Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yu Shaorong, Nanjing Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY Francesco Facchinetti, Gustave Roussy Cancer Campus, France Kadoaki Ohashi, Okayama University, Japan

*CORRESPONDENCE Tadaaki Yamada tavamada@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Thoracic Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 25 March 2022 ACCEPTED 18 August 2022 PUBLISHED 09 September 2022

CITATION

Shiotsu S, Yoshimura A, Yamada T, Morimoto K, Tsuchiya M, Yoshioka H, Hiranuma O, Chihara Y, Yamada T, Hasegawa I, Ohta T, Takeda T, Hiraoka N and Takayama K (2022) Pembrolizumab monotherapy for untreated PD-L1-Positive non-small cell lung cancer in the elderly or those with poor performance status: A prospective observational study. *Front. Oncol.* 12:904644. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.904644

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Shiotsu, Yoshimura, Yamada, Morimoto, Tsuchiya, Yoshioka, Hiranuma, Chihara, Yamada, Hasegawa, Ohta, Takeda, Hiraoka and Takayama. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or

reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Pembrolizumab monotherapy for untreated PD-L1-Positive non-small cell lung cancer in the elderly or those with poor performance status: A prospective observational study

Shinsuke Shiotsu¹, Akihiro Yoshimura², Tadaaki Yamada^{2*}, Kenji Morimoto², Michiko Tsuchiya³, Hiroshige Yoshioka⁴, Osamu Hiranuma⁵, Yusuke Chihara⁶, Takahiro Yamada⁷, Isao Hasegawa⁸, Takahiro Ohta⁹, Takayuki Takeda¹⁰, Noriya Hiraoka¹ and Koichi Takayama²

¹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Japanese Red Cross Kyoto Daiichi Hospital, Kyoto, Japan, ²Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan, ³Department of Respiratory Medicine, Rakuwakai Otowa Hospital, Kyoto, Japan, ⁴Department of Thoracic Oncology, Kansai Medical University Hospital, Osaka, Japan, ⁵Department of Respiratory Medicine, Otsu City Hospital, Shiga, Japan, ⁶Department of Respiratory Medicine, Uji-Tokushukai Medical Center, Kyoto, Japan, ⁷Department of Respiratory Medicine, Matsushita Memorial Hospital, Osaka, Japan, ⁸Department of Respiratory Medicine, Saiseikai Shigaken Hospital, Shiga, Japan, ⁹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Kyoto City Hospital, Kyoto, Japan, ¹⁰Department of Respiratory Medicine, Japanese Red Cross Kyoto Daini Hospital, Kyoto, Japan

Objectives: We investigated the efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab monotherapy as first-line treatment for poor Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (PS) and elderly patients with programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1)-positive advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). We also investigated clinical prognostic factors for the efficacy of pembrolizumab monotherapy, based on patient characteristics.

Materials and methods: In this prospective observational study, PS-2 and elderly NSCLC patients with PD-L1 tumor proportion score (TPS) $\geq 1\%$ who received first-line pembrolizumab monotherapy, from October 2019 to March 2021, at 10 institutions in Japan were enrolled. Patients judged eligible by their physicians for combined chemotherapy and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors as first-line treatment were excluded. Clinicopathological characteristics and adverse events were investigated for correlation with clinical outcomes.

Results: Forty patients were enrolled in the study. The median progression-free survival (PFS) of patients with PS 2 and those aged \geq 75 years were 4.4 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.9–14.4) months and 5.3 (95% CI 2.9–9.4) months, respectively. The median overall survival (OS) of patients with PS 2 and those aged \geq 75 years were 11.6 (95% CI: 1.4–not evaluable [NE]) months and 11.6

(95% CI 7.4–18.1) months, respectively. Immune-related adverse events (irAEs) were observed in 19 patients; 6 patients had severe irAEs of Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) Grade 3 or higher. Patients who achieved stable disease or better, had a statistically significant increase in PFS (p < 0.001) and OS (p < 0.001). In the multivariate analysis, the acquisition of disease control with pembrolizumab monotherapy was an independent prognostic factor for PFS and OS.

Conclusion: Pembrolizumab monotherapy was relatively effective and tolerable as a first-line treatment for patients with PD-L1-positive advanced NSCLC who had poor PS or were elderly. Our results suggest that disease control might be an independent prognostic factor for PFS and OS in this population. (UMIN000044052 https://center6.umin.ac.jp/cgi-open-bin/ctr_e/ ctr_view.cgi?recptno=R000050176)

KEYWORDS

pembrolizumab, poor performance status, elderly, lung cancer, geriatric 8 (G8)

Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide (1). The recent clinical application of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) has been a paradigm shift in the systemic therapy for patients with advanced lung cancer; also, prolonged prognosis has been observed in long-term follow-up reports (2). Pembrolizumab is a humanized IgG4 monoclonal antibody that binds to programmed cell death-1 (PD-1). It inhibits the binding of PD-1 ligand, programmed cell deathligand 1(PD-L1) and demonstrates its anti-tumor effects through the activation of tumor-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (3). A phase III study (KEYNOTE-024) comparing pembrolizumab monotherapy with platinum-based combination therapy for patients with untreated advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), with a PD-L1 tumor proportion score (TPS) \geq 50%, showed that pembrolizumab monotherapy significantly prolonged progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) compared to platinum-based combination therapy (4). Another phase III study (KEYNOTE-042 study) of 1274

patients with unresectable advanced or recurrent NSCLC with PD-L1 TPS \geq 1% showed that pembrolizumab monotherapy significantly prolonged OS compared to platinum-containing chemotherapy (5). Thus, the current clinical application of pembrolizumab monotherapy was expanded to include the first-line treatment of patients with PD-L1-positive lung cancer cells \geq 1%, which is recommended in the guidelines of several countries (6, 7). In contrast, this regimen has not been approved and was not recommended for patients with PD-L1 TPS of 1-49% in several countries, because the different clinical outcomes of pembrolizumab monotherapy are related to PD-L1 expression levels \geq 50% and 1-49% in KEYNOTE-042. Regarding its combination with chemotherapy, a phase III study on non-squamous cell carcinoma (KEYNOTE-189) and a phase III study on squamous cell carcinoma (KEYNOTE-407) showed that pembrolizumab added to chemotherapy significantly prolonged PFS and OS (8, 9). Based on the results of these clinical trials, combination therapy with platinumdoublet chemotherapy and ICIs has been recommended as the first-line treatment for patients with metastatic NSCLC, with a good Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (PS). However, such combination therapies are difficult to use in vulnerable patients. Therefore, the use of pembrolizumab monotherapy as first-line treatment is expected to increase in vulnerable patients with NSCLC, such as those with poor PS and elderly patients aged > 75 years.

In previous clinical trials of pembrolizumab monotherapy, only patients who met the eligibility criteria of PS 0/1 were enrolled; also, there are few reports on efficacy and safety in patients aged \geq 75 years. A retrospective study showed that poor PS was an independent poor prognostic factor for PFS and OS in

Abbreviations: PS, performance status; PD-L1, programmed cell deathligand 1; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; TPS, tumor proportion score; PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; CI, confidence interval; irAE, immune-related adverse event; CTCAE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; PD-1, programmed cell death-1; GA, geriatric assessment; G8, geriatric 8 screening tool; CR, complete response; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease; CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; IHC, immunohistochemistry; HR, hazard ratio; ORR, objective response rate; NE, not evaluable.

pembrolizumab monotherapy (10). In a retrospective study of PS 2 NSCLC patients with PD-L1 TPS \geq 50% receiving first-line pembrolizumab monotherapy, prognosis differed, depending on whether the reason for poor PS was due to cachectic factors or complications (11). In contrast, a recent phase 2 clinical trial, which sought to evaluate the efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab monotherapy in PS 2 patients, reported an equivalent efficacy to that in patients with good PS, and that toxicity was feasible (12). However, there is a lack of real-world data from prospective observational studies examining first-line pembrolizumab monotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC who are unfit for clinical trials, such as those with poor PS and elderly patients. Facchinetti et al. reported in their meta-analysis of first-line immunotherapy for NSCLC patients with poor PS that prospective evidence supporting the role of immunotherapy in this population is limited, and clinical efforts are needed to improve prognosis, including the definition and factors contributing to poor PS and the development of dedicated treatment strategies (13).

Geriatric assessment (GA) is a multidimensional and multidisciplinary assessment tool that evaluates the identification of functional, nutritional, cognitive, psychological, socially supportive, and comorbid factors (14). The International Society of Geriatric Oncology recommends GA for older cancer patients (15). Instead of the full comprehensive GA, the geriatric 8 screening tool (G8) is easy to use in clinical practice (16) and has been reported as a promising prognostic factor for survival in elderly patients with various cancers (17).

In this prospective study, we investigated the efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab monotherapy as a first-line treatment in patients with advanced NSCLC with PD-L1 TPS positivity who either had PS 2 or were elderly patients aged \geq 75 years. These patients, judged eligible by their physicians for combination of chemotherapy and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors as first-line treatment, were excluded. In addition, we investigated the clinical prognostic factors for pembrolizumab monotherapy efficacy based on patient characteristics, including G8.

Materials and methods

Patients

This multicenter, prospective cohort study was conducted among previously untreated patients with advanced NSCLC without EGFR and ALK gene alterations, with a PS of 2 or age above 75 years (PS 0/1), diagnosed between October 2019 and March 2021 at 10 institutions in Japan. All patients provided written informed consent for participation in this study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (revised in 2013) and was approved by the independent ethics committees of the Japanese Red Cross Kyoto Daiichi

Hospital (no. 846) and each hospital. Patients who concurrently received treatment with other anticancer agents and had a history of treatment with other cancer drug therapies were considered ineligible. Patients judged eligible by their physicians for combined chemotherapy and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors as first-line treatment were excluded. The administration of pembrolizumab and the assessment of its efficacy and toxicity, including immune-related adverse events (irAEs), were determined by each investigator. irAEs were graded using the National Cancer Institute's Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version (CTCAE) 5.0. All patients underwent imaging evaluations, including complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease (SD), and progressive disease (PD), using either a conventional computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan, according to the criteria outlined in the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (v.1.1). A CT scan or MRI scan three months after the start of treatment was used as reference to determine the effect of treatment. If non-PR or non-PD was observed on the first imaging evaluation, we determined SD to be non-PR or non-PD on the next imaging evaluation three months later. PFS was defined as the time from initiation of pembrolizumab treatment to the date of objective disease progression or death from pembrolizumab treatment before progression.

