This article is made available via the <u>ACS COVID-19 subset</u> for unrestricted RESEARCH re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for the duration of the World Health Organization (WHO) declaration of COVID-19 as a global pandemic.



pubs.acs.org/JPCB

THE JOURNAL OF

Article

Role of ATP in the RNA Translocation Mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 NSP13 Helicase

Published as part of The Journal of Physical Chemistry virtual special issue "125 Years of The Journal of Physical Chemistry".

Ryan Weber and Martin McCullagh*

Cite This: J. Phys. Chem. B 2021, 125, 8787–8796		Read Online		
ACCESS	III Metrics & More	Article Recommendations	s Supporting Information	

ABSTRACT: The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the need to develop potent and transferable therapeutics to treat coronavirus infections. Numerous antiviral targets are being investigated, but nonstructural protein 13 (nsp13) stands out as a highly conserved and yet understudied target. Nsp13 is a superfamily 1 (SF1) helicase that translocates along and unwinds viral RNA in an ATP-dependent manner. Currently, there are no available structures of nsp13 from SARS-CoV-1 or SARS-CoV-2 with either ATP or RNA bound, which presents a significant hurdle to the rational design of therapeutics. To address this knowledge gap, we have built models of SARS-CoV-2 nsp13 in Apo, ATP, ssRNA and ssRNA+ATP substrate states. Using 30 μ s of a Gaussian-accelerated molecular dynamics simulation (at least 6 μ s per



substrate state), these models were confirmed to maintain substrate binding poses that are similar to other SF1 helicases. A Gaussian mixture model and linear discriminant analysis structural clustering protocol was used to identify key structural states of the ATP-dependent RNA translocation mechanism. Namely, four RNA-nsp13 structures are identified that exhibit ATP-dependent populations and support the inchworm mechanism for translocation. These four states are characterized by different RNA-binding poses for motifs Ia, IV, and V and suggest a power stroke-like motion of domain 2A relative to domain 1A. This structural and mechanistic insight of nsp13 RNA translocation presents novel targets for the further development of antivirals.

INTRODUCTION

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), responsible for the COVID-19 pandemic, has infected over a 150 million people and caused more than 3 million deaths worldwide as of May 2021.¹ While antigen-based vaccines have demonstrated significant success at mitigating severe disease and spread, the need to treat infected patients as well as the evolution of potentially vaccine-resistant mutants make the development of potent antivirals a pressing concern. Additionally, it has been suggested that there is the potential for new coronaviruses to become infectious to humans, necessitating the development of alternative and possibly general therapeutics. To that end, the characterization of the structure—function relationship of vital SARS-CoV-2 proteins is necessary to aid in the development of antivirals.

A promising target for antiviral drug development against SARS-CoV-2 is nonstructural protein 13 (nsp13), one of 16 nonstructural proteins,² because it plays a critical role in viral replication and the inhibition of which in SARS-CoV-1 has been demonstrated to lead to the inhibition of viral replication.^{3,4} Nsp13 is a helicase protein that is highly

conserved across SARS viruses^{5–7} and is hypothesized to be a component of the RNA replication complex with the RNA polymerase, nsp12, and other nsps.^{2,7–10} Nsp13 has also been implicated in viral RNA capping activity^{7,11} and as an interferon antagonist.¹² Viral helicases have been targets for antiviral development in SARS,^{2,6,13} flaviviruses,^{5,14–24} and other positive-sense RNA viruses. Further characterization of the structure–function relationships of SARS-CoV-2 nsp13 will allow for clarification of its role in viral replication and aid in the development of antivirals.

SARS-CoV-2 nsp13 is classified as a superfamily 1 (SF1) helicase, allowing for the prediction of the ATP-pocket and RNA-binding cleft within its structure. While there is no SARS-CoV-2 nsp13 crystal structure available in the literature, the

 Received:
 May 23, 2021

 Revised:
 July 21, 2021

 Published:
 July 30, 2021





Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 nsp13 helicase structure. (a) Sequence, domain structure, and motif structure of nsp13. Nsp13 model based on the I570 V mutation of SARS-CoV-1 nsp13 (6JYT), colored by (b) domain and (c) motif.³⁰ The ZBD and Stalk Domains were removed in the motif structure for clarity.

very close homologue from SARS-CoV-1 (PDB: 6JYT)²⁵ has a 99.8% sequence identity with SARS-CoV-2 nsp13.⁷ The SARS-CoV-1 nsp13 structure, depicted with subdomain coloring in Figure 1b, is composed of five domains: zinc binding domain (ZBD, red), stalk domain (blue), domain 1B (pink), domain 1A (green), and domain 2A (cyan). Nsp13 has been classified as a SF1 Upf1-like helicase, a family of enzymes with similar sequence characteristics, such as distinct structural features, specificity for ATP, and unwinding polarity, that are found to interact with DNA/RNA in both eukaryotes and viruses.^{25–29} As found in all SF1 and SF2 helicases, domains 1A and 2A, also known as the RecA-like domains, comprise the conserved helicase region. The classification of nsp13 as a SF1 helicase allows for the prediction of the ATP binding site in-between domains 1A and 2A as well as the RNA-binding cleft separating the RecA-like domains from domain 1B. ATP is depicted in its predicted binding pocket in Figure 1c. Verification of these structural inferences is necessary for developing well-founded structure-function hypotheses as well as rationally designing therapeutics.

Aspects of the structure-function relationship for nsp13 can be inferred through a comparison to sequence-similar enzymes. Upf1-like helicases utilize an NTPase cycle to provide the free energy to unwind dsRNA and translocate along the nucleic acid substrate in a 5' to 3' direction.^{25,27} These enzymes also exhibit RNA-dependent NTPase activity.³¹ A set of highly conserved motifs, including nucleoside triphosphate (NTP) binding and hydrolysis motifs (I and II), RNA binding and unwinding motifs (Ia, Ib, and IV), and motifs connecting the two binding regions (III, V, and VI), have been found to be important for the function of SF1 helicases.^{26-28,32} The motifs found in SARS-CoV-2 nsp13 are indicated in Figure 1c. How all of these motifs work in concert to allow for NTP-dependent RNA translocation remains unknown for SARS-CoV-2 nsp13, yet this information is critical for the rational design of inhibitors.

