## Arterial to end-tidal Pco<sub>2</sub> difference during exercise in normoxia and severe acute hypoxia: importance of blood temperature correction

José Losa-Reyna<sup>1,2</sup>, Rafael Torres-Peralta<sup>1,2</sup>, Juan José González Henriquez<sup>2,3</sup> & José A. L. Calbet<sup>1,2</sup>

1 Department of Physical Education, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain

2 Research Institute of Biomedical and Health Sciences (IUIBS), Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Canary Islands, Spain

3 Department of Mathematics, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain

#### Keywords

Blood temperature, gas exchange, gradient, hypoxia, Pco<sub>2</sub>.

#### Correspondence

José A. L. Calbet, Departamento de Educación Física, Campus Universitario de Tafira, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria 35017, Canary Island, Spain. Tel: 0034 928 458 896 Fax: 0034 928 458 867 E-mail: lopezcalbet@gmail.com

Received: 16 July 2015; Revised: 24 July 2015; Accepted: 29 July 2015

doi: 10.14814/phy2.12512

Physiol Rep, 3 (10), 2015, e12512, doi: 10.14814/phy2.12512

#### Abstract

Negative arterial to end-tidal Pco2 differences ((a-ET)Pco2) have been reported in normoxia. To determine the influence of blood temperature on (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub>, 11 volunteers ( $21 \pm 2$  years) performed incremental exercise to exhaustion in normoxia (Nx, P<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 143 mmHg) and hypoxia (Hyp, P<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 73 mmHg), while arterial blood gases and temperature (ABT) were simultaneously measured together with end-tidal Pco2 (PETCO2). After accounting for blood temperature, the (a-ET) Pco2 was reduced (in absolute values) from  $-4.2 \pm 1.6$  to  $-1.1 \pm 1.5$  mmHg in normoxia and from  $-1.7 \pm 1.6$  to  $0.9 \pm 0.9$  mmHg in hypoxia (both P < 0.05). The temperature corrected (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> was linearly related with absolute and relative exercise intensity, VO<sub>2</sub>, VCO<sub>2</sub>, and respiratory rate (RR) in normoxia and hypoxia ( $R^2$ : 0.52– 0.59). Exercise  $CO_2$  production and  $P_{ET}CO_2$  values were lower in hypoxia than normoxia, likely explaining the greater (less negative) (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> difference in hypoxia than normoxia (P < 0.05). At near-maximal exercise intensity the (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> lies close to 0 mmHg, that is, the mean  $P_aco_2$  and the mean P<sub>ET</sub>CO<sub>2</sub> are similar. The mean exercise (a-ET)PCO<sub>2</sub> difference is closely related to the mean A-aDO<sub>2</sub> difference (r = 0.90, P < 0.001), as would be expected if similar mechanisms perturb the gas exchange of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> during exercise. In summary, most of the negative (a-ET)Pco2 values observed in previous studies are due to lack of correction of P<sub>a</sub>co<sub>2</sub> for blood temperature. The absolute magnitude of the (a-ET)Pco2 difference is lower during exercise in hypoxia than normoxia.

#### Introduction

The alveolar-to-arterial  $Po_2$  difference (A-aDO<sub>2</sub>,  $P_Ao_2$ - $P_ao_2$ ) increases with exercise intensity in humans (Holmgren and Linderholm 1958; Dempsey et al. 1984), and to a greater extent in hypoxia than in normoxia (Torre-Bueno et al. 1985; Schaffartzik et al. 1992; Wagner 1992; Calbet et al. 2008). In contrast, both positive and negative arterial to end-tidal  $Pco_2$  ((a-ET) $Pco_2$ ) values have been reported during exercise (Forster 1977; Gurtner 1977; Piiper 1986). It has been postulated that negative (a-ET) $Pco_2$ differences could be in part due to measurement artifacts, such as loss of  $CO_2$  from blood samples, dilution with heparin solutions present in syringes, and underestimation of lung temperature (Scheid and Piiper 1980; Piiper 1986).

Although the (a-ET)PCO<sub>2</sub> has been studied in healthy exercising humans (Whipp and Wasserman 1969; Jones et al. 1979; Robbins et al. 1990; Williams and Babb 1997) and patients with lung disease (Luft et al. 1979; Mahler et al. 1985; Liu et al. 1995), in none of these studies was the (a-ET)PCO<sub>2</sub> calculation corrected to account for the increase of lung blood temperature during exercise.

Due to the high diffusivity of CO<sub>2</sub>, mean alveolar  $P_{CO_2}$ ( $P_ACO_2$ ) is similar to the end capillary  $P_{CO_2}$  in well-ventilated and perfused alveoli and hence, similar to  $P_aCO_2$ (Cerretelli and Di Prampero 1987). However, mean  $P_ACO_2$ and  $P_{ETCO_2}$  may fluctuate differently during the

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License,

<sup>© 2015</sup> The Authors. *Physiological Reports* published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of the American Physiological Society and The Physiological Society.

which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

respiratory cycle (Hlastala 1972), both at rest and during exercise (Dubois et al. 1952; Johnson et al. 2011). One of the main factors influencing  $P_{ET}CO_2$  and hence (a-ET)PCO<sub>2</sub>, is the respiratory rate (Dubois et al. 1952; Hlastala 1972; Johnson et al. 2011). Compared to normoxia, during submaximal exercise in hypoxia pulmonary ventilation is increased by a combined elevation of tidal volume (VT) and respiratory rate (RR) (Paterson et al. 1987; Lundby et al. 2004; Calbet and Lundby 2009). In theory, for a given VO<sub>2</sub>, (a-ET)PCO<sub>2</sub> should increase with greater ventilation. However, the effect of severe hypoxia on exercise (a-ET) PCO<sub>2</sub> has not been assessed.

Therefore, the primary aim of this study was to examine the impact of: (1) blood temperature correction; and (2) severe hypoxia on the  $(a-ET)Pco_2$  difference during exercise in healthy subjects.

We hypothesized that: (1) correcting for blood temperature will reduce the absolute value of the  $(a-ET)Pco_2$  difference; and (2) the absolute value  $(a-ET)Pco_2$  difference will be lower during exercise in severe hypoxia than in normoxia, due to a greater impairment of pulmonary gas exchange during exercise in hypoxia.

#### **Methods**

#### **General overview**

This study was part of a larger project including several experiments designed to address the mechanisms limiting whole-body exercise performance in humans with assessment of central and local hemodynamics combined with measurements of oxygen transport, and pulmonary and muscle gas exchange (Calbet et al. 2015; González-Henriquez et al. 2015; Morales-Alamo et al. 2015). On the first visit to the laboratory, anthropometric measures and body composition analysis were performed. Thereafter, subjects reported to the laboratory on separate days to complete different incremental tests to exhaustion (see Exercise protocol below) in normoxia and hypoxia (Lode Excalibur Sport 925900, Groningen, The Netherlands). Subjects were requested to refrain from ingesting caffeineand taurine-containing drinks and from exercise 24 h before the experiments.