Geriatric 8 screening tool analysis

The G8 is an 8-item screening tool that covers the domains of food intake, weight loss, body mass index, exercise capacity, psychological state, number of medications taken, selfperception of health, and age. The G8 scores ranged from 0 (severe disability) to 17 (no disability). The G8 questionnaire is presented in Supplementary Table 1. G8 score was to be obtained by each investigator at the time of diagnosis. A cutoff value of 11 for G8 has been reported as a predictor of prognosis (18, 19). In this study, the cut-off value for G8 was set at 11.

Analysis of PD-L1 expression

PD-L1 expression in tumors was assessed by performing PD-L1 immunohistochemistry (IHC) using the 22C3 pharmDx assay at a commercial clinical laboratory (SRL, Inc., Tokyo, Japan), using pretreatment tumor samples. Tumor PD-L1 expression was expressed as the percentage of at least 100 viable tumor cells with complete or partial membrane staining. Pathologists at commercial vendors interpreted tumor PD-L1 expression according to the assay results. Patients were categorized into the following three groups based on the PD-L1 TPS: high (\geq 50%), low (1–49%), and negative (< 1%).

Treatment

Patients were intravenously administered pembrolizumab at a flat dose of 200 mg on day 1 of a 3-week cycle. In general, these treatments were continued until disease progression, intolerable toxicity, or patient refusal occurred.

Statistical analysis

To analyze PFS and OS, the times to events were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. The hazard ratios (HRs) for PFS and OS were determined using a univariate Cox proportional hazard model. Landmark analyses of PFS and OS at 12 or 24 weeks were performed in patients with disease control or were alive, considering the timedependence of irAEs. Cox proportional hazard models were used to evaluate several patient factors. To construct the multivariate model, we selected factors related to PFS and OS, which were the most relevant factors identified in the univariate analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using EZR for Windows, version 1.54 (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan). Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

Patients' characteristics

A total of 41 patients with advanced NSCLC with PS of 2 or age \geq 75 years (PS 0/1) were enrolled in this prospective study. One patient was excluded because of withdrawal of consent prior to pembrolizumab administration; the remaining 40 patients were included in the analysis. The median follow-up period was 9.5 (range, 0.3-27.1) months. The median patient age was 78.5 (range, 67.0-87.0) years, and 28 (70.0%) patients were male. Sixteen patients (40.0%) had a PS of 2, and 31 (77.5%) were \geq 75 years. Among them, 33 (82.5%) patients had a history of smoking and 12 (30.0%) had squamous cell carcinoma. The PD-L1 IHC test was performed for all patients. Twenty-two (55.0%) patients had a PD-L1 TPS of \geq 50%. For G8, data were collected from 33 of 40 patients. The median G8 was 10.5 (range, 6-15) (Table 1). The proportion of patients who received second-line therapy were 15.0% (n=6) while 7.5% (n=3) received more than third-line therapy (Supplementary Table 2).

Efficacy of pembrolizumab monotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC

In this prospective study, the objective response rate (ORR) of all patients was 40.5% (95% confidence interval (CI): 24.8–57.9%) and the disease control rate was 62.2% (95% CI: 44.8–

77.5%). Median PFS and OS for patients aged \geq 75 years were 5.3 (95% CI: 2.9-9.4) months and 11.6 (95% CI: 7.4-18.1) months, respectively; those for PS 2 patients were 4.4 (95% CI: 0.9-14.4) months and 11.6 months (95% CI: 1.4 months- not evaluable [NE]), respectively (Figures 1A-D). There was no significant difference in PFS and OS based on age (≥ 75 years versus < 75 years) or PS status (PS 0 and 1 versus PS 2) (Supplementary Figures 1A-D). The median PFS and OS for PS 2 patients, excluding the elderly population (≥ 75 years of age), was 1.6 (95% CI: 0.3-NE) months and NE (95% CI: 0.3M-NE), respectively. Median PFS in PS 2 patients < 75 years of age was shorter than that in PS 2 patients \geq 75 years of age, although this difference was not statistically significant (Supplementary Figures 2A, B). Although patients with a PD-L1 TPS of \geq 50% did not show significant difference in PFS compared to patients with a TPS of 1–49% (p = 0.812), those with a PD-L1 TPS of \geq 90% showed a trend of prolonged PFS compared to those with a TPS of 1-89% (p = 0.098). In addition, patients with a PD-L1 TPS of \ge 90% showed a trend of prolonged PFS compared to those with TPS of 1-49% (p = 0.174) and 50-89% (p = 0.116) (Figure 2A, Supplementary Figure 3A). There was no significant difference in OS between the two groups, regardless of PD-L1 expression (Figure 2B, Supplementary Figure 3B).