SF1 helicases are thought to translocate by either an inchworm stepping or Brownian ratchet mechanism.³³ The inchworm mechanism has two sites that alternate between strongly and weakly bound states such that one site is always strongly bound to RNA. The weakly bound site performs a

power stroke before strongly binding RNA one basepair forward. This behavior is dependent on the ATP substrate state and can lead to unidirectional translocation along an oligonucleotide substrate. The Brownian ratchet is a simpler two state model in which RNA is either strongly or weakly bound to the protein. RNA is translocated through a power stroke when the protein enters the short-lived, weakly bound state. These mechanisms are distinguished by their ATPdependent RNA-binding activity and, as such, are testable based on ATP-dependent RNA-bound structures. The mechanism of RNA-translocation by SARS-CoV-1 nsp13 has been examined at an ensemble kinetics level² and singlemolecule kinetics level³⁴ to identify the rate of ATP hydrolysis and translocation. H/D mass exchange data suggest the presence of at least two RNA-bound states, depending on the presence of an ATP substrate.²⁵ These data are insufficient, however, to distinguish between the two proposed mechanisms and do not provide enough structural information to inform antiviral development.

The work presented here examines the structure-function relationships utilized by SARS-CoV-2 nsp13 during ATPdependent RNA translocation. Specifically, we focus on the effect of the presence of ATP and RNA on the structural ensemble of the protein and elucidate the role of ATP in the translocation mechanism. We perform extensive Gaussian accelerated molecular dynamics (GaMD) simulations³⁵ in combination with Gaussian mixture model (GMM) structural clustering and characterization by linear discriminant analysis (LDA). From these analyses, we identify four states in the RNA-binding cleft that are indicative of nsp13 utilizing an inchworm stepping translocation mechanism. The role of ATP binding in the translocation mechanism is also elucidated. Furthermore, we analyze the ATP-pocket of the four states, identifying key motifs that allosterically connect the ATPpocket to the RNA-binding cleft.

COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

System Setup. Simulations were performed for four ligand-bound states of the nsp13 helicase: Apo, ssRNA, ATP, and ssRNA+ATP. The initial structure for the Apo state is based on the I570 V mutation of SARS-CoV-1 (PDB: 6JYT).²⁵ Due to the lack of ligand-bound crystal structures of SARS nsp13s, RNA and ATP substrates were extracted from Upf1like helicases aligned to the mutated SARS-CoV-1 nsp13 crystal structure. For the ssRNA and ssRNA+ATP states, polyuracil ssRNA was extracted from the RNA-bound Upf1 helicase crystal structure (PDB: 2XZL)³⁶ after the two crystal structures were aligned using a sequence-based maximum likelihood protocol, as implemented in THESEUS.³⁷ For the ssRNA+ATP bound state, the ATP-analog AMP-PNP and the coordinated Mg²⁺ ion were extracted from the AMP-PNPbound Upf1 helicase crystal structure (PDB: 2GJK)³⁸ after the two crystal structures were aligned using the P-loop, motif II, motif VI, and motif V as alignment landmarks. The amide group between the β - and γ -phosphates in AMP-PNP were replaced by an oxygen atom to form ATP. Furthermore, residues Gly282-Gly287 from the P-loop region in domain 2A were replaced by residues Gly430-Gly435 from the P-loop region in the AMP-PNP-bound Upf1 helicase. The ATP state was created by taking the ssRNA+ATP state and removing the ssRNA.

The protein is modeled using ff14SB parameters,³⁹ RNA is modeled using ff99bsc0_{χ OL3} parameters,^{40,41} and the para-

metrization files for ATP^{42} are obtained from the AMBER parameter database. Additionally, nsp13 has a ZBD with three nonstandard zinc binding pockets. The three zincs are parametrized in Cys-Cys-Cys-Cys, Cys-Cys-HID, and Cys-Cys-HID-HIE environments, respectively, using the MCPB tool in AMBER.⁴³ Crystallographic waters are maintained for each state, and TIP3P water was added to each system with at least a 12 Å buffer, yielding a cubic box with a linear dimension of ~130 Å and a total of ~215 K atoms. See Table S1 in the Supporting Information for specific details of the size of each system. Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions were added to neutralize the charge and provide a 0.1 M salt concentration.

Simulation Details. All-atom, explicit solvent GaMD simulations for the Apo, ssRNA, ATP, and ssRNA+ATP states of nsp13 are performed using the GPU-enabled AMBER18 software.⁴⁴ Hydrogen atoms are constrained using the SHAKE algorithm.⁴⁵ Direct nonbonding interactions are cut off at 12, and long-range electrostatic interactions are modeled using the PME treatment.⁴⁶ An integration time step of 2 fs is used. GaMD simulations are performed in the NPT ensemble with a MC barostat set to 1 atm and a Langevin thermostat set to 300 K.

The simulation protocol used for all systems is the same. The energy of the systems is minimized in 10 stages. In all minimization stages, 2000 of the steepest descent minimization steps are performed with varying harmonic restraints. In the first stage there is a 500 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻² restraint on all protein and ligand atoms. In the next four stages the restraints on the protein side chains are reduced to 10.0, 1.0, 0.1, and 0.0 kcal $mol^{-1} Å^{-2}$, respectively. Finally, in the last five stages there are diminishing restraints on ATP+RNA, the protein backbone, and all ligands of 50.0, 5.0, 1.0, 0.1, and 0.0 kcal mol⁻¹ $Å^{-2}$. The system is heated to a temperature of 300 K over 1 ns, with a harmonic restraint of 40 kcal mol $^{-1}$ Å $^{-2}$ on all protein and ligand atoms. Pressure equilibration is performed in six stages. First 1 ns of NVT simulation is performed maintaining the harmonic restraint from the heating. In the next five pressure equilibration stages the restraint was reduced to 20.0, 10.0, 5.0, 1.0, and 0.1 kcal mol⁻¹ $Å^{-2}$ for 200 ps each. A conventional molecular dynamics (cMD) NPT simulation is then performed for 10 ns.

Following the cMD simulation, each substrate state is simulated using GaMD. For GaMD simulations, the threshold energy for applying the boost potential is set to $E = V_{max}$ and the default of 6 kcal mol⁻¹ is used for both σ_{0P} and σ_{0D} . The maximum, minimum, average, and standard deviation values of the system potential are obtained from an initial 10 ns cMD simulation with no boost potential. Then GaMD simulations are performed with the boost potential applied to both the dihedral and total potential energy terms. Each GaMD simulation is proceeded with a 40 ns equilibration run after adding the boost potential, followed by 2 μ s of production runs. The GaMD simulations of all substrate states are performed in triplicate except for the ssRNA bound state in which six replicates were performed, yielding a total of 30 μ s of GaMD simulation to be analyzed.

