© The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Geriatrics Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits noncommercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Hydration and outcome in older patients admitted to hospital (The HOOP prospective cohort study)

Ahmed M. El-Sharkawy', Phillip Watson², Keith R. Neal³, Olle Ljungqvist⁴, Ron J. Maughan², Opinder Sahota⁵, Dileep N. Lobo'

¹Gastrointestinal Surgery, National Institute for Health Research Nottingham Digestive Diseases Biomedical Research Unit, Nottingham University Hospitals and University of Nottingham, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, UK

²School of Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Leicestershire LETT 3TU, UK

³Division of Public Health and Epidemiology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

⁴Faculty of Medicine and Health, School of Health and Medical Sciences, Department of Surgery, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden ⁵Department of Elderly Medicine, Nottingham University Hospitals, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, UK

Address correspondence to: D. N. Lobo. Tel:(+44) 115 823 1149; Fax: (+44) 115 823 1160. Email: dileep.lobo@nottingham.ac.uk

Abstract

Background: older adults are susceptible to dehydration due to age-related pathophysiological changes. We aimed to investigate the prevalence of hyperosmolar dehydration (HD) in hospitalised older adults, aged \geq 65 years, admitted as an emergency and to assess the impact on short-term and long-term outcome.

Methods: this prospective cohort study was performed on older adult participants who were admitted acutely to a large UK teaching hospital. Data collected included the Charlson comorbidity index (CCI), national early warning score (NEWS), Canadian Study of Health and Aging (CSHA) clinical frailty scale and Nutrition Risk Screening Tool (NRS) 2002. Admission bloods were used to measure serum osmolality. HD was defined as serum osmolality >300 mOsmol/kg. Participants who were still in hospital 48 h after admission were reviewed, and the same measurements were repeated.

Results: a total of 200 participants were recruited at admission to hospital, 37% of whom were dehydrated. Of those dehydrated, 62% were still dehydrated when reviewed at 48 h after admission. Overall, 7% of the participants died in hospital, 79% of whom were dehydrated at admission (P = 0.001). Cox regression analysis adjusted for age, gender, CCI, NEWS, CSHA and NRS demonstrated that participants dehydrated at admission were 6 times more likely to die in hospital than those euhydrated, hazards ratio (HR) 6.04 (1.64–22.25); P = 0.007.

Conclusions: HD is common in hospitalised older adults and is associated with poor outcome. Coordinated efforts are necessary to develop comprehensive hydration assessment tools to implement and monitor a real change in culture and attitude towards hydration in hospitalised older adults.

Keywords: hydration, older adult, hyperosmolar dehydration, medical emergency, complications, mortality, older people

Introduction

Older adults are susceptible to dehydration due to the pathophysiological changes that occur with ageing [1]. Age-related increase in the thirst threshold results in a blunted sensation of thirst [1]. Renal senescence reduces the ability of the kidney to conserve water and concentrate urine [1], and glomerular filtration rate (GFR) can reduce by 50–63% from the age of 30–80 years [2]. The risk of dehydration is exacerbated by comorbidities, polypharmacy and physical and mental disability [1].

Hyperosmolar dehydration (HD), a state of water depletion, is common in community-dwelling older adults and has been linked with increased morbidity and mortality [3, 4]. Dehydration of as little as 2% of body weight, corresponding to \sim 3–5% reduction in total body water can result in impairment in physical and cognitive performances [5]. Some studies have suggested associations between dehydration and cardiovascular, respiratory, renal and gastrointestinal disorders [6].

A review of over 10 million hospital records from a US healthcare provider demonstrated that over 17% of older people with a principal diagnosis of dehydration as per the ICD classification died within 30 days of hospital admission, with a 1-year mortality of 48% [7]. However, this study did not control for confounders such as age, co-morbidities, illness severity or frailty. Few studies have used objective markers of hydration status, such as serum osmolality, which is the main regulated variable in water balance and is widely seen as a reliable objective measure [8, 9]. HD is the most common form of dehydration and occurs when water loss is greater than salt loss. It has been reported in up to 60% of community-dwelling older adults [3, 4].

This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of HD in hospitalised adults aged ≥ 65 years, admitted as emergencies to a large UK teaching hospital, and to assess its impact on short-term and long-term outcomes.