Patients who achieved PR with pembrolizumab monotherapy had a statistically significant increase in PFS and OS compared to those who did not (p < 0.001 and p < 0.001, respectively). In addition, there was a statistically significant increase in PFS and OS in patients who achieved SD or better (p < 0.001 and p < 0.001, respectively) (Table 2 and Figures 2C, D). There was no significant difference between PFS/OS and the presence/absence of irAEs (Supplementary Figure 4). Regarding G8, there was a trend toward longer OS in the G8 \ge 11 group when a G8 score of 11 was used as the cut-off value (p = 0.058). In contrast, PFS was divided into groups with a cut-off value of 11 points; however, no significant difference was observed (Table 2; Figures 2E, F).

In the univariate analysis, achieving a response of SD or better was a prognostic factor for PFS; a response of SD or better was a prognostic factor for OS (Table 2A). Multivariate analysis demonstrated that a response of SD or better was an independent prognostic factor for prolonged PFS (HR: 0.04; 95% CI: 0.01–0.16, p < 0.001) and OS (HR: 0.20; 95% CI: 0.08–0.51, p < 0.001) in pembrolizumab monotherapy (Table 2B).

Toxicity of pembrolizumab monotherapy

Subsequently, we examined the impact of irAEs on pembrolizumab monotherapy in 40 patients with NSCLC. Of these, 19 (47.5%) patients developed irAEs. The most frequent irAE was skin rash, which occurred in six patients, followed by interstitial pneumonia in four patients. Severe grade 3 or higher irAEs included skin rash (1 case of grade 4; pemphigoid),

TABLE 1 Patients' characteristics.

N = 40

Median age, years (range)		78.5 (67.0-87.0)
Age categorization, years, n (%)	<75	9 (22.5)
	≥75	31 (77.5)
Sex, n (%)	Male	28 (70.0)
	Female	12 (30.0)
ECOG PS, n (%)	0, 1	24 (60.0)
	2	16 (40.0)
Disease stage, n (%)	III	2 (5.0)
	IV	30 (75.0)
	Postoperative relapse	8 (20.0)
Histology, n (%)	Squamous	12 (30.0)
	Non-squamous	28 (70.0)
Brain metastasis, n (%)	Positive	6 (15.0)
	Negative	34 (85.0)
Liver metastasis, n (%)	Positive	5 (7.5)
	Negative	35 (92.5)
Smoking status, n (%)	Current or former	33 (82.5)
	Never	17 (17.5)
PD-L1 TPS, n (%)	1-49%	18 (45.0)
	50-89%	12 (30.0)
	<u>≧</u> 90%	10 (25.0)
IrAE	With	19 (47.5)
	Without	21 (52.5)
G8, median (range)		10.5 (6.0-15.0)
Response, n (%)	PR	15 (37.5)
	SD	8 (20.0)
	PD	14 (35.0)
	NE	3 (7.5)
	ORR (95% CI)	40.5% (24.8-57.9%)
	DCR (95% CI)	62.2% (44.8-77.5%)

ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Groups Performance Status; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; TPS, total proportion score; irAE, immune-related adverse event; G8, Geriatric 8; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease; NE, not evaluable; ORR, objective response rate; DCR, disease control rate.

interstitial pneumonia (1 case of grade 3), central adrenal insufficiency (1 case of grade 3), and brain infarction (1 case of grade 4). Furthermore, myocarditis was observed in two patients (1 case each of grades 4 and 5). Of the 19 patients who developed irAEs, 10 discontinued treatments, including 1 case of myocarditis (grade 5), 4 cases of interstitial pneumonia (3 of grade 1 and 1 of grade 3), 1 case each of arthritis (grade 2), skin rash (grade 4), central adrenal insufficiency, renal failure (grade 3), and brain infarction (grade 4). The observed irAEs and their frequencies are listed in Table 3. There was no statistically significant difference in the rate of treatment discontinuation according to age or PS. None of the patients were able to resume treatment. A review of the clinical background of the 33 patients for whom G8 was available for evaluation, with and without irAEs, significantly showed that more patients with G8 ≥11 were in the group with irAEs (p = 0.038). In addition, the frequency of irAEs was higher in

women and patients without PD (p = 0.038 and p = 0.002, respectively) (Table 4).

Discussion

Immune senescence is associated with age-related remodeling of immune function. In addition, various effects on host immunity, including increased vulnerability to infectious diseases, are also influenced (20). Therefore, it is important to determine whether the efficacy and safety of immunotherapy can be applied not only to patients with good PS but also to those with poor PS and the elderly, who are unfit, or minor populations, in clinical trials; however, they form the majority of patients seen in daily clinical practice. In this prospective study, we investigated whether first-line treatment with pembrolizumab monotherapy can be used as



a treatment option for patients aged \ge 75 years or those with a PS of 2.

Our observational study showed that the median PFS was 4.4 (95% CI: 0.9–14.4) months and median OS was 11.6 months (95% CI: 1.4 months–NE) for NSCLC patients with PS of 2, which was consistent with a previous prospective study in patients with poor PS (12). These results suggest that first-line treatment with pembrolizumab monotherapy may be effective for patients with PD-L1-expressed NSCLC with poor PS.

