



## Relative Quantitative Proteomic Analysis of *Brucella abortus* Reveals Metabolic Adaptation to Multiple Environmental Stresses

Xiaodong Zai, Qiaoling Yang, Ying Yin, Ruihua Li, Mengying Qian, Taoran Zhao, Yaohui Li, Jun Zhang, Ling Fu, Junjie Xu\* and Wei Chen\*

Laboratory of Vaccine and Antibody Engineering, Beijing Institute of Biotechnology, Beijing, China

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Daniela De Biase, Sapienza Università di Roma, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Jayaseelan Murugaiyan, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany Eustache Paramithiotis, Caprion, Canada Carlos Rossetti, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA), Argentina

\*Correspondence:

Junjie Xu xujunjie@sina.com Wei Chen cw0226@foxmail.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbial Physiology and Metabolism, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

> Received: 29 August 2017 Accepted: 15 November 2017 Published: 29 November 2017

#### Citation:

Zai X, Yang Q, Yin Y, Li R, Qian M, Zhao T, Li Y, Zhang J, Fu L, Xu J and Chen W (2017) Relative Quantitative Proteomic Analysis of Brucella abortus Reveals Metabolic Adaptation to Multiple Environmental Stresses. Front. Microbiol. 8:2347. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02347 Brucella spp. are facultative intracellular pathogens that cause chronic brucellosis in humans and animals. The virulence of Brucella primarily depends on its successful survival and replication in host cells. During invasion of the host tissue, Brucella is simultaneously subjected to a variety of harsh conditions, including nutrient limitation, low pH, antimicrobial defenses, and extreme levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) via the host immune response. This suggests that Brucella may be able to regulate its metabolic adaptation in response to the distinct stresses encountered during its intracellular infection of the host. An investigation into the differential proteome expression patterns of Brucella grown under the relevant stress conditions may contribute toward a better understanding of its pathogenesis and adaptive response. Here, we utilized a mass spectrometry-based label-free relative quantitative proteomics approach to investigate and compare global proteomic changes in B. abortus in response to eight different stress treatments. The 3 h short-term in vitro single-stress and multi-stress conditions mimicked the in vivo conditions of B. abortus under intracellular infection, with survival rates ranging from 3.17 to 73.17%. The proteomic analysis identified and guantified a total of 2.272 proteins and 74% of the theoretical proteome, thereby providing wide coverage of the *B. abortus* proteome. By including eight distinct growth conditions and comparing these with a control condition, we identified a total of 1,221 differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) that were significantly changed under the stress treatments. Pathway analysis revealed that most of the proteins were involved in oxidative phosphorylation, ABC transporters, two-component systems, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, the citrate cycle, thiamine metabolism, and nitrogen metabolism; constituting major response mechanisms toward the reconstruction of cellular homeostasis and metabolic balance under stress. In conclusion, our results provide a better understanding of the global metabolic adaptations of *B. abortus* associated with distinct environmental stresses. The identification of proteins necessary for stress resistance is crucial toward elucidating the infectious process in order to control brucellosis, and may facilitate the discovery of novel therapeutic targets and effective vaccines.

Keywords: *Brucella abortus*, proteomic, label-free, environmental stress, differentially expressed protein, metabolic pathway

#### INTRODUCTION

Brucella (Brucellaceae) are gram-negative, facultative intracellular pathogens that cause brucellosis, which results in abortion and infertility in the natural host (Akpinar, 2016). Brucellosis is a major global zoonosis that infects approximately 500,000 people annually (Hasanjani Roushan and Ebrahimpour, 2015). Brucella abortus, B. melitensis, and B. suis are most pathogenic toward humans and have been listed as high priority biological agents (Doganay and Doganay, 2013). However, the pathogenic mechanisms of Brucella are currently not well understood. During invasion of the host tissue, the bacteria multiply inside phagocytic cells and eventually establish persistent infection and replication within the host (Ahmed et al., 2016). It appears that Brucella species do not depend on single discrete virulence factors such as cytolysins, capsules, exotoxins, secreted proteases, fimbriae, or phage-encoded toxins for their pathogenicity (He, 2012). Rather, their pathogenicity mainly depends on their capacity to survive and proliferate within host cells (Byndloss and Tsolis, 2016). During the invasion of host tissue, these bacteria are subjected to several severe stresses, including nutrient limitation, low pH, antimicrobial defenses, and extreme levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) from the immune response of the host (Roop et al., 2009; Barbier et al., 2011; Olsen and Palmer, 2014). The pathogen may therefore be able to withstand the variety of stresses encountered during its intracellular infection (Lamontagne et al., 2009).

