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#### Abstract

A chemical investigation on Sporormiella sp. led to the isolation and structural elucidation of tripodalsporormielones $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3})$, a new class of polyketide possessing unprecedented cage-like skeletons with polyvdent bridged and fused ring systems. These polyketides with cage-like skeletons were characterized as a high non-protonated carbon-containing system, which resulted in few HMBC correlations observed and made the accurate structures hard to be obtained by NMR. Especially, some signals of non-protonated $s p^{2}$ carbons are weak and even unobservable in compound 1. In order to establish the structure of $\mathbf{1}$, the calculated NMR with DP4 evaluation was applied to determine the structure from the plausible structure candidates obtained from the detailed NMR analysis. Based on NMR experiments and calculated NMR, the structures of isolated compounds were established and confirmed by X-ray technology. Through chiral isolation, the optically pure enantiomers of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ were obtained, and their absolute configurations were determined based on ECD quantum chemical calculation. Based on the isolated compounds and our previous work, $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3}$ would be derived from 3-methylorcinaldehyde, and their


[^0]plausible biosynthetic mechanism was proposed. Furthermore, $\mathbf{1}$ exhibited obvious short-term memory improvement activity on an Alzheimer's disease fly model.
© 2021 Chinese Pharmaceutical Association and Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license
(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

A large number of facts have shown that fungus is one of major sources for searching novel molecules ${ }^{1}$. Sporormiella is a genus of Ascomycete fungi in the family Sporormiaceae, which contains more than 80 species $^{2-5}$ widely distributing in sub-boreal and temperate regions of the world. It is composed of coprophilous species found on the dung of livestock and wild herbivores, and endophytic species living in plants ${ }^{6-8}$. The spores of these species have dark brown and septate characteristic features, and have a pronounced sigmoid germination pore ${ }^{6,7}$. These fungi produce a variety of secondary metabolites, including xanthones, chromones, macrocyclic lactone, organic acids, triterpenoids, steroids, and the nitrogenous compounds ${ }^{9,10}$.

In our searching for complex and bioactive molecules from fungi ${ }^{11-13}$, five new tricyclic $C-C$ coupled orsellinic acid derivative dimers with dimethyl cyclopentanone unit (sporormielones $A-E$ ) were isolated from a strain of fungus Sporormiella sp. 40-1-4-1, which were derived from a polyketide precursor (3-methylorcinaldehyde) produced by the NRPKS gene $(s p o A)^{14}$. In order to find more novel compounds, a further chemical investigation on this strain was carried out, which led to the isolation of three complex polyketides (tripodalsporormielones $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}, \mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3}$ ) possessing unprecedented cage-like skeletons with polyvdent bridged and fused ring systems (Fig. 1). Herein, we described the structural elucidations and bioassays of tripodalsporormielones $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3})$. Furthermore, the plausible biosynthetic pathway of $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3}$ was proposed.

## 2. Results

The EtOAc extract of Sporormiella sp. 40-1-4-1 fermented with rice was subjected to silica gel column chromatography using cyclohexane/ $\mathrm{MeOH}(100: 0$ and $0: 100, v / v$ ) to afford a cyclohexane extract and a MeOH extract. The MeOH extract was subjected to ODS and preparative HPLC to afford three novel polyketides (tripodalsporormielones $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}, \mathbf{1 - 3}$ ). Their structures, including absolute configurations, were determined by NMR, X-ray, calculated NMR and ECD experiments.


Figure 1 Structures of tripodalsporormielones $A-C(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3})$.