#### Subjects

Eleven healthy men participated in these studies. Their mean  $\pm$  SD age, height, weight, percentage of body fat, and maximal oxygen uptake (VO<sub>2</sub>max) were 21.5  $\pm$  2.0 years, 173.8  $\pm$  8.0 cm, 72.3  $\pm$  9.3 kg, 16.1  $\pm$  4.9%, and 3.621  $\pm$  0.326 L min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Before any experimental procedure, subjects received full oral and written information about the experiments. The study

was performed in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (CEIH-2010-01).

# Catheterization and preparation for the experiments

Both femoral veins and one femoral artery were catheterized under local anesthesia (2% lidocaine), as previously reported (Calbet et al. 2006). In the right femoral vein, a 16G catheter was inserted 3-cm below inguinal ligament and advanced 12-13 cm distally (Arrow ES-04306). This catheter was used for saline ice-cold injection to measure the leg blood flow (LBF) by thermodilution (Andersen and Saltin 1985). In the same femoral vein, a thermodilution catheter (PV2014L16N, Pulsion Medical Systems AG, Munich, Germany) was inserted 2 cm below the inguinal ligament and advanced 12 cm cranially. This catheter was used to measure the temperature of the blood in the femoral vein. The same type of catheter was also inserted into the right femoral artery and used to measure blood pressure and femoral artery blood temperature. A final 20G catheter was inserted into the contralateral femoral vein from 2 cm below the inguinal ligament and advanced 12 cm in the direction toward the heart (Arrow ES-04150), and used for sampling femoral vein blood. All catheters were doubly sutured to the skin at the insertion point.

The two thermistors were connected to the temperature conditioning and processing boxes (Flemming Jessen Engineering, Copenhagen, Denmark), and the right femoral artery and vein catheters to blood pressure bridge amplifiers (ML-117, ADInstruments, Sydney, Australia).

An electrocardiogram (ECG) was displayed on a monitor during catheterization and the rest of the experimental procedures for safety reasons. The ECG, blood pressure, and the temperature registered by the thermistor, as well as the infusate temperature were recorded simultaneously with the data acquisition system (Power Lab ML880, ADInstruments, Bella Vista, Australia).

#### **Exercise protocol**

On the experimental day, subjects reported to the laboratory at 07.00 in fasted conditions. After catheterization, subjects were assigned randomly to either an incremental exercise test until volitional exhaustion in normoxia ( $P_{102}$ : ~143 mmHg) or hypoxia ( $P_{102}$ : ~73 mmHg, Altitrainer200, SMTEC, Switzerland). The test in normoxia started at 80 W with load increments of 30 W every 2 min. The test in hypoxia started at 60 W with load increments of 20 W every 2 min until exhaustion (Exh1).

Table 1. R	espirator	y variables and	arterial blood	pH during exer	cise in normoxi	ia (P <sub>IO2</sub> : ~143 n	nmHg) and hypoxia (	P <sub>lo2</sub> : ~73 m	nHg)				
	u u	Intensity (%Wpeak)	Intensity (watts)	VO <sub>2</sub> (L·min <sup>-1</sup> )	VCO <sub>2</sub> (L·min <sup>-1</sup> )	V <sub>E</sub> (L·min <sup>-1</sup> )	RR (breaths·min <sup>-1</sup> )	V <sub>T</sub> (mL)	P <sub>ETCO2</sub> (mmHg)	P <sub>ETO2</sub> (mmHg)	P <sub>aCO2</sub> (mmHg)	P <sub>acoz</sub> tc (mmHg)	Arterial pH tc
Normoxia													
Mean	6	28.5	82.2	1.58	1.39	35.9	21.1	1738	43.7	94.1	39.0	39.48	7.393
SD		1.7	6.7	0.14	0.24	6.9	4.7	301	3.7	5.9	3.8	3.73	0.022
Mean	9	69.4	215	3.18	3.37	88.4	37.3	2366	43	102.1	38.6	40.37	7.304
SD		1.3	25.1	0.26	0.28	11.4	3.6	217	4	3.1	3.1	3.29	0.031
Mean	11	78.3	225.5	3.25	3.55	99.4	39.7	2516	40.4	105.5	35.6	37.72	7.282
SD		2	34.5	0.38	0.48	13.4	6.2	215	2.5	2	1.7	2.2	0.038
Mean	11	89.2	256.4	3.54	4.03	124.7	48.1	2594	37.1	109.7	32.8	34.99	7.259
SD		1.0	36.7	0.31	0.4	17.7	4.8	258	3.2	3.1	1.6	1.82	0.044
Mean	6	100	290	3.62	4.24	143.2	59.1	2445	35.3	112.6	31.7	34.18	7.221
SD		0	42.4	0.39	0.47	19.4	7.3	374	2.1	m	2.9	3.06	0.041
Hypoxia													
Mean	6	44.4	82.2	1.62	1.8	55.5	26.6	2118	34.4	43.2	32.3	32.69	7.441
SD		5.0	6.7	0.18	0.14	6.9	4.6	303	3.2	0.8	1.9	2.04	0.035
Mean	7	70.7	125.7	1.96	2.37	79.2	36.7	2176	31.6	45.8	30.3	31.05	7.391
SD		4.8	27.6	0.31	0.48	18.7	9.4	222	3.2	2.2	2.5	2.42	0.04
Mean	7	79.2	150	2.3	2.92	98.8	41.7	2372	30.4	47.4	29.6	30.55	7.335
SD		2.6	30	0.3	0.45	20.1	8.2	171	3.3	2.2	2.9	2.83	0.047
Mean	9	88.8	160	2.33	2.95	104.7	48.4	2167	28.5	49	28.2	29.39	7.317
SD		1.3	21.9	0.22	0.43	12.3	4.6	205	2	1.4	2.1	2.03	0.064
n = number	of subje	acts.											

 $\textcircled{\sc 0}$  2015 The Authors. *Physiological Reports* published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of the American Physiological Society and The Physiological Society.

2015 | Vol. 3 | Iss. 10 | e12512 Page 3 At exhaustion, the subjects were rapidly switched to breathe room air (normoxia) and were requested to continue exercising at the same load for 2 min, and then the load was increased by 20 W every 2 min until exhaustion (Exh2). The tests were separated by 90 min rest. After the second test, a lunch break and a 120 min resting period were followed. Thereafter, the incremental exercise in hypoxia was repeated.

#### **Blood sampling**

Blood samples were drawn simultaneously from the arterial and venous femoral catheters over a 10-sec period during the last minute of the step of each workload. The sampling period was then aligned with the respective respiratory data, assuming a circulating time of ~10 sec (Calbet and Boushel 2015). Blood gases and hemoglobin concentrations were determined immediately after collection (ABL90, Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark). Uncorrected blood gases were expressed at 37°C. Arterial blood gasses and pH were corrected for blood temperature, using the arterial thermistor. Arterial Po2 and pH were corrected using Severinghaus equations (Severinghaus 1979), while  $Pco_2$  was corrected, using the equation  $Pco_2tc = Pco_{2(37)}*(10 \land 0.021*(T-37))$  according to Siggaard-Andersen (Siggaard-Andersen 1974), where Pco2tc is the temperature-corrected  $Pco_2$ ,  $Pco_{2(37)}$  is the  $Pco_2$ measured at  $37^{\circ}$ C, and T is the arterial blood temperature.