In recent years, proteomics has become an indispensable tool used to investigate the metabolic adaptation mechanisms of various organisms to multiple environmental stresses (Cash, 2011; Van Oudenhove and Devreese, 2013; Greco and Cristea, 2017). The assessment of differential proteome expression patterns of a pathogen under stress may contribute to a better understanding of pathogen adaptation and pathogenesis. Distinct environmental conditions can be simulated by in vitro models, in which bacterial cultures are exposed to different in vivo-mimicking conditions experienced in the cellular environment of the host. The metabolic adaptation of Brucella to specific stresses such as nutrient starvation, acidity, high temperature, or peroxide has been explored in previous studies (Teixeira-Gomes et al., 2000; Al-Dahouk et al., 2008, 2009, 2013). However, earlier proteomic approaches employed twodimensional electrophoresis (2-D), and are therefore limited in their detection of alkaline and low-abundance proteins. Lamontagne et al. (2009) used an LC-MS approach to investigate and compare global proteomic changes in B. abortus at different times after infection in vivo, which provided insight into mechanisms utilized by Brucella to survive and proliferate within host cells. During the invasion of host tissue, Brucella is simultaneously subjected to a variety of harsh environments (Roop et al., 2009); however, previous proteomic studies failed to test a range of potential environmental stresses that the bacteria could be exposed to within the host.

In this study, we utilized a label-free relative quantitative proteomics approach to investigate and compare global proteomic changes in *B. abortus* in response to a variety of typical environmental stresses. A total of 2,272 proteins were identified and quantified, with significant changes observed in 1,221 under the multiple stress conditions tested. The differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) identified that were significantly changed under the stress treatments may provide novel insights into the global metabolic adaptations of *B. abortus* to multiple stresses. The identification of proteins necessary for stress resistance is crucial to elucidate the infection process and may facilitate the discovery of novel therapeutic targets and effective vaccines.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Brucella Strains and Experimental Design**

The B. abortus 104-M strain was obtained from the Lanzhou Institute of Biological Products in China (Yu et al., 2015). The cells were subjected to a control treatment [grown on tryptic soy broth (TSB), condition #1] and eight different stress treatments as previously described, with some modifications (Teixeira-Gomes et al., 2000; Al-Dahouk et al., 2008, 2009, 2013; Lamontagne et al., 2010). These included: (i) seven singlestress conditions: #2 serum stress [addition of 10% serum (obtained from healthy volunteers after informed consent; stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C)]; #3 nutrient starvation stress (grown in Sauton's glycerol medium); #4 physical/chemical stress [grown in an acidic, high-temperature, hyperhaline, and high osmotic pressure condition (pH 4.5, 45°C, NaCl 1 mol/L final concentration, sorbitol 1 mol/L final concentration)]; #5 peroxide/nitric oxide stress (addition of 50 mM H2O2 and 5 mM DETA-NO, final concentrations); #6 oxygen deficiency stress (incubation sealed); #7 iron-limited stress [addition of 50 µM final concentration of the Fe<sup>2+</sup>-chelator 2,2'-dipyridyl (DIP; Sigma-Aldrich, Shanghai, China)]; #8 antibacterial stress (addition of polymyxin B to  $100 \,\mu$ g/mL final concentration); and (ii) a multi-stress condition, consisting of a combination of conditions #2 to #8 (#9, see Table 1 for details).

The cells were first cultured in TSB with continuous shaking (200 rpm) at 37°C for approximately 24 h until mid-log phase ( $OD_{600nm} = 1.0$ ). The cells were harvested by concentrating the solution, and were then resuspended in the eight different growth conditions listed above and incubated for 3 h in a shaking incubator. Cultures were then serially diluted and plated on tryptic soy agar to determine their viability post-challenge. The survival percentage of the 3 h post-stress challenge was calculated by dividing the number of colony-forming units obtained from each stress treatment to that obtained from the control treatment, multiplied by 100. The survival experiments were performed at least three times for each treatment. All experiments involving live *B. abortus* 104-M were conducted in BSL-2 labs in line with health and safety guidelines.

**Abbreviations:** 2-D, two-dimensional electrophoresis; CAMPs, cationic antimicrobial peptides; DEP, differentially expressed protein; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry; LTQ, Linear trap quadrupole; FDR, False discovery rate; COG, Clusters of orthologous groups; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; STRING, Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins; LFQ, label-free quantitation; PCoA, principal coordinate analysis.

