CrossMark

CASE REPORT Refractory hypotension during general anesthesia despite preoperative discontinuation of an angiotensin receptor blocker

[v1; ref status: indexed, http://f1000r.es/p1]

Raha Nabbi¹, Harvey J Woehlck¹, Matthias L Riess¹⁻³

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, 53226, USA ²Department of Physiology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, 53226, USA ³Clement J. Zablocki VA Medical Center, Milwaukee, WI, 53295, USA

First Published: 14 Jan 2013, 2:12 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.2-12.v1) Latest Published: 14 Jan 2013, 2:12 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.2-12.v1)

Abstract

Due to their beneficial reduction in morbidity and mortality angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) have become increasingly popular to treat hypertension. However, similar to angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, they can lead to severe hypotension in conjunction with general anesthesia and thus have been recommended to be withheld in the morning of surgery. Here, we present a 51 year old female who developed severe refractory hypotension after induction of general anesthesia, although she had discontinued her medication 24 hours preoperatively as instructed. Therefore, halting ARBs for more than 24 hours before surgery may be necessary. Heightened awareness of this potential interaction and recognizing the need to treat with vasopressin is required when ARB-induced hypotension occurs.

Article Status Summary

Referee Responses

Referees	1	2
v1 published 14 Jan 2013	V	report

- 1 Sumio Hoka, Kyushu University Japan
- 2 Yukio Hayashi, Osaka University Medical School Japan

Latest Comments

No Comments Yet

Corresponding author: Matthias L Riess (mriess@mcw.edu)

How to cite this article: Nabbi R, Woehlck HJ, Riess ML (2013) Refractory hypotension during general anesthesia despite preoperative discontinuation of an angiotensin receptor blocker [v1; ref status: indexed, http://f1000r.es/p1] *F1000Research* 2013, **2**:12 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.2-12.v1)

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Grant information: Institutional support only (RN, HJW and MLR). No grants were involved in supporting this particular work. Unrelated research support for MLR through the Department of Veterans Affairs (CARA-026-10F) and NIH.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

First Published: 14 Jan 2013, **2**:12 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.2-12.v1) First Indexed: 22 Jan 2013, **2**:12 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.2-12.v1)

Introduction

Angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) represent a newer class of effective and well tolerated antihypertensive agents¹. Several clinical studies have indicated the beneficial effects of ARBs in hypertensive patients such as reduction of left ventricular hypertrophy, decrease in ventricular arrhythmias, and improved diastolic function¹. Inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS), either angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or ARBs, mediate vasodilation and consequently decrease blood-pressure by different mechanisms¹. ARBs specifically inhibit angiotensin II from binding to its receptor, the Angiotensin-1 (AT₁) receptor on vascular smooth muscle cells. This blockade results in increased angiotensin II and normal bradykinin plasma levels. ARBs were developed to overcome several deficiencies of ACE inhibitors, which, by comparison, lead to decreased angiotensin II, but increased bradykinin levels. Hence, the key advantage of ARBs over ACE inhibitors is their lack of adverse effects related to bradykinin potentiation. ARBs have been shown to reduce morbidity and mortality associated with hypertension, and therefore, it is not surprising that an increasing number of patients scheduled for surgery are chronically treated with ARBs2. However, RAS blockade increases the risk of severe hypotension during and after anesthetic induction. ACE-inhibitors are well known for inducing severe circulatory side effects during anesthesia, which led to the general recommendation to withhold the drug on the day of surgery³. Similarly, refractory hypotension has been described after induction of general anesthesia in patients chronically treated with ARBs who took their medication in the morning of surgery³. Here, we describe a case of severe hypotension under general anesthesia refractory to conventional treatment, despite preoperative discontinuation of an ARB for 24 hours.