#### **Respiratory variables**

Respiratory variables were recorded continuously with a metabolic cart (Vmax N29; Sensormedics, California), calibrated prior to each test according to the manufacturer instructions with high-grade calibration gases (Carburos Metálicos, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria). Respiratory variables were analyzed breath-by-breath and averaged every 10 sec during the incremental exercise tests. Then, the respiratory data were aligned with the appropriate blood sample, assuming a 10-sec shift between pulmonary gas exchange and arterial blood gases.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Data are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise stated. Random-effects regression models were applied for data analysis. The random intercepts and slopes models were compared. The random intercepts models fit better into the data in all cases. Intercept and experimental error were assumed to have a Gaussian distribution. The model was estimated, using the restricted maximum likelihood method. For the goodness of fit, the conditional Nakagawa and Schielzeth's  $R^2_{GLMM}$ was used (Nakagawa and Schielzeth 2013). In addition, near-maximal exercise (a-ET)Pco2 values were compared between normoxia and hypoxia, using a paired Student t-test. The relationship between the mean (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> and the mean A-aDO<sub>2</sub> was tested with linear regression analysis.  $P \le 0.05$  was considered significant. Analysis was performed using a commercially available software package (SPSS version 15.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Illinois) and The R Project for Statistical Computing version 3.2.0.

#### Results

The mean responses of the respiratory variables to both exercise conditions are reported in Table 1. The mean of all  $P_{\rm ET}{\rm CO}_2$  measured values (submaximal and maximal

**Table 2.** Intensity (%Wpeak), arterial blood temperature (°C) and arterial-to-end-tidal  $P_{CO_2}$  difference ((a-ET) $P_{CO_2}$ ) (mmHg) during exercise innormoxia ( $P_{IO_2}$ : ~143 mmHg) and hypoxia ( $P_{IO_2}$ : ~73 mmHg) without and with blood temperature correction (tc).

Intensity (	%Wpea	k)	Arteri	al tempe	rature (°C)		(a-ET)Pc	o <sub>2</sub> (mmHg)	(	a-ET)Pcog	2 (tc) (mmHg)
Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range
Normoxia											
28.5	1.7	9	37.3	0.5	36.4–38.0	-4.8	1.8	(-8.1) to (-2.8)	-4.2	1.6	(-7.1) to (-2.3)
69.4	1.3	6	37.9	0.4	37.4–38.6	-4.4	1.4	(-6.2) to (-2.9)	-2.6	1.3	(-4.2) to (-0.9)*
78.3	2.0	11	38.2	0.5	37.5–39.0	-4.8	1.8	(-8.0) to (-1.9)	-2.7	1.8	(-6.2) to (0.8)*
89.2	1.0	11	38.4	0.5	37.5–39.1	-4.3	2.4	(-9.6) to (-0.3)	-2.1	2.2	(-6.5) to (2.2)*
100.0	0.0	9	38.5	0.4	37.9–39.3	-3.6	1.6	(-5.6) to (-0.8)	-1.1	1.5	(-3.4) to (0.8)*
Hypoxia											
44.4	5.0	9	37.2	0.4	36.4–37.7	-2.0	1.8	(-4.9) to (0.8)	-1.7	1.6	(-4.1) to (0.1)
70.7	4.8	7	37.5	0.5	36.8–38.1	-1.3	1.3	(-2.4) to (1.1)	-0.6	1.3	(-2.3) to (1.6)
79.2	2.6	7	37.7	0.3	37.1–38.0	-0.8	1.0	(-2.6) to (0.8)	0.1	1.0	(-1.2) to (1.7)*
88.8	1.3	6	37.9	0.2	37.6–38.2	-0.3	0.8	(-1.7) to (0.4)	0.9	0.9	(-0.6) to (2.0)*

n = number of subjects per intensity.

\*P < 0.05 vs. uncorrected (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub>.

exercise conditions) was  $3.2 \pm 2.3$  mmHg higher than  $P_a co_2$  (37.7  $\pm$  5.8 mmHg and 33.5  $\pm$  4.2 mmHg, respectively, P < 0.01). After temperature correction, the mean  $P_a co_2$  increased to 34.9 ± 4.3 mmHg, consequently the (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> was increased from  $-3.2 \pm 2.3$ to  $-1.8 \pm 2.1$  mmHg (P < 0.01). This correction of the P<sub>a</sub>co<sub>2</sub> value for arterial blood temperature accounted for 44% of the measured (a-ET)Pco2. The effect of the temperature correction on the magnitude of the (a-ET)Pco2 was greater during exercise in normoxia than hypoxia, and increased with exercise intensity (Table 2). After accounting for blood temperature the (a-ET)Pco2 was increased from -4.2 to -1.1 mmHg in normoxia, and from -1.7 to 0.9 mmHg in hypoxia (Table 2).

Random-effects regression analyses between (a-ET)Pco2 and respiratory variables are shown in Table 3. After temperature correction, (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> was linearly related to absolute and relative exercise intensity, VO2, VCO2, and RR in normoxia and hypoxia (Table 3). In normoxia, there was also a linear relationship between (a-ET)Pco2 with VT and A-aDO<sub>2</sub>tc. The intercept of the linear relationship between (a-ET)Pco2 and the absolute load was significantly higher in hypoxia than in normoxia, while the slopes were similar. Likewise, for a given respiratory rate, (a-ET)Pco2 was higher in hypoxia than in normoxia (Table 3). Since the intercepts and slopes of the linear relationship between (a-ET)Pco2 and the relative intensity were not significantly different between normoxia and hypoxia, a combined random-effects regression equation (eq. 1) was generated:

(a-ET)PCO<sub>2</sub> = 
$$-4.617 + 0.040 \times \text{Wpeak}(\%) \text{ mmHg}(R^2$$
  
= 0.27) (1)

The intercept SE was 0.714 (P < 0.001) and slope SE  $0.009 \ (P < 0.001).$ 

There was a close relationship between the mean  $(a-ET)Pco_2$  and the mean A-aDO<sub>2</sub> when both  $F_1O_2$ conditions were analyzed conjointly as follows:

$$A-aDO_2 = 15.96 + 1.79 \times (a-ET)PCO_2$$
 (2)

 $(r = 0.90, \text{ EES} = 1.45 \text{ mmHg}, n = 9, \text{ each point repre$ senting the mean of 6–11 observations, P < 0.001) (Fig. 1).

