



# Systematic Review Molecular Targets for Biological Therapies of Severe Asthma: Focus on Benralizumab and Tezepelumab

Shih-Lung Cheng <sup>1,2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, Taipei 10042, Taiwan; shihlungcheng@gmail.com; Tel.: +886-2-89667000; Fax: +886-2-77380708
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Yuan Ze University, Taoyuan City 320315, Taiwan

Abstract: Asthma is a heterogeneous respiratory disease characterized by usually reversible bronchial obstruction, which is clinically expressed by different phenotypes driven by complex pathobiological mechanisms (endotypes). In recent years several molecular effectors and signaling pathways have emerged as suitable targets for biological therapies of severe asthma, refractory to standard treatments. Indeed, various therapeutic mono-clonal antibodies currently allow one to intercept at different levels the chain of pathogenic events leading to type 2 (T2) airway inflammation. Pro-allergic immunoglobulin E (IgE) is the first molecule against which an anti-asthma monoclonal antibody (omalizumab) was developed; today other targets are successfully being exploited by biological treatments for severe asthma. In particular, pro-eosinophilic interleukin 5 (IL-5) can be targeted by mepolizumab or reslizumab, whereas benralizumab is a selective blocker of IL-5 receptor, and IL-4 and IL-13 can be targeted by dupilumab. Besides these drugs, which are already available in medical practice, other biologics are under clinical development such as those targeting innate cytokines, including the alarmin thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP), which plays a key role in the pathogenesis of type 2 asthma. Therefore, ongoing and future biological therapies are significantly changing severe asthma management on a global level. These new therapeutic options make it possible to implement phenotype/endotype-specific treatments, which are delineating personalized approaches precisely addressing the individual traits of asthma pathobiology. The aim of the study is to review the immunopathology and treatment efficacy for severe asthma and focused on new biological agents with benralizumab (anti-IL-5) and tezepelumab (anti-TSLP).

Keywords: severe asthma; interleukin-5; thymic stromal lymphopoietin

# 1. Introduction

Based on GINA guidelines, severe asthma is a subset of difficult-to-treat asthma [1]. Difficult-to-treat asthma is uncontrolled asthma despite the following of GINA Step 4 or 5 treatment. Uncontrolled asthma includes one or both of the following: (a) poor symptom control, (b) at least 2 exacerbations requiring oral corticosteroids (OCS) annually, or at least 1 serious exacerbation requiring hospitalization annually.

Current biologics are mainly targeting T2-high severe asthma, which is characterized by increased level of type 2 inflammation in the airway [2]. It manifests clinically with a combination of peripheral eosinophilia, sputum eosinophilia, and/or elevated fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) [3].

These biologics target interleukin-5 (IL-5) or interleukin-5 receptor (IL-5R), and thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP). TSLP which is the upstream role in the asthma cascade, inhibiting its stimulating activity on dendric cells and innate lymphoid cells thus preventing the induction of type 2 cytokines (e.g., IL-5, IL-4, and IL-13) [4].

Benralizumab is a humanized, afucosylated, IgG1k isotype monoclonal antibody which specifically binds to interleukin-5 receptor alpha-directed cytolytic (IL-5R $\alpha$ ), which is



Citation: Cheng, S.-L. Molecular Targets for Biological Therapies of Severe Asthma: Focus on Benralizumab and Tezepelumab. *Life* 2021, *11*, 744. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/life11080744

Academic Editors: Laura Bergantini and Miriana d'Alessandro

Received: 30 June 2021 Accepted: 19 July 2021 Published: 26 July 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). expressed on eosinophils and basophils [5]. Benralizumab is uniquely engineered to recruit natural killer cells directly to its target, resulting in apoptosis via antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity, producing rapid and sustained complete depletion of eosinophils in blood and target tissues [6]. Its efficacy and safety have been confirmed in pivotal randomized clinical trials and long-term extension study (SIRROCO [7], CALIMA [8], BORA [9], and ZONDA [10]). Benralizumab 30 mg every 8 weeks (Q8W); first three doses every 4 weeks (Q4W) is indicated for the add-on-maintained treatment of patients with severe asthma aged 12 years and older, and with an eosinophilic phenotype. Benralizumab treatment enabled patients with severe, uncontrolled OCS-dependent asthma and baseline blood eosinophil counts  $\geq$ 150 cells/uL to achieve and maintain asthma control while reducing OCS dosages [10]. The ANDHI study increases confidence in the benralizumab mechanism of action for treating patients with severe eosinophilic asthma through further assessment of the onset and maintenance of clinical effects, benefits in health-related quality of life (HRQOL) measures, and the potential to treat symptoms of nasal polyposis for patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis [11]. Treatment with benralizumab for patients with severe eosinophilic asthma (BEC  $\geq$  150 cells per µL) significantly reduced the risk of asthma exacerbation, which was primarily driven by patients with efficacy associated with known markers of the eosinophilic phenotype.

Tezepelumab binds to TSLP, which is one of the key drivers of the asthmatic pathophysiology as it is produced by the airway epithelium in response to inhaled allergens and proinflammatory stressors [12]. Because of its upstream activity early in the inflammatory cascade, tezepelumab may have a role in patients with severe, uncontrolled asthma irrespective of patient phenotype or T2 biomarker status. In the phase 2b PATHWAY trial the annualized rate of asthma exacerbations was up to 71% lower with tezepelumab than with placebo among patients with severe, uncontrolled asthma [12]. Furthermore, exacerbations were reduced regardless of baseline levels of inflammatory biomarkers, including fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO), blood eosinophils, IgE, and allergic status [12–14].

The UK Severe Asthma Registry (UKSAR) study demonstrated even though 68.9% were prescribed biologic therapies including mepolizumab (50.3%), benralizumab (26.1%) and omalizumab (22.6%), 51.7% of the UKSAR remain poorly controlled. They continue to have a high exacerbation rate averaging four acute OCS courses/year, with an average ACQ6 of 2.9 at assessment, and on maintenance OCS. Treatment goals in asthma include symptom control and reducing risk of future exacerbations. However, approximately 3% to 5% of asthmatic patients have severe asthma where either symptoms persist or numerous exacerbation occur despite maximal treatment, an estimate that varies by country and may reach  $\geq$ 10% in the United States [15].

Systemic reviews have been carried out for severe asthma in omalizumab, mepolizumab, reslizumab, benralizumab, and dupilumab from 2017 to 2021 [3,16–19]; however, only one of them has been reviewed in both benralizumab and tezepelumab [19]. The study was conducted in 2020, which did not include phase 3 NAVIGATOR study for tezepelumab [20], or phase 3b ANDHI study for benralizumab [11]. An Italian cross-sectional study analyzed real-life descriptions of severe refractory population from June 2017 to June 2019 [21]. Between patients in therapy with omalizuamb, six switched to bronchial thermoplasty, two shifted to mepolizumab, and two to benralizumab [21]. An Australia case report documenting a 68-year-old man revealed refractory airway eosinophilia after treatment with mepolizumab, but he then responded to benralizumab [22]. Another Italian real world study was carried out from January 2019 to November 2019. Forty-two benralizumab patients showed improved asthma control and lung function and a reduced OCS use among those previously treated with either omalizumab (n = 15) or mepolizumab (n = 5) or both omalizumab and mepolizumab (n = 2) [23]. According to a real-world study, physicians may prescribe benralizumab while omalizumab or mepolizumab are not adequately respond in clinical practice. Therefore, this systemic review is focused on benralizumab and tezepelumab.