Age-related decline affects the activation of CD8+ T cells, which are key elements involved in the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway (21). In this study, 31 (77.5%) patients aged \geq 75 years were evaluated, resulting in a median PFS of 5.3 (95% CI: 2.9–9.4) months and median OS of 11.6 (95% CI: 7.4–18.1) months. In Elderly NSCLC patients with good PS, the response to pembrolizumab monotherapy may have been boosted. Accumulating evidence has revealed that tumor PD-L1 expression of \geq 50% is a predictive biomarker of good response to pembrolizumab monotherapy (2, 4, 5). A retrospective cohort study reported that the best survival benefit was shown in patients with PD-L1 > 90% among those with NSCLC, including those with PS 2 status (22). In this study, a trend of prolonged PFS was observed in the PS 2 and elderly groups of patients with NSCLC and PD-L1 \ge 90%. Clinically, it is worth highlighting that a survival benefit was shown in NSCLC patients with very high PD-L1 expression treated with pembrolizumab monotherapy, even in those with poor PS and the elderly.

It is important to carefully select the first-line therapeutic strategy for NSCLC patients with poor PS and those who are elderly because the next treatment option is not often readily available when the disease worsens due to continued ineffective treatment. This prospective study revealed that patients who demonstrated a treatment effect of SD or better had statistically significant prolonged PFS and OS compared to those who did not, regardless of PS status. A previous meta-analysis of 13



FIGURE 2

Kaplan-Meier survival curves for PFS and OS according to several clinical features. PFS (**A**) and OS (**B**) of patients with PD-L1 TPS \ge 90% and 1–89%, respectively. Patients with a PD-L1 TPS of \ge 90% showed a trend of prolonged PFS compared to those with a PD-L1 TPS of 1–89% (p = 0.098). There was no significant difference in OS (p = 0.667). PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; PD-L1, programmed cell-death Ligand 1; TPS, tumor proportion score. PFS (**C**) and OS (**D**) of patients on and not on pembrolizumab treatment who achieved SD or better. Patients who achieved SD or better had significantly longer PFS and OS than those who did not (p < 0.001, p < 0.001). PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; SD, stable disease. PFS (**E**) and OS (**F**) of patients with and without G8 ≥11. There was no significant difference in PFS (p = 0.281). Patients with G8 ≥11 tended to have longer OS than those with G8 <11 (p = 0.058). PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; G8, geriatric 8 screening tool.

(A)			Patient's No.	Median PFS (95% CI), months	P value	Median OS (95% CI), months	P value
	Age categorization (years)	<75	9	1.6 (0.3-NE)	0.717	NE (0.3-NE)	0.743
		≧75	31	5.3 (2.9–9.4)		11.6 (7.4–18.1)	
	Sex	Male	28	3.5 (2.1-11.1)	0.411	14.4 (6.2–NE)	0.507
		Female	12	8.0 (1.2-11.7)		9.1 (1.2–23.4)	
	ECOG PS	0, 1	24	5.1 (2.3-11.1)	0.907	12.9 (6.5–NE)	0.797
		2	16	4.4 (0.9–14.4)		11.6 (1.4–NE)	
	Disease stage	III	2	1.5 (1.5–NE)	0.793	NE (NE-NE)	0.200
		IV	30	5.4 (2.1-11.1)		16.5 (5.6–NE)	
		Postoperative relapse	8	4.5 (1.2-NE)		9.1 (1.2–NE)	
	Brain metastasis, n (%)	Positive	6	3.8 (0.3–NE)	0.258	5.8 (0.3–NE)	0.112
		Negative	34	4.9 (2.6-11.1)		14.4 (7.4–23.4)	
	Liver metastasis, n (%)	Positive	6	4.8 (0.3–NE)	0.966	7.1 (0.3–NE)	0.756
		Negative	34	4.9 (2.6–9.4)		11.6 (7.4–23.4)	
	Cell type, n (%)	Squamous	12	10.3 (1.5-18.1)	0.326	14.4 (3.2–NE)	0.604
		Non-squamous	28	4.5 (2.1-7.6)		9.2 (6.3–23.4)	
	Smoking status, n (%)	Current or former smoker	33	5.4 (2.9–11.1)	0.256	11.6 (7.4–NE)	0.356
		Never smoker	7	2.0 (0.8-11.7)		9.2 (1.0-NE)	
	PD-L1 TPS, n (%)	1-49%	18	4.9 (2.9–9.4)	0.812	9.3 (6.3–NE)	0.802
		50-100%	22	5.4 (1.5-14.4)		14.4 (3.2–NE)	
	PD-L1 TPS, n (%)	1-89%	30	4.5 (2.3-8.4)	0.098	9.4 (6.3–23.4)	0.667
		90-100%	10	14.4 (0.3–NE)		14.4 (1.0–NE)	
	IrAEs	With	19	9.4 (5.3–18.1)	0.027	14.4 (8.4–23.4)	0.280
		Without	21	2.6 (1.5-4.9)		7.4 (4.9-NE)	
	G8	<11	18	4.9 (0.9–11.1)	0.281	8.0 (1.5-14.4)	0.058
		≥11	15	5.6 (2.1-21.3)		23.4 (5.6–NE)	
	Response	PR	15	18.1 (9.4–NE)	< 0.001	23.4 (14.4-NE)	< 0.001
		Non-PR	22	2.7 (1.9-4.9)		7.4 (5.1–11.6)	
	Response	Non-PD	23	11.7 (5.6–21.3)	< 0.001	23.4 (9.4-NE)	< 0.001
		PD	14	2.1 (0.9–2.6)		6.3 (1.5–9.2)	
(B)	Items	PFS hazard ratio (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	OS hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value		
	PD-L1 TPS 90-100%	0.58 (0.19–1.77)	0.330				
	With irAEs	1.39 (0.49-3.92)	0.540				
	Non-PD	0.04 (0.01-0.16)	< 0.001	0.20 (0.08-0.51)	< 0.001		

TABLE 2 Univariate analysis (A) and multivariate analysis (B) for PFS and OS.

PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; CI, confidential interval; NE, not evaluable; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Groups Performance Status; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; TPS, total proportion score; irAEs, immune-related adverse events; G8, geriatric 8; PR, partial response; PD, progression disease.

clinical trials, including immunotherapy, showed that ORR and PFS can be surrogate indicators of OS (23), which is in line with the results of our study. Therefore, much attention should have been paid to the clinical outcomes of NSCLC patients with poor PS or those who were elderly, when assessing the responsiveness of pembrolizumab monotherapy as a first-line therapy.

Recently, the results of an International Expert Panel Meeting supported the safety of immunotherapy, but not immunochemotherapy, in NSCLC patients with PS 2, based on clinical evidence (24). In the KEYNOTE-042 study, irAEs were reported to be 63% at any grade and 18% at grade 3 or higher in the pembrolizumab group of NSCLC patients with good PS (5). In this study, there was no increase in the frequency of irAEs of any grade (47.5%) and grade 3 or higher (15%), compared to those of the KEYNOTE-042 study, which indicated that pembrolizumab monotherapy is a tolerable regimen for NSCLC patients with poor PS. In addition, a retrospective study evaluating first-line pembrolizumab in patients with poor PS with PD-L1 \geq 50%, found

Category	Number of patients, (%)			
	Total	Grade 1, 2	Grade 3-5	
Any irAEs	19 (47.5)	13 (32.5)	6 (15.0)	
Pneumonitis	4 (10.0)	3 (7.5)	<u>1</u> (2.5)	
Rash	6 (15.0)	5 (12.5)	<u>1</u> (2.5)	
Hypothyroidism	1 (2.5)	1 (2.5)	0 (0.0)	
Adrenal insufficiency	1 (2.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.5)	
Carditis	2 (5.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (5.0)	
Nephritis	1 (2.5)	1 (2.5)	0 (0.0)	
Colitis	2 (5.0)	2 (5.0)	0 (0.0)	
Arthritis	2 (5.0)	2 (5.0)	0 (0.0)	
Brain infarction	1 (2.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.5)	

TABLE 3 Adverse events and immune-related adverse events in all NSCLC patients.

NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; irAEs, immune-related adverse events.

no increase in toxicity (11). A prospective study evaluating the efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab monotherapy in patients with PS 2 (PePS2) also concluded that the safety was acceptable (12). In our study, myocarditis of grade 3 or higher was observed in 5% (2) of patients, although previous reports showed less than 1% in the KEYNOTE-042 study, 1.14% by Mahmood et al., and 0% in a prospective study of 140 patients (5, 25, 26). The reason for the increased severity of myocarditis may not be because of the

vulnerability of the patients; however, it might be due to the fact that severe myocarditis occurred in approximately half of the patients (25). However, a retrospective study on the safety of single-agent ICIs in patients older than 80 years also reported an increase in irAEs with increasing age (26). From these observations, further verification of specific adverse effects is required in determining whether myocarditis occurs more frequently in vulnerable patients.

TABLE 4 Patient characteristics in the "with irAEs" and "without irAEs" groups (N = 40).

		$\frac{\text{With irAEs (\%)}}{\text{N} = 19}$	Without irAEs (%)	P value
			N = 21	
Age categorization	<75	2 (10.5)	7 (33.3)	0.133
	≧75	17 (89.5)	14 (66.7)	
Sex	Male	10 (52.6)	18 (85.7)	0.038
	Female	9 (47.4)	3 (14.3)	
ECOG PS	0, 1	12 (63.2)	12 (57.1)	0.755
	2	7 (36.8)	9 (42.9)	
Disease stage	III	0 (0.0)	2 (9.5)	0.464
	IV	14 (73.7)	16 (76.2)	
	Postoperative relapse	5 (26.3)	3 (14.3)	
Brain metastasis, n (%)	Positive	3 (15.8)	3 (14.3)	1
	Negative	16 (84.2)	18 (85.7)	
Liver metastasis, n (%)	Positive	2 (10.5)	4 (19.0)	0.664
	Negative	17 (89.5)	17 (81.0)	
Cell type, n (%)	Squamous	7 (36.8)	5 (23.8)	0.494
	Non-squamous	12 (63.2)	16 (76.2)	
Smoking status, n (%)	Current or former smoker	17 (89.5)	16 (76.2)	0.412
	Never smoker	2 (10.5)	5 (23.8)	
PD-L1 TPS, n (%)	1-49%	9 (47.4)	9 (42.9)	1

(Continued)