### Discussion

In this study, we have shown that most of the negative (a-ET)Pco2 value is due to a lack of correction of P<sub>a</sub>co<sub>2</sub> for blood temperature, and that a near maximal exercise intensity the mean (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> should be lying

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Normoxia         Hypoxia         (P values)         (P values)         (P values)         Nox vs. Hyp         Nox vs. Hyp           Intercept $\pm$ SE         Slope $\pm$ SE         Intercept $\pm$ SE         Slope $\pm$ SE         Intercept $\pm$ SE         Nox vs. Hyp         Nox vs. Hyp         Sc         R <sup>2</sup> Intercept $\pm$ SE         Slope $\pm$ SE         Intercept $\pm$ SE         Slope $\pm$ SE         Intercept $\pm$ S         Slope $\pm$ SE         Intercept $\pm$ S         Sc         Sc         R <sup>2</sup> Intensity (w)         -5.531 $\pm$ 0.655         0.013 $\pm$ 0.003         -5.712 $\pm$ 1.021         0.018 $\pm$ 0.036         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.38         0.330         0.55           VO2 (L-min <sup>-1</sup> )         -5.555 $\pm$ 0.717         0.905 $\pm$ 0.197         -3.412 $\pm$ 1.222         1.212 $\pm$ 0.48         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.035         0.23         0.55         0.55           VCO <sub>2</sub> (L-min <sup>-1</sup> )         -5.555 $\pm$ 0.717         0.905 $\pm$ 0.197         -3.412 $\pm$ 1.222         1.212 $\pm$ 0.48         0.000         0.000         0.005         0.42         0.23         0.55         0.55           VCO <sub>2</sub> (L-min <sup>-1</sup> )         -6.15 $\pm$ 0.648         0.087 $\pm$ 0.057         0.23         0.13         0.12         0.23         0.21         0.23	Normoxia         Hypoxia         (P value5)         (P value5)         (P value5)         Nox vs. Hyp           VCO2 (L-min <sup>-1</sup> )         -5.551 ± 0.655         0.013 ± 0.003         -2.712 \pm 11.222         11.212 \pm 0.488         0.000         0.000         0.013         0.013         0.023         0.55         0.55           VCO2 (L-min <sup>-1</sup> )         -5.555 ± 0.717         0.957 ± 1.321         0.212 ± 0.238         0.020 <th></th> <th>:</th> <th></th> <th>:</th> <th></th> <th>Norm</th> <th>eixor</th> <th>Hyp</th> <th>oxia</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		:		:		Norm	eixor	Hyp	oxia			
$ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Intercept $\pm$ SE         Slope $\pm$ SE         Intercept $\pm$ SE         Sc         R <sup>2</sup> Intensity (w) $-5.334 \pm 0.669$ 0.013 \pm 0.003 $-2.712 \pm 1.021$ 0.018 \pm 0.008         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.056         0.54         0.55           Nov <sub>2</sub> (L-min <sup>-1</sup> ) $-5.531 \pm 0.655$ 0.04 \pm 0.008 $-4.4771 \pm 1.538$ $2.147 \pm 0.745$ 0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.055         0.53         0.55           VCO <sub>2</sub> (L-min <sup>-1</sup> ) $-5.555 \pm 0.717$ 0.097 $-3.412 \pm 1.222$ $1.212 \pm 0.48$ 0.000         0.000         0.000         0.013         0.23         0.55           VCO <sub>2</sub> (L-min <sup>-1</sup> ) $-5.555 \pm 0.717$ 0.977 $-3.412 \pm 1.222$ $1.212 \pm 0.48$ 0.000         0.000         0.000         0.013         0.12         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.55         0.5	Intercept $\pm$ S lope		Norme	охіа	Hypo	охіа	(P va	lues)	(P va	lues)	Nox vs. Hvp	Nox vs. Hvp	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Intensity (w) $-5.364 \pm 0.669$ $0.013 \pm 0.003$ $-2.712 \pm 1.021$ $0.018 \pm 0.008$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.020$ $0.025$ $0.54$ $0.54$ $0.56$ Intensity (% Wpeak) $-5.531 \pm 0.655$ $0.04 \pm 0.008$ $-4.436 \pm 1.085$ $0.058 \pm 0.015$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.023$ $0.021$ $0.023$ $0.021$ $0.023$ $0.021$ $0.023$ $0.023$ $0.021$ $0.023$ $0.021$ $0.023$ $0.021$ $0.023$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.012$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.023$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0.021$ $0$	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		Intercept $\pm$ SE	Slope $\pm$ SE	Intercept $\pm$ SE	Slope $\pm$ SE	_	S	_	S	lc Ic	Sc	$R^2$
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Intensity (% Wpeak) $-5.531 \pm 0.655$ $0.04 \pm 0.008$ $-4.436 \pm 1.085$ $0.058 \pm 0.015$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.002$ $0.023$ $0.32$ $0.32$ $0.52$ $0.23$ $0.52$ $0.22$ $1.195 \pm 0.258$ $1.195 \pm 0.268$ $-4.771 \pm 1.538$ $2.147 \pm 0.745$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.005$ $0.013$ $0.025$ $0.42$ $0.23$ $0.52$ $0.53$ RE $-5.555 \pm 0.717$ $0.905 \pm 0.197$ $-3.412 \pm 1.222$ $1.212 \pm 0.48$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.006$ $0.013$ $0.12$ $0.23$ $0.55$ $0.53$ RE $-10.742 \pm 2.018$ $7.652 \pm 1.871$ $-4.132 \pm 3.867$ $3.027 \pm 3.203$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.001$ $0.001$ $0.001$ $0.035$ $0.42$ $0.21$ $0.48$ Re heaths-min <sup>-1</sup> ) $-6.15 \pm 0.648$ $0.007 \pm 0.015$ $-3.677 \pm 1.002$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.001$ $0.001$ $0.035$ $0.72$ $0.55$ $0.53$ $0.50$ $0.50$ $0.13$ $0.13$ $0.21$ $0.48$ Re heaths-min <sup>-1</sup> ) $-6.15 \pm 0.648$ $0.087 \pm 0.015$ $-3.677 \pm 1.002$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.001$ $0.001$ $0.001$ $0.036$ $0.99$ $0.59$ $0.50$ $0$	Intensity (w)	$-5.364 \pm 0.669$	$0.013 \pm 0.003$	$-2.712 \pm 1.021$	$0.018 \pm 0.008$	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.020	0.025	0.54	0.54
$VO_2 (L-min^{-1}) = -6.18 \pm 0.855  1.195 \pm 0.268  -4.771 \pm 1.538  2.147 \pm 0.745  0.000  0.000  0.005  0.042  0.23  0.53  0.53  VCO_2 (L-min^{-1}) = -5.555 \pm 0.717  0.905 \pm 0.197  -3.412 \pm 1.222  1.212 \pm 0.48  0.000  0.006  0.013  0.12  0.25  0.53  0.53  0.53  0.53  0.55  0.53  0.53  0.55  0.53  0.55  0.53  0.53  0.55$	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Intensity (%Wpeak)	$-5.531 \pm 0.655$	$0.04 \pm 0.008$	$-4.436 \pm 1.085$	$0.058 \pm 0.015$	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.38	0.30	0.56
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	VO <sub>2</sub> (L·min <sup>-1</sup> )	$-6.18 \pm 0.855$	$1.195 \pm 0.268$	$-4.771 \pm 1.538$	$2.147 \pm 0.745$	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.005	0.42	0.23	0.52