### 2.1. Structural elucidation of three novel polyketides

Tripodalsporormielone $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1})$ was isolated as yellow crystals, and its molecular formula was deduced as $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ based on a pseudomolecular ion peak at $m / z 351.1076[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{8}, 351.1080$ ) by HRESIMS, indicating nine degrees of unsaturation. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Supporting Information Table S1) showed two methine protons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.30$ and 2.77) and four methyls ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.02,1.82,1.80$, and 1.75). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum only showed 16 carbon signals, which indicated one unobserved carbon existing in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR experiment compared with HRESIMS. Combined with DEPT 135 spectrum, these observed ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ resonances can be ascribable to two ketone carbonyl carbons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 198.9$ and 187.7), one ester carbonyl carbon ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 168.8), four aromatic or olefinic non-protonated carbons, three oxygenated non-protonated $s p^{3}$ carbons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 84.4,82.7$, and 75.7), two $s p^{3}$ methine carbons, and four methyl carbons. In the HMBC spectrum, the observed correlations from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-1^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.02\right.$, brs) to C$2^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 151.2\right) / \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 53.1\right) / \mathrm{C}-7^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 127.9\right)$, from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-8\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.82\right.$, brs) to $\mathrm{C}-2\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 128.3\right) / \mathrm{C}-6\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 53.0\right) / \mathrm{C}-7\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 150.7\right)$, from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-8^{\prime}$ $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.80, \mathrm{brs}\right)$ to $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 151.2\right) / \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 198.9\right) / \mathrm{C}-7^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 127.9\right)$, and from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-1\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.75\right.$, brs) to $\mathrm{C}-2\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 128.3\right) / \mathrm{C}-3\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 187.7\right) / \mathrm{C}-7$ ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 150.7) revealed the existence of two dimethylbut-2-enoyl moieties. In addition, the HMBC correlations from $\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ 3.30 , brs) to $\mathrm{C}-6\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 53.0\right) / \mathrm{C}-9^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 168.8\right)$, from H-6 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.77$, brs) to $\mathrm{C}-7\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 150.7\right) / \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 198.9\right)$, and from $\mathrm{H}-6 / \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}$ to three oxygenated non-protonated $s p^{3}$ carbons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 84.4,82.7$, and 75.7) were observed. Since the HMBC correlations from H-6/H-3' to these three oxygenated non-protonated $s p^{3}$ carbons were hardly identified as ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CH}}$ or ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{CH}}$ correlations, three plausible topological structures (Fig. 2) were enumerated based on the observed HMBC


HMBC H $\longrightarrow$ C
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Figure 2 Plausible topological structures of 1.


Figure 3 Plausible structure candidates of 1.

Table 1 Related parameters of the calculated ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts for five structure candidates of $\mathbf{1}$.

| Parameter | $\mathbf{1 A - 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 A - 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 A - 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 B}$ | $\mathbf{1 C}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R^{2}$ | 0.9967 | 0.9942 | 0.9951 | 0.9963 | 0.9978 |
| MAE | 2.42 | 3.83 | 3.44 | 3.07 | 2.45 |
| MaxErr | 11.18 | 10.79 | 10.59 | 8.26 | 6.40 |
| DP4 | $70.43 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $29.56 \%$ |

$R^{2}$ : correlation coefficient in regression analysis. MAE: mean absolute error. MaxErr: maximum absolute error.
correlations and chemical shifts. What is more, the unobserved carbon in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR was still undetected in 2D NMR experiments, which made the structural elucidation more complicated. On the basis of the molecular formula, the degrees of unsaturation, the possible linkage of ester bond, and structural rationality (e.g., reasonable chemical shift, bond length, and bond angle), these three plausible topological structures (A-C, Fig. 2) would lead to five plausible structure candidates (Fig. 3). For lacking important HMBC correlations, only using NMR is hard to obtain the accurate structure of $\mathbf{1}$ with a high non-protonated carbon-containing system from these plausible structure candidates (Fig. 3).

NMR calculation has been commonly used in structural elucidation and revision of natural products ${ }^{15}$. Therefore, NMR calculations of these candidates were carried out using GIAO method at the mPW1PW91/6-31+G(d,p) level in the IEFPCM solvent model (DMSO). Based on DP4 evaluation ${ }^{16,17}$, the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was inferred as $\mathbf{1 A - 1}$ (Table 1), and the chemical shift of the unobserved carbon (C-4) was predicted as 96.1 ppm according to the NMR calculation. Finally, X-ray data of $\mathbf{1}$ was obtained and unambiguously showed the whole structure of $\mathbf{1}$ with its relative configuration as $4 S^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 6 S^{*}, 3^{\prime} S^{*}, 4^{\prime} S^{*}, 5^{\prime} S^{*}$ (Fig. 4), which confirmed the deduction from NMR calculation.




