

Correspondence

High occurrence of high-level mupirocin & chlorhexidine resistant genes in methicillin resistant staphylococcal isolates from dialysis unit of a tertiary care hospital

Sir,

Chronic hemodialysis (CHD) patients are vulnerable to infections, including infections by methicillin-resistant staphylococci (MRS) because they are repeatedly exposed to the hospital environment and often receive prolonged courses of antibiotics, besides being immunocompromised¹. Nasal carriage of MRS in hospital personnel also adds to the colonization pressure in healthcare facilities, acting as reservoirs for transmission to these patients¹. Routine use of mupirocin and chlorhexidine in healthcare settings has contributed to acquisition of resistance to these antimicrobial agents among microbes which cause outbreaks in these settings². Resistance to mupirocin is of low-level (mutations in the chromosomal *ileS* gene) or high-level [by a plasmid-mediated *mupA* (*ileS2*) gene, encoding a novel IleS]³. Chlorhexidine resistance is conferred by the plasmid-mediated *qacA/B* genes which encode proton-dependent multidrug efflux pumps⁴. We conducted a cross-sectional study to detect the presence of mupirocin and chlorhexidine resistance among methicillin resistant staphylococcal isolates obtained from the dialysis unit of a tertiary care hospital.

A total of 83 non-duplicate methicillin resistant coagulase negative staphylococcal (MRCoNS) isolates from anterior nares of CHD patients (n=124) and hospital personnel (n=30) from dialysis unit of Billroth Hospital, a tertiary care centre in Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India, were included in this study. Phenotypic detection of low- and high-level mupirocin resistance was carried out using mupirocin discs [5 and 200 µg (Hi-Media,

Mumbai)] and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for mupirocin was determined by agar dilution method^{5,6}. *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 was used as quality control strain and results were interpreted as per Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines and British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (BSAC) guidelines^{5,6}. Isolates resistant to 5 and 200 µg mupirocin discs were further subjected to *mupA* gene detection⁷. All isolates were screened for the presence of chlorhexidine resistance gene (*qacA/B*) by PCR⁸.

Of the 83 MRCoNS isolates, 68 (81.9%) were from CHD patients and 15 (18%) from dialysis unit staff members. Mupirocin resistance was observed in 26 (31.3%) isolates, of which, 22 (26.5%) exhibited high-level mupirocin resistance (HLMR) and were also positive for *mupA* gene. In our study, mupirocin resistance was slightly higher than that reported from another study from south India⁹. Majority of the isolates showing HLMR (n=16, 19.2%) were isolated from CHD patients. Six of 22 (27.3%) isolates with HLMR displayed *qacA/B*. The distribution of chlorhexidine resistance genes among high- and low-level mupirocin resistant and mupirocin sensitive isolates are shown in the Table. In this study, mupirocin sensitive isolates (12/83, 14.4%) were found to harbour higher percentage of *qacA/B* genes compared to mupirocin resistant isolates (8/83, 9.6%).

In conclusion, our findings indicate that the routine use of chlorhexidine and mupirocin prophylaxis may increase the prevalence of chlorhexidine- and

Table. Distribution of chlorhexidine resistance genes in high and low-level mupirocin resistant and mupirocin sensitive isolates

No. & source of MRCoNS isolates	<i>qacA/B</i> positive (%)	<i>qacA/B</i> negative (%)
High-level mupirocin resistance (MIC \geq 512 μ g/ml)		
CHD patients (16)	3 (18.7)	13 (81.2)
Dialysis unit staffs (6)	3 (50)	3 (50)
Low-level mupirocin resistance (MIC 8-256 μ g/ml)		
CHD patients (4)	2 (50)	2 (50)
Dialysis unit staff (0)	0	0
Mupirocin-susceptible (MIC < 8 μ g/ml)		
CHD patients (48)	8 (16.6)	40 (83.3)
Dialysis unit staff (9)	4 (44.4)	5 (55.5)
MRCoNS, methicillin resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci		

mupirocin-resistance genes in staphylococci in a hospital setting.

**Nagaraj Perumal¹, Saravanan Murugesan¹,
VijayaKumar Ramanathan² &
Padma Krishnan^{1,*}**

¹Department of Microbiology,
Dr ALM PG Institute of
Basic Medical Sciences,
University of Madras,

Taramani, Chennai 600 113, India
& ²Nephrology Unit, Billroth Hospital,
Chennai 600 018, Tamil Nadu, India

*For correspondence:
padma.abpkn@gmail.com

Conflicts of Interest: None.

References

- Lai CF, Liao CH, Pai MF, Chu FY, Hsu SP, Chen HY, *et al*. Nasal carriage of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* is associated with higher all-cause mortality in hemodialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011; 6 : 167-74.
- Fritz SA, Hogan PG, Camins BC, Ainsworth AJ, Patrick C, Martin MS, *et al*. Mupirocin and chlorhexidine resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* in patients with community-onset skin and soft tissue infections. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013; 57 : 559-68.
- Patel JB, Gorwitz RJ, Jernigan JA. Mupirocin resistance. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; 49 : 935-41.
- Horner C, Damien M, Mark W. Reduced susceptibility to chlorhexidine in staphylococci: is it increasing and does it matter? *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012; 67 : 547-59.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI). *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing*; 23rd Informational Supplement. CLSI document M100-S23. Wayne PA: CLSI; 2013.
- British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (BSAC). *Methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing*, version 12. Birmingham, England: BSAC; May 2013.
- Yun H, Lee SW, Yoon GM, Kim SY, Choi S, Lee YS, *et al*. Prevalence and mechanisms of low- and high-level mupirocin resistance in staphylococci isolated from a Korean hospital. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2003; 51 : 619-23.
- Noguchi N, Suwa J, Narui K, Sasatsu M, Ito T, Hiramatsu K, *et al*. Susceptibilities to antiseptic agents and distribution of antiseptic-resistance genes *qacA/B* and *smr* of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated in Asia during 1998 and 1999. *J Med Microbiol* 2005; 54 : 557-65.
- Oommen SK, Appalaraju B, Jinsha K. Mupirocin resistance in clinical isolates of staphylococci in a tertiary care centre in south India. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2010; 28 : 372-5.