Methods

This prospective cohort study was conducted between 31 August 2012 and 30 April 2014 and included patients aged \geq 65 years admitted to hospital as emergencies. The protocol was approved by the NHS Research Ethics Committee and was registered at http://clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01703715). The hospital has a standardised mortality rate in keeping with the national average and was described by the Care Quality Commission (CQC) as a 'good trust': safe, caring, effective, responsive and well-led [10, 11]. Patients were excluded if they were moribund, with terminal illness and a predicted life expectancy of <3 months. Patients were recruited, and consent was obtained in accordance with ethical recommendations.

Data collected from the participant, medical notes and/or relatives included demographics and cause of hospital admission as well as co-morbidities, which were used to calculate the Charlson comorbidity index (CCI) [12]. Bedsides, observations were used to calculate the national early warning score (NEWS) [13]. The Barthel activity of daily living index (ADL) score was calculated [14]. Cognitive function was assessed using the mini mental state examination (MMSE) [15]. The confusion assessment method (CAM) was also used to document delirium [16]. Frailty was assessed using the Canadian Study of Health and Aging clinical frailty scale (CSHA) [17]. Participants were also screened for malnutrition using the nutrition risk screening tool (NRS) 2002 [18]. Data were also collected on typical fluid consumption habits by asking participants to estimate the average number of cups of beverages consumed on a typical day.

Blood sampled at admission was used to measure serum osmolality (by freezing point depression), serum concentrations of sodium, potassium, urea and creatinine, eGFR and full blood count. In addition, 5 ml urine sample was collected where possible. HD was defined as serum osmolality >300 mOsmol/kg [19].

Participants who were still in hospital 48 h after admission were reviewed and the same measurements were repeated. Participants who had been discharged were not reviewed. Following discharge, length of hospital stay, discharge destination and mortality were recorded. All the study participants were followed up, using the hospital's electronic records which were reviewed at 30 days, 90 days and 12 months after admission.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was determined using the χ^2 test, independent samples *t*-tests and Mann–Whitney U tests.

Risk estimates for mortality were assessed for in-hospital stay and at 30, 90 days and 1 year after admission using Cox regression modelling. Explanatory variables considered were age, gender, co-morbidities (CCI), illness severity (NEWS) frailty (CHSA) score and nutritional status (NRS 2002). NRS 2002 and CCI were calculated excluding age, as age was considered and accounted for separately in keeping with the methods of Charlson *et al.* [12]. Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05.

Results

Two-hundred participants were recruited to the study after screening 1409 admissions. Reasons for non-inclusion included admission >12 h ago (n = 417), severely ill/moribund/on end-of-life pathway (n = 298) and refusal to participate (n = 494). Blood samples were obtained from all participants at admission. Participants' characteristics at admission and at 48 h after admission are summarised in the Supplementary data, Table S1, available in *Age and Ageing* online.

Hydration status

At admission, 69 (37%) participants presented with HD. Of those with HD, 44 (64%) were reviewed again at 48 h and 36 (82%) had a repeat serum osmolality measure. Twenty-two (61%) of these 36 were also dehydrated at 48 h. However, on review of the medical notes, dehydration was reported clinically by the medical team in only 15 (8%) and acute kidney injury (AKI) in 24 (12%) of all the cases.

There were no significant differences in the age, gender, co-morbidities, NEWS, nutritional status or MMSE scores (Table 1), although biochemical differences were demonstrated (Supplementary data, Table S2, available in *Age and Ageing* online) between those euhydrated and those dehydrated at admission to hospital.

Hydration and outcome

Overall, 14 (7%) participants died in hospital, 11 (79%) of whom were dehydrated at admission (P = 0.001). The 30-day mortality was greater in those dehydrated at admission than in those who were euhydrated [11 (16%) versus 5 (4%) (P = 0.01)]. Cox regression survival analysis adjusted for age,