Model Corroboration. Due to the lack of ATP-bound and ssRNA-bound crystal structures, the ATP, ssRNA, and ssRNA +ATP starting structures were created from combining ATP-bound and ssRNA-bound Upf1 helicase crystal structures with a I570 V mutated SARS-CoV-1 nsp13 apo helicase crystal structure. The contacts between the SARS-CoV-2 nsp13 protein and the bound ATP and ssRNA ligands for the ATP,

ssRNA, and ssRNA+ATP systems are compared to similar contacts in other SF1 helicase proteins, including the Upf1 and IGHMBP2 helicases, to show that these are suitable initial structures that are stable during simulation. For the RNAbound systems, contacts between motifs Ia, IV, and V with ssRNA phosphates are determined for each frame, as these motifs are highly conserved across SF1 helicase proteins. Similarly, the contacts between motifs I, II, III, V, and VI with ATP and Mg²⁺ are calculated in the ATP-bound systems. A residue and ligand were considered to be in contact if any atom of the residue is within 5 Å of any atom in the ligand. The residue identities and the percentage of frames that each residue is in contact with the ligand are shown in the Supporting Information for both the ssRNA and ATP contacts in Tables S2 and S3, respectively. These tables show that a majority of the contacts formed in the Upf1 and IGHMBP2 crystal structures are maintained for 60-100% of the frames in the simulation, although some of the contacts are formed or broken, depending on whether both or only a single ligand are bound to the protein.

Gaussian Mixture Model Clustering and Linear Discriminant Analysis. Variational Bayesian Gaussian mixture model⁴⁷ is a probabilistic model that effectively fits a given set of data to a specified number of Gaussian distributions with unknown parameters assigning each data point to a cluster. GMM is used to identify structural states in the nsp13 protein by clustering a set of protein—protein distances calculated from the nsp13 simulations using a GMM tolerance of 10^{-6} . The number of clusters that the data is fit to is determined by calculating the silhouette,⁴⁸ Calanski-Harabasz (CH),⁴⁹ and Davies-Bouldin (DB)⁵⁰ scores for a range of cluster sizes from 2 to 10 clusters. Then, based on maximums in the silhouette and CH scores and minimums in the DB score, the number of clusters is chosen.

Linear discriminant analysis is a classification and dimensionality reduction tool. LDA is a supervised algorithm (data must already be clustered) that finds the linear combination of features that maximize cluster separation. LDA is used to identify the protein—protein distances that best differentiate the four states identified in the RNA-binding cleft.

The GMM clustering and characterization using LDA are performed iteratively. Each iteration uses the projection of the distance data onto the previous iterations LD eigenvectors as the input data for the GMM clustering. The distance data is still used as the input data for LDA. The cycle is iterated until the distance between the current iterations projected distances and the previous iterations projected distances is below a threshold value of 10^{-3} . Both GMM and LDA are performed using the machine-learning Python library scikit-learn.⁵¹

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The translocation mechanism of the SARS-CoV-2 nsp13 helicase is likely an important component of the viral lifecycle, and yet, structural details regarding this mechanism are lacking.¹⁰ Here, we present a set of simulations for the Apo, ATP, ssRNA, and ssRNA+ATP bound states to provide insight into the translocation mechanism of nsp13 along ssRNA. First, we discuss large scale changes in domains 1A, 2A, and 1B between all four systems. We identify changes in the RNA-binding cleft due to the binding of ATP by analyzing differences in the ssRNA and ssRNA+ATP systems which provide insight into the translocation mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 along ssRNA. Finally, we discuss allostery between the

ATP-pocket and the RNA-binding cleft, focusing on how the presence of ATP changes the ATP-pocket and how those changes affect the RNA-binding cleft.

Large-Scale Changes to Protein Structure. The interdomain distances between domains 1A, 2A, and 1B, depicted in Figure 2, are calculated to investigate large-scale



Figure 2. Structural depiction of the distances between the center-ofmass of domains 1B (magenta), 1A (green), and 2A (cyan). The stalk and ZBD domains are not depicted for clarity.

changes within the nsp13 protein structure due to the presence of ssRNA and ATP. The center-of-mass of the β -sheets for each domain are used to calculate the interdomain distances due to their rigidity within each domain. The ATP-pocket sits at the boundary between domains 1A and 2A. The average 1A–2A domain distance remains constant around 31 Å, independent of the presence of ATP and ssRNA, as demonstrated by the average separation distances shown in Table 1. The standard deviation of all distances are calculated from the set of average reweighted distance values of each replicate of the system.

Table 1. Average Center-of-Mass Separation Distance between Domains 1A, 2A, and 1B of the nsp13 Helicase Apo, ATO, ssRNA, and ssRNA+ATP Ligand Bound States^{*a*}

		1	()		
separation distance (A)					
domains	APO	ATP	ssRNA	ssRNA+ATP	
1A-2A	31.1(6)	31.6(2)	31(1)	31.3(4)	
1A-1B	34.6(6)	34(1)	36.6(8)	38.4(4)	
2A-1B	30(1)	31.1(4)	33(2)	36.4(1)	
^a Error in the last digit is provided in parentheses.					

Although there are no large-scale changes to the ATPpocket, the presence of ATP leads to large-scale changes to the RNA-binding cleft. Domain 1B runs along the edge of both domains 1A and 2A, forming the RNA-binding cleft. The interplay of these two boundaries are important in the translocation mechanism of nsp13. The 1A–1B and 2A–1B domain distances provide insight into how these boundaries

change with ssRNA and ATP binding. The 1A-1B domain distance increases when ssRNA is bound to nsp13. Furthermore, the binding of ATP into the ssRNA-bound state leads to additional widening of the 1A-1B distance from 36.6 Å in the ssRNA system to 38.4 Å in the ssRNA+ATP state. Similar behavior is observed between domains 2A and 1B, where the presence of ATP leads to an increase in the 2A-1B distance by 3.4 Å relative to the RNA-bound state. There is more fluctuation in the 2A-1B distance relative to 1A-1B distance as demonstrated by the larger standard deviation value of 2.0 Å for the 2A-1B distance compared to 0.8 Å for the 1A-1B distance for the ssRNA-bound system, which can be attributed to large fluctuations in the tertiary structure of the 2A domain. The widening of the RNA-binding cleft for both the 1A-1B and 2A-1B boundaries suggests a possible reduction in the binding strength of RNA within the RNAbinding cleft due to the presence of ATP. The linear interaction energy (LIE) between each phosphate and the surrounding protein residues and the RMSF of each phosphate were calculated to determine the binding strength between nsp13 and ssRNA and can be found in Tables S4 and S5 of the Supporting Information. In both analyses the fluctuations in these values were too large to differentiate between the ssRNA and ssRNA+ATP bound states. One exception is phosphate P5, labeled in Figure 3a, in which the interaction energy is



Figure 3. Representative structures of the four states identified by the GMM-LDA clustering analysis performed on distances between motif Ia (purple), motif IV (red), and the closest ssRNA phosphates. The phosphates along the ssRNA have been highlighted. (a) In state S1, motifs Ia and IV are both strongly bound to ssRNA phosphates and are separated by two unbound phosphates. (b) In state S2, motifs Ia and IV are separated by a two phosphate gap, but motif Ia is strongly bound to a phosphate, while motif IV is weakly or unbound to the ssRNA phosphates. (c) In state S4, both motif Ia and motif IV are strongly bound to ssRNA phosphates, but are separated by one unbound phosphate. (d) In state S3, motifs Ia and IV are separated by a one phosphate gap, but motif IV is strongly bound to a phosphate, while motif IV is weakly or unbound to the phosphates. The four states are evidence of a possible four-step inchworm stepping translocation mechanism. The arrows illustrate the four-step cycle possibly utilized by nsp13 during translocation.

pubs.acs.org/JPCB

higher at -63 kcal/mol for the ssRNA+ATP system relative to -112 kcal/mol for the ssRNA system. The lower linear interaction energy for P5 in the ssRNA system can be attributed to motif Ia binding phosphate P5 in one state of the ssRNA simulations. The LIE values are phosphate specific, but due to the homogeneous nature of the RNA sequence, the nature of the nsp13-RNA state is relatively agnostic to specific phosphate interactions. In the subsequent section, we use a minimum distance analysis to overcome this concern.

Structural Changes of the RNA-Binding Cleft Due to the Presence of ATP. The presence of ATP leads to large scale changes in the RNA-binding cleft as shown by the increase in the 1A–1B and 2A–1B interdomain distances. Motifs Ia and IV are both highly conserved regions in SF1 helicases, and it has been suggested that these motifs are involved in RNA binding.^{30,52} The structure of the RNAbinding cleft from each frame of these simulations are clustered based on the distances between motif Ia, motif IV, and the closest RNA phosphates (P) using a GMM-LDA approach. Table S6 in the Supporting Information contains the residues used as the position of each motif when calculating these distances. Based on the three distances, the GMM analysis separated the ssRNA and ssRNA+ATP structures into four states: S1, S2, S3, and S4. A representative structure of the RNA-binding cleft for each state is shown in Figure 3.

Analysis of the structure of the RNA-binding cleft for S1, S2, S3, and S4 reveals that motifs Ia and IV independently bind and release ssRNA at different phosphate positions. To determine how the structure of RNA-binding cleft varies for each of the states, the three distances were projected onto the LD eigenvectors calculated by the LDA. The coefficients of LD1 and LD2 are shown in the Supporting Information in Table S7. Figure 4(a,b) show the projection of the three distances on to the LD1 and LD2 eigenvectors for the ssRNA and ssRNA+ATP systems, respectively. LD1 separates S4 from the other three states and is dominated by the distance between motif Ia and the RNA phosphates. Table 2 shows the average of each of the distances used in the clustering analysis for all clusters. S1, S2, and S3 have an average Ia-P distance of around 5.5 Å, while in S4 this distance increases to 9.4 Å. This can be seen in Figure 3c, where the ssRNA has separated from motif Ia, causing ssRNA to become more linear in the RNAbinding cleft. LD2 distinguishes between S1, S2, and S3 and is dominated by both the Ia-IV and IV-P distances. The distance between motif Ia and IV is smallest for S3 at 14.1 Å and largest for S2 and S1 at 18.2 and 20.2 Å, respectively. The change in the Ia-IV distance between S1 and S3 can be explained by a change in the number of phosphates between the phosphates bound by motifs Ia and IV. In the representative structure for S3 (Figure 3d), motif Ia is bound to P4 and motif IV is bound to P2, leaving only a single phosphate gap (P3) between them. On the other hand, in the representative structure for S1 (Figure 3a), motif Ia is bound to P4 and motif IV is bound to P1, leaving a twophosphate gap (P2 and P3) between them. This is qualitatively consistent with the LIE of P5, as the state where motif Ia binds P5 is an example of a conformation where there is a twophosphate gap between motifs Ia and IV, as motif Ia is bound to P5 and motif IV is bound to P2. S1 and S2 are not well separated by the Ia-IV distance, but are separated by the distance between motif IV and the RNA phosphates. In S1, the average IV-P distance is 5.0 Å, while in S2 the average

pubs.acs.org/JPCB



Figure 4. Projection of the distances between motif Ia, motif IV, and the closest ssRNA phosphates on to LD1 and LD2 eigenvectors from the GMM-LDA clustering analysis for the (a) ssRNA and (b) ssRNA+ATP systems.

Table 2. Average Separation Distances and Standard Deviations between Motif IV, Motif Ia, and the Closest ssRNA Phosphates (P) for States S1, S2, S3, and S4

average distance (Å)					
residues	S1	S2	S 3	S4	
IV-P	5.0(8)	8(1)	6(1)	4.7(4)	
IV–Ia	20(1)	18(2)	14.2(9)	16.3(7)	
Ia-P	5.5(5)	5.4(4)	5.4(2)	9.4(9)	

distance increases to 8.1 Å as the ssRNA bends away from motif \mathbf{IV} .

The four states identified in the GMM-LDA analysis suggest a four-step inchworm stepping translocation mechanism of nsp13 along ssRNA. In this inchworm mechanism, motifs Ia and IV act as binding sites that independently bind and release the phosphates of ssRNA. There is always one of the binding sites that is strongly bound to ssRNA, unlike in a Brownian ratchet mechanism in which the protein as a whole strongly or weakly binds ssRNA. The mechanism follows the cycle shown in Figure 3. Let us assume the cycle starts in S1 where motif IV is bound to P_n and motif Ia is bound to P_{n+3} , leaving a twophosphate gap between them. In the first step of the mechanism motif IV and P_n unbind as the protein transitions to S2. In the second step motif IV does a power stroke moving down one base of ssRNA and binds P_{n+1} resulting in the protein being in S3. This leaves a single phosphate gap between the phosphates bound by motifs Ia and IV. In the third step the protein transitions to S4 as motif Ia and P_{n+3} unbind. Finally, in the fourth step, Ia performs a power stroke moving down one base of ssRNA and binds to P_{n+4} and the protein ends up back in S1. Each four-step cycle performed by nsp13 results in a one-base-pair translocation along ssRNA.