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	RER $-10.742 \pm 2.018$ $7.652 \pm 1.871$ $-4.132 \pm 3.867$ $3.027 \pm 3.203$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.29$ $0.35$ $0.13$ $0.21$ $0.46$ RR (breaths-min <sup>-1</sup> ) $-6.15 \pm 0.648$ $0.087 \pm 0.015$ $-3.677 \pm 1.002$ $0.087 \pm 0.026$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.001$ $0.036$ $0.99$ $0.55$ VT (l) $-5.259 \pm 1.523$ $1.136 \pm 0.638$ $-2.034 \pm 3.016$ $0.705 \pm 1.351$ $0.001$ $0.08$ $0.600$ $0.33$ $0.77$ $0.35$ A-aDO2 tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ $0.158 \pm 0.057$ $-2.269 \pm 2.081$ $0.116 \pm 0.128$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.37$ $0.33$ $0.77$ $0.32$ A-aDO2 tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ $0.158 \pm 0.057$ $-2.269 \pm 2.081$ $0.116 \pm 0.128$ $0.000$ $0.008$ $0.28$ $0.37$ $0.33$ $0.77$ $0.34$ Nox, normoxia; Hyp, hypoxia; I, Intercept; S, slope; Ic, comparison of intercepts between normoxia and hypoxia; Sc, comparison of slopes between normoxia and hypoxia; HR, heart rate.	RER $-10.742 \pm 2.018$ $7.652 \pm 1.871$ $-4.132 \pm 3.867$ $3.027 \pm 3.203$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.29$ $0.35$ $0.13$ $0.21$ $0.48$ RR (breaths-min <sup>-1</sup> ) $-6.15 \pm 0.648$ $0.087 \pm 0.015$ $-3.677 \pm 1.002$ $0.087 \pm 0.026$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.001$ $0.036$ $0.99$ $0.59$ VT (l) $-5.259 \pm 1.523$ $1.136 \pm 0.638$ $-2.034 \pm 3.016$ $0.705 \pm 1.351$ $0.001$ $0.08$ $0.50$ $0.60$ $0.33$ $0.77$ $0.35$ A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ $0.158 \pm 0.057$ $-2.269 \pm 2.081$ $0.116 \pm 0.128$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.307$ $0.33$ $0.77$ $0.35$ A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ $0.158 \pm 0.057$ $-2.269 \pm 2.081$ $0.116 \pm 0.128$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.37$ $0.37$ $0.33$ $0.75$ $0.40$ Nox, normoxia; Hyp, hypoxia; I, Intercept; S, slope; Ic, comparison of intercepts between normoxia and hypoxia; Sc, comparison of slopes between normoxia and hypoxia; HR, heart rate; VO <sub>2</sub> , oxygen uptake; VCO <sub>2</sub> , CO <sub>2</sub> production; RER, respiratory exchange ratio; RR, respiratory rate; VT, tidal volume; P <sub>ErCO2</sub> , end-tidal PcO <sub>2</sub> ; A-aDO <sub>2</sub> , alveolar-to-arterial oxygen pressure difference.	VCO <sub>2</sub> (L·min <sup>-1</sup> )	$-5.555 \pm 0.717$	$0.905 \pm 0.197$	$-3.412 \pm 1.222$	$1.212 \pm 0.48$	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.013	0.12	0.55	0.53
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	RR (breaths-min <sup>-1</sup> ) $-6.15 \pm 0.648$ 0.087 $\pm 0.015$ $-3.677 \pm 1.002$ 0.087 $\pm 0.026$ 0.000 0.000 0.001 0.036 0.99 0.55 VT (l) $-5.259 \pm 1.523$ 1.136 $\pm 0.638$ $-2.034 \pm 3.016$ 0.705 $\pm 1.351$ 0.001 0.08 0.50 0.60 0.33 0.77 0.35 A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ 0.158 $\pm 0.057$ $-2.269 \pm 2.081$ 0.116 $\pm 0.116 \pm 0.128$ 0.000 0.008 0.28 0.37 0.33 0.77 0.36 VT (h) Vx hornoxia; Hyp, hypoxia; I, Intercept; S, slope; Ic, comparison of intercepts between normoxia and hypoxia; Sc, comparison of slopes between normoxia and hypoxia; HR, heart rate.	RR (breaths-min <sup>-1</sup> ) $-6.15 \pm 0.648$ $0.087 \pm 0.015$ $-3.677 \pm 1.002$ $0.087 \pm 0.026$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.001$ $0.036$ $0.99$ $0.59$ VT (l) $-5.259 \pm 1.523$ $1.136 \pm 0.638$ $-2.034 \pm 3.016$ $0.705 \pm 1.351$ $0.001$ $0.08$ $0.50$ $0.60$ $0.33$ $0.77$ $0.35$ A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ $0.158 \pm 0.057$ $-2.269 \pm 2.081$ $0.116 \pm 0.128$ $0.000$ $0.008$ $0.28$ $0.37$ $0.33$ $0.77$ $0.35$ A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ $0.158 \pm 0.057$ $-2.269 \pm 2.081$ $0.116 \pm 0.128$ $0.000$ $0.008$ $0.28$ $0.37$ $0.33$ $0.75$ $0.40$ Nox, normoxia; Hyp, hypoxia; I, Intercept; S, slope; Ic, comparison of intercepts between normoxia and hypoxia; Sc, comparison of slopes between normoxia and hypoxia; V, color volume; P <sub>ErCO2</sub> , end-tidal PcO <sub>2</sub> ; A-aDO <sub>2</sub> , alveolar-to-arterial oxygen pressure differ-VO <sub>2</sub> , oxygen uptake; VCO <sub>2</sub> , CO <sub>2</sub> production; RER, respiratory exchange ratio; RR, respiratory rate; VT, tidal volume; P <sub>ErCO2</sub> , end-tidal PcO <sub>2</sub> ; A-aDO <sub>2</sub> , alveolar-to-arterial oxygen pressure differ-	RER	$-10.742 \pm 2.018$	$7.652 \pm 1.871$	$-4.132 \pm 3.867$	$3.027 \pm 3.203$	0.000	0.000	0.29	0.35	0.13	0.21	0.48
$ VT (l) \qquad -5.259 \pm 1.523 \qquad 1.136 \pm 0.638 \qquad -2.034 \pm 3.016 \qquad 0.705 \pm 1.351 \qquad 0.001 \qquad 0.08 \qquad 0.50 \qquad 0.60 \qquad 0.33 \qquad 0.77 \qquad 0.35 \\ A-aDO_2 \ tc \ (mmHg) \qquad -4.313 \pm 0.684 \qquad 0.158 \pm 0.057 \qquad -2.269 \pm 2.081 \qquad 0.116 \pm 0.128 \qquad 0.000 \qquad 0.008 \qquad 0.28 \qquad 0.37 \qquad 0.33 \qquad 0.75 \qquad 0.40 \\ \end{array} $	VT (l) $-5.259 \pm 1.523$ 1.136 $\pm 0.638$ $-2.034 \pm 3.016$ 0.705 $\pm 1.351$ 0.001 0.08 0.50 0.60 0.33 0.77 0.35 A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ 0.158 $\pm 0.057$ $-2.269 \pm 2.081$ 0.116 $\pm 0.128$ 0.000 0.008 0.28 0.37 0.33 0.75 0.40	VT (l) $-5.259 \pm 1.523$ 1.136 $\pm 0.638$ $-2.034 \pm 3.016$ 0.705 $\pm 1.351$ 0.001 0.08 0.50 0.60 0.33 0.77 0.35 A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ 0.158 $\pm 0.057$ $-2.269 \pm 2.081$ 0.116 $\pm 0.128$ 0.000 0.008 0.28 0.37 0.33 0.75 0.40 No. A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ 0.158 $\pm 0.057$ $-2.269 \pm 2.081$ 0.116 $\pm 0.128$ 0.000 0.008 0.28 0.37 0.33 0.75 0.40 No. A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ 0.158 $\pm 0.057$ $-2.269 \pm 2.081$ 0.116 $\pm 0.128$ 0.000 0.008 0.28 0.37 0.33 0.75 0.40 No. A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ 0.158 $\pm 0.057$ $-2.269 \pm 2.081$ 0.116 $\pm 0.116 \pm 0.128$ 0.000 0.008 0.28 0.37 0.33 0.75 0.40 No. A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $-4.313 \pm 0.684$ No. A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $+1.647$ No. A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $+1.647$ No. A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $+1.647$ mmHg) $+1.647$ No. A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $+1.647$ m N No. A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg) $+1.647$ No. A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (	RR (breaths-min <sup>-1</sup> )	$-6.15 \pm 0.648$	$0.087 \pm 0.015$	$-3.677 \pm 1.002$	$0.087 \pm 0.026$	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.036	0.99	0.59
$ A-aDO_2 \ tc \ (mmHg) \ -4.313 \pm 0.684 \ 0.158 \pm 0.057 \ -2.269 \pm 2.081 \ 0.116 \pm 0.128 \ 0.000 \ 0.008 \ 0.28 \ 0.37 \ 0.33 \ 0.75 \ 0.40 $	$\frac{A-aDO_2}{A} \text{ tc} (\text{mmHg}) -4.313 \pm 0.684  0.158 \pm 0.057 -2.269 \pm 2.081  0.116 \pm 0.128  0.000  0.008  0.28  0.37  0.33  0.75  0.46$ Nox, normoxia; Hyp, hypoxia; I, Intercept; S, slope; Ic, comparison of intercepts between normoxia and hypoxia; Sc, comparison of slopes between normoxia and hypoxia; HR, heart rate	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	VT (I)	$-5.259 \pm 1.523$	$1.136 \pm 0.638$	$-2.034 \pm 3.016$	$0.705 \pm 1.351$	0.001	0.08	0.50	0.60	0.33	0.77	0.35
	Nox, normoxia; Hyp, hypoxia; I, Intercept; S, slope; Ic, comparison of intercepts between normoxia and hypoxia; Sc, comparison of slopes between normoxia and hypoxia; HR, heart rate	Nox, normoxia; Hyp, hypoxia; I, Intercept; S, slope; Ic, comparison of intercepts between normoxia and hypoxia; Sc, comparison of slopes between normoxia and hypoxia; HR, heart rate; VO2, oxygen uptake; VCO2, CO2 production; RER, respiratory exchange ratio; RR, respiratory rate; VT, tidal volume; P <sub>Er</sub> co2, end-tidal PcO2; A-aDO2, alveolar-to-arterial oxygen pressure differ-	A-aDO <sub>2</sub> tc (mmHg)	$-4.313 \pm 0.684$	$0.158 \pm 0.057$	$-2.269 \pm 2.081$	$0.116 \pm 0.128$	0.000	0.008	0.28	0.37	0.33	0.75	0.40