Group	Stress	Conditions	Cell survival rate (%)
1	TSB (Control condition)	37°C, TSB, pH 7.6	100
2	Serum stress	10% serum	65.85
3	Nutrient starvation stress	Sauton's glycerol medium	5.85
4	Physical/chemical stress	45°C, TSB, pH 4.5, NaCl 1 mol/L, Sorbitol 1 mol/L	36.59
5	Peroxide/nitric oxide stress	s H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 50 mM, DETA-NO 5 mM	11.71
6	Oxygen deficiency stress	Incubation sealed	5.85
7	Iron-limited stress	$Fe^{2+}$ -chelator 2,2'-dipyridyl 50 $\mu$ M	73.17
8	Antibacterial stress	Polymyxin B 100 μg/mL	24.39
9	Multi-stress	42°C, Sauton's glycerol medium, pH 5.5, NaCl 0.5 mol/L, Sorbitol 0.5 mol/L, 5% serum, H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 25 mM, DETA-NO 2.5 mM, incubation sealed, Fe <sup>2+</sup> -chelator 2,2'-dipyridyl 25 $\mu$ M, polymyxin B 50 $\mu$ g/mL	3.17

## Protein Sample Preparation and Proteolytic Digestion

The protein samples were prepared as described previously (Zai et al., 2017). Briefly, cells cultured in each condition were harvested by centrifugation (7,000  $\times$  g for 15 min), and then washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The bacterial cells were resuspended in lysis buffer and disrupted by ultrasonication (25% amplitude, 15 min at 0°C). The resultant suspension was centrifuged (40,000  $\times$  g for 30 min) and the protein concentrations in the collected supernatants were measured using a BCA (bicinchoninic acid) protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). The cell protein extracts were reduced in 1 mM dithiothreitol (25°C for 1 h) and then alkylated in 5.5 mM iodoacetamide (25°C for 1 h, in the dark). Sequencing-grade trypsin (Promega) was added to a final ratio of 1:50 (V:V) and the proteins were digested in solution overnight at 37°C.

#### Liquid Chromatography Coupled to Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS)

All experiments were performed on an LTQ Q-Exactive HF mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) coupled online with a nano-HPLC (Ultimate 3000, Thermo Scientific) (Scheltema et al., 2014). The peptides were loaded onto a trap column (C18, 3  $\mu$ m particles, 100  $\mu$ m  $\times$  2 cm) and separated on EASY-Spray columns (C18, 1.9  $\mu$ m particles, 15  $\mu$ m  $\times$  12 cm) with trapping at a flow rate of 600 nL/min (Kentache et al., 2017). The mobile phase A was 0.1% formic acid in water and the mobile phase B was 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile. The peptides were eluted using a gradient (6–95% mobile phase B) during a 195-min LC run and then sprayed directly into the MS instrument.

The mass spectrometer was operated using the datadependent top-15 method with automatic switching between MS and MS/MS scans (Kalayou et al., 2016). Full MS scans were acquired at a resolution of 120,000, with an automatic gain control target value of  $3 \times 10^6$  ions or maximum injection time of 80 ms within the scan range 300–1,400 m/z. Peptide fragmentation was performed by higher energy collision dissociation (HCD) with the normalized collision energy set to 27 (Tutturen et al., 2014). The 15 highest-intensity ions were then selected for the collision-induced fragmentation at a resolution R = 15,000, an automatic gain control target value of  $5 \times 10^4$  ions, or maximum fragment accumulation time of 45 ms. After the fragmentation event, dynamic exclusion of precursor ion masses for 12 s was used to avoid the repeated fragmentation of peaks. We excluded precursor ions with single, unassigned, or  $\geq$  seven charge states from the fragmentation selection.

## Protein Identification and Label-Free Quantification

Protein identification was performed by submitting raw data files to Proteome Discoverer software (Thermo Scientific, USA, v. 1.2). The MS/MS searches were performed using the SEQUEST (v. 28) algorithm against a database constructed from the UniProt entries for *B. abortus* 104-M (taxonomy: 1210454), which contained 3,072 protein sequences. The search parameters included specific digestion with trypsin with up to two missed cleavages allowed; carbamidomethylation (C) on cysteine was set as a fixed modification; and oxidation (M) on methylene and acetyl (protein-N term) on asparagine & glutamine were applied as variable modifications. The initial allowed mass deviation of the precursor ion was set to 15 ppm, and the allowed value for the fragment mass was set to 0.02 Da. Protein identifications that contained at least two identified peptides were accepted with a false discovery rate (FDR) less than 1.0% (Zai et al., 2017).