The objective of this study was to survey and elucidate the efficacy of benralizumab and tezepelumab using literature reviews on the assessment of symptom control, emergency department visits (severe acute exacerbations), lung function, and safety in those with severe uncontrolled asthma.

## 2. Materials and Methods:

The study followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systemic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines.

## 2.1. Search Strategy

The systemic review is performed through independent searches of the MEDLINE, and the Cochrane Library database using free text search terms from inception to April 2021 and evaluated the title and abstract for eligibility. By performing a systemic literature review, 32 studies were identified. Among these, 30 studies were identified based on patients, interventions comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOs) criteria.

- (A) Population: severe asthma.
- (B) Intervention: tezepelumab or benralizumab for treatment of severe asthma
- (C) Comparisons: not specific.
- (D) Outcomes: symptom control, emergency department visits, lung function, and safety.
- (E) Study design: clinical study, clinical trial, clinical trial, phase I, clinical trial, phase II, clinical trial, phase III, clinical trial, phase IV, controlled clinical trial, multicenter study, observational study, pragmatic clinical trial, and randomized controlled trial.

# 2.2. Study Selection

Studies that met following criteria were excluded:

- 1. Review articles, case reports, and conference abstracts; and
- 2. Articles where the full texts were unavailable.

#### 2.3. Data Extraction

The reviewer read the full text, supplementary, and appendix and extracted the data independently and meticulously. The following descriptive data were obtained from all included studies: first author, publication year, study phase, study locations, patient characteristics, methods, duration, and intervention. The reviewer checked the accuracy of data extraction.

## 2.4. Summary Measures and Synthesis Results

Main results are described narratively and tabulated as a summary of findings. Binary outcomes were presented at risk ratio (RR) and confidence interval, whereas continuous outcomes were presented at mean difference (MD) and 95% CI. For each outcome, the change from baseline to the end of treatment vs. placebo were assessed (Tables S6–S11).

## 3. Results and Discussions

# 3.1. Study Selection

A total of 382 publications were identified from PubMed, while using the filters stated in study design, 32 studies remained. The search strategy is in Table S2, with search date on 10 April 2021. Of these, three were excluded for study populations. One study was added as it was published on May 13. There are 12 clinical trials and four observational studies (Table S3), 14 post-analysis (Table S4). The total 30 studies are listed in Table S5.

## Baseline Demographics and Clinical Characteristics

Baseline demographics are presented in Table S5. Patient characteristics, such as age, race, gender, and BMI were included. Clinical characteristics, such as forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) on dosing date, Asthma control questionnaire 6 (ACQ-6), Asthma Quality of Life questionnaire for persons 12 years of age or older (AQLQ+12 score) were included.

# 3.2. Severe Exacerbations

# 3.2.1. Benralizumab

The Cochrane review which included Bleeker 2016, Castro 2014, and Fitzgerald 2016 demonstrated benralizumab decreased annual exacerbation rates by 38% (rate ratio = 0.62, 95% confidence interval 0.55 to 0.70) vs. placebo (n = 2456,  $I^2 = 0.0\%$ ) [16]. Based on the meta-analysis, eosinophilic group decreased exacerbations by 41% (rate ratio = 0.59, 95% CI 0.51 to 0.68, which is larger than non-eosinophilic group (rate ratio 0.69, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.85) without statistical difference (p = 0.22,  $I^2 = 33.9\%$ ). On the other hand, for patients with blood eosinophil counts <150 cells/ $\mu$ L, Goldman et al. showed exacerbations reduction is not statistically significant (p = 0.287 in SIROCCO, p = 0.105 in CALIMA) [24]. Other than eosinophilic subgroups, Ohta demonstrated benralizumab decreased exacerbations by 83% (Q8W, rate ratio = 0.17, 95% CI 0.05 to 0.60) in Japanese patients [25]. In eosinophilic asthma (≥300 cells/lL) patients, Chipps et al. [26] and Jackson et al. [27] demonstrated subgroups in atopic status and IgE. For patients who met the atopy and IgE criteria, benralizumab Q8W decreased exacerbation by 46% vs. placebo [26]. Jackson et al. showed across baseline serum IgE concentration quartiles, benralizumab Q8W resulted in 44% to 53% decreases in exacerbation rates ( $p \le 0.0057$ ) [27]. Chipps indicated benralizumab decreased exacerbations significantly regardless of fixed airflow obstructions (FAO) status [28]. DuBuske et al. demonstrated rate reductions in seasonal marginal annual exacerbation rates were 37 to 50% versus placebo at each season (p < 0.001) [29]. (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Summary of annual exacerbation rate—banralizumab.

Trial		Treatment Arm		Subjects	Relative Reduction vs. Placebo	<i>p</i> Value vs. Placebo
			Placebo	267	-	-
Bleecker et al., 2016			Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	275	0.55 (0.42 to 0.71) $^1$	< 0.0001
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	267	0.49 (0.37 to 0.64) $^1$	< 0.0001
	Fosing	mhilic	Placebo	248	-	-
FitzGerald et al., 2016	Eosnophile		Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	241	0.64 (0.49 to 0.85)	0.0018
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	239	0.72 (0.54 to 0.95)	0.0188
C + + 1 2014			Placebo	83		
Castro et al., 2014			Benralizumab 20 mg	70	0.57 (0.42–0.77) <sup>2</sup>	0.015
Subtotal (95% CI)					0.59 (0.51–0.68)	NR
			Placebo	140	-	-
Bleecker et al., 2016			Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	124	0.70 (0.50 to 1.00) $^1$	0.0471
	Non-Eosinophilic		Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	131	0.83 (0.59 to 1.16) <sup>1</sup>	0.2685
			Placebo	122	-	-
FitzGerald et al., 2016			Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	116	0.64 (0.45 to 0.92)	0.015
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	125	0.60 (0.42 to 0.86)	0.0048
Subtotal(95% CI)					0.69 (0.56–0.85)	NR
Total (95% CI)					0.62 (0.55–0.70)	NR
	blood	[SIROCCO]	Placebo	306	-	-
	eosinophils		Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	325	0.58 (0.46 to 0.74)	< 0.001
	$\geq 150$ cells	[CALIMA]	Placebo	315	-	-
Goldman et al., 2017			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	300	0.64 (0.50 to 0.81)	< 0.001
,		[SIROCCO]	Placebo	74	-	-
	blood eosinophils <		Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	48	0.76 (0.45 to 1.27)	0.287
	150 cells per uL	[CALIMA]	Placebo	40	-	-
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	48	0.65 (0.39 to 1.09)	0.105