**Figure 1.** Relationship between alveolar-to-arterial O<sub>2</sub> pressure difference (A-aDO<sub>2</sub>) and alveolar-to-end-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> pressure difference ((a-ET) Pco<sub>2</sub>); (A) without correction of arterial blood gases for blood temperature and (B): after blood gases correction for blood temperature (A-aDO<sub>2</sub> = 15.96 + 1.79 × (a-ET) Pco<sub>2</sub>; r = 0.90, EES = 1.45 mmHg, n = 9, each point representing the mean of 6–11 observations, P < 0.001). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

close to 0 mmHg in healthy humans. Moreover, we have demonstrated that in healthy humans, the temperature corrected (a-ET)PCO<sub>2</sub> increases linearly with absolute and relative exercise intensity, VO<sub>2</sub>, VCO<sub>2</sub>, and RR in normoxia and hypoxia, with similar slopes in normoxia and severe hypoxia. Consequently, at the same absolute exercise intensity, the (a-ET)PCO<sub>2</sub> is higher in hypoxia (i.e., less negative) than in normoxia. We have also shown that at a similar respiratory rate, (a-ET)  $PCO_2$  is higher in hypoxia than in normoxia indicating that factors other than, or in addition to, the respiratory rate or tidal volume should explain the greater (a-ET)PCO<sub>2</sub> observed in hypoxia.

# Impact of temperature correction on the (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> difference

Since  $P_{ET}co_2$  overestimates  $P_aco_2$  at all exercise intensities, the derived (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> has negative values as previously reported in young (Jones et al. 1979; Robbins et al. 1990; Liu et al. 1995; Williams and Babb 1997) and elderly men (St Croix et al. 1995). This study reveals the importance of correcting  $P_aco_2$  for lung blood temperature has for the accurate determination of the (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub>. In fact, this correction alone explains ~70% of the negative (a-ET) Pco<sub>2</sub> at maximal exercise in normoxia and transforms the noncorrected negative (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> during maximal exercise in hypoxia to positive.

#### Negative (a-ET)Pco2 values: fact or artifact?

In agreement with the previous investigators (Jones et al. 1979; Robbins et al. 1990; Liu et al. 1995; St Croix et al. 1995; Williams and Babb 1997), we have also observed

negative (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> values during exercise, which increased with exercise intensity, as previously reported (Wasserman et al. 1967; Whipp and Wasserman 1969). It has been the subject of controversy whether negative (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> values really exist or if they result from multiple inaccuracies, including the use of different procedures to measure respiratory and blood gases (Forster 1977; Gurtner 1977; Scheid and Piiper 1980; Piiper 1986). In theory (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> negative values may be caused by several mechanisms acting conjointly or separately (for review see [Scheid and Piiper 1980; Stickland et al. 2013]).