		With irAEs (%)	Without irAEs (%)	<i>P</i> value
		N = 19	N = 21	
	50-100%	10 (52.6)	12 (57.1)	
PD-L1 TPS, n (%)	1-89%	7 (36.8)	3 (14.3)	0.148
	90-100%	12 (63.2)	18 (85.7)	
G8	<11	10 (66.7)	5 (27.8)	0.038
	≥11	5 (33.3)	13 (72.2)	
Response	PR	9 (50.0)	6 (31.6)	0.325
	Non-PR	9 (50.0)	13 (68.4)	
Response	Non-PD	16 (88.9)	7 (36.8)	0.002
	PD	2 (11.1)	12 (63.2)	

TABLE 4 Continued

irAEs, immune-related adverse events; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Groups Performance Status; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; TPS, total proportion score; G8, geriatric 8; PR, partial response; PD, progression disease.

The G8 was developed as a tool to validate the need for GA in elderly cancer patients; it is known to be a prognostic factor of many cancer types (16, 27). A report of G8 as a prognostic factor in elderly patients with lung cancer and a prospective study of G8 as a predictor of adverse events in an elderly cohort of patients with lung cancer and malignant melanoma showed no significant difference in the increase in adverse events compared to the younger cohort (28). However, there was a significant increase in the risk of death and hospital admissions in patients with low G8 (26). In this study, there was a trend toward higher OS in the group with higher G8 levels, although the difference was not significant. Therefore, the G8 score is expected to be a potentially useful tool for determining prognosis in vulnerable patients with NSCLC receiving ICIs. Further large-cohort investigations are warranted for confirming the impact of the G8 score on the clinical benefit of pembrolizumab monotherapy in these cohorts.

This study had several limitations. First, the sample size was small even though this was a prospective study. Second, in the eligibility criteria, PS 0/1 included only those aged \geq 75 years, which makes it difficult to interpret the influence of PS status. Third, this was an observational study, and there was a bias in patient selection and assessment of treatment effect. Fourth, patients with diverse backgrounds, poor PS, and older age were included in the analysis.

In conclusion, our prospective study showed that pembrolizumab monotherapy as first-line treatment for patients with advanced NSCLC who had poor PS or were elderly was relatively effective and tolerable. However, further large-cohort investigations are needed to confirm our observations in patients with NSCLC, such as the emergence of irAEs and the impact of the high expression of tumor PD-L1.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the independent ethics committees of the Japanese Red Cross Kyoto Daiichi Hospital. The patients/ participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

SS, TdY, and KT contributed to the study conception and design. SS, KM, MT, HY, OH, YC, TkY, IH, TO, TT, NH and KT obtained the clinical data. Data were interpreted by SS, AY, TdY, and KT. The manuscript was prepared by SS, AY, and TdY. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

Conflict of interest

TY received commercial research grants from Pfizer, Ono Pharmaceutical, Chugai Pharmaceutical, Janssen Pharmaceutical K.K., and Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited., and honoraria for lecture fee from Eli Lilly. HY received research expenses from MSD, Delta-Fly Pharma, Novartis, Boehringer Ingelheim, and honoraria for lecture fee from Boehringer Ingelheim, Chugai pharmaceutical, BMS, Eli Lilly, Nippon Kayaku, Taiho pharmaceutical. KT received research grants from Chugai-Roche and Ono Pharmaceutical, and honoraria for lecture fee from AstraZeneca, Chugai-Roche, MSD-Merck, Eli Lilly, Boehringer-Ingelheim, and Daiichi-Sankyo.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fonc.2022.904644/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Miller KD, Goding Sauer A, Ortiz AP, Fedewa SA, Pinheiro PS, Tortolero-Luna G, et al. Cancer statistics for Hispanics/Latinos, 2018. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2018) 68:425–45. doi: 10.3322/caac.21494

2. Garon EB, Hellmann MD, Rizvi NA, Carcereny E, Leighl NB, Ahn MJ, et al. Five-year overall survival for patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer treated with pembrolizumab: results from the phase I KEYNOTE-001 study. *J Clin Oncol* (2019) 37:2518–27. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.00934

3. Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim DW, Felip E, Pérez-Gracia JL, Han JY, et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* (2016) 387:1540–50. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01281-7

4. Reck M, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, Hui R, Csőszi T, Fülöp A, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for PD-L1-Positive non-Small-Cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med (2016) 375:1823–33. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1606774

5. Mok TSK, Wu YL, Kudaba I, Kowalski DM, Cho BC, Turna HZ, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for previously untreated, PD-L1-expressing, locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-042): a randomised, open-label, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* (2019) 393:1819–30. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32409-7

6. Ettinger DS, Wood DE, Aisner DL, Akerley W, Bauman JR, Bharat A, et al. NCCN guidelines insights: Non-small cell lung cancer, version 2.2021. J Natl Compr Canc Netw (2021) 19:254–66. doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2021.0013

7. The Japan lung cancer society: guideline for diagnosis and treatment of lung cancer (2021). Available at: https://www.haigan.gr.jp/guideline/2021/1/2/210102070100.html (Accessed April 25,2022).