Trial		Treatment Arm			Relative Reduction vs. Placebo	<i>p</i> Value vs. Placebo
	[CALIMA Japan]	High-dosage ICS	Placebo	16	-	-
Ohta et al., 2018	plus LABA wit	h baseline blood	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	15	0.34 (0.11 to 0.99)	
	eosinophils $\geq$	300 cells per uL	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	15	0.17 (0.05 to 0.60)	
			Placebo	179	-	-
	Met atop 30–700 kI	y and IgE I/L criteria	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	153	0.50 (0.36 to 0.69)	< 0.0001
	00 700 RC	, E cincina	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	185	0.54 (0.39 to 0.74)	0.0002
			Placebo	336		
	Did not meet 30–700 kT	atopy and IgE	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	363	0.64 (0.51 to 0.81)	0.0002
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	321	0.61 (0.47 to 0.78)	< 0.0001
	IgE high (≥150 kU/L)		Placebo	304		
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	304	0.64 (0.50 to 0.82)	0.0004
Chipps et al 2018			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	297	0.58 (0.45 to 0.75)	< 0.0001
emppo et ui., 2010			Placebo	206		
	IgE low (<	<150 kU/L)	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	207	0.54 (0.40 to 0.73)	< 0.0001
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	199	0.57 (0.41 to 0.78)	0.0004
			Placebo	316		
	With	atopy	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	307	0.64 (0.50 to 0.82)	0.0004
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	318	0.60 (0.47 to 0.77)	< 0.0001
			Placebo	193		
	Withou	ıt atopy	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	201	0.52 (0.39 to 0.71)	< 0.0001
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	181	0.54 (0.39 to 0.74)	0.0002
			Placebo	75	-	-
		<62.0	Benralizumab Q8W	73	0.51 (0.31-0.84)	0.0079
	Serum IgE concentration (kU/L)		Placebo	112	-	-
Jackson et al. 2020		$\geq$ 62.0 to <176.2	Benralizumab Q8W	109	0.47 (0.31-0.72)	0.0004
Jackson et al., 2020		>176.2	Placebo	125	-	-
		to <453.4	Benralizumab Q8W	106	0.52 (0.35–0.76)	0.0008
		~453.4	Placebo	129	-	-
		>455.4	Benralizumab Q8W	128	0.56 (0.37-0.84)	0.0057
			Placebo	308	-	-
	FAG	O+ <sup>3</sup>	Benralizumab Q8W	313	0.56 (0.44-0.71)	< 0.0001
Chipps et al., 2020			Placebo	193	-	-
	FA	.0–	Benralizumab Q8W	176	0.58 (0.41-0.83)	0.003
			Benralizumab Q4W	505	0.63 (0.49–0.81)	< 0.001
	Wi	nter	Benralizumab Q8W	495	0.60 (0.46–0.78)	< 0.001
			Placebo	513	-	-
			Benralizumab Q4W	505	0.60 (0.44–0.81)	< 0.001
	Spi	ring	Benralizumab Q8W	490	0.50 (0.36–0.69)	< 0.001
DuPuska at al 2019	1	0	Placebo	504	-	-
Dubuske et al., 2016			Benralizumab Q4W	508	0.54 (0.39–0.76)	< 0.001
	Sun	nmer	 Benralizumab O8W	487	0.55 (0.39–0.78)	< 0.001
	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		 Placebo	500	-	-
			Benralizumab O4W	506	0.57 (0.44–0.74)	< 0.001
	F	all	Benralizumab O8W	493	0.54 (0.42–0.71)	< 0.001
	1 811		 Placebo	510	-	-

# Table 1. Cont.

<sup>1</sup> Rate ratio vs. placebo; <sup>2</sup> 80% Confidence Interval; <sup>3</sup> FAO+ and FAO– are defined as <70% or  $\geq$ 70% of a ratio (\* 100) of postbronchodilator FEV<sub>1</sub> to FVC, respectively, at baseline, estimates calculated via a repeated measures model, with adjustment for study code, treatment, baseline value, region, OCS use at time of randomization, visit, and visit \* treatment.

## 3.2.2. Tezepelumab

The double-blind, randomized, 52-week, Phase IIb PATHWAY study assessed the efficacy and safety of three dose levels of tezepelumab administered SC versus placebo in patients with uncontrolled asthma despite treatment with medium- to high-dose ICS and a LABA [12]. Treatment with tezepelumab resulted in significant reductions in the primary endpoint of annual asthma exacerbation rate (AAER) at Week 52 (62–71%, depending on the dose). A post hoc analysis of the pooled tezepelumab cohort showed AAER reductions versus placebo ranging from 55% to 83%. These reductions occurred irrespective of baseline levels of several type 2 inflammation biomarkers, including FeNO, blood eosinophils, IL 5, IL-13, and IgE [30]. NAVIGATOR is a Phase III, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled study designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of regular SC administration of tezepelumab 210 mg Q4W for 52 weeks in adult and adolescent patients with severe, uncontrolled asthma [20]. Tezepelumab reduced the AAER over 52 weeks versus placebo by 56% (RR, 0.44; 95% CI, 0.37–0.53) in the overall study population and by 41% (RR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.46–0.75) in patients with a baseline blood eosinophil count <300 cells/ $\mu$ L (p < 0.001 for both). (Table 2).

# 3.3. Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 s

## 3.3.1. Benralizumab

The Cochrane review which included Bleeker 2016, Castro 2014, and Fitzgerald 2016 demonstrated benralizumab increased forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) by 0.10 L (95% confidence interval 0.05 to 0.14) vs. placebo (n = 2355,  $I^2 = 17\%$ ). Subgroup analysis indicated the differences between eosinophilic group (MD = 0.13 L, 95% CI 0.08 to 0.19) and non-eosinophilic group (0.03 L, 95% CI –0.03 to 0.10.) However, when Goldman et al. applied an eosinophil cutoff of  $\geq 150$  cells/µL [24], the group of blood eosinophil counts  $\geq 150$  demonstrated statistically significant in both CALIMA (MD = 0.116 L, 95% CI 0.041 to 0.191, p = 0.0002) [8] and SIROCCO [7] studies (MD = 0.163 L, 95% CI, 0.087 to 0.239, p < 0.001). (Other than eosinophilic subgroups, ethnicity, atopic status, IgE, and fixed airflow obstructions (FAO) were analyzed in following studies. Ohta et al. indicated benralizumab increased FEV1 by 0.334 L (Q4W; 95% CI 0.020–0.647) and 0.198 L (q8w; 95% CI –0.118 to 0.514) in Japanese patients [25]. Chipps demonstrated benralizumab increased FEV1 significantly regardless of serum IgE concentrations and atopic status [26]. Differences has shown between FAO+ group and FAO– group in Chipps et al. study (0.159 L, 95% CI 0.082 to 0.236, p < 0.0001) vs. 0.103 L, 95% CI, -0.008 to 0.215, p = 0.0218) [28]. (Table 3).

Table 2. Summary of Annual Exacerbation rate-tezepelumab.

Trial	Rea	tment Arm	Subjects	Relative Reduction vs. Placebo	<i>p</i> Value vs. Placebo
		Placebo	138	-	-
	Total	Tezepelumab 70 mg q4w	138	0.62 (0.42–0.75)	< 0.001
	10(a)	Tezepelumab 210 mg q4w	137	0.71 (0.54–0.82)	< 0.001
		Tezepelumab 280 mg q2w	137	0.66 (0.47-0.79)	< 0.001
	>250 Eosinophils per	Placebo	78	-	-
Corren et al 2017		Tezepelumab 70 mg q4w	80	0.65 (0.30-0.82)	0.003
Correct et al., 2017	uL	Tezepelumab 210 mg q4w	76	0.65 (0.27–0.83)	0.005
	_	Tezepelumab 280 mg q2w	76	0.72 (0.40-0.87)	<0.001 <0.001 - 0.003 0.005 0.001 - 0.022
		Placebo	60	-	-
	<250 Eosinophils per	Tezepelumab 70 mg q4w	58	0.60 (0.12–0.81)	0.022
	uL	Tezepelumab 210 mg q4w	61	0.79 (0.48–0.92)	< 0.001
	-	Tezepelumab 280 mg q2w	61	0.58 (0.11-0.80)	0.024

Trial	Reat	ment Arm	Subjects	Relative Reduction vs. Placebo	<i>p</i> Value vs. Placebo
	NID :	Placebo	18	-	
Emson at $a1, 2020$	INI + —	Tezepelumab	23	0.25 (0.07–0.85)	
Emson et al., 2020	NID	Placebo	117	-	
	111- —	Tezepelumab	112	0.27 (0.14–0.53)	
	>300 Eosinophils per	Placebo	222	-	
	uL	Tezepelumab	219	0.30 (0.22–0.40)	
	<300 Eosinophils per	Placebo	309	-	
	uL	Tezepelumab	309	0.59 (0.46-0.75)	
	$\geq$ 150 Eosinophils per	Placebo	393	-	
Menzies-Gow et al., 2021	uL	Tezepelumab	390	0.39 (0.32–0.49)	
	<150 Eosinophils per	Placebo	138	-	
	uL	Tezepelumab	138	0.61 (0.42–0.88)	
	$E_{0}NO > 25$	Placebo	307	-	
	$reno \ge 25$ =	Tezepelumab	309	0.32 (0.25–0.42)	
	$F_{0}NO < 25$	Placebo	220	-	
	reno < 25 –	Tezepelumab	213	0.68 (0.51-0.92)	

# Table 2. Cont.

# **Table 3.** Summary of $FEV_1$ —benralizumab.

Trial		Treatment Arms		Ν	Difference vs. Placebo	Difference vs. Placebo (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value
			Placebo	261	-	-	-
Bleecker et al., 2016			Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	271	0.106	0.016 to 0.196	0.0215
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	264	0.159	0.068 to 0.249	0.0006
Eosinoph		Eosinophilic Placebo		244	-	-	-
FitzGerald et al., 2016			Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	238	0.125	0.037 to 0.213	0.0054
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	238	0.116	0.028 to 0.204	0.0102
Castro et al., 2014			Benralizumab 20 mg	48	0.23	0.11 to 0.36	0.019
Subtotal (95% CI)					0.13	0.08 to 0.19	NR
Bleecker et al., 2016			Placebo Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	138 120	-0.025	-0.134 to 0.083	0.6438
,			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	129	0.102	0.003 to 0.208	0.568
	Non-eosinophilic -		Placebo	116	-	-	-
FitzGerald et al., 2016			Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	114	0.064	-0.049 to 0.176	0.2676
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	121	-0.015	-0.127 to 0.096	0.7863
Subtotal (95% CI)					0.03	-0.03 to 0.10	NR
Total(95% CI)					0.10	0.05 to 0.14	NR
		[SIROCCO]	Placebo	300	-	-	-
	blood eosinophils >		Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	323	0.163	0.087 to 0.239	< 0.001
	150 cells per uL	[CALIMA]	Placebo	308	-	-	-
Goldman et al 2017		[	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	298	0.116	0.041 to 0.191	0.002
Goldman et al., 2017		[SIROCCO]	Placebo	72	-	-	-
	blood eosinophils<150	[00.000]	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	46	0.140	-0.045 to $0.325$	0.136
	cells per uL	[CALIMA]	Placebo	37	-	-	-
		[]	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	46	0.131	-0.306 to 0.045	0.142

Trial	Treatment Arms		Ν	Difference vs. Placebo	Difference vs. Placebo (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value
	[CALIMA Japan] High-docago ICS	Placebo	16	-	-	-
Ohta et al., 2018	plus LABA with baseline blood	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	15	0.334	0.020 to 0.647	
	eosinophils $\geq$ 300 cells per uL	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	15	0.198	-0.118 to 0.514	
		Placebo	178	-	-	-
	Met atopy and IgE 30–700 kU/L criteria	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	149	0.129	0.017 to 0.241	0.0244
-		Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	184	0.125	0.018 to 0.232	0.0218
		Placebo	327	-	-	-
	Did not meet atopy and IgE 30–700 kU/L criteria	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	360	0.114	0.040 to 0.187	0.0024
		Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	318	0.152	0.076 to 0.228	< 0.0001
		Placebo 301		-	-	-
	IgE high (≥150 kU/L)	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	299	0.120	0.038 to 0.202	0.0042
Chippe et al. 2018		Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	296	0.123	0.041 to 0.205	0.0034
Chipps et al., 2010		Placebo	200	-	-	-
	IgE low (<150 kU/L)	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	205	0.098	0.004 to 0.191	0.0405
		Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	197	0.138	0.044 to 0.233	0.0041
		Placebo	314	-	-	-
	With atopy	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	303	0.103	0.022 to 0.184	0.0124
		Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	316	0.114	0.033 to 0.194	0.0056
		Placebo	186	-	-	-
	Without atopy	Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	198	0.148	0.053 to 0.242	0.0021
		Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	180	0.181	0.185 to 0.278	0.0002
	FAG	Placebo	304	-	-	-
Chipps et al. 2020	FAO+	Benralizumab q8w	312	0.159	0.082 to 0.236	<0.0001
Chipps et un, 2020	F4.0	Placebo	190	-	-	-
	FAU-	Benralizumab q8w	175	0.103	-0.008 to 0.215	0.0699

#### Table 3. Cont.

# 3.3.2. Tezepelumab

Nominally significant improvements in prebronchodilator FEV1 versus placebo were observed in all tezepelumab groups from Week 4 through to the end of the study (120–150 mL at Week 52) [12]. At Week 52, differences in improvements from baseline in key secondary endpoints versus placebo in the overall population were: Prebronchodilator FEV1: 130 mL (95% CI, 80–180 mL; p < 0.001) [20], Improvements in prebronchodilator FEV1 versus placebo were observed at 2 weeks (first post baseline assessment) and were sustained throughout the treatment period. (Table 4).

# 3.4. Asthma Control and Patient-Reported Outcomes Asthma Control Questionnaire

# 3.4.1. Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire Benralizumab

Widely used patient-reported outcomes (PROs) in asthma treatments are the Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ) [31] and the Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) [32]. When using ACQ instrument, the Cochrane review which included Bleeker 2016, Castro 2014, and Fitzgerald 2016 demonstrated benralizumab increased HRQoL by -0.20 (95% CI -0.29 to -0.11.) vs. placebo in both eosinophilic and non-eosinophilic participants [16]. Moreover, Goldman et al. demonstrated for patients with blood eosinophil counts  $\geq 150$  cells/µL, decreases in ACQ-6 scores in comparison with placebo were observed in both the SIROCCO (-0.15; 95% CI -0.31 to 0.02; p = 0.084) and CALIMA studies (-0.22; 95% CI -0.39 to -0.06; p = 0.008.) However, when using AQLQ instrument, the Cochrane review which included Bleeker 2016, Castro 2014, and Fitzgerald 2016 only demonstrated the increase in eosinophilic participants (MD = 0.23; 95% CI 0.11 to 0.35) vs. placebo [16]. For patients with blood eosinophil counts <150 cells/µL, improvements in AQLQ(S)+12

and ACQ-6 scores were observed only in the SIROCCO study (p = 0.056) [24]. Chipps et al. evaluated fixed airflow obstruction (FAO) influence on benralizumab treatment response. The prevalence was 63% (935/1493) for FAO+. ACQ-6 score was numerically greater for FAO+ vs. FAO- patients (MD = -0.33 vs. -0.18), so as AQLQ(S)+12 score (MD = 0.33 vs. 0.17) [28]. Chipps demonstrated benralizumab increased HRQoL regardless of serum IgE concentrations and atopic status [26]. (Table 5).

Trial	al Treatment Arms			Difference vs. Placebo	Difference vs. Placebo (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value
		Placebo	131	-		
		Low-dose Tezepelumab	130	0.12	0.02 to 0.22	0.015
	Iotal	Medium-dose Tezepelumab	121	0.13	0.03 to 0.23	0.009
		High-dose Tezepelumab	116	0.15	0.05 to 0.25	0.002
	≥250 Eosinophils per uL	Placebo	76	-	-	-
		Tezepelumab 70 mg q4w	77	0.16	0.03 to 0.29	0.014
Corren et al., 2017		Tezepelumab 210 mg q4w	66	0.17	0.04 to 0.3	0.013
		Tezepelumab 280 mg q2w	63	0.21	0.07 to 0.34	0.003
		Placebo	55	-	-	-
	<250 Eosinophils	Tezepelumab 70 mg q4w	53	0.04	-0.11 to 0.19	0.580
	per uL	Tezepelumab 210 mg q4w	55	0.08	-0.07 to 0.23	0.289
		Tezepelumab 280 mg q2w	53	0.08	-0.07 to 0.23	0.275
Montice Co	w. at al. 2021	Placebo	531	-	-	-
Menzies-Gow et al., 2021		Tezepelumab	528	0.13	0.08 to 0.18	p < 0.001

Table 4. Summary of FEV1—tezepelumab.

Table 5. Summary of ACQ-6 score, and AQLQ(S)+12 score-benralizumab.

				ACQ-6 Score			AQLQ (S) +12 Score	
Trial		Treatment Arm	N	Difference vs. Placebo	<i>p-</i> Value	Ν	Difference vs. Placebo	<i>p-</i> Value
D1 1		Placebo	267	-	-	254	-	-
et al., 2016		Benralizumab q4w Benralizumab q8w	274 263	-0.15(-0.34 to 0.04) -0.29(-0.48 to -0.10)	0.1107 0.0028	261 252	0.18 (-0.02 to 0.37) 0.3 (0.10 to 0.50)	0.0811 0.0036
	Fosinonhilic	Placebo	247	-	-	240	-	-
FitzGerald et al., 2016	al., 2016	Benralizumab Q4W	241	-0.19(-0.38 to -0.01)	0.0425	233	0.16(-0.04 to 0.37)	0.1194
	BenralizumabQ8W	239	-0.25(-0.44 to -0.07)	0.0082	230	0.24(0.04 to 0.45)	0.1194	
Castro et al., 2014		Benralizumab 20 mg	35	-0.44(-0.75 to -0.12)	0.079	34	0.44(0.06 to 0.81)	0.134
Subtotal (95% CI)				-0.23(-0.34 to-0.12)	NR		0.23 (0.11 to 0.35)	NR
D1 1		Placebo	138	-	-			
Bleecker et al., 2016		Benralizumab q4w	124	0 (-0.27 to 0.27)	0.9903			
	NT	Benralizumab q8w	130	-0.22 (-0.48 to -0.05)	0.1066		-	
E: C 11	Non-eosinophilic	Placebo	122	-	-			
et al., 2016		Benralizumab Q4W	116	-0.24 (-0.51 to 0.03)	0.0776			
		Benralizumab Q8W	125	-0.10 (-0.37 to -0.16)	0.4488			
Subtotal (95% CI)				-014 (-0.30 to 0.02)	NR			
Total (95% CI)				-0.20 (-0.29 to -0.11)	NR			

		[SIROCCO]	Placebo	305	-	-	294	-	-
	blood eosinophils>150	[onto cco]	Benralizumab Q8W	321	-0.15 (-0.31 to 0.02)	0.084	307	0.19 (0.01 to 0.37)	0.0036
	cells per uL	[CALIMA]	Placebo	314	-	-	305	-	-
Goldman et al., 2017 — blood eosinophils<150 cells per uL	[endinin]	Benralizumab Q8W	300	-0.22 (-0.39 to -0.06)	0.0008	292	0.2 (0.02 to 0.38)	0.029	
		[SIROCCO]	Placebo	73			70		
	blood eosinophils<150	[0	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	47	-0.7 (-1.15 to -0.25)	0.0003	46	0.46 (-0.01 to 0.94)	0.056
	cells per uL	[CALIMA]	Placebo	40	-	-	39	-	-
		[]	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	48	-0.07 (-0.56 to 0.43)	0.783	46	-0.01 (-0.48 to 0.47)	0.972
	EAO		Placebo	308	-	-	299	-	-
Chipps	FAO+	Benralizumab q8w		311	-0.33(-0.49 to -0.17)	< 0.0001	300	0.33 (0.15 to 0.51)	0.0003
et al., 2020	FAO_		Placebo	192	-	-	181	-	-
	TAO-	Benralizumab q8w		175	-0.18(-0.40 to 0.04)	0.1096	166	0.17(-0.08 to 0.41)	0.1894
	Met atopy and		Placebo	179	-	-	176	-	-
	IgE 30–700 kU/L	Benra	ılizumab Q4W	152	-0.33(-0.55 to -0.11)	0.0038	147	0.28(0.04 to 0.52)	0.0207
Chipps	criteria	Benra	ılizumab Q8W	185	-0.34(-0.55 to -0.13)	0.0017	176	0.27(0.04 to 0.50)	0.0193
et al., 2018	Did not meet		Placebo	335	-	-	318	-	-
	30–700 kU/L	Benra	ılizumab Q4W	363	-0.12(-0.28 to 0.03)	0.1111	347	0.11(-0.06 to 0.27)	0.2057
criteria		Benra	lizumab O8W	317	-0.26(-0.41  to  -0.10)	0.0016	306	0.27(0.10 to 0.44)	0.0022

Table 5. Cont.

# Tezepelumab

Patient-reported outcomes (PROs) were evaluated in Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ) [31] and the Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) [32] instruments in Menzies-Gow et al. study for tezepelumab. At week 52, improvements were greater with tezepelumab than with placebo with respect to the scores on the ACQ-6 (MD = -0.33; 95% CI, -0.46 to -0.20; p < 0.001), AQLQ (MD = 0.34; 95% CI, 0.20 to 0.47; p < 0.001) [20]. (Table 6).

Table 6. Summary of ACQ-6 score, and AQLQ(S)+12 score-tezepelumab.

				ACQ-6 Score		AQLQ (S) +12 Score		
Trial		Treatment Arm	N	Difference vs. Placebo	<i>p</i> -Value	Ν	Difference vs. Placebo	<i>p</i> -Value
Monzios Co	ur of al	Placebo	528	-	-	254	-	-
Wenzies-Go	w et al.	Tezepelumab	531	-0.33 (-0.46 to 0.20)	< 0.001	261	0.34 (0.20 to 0.47)	< 0.001
		Placebo	53	-		47	-	
		Low-dose Tezepelumab	52	-0.26 (-0.52 to 0.01)	0.059	51	0.14 (-0.13 to 0.42)	0.309
	Total	Medium-Dose Tezepelumab	44	-0.29 (-0.56 to -0.01)	0.039	41	0.2 (-0.09 to 0.48)	0.185
		High-dose Tezepelumab	49	-0.31 (-0.58 to -0.04)	0.024	48	0.34 (0.06 to 0.63)	0.017
		Placebo	68	-		62	-	
	≥250	Tezepelumab 70 mg q4w	70	-0.19 (-0.49 to 0.11)	0.207	69	0.15 (-0.19 to 0.48)	0.383
Corren et al., 2017	Eosinophils per uL	Tezepelumab 210 mg q4w	60	-0.48 (-0.79 to -0.17)	0.002	54	0.41 (0.06 to 0.76)	0.022
	P == ==	Tezepelumab 280 mg q2w	55	-0.27 (-0.58 to -0.05)	0.094	54	0.27 (-0.08 to 0.61)	0.134
		Placebo	44	-		43	-	
	<250 Eosinophils per uL	Tezepelumab 70 mg q4w	46	-0.19 (-0.53 to 0.14)	0.261	41	0.09 (-0.25 to 0.43)	0.610
		Tezepelumab 210 mg q4w	50	-0.22 (-0.56 to -0.11)	0.186	43	0.24 (-0.10 to 0.58)	0.173
		Tezepelumab 280 mg q2w	47	-0.36 (-0.70 to -0.02)	0.036	45	0.49 (0.15 to 0.83)	0.004

# 3.4.2. Emergency Room Visits/Unscheduled Physician Visits Benralizumab

The Cochrane review which included Bleeker 2016, and Fitzgerald 2016 indicated in eosinophilic participants, benralizumab had fewer exacerbations requiring department treatment or admission by 0.68 (95% CI 0.47 to 0.98) [16]. The reduction rate was statistically significant in Bleeker et al. study [7], but not in FitzGerald et al. study [8]. Chipps et al. demonstrated annual AER reductions associated with emergency department visits or hospitalizations were greater for FAO+ vs. FAO– patients (rate ratio [95% CI] = 0.55 [0.33–0.91] and 0.70 [0.33–1.48], respectively) [28]. (Table 7).

Trial		Treatment Ar	m	Subjects	Relative Reduction vs. Placebo	<i>p</i> Value vs. Placebo
			Placebo	267	-	-
Bleecker et al., 2016			Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	275	0.61 (0.33 to 1.13)	< 0.0001
	Eiu - ul	- 11: -	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	267	0.37 (0.17 to 0.79)	< 0.0001
	Eosinopi	nilic	Placebo	248	-	-
FitzGerald et al., 2016	-		Benralizumab 30 mg Q4W	241	0.93 (0.41 to 2.09)	0.0018
			Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	239	1.23 (0.55 to 2.74)	0.0188
Total (95% CI)					0.68 (0.47-0.98)	NR
Chines at al. 2020	FAO+		Placebo	308		
			Benralizumab Q8W	313	0.55 (0.33-0.91)	0.0195
Chipps et al., 2020	FAO-		Placebo	193		
			Benralizumab Q8W	176	0.70 (0.33-1.48)	0.3514
		[SIROCCO]	Placebo	306		
	baseline blood	[SIKUCCU]	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	325	0.54 (0.32-0.90)	0.018
	cells per uL		Placebo	315		
Goldman et al. 2017		[C/ LLIVII I]	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	300	NC	NC
Goldman et ul., 2017		[SIBOCCO]	Placebo	74		
	baseline blood	[SIKOCCO]	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	48	1.92 (0.72–5.14)	0.192
	cells per uL	[CALD(A)	Placebo	40		
	-	[CALIMA]	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W	48	NC	NC

#### Table 7. Summary of ED visits/hospitalization—benralizumab.

## Tezepelumab

Both the Corren et al., and Menzies-Gow et al. studies showed the reduction rates in hospitalizations and emergency department visits [13,20]. Tezepelumab 70 mg Q4W led to a relative rate reduction in asthma exacerbations that required hospitalizations of up to 73% and all-cause ED of up to 56% compared with placebo [13]. Menzies-Gow et al. demonstrated fewer rate of exacerbations that were associated with hospitalization or an emergency in tezepelumab (rate ratio, 0.21; 95% CI, 0.12 to 0.37) vs. placebo over a period of 52 weeks [20]. Among patients admitted to the hospital or the ED, those treated with tezepelumab reported fewer mean days in the hospital and ED compared with those who received placebo (hospital: 10 days vs. 23 days; ED: 1.4 days vs. 3.6 days). (Table 8).

## 3.5. Safety

## 3.5.1. Benralizumab

The most common serious adverse events associated with benralizumab were worsening asthma (3–4%), pneumonia (<1% to 1%), and pneumonia caused by bacterial infection (0–1%). The percentages of patients who had any on-treatment adverse event, any serious adverse event, or any adverse event leading to treatment discontinuation during BORA were similar between patients originally assigned benralizumab and those originally assigned placebo and between benralizumab treatment regimens. The percentage of patients who had any adverse event was similar between SIROCCO or CALIMA (71–75%; benralizumab group only) and BORA (65–71%), as was the percentage of patients who had an adverse event that led to treatment discontinuation (2% in SIROCCO and CALIMA vs. 2–3% in BORA). Goldman et al. found the overall adverse events frequency was similar between treatment groups and eosinophil count cohorts [24]. Busse et al. assessed the long-term safety and efficacy of benralizumab between 19 November 2014 and 6 July 2016, [9] The most common adverse events in all groups were viral upper respiratory tract infection (14–16%) and worsening asthma (7–10%) [9].