Hydration and outcome in older patients admitted to hospital

	Euhydrated ($n = 118$)	Dehydrated ($n = 69$)	P value
			• • • • • • • •
Age, mean (SD)	82 (7)	81 (8)	0.86
Gender			
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	66 (56)	34 (49)	0.38
Female, <i>n</i> (%)	52 (44)	35 (51)	
Canadian study of health and aging (CSHA) scale, median (Q1, Q3)	4.0 (3, 5)	4 (3, 6)	0.29
Charlson co-morbidity index, median (Q1, Q3)	4 (2, 5)	4 (3, 5)	0.22
National early warning score, median (Q1, Q3)	1 (0, 2)	1 (1, 2)	0.658
Barthel activities of daily living index, mean (SD)	15.2 (5.2)	14.7 (5.7)	0.63
Cognitive assessment (MMSE), ^a mean (SD)	24.5 (5.9)	24.4 (6.6)	0.93
Cognitive assessment (MMSE), ^a % with delirium, n (%)	29 (29.3)	14 (28.0)	0.87
Confusion assessment method (CAM) score % with delirium, n (%)	38 (32.2)	27 (39.1)	0.34
Nutritional assessments (NRS 2002), ^b median (Q1, Q3)	1 (1, 4)	1 (1, 4)	0.578
Nutritional assessments (NRS 2002), ^b $\%$ at risk of malnutrition, <i>n</i> (%)	38 (35.8)	27 (41.5)	0.457
Weight (kg), ^c mean (SD)	68.4 (17.3)	75.3 (15.6)	0.02
Height (cm), ^c mean (SD)	165 (12)	165 (12)	0.76
Body mass index (BMI), ^c mean (SD)	25 (6)	27 (7)	0.06
Approximate average daily fluid consumption (ml), ^d mean (SD)	1,406 (558)	1,326 (540)	0.40
Fluid consumption in past 48 h ^d			
Unchanged, n (%)	59 (56)	39 (67)	0.22
Increased, n (%)	7 (7)	1 (2)	
Decreased, n (%)	40 (38)	18 (31)	
Source of admission			
Emergency department, <i>n</i> (%)	102 (86)	61 (88)	0.70
General practitioner referral, n (%)	16 (14)	8 (12)	
Residence prior to admission			
Home, \hat{n} (%)	103 (87)	59 (86)	0.73
Community care, n (%)	15 (13)	10 (15)	
Clinical diagnosis			
Dehydration	9 (8)	6 (9)	0.33
Acute kidney injury	6 (5)	15 (22)	< 0.001

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of patients recruited to the study at admission comparing euhydrated (serum osmolality \leq 300 mOsmol/kg) and dehydrated patients (serum osmolality >300 mOsmol/kg)

Dehydrated refers to HD (serum osmolality >300 mOsmol/kg).

^aMMSE—mini mental state examination. Results available in 159 study participants at admission 99 euhydrated and 50 dehydrated.

^bNRS 2002—nutrition risk screen tool 2002. Results available in 184 study participants at admission 110 euhydrated and 62 dehydrated.

^cWeight, height and BMI measurements available in 161 study participants at admission: 100 euhydrated and 51 dehydrated.

^dAverage fluid consumption based on the assumption that each cup of fluid consumed amounts to \sim 200 ml of fluid. Data available in 176 study participants at admission: 106 euhydrated and 58 dehydrated.

A total of 187 (94%) had serum osmolality measured. One hundred and sixteen (58%) participants were reviewed at 48 h after admission: 110 (95%) of these underwent venous blood sampling, and 95 (86%) had serum osmolality measured. Admission and 48 h serum osmolality measures were available for 92 (46%) participants. Bold signifies a statistically significant value.

gender, co-morbidity, NEWS, frailty and nutritional status demonstrated that participants dehydrated at admission to hospital were at greater risk of in-hospital mortality than those euhydrated at admission (Table 2).

The median (Q1, Q3) length of hospital stay between those euhydrated and those dehydrated at admission, 4 (1,11) versus 5 (1,11) days, P = 0.73.

Discussion

This study has demonstrated that when using serum osmolality as a marker of hydration, HD was present in over a third of older adults admitted to hospital as medical emergencies, and nearly two-thirds of those remained dehydrated 48 h later.

HD was associated with 6 times greater risk of in-hospital mortality compared with those euhydrated, independent of key confounders such as age, gender, co-morbidities (CCI), illness severity (NEWS) and risk of malnutrition. These findings are consistent with those from a previous study [7]. Difficulty in recognising and, therefore, treating dehydration is underpinned by the finding that nearly a quarter of those with HD at admission were also diagnosed with AKI by the medical team during the hospital stay. The 2009 UK National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Death highlighted that ~12,000 deaths could be prevented annually by treating the 'avoidable' causes of AKI such as dehydration [20].

Dehydration in older hospitalised patients may be a major concern for patient safety and could also contribute to a significant public health burden with high cost implications [7]. However, the true costs are difficult to quantify as dehydration is often underdiagnosed.

This study demonstrated that there were few differences in some of the clinical features typically associated with dehydration between dehydrated and euhydrated participants.

Mortality ^a	Euhydrated $(n = 118)$	Dehydrated $(n = 69)$		P value Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> - value ^b	P- value ^b Adjusted ^c HR (95% CI)	P value ^b	Euhydrated to euhydrated (<i>n</i> = 46)	Euhydrated to Euhydrated to Dehydrated to Dehydrated to uchydrated $(n = 46)$ dehydrated $(n = 14)$ euhydrated $(n = 12)$ dehydrated $(n = 20)$	Dehydrated to euhydrated ($n = 12$)	Dehydrated to dehydrated $(n = 20)$	P value
In-hospital, $\frac{n}{n} \binom{0/6}{n}$	3 (3)	11 (16)	0.001	0.001 6.76 (1.89–24.23)	0.003	6.04 (1.64–22.25)	0.007		0.003 6.04 (1.64-22.25) 0.007 2 (4) 1 (7) 0 (0) 5 (25)	0 (0)	5 (25)	0.03
30 days, n (%) = 5 (4)) 5 (4)	11 (16)	0.01	4.07 (1.41–11.41)	0.00	3.52 (1.19–10.41)	0.024	3 (7)	1 (7)	0 (0)	5 (25)	0.07
90 days, n (%) 16 (14)) 16 (14)	16 (23)	0.09	1.91 (0.954-3.82)	0.068	1.82 (0.90–3.65)	0.095	7 (15)	3 (21)	2 (17)	8 (40)	0.15
1 year, $n (\%)$ 28 (24)	28 (24)	19 (28)	0.57	1.20 (0.67–2.13)	0.542	1.14(0.64-2.03)	0.655	13 (28)	4 (29)	3 (25)	9 (45)	0.53

³Comparing with those euhydrated at admission. to nospital **MOFTALITY FATE**

Model adjusted for age, gender, illness severity: national early warning score (NEWS), co-morbidities: Charlson comorbidity index (CCI), fraily: Canadian Study of Health and Aging (CSHA) and nurrition: nurrition risk screening (NRS) 2002. Clinical manifestations of dehydration include dry skin and reduced skin turgor, but these lack sensitivity and specificity due to other age-related skin changes. Other features of HD include dizziness, weakness and apathy, all of which may erroneously be attributed to other causes or simply ascribed to the ageing process, meaning that dehydration may not be recognised [21].

Some limitations should be considered when interpreting these results of this single-centre study. The use of serum osmolality to assess hydration status does not necessarily represent the overall 24-h fluid balance, but rather the hydration status at the time of blood sampling given that serum osmolality is tightly regulated.

HD may be a manifestation of disease severity, and an increase in mortality would, therefore, be expected. However, we have attempted to account for confounders including age, frailty, nutritional status and cause of admission and comorbidities using the CCI and illness severity using NEWS. It is also important to note that although dehydration may be unavoidable in some unwell older adults, there is a clear need to improve hydration and nutrition care in older adults.

Given that hydration and nutrition are the hallmarks of compassionate care, there is clear room for improvement, with findings from our present study suggesting a need for further investigation and intervention in both community and hospital settings. Coordinated efforts are necessary to develop comprehensive guidelines and hydration assessment tools as well as to implement and monitor a real change in culture and attitude towards hydration in hospitalised older adults.

Key points

- HD may be present in over a third of older adults admitted to hospital as medical emergencies.
- Dehydration may persist even 48 h after admission.
- HD at admission is associated with significant increase in mortality, even after correcting for confounders.

Authors' contributions

A.M.E.-S. and D.N.L. contributed to design and conduct of the study, data analysis and interpretation, review and approval of the manuscript. P.W. contributed to conduct of the study, data analysis and interpretation, review and approval of the manuscript. K.R.N. contributed to data analysis and interpretation, review and approval of the manuscript. R.J.M. and O.L. contributed to design, data interpretation, review and approval of the manuscript. O.S. contributed to design and conduct of the study, data interpretation, review and approval of the manuscript.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data mentioned in the text are available to subscribers in *Age and Ageing* online.

A. M. El-Sharkawy et al.

 Table 2. Hydration status and outcome

Acknowledgements

A.M.E.-S. and D.N.L. have had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. A.M.E.-S. was funded by a research fellowships from the European Hydration Institute. This work was also supported by the National Institute for Health Research Clinical Research Network by provision of nursing support for data collection and management. The authors thank the National Institute for Health Research Clinical Research Network for help with this study. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. The authors thank Catherine Vass for her help with protocol design and ethics submission.