8. Gandhi L, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S, Esteban E, Felip E, De Angelis F, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* (2018) 378:2078–92. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1801005

9. Paz-Ares L, Luft A, Vicente D, Tafreshi A, Gümüş M, Mazières J, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy for squamous non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med (2018) 379:2040–51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1810865

10. Sehgal K, Gill RR, Widick P, Bindal P, McDonald DC, Shea M, et al. Association of performance status with survival in patients with advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer treated with pembrolizumab monotherapy. *JAMA Netw Open* (2021) 4:e2037120. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.37120

11. Facchinetti F, Mazzaschi G, Barbieri F, Passiglia F, Mazzoni F, Berardi R, et al. First-line pembrolizumab in advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients with poor performance status. *Eur J Cancer* (2020) 130:155–67. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2020.02.023

12. Middleton G, Brock K, Savage J, Mant R, Summers Y, Connibear J, et al. Pembrolizumab in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer of performance status 2 (PePS2): a single arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Respir Med* (2020) 8:895–904. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30033-3

13. Facchinetti F, Di Maio M, Perrone F, Tiseo M. First-line immunotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer patients with poor performance status: a systematic review and meta-analysis.. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* (2021) 10(6):2917–36. doi: 10.21037/tlcr-21-15

14. Puts MT, Hardt J, Monette J, Girre V, Springall E, Alibhai SM. Use of geriatric assessment for older adults in the oncology setting: a systematic review. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (2012) 104:1133–63. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djs285

15. Wildiers H, Heeren P, Puts M, Topinkova E, Janssen-Heijnen ML, Extermann M, et al. International society of geriatric oncology consensus on geriatric assessment in older patients with cancer. *J Clin Oncol* (2014) 32:2595–603. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2013.54.8347

16. Bellera CA, Rainfray M, Mathoulin-Pélissier S, Mertens C, Delva F, Fonck M, et al. Screening older cancer patients: first evaluation of the G-8 geriatric screening tool. *Ann Oncol* (2012) 23:2166–72. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdr587

17. Kenis C, Decoster L, Van Puyvelde K, De Grève J, Conings G, Milisen K, et al. Performance of two geriatric screening tools in older patients with cancer. *J Clin Oncol* (2014) 32:19–26. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2013.51.1345

18. Takahashi M, Takahashi M, Komine K, Yamada H, Kasahara Y, Chikamatsu S, et al. The G8 screening tool enhances prognostic value to ECOG performance status in elderly cancer patients: A retrospective, single institutional study. *PloS One* (2017) 12:e0179694. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0179694

19. Jespersen E, Winther SB, Minet LR, Möller S, Pfeiffer P. Frailty screening for predicting rapid functional decline, rapid progressive disease, and shorter overall survival in older patients with gastrointestinal cancer receiving palliative chemotherapy - a prospective, clinical study. *J Geriatr Oncol* (2021) 12:578–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jgo.2020.10.007

20. Nikolich-Žugich J. The twilight of immunity: emerging concepts in aging of the immune system. *Nat Immunol* (2018) 19:10–9. doi: 10.1038/s41590-017-0006-x

21. Perrotta F, Rocco D, Vitiello F, De Palma R, Guerra G, De Luca A, et al. Immune checkpoint blockade for advanced NSCLC: A new landscape for elderly patients. *Int J Mol Sci* (2019). doi: 10.3390/ijms20092258

22. Aguilar EJ, Ricciuti B, Gainor JF, Kehl KL, Kravets S, Dahlberg S, et al. Outcomes to first-line pembrolizumab in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer and very high PD-L1 expression. *Ann Oncol* (2019) 30:1653–9. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdz288

23. Mushti SL, Mulkey F, Sridhara R. Evaluation of overall response rate and progression-free survival as potential surrogate endpoints for overall survival in immunotherapy trials. *Clin Cancer Res* (2018) 24:2268–75. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-1902

24. Gridelli C, Peters S, Mok T, Forde PM, Reck M, Attili I, et al. First-line immunotherapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients with ECOG performance status 2: results of an international expert panel meeting by the Italian association of thoracic oncology. *ESMO Open: results an Int Expert Panel Meeting by Ital Assoc Thorac Oncol* (2022) 7:100355. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2021.100355

25. Mahmood SS, Fradley MG, Cohen JV, Nohria A, Reynolds KL, Heinzerling LM, et al. Myocarditis in patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *J Am Coll Cardiol* (2018) 71:1755–64. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.02.037

26. Gomes F, Lorigan P, Woolley S, Foden P, Burns K, Yorke J, et al. A prospective cohort study on the safety of checkpoint inhibitors in older cancer patients - the ELDERS study. *ESMO Open* (2021) 6:100042. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2020.100042

27. Nebhan CA, Cortellini A, Ma W, Ganta T, Song H, Ye F, et al. Clinical outcomes and toxic effects of single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors among patients aged 80 years or older with cancer: A multicenter international cohort study. *JAMA Oncol* (2021) 7:1856–61. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2021.4960

28. Decoster L, Van Puyvelde K, Mohile S, Wedding U, Basso U, Colloca G, et al. Screening tools for multidimensional health problems warranting a geriatric

assessment in older cancer patients: an update on SIOG recommendations†. *Ann Oncol* (2015) 26:288–300. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdu210