

# Neurologic manifestations in anaphylaxis due to subcutaneous allergy immunotherapy

# A case report

Michelle Mangold, DO, BEng<sup>\*</sup>, Mahboob Qureshi, MD, MPH, PhD<sup>\*</sup>

#### Abstract

**Rationale:** Life-threatening anaphylactic shock is a rare (1 in 1 million) but documented occurrence in response to subcutaneous immunotherapy. Immediate administration of Epinephrine (Epi) is critical to save lives in these situations. The current protocol for systemic reactions in immunotherapy is for the prescribing physician to reassess the dosing and schedule as well as the risk:benefit assessment for the therapy and determine whether or not to proceed.

**Patient concerns:** The patient revealed concerns regarding the neurologic sequela sustained after undergoinig life-threatening anaphylactic shock.

Diagnosis: The patient was diagnosed with anaphylactic shock and treated appropriately.

**Interventions:** The patient experienced shortness of breath and was promptly administered 2 shots of 0.3mg Epi followed by a loss of consciousness (LOC) and a series of 4 consecutive seizures accompanied with LOC and urinary incontinence. Seizures as a manifestation of anaphylaxis are rare with 1 study claiming 13% of cases of anaphylaxis having LOC and only 1.5% cases with loss of bladder or bowel control.

**Outcomes:** This case is one of continued subcutaneous immunotherapy after the patient had an initial systemic reaction suspicious for anaphylaxis 6 months before the life-threatening anaphylaxis, both induced by immunotherapy. In both instances, there was a significant amount of neurologic involvement. Neurologic sequela included a transient tremor and permanent deficits in vision, fine motor coordination evidenced by a change in handwriting.

**Lessons:** The current protocol was followed in this patient but still ended up almost ending her life. This protocol seems to be inadequate with regards to potential fatality. Even though a very small number, some patients face life-threatening adverse effects after apparently very low-risk immunotherapies. Therefore, reevaluating the treatment protocol with addition of a longer post-shot observation step and discontinuing treatment in the case of adverse events may help minimize the overall risk of any fatal outcome.

**Abbreviations:** Epi = epinephrine, LOC = loss of consciousness, ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme, CT = computed tomography, ED = emergency department, EEG = electroencephalogram, EMS = Emergency Medical Services, FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, GCS = Glasgow Coma Scale, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging, PFTs = pulmonary function tests, RAST = radioallergosorbent test, SOB = shortness of breath.

Keywords: anaphylactic shock, desensitization, neurologic, seizure, subcutaneous immunotherapy

## 1. Introduction

Subcutaneous immunotherapy is a common practice for those with unrelenting allergies (especially environmental allergies). This kind of immunotherapy caries a low risk of anaphylaxis

Medicine (2018) 97:18(e0578)

Received: 10 July 2017 / Accepted: 6 April 2018 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000010578 approximately 1 in 1 million injection visits.<sup>[1]</sup> Certain groups are at a higher risk for adverse systemic reactions in response to immunotherapy including those with severe/labile asthma, and those patients on beta blockers or angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors.<sup>[2]</sup> The case presented here is one of a patient with a 10-year history of asthma but no other high-risk variables. More interesting is the neurologic manifestation with escalation of the responses that are very rare in anaphylactic reactions,<sup>[3,4]</sup> with 1 study reporting a loss of consciousness (LOC) in only 13% of anaphylactic reactions and loss of bladder/bowel control in only 1.5% of anaphylactic reactions.<sup>[5]</sup> This patient had both LOC and urine incontinence as well as other lasting neurologic sequela including change in vision and handwriting, which arguably may be the result of hypoxic injury sustained in anaphylactic shock.

## 2. Case report

The patient is a 51-year-old female who started allergy shots on a conventional schedule beginning in May 2007. Before starting this therapy, a radioallergosorbent test (RAST) was

Editor: Alfons Lawen.