Changes in the sampling of S1, S2, S3, and S4 when ATP is present in the ATP-pocket suggests that the binding of ATP leads to the release of ssRNA by motif IV. In Table 3, the

Table 3. Probability of Being in Each of the States Identified in the GMM-LDA Clustering Analysis for Both the ssRNA and ssRNA+ATP Systems

probability				
residues	S1	S2	\$3	S4
RNA	33.01%	35.39%	15.14%	16.45%
RNA+ATP	0.02%	50.78%	33.22%	15.99%

probability of being in each of the four state for the ssRNA and ssRNA+ATP systems is calculated to provide insight into which of the four states the protein is in when RNA is bound and how the sampling of the four states change when ATP binds into the RNA-bound protein. In the ssRNA system, both S1 and S2 are each sampled 33% of the time. With ATP bound, S1 is no longer sampled and the sampling of S2 and S3 both increase to 51% and 33%, respectively. These probabilities suggest that the cycle begins with a twophosphate gap between the phosphates bound by motifs Ia and IV. The binding of ATP then increases the probability of states where motif IV unbinds (S2) and performs a power stroke, leading to a single-phosphate gap between motifs Ia and IV (S3). These probabilities provide evidence that the binding of ATP into the ssRNA-bound state of nsp13 causes the first step in the inchworm stepping translocation mechanism. The remaining translocation steps most likely occur in the later steps of the hydrolysis cycle, such as ATP hydrolysis and release of adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and the inorganic phosphate (Pi). This is supported by several studies that suggest the hydrolysis of ATP to ADP+Pi, and the release of these products are responsible for the unwinding of dsRNA and leads to the power stroke, resulting in the translocation of RNA helicase proteins.^{29,33,53,54} It is necessary to perform simulations of ssRNA+ADP+Pi and ssRNA+ADP ligand bound systems to further elucidate the translocation mechanism of nsp13 along the ATP-hydrolysis cycle.

Structural Changes of the ATP-Pocket Due to the Presence of ATP. The binding of ATP to nsp13 leads to a change in the structure of the RNA-binding cleft as shown by the change in sampling of the four states identified in the RNApocket and the increase in the 1A-1B and 2A-1B interdomain distances when ATP is bound into the ssRNA state. To identify how the presence of ATP changes the ATP-pocket and how these changes allosterically alter the RNA-binding cleft, LDA was performed on states S1, S2, S3, and S4 using distances between ATP-binding motifs (I, II, and VI), RNAbinding motifs (IV), and motifs that bind both ATP and RNA (Ia, V, and III). Initially, all residues in all conserved motifs were included in the LDA analysis. Iteratively, LDA was performed on these distances, and the distances that received low coefficients or contained similar information to other distances in LD1 and LD2 were removed until the eight distances shown in Table 4 were chosen.

Motif V is identified as a key motif for allosteric communication between the ATP-pocket and the RNA-

average distance (Å)						
distance	S1	S2	\$3	S4		
I—Ia	21.4(5)	21.7(6)	21.8(6)	20.0(6)		
V–VI	11.9(6)	11.7(7)	11.4(4)	10.9(8)		
I–V	21.4(8)	19(1)	18(1)	19.1(7)		
II–V	16.0(7)	13(2)	13(2)	13.7(6)		
V–P	6.2(6)	6(1)	7.5(8)	8.2(6)		
Ia-V	14.0(8)	11(2)	10(1)	9.5(8)		
IV–V	8.5(5)	10(1)	9.3(6)	9.5(9)		
II–VI	18(2)	17(2)	18(1)	17.9(5)		

Closest ssRNA Phosphates for States S1, S2, S3, and S4

binding cleft due to changes in its positioning between them for the four states identified in the GMM-LDA analysis of the RNA-binding cleft. To determine how the structure of the ATP-pocket varies in the four states, the eight distances are projected onto the LD1 and LD2 eigenvectors for the ssRNA and ssRNA+ATP systems, as shown in Figure 5a and b, respectively. The coefficients of LD1 and LD2 are shown in the Supporting Information in Table S8. LD1 separates S4 from S1, S2, and S3. The average distances shown in Table 4 are ordered based on the LD1 coefficients. S4 has a smaller I-Ia distance relative to the other states as domain Ia unbinds ssRNA and sits close to the ATP-pocket. Similarly, motif V is closer to both the ATP-pocket and motif VI for S4, leading to the largest V-P distance and smallest V-VI distance of all four states. Furthermore, motifs Ia and V sit much closer together relative to the other states. Overall, S4 has a much more compact ATP-pocket, which leads to motifs V and Ia not binding or weakly binding ssRNA. LD2 differentiates between S1, S2, and S3 and has the largest contribution from the I-V distance. The I-V distance is smallest for S3 at 18 Å as motif V sits closer to the ATP-pocket, as shown in Figure 6(c). The I-V distance increases for S2 to 19 Å and increases again for S1 to 21.4 Å, as motif V moves closer to the RNA-binding cleft and binds to the ssRNA phosphates. This is further shown by the decrease in the V–P distance for S1 and S2. Not only does motif V sit closer to the RNA-binding cleft for these two states, but it rotates up away from motif Ia becoming more parallel with the ssRNA backbone as it binds one or two of the phosphates as shown in Figure 6a,b. This is evidenced by the increasing Ia-V and II-V distances.



Figure 6. Representative structures of the ATP-pocket for states (a) S1, (b) S2, (c) S4, and (d) S3, four states identified by the GMM-LDA clustering analysis performed on select distances between motifs I (orange), Ia (purple), II (pink), IV (red), V (yellow), and VI (green) and the closest ssRNA phosphates.

The analysis of the ATP-pocket allows us to form a more complete picture as to how the presence of ATP leads to a change in sampling of S1, S2, S3, and S4. As motif V moves farther from the ATP-pocket it binds to ssRNA phosphates closer to motif IV stabilizing the two-phosphate gap between motifs Ia and IV and, therefore, stabilizing S1. As nsp13 binds ATP, there is an increase in the sampling of S2 and S3, where motif V sits closer to the ATP-pocket due to the formation of contacts between ATP and motif V. Table 5 shows the average distance between all residues in motif V and ATP or Mg²⁺ for the ssRNA+ATP system. In S2, S3, and S4, Val 533, Asp 534, Ser 535, and Ser 536 form contacts with ATP and Mg²⁺, with an average separation distance of 3-6 Å. These contacts make it energetically unfavorable for motif V to move close enough to ssRNA to stabilize the two-phosphate gap between motif Ia and motif IV, preventing the protein from sampling S1 when ATP is bound.