For protein quantification, a label-free experiment was performed as previously described (Pettersen et al., 2016; Schmidt et al., 2016). Briefly, raw data were imported into Proteome Discover 1.4 following the MS analysis. Protein abundance of was calculated on the basis of label-free quantitation intensity [LFQ]. For comparison, the protein abundance in the control group was set as a reference and the protein abundance in the other eight stress groups were aligned. Proteins identified in at least two out of nine groups were considered for label-free quantification. Those proteins exhibiting fold change >1.5 (P-value  $\leq 0.05$ ) between the treatment and the control were deemed up-regulated or down-regulated respectively. The MS proteomics data have been deposited in the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the jPOSTrepo (Japan ProteOme STandard Repository) with the data set identifier PXD007548 (Vizcaino et al., 2014; Okuda et al., 2017).

### **Bioinformatics Analyses**

The calculation of protein molecular masses, pI, and peptide grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY) values were carried out using the ProtParam tool from the ExPASy toolbox (Gasteiger et al., 2003). Protein transmembrane helices were predicted using TMHMM 2.0 (Krogh et al., 2001). The protein functions were assigned by the Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) database (Galperin et al., 2015). The pathways of proteins were analyzed using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database (Kanehisa et al., 2017). Possible interactions between identified proteins were tested using the STRING tool (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins) (Szklarczyk et al., 2017). Heatmaps of the proteins were generated using the versatile matrix visualization and analysis software Morpheus, available from the Broad Institute.

# Experimental Design and Statistical Rationale

The proteomes of bacteria grown under the nine groups were investigated. For each condition, two biological replicates and two technical replicates were sampled, resulting in a total of four samples per condition for LC-MS/MS. Protein identifications should contain at least two identified peptides with a FDR less than 1.0%. Significant label-free changes in proteins were determined using the statistical analysis-based variance (ANOVA) test, which was performed on the protein LFQ values. Additionally, principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was used to visualize the correlations among the nine groups. The Pearson's r correlation coefficient between the nine groups was also visualized by means of a correlation matrix. The expression patterns of the proteins grown under the nine conditions were presented in a heatmap with hierarchical clustering performed using a Euclidean distance metric and the average linkage method (Pettersen et al., 2016).

## RESULTS

#### **Experimental Design**

Brucella spp. are facultative intracellular bacteria. During the invasion of host tissue, Brucella are subjected to various harsh environmental conditions including nutrient limitation, low pH, antimicrobial defenses, and extreme levels of ROS (Roop et al., 2009; Barbier et al., 2011; Olsen and Palmer, 2014). Correspondingly, Brucella is well-equipped from both a physiological and metabolic perspective to adopt to environmental stresses (Roop et al., 2009). Analysis of the differential proteome expression patterns of Brucella under stress should improve our understanding regarding its adaptation and pathogenesis. Thus, we chose a multiple-environmentalstress strategy to reveal the global metabolic adaptations of B. abortus to intravacuolar environmental conditions. These conditions included: (i) a control condition (growth on TSB, condition #1); (ii) seven single-stress conditions: (conditions #2-8) and (iii) a multi-stress condition (#9, see Table 1 for details). The multi-stress condition constituted a combination of each single-stress condition, and may more realistically simulate the conditions experienced by Brucella during infection of the host. We investigated the survival rate and differential protein expression of *B. abortus* in the single-stress and multi-stress conditions compared with the control condition (**Figure 1**). The survival rates of *B. abortus* under the different stress conditions ranged from 3.17 to 73.17%. Nutrient starvation, physical/chemical starvation, peroxide/NO starvation, and anaerobic starvation resulted in low survival rates (**Table 1**). The multi-stress condition resulted in the lowest survival rates, and may constitute a more accurate reflection of the *in vivo* conditions of *B. abortus* under intracellular infection.

## Coverage of the B. abortus Proteome

The goal of this study was to achieve substantial coverage of the B. abortus proteome under in vitro stress conditions. Accordingly, we applied a proteomics approach on whole cell lysates prepared from B. abortus grown in the nine groups described above. LC-MS/MS analysis of the resulting peptide mixtures generated 1.1 million spectra. The acquired raw MS data files were then analyzed and the spectral files matched to 27,076 unique peptides with an FDR of 1.0%. We mapped the unique peptides to the B. abortus 104-M UniProt database (3,072 protein sequences), and only proteins that were identified by at least two unique peptides were confirmed (Zai et al., 2017). A total of 2,289 proteins were identified by two repeats, which represents approximately 74.5% coverage of the predicted proteome (Figure 2A). Proteins identified in at least two out of nine groups (2,272) were considered for label-free quantification (Figure 2B). The distribution of the identified proteins with respect to pI, molecular weight, hydrophobicity, and transmembrane regions was consistent with the annotated proteins (Figure S1). Among the 2,289 identified proteins, 1,570 were annotated in the COG database. Almost all of the pivotal categories for Brucella were identified, suggesting good coverage and representation of the genomic content of B. abortus by the proteome. Table S1 lists all identified proteins with their accession numbers and calculated score.