Informed consent was obtained from the patient in this report.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Touro University Nevada College of Osteopathic Medicine, Henderson, NV.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Michelle Mangold, 112 Huckleberry Lane, Henderson, NV 89074 (e-mail: do18.michelle.mangold@nv.touro.edu); Mahboob Qureshi, 874 American Pacific Drive, Henderson, NV 89014 (e-mail: mahboob.gureshi@tun.touro.edu).

Copyright © 2018 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives License 4.0, which allows for redistribution, commercial and non-commercial, as long as it is passed along unchanged and in whole, with credit to the author.

1 //

RAST rating	IgE level (kU/l)	comment			
0	< 0.35	ABSENT OR UNDETECTABLE ALLERGEN SPECIFIC IGE			
1	0.35 – 0.69	LOW LEVEL OF ALLERGEN SPECIFIC IGE			
2	0.70 – 3.49	MODERATE LEVEL OF ALLERGEN SPECIFIC IGE			
3	3.50 - 17.49	HIGH LEVEL OF ALLERGEN SPECIFIC IGE			
4	17.50 – 49.99	VERY HIGH LEVEL OF ALLERGEN SPECIFIC IgE			
5	50.00 - 100.00	ULTRA HIGH LEVEL OF ALLERGEN SPECIFIC IGE			
6	> 100.00	EXTREMELY HIGH LEVEL OF ALLERGEN SPECIFIC IgE			

	TREES	EPICUT.	ID		Ragweeds (Con't)	EPICUT.	ID
		1:20	1:1000			1:20	1:1000
	Panel 1	*WHO BILL XW/	Muheal(ExW)s		Panel 5	Wyeal(LxW)	Wheal(LxW)
1.	American Elm 0° (	410		1.	Ragweed, Tall	109	
2.	Arizona Ash D I	10 10		2.	Ragweed, Western	2374	
×	Arizona Cypress	13/2			MOLDS 7		
4.	Black Willow O \	99		3.	Alternaria D'I	Ol	
¥	Box Elder	1 B		4.	Aspergillus, Fumigatus	1	
6.	Cottonwood			5.	Aspergillus, Mixed	n l	
7.	Hackberry	, ,		6.	Curvularia		
≪	Hickory			7.	Epicoccum D:1	10110	
	Panel 2			8.	Fusarium	12 10	
1,	Ligustrum (Privet)	nh			Ranel 6 G. 1997 Public to a	200 6 1 4 1 1	Service Hills
2.	Mesquite D · 1	70		1.	Helminthorsporium	V	CALIFORNIA DE LA CALIFICACIÓN DE LA CALIFORNIA DE LA CALIFICACIÓN DE LA CALIFICACIUNA DE LA CALIFICACIUNA DE LA CALIFICACIUNA DE LA CALIFICACIÓN DE LA CA
3.	Mountain Cedar O.1	10) 10		2.	Hormodendrum	ma	
4.	Mulberry O'1	29		3.	Mucor	M	
5.	Oak O'\	210		4.	Penicillium	- Y	
6.	Pecan (C1)	XX		5.	Phoma		
7.	Sycamore 0.1	50		6.	Pullularia		
	GRASSES	0000		7.	Rhizopus		
8.	Bermuda	67.00		8.	Stemphylium		
	Panel 3. And States	<b>新学校的</b>			ENVIRONMENTALS		
1.	Johnson /	15 121			Panel 7	STATES CONTRACTOR	
2.	Meadow Fesque Grass	916		1.	Cat Dander 501	1013	COMPANY OF CONTRACTOR
З.	Perennial Rye Wilk	916		2.	Cockroach	2110	
4.	Redtop Grass / C 4	11 114		З.	Dog Dander D'I	981	
5.	Timothy	12/2		74.	Horse Dander	11	
	WEEDS	1.6	-Kitt	1 5.	Mixed Feathers	M	
6.	Careless Weed O 1	79		6.	Dust Mite Mixed Standardized		
7.	Cocklebur Q 1	5-51		57.4			- Vata
K	Dock/Sorrel Mix	56		7.	Negative Control		- jon
	Panel 4 March 1997	DOLLAR STREET			(Giverinated Phenol Saline)	1/ 1	
ĸ	Kochia Firebush			8.	Positive Histamine	10	
2.	Lamb's Quarter 🔊 🕚	57			Control(Histatrol) 1 mg/ml	50	
3.	Marsh Elder 0.1	16101					
4.	Pigweed V'I	015					
5.	Russian Thistle 0'1	010					
B. (	Sagebrush O 1	911		P. Start	Silver V	Red	Yellow
	RAGWEEDS	In Is		Sellal I	1:2.000 000	1:200-000	1.20.000
7. [	Franseria(False Ragineed)	10101		ET THE		Green	Blue
~ I	Ranweed Short WWA	1122		STATE STATE	maintenance Dose	1	

