 125–131. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0361923020305128>
 23. Dong Ah Lee, Lee HJ, Kang Min Park. Structural connectivity as a predictive factor for responsiveness to levetiracetam treatment in epilepsy. *Neuroradiology*. 2023 Nov 28;66(1): 93–100.
 24. Steinhoff BJ, Klein P, Klitgaard H, Laloyaux C, Moseley BD, Ricchetti-Masterson K, et al. Behavioral adverse events with brivaracetam, levetiracetam, perampanel, and topiramate: A systematic review. *Epilepsy & Behavior*. 2021 May; 118: 107939.
 25. Gan J, Ma D, Xiong T. Efficacy and safety of levetiracetam in children with epilepsy: protocol for an umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ Open*. 2019 Jul; 9(7): e029811.