Trial	Treatment Arm	Subjects	Rate Ratio vs. Placebo	<i>p</i> Value vs. Placebo
	Placebo	138		
Corren et al., 2020	Low-dose tezepelumab	138	0.44 (0.14–1.41)	-
	Medium-Dose tezepelumab	137	0.16 (0.04–0.69)	-
	High-dose tezepelumab	137	0.63 (0.22–1.81)	-
	Overall tezepelumab	412	0.40 (0.17–0.97)	-

Table 8. Summary of ED visits/hospitalization-tezepelumab.

# 3.5.2. Tezepelumab

Safety findings were similar between tezepelumab and placebo groups in both Corren et al. and Menzies-Gow et al. studies. Three serious adverse events occurred in Corren et al's study [12], two (pneumonia and stroke) occurred in the same patient using tezepelumab 70 mg Q4W, and one (the Guillain–Barre syndrome) using tezepelumab 210 mg Q4W. The discontinuation rates due to adverse events were 1.2% among patients receiving tezepelumab (five patients, including two in tezepelumab 210 mg Q4W, and three in tezepelumab 280 mg Q2W) and 0.7% in the placebo group (one patient). In Menzies-Gow et al's. study, the discontinuation rates was 2.1% in the tezepelumab group and 3.6% in the placebo group [20]. The most common adverse events were nasopharyngitis, upper respiratory tract infection, headache, and asthma (which was more frequently observed in the placebo group than in the tezepelumab group).

## 4. Conclusions

Benralizumab significantly reduced exacerbations, improved lung function, and increased patient report outcomes versus placebo. These clinical benefits were sustained long term (2 years). The annual exacerbation profile with benralizumab was similar to that with placebo in the 1-year pivotal studies. Long-term depletion of eosinophils with benralizumab was not associated with new safety risks after 2 years of exposure. Tezepelumab reduced annual exacerbations regardless of baseline levels of several type 2 inflammation biomarkers, including FeNO, blood eosinophils, IL 5, IL-13, and IgE. Lung function and health-related quality of life are both improved in tezepelumab among severe uncontrolled asthma patients, including those with low blood eosinophil counts.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/life11080744/s1, Table S1. Search strategy in PICOS; Table S2. Search strategy in PubMed (search date: 19 April 2021); Table S3. Overview of Studies; Table S4. Overview of post analysis; Table S5. Baseline Characteristics; Table S6 Summary of Annual Exacerbation rate; Table S7. Summary of FEV1; Table S8. Summary of Asthma symptoms score; Table S9. Summary of ACQ-6 score, and AQLQ(S)+12 score; Table S10. Summary of ED visits/hospitalization; Table S11. Summary of Observational Studies.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article or supplementary material.

Acknowledgments: This study was supported by grants from the Far Eastern Memorial Hospital (numbers: FEMH-2019-C-028 and FEMH-2020-C-026).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Definition
А	Asian
ACQ-6	Asthma Control Questionnaire 6
AE	Adverse event
AQLQ [S]+12	Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (standardized) for persons
	12 years of age or older
В	Black
BEC	Blood eosinophil count
CI	Confidence interval
ED	Emergency department
ELEN	Eosinophil/lymphocyte and eosinophil/neutrophil
FAO	Fixed airflow obstruction
FEV <sub>1</sub>	Forced expiratory volume in 1s
FVC	Forced vital capacity
Н	Hispanic or Latino
ICS	Inhaled corticosteroids
IgE	Immunoglobulin
ITT	Intent-to-treat
J	Japan
LABA	Long-acting $\beta_2$ agonists
LS	Lease square
Μ	Missing
Ν	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
NA	Not available
NC	Not calculable
NR	Not reported due to small sample size
0	Other
Q4W	Every 4 weeks
Q8W	Every 8 weeks
S	South Korea
SAE	Serious adverse event
W	White