#### **Quantification Analysis of Proteins under Environmental Stress**

We used high-resolution MS to quantitatively describe the protein profiles of B. abortus under stress conditions, and compared them with the expressed proteome in the control. Protein quantification allowed the characterization of proteins that were differentially expressed across the nine groups. Here, we obtained LFQ intensities for 2,272 quantified proteins. Their quantitative levels covered a 5-log<sub>10</sub> dynamic range. The density plot of the log2 ratio between the stress group and control group closely matched a normal distribution, which indicated that the experimental procedure was performed without systematic bias toward the different samples. PCoA analysis indicated good homogeneity of the biological replicates and was able to discriminate nine distinct protein populations (Figure 3A). The Pearson's correlation coefficient r varied between 0.95 and 0.99, indicating that there were differences in the protein levels between the different stress conditions (Figure 3B).

We next explored differences between the protein profiles of *B. abortus* under the various stress conditions. Hierarchical



FIGURE 1 | Experimental design of the quantitative proteomics of *Brucella abortus* under environmental stress. A label-free relative quantitative proteomics approach was utilized to investigate and compare the global proteomic changes of *B. abortus* in response to a variety of distinct stresses including a control condition, seven single-stress conditions, and a multi-stress condition. Protein samples were prepared and proteolytic-digested using trypsin enzymes. Peptides were analyzed on a Q-Exactive HF MS coupled online with a nano-HPLC. The identified proteins were quantified using a label-free approach and further functionally analyzed using the COG and KEGG databases.

clustering was performed based on the LFQ intensities of the 2,272 proteins quantified in this study, and indicated distinguishable protein expression profiles between the nine different groups (**Figure 3C**). For each growth condition, a range of 1,967–2,109 proteins per group were identified (**Table 2**). About 87.6% of the detected proteins were common to all groups. Several of the identified proteins demonstrated a significant difference in abundance in stress compared to the control condition. The study identified DEPs in each stress condition whose quantitative levels varied by more than 1.5 fold from the respective LFQ intensity means in the control, i.e., 213, 413, 311, 451, 314, 357, 401, and 306 proteins in the eight stress conditions, respectively (Figure S2). Table S2 lists all the DEPs along with their accession numbers and LFQ intensities for each condition.

## Metabolic Pathway Analysis of DEPs under Each Environmental Stress Condition

To understand the functional classification and metabolic pathways that were involved in the environmental stress response, the DEPs in each stress condition were functionally analyzed. All of the enriched KEGG pathways of *B. abortus* in response to each stress treatment are listed in **Table 3**. The results



**FIGURE 2** | Coverage of the *B. abortus* proteome by high-resolution mass spectrometry. **(A)** Venn plot of protein identification overlaps among two independent biological experiments. The number of proteins identified in the *B. abortus* proteome were 2,289, with 2,235 and 2,239 in the respective experiments. **(B)** The number of proteins identified in at least two out of nine groups and considered for label-free quantification was 2,272. The coverage of proteins identified and proteins quantitated in this study was 74.5 and 74%, respectively.

suggested that the metabolic adaptations of *B. abortus* to each environmental stress condition varied.

*Brucella* can persist for several weeks in the blood of an intraperitoneally-infected host (Vitry et al., 2014). Considering the role that serum stimulation has in intraperitoneally-infected



conditions was compared to the control condition.

hosts of *Brucella*, serum stress was chosen as single-stress condition #2. In this treatment, we discovered 125 and 88 up-regulated and down-regulated proteins, respectively, compared with the control. As shown in Figure S3A, the main enriched KEGG pathways for regulated proteins included biosynthesis of siderophore group non-ribosomal peptides, ABC transporters, synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies, thiamine metabolism, and two-component system. This suggests that *Brucella* may enhance its iron acquisition through the regulation of ABC transporters and biosynthesis of siderophores in order to adapt to the serum stress.