Case report

A 51 year old female (60 kg) whose hypertension was well controlled with Diovan-HCT (valsartan/hydrochlorothiazide 160/12.5 mg) was scheduled for a T2-10 posterior thoracic fusion. As instructed, she had last taken Diovan-HCT 24 hours prior to surgery. Her preoperative exam was unremarkable. Non-invasive blood pressure measurement, 5-lead ECG with ST segment analysis, heart rate, and pulseoximetry were continuously monitored. Her baseline vital signs were stable with a blood pressure of 136/94 mmHg, heart rate of 86 bpm and SpO, 99% on room air. After induction of anesthesia with 150 mg propofol, 100 mcg fentanyl, and 60 mg rocuronium and an uneventful intubation, her blood pressure decreased and remained 65-75/35-45 mmHg for 45 min with a HR of 75-85 bpm despite rapid administration of 1500 cc Lactate Ringer, repeated 100 mcg phenylephrine boluses followed by a phenylephrine drip and repeated boluses of vasopressin (cumulative dose of 20 units within 25 min). Sevoflurane for anesthesia maintenance was kept low at 0.8 Vol%. Due to her refractory hypotension, the decision was made to postpone the patient's elective surgery and awaken her. Upon emergence, her blood pressure recovered to 115/65 mmHg with a heart rate of 90 bpm, and she was extubated successfully after neuromuscular blocker reversal. The patient did not suffer any neurologic sequelae. Her ARB was withheld postoperatively and she was successfully anesthetized with the same drugs and operated on five days later without significant hypotension.

Discussion

Valsartan is a potent, highly selective antagonist of the angiotensin II at the AT, receptor leading to vasodilatation and an anestheticinduced reduction in pre- and afterload. Vasodilation may also be afforded in part by upregulated angiotensin II activating AT₂-receptors which causes vascular relaxation⁴ and reduces peripheral vascular resistance usually without a rise in heart rate. The efficacy, tolerability and safety of valsartan have been demonstrated in large-scale studies on patients with hypertension, heart failure and post-myocardial infarction⁵. Valsartan's mechanism of action is to displace angiotensin II from the AT, receptor, thereby antagonizing AT₁-induced vasoconstriction, aldosterone, catecholamine and arginine-vasopressin release, water intake, and hypertrophic responses. All of this results in a more efficient blockade of angiotensin II's cardiovascular effects and in fewer side effects than ACE inhibitors. In addition, most ARBs control blood pressure for 24 hrs after a single dose.

ARBs are non-peptide compounds, and variations in molecular structure result in different binding affinities to their receptors and different pharmacokinetic profiles¹. In comparison to other ARBs, valsartan's plasma elimination half life is of an intermediate duration $(5-10 \text{ hrs})^6$. It is eliminated mainly by non-renal routes. However, protein binding greatly affects its biological half life in the body. Valsartan is highly bound to plasma proteins (94-97%), and these may act as a reservoir or depot from which the drug is slowly released and therefore exhibits a longer lasting effect on the vasculature⁶. As the unbound drug is metabolized and excreted from the body, some of the bound fraction is released in order to maintain equilibrium. In fact, our case demonstrates impressively that valsartan's biological half life is far outlived by its physiological effects in the human body and can consequently result in severe hypotension despite its prior discontinuation in cases when RAS activation is needed to maintain hemodynamic stability, as for instance during anesthesia. Indeed, during general anesthesia, maintenance of normotension becomes RAS-dependent⁷ and a pronounced anesthetic-induced hypotension may be prevented or at least attenuated by angiotensin II-mediated AT, receptor activation. Conversely, by blocking RAS, systemic blood pressures can decrease markedly during general anesthesia⁴.

In addition, chronic AT₁ blockade also reduces the vasoconstrictor response to α_1 receptors activated by norepinephrine, which explains why ARB-induced hypotension can be so resistant to phenylephrine, ephedrine and norepinephrine^{2,8} as observed in our patient. The lack of response to repeated phenylephrine boluses and a continuous infusion, fluids and a decrease of the volatile anesthetic urgently required a different approach, and we administered vasopressin in repeated boluses. Clinical studies have shown significant vasoconstrictor effects of vasopressin and increased cardiac filling during echocardiographic measurements². Vasopressin or its synthetic analogues can restore the sympathetic response and may be useful pressors in cases of refractory hypotension during anaphylaxis9 and septic shock10 as well as in patients on RAS inhibitors, although norepinephrine has been reported to have a more favorable effect on splanchnic perfusion and oxygen delivery¹¹.