Figure 1. Radioallergosorbent test (RAST) from baseline demonstrating patient's very high level of allergies to ragweed and grasses corresponding to approximately 17.5–49.99 IgE levels.

performed and pulmonary function tests (PFTs) were done to determine the makeup of the therapeutic shots and establish a baseline lung function (Fig. 1). Throughout therapy, PFTs were done to assess progress (Fig. 2). The patient's RAST results guided the constituents of the shots, which included trees (American Elm, Arizona Ash, Black Willow, Mesquite, Mountain Cedar, Mulberry, Oak, Pecan and Sycamore), grasses (Bermuda, Johnson, Meadow Fescue, Perennial Rye, Redtop and Timothy), weeds (Careless, Cocklebur, Lamb's Quarter, Marsh Elder, Pigweed, Russian Thistle, and Sagebrush), ragweeds (Franseria, Short Ragweed, Tall Ragweed, and Western Ragweed), molds (Alternaria, and Epicoccum), and environmental (Cat Dander, and Dog Dander) allergens. These allergens were used at a frequency of 1 to 3 times a week with an initial dose of 1:2,000,000 dilution with a target maintenance dose of 1:2000. The target dilution could not be reached due to cessation of treatment due to anaphylaxis incidences.



Figure 2. Baseline pulmonary function test (PFT) top left. Sequential PFTs showing improvement in lung function until November with a slight decline in forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV1) and forced vital capacity (FVC) from July but an overall improvement from baseline.

After a couple of months of therapy, the patient had a systemic reaction that entailed shortness of breath (SOB), loss of visual acuity, tremors, and LOC. She managed to take 100 mg of diphenhydramine and a puff of albuterol inhaler ( $180 \mu g$ ) before LOC. At this point, the patient was given options for cessation of treatment or taking the injections at lower doses with smaller increments than before. The patient chose to continue the therapy

at the lower dose increments. It is important to note at this point that the patient was prescribed an Epi-pen.

Approximately 6 months after this event and the change to the immunotherapy regimen, the patient went in for her immunotherapy shots per schedule and immediately developed severe SOB. At this point, the patient was placed on 4 L of oxygen delivered via nasal cannula and 2 shots of 0.3 mg Epi were



administered. Shortly thereafter, the patient became unresponsive and medical staff began resuscitation efforts. The patient then had a series of 4 consecutive seizures in rapid succession, which were presumably induced from severe hypotension. These seizures had associated urinary incontinence and tongue lacerations noted in the emergency department (ED). Emergency Medical Services (EMS) arrived and tried unsuccessfully to intubate the patient at which point the patient was transferred to the ED. The patient was intubated in the ED after approximately 20 minutes of being bagged and resuscitated by EMS. Physical examination revealed LOC, dilated pupils, unresponsive with a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) of 3. Subsequently, the patient gradually improved and was extubated the following day. Although the patient remained a little shaky, her neurologic functions were remarkably otherwise intact. Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were done and were unremarkable. These images served to rule out severe hypoperfusion injury and the possibility of causative brain pathology (i.e., tumor, hematoma, hemorrhage) (Fig. 3).

An electroencephalogram (EEG) was also done to rule out the possibility of an underlying seizure disorder and was found to be negative. Patient's reported home medications were Albuterol, Clarinex, Claritin, Progensa, Testosterone, Endosis, and Singulair.