During the invasion of host tissue, a major hurdle in the infection of a host cell by *Brucella* is the lack of nutrients within the phagosome (Essenberg et al., 2002; Hanna et al., 2013; Barbier et al., 2017). Considering the role that nutrient starvation has in the intracellular replication of *Brucella*, nutrient stress was set as single-stress condition #3. Of the DEPs detected under this condition, 139 and 274 proteins were respectively up-regulated or down-regulated compared with the control. The primary enriched KEGG pathways for regulated proteins included two-component system, glycerophospholipid

metabolism, ABC transporters, nitrogen metabolism, and porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism (Figure S3B). This implies that *Brucella* may decrease its energy usage and secondary metabolite biosynthesis through the regulation of glycerophospholipid metabolism, nitrogen metabolism, and porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism in response to nutrient starvation stress.

One major mechanism of *Brucella* pathogenesis is the capacity to survive in the acidic, high-temperature, hyperhaline, and high osmotic pressure environment inside macrophages (Detilleux et al., 1991; Liu et al., 2015). Given that physical/chemical stimulation influences the intracellular replication of *Brucella*, physical/chemical stress was set as single-stress condition #4. We discovered 120 and 191 up-regulated and down-regulated proteins present in the physical/chemical stress condition compared with the control. ABC transporters, thiamine metabolism, biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides, glycerophospholipid metabolism, and two-component system constituted the main enriched KEGG pathways (Figure S3C). *Brucella* may therefore decrease its energy usage and secondary metabolite biosynthesis through the regulation of

Group	Stress	Identified proteins	Unique A <sup>a</sup>	Unique B <sup>b</sup>	Common <sup>c</sup>	Up <sup>d</sup>	Down <sup>e</sup>
1	TSB (Control)	2,091	-	-	-	_	_
2	Serum stress	2,109	67	49	2,042	125	88
3	Nutrient starvation stress	1,967	49	173	1,918	139	274
4	Physical/chemical stress	2,001	46	136	1,955	120	191
5	Peroxide/NO stress	2,064	72	99	1,992	235	216
6	Oxygen deficiency stress	2,079	73	85	2,006	165	149
7	Iron-limited stress	2,085	68	74	2,017	169	188
8	Antibacterial stress	2,009	52	134	1,957	146	255
9	Multi-stress	2,046	58	103	1,988	116	190

<sup>a</sup>Proteins unique to the stress condition

<sup>b</sup>Proteins unique to the control.

<sup>c</sup>The common proteins identified in both the control and stress conditions.

<sup>d</sup> The up-regulated proteins under stress conditions.

<sup>e</sup>The down-regulated proteins under stress conditions.

glycerophospholipid metabolism and thiamine metabolism in order to the physical/chemical stress.

The exogenous production of ROS such as  $O^{2-}$  and  $H_2O_2$ by the host immune system has also been shown to be important for the survival of Brucella (Jimenez De Bagues et al., 2007). Nitric oxide produced by the macrophages were also crucial for phagocytes to control the intracellular replication of Brucella (Roop et al., 2009; Ronneau et al., 2016). Peroxide/nitric oxide stress was therefore set as single-stress condition #5. Of the DEPs detected in this treatment, 235 and 216 proteins were up-regulated or down-regulated respectively compared with the control. The main enriched KEGG pathways for regulated proteins included nitrogen metabolism, ABC transporters, biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides, thiamine metabolism, and two-component system (Figure S3D). The result suggests that *Brucella* may decrease its amino acid usage and secondary metabolite biosynthesis through the regulation of nitrogen metabolism and thiamine metabolism, meanwhile enhancing its iron acquisition through regulation of the two-component system and biosynthesis of siderophores in response to peroxide/NO stress.

The presence of oxygen has positive effects on the levels of proteins that are functional in aerobic respiration and purine metabolism, while low access to oxygen results in the induction of enzymes of mixed-acid fermentation and gluconate metabolism (James et al., 1995). Considering the role that oxygen deficiency plays in the intracellular replication of Brucella, oxygen deficiency stress was set as single-stress condition #6. In this treatment we discovered that 165 and 149 proteins were up-regulated or down-regulated respectively compared with the control. The main enriched KEGG pathways for the regulated proteins were two-component system, flagellar assembly, glycerophospholipid metabolism, quorum sensing, and ABC transporters (Figure S3E). Thus, Brucella may decrease its energy usage and virulence through the regulation of glycerophospholipid metabolism, two-component system, flagellar assembly and quorum sensing to manage oxygen deficiency stress.