We conclude that, similar to ACE inhibitors³, ARBs also need to be withheld for 24 hours prior to elective surgery, and – as our case illustrates – possibly longer to elude unnecessary morbidity and mortality associated with refractory hypotension following induction of general anesthesia in patients on chronic ARB treatment. Heightened awareness of this perilous interaction and recognizing the need to treat with an adequate dose of vasopressin or norepinephrine is required, when ARB-induced hypotension is encountered.

Consent

This case report is in accordance with institutional IRB-guidelines. We have been unable to obtain explicit written consent from the patient.

Author contributions

All authors were involved in the draft and revision of the manuscript and have agreed to its final content.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

Institutional support only (RN, HJW and MLR). No grants were involved in supporting this particular work. Unrelated research support for MLR through the Department of Veterans Affairs (CARA-026-10F) and NIH.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

- Israili ZH: Clinical pharmacokinetics of angiotensin II (AT,) receptor blockers in hypertension. J Hum Hypertens. 2000; 14(Suppl 1): S73–86. PubMed Abstract
- Brabant SM, Eyraud D, Bertrand M, et al.: Refractory hypotension after induction of anesthesia in a patient chronically treated with angiotensin receptor antagonists. Anesth Analg. 1999; 89(4): 887–8.
 PubMed Abstract
- Bertrand M, Godet G, Meersschaert K, et al.: Should the angiotensin II antagonists be discontinued before surgery? Anesth Analg. 2001; 92(1): 26–30. PubMed Abstract
- Ryckwaert F, Colson P, Andre E, et al.: Haemodynamic effects of an angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitor and angiotensin receptor antagonist during hypovolaemia in the anaesthetized pig. Br J Anaesth. 2002; 89(4): 599–604. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Black HR, Bailey J, Zappe D, *et al.*: Valsartan: more than a decade of experience. Drugs. 2009; 69(17): 2393–414.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Flesch G, Muller P, Lloyd P: Absolute bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of valsartan, an angiotensin II receptor antagonist, in man. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1997; 52(2): 115–20.
 PubMed Abstract

- Colson P, Ryckwaert F, Coriat P: Renin angiotensin system antagonists and anesthesia. Anesth Analg. 1999; 89(5): 1143–55.
 PubMed Abstract
- Licker M, Neidhart P, Lustenberger S, et al.: Long-term angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor treatment attenuates adrenergic responsiveness without altering hemodynamic control in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 1996; 84(4): 789–800.
 PubMed Abstract
- Hussain AM, Yousuf B, Khan MA, et al.: Vasopressin for the management of catecholamine-resistant anaphylactic shock. Singapore Med J. 2008; 49(9): e225–8.
 PubMed Abstract
- Landry DW, Levin HR, Gallant EM, et al.: Vasopressin deficiency contributes to the vasodilation of septic shock. Circulation. 1997; 95(5): 1122–5.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Morelli A, Tritapepe L, Rocco M, et al.: Terlipressin versus norepinephrine to counteract anesthesia-induced hypotension in patients treated with renin-angiotensin system inhibitors: effects on systemic and regional hemodynamics. Anesthesiology. 2005; 102(1): 12–9. PubMed Abstract

Current Referee Status: 🗹 🗹



Referee Responses for Version 1



Yukio Hayashi, Department of Anesthesiology, Osaka University Medical School, Osaka, Japan Approved: 22 January 2013

Ref Report: 22 January 2013 This report may give us several suggestions and it may be helpful to consider the anesthetic management of similar patients.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.



Sumio Hoka, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Kyushu University, Kanagawa, Japan

Approved: 17 January 2013

Ref Report: 17 January 2013

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.