Figure 5. Projection of select distances between motifs I, Ia, II, IV, V, and VI, and the closest ssRNA phosphates on to LD1 and LD2 eigenvectors from the GMM-LDA clustering analysis for the (a) ssRNA and (b) ssRNA+ATP systems.

Table 5. Average Separation Distance and Standard Deviation between All Residues in Motif V with ATP and Mg^{2+} for States S1, S2, S3, and S4

average distance (Å)						
residues	S1	\$2	\$3	S 4		
Val 533	5.3(8)	4(2)	3(1)	4(1)		
Asp 534	8(1)	5(1)	6.0(4)	6(1)		
Ser 535	8(1)	3(1)	4.7(5)	5(2)		
Ser 536	6.6(7)	4.3(8)	4.2(8)	6.9(7)		
Gln 537	9.2(8)	6(1)	7.2(5)	8.5(8)		
Gly 538	12(1)	9(1)	9(1)	12.3(8)		
Ser 539	12.2(7)	10.1(8)	10(1)	12.3(8)		
Glu 540	11.0(4)	10.1(5)	10.0(6)	11.0(7)		

These results are consistent with other studies in the literature that identify the importance of motif V in the communication between the ATP-pocket and the RNA-binding cleft of other SF1 and SF2 helicases. Molecular dynamics simulations of the flavivirus NS3 helicase protein revealed motif V as an allosteric link between the ATP-binding pocket and the RNA-binding cleft due to strong correlations between motif V and both binding pockets.⁵⁵ Furthermore, these results were supported by mutagenesis studies both in vitro and in silico.⁵⁶ SARS-CoV-2 motif V and subdomain 2A are more dynamic than their flaviviral homologues, but the mechanistic role of motif V in the translocation mechanism, making it an interesting target for antiviral development.

CONCLUSIONS

To provide insight into the translocation mechanism utilized by nsp13 and the role ATP-binding plays in the translocation mechanism we performed simulations of Apo, ATP, ssRNA, and ssRNA+ATP ligand-bound states of the nsp13 helicase. Our models were verified by comparing substrate binding poses to crystal structure of other SF1 helicases that contain these substrates.

Interdomain distances revealed that the binding of ATP leads to an increase in the 1A-1B and 2A-1B domain distances corresponding to a widening of the RNA-binding cleft. A GMM-LDA approach revealed the presence of 4 states in the RNA-binding cleft. These four states represent a fourstep inchworm stepping translocation cycle where motif Ia and motif IV alternate in releasing a ssRNA phosphate before performing a power stroke and binding a phosphate one basepair forward along ssRNA. The change in sampling of the four states in the ssRNA and ssRNA+ATP systems suggests that the first step in the cycle occurs due to the binding of ATP by nsp13. Analysis of the ATP-pocket of the four states reveal that motif V plays an important role in stabilizing ssRNA in states where motifs Ia and IV are largely separated. When ATP is present, motif V forms contacts, with ATP reducing its interaction with ssRNA. Based on the simulations that we present here, motifs Ia, IV, and V play a crucial role in the translocation mechanism of nsp13. These motifs would be ideal targets for antiviral drugs to inhibit the function of nsp13.

Future work will focus on performing simulations of ssRNA +ADP+Pi and ssRNA+ADP ligand-bound states of nsp13 to further investigate the translocation mechanism of nsp13 in the later stages of the hydrolysis cycle. Specifically, the role of ATP-hydrolysis, release of the inorganic phosphate, and release of ADP in the inchworm stepping mechanism will be pubs.acs.org/JPCB

elucidated. Also, enhanced sampling simulations of motif V would further clarify the ATP dependence of the structural conformations of motif V and its role in stabilizing the two phosphate gap in states where motif Ia and IV are largely separated.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcb.1c04528.

System setup, model verification data, ssRNA binding strength data, interdomain distance distributions, GMM score metrics, the specific residues used to calculated the distance between the motifs used in the LDA, LD eigenvectors for both the ATP-pocket and RNA-binding cleft LDA, and contacts between motif V and ssRNA phosphates (PDF)

Nsp13 parameters (ZIP)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Martin McCullagh – Department of Chemistry, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-8603-4388; Email: martin.mccullagh@okstate.edu

Author

Ryan Weber – Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.1c04528

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The computing for this project was performed at the High Performance Computing Center at Oklahoma State University supported in part through the National Science Foundation Grant OAC-1531128. This work also used the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by National Science Foundation Grant Number ACI-1548562. We would specifically like to acknowledge the San Diego Supercomputer Center Comet used under XSEDE allocation CHE160008 awarded to M.M. We would additionally like to thank Jake Anderson and Heidi Klem for their comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

(1) WHO COVID-19 Explorer. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2020; https://worldhealthorg.shinyapps.io/covid/.

(2) Adedeji, A. O.; Marchand, B.; te Velthuis, A. J. W.; Snijder, E. J.; Weiss, S.; Eoff, R. L.; Singh, K.; Sarafianos, S. G. Mechanism of nucleic acid unwinding by SARS-CoV helicase. *PLoS One* **2012**, *7*, e36521.

(3) Kim, M. K.; Yu, M. S.; Park, H. R.; Kim, K. B.; Lee, C.; Cho, S. Y.; Kang, J.; Yoon, H.; Kim, D. E.; Choo, H.; et al. 2,6-Bisarylmethyloxy-5-hydroxychromones with antiviral activity against both hepatitis C virus (HCV) and SARS-associated coronavirus (SCV). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *46*, 5698–5704.

(4) Adedeji, A. O.; Singh, K.; Calcaterra, N. E.; DeDiego, M. L.; Enjuanes, L.; Weiss, S.; Sarafianos, S. G. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus replication inhibitor that interferes with the

nucleic acid unwinding of the viral helicase. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2012, 56, 4718-4728.

(5) Ndjomou, J.; Corby, M. J.; Sweeney, N. L.; Hanson, A. M.; Aydin, C.; Ali, A.; Schiffer, C. A.; Li, K.; Frankowski, K. J.; Schoenen, F. J.; et al. Simultaneously Targeting the NS3 Protease and Helicase Activities for More Effective Hepatitis C Virus Therapy. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2015**, *10*, 1887–1896.

(6) Kumar, K.; Lupoli, T. J. Exploiting Existing Molecular Scaffolds for Long-Term COVID Treatment. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. **2020**, 11, 1357–1360.

(7) Romano, M.; Ruggiero, A.; Squeglia, F.; Maga, G.; Berisio, R. A Structural View of SARS-CoV-2 RNA Replication Machinery: RNA Synthesis, Proofreading and Final Capping. *Cells* **2020**, *9*, 1267.