#### 3. Long-term sequela

After the second incident, the patient noticed her handwriting to be tremulous for approximately 8 months post event. After the tremors abated (which was presumably a side effect from highdose steroids), her handwriting had significantly changed and had become smaller and subjectively harder to read. Not only were the effects evident in the patient's handwriting but also in her vision. The patient stated post event her distance vision had decreased, along with her depth perception to the point where she now needed progressive lenses versus the reading glasses she previously wore. In addition, there were several instances wherein while driving at night the patient noticed halos around the lights that impaired her ability to safely drive. The patient did have ophthalmologic evaluation during this time, as she required a new prescription for glasses after the event. It is possible that there was an underlying eye issue that was either exacerbated or accentuated in light of the events that occurred.

The patient also had some short-term memory issues noticeable to her but not significant enough to dip below the sensitivity threshold on the neurologic examinations administered. The patient was able to return to work after 6 weeks.

#### 4. Discussion

These 2 episodes of anaphylaxis in response to immunotherapy in this 51-year-old female were the end of her encounter with this possible therapeutic method, which could improve her quality of life by alleviating everyday sufferings from various allergic episodes. It is rare to have such an overwhelming neurologic response to anaphylaxis. More common are the effects readily associated with a systemic histamine release causing itching, erythema, warmth, hypotension, and swelling with airway compromise. Although these common manifestations were present as well, the rapid decline in visual acuity in the first episode was novel. Also novel was the presentation of her anaphylactic reaction including multiple seizures without regaining consciousness. Not only this but also the tremulous nature of the reaction and both episodes of LOC is another novel presentation, which may be explained by significant global hypoperfusion/hypoxia causing an abnormal tremor to develop transiently in the first occurrence and having a more prolonged course in the second incident.

The lasting sequela of visual and fine motor skill deficits may very well be a result of hypoxic injury to the brain.<sup>[6]</sup> Although CT and MRI were unremarkable, one may argue that it is reasonable to infer that the imaging sensitivity for milder hypoxic injuries may fall below the sensitivity of current imaging modalities and thus go unrecognized. These long-term sequelae and residual deficits are not reported in any other case of anaphylaxis. It is important to note that the patient had no preexisting neurologic conditions nor symptoms of such.

The decision to continue with therapy after the first event was a joint decision, but begs the question whether there are more definitive approaches or criteria to decide who needs to unequivocally stop therapy. Should this case be a guide to clinicians to err on the side of caution, or should we continue as is and let that be a joint discussion and decision being made It is important to note that this treatment is considered very low risk. However, cases such as this may actually prove fatal and end a patient's life. Therefore, modifying the protocol with a prolonged post-treatment observation period should be seriously considered.

#### Acknowledgment

We would like to thank this patient for allowing us to share the details of her remarkable story.

#### Author contributions

**Conceptualization:** Michelle Mangold, Mahboob Qureshi. **Data curation:** Michelle Mangold.

Funding acquisition: Mahboob Qureshi.

Writing - original draft: Michelle Mangold, Mahboob Qureshi.

Writing – review & editing: Michelle Mangold, Mahboob Qureshi.

#### References

- Wheatley L, Togias A. Allergic rhinitis. N Engl J Med 2015;372: 456–63.
- [2] Creticos P. Subcutaneous Immunotherapy for Allergic Disease: Indications and Efficacy. Waltham, MA: UpToDate, Post TW, UpToDate. Accessed September 26, 2016. Available at: https://www.uptodate.com/ contents/subcutaneous-immunotherapy-for-allergic-disease-indicationsand-efficacy.
- [3] Ellis A, Day J. Diagnosis and management of anaphylaxis. CMAJ 2003;169:307–12.
- [4] Boghner B, Lichtenstein L. Anaphylaxis. N Engl J Med 1991;324:1785– 90.
- [5] Wood R, Camargo C, Lieberman P, et al. Anaphylaxis in America: the prevalence and characteristics of anaphylaxis in the United States. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2014;133:461–7.
- [6] Ninds.nih.gov. Cerebral Hypoxia Information Page | National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke [online]. Available at: https://www. ninds.nih.gov/Disorders/All-Disorders/Cerebral-Hypoxia-Information-Page [Accessed June 27, 2017].