## References

- Chung, K.F.; Wenzel, S.E.; Brozek, J.L.; Bush, A.; Castro, M.; Sterk, P.J.; Adcock, I.; Bateman, E.D.; Bel, E.H.; Bleecker, E.R.; et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. *Eur. Respir. J.* 2013, 43, 343–373. [CrossRef]
- 2. Fahy, J.V. Type 2 inflammation in asthma—Present in most, absent in many. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2015, *15*, 57–65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tan, R.; Liew, M.F.; Lim, H.F.; Leung, B.; Wong, W.F. Promises and challenges of biologics for severe asthma. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2020, 179, 114012. [CrossRef]
- 4. Dorey-Stein, Z.L.; Shenoy, K.V. Tezepelumab as an Emerging Therapeutic Option for the Treatment of Severe Asthma: Evidence to Date. *Drug Des. Dev. Ther.* **2021**, *15*, 331–338. [CrossRef]
- Ayres, J.G.; Higgins, B.; Chilvers, E.; Ayre, G.; Blogg, M.; Fox, H. Efficacy and tolerability of anti-immunoglobulin E therapy with omalizumab in patients with poorly controlled (moderate-to-severe) allergic asthma. *Allergy* 2004, *59*, 701–708. [CrossRef]
- Pelaia, C.; Calabrese, C.; Vatrella, A.; Busceti, M.T.; Garofalo, E.; Lombardo, N.; Terracciano, R.; Pelaia, G. Benralizumab: From the Basic Mechanism of Action to the Potential Use in the Biological Therapy of Severe Eosinophilic Asthma. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2018, 2018, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 7. Bleecker, E.R.; FitzGerald, J.M.; Chanez, P.; Papi, A.; Weinstein, S.F.; Barker, P.; Sproule, S.; Gilmartin, G.; Aurivillius, M.; Werkström, V.; et al. Efficacy and safety of benralizumab for patients with severe asthma uncontrolled with high-dosage inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting beta2-agonists (SIROCCO): A randomised, multicentre, place-bo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2016, *388*, 2115–2127. [CrossRef]
- FitzGerald, J.M.; Bleecker, E.R.; Nair, P.; Korn, S.; Ohta, K.; Lommatzsch, M.; Ferguson, G.T.; Busse, W.W.; Barker, P.; Sproule, S.; et al. Benralizumab, an anti-interleukin-5 receptor α monoclonal antibody, as add-on treatment for patients with severe, uncontrolled, eosinophilic asthma (CALIMA): A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2016, *388*, 2128–2141. [CrossRef]
- Busse, W.W.; Bleecker, E.R.; FitzGerald, J.M.; Ferguson, G.T.; Barker, P.; Sproule, S.; Olsson, R.; Martin, U.J.; Goldman, M.; Yañez, A.; et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of benralizumab in patients with severe, uncontrolled asthma: 1-year results from the BORA phase 3 extension trial. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 2019, 7, 46–59. [CrossRef]
- 10. Nair, P.; Wenzel, S.; Rabe, K.F.; Bourdin, A.; Lugogo, N.L.; Kuna, P.; Barker, P.; Sproule, S.; Ponnarambil, S.; Goldman, M. Oral Glucocorticoid–Sparing Effect of Benralizumab in Severe Asthma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2017**, *376*, 2448–2458. [CrossRef]
- 11. Harrison, T.W.; Chanez, P.; Menzella, F.; Canonica, G.W.; Louis, R.; Cosio, B.G. Onset of effect and impact on health-related quality of life, exacerbation rate, lung function, and nasal polyposis symptoms for patients with severe eosinophilic asthma treated with benralizumab (ANDHI): A random-ised, controlled, phase 3b trial. *Lancet Respir. Med.* **2021**, *9*, 260–274. [CrossRef]
- 12. Corren, J.; Parnes, J.R.; Wang, L.; Mo, M.; Roseti, S.L.; Griffiths, J.M.; Van Der Merwe, R. Tezepelumab in Adults with Uncontrolled Asthma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2017, 377, 936–946. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Harrison, T.W.; Chanez, P.; Menzella, F.; Canonica, G.W.; Louis, R.; Cosio, B.G. The effect of tezepelumab on hospitalizations and emergency department visits in patients with severe asthma. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* **2020**, *125*, 211–214.
- Corren, J.; Gil, E.G.; Griffiths, J.M.; Parnes, J.R.; van der Merwe, R.; Sałapa, K.; O'Quinn, S. Tezepelumab improves patientreported outcomes in patients with severe, uncontrolled asthma in PATHWAY. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2021, 126, 187–193. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Hekking, P.-P.W.; Wener, R.R.; Amelink, M.; Zwinderman, A.H.; Bouvy, M.; Bel, E.H. The prevalence of severe refractory asthma. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2015**, *135*, 896–902. [CrossRef]
- 16. Farne, H.A.; Wilson, A.; Powell, C.; Bax, L.; Milan, S.J. Anti-IL5 therapies for asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2017, 9, CD010834. [CrossRef]
- Bourdin, A.; Husereau, D.; Molinari, N.; Golam, S.; Siddiqui, M.K.; Lindner, L.; Xu, X. Matching-adjusted indirect comparison of benralizumab versus interleukin-5 inhibitors for the treatment of severe asthma: A systematic review. *Eur. Respir. J.* 2018, *52*, 1801393. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bourdin, A.; Husereau, D.; Molinari, N.; Golam, S.; Siddiqui, M.K.; Lindner, L.; Xu, X. Matching-adjusted comparison of oral corticosteroid reduction in asthma: Systematic review of biologics. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* 2020, *50*, 442–452. [CrossRef]
- 19. Rogliani, P.; Calzetta, L.; Matera, M.G.; Laitano, R.; Ritondo, B.L.; Hanania, N.A.; Cazzola, M. Severe Asthma and Biological Therapy: When, Which, and for Whom. *Pulm. Ther.* **2020**, *6*, 47–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Menzies-Gow, A.; Corren, J.; Bourdin, A.; Chupp, G.; Israel, E.; Wechsler, M.E.; Brightling, C.E.; Griffiths, J.M.; Hellqvist, Å.; Bowen, K.; et al. Tezepelumab in Adults and Adolescents with Severe, Uncontrolled Asthma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2021, 384, 1800–1809. [CrossRef]
- Menzella, F.; Galeone, C.; Ruggiero, P.; Bagnasco, D.; Catellani, C.; Facciolongo, N. Biologics and Bronchial Thermoplasty for severe refractory asthma treatment: From eligibility criteria to real practice. A cross-sectional study. *Pulm. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2020, 60, 101874. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Cook, A.; Harrington, J.; Simpson, J.L.; Wark, P. Mepolizumab asthma treatment failure due to refractory airway eosinophilia, which responded to benralizumab. *Respirol. Case Rep.* **2021**, *9*, e00743.
- 23. Padilla-Galo, A.; Levy-Abitbol, R.; Olveira, C.; Azcona, B.V.; Morales, M.P.; Rivas-Ruiz, F.; Tortajada-Goitia, B.; Moya-Carmona, I.; Levy-Naon, A. Real-life experience with benralizumab during 6 months. *BMC Pulm. Med.* **2020**, *20*, 184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Goldman, M.; Hirsch, I.; Zangrilli, J.G.; Newbold, P.; Xu, X. The association between blood eosinophil count and benralizumab efficacy for patients with se-vere, uncontrolled asthma: Subanalyses of the Phase III SIROCCO and CALIMA studies. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* **2017**, *33*, 1605–1613. [CrossRef]
- Ohta, K.; Adachi, M.; Tohda, Y.; Kamei, T.; Kato, M.; Fitzgerald, J.M.; Takanuma, M.; Kakuno, T.; Imai, N.; Wu, Y.; et al. Efficacy and safety of benralizumab in Japanese patients with severe, uncontrolled eosinophilic asthma. *Allergol. Int.* 2018, 67, 266–272. [CrossRef]
- 26. Chipps, B.E.; Newbold, P.; Hirsch, I.; Trudo, F.; Goldman, M. Benralizumab efficacy by atopy status and serum immunoglobulin E for patients with severe, uncontrolled asthma. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* **2018**, *120*, 504–511.e4. [CrossRef]
- 27. Jackson, D.J.; Humbert, M.; Hirsch, I.; Newbold, P.; Gil, E.G. Ability of Serum IgE Concentration to Predict Exacerbation Risk and Benralizumab Efficacy for Patients with Severe Eosinophilic Asthma. *Adv. Ther.* **2020**, *37*, 718–729. [CrossRef]
- 28. Chipps, B.E.; Hirsch, I.; Trudo, F.; Alacqua, M.; Zangrilli, J.G. Benralizumab efficacy for patients with fixed airflow obstruction and severe, uncontrolled eosinophilic asthma. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* **2020**, 124, 79–86. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. DuBuske, L.; Newbold, P.; Wu, Y.; Trudo, F. Seasonal variability of exacerbations of severe, uncontrolled eosinophilic asthma and clinical benefits of benralizumab. *Allergy Asthma Proc.* **2018**, *39*, 345–349. [CrossRef]

- Emson, C.; Corren, J.; Sałapa, K.; Hellqvist, Å.; Parnes, J.R.; Colice, G. Efficacy of Tezepelumab in Patients with Severe, Uncontrolled Asthma with and without Nasal Polyposis: A Post Hoc Analysis of the Phase 2b PATHWAY Study. J. Asthma Allergy 2021, 14, 91–99. [CrossRef]
- 31. Juniper, E.; O'byrne, P.; Guyatt, G.; Ferrie, P.; King, D. Development and validation of a questionnaire to measure asthma control. *Eur. Respir. J.* **1999**, *14*, 902–907. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Juniper, E.F.; Guyatt, G.H.; Epstein, R.S.; Ferrie, P.J.; Jaeschke, R.; Hiller, T.K. Evaluation of impairment of health related quality of life in asthma: Development of a question-naire for use in clinical trials. *Thorax* **1992**, *47*, 76–83. [CrossRef] [PubMed]