Figure 4 X-ray crystal diffractions of 1-3.

Tripodalsporormielone B (2) was purified as yellow crystals, and its positive HRESIMS gave the molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ from a pseudomolecular ion peak at $m / z 335.1124[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{7}, 335.1131$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data (Supporting Information Table S2) of $\mathbf{2}$ suggested the presences of four methyl groups ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.02,1.91,1.81$, and 1.52; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 24.0,22.4$, 15.5 , and 10.9 ) and three carbonyl groups ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 198.2,191.2$, and 167.6). The HMBC correlations from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-1^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.02\right.$, brs) to $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime} /$ $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-7^{\prime}$, from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-8^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.81\right.$, brs) to $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-7^{\prime}$, and from $\mathrm{H}-$ $3^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.39\right.$, s) to $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-9^{\prime}$ indicated the presence of dime-thylcyclohex-2-enone fragment, which was the same as that of $\mathbf{1}$. The other observable HMBC correlations from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-8$ ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.91$, brs) to C-2/C-6/C-7, from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-9$ ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.52$, s) to $\mathrm{C}-3 / \mathrm{C}-4 / \mathrm{C}-5$, from $\mathrm{H}-2$ ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.88$, brs) to $\mathrm{C}-3 / \mathrm{C}-7 / \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$, from $\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.39\right.$, s) to $\mathrm{C}-2 / \mathrm{C}-4$, and from H-6 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.01$, brs) to C-4/C-8 expanded the fragment and gave the partial structure of 2 (Fig. 5). Since no further useful NMR information was found, the linkage of ester bond was hard to be determined. Finally, the complete structure of 2 was established by X-ray data (Fig. 4), and its relative configuration was determined as $4 S^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 6 S^{*}, 3^{\prime} S^{*}, 4^{\prime} S^{*}, 5^{\prime} S^{*}$. The observed ROESY correlations between $\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}-9 / \mathrm{H}_{3}-1^{\prime}$ were consistent with the above deduction.

Tripodalsporormielone $\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{3})$ was obtained as yellow crystals. Its molecular formula was determined as $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the basis of the protonated molecular ion at $m / z 279.1228[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 279.1232$ ) in its HRESIMS. Two carbonyl carbons signals ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 209.3$ and 191.1), two olefinic non-protonated carbons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} \quad 153.4$ and 126.6), four non-protonated $s p^{3}$ carbon signals (including three oxidized ones, $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 96.3,92.3$, and 87.0 ), two $s p^{3}$ methine carbon signals ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 67.6$ and 56.1), one $s p^{3}$ methene signal ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 52.9$ ), and four methyl carbon signals ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 23.0,14.7,12.1$, and 10.7) were found in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Supporting Information Table S3). The HMBC correlations from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-8^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.96\right.$, brs) to C $2^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-7^{\prime}$, from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-1^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.73\right.$, brs) to $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-7^{\prime}$, and from $\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.39\right.$, brs) to $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ indicated the presence of dime-thylcyclohex-2-enone moiety in 3 , which was also similar with that of 1. The other observable HMBC correlations from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-8\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ 1.16 , s) to $\mathrm{C}-2 / \mathrm{C}-6 / \mathrm{C}-7$, from $\mathrm{H}_{3}-1\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.98\right.$, s) to $\mathrm{C}-2 / \mathrm{C}-3 / \mathrm{C}-7$, from $\mathrm{H}_{2}-3\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.35\right)$ to C-2/C-5/C-6/C-6', and from H-6 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.89$, s) to $\mathrm{C}-5 / \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime} / \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ indicated the existence of a dimethylbi-cyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one moiety. Based on the molecular formula and the degrees of unsaturation, the partial structure of $\mathbf{3}$ (Fig. 5) was established. However, the limited NMR data would not establish the complete structure. Finally, X-ray crystal diffraction of $\mathbf{3}$ (Fig. 4) established the whole planar structure and the relative configuration of 3 as $2 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}, 7 S^{*}, 4^{\prime} R^{*}, 5^{\prime} R^{*}, 6^{\prime} S^{*}$. In addition, the observed ROESY correlations between $\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-1 / \mathrm{H}-8^{\prime}$, between $\mathrm{H}-8^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-1$, between $\mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-3 / \mathrm{H}-8$, and between $\mathrm{H}-$ 6 and H-8 were consistent with the above deduction. Therefore, the structure of tripodalsporormielone $\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{3})$ was also established, which was a 7 -oxatetracyclo[6.3.1.0 $\left.0^{2,6} .0^{5,12}\right]$ undecane skeleton as a tripodal bridged and fused ring system (Fig. 1).