Iron is an essential element for Brucella and is pivotal in hostpathogen interactions (Eskra et al., 2012; Roop, 2012). In the host, free iron levels are extremely low, resulting in iron limitation being a crucial stress (Braun, 2001). Iron-limitation stress was thus set as single-stress condition #7. We found that 169 and 188 proteins were respectively up-regulated or down-regulated in the iron-limited stress condition compared with the control. As indicated in Figure S3F, the main enriched KEGG pathways included oxidative phosphorylation, two-component system, biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides, nitrogen metabolism, and citrate cycle (TCA cycle). This result suggests that Brucella may decrease its energy usage through the regulation of oxidative phosphorylation, nitrogen metabolism and the TCA cycle, while enhancing its iron acquisition through the regulation of siderophore biosynthesis to adapt to the stress resulting from limited iron.

Antimicrobial peptides can limit the colonization of bacterial during infection in the innate defense, and therefore it is likely that Brucella encounters antimicrobial peptides within host microenvironments during infection (Martinez De Tejada et al., 1995). These peptides may be involved in environmental signaling that triggers changes in bacterial gene expression. Considering the role that antimicrobial peptide stimulation has in the intracellular replication of Brucella, antibacterial stress was set as single-stress condition #8. Of the DEPs in this treatment, 146 and 255 proteins were up-regulated or downregulated respectively in comparison with the control. The main enriched KEGG pathways included two-component system, nitrogen metabolism, oxidative phosphorylation, biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides, and base excision repair (Figure S3G). This suggests that *Brucella* may decrease its energy usage through the regulation of oxidative phosphorylation and nitrogen metabolism, while enhancing its antimicrobial peptide resistance through regulation of the two-component system and base excision repair in response to antibacterial stress.

During the invasion of host tissue, *Brucella* experiences several stresses simultaneously, including nutrient limitation,