In well-ventilated and perfused alveoli, the P<sub>ETCO2</sub> represents the Pco2 during the phase of the respiratory cycle at which the P<sub>A</sub>co<sub>2</sub> becomes closer to the mixed venous Po2. Thus, the PETCO2 will always overestimate the actual PACO2 in well-ventilated and perfused alveoli. Underperfused alveoli have a rather low PACO2, which is even lower in areas that do not participate in gas exchange (dead space). Consequently, dead space ventilation may contribute to reduce  $P_{ET}co_2$  below mean  $P_Aco_2$ , as observed at rest (Dubois et al. 1952). The increase in Vt, VCO<sub>2</sub>, and mixed venous CO<sub>2</sub> with exercise causes greater within-breath fluctuations of alveolar gas composition (Dubois et al. 1952) such that during expiration,  $P_ACO_2$  increases toward mixed venous  $PCO_2$  ( $P_vCO_2$ ) more rapidly as the increased CO<sub>2</sub> production of exercise is evolved into a lung volume becoming smaller as expiration continues (Jones et al. 1979). This may result in P<sub>ET</sub>CO<sub>2</sub> actually being higher than mean P<sub>a</sub>CO<sub>2</sub> during exercise (Jones et al. 1966). According to this description, we must have seen increasingly negative (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> with the increase of exercise intensity because the difference between  $P_v co_2$  and  $P_a co_2$  increases with exercise intensity.

We actually observed the opposite, that is,  $(a-ET)Pco_2$  becomes less negative with the increase of exercise intensity. Our findings can be explained by several mechanisms. First, lack of  $Paco_2$  correction for arterial blood temperature as shown in this study.

Second, the increase in  $P_{ET}co_2$  with the exercise-induced widening of the intra-breath fluctuation in  $P_Aco_2$ is expected to be lower in severe hypoxia than in normoxia because the mixed venous  $Pco_2$  is lower while the inspiratory  $CO_2$  is similar to normoxia. Consequently, the magnitude of the mean  $P_{ET}co_2$  is lower in hypoxia and remains closer to the mean  $P_Aco_2$ . Thus, the second mechanism agrees with a greater (less negative or more positive) (a-ET)Pco\_2 during exercise in severe hypoxia, as observed in the present study.

Third, lack or a very small right-to-left shunt may cause an elevation of  $(a-ET)Pco_2$  as  $P_aco_2$  is expected to increase in proportion to the magnitude of the venous admixture (Whyte et al. 1993). Using the data generated in this study, we have estimated that during maximal exercise in normoxia, a 2% and 10% right-to-left shunt would increase P<sub>a</sub>co<sub>2</sub> by 5 and 15 mmHg, respectively, even after accounting for the Haldane effect. In severe acute hypoxia, a 2% and 10% shunt will cause a 4 and 11 mmHg increase of P<sub>a</sub>co<sub>2</sub>, respectively. However, experiments using the multiple inert gas elimination technique have found no evidence of shunt during exercise (Hammond et al. 1986; Wagner et al. 1986; Hopkins et al. 1994, 1998). Although some passage of blood through arterial-venous anastomosis has been demonstrated in humans (Lovering et al. 2008, 2009), its magnitude is likely low. The fact that the (a-ET)Pco2 difference was negative or close to 0 mmHg concurs with a small or inexistent shunt in our experimental conditions. Moreover, shunt at maximal exercise has a greater impact on Paco<sub>2</sub> than on Pao<sub>2</sub> because the mixed venous CO<sub>2</sub> content during exercise increases proportionally more than mixed venous O<sub>2</sub> is reduced. Thus, a good correlation between the A-aDO<sub>2</sub> and the A-aDCO<sub>2</sub> is not expected with a high contribution of shunt to the impairment of pulmonary gas exchange during exercise because the shunt affects differently the A-aDO2 and the (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub>.

In summary, our results suggest that the negative (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> values observed in previous studies are likely due to lack of correction of  $P_{a}co_{2}$  for blood temperature. The (a-ET)Pco<sub>2</sub> difference is less negative during exercise in hypoxia than normoxia. At peak exercise, the mean Paco<sub>2</sub> and the mean  $P_{ET}co_{2}$  are similar, suggesting that  $P_{ET}co_{2}$  is a useful surrogate for  $P_{a}co_{2}$ . The mean (a-ET) Pco<sub>2</sub> difference increases with exercise intensity and is closely related to the mean A-aDO<sub>2</sub> difference. This is expected if similar mechanisms perturb the lung gas exchanges of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>.

### Acknowledgements

Our special thanks to José Navarro de Tuero for his excellent technical assistance. We express our gratitude to Prof. Robert Boushel for his insightful comments.

### **Conflict of Interest**

None declared.

#### References

- Andersen, P., and B. Saltin. 1985. Maximal perfusion of skeletal muscle in man. J. Physiol. 366:233–249.
- Calbet, J. A., and R. Boushel. 2015. Assessment of cardiac output with transpulmonary thermodilution during exercise in humans. J. Appl. Physiol. 118:1–10.
- Calbet, J. A., and C. Lundby. 2009. Air to muscle O<sub>2</sub> delivery during exercise at altitude. High Alt. Med. Biol. 10:123–134.
- Calbet, J. A., C. Lundby, M. Sander, P. Robach, B. Saltin, and R. Boushel. 2006. Effects of ATP-induced leg vasodilation on VO<sub>2</sub>peak and leg O<sub>2</sub> extraction during maximal exercise in humans. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 291:R447–R453.
- Calbet, J. A., P. Robach, C. Lundby, and R. Boushel. 2008. Is pulmonary gas exchange during exercise in hypoxia impaired with the increase of cardiac output? Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab. 33:593–600.
- Calbet, J. A., J. Losa-Reyna, R. Torres-Peralta, P. Rasmussen, J. G. Ponce-Gonzalez, A. W. Sheel, et al. 2015. Limitations to oxygen transport and utilisation during sprint exercise in humans: evidence for a functional reserve in muscle O2 diffusing capacity. J. Physiol. doi:10.1113/JP270408.
- Cerretelli, P., and P. E. Di Prampero. 1987. Gas exchange in exercise. Pp. 297–339. in L. E. Fahri and S. M. Tenney, ed. Handbook of physiology - The respiratory system IV. The American Physiological Society, Bethesda.
- Dempsey, J. A., P. G. Hanson, and K. S. Henderson. 1984. Exercise-induced arterial hypoxaemia in healthy human subjects at sea level. J. Physiol. 355:161–175.
- Dubois, A. B., A. G. Britt, and W. O. Fenn. 1952. Alveolar CO<sub>2</sub> during the respiratory cycle. J. Appl. Physiol. 4:535–548.
- Forster, R. E. 1977. Can alveolar pCO<sub>2</sub> exceed pulmonary endcapillary CO<sub>2</sub>? No. J. Appl. Physiol. Respir. Environ. Exerc. Physiol. 42:326–328.
- González-Henriquez, J. J., J. Losa-Reyna, R. Torres-Peralta, R. Göran, M. Koskolou, and J. A. L. Calbet. 2015. A new equation to estimate temperature-corrected PaCO2 from PETCO2 during exercise in normoxia and hypoxia. Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports. (in press).
- Gurtner, G. H. 1977. Can alveolar pCO<sub>2</sub> exceed pulmonary end-capillary CO<sub>2</sub>? Yes. J. Appl. Physiol. Respir. Environ. Exerc. Physiol. 42:323–326.
- Hammond, M. D., G. E. Gale, K. S. Kapitan, A. Ries, and P. D. Wagner. 1986. Pulmonary gas exchange in humans

during normobaric hypoxic exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. 61:1749–1757.