The optical rotation data of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ were close to zero and the space groups of X-ray crystal data of $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 3}$ were achiral ( $P-1$ for $\mathbf{1}$, $P 21 / n$ for 2, $P 21 / n$ for 3), which indicated that $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3}$ should be the mixtures of enantiomers. So, chiral HPLC analyses of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ were carried out. Except for 2, the enantiomers of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ were successfully isolated by the chiral HPLC chromatography with the existing conditions, respectively. Two pairs of enantiomers [(-) $\mathbf{1 a} /(+) \mathbf{1 b}$ and $(+) \mathbf{3 a} /(-) \mathbf{3 b}$ ] were obtained (Supporting Information Figs. S1 and S2). After that, the quantum chemical electronic circular dichroism (ECD) calculations of


Figure 5 Key HMBC correlations of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$.


Figure 6 Chiral HPLC analysis, experimental and calculated ECD spectra of 1 .
$\left(4 S, 5 S, 6 S, 3^{\prime} S, 4^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} S\right)-1$ and $\left(4 R, 5 R, 6 R, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 5^{\prime} R\right)$ - 1 were used to determine their absolute configurations. The calculated ECD data were obtained at the B3LYP/TZVP level in MeOH. The predicted ECD curve of $\left(4 S, 5 S, 6 S, 3^{\prime} S, 4^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} S\right)$ - $\mathbf{1}$ matched well with the experimental spectrum of $(-) \mathbf{1 a}$ (Fig. 6), indicating the absolute configuration of (-) $\mathbf{1}$ a to be $4 S, 5 S, 6 S, 3^{\prime} S, 4^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} S$. As well, the absolute configuration of $(+) \mathbf{1 b}$ was determined as $4 R$, $5 R, 6 R, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 5^{\prime} R$. Similarly, the absolute configurations of (+) 3a and (-) 3b were determined as $2 S, 6 R, 7 S, 4^{\prime} R, 5^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} S-\mathbf{3}$ and $2 R, 6 S, 7 R, 4^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} S, 6^{\prime} R-3$ based on ECD calculation (Supporting Information Fig. S12) with the same calculated system, respectively.

### 2.2. Bioactive screenings of novel polyketides

The bioactivities of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ were screened on rescuing $A D$ (Alzheimer's disease) flies short-term memory, anti-
acetylcholinesterase (AChE), cytotoxicity, and antimicrobial assays, and 1 showed obviously improving activity to save shortterm memory of AD flies with the performance indexes (PI) $43.5 \pm 10.6$ (Supporting Information Fig. S13), which was similar to the positive control (memantine, $\mathrm{PI}=45.0 \pm 6.4$ ).

## 3. Discussion

Inspired by previous work ${ }^{14}$, tripodalsporormielones $A-C(\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 3})$ would be $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ coupled orsellinic acid derivative dimers and are likely to be derived from the same precursor as sporormie lones $A-E$, whose biosynthesis is initiated by a 3-methy lorcinaldehyde synthase SpoA. Different from sporormielones A-E produced by a single ortho-quinone methide (o-QM) intermediate, $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ would be generated from para-QM intermediate and para-QM-like intermediate, which are highly reactive chemical motifs ${ }^{18,19}$. The intermediates I and III would be generated from 3-methylorcinaldehyde via oxidation, demethylation, reduction, and dehydration ${ }^{14,20}$, while the intermediate II would be derived from 3-methyl orsellinic acid via decarboxylation and oxidation (Fig. 7). In the proposed biosynthesis of sporormielones $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}^{14}$, the dimerization would be generated from the same $o-\mathrm{QM}$ intermediate with different $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ coupled patterns via Michael addition. Different from sporormielones A-E, 1-3 would be generated through diverse nucleophilic additions. In the biosynthesis of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$, the intermediates Ia and IIIa undergo dimerization to produce intermediate A followed by nucleophilic addition between $9^{\prime}-\mathrm{COOH}$ and 4 -ketone carbonyl to afford 1. Similarly, dimerization of intermediates II and Ia would generate intermediate B , which would be converted to 2 by esterification between $9^{\prime}-\mathrm{COOH}$ and $4-\mathrm{OH}$. The formation of skeleton of $\mathbf{3}$ is more complicated than those of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. The intermediates IIIa and IIIb would yield intermediate C-1, which would be converted to $\mathbf{3}$ by the following enol interconversion, acyloin rearrangement ${ }^{21-23}$, reduction, and demethylation (Fig. 7).

To date, only a few $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ coupled orsellinic acid derivative dimers have been reported from fungi, including dicyclic ring system (such as oosporeins ${ }^{24-26}$ and epicoccolide $\mathrm{B}^{27}$ ), tricyclic fused ring system (such as sporormielones $A-E^{14}$ ), and simple bridged ring system (such as epicoccolide $\mathrm{A}^{27}$ and epicocconigrone $\mathrm{A}^{28}$ ). Different from all those reported ones, tripodalsporormielones $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3})$ are a new class of orsellinic acid derivative dimer possessing more complex skeletons with polyvdent bridged and fused ring systems. Combined with sporormielones, our work shows that 3-methylorcinaldehyde would be transformed into various QM and QM -like intermediates in this strain, which would lead to the abundant structural diversity of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ coupled orsellinic acid derivative polymers with complex skeletons. In addition, these complex molecules are characterized as a high non-protonated carbon-containing system, which results in few HMBC correlations observed. What is more, some signals of non-protonated $s p^{2}$ carbons are weak and even unobservable. These would increase the difficulty of the structural elucidation. Our work also clearly exhibits that NMR calculation with DP4 evaluation is a powerful and reliable tool in structural elucidation, which would effectively remove the wrong structure candidates.


Figure 7 Plausible biosynthetic pathway of tripodalsporormielones A-C (1-3).

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. General experimental procedures

Methanol (MeOH) was purchased from Yuwang Industrial Co., Ltd. (Yucheng, China). Acetonitrile (MeCN) was obtained from Oceanpak Alexative Chemical Co., Ltd. (Gothenburg, Sweden). Ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and cyclohexane were analytical grade from Fine Chemical Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China).

Melting points were measured on a BÜCHIB-545 melting point measurement (BÜCHI Labortechnik AG, Flawil, Switzerland) without correction. UV data were recorded using a JASCO V-550 UV/Vis spectrometer (Jasco International Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). IR data were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-480 plus spectrometer (Jasco International Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO P1020 digital polarimeter (Jasco International Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). ECD spectra were recorded in MeOH using a Chirascan-plus qCD spectrometer (Applied Photophysics Ltd., UK) at room temperature. HRESIMS spectra were obtained on Waters Synapt G2 TOF mass spectrometer (Waters Corporation, Milford, USA). 1D and 2D NMR spectra were acquired with Bruker AV 600 spectrometers (Bruker BioSpin Group, Faellanden, Switzerland) using the solvent signals (DMSO- $d_{6}: \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.50 / \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 39.5$ ) as internal standards. Column chromatography (CC) was carried out on silica gel (200-300 mesh) (Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Group Corporation, Qingdao, China), ODS ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{YMC}$ ), and Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Sweden). TLC was performed on precoated silica gel plate (SGF254, 0.2 mm , Yantai Chemical Industry Research Institute, China). Analytical HPLC was performed on a Dionex HPLC system equipped with an Ultimate 3000 pump, an Ultimate 3000 diode array detector, an Ultimate 3000 column compartment, an Ultimate 3000 autosampler (Dionex, USA), and an Alltech (Grace) 2000 ES evaporative light scattering detector
(Alltech, USA) using a Phenomenex Gemini C18 column ( $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Semi-preparative HPLC and preparative HPLC were carried out on a Shimadzu LC-6AD system equipped with a UV detector. Medium pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) was performed on ODS $(50 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and equipped with a dual pump gradient system, a UV preparative detector, and a Dr Flash II fraction collector system (Shanghai Lisui E-Tech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China).