#### TABLE 3 | KEGG enrichment analysis of DEPs in response to each stress treatment.

2   Serum stress   ko01053 ko02010   ABC transporters   24   0.000063 0.0099555 ko020072     2   Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies   3   0.0099555 ko02020   3   0.0099555 ko02020     3   Nutrient starvation stress   ko02020   Two-component system   10   0.0702311     3   Nutrient starvation stress   ko02020   Two-component system   22   0.0016532 ko02010     3   Nutrient starvation stress   ko02020   Two-component system   8   0.0056323 ko02010     3   Nutrient starvation stress   ko020564   Glyceorybotspholipid metabolism   8   0.0056323 ko02010     4   Doogstop   ABC transporters   44   0.0225088 ko00730   14   0.0225088 ko00730     4   Physical/chemical stress   ko02010   ABC transporters   35   0.00056975 ko01053   0.0005643   0.002728 ko00730   11amine metabolism   5   0.0025975 ko01053   0.0025975 ko01053   0.0025975 ko01053   0.0025975 ko010563   0.0025975 ko010564   0.0025975 ko001664   0.0025976 ko00072   0.0025976 ko00016   0.0025976 ko00072   <
ko02010   ABC transporters   24   0.0056025     ko02072   Synthesis and degradation of lectone bodies   3   0.0098555     ko02020   Theorem by   3   0.0098555     ko02020   Two-component system   10   0.0702431     3   Nutrient starvation stress   ko02020   Two-component system   22   0.00616323     ko02010   ABC transporters   44   0.0081475   ko02010   ABC transporters   44   0.0081475     ko02010   ABC transporters   44   0.0081475   ko02010   ABC transporters   44   0.0292768     ko00050   Elosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides   4   0.0297268     ko00072   Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies   3   0.067764     4   Physical/chemical stress   ko00270   Folata biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides   4   0.008776     ko00072   Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies   3   0.008776   0.008776     ko00070   Thiarnine metabolism   5   0.008776   0.008776
ko00072   Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies   3   0.0099555     ko02070   Filageliar assembly   3   0.0099555     ko02020   Two-component system   10   0.00702431     3   Nutrient starvation stress   ko02020   Two-component system   22   0.0061642     3   Nutrient starvation stress   ko02020   Two-component system   22   0.0061642     4   0.0081475   ko00664   Glycerophospholipid metabolism   8   0.0029728     8   ko02010   ABC transporters   44   0.0081475   6   0.0297289     ko00790   Folats biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides   4   0.0297289     4   Physical/chemical stress   ko00700   ABC transporters   35   0.0006874     4   Physical/chemical stress   ko00700   Thiamine metabolism   5   0.0006977     5   No0072   Synthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides   4   0.0069776     6   Ko00720   Two-component system   5   0.0033867
ko02040   Flagellar assembly   3   0.0099555     ko02730   Thamine metabolism   3   0.0090571     3   Nutrient starvation stress   ko02020   Two-component system   22   0.0061642     3   Nutrient starvation stress   ko02020   Two-component system   22   0.0061632     3   Ko02010   ABC transporters   44   0.0025808     ko00060   Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism   9   0.0120866     ko00060   Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism   4   0.0297289     ko00070   Folate biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides   4   0.0026976     4   Physical/chemical stress   ko00730   Thiamine metabolism   5   0.00669776     ko00790   Folate biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides   4   0.006776     4   Physical/chemical stress   ko00730   Thiamine metabolism   5   0.00269776     ko00720   Two-component system   15   0.0233687   ko00730   Thiamine metabolism   6   0.0139601     k
ko00730   Thiamine metabolism   3   0.0608771     ko02020   Two-component system   10   0.0702431     3   Nutrient stanution stress   ko02020   Two-component system   22   0.0061642     3   Nutrient stanution stress   ko02020   Accomponent system   22   0.0061642     3   Nutrient stanution stress   ko02020   Accomponent system   22   0.0061642     4   0.00210   ASC ransporters   44   0.008173   44   0.025808     ko001653   Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonnibosomal peptides   4   0.027816     ko00720   Foltat biosynthesis   7   0.0791646     ko00730   Thiamine metabolism   5   0.0086975     ko00163   Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonnibosomal peptides   4   0.0067706     ko00072   Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies   3   0.0297309     ko00072   Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies   3   0.0297309     ko00072   Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies   3   0.0297309
ko02020   Two-component system   10   0.0702431     3   Nutrient starvation stress   ko02020   Two-component system   22   0.0061642     8   Ko02010   ABC transporters   44   0.008323     ko02010   ABC transporters   44   0.0291475     ko00910   Nitrogen metabolism   9   0.0120866     ko000790   Foltats biosynthesis   7   0.0791646     ko00072   Synthesis end degradation of ketone bodies   3   0.0679164     4   Physical/chemical stress   ko02010   ABC transporters   5   0.000860     ko000720   Foltats biosynthesis   5   0.0006875   ko000720   Sinthesis of diderophore group nonribosomal peptides   4   0.007706     ko00072   Synthesis of diderophore group nonribosomal peptides   4   0.0027309     ko00072   Synthesis of diderophore group nonribosomal peptides   4   0.0027309     ko00072   Synthesis and degradation of katone bodies   3   0.0297309     ko00072   Synthesis of diderophore group nonribosomal peptides   4
3 Nutrient starvation stress ko02020 Two-component system 22 0.0061642   3 Nutrient starvation stress ko02020 Two-component system 8 0.0065323   ko02010 ABC transporters 44 0.0014555 ko000810 Nitrogen metabolism 9 0.0120566   ko00060 Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism 14 0.0225088 ko00790 Folate biosynthesis 7 0.0791646   ko00072 Synthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides 4 0.0067736   4 Physical/chemical stress ko002010 ABC transporters 35 0.00068975   ko00072 Synthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides 4 0.0027764   4 Physical/chemical stress ko00730 Thiamine metabolism 5 0.0066975   ko00072 Synthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides 4 0.007706 ko00720   ko000710 Nitrogen metabolism 6 0.0136601 ko002010 Nitrogen metabolism 6 0.0491114   5 Peroxide/nitric oxide stress ko00010 Nitrogen metabolism 5 0.0048133
ko00564   Glycerophospholipid metabolism   8   0.0065323     ko02010   ABC transporters   44   0.0081425     ko00810   Nitrogen metabolism   14   0.0256088     ko00700   Folate biosynthesis of siderophore group nonibosomal peptides   4   0.0297269     ko00700   Folate biosynthesis   7   0.0079146     ko00700   Folate biosynthesis   7   0.006737     ko00720   Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies   3   0.006734     4   Physical/chemical stress   ko00700   Thiamine metabolism   5   0.0006975     ko00720   Two-component system   5   0.00287269   ko007706   0.0041133     ko00720   Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies   3   0.00273687     ko00720   Two-component system   6   0.0133661     ko002010   Nitrogen metabolism   6   0.0149111     5   Metabolism   6   0.0133661     ko002010   Nitrogen metabolism   5   0.0123366     ko002010   Nitrogen metabolis
ko02010ABC transporters440.0081475ko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.0120265ko00860Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism140.0258088ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonibosomal peptides70.0791646