(8) Yan, L.; Zhang, Y.; Ge, J.; Zheng, L.; Gao, Y.; Wang, T.; Jia, Z.; Wang, H.; Huang, Y.; Li, M.; Wang, Q.; Rao, Z.; Lou, Z. Architecture of a SARS-CoV-2 mini replication and transcription complex. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 3–8.

(9) Yan, L.; Ge, J.; Zheng, L.; Zhang, Y.; Gao, Y.; Wang, T.; Huang, Y.; Yang, Y.; Gao, S.; Li, M.; et al. Cryo-EM Structure of an Extended SARS-CoV-2 Replication and Transcription Complex Reveals an Intermediate State in Cap Synthesis. *Cell* **2021**, *184*, 184–193.

(10) Chen, J.; Malone, B.; Llewellyn, E.; Grasso, M.; Shelton, P. M.; Olinares, P. D. B.; Maruthi, K.; Eng, E. T.; Vatandaslar, H.; Chait, B. T.; et al. Structural Basis for Helicase-Polymerase Coupling in the SARS-CoV-2 Replication-Transcription Complex. *Cell* **2020**, *182*, 1560–1573.

(11) Ivanov, K. A.; Thiel, V.; Dobbe, J. C.; van der Meer, Y.; Snijder, E. J.; Ziebuhr, J. Multiple Enzymatic Activities Associated with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Helicase. *J. Virol.* **2004**, *78*, 5619–5632.

(12) Yuen, C. K.; Lam, J. Y.; Wong, W. M.; Mak, L. F.; Wang, X.; Chu, H.; Cai, J. P.; Jin, D. Y.; To, K. K. W.; Chan, J. F. W.; et al. SARS-CoV-2 nsp13, nsp14, nsp15 and orf6 function as potent interferon antagonists. *Emerging Microbes Infect.* **2020**, *9*, 1418–1428.

(13) Adedeji, A. O.; Singh, K.; Kassim, A.; Coleman, C. M.; Elliott, R.; Weiss, S. R.; Frieman, M. B.; Sarafianos, S. G. Evaluation of SSYA10–001 as a replication inhibitor of severe acute respiratory syndrome, mouse hepatitis, and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronaviruses. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2014**, *58*, 4894–4898.

(14) Kadaré, G.; Haenni, A. L. Virus-encoded RNA helicases. J. Virol. 1997, 71, 2583–2590.

(15) Borowski, P.; Niebuhr, A.; Schmitz, H.; Hosmane, R. S.; Bretner, M.; Siwecka, M. A.; Kulikowski, T. NTPase/helicase of Flaviviridae: Inhibitors and inhibition of the enzyme. *Acta Biochim. Polym.* **2002**, *49*, 597–614.

(16) Raney, K. D.; Sharma, S. D.; Moustafa, I. M.; Cameron, C. E. Hepatitis C virus non-structural protein 3 (HCV NS3): A multifunctional antiviral target. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2010**, 285, 22725–22731.

(17) Leung, D.; Schroder, K.; White, H.; Fang, N. X.; Stoermer, M. J.; Abbenante, G.; Martin, J. L.; Young, P. R.; Fairlie, D. P. Activity of Recombinant Dengue 2 Virus NS3 Protease in the Presence of a Truncated NS2B Co-factor, Small Peptide Substrates, and Inhibitors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001**, *276*, 45762–45771.

(18) Byrd, C. M.; Grosenbach, D. W.; Berhanu, A.; Dai, D.; Jones, K. F.; Cardwell, K. B.; Schneider, C.; Yang, G.; Tyavanagimatt, S.; Harver, C.; et al. Novel benzoxazole inhibitor of dengue virus replication that targets the NS3 helicase. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2013**, *57*, 1902–1912.

(19) Sweeney, N. L.; Hanson, A. M.; Mukherjee, S.; Ndjomou, J.; Geiss, B. J.; Steel, J. J.; Frankowski, K. J.; Li, K.; Schoenen, F. J.; Frick, D. N. Benzothiazole and Pyrrolone Flavivirus Inhibitors Targeting the Viral Helicase. *ACS Infect. Dis.* **2015**, *1*, 140–148.

(20) Lee, H.; Ren, J.; Nocadello, S.; Rice, A. J.; Ojeda, I.; Light, S.; Minasov, G.; Vargas, J.; Nagarathnam, D.; Anderson, W. F.; et al. Identification of novel small molecule inhibitors against NS2B/NS3 serine protease from Zika virus. *Antiviral Res.* **2017**, *139*, 49–58.

(21) Mastrangelo, E.; Pezzullo, M.; De burghgraeve, T.; Kaptein, S.; Pastorino, B.; Dallmeier, K.; De lamballerie, X.; Neyts, J.; Hanson, A. M.; Frick, D. N.; et al. Ivermectin is a potent inhibitor of flavivirus replication specifically targeting NS3 helicase activity: New prospects for an old drug. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **2012**, *67*, 1884–1894.

(22) Basavannacharya, C.; Vasudevan, S. G. Suramin inhibits helicase activity of NS3 protein of dengue virus in a fluorescencebased high throughput assay format. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2014**, 453, 539–544.

(23) Shadrick, W. R.; Mukherjee, S.; Hanson, A. M.; Sweeney, N. L.; Frick, D. N. Aurintricarboxylic acid modulates the affinity of hepatitis C virus NS3 helicase for both nucleic acid and ATP. *Biochemistry* **2013**, *52*, 6151–6159.

(24) Drummer, H. E.; Boo, I.; Maerz, A. L.; Poumbourios, P. A Conserved Gly436-Trp-Leu-Ala-Gly-Leu-Phe-Tyr Motif in Hepatitis C Virus Glycoprotein E2 Is a Determinant of CD81 Binding and Viral Entry. *J. Virol.* **2006**, *80*, 7844–7853.

(25) Jia, Z.; Yan, L.; Ren, Z.; Wu, L.; Wang, J.; Guo, J.; Zheng, L.; Ming, Z.; Zhang, L.; Lou, Z.; et al. Delicate structural coordination of the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus Nsp13 upon ATP hydrolysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2019**, *47*, 6538–6550.

(26) Jankowsky, E.; Fairman-Williams, M. E. *RSC Biomol. Sci.*; Royal Society of Chemistry, 2010; pp 1–31.

(27) Fairman-Williams, M. E.; Guenther, U. P.; Jankowsky, E. SF1 and SF2 helicases: Family matters. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 2010, 20, 313–324.