### 4.2. Fungal materials and fermentation

The strain (40-1-4-1) was isolated from the lichen Cladonia subulata (L.) Wigg. collected from Changbai Mountain, Jilin province, in August 2006. The strain was identified as Sporormiella sp. by Prof. Liangdong Guo and Prof. Dan Hu based on its morphological characteristics and gene sequence analysis. The ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and the 5.8S rRNA gene sequences (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) of the strain have been deposited at GenBank as MK942641.

The fungal strain was cultured on slants of potato dextrose agar (PDA) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. Agar plugs were used to inoculate 25 Erlenmeyer flasks ( 500 mL ), each containing 100 mL of potato dextrose broth (PDB). Fermentation was carried out in 200 Erlenmeyer flasks ( 500 mL ), each containing 70 g of rice. Distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(105 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to each flask, and the rice was soaked overnight before autoclaving at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . After cooling down to the room temperature, each flask was inoculated with 10.0 mL of the seed culture containing mycelia and incubated at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 days.

### 4.3. Extraction and isolation

The culture was extracted thrice with EtOAc, and the pooled organic solvent was evaporated to dryness under vacuum to afford
a crude extract ( 115.1 g ). Then the crude extract was subjected to silica gel CC ( $4 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) using cyclohexane-MeOH (100:0 and $0: 100, v / v)$ to afford a cyclohexane extract ( $\mathrm{C}, 70.4 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and a MeOH extract ( $\mathrm{w}, 38.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ). The MeOH extract ( $\mathrm{w}, 38.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was separated by ODS MPLC $(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm})$ eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $30: 70,50: 50,70: 30,100: 0, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} 1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford 5 fractions (w1-w5). Fraction w1 (19.7 g) was further subjected to ODS MPLC $(4 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm})$ eluted with a gradient of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5:95 to $100: 0, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) for 800 min at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ to afford fractions w1-1 to w1-6. Fraction w1-4 (9.0 g) was subjected to preparative HPLC on Marchal C18 $6 \mu \mathrm{C} 18$ column ( $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm})$ using $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(18: 82, v / v)$ at a flow rate of $100 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ with a Newstyle-NP7000 preparative HPLC to afford 3 fractions (w1-4-1-w1-4-3). Fraction w1-4-1 (1.4 g) was subjected to semi-preparative HPLC using $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8: 92, v / v)$ at a flow rate of $3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ to afford 8 fractions (w1-4-1-1-w1-4-1-8). Fraction w1-4-1-5 ( 96.9 mg ) was subjected to preparative HPLC on Phenomenex Kinetex C8 column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 21.2 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) using $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5: 95, v / v)$ at a flow rate of $8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ to afford $\mathbf{1}$ ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 33 \mathrm{~min}, 15.0 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Fraction w1-4-1-4 ( 64.3 mg ) was subjected to semi-preparative HPLC on YMC-Pack ODS-A column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $10.0 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) using $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10: 90, v / v)$ at a flow rate of $3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ to afford $2\left(t_{\mathrm{R}}: 30 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{mg}\right.$ ). Fractions w1-4-2 $(775.3 \mathrm{mg})$ and w1-4-3 ( 264.6 mg ) combined with fraction w1-5 $(1.53 \mathrm{~g})$ were subjected to Sephadex LH-20 using MeOH to afford 7 fractions (w1-5-1-w1-5-7). Fraction w1-5-4 ( 983.9 mg ) was subjected to semi-preparative HPLC on YMC-Pack ODS-A column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 10.0 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) using $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(12: 88$, $v / v$ ) at a flow rate of $3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ to afford 9 fractions (w1-5-4-1-w1-5-4-9). Fraction w1-5-4-6 ( 90.2 mg ) was subjected to semipreparative HPLC on YMC-Pack ODS-A column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $10.0 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm})$ using $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20: 80, v / v)$ at a flow rate of $3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ to afford $3\left(t_{\mathrm{R}}: 50 \mathrm{~min}, 13.4 \mathrm{mg}\right)$.