Hlastala, M. P. 1972. A model of fluctuating alveolar gas exchange during the respiratory cycle. Respir. Physiol. 15:214–232.

Holmgren, A., and H. Linderholm. 1958. Oxygen and carbon dioxide tensions of arterial blood during heavy and exhaustive exercise. Acta Physiol. Scand. 44:203–215.

Hopkins, S. R., D. C. McKenzie, R. B. Schoene, R. W. Glenny, and H. T. Robertson. 1994. Pulmonary gas exchange during exercise in athletes. I. Ventilation-perfusion mismatch and diffusion limitation. J. Appl. Physiol. 77:912–917.

Hopkins, S. R., T. P. Gavin, N. M. Siafakas, L. J. Haseler, I. M. Olfert, H. Wagner, et al. 1998. Effect of prolonged, heavy exercise on pulmonary gas exchange in athletes. J. Appl. Physiol. 85:1523–1532.

Johnson, R. L., G. J. F. Heigenhauser, C. W. Hsia, N. L. Jones, and P. D. Wagner. 2011. Determinants of gas exchange and acid–base balance during exercise. Handbook of physiology, exercise: regulation and integration of multiple systems. Compr. Physiol. Suppl 29, 515–584. doi: 10.1002/ cphy.cp120112.

Jones, N. L., G. J. McHardy, A. Naimark, and E. J. Campbell. 1966. Physiological dead space and alveolar-arterial gas pressure differences during exercise. Clin. Sci. 31:19–29.

Jones, N. L., D. G. Robertson, and J. W. Kane. 1979.Difference between end-tidal and arterial PCO2 in exercise.J. Appl. Physiol. Respir. Environ. Exerc. Physiol. 47:954–960.

Liu, Z., F. Vargas, D. Stansbury, S. A. Sasse, and R. W. Light. 1995. Comparison of the end-tidal arterial PCO<sub>2</sub> gradient during exercise in normal subjects and in patients with severe COPD. Chest 107:1218–1224.

Lovering, A. T., L. M. Romer, H. C. Haverkamp, D. F.
Pegelow, J. S. Hokanson, and M. W. Eldridge. 2008.
Intrapulmonary shunting and pulmonary gas exchange during normoxic and hypoxic exercise in healthy humans. J.
Appl. Physiol. 104:1418–1425.

Lovering, A. T., H. C. Haverkamp, L. M. Romer, J. S. Hokanson, and M. W. Eldridge. 2009. Transpulmonary passage of 99mTc macroaggregated albumin in healthy humans at rest and during maximal exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. 106:1986–1992.

Luft, U. C., J. A. Loeppky, and E. M. Mostyn. 1979. Mean alveolar gases and alveolar-arterial gradients in pulmonary patients. J. Appl. Physiol. Respir. Environ. Exerc. Physiol. 46:534–540.

Lundby, C., J. A. Calbet, G. van Hall, B. Saltin, and M. Sander. 2004. Pulmonary gas exchange at maximal exercise in Danish lowlanders during 8 wk of acclimatization to 4,100 m and in high-altitude Aymara natives. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 287:R1202–R1208.

Mahler, D. A., R. A. Matthay, P. E. Snyder, R. K. Neff, and J. Loke. 1985. Determination of cardiac output at rest and

during exercise by carbon dioxide rebreathing method in obstructive airway disease. Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 131:73–78.

- Morales-Alamo, D., J. Losa-Reyna, R. Torres-Peralta, M. Martin-Rincon, M. Perez-Valera, D. Curtelin, et al. 2015. What limits performance during whole body incremental exercise to exhaustion in humans? J Physiol. doi:10.1113/ JP270487.
- Nakagawa, S., and H. Schielzeth. 2013. A general and simple method for obtaining R<sup>2</sup> from generalized linear mixed-effects models. Methods Ecol. Evol. 4:133–142.

Paterson, D. J., G. A. Wood, R. N. Marshall, A. R. Morton, and A. B. Harrison. 1987. Entrainment of respiratory frequency to exercise rhythm during hypoxia. J. Appl. Physiol. 62:1767–1771.

Piiper, J. 1986. Blood-gas equilibrium of carbon dioxide in lungs: a continuing controversy. J. Appl. Physiol. 60:1–8.

Robbins, P. A., J. Conway, D. A. Cunningham, S. Khamnei, and D. J. Paterson. 1990. A comparison of indirect methods for continuous estimation of arterial PCO<sub>2</sub> in men. J. Appl. Physiol. 68:1727–1731.

Schaffartzik, W., D. C. Poole, T. Derion, K. Tsukimoto, M. C. Hogan, J. P. Arcos, et al. 1992. VA/Q distribution during heavy exercise and recovery in humans: implications for pulmonary edema. J. Appl. Physiol. 72:1657–1667.

Scheid, P., and J. Piiper. 1980. Blood/gas equilibrium of carbon dioxide in lungs. A Critical Review. Respir. Physiol. 39:1–31.

Severinghaus, J. W. 1979. Simple, accurate equations for human blood O<sub>2</sub> dissociation computations. J. Appl. Physiol. 46:599–602.

Siggaard-Andersen, O. 1974. The acid-base status of blood. Munksgaard, Copenhagen.

St Croix, C. M., D. A. Cunningham, J. M. Kowalchuk, A. K. McConnell, A. S. Kirby, B. W. Scheuermann, et al. 1995. Estimation of arterial PCO<sub>2</sub> in the elderly. J. Appl. Physiol. 79:2086–2093.

Stickland, M. K., M. I. Lindinger, I. M. Olfert, G. J. Heigenhauser, and S. R. Hopkins. 2013. Pulmonary gas exchange and acid-base balance during exercise. Compr. Physiol. 3:693–739.

Torre-Bueno, J. R., P. D. Wagner, H. A. Saltzman, G. E. Gale, and R. E. Moon. 1985. Diffusion limitation in normal humans during exercise at sea level and simulated altitude. J. Appl. Physiol. 58:989–995.

Wagner, P. D. 1992. Ventilation-perfusion matching during exercise. Chest 101:192S–198S.

Wagner, P. D., G. E. Gale, R. E. Moon, J. R. Torre-Bueno, B.W. Stolp, and H. A. Saltzman. 1986. Pulmonary gas exchange in humans exercising at sea level and simulated altitude. J. Appl. Physiol. 61:260–270.

Wasserman, K., A. L. Van Kessel, and G. G. Burton. 1967. Interaction of physiological mechanisms during exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. 22:71–85.

- Whipp, B. J., and K. Wasserman. 1969. Alveolar-arterial gas tension differences during graded exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. 27:361–365.
- Whyte, M. K., J. M. Hughes, J. E. Jackson, A. M. Peters, S. C. Hempleman, D. P. Moore, et al. 1993. Cardiopulmonary response to exercise in patients with intrapulmonary vascular shunts. J. Appl. Physiol. 75:321–328.
- Williams, J. S., and T. G. Babb. 1997. Differences between estimates and measured PaCO2 during rest and exercise in older subjects. J. Appl. Physiol. 83:312–316.