Conflicts of interest

A.M.E.-S., K.R.N. and O.S. have no conflicts of interest to declare. P.W. has received research funding from the food and beverage industry. R.J.M. is Chair of the Science Advisory Board of the European Hydration Institute: he has received research funding and speaker's honoraria from the food and beverage industry. D.N.L. has no direct conflicts of interest to declare, but has received unrestricted research funding and speaker's honoraria from Fresenius Kabi, BBraun and Baxter Healthcare for unrelated work. O.L. has no direct conflict of interest to declare but serves as Advisor for Nutricia, a medical nutrition company, and has received speaker's honoraria from Fresenius Kabi, BBraun, Nutricia and Merck for unrelated work.

Funding

The study was supported by a grant from the European Hydration Institute. The funders had no role in the design of the study, collection or analysis of data, writing of the manuscript or decision to submit for publication.

References

- El-Sharkawy AM, Sahota O, Maughan RJ, Lobo DN. The pathophysiology of fluid and electrolyte balance in the older adult surgical patient. Clin Nutr 2014; 33: 6–13.
- 2. Lindeman R, Tobin J, Shock N. Longitudinal studies on the rate of decline in renal function with age. J Am Geriatr Soc 1985; 33: 278.
- **3.** Stookey JD. High prevalence of plasma hypertonicity among community-dwelling older adults: results from NHANES III. J Am Diet Assoc 2005; 105: 1231–9.
- Gorelick MH, Shaw KN, Baker MD. Effect of ambient temperature on capillary refill in healthy children. Pediatrics 1993; 92: 699–702.
- Grandjean AC, Grandjean NR. Dehydration and cognitive performance. J Am Coll Nutr 2007; 26(5 Suppl): 5498–548.

- 6. El-Sharkawy AM, Sahota O, Lobo DN. Acute and chronic effects of hydration status on health. Nutr Rev 2015; 73: 97–109.
- Warren JL, Bacon WE, Harris T, McBean AM, Foley DJ, Phillips C. The burden and outcomes associated with dehydration among US elderly, 1991. Am J Public Health 1994; 84: 1265–9.
- Cheuvront SN, Kenefick RW, Charkoudian N, Sawka MN. Physiologic basis for understanding quantitative dehydration assessment. J Am Coll Nutr 2013; 97: 455–62.
- Cheuvront SN, Ely BR, Kenefick RW, Sawka MN. Biological variation and diagnostic accuracy of dehydration assessment markers. J Am Coll Nutr 2010; 92: 565–73.
- Dr. Foster Intelligence. My Hospital Guide. http:// myhospitalguide.drfosterintelligence.co.uk/#/mortality (20 October 2014, date last accessed).
- 11. Care Quality Commission report. Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust. http://www.cqc.org.uk/provider/RX1/ inspection-summary#overall (20 October 2014, date last accessed).
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. Chronic Dis 1987; 40: 373–83.
- **13.** Smith GB, Prytherch DR, Meredith P, Schmidt PE, Featherstone PI. The ability of the National Early Warning Score (NEWS) to discriminate patients at risk of early cardiac arrest, unanticipated intensive care unit admission, and death. Resuscitation 2013; 84: 465–70.
- Wade D, Collin C. The Barthel ADL Index: a standard measure of physical disability? Disabil Rehabil 1988; 10: 64–7.
- **15.** Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state": a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psych Res 1975; 12: 189–98.
- 16. Ely EW, Inouye SK, Bernard GR *et al.* Delirium in mechanically ventilated patients: validity and reliability of the confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit (CAM-ICU). JAMA 2001; 286: 2703–10.
- Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C et al. A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. CAMJ 2005; 173: 489–95.
- **18.** Kondrup J, Rasmussen HH, Hamberg O, Stanga Z. Nutritional risk screening (NRS 2002): a new method based on an analysis of controlled clinical trials. Clin Nutr 2003; 22: 321–36.
- **19.** Thomas DR, Cote TR, Lawhorne L *et al.* Understanding clinical dehydration and its treatment. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2008; 9: 292–301.
- **20.** National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome and Death. Adding Insult to Injury: A Review of the Care of Patients Who Died in Hospital with a Primary Diganosis of Acute Kidney Injury (Acute Renal Failure), 2009. http://www.ncepod.org.uk/2009report1/Downloads/AKI_report.pdf (16 December 2013, date last accessed).
- **21.** Shimizu M, Kinoshita K, Hattori K *et al.* Physical signs of dehydration in the elderly. Intern Med 2012; 51: 1207–10.

Received 5 March 2015; accepted in revised form 17 June 2015