### 4.4. Chiral separations of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$

The chiral HPLC separation of compound $\mathbf{1}$ was separated successfully to obtain 1a $\left[t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.0 \min [\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{29}=-24.1(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})\right] /$ 1b $\left[t_{\mathrm{R}}: 11.0 \mathrm{~min}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{29}=+21.8\right.$ (c $\left.\left.1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)\right]$ by using an EnantioPak OZ-3 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) at the rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

The chiral HPLC separation of compound 3 was separated successfully to obtain 3a $\left[t_{\mathrm{R}}: 17.0 \mathrm{~min}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{29}=+192.8\right.$ (c 0.129, $\mathrm{MeOH})] / \mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}\left[t_{\mathrm{R}}: 20.0 \min [\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{29}=-189.8(c 0.091, \mathrm{MeOH})\right]$ by using an EnantioPak OZ-3 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) at the rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

### 4.5. Structural characterizations of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$

Compound 1: yellow crystals (MeOH); m.p. 194-199 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ESIMS (positive): $m / z 351[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, m / z 723[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; HRESIMS (positive) $m / z 351.1076[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{8}$, 351.1080); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 250(4.02) \mathrm{nm}$; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }}$ 3470, 2925, 1755, 1671, 1624, 1378, 1338, 1205, 1173, $1034 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table S1.
$(-)\left(4 S, 5 S, 6 S, 3^{\prime} S, 4^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} S\right)$ 1a: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{29}-24.1$ (c $\left.1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$; ECD $\left(2.8 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\Delta \varepsilon): 202(-7.27), 247$ $(+21.22), 269(-4.68), 320(-5.11) \mathrm{nm}$.
(+) $\left(4 R, 5 R, 6 R, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 5^{\prime} R\right) \mathbf{1 b}:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{29}+21.8(c \quad 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})$; ECD $\left(3.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \lambda_{\max }(\Delta \varepsilon): 202(+7.71), 247$ $(-19.00), 269(+4.10), 319(+4.25) \mathrm{nm}$.

Compound 2: yellow crystals (MeOH); m.p. 197-203 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ESIMS (positive): $m / z 335[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, m / z 357[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; HRESIMS (positive) $m / z 335.1124[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\left(\right.$Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{7}, 335.1131$ ); $\mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 205$ (4.00), 230 (4.08) nm; IR (KBr) $v_{\max }$ 3418, 2921, 2900, 1758, 1677, 1626, 1380, 1211, 1170, 1037, $804 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table S 2 .

Compound 3: yellow crystals (MeOH); m.p. $189-194{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ESIMS (positive): $m / z 279[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, m / z 579[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; HRESIMS (positive) $m / z 279.1228[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, 279.1232); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 216$ (3.82), 259 (3.80) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3383,2986,2960,1761,1673,1595,1387,1355$, 1132, $953 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table S3.
(+) $\left(2 S, 6 R, 7 S, 4^{\prime} R, 5^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} S\right) 3 \mathrm{a}:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{33}+192.8(c 0.129, \mathrm{MeOH})$; ECD $\left(2.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \lambda_{\max }(\Delta \varepsilon): 202(+15.38), 236$ $(+7.66), 268(-15.05), 328(+6.63) \mathrm{nm}$.
(-) $\left(2 R, 6 S, 7 R, 4^{\prime} S, 5^{\prime} S, 6^{\prime} R\right) 3 \mathbf{3 b}:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{33}-189.8(c 0.091, \mathrm{MeOH})$; $\operatorname{ECD}\left(1.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \lambda_{\max }(\Delta \varepsilon): 202(-15.79), 237$ $(-8.07), 268(+17.23), 329(-7.81) \mathrm{nm}$.
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