(28) Ranji, A.; Boris-Lawrie, K. RNA helicases: Emerging roles in viral replication and the host innate response. *RNA Biol.* **2010**, *7*, 775–787.

(29) Pyle, A. M. RNA helicases and remodeling proteins. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2011**, *15*, 636–642.

(30) Raney, K. D.; Byrd, A. K.; Aarattuthodiyil, S. Structure and mechanisms of SF1 DNA helicases. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 2013, 767, 17–46.

(31) Seybert, A.; Hegyi, A.; Siddell, S. G.; Ziebuhr, J. The human coronavirus 229E superfamily 1 helicase has RNA and DNA duplexunwinding activities with 5'-to-3' polarity. *RNA* **2000**, *6*, 1056–1068.

(32) Marchat, L. A.; Arzola-Rodríguez, S. I.; Hernandez-de la Cruz, O.; Lopez-Rosas, I.; Lopez-Camarillo, C. DEAD/DExH-Box RNA helicases in selected human parasites. *Korean J. Parasitol.* **2015**, *53*, 583–595.

(33) Patel, S. S.; Donmez, I. Mechanisms of helicases. J. Biol. Chem. 2006, 281, 18265–18268.

(34) Mickolajczyk, K. J.; Shelton, P. M.; Grasso, M.; Cao, X.; Warrington, S. E.; Aher, A.; Liu, S.; Kapoor, T. M. Force-dependent stimulation of RNA unwinding by SARS-CoV-2 nsp13 helicase. *Biophys. J.* **2021**, *120*, 1020–1030.

(35) Miao, Y., McCammon, J. A. Annu. Rep. Comput. Chem., 1st ed.; Elsevier B.V., 2017; Vol. 13; pp 231–278.

(36) Chakrabarti, S.; Jayachandran, U.; Bonneau, F.; Fiorini, F.; Basquin, C.; Domcke, S.; Le Hir, H.; Conti, E. Molecular Mechanisms for the RNA-Dependent ATPase Activity of Upf1 and Its Regulation by Upf2. *Mol. Cell* **2011**, *41*, 693–703.

(37) Theobald, D. L.; Wuttke, D. S. THESEUS: Maximum likelihood superpositioning and analysis of macromolecular structures. *Bioinformatics* **2006**, *22*, 2171–2172.

(38) Cheng, Z.; Muhlrad, D.; Lim, M. K.; Parker, R.; Song, H. Structural and functional insights into the human Upf1 helicase core. *EMBO J.* **2007**, *26*, 253–264.

(39) Maier, J. A.; Martinez, C.; Kasavajhala, K.; Wickstrom, L.; Hauser, K. E.; Simmerling, C. ff14SB: Improving the Accuracy of Protein Side Chain and Backbone Parameters from ff99SB. J. Chem. Theory Comput. **2015**, *11*, 3696–3713.

(40) Banáš, P.; Hollas, D.; Zgarbová, M.; Jurečka, P.; Orozco, M.; Cheatham, T. E.; Šponer, J.; Otyepka, M. Performance of molecular mechanics force fields for RNA simulations: Stability of UUCG and GNRA hairpins. J. Chem. Theory Comput. **2010**, *6*, 3836–3849.

(41) Zgarbová, M.; Otyepka, M.; Šponer, J. J.; Mládek, A.; Banáš, P.; Cheatham, T. E.; Jurečka, P. Refinement of the Cornell et al. Nucleic Acids Force Field Based on Reference Quantum Chemical

Article

Calculations of Glycosidic Torsion Profiles. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2011, 7, 2886–2902.

(42) Meagher, K. L.; Redman, L. T.; Carlson, H. A. Development of polyphosphate parameters for use with the AMBER force field. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2003**, *24*, 1016–1025.

(43) Li, P.; Merz, K. M. MCPB.py: A Python Based Metal Center Parameter Builder. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2016, 56, 599–604.

(44) Case, D.; Betz, R.; Cerutti, D.; Cheatham, T., III; Darden, T.; Duke, R.; Giese, T.; Gohlke, H.; Goetz, A.; Homeyer, N. et al. *AMBER 2018*; University of California: San Francisco, 2016.

(45) Ryckaert, J. P.; Ciccotti, G.; Berendsen, H. J. Numerical integration of the cartesian equations of motion of a system with constraints: molecular dynamics of n-alkanes. *J. Comput. Phys.* **1977**, 23, 327–341.

(46) Darden, T.; York, D.; Pedersen, L. Particle mesh Ewald: An N·log(N) method for Ewald sums in large systems. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, 98, 10089–10092.

(47) Huynh, V.; Phung, D.; Venkatesh, S. Streaming variational inference for dirichlet process mixtures. *ACML 2015 - 7th Asian Conf. Mach. Learn.* **2015**, 237–252.

(48) Rousseeuw, P. J. Silhouettes: A graphical aid to the interpretation and validation of cluster analysis. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 1987, 20, 53-65.

(49) Caliñski, T.; Harabasz, J. A Dendrite Method for Cluster Analysis. Commun. Stat. 1974, 3, 1–27.

(50) Davies, D. L.; Bouldin, D. W. A Cluster Separation Measure. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. **1979**, PAMI-1, 224–227.

(51) Pedregosa, F.; Varoquaux, G.; Gramfort, A.; Michel, V.; Thirion, B.; Grisel, O.; Blondel, M.; Prettenhofer, P.; Weiss, R.; Dubourg, V.; et al. Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.* **2011**, *12*, 2825–2830.

(52) Hall, M. C.; Matson, S. W. Helicase motifs: The engine that powers DNA unwinding. *Mol. Microbiol.* **1999**, *34*, 867–877.

(53) Wang, Q.; Arnold, J. J.; Uchida, A.; Raney, K. D.; Cameron, C. E. Phosphate release contributes to the rate-limiting step for unwinding by an RNA helicase. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2010**, *38*, 1312–1324.

(54) Garai, A.; Chowdhury, D.; Betterton, M. D. Two-state model for helicase translocation and unwinding of nucleic acids. *Phys. Rev. E* - *Stat. Nonlinear, Soft Matter Phys.* **2008**, *77*, 1–9.

(55) Davidson, R. B.; Hendrix, J.; Geiss, B. J.; McCullagh, M. Allostery in the dengue virus NS3 helicase: Insights into the NTPase cycle from molecular simulations. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 2018, 14, e1006103.

(56) Du Pont, K. E.; Davidson, R. B.; McCullagh, M.; Geiss, B. J. Motif v regulates energy transduction between the flavivirus NS3 ATPase and RNA-binding cleft. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2020**, 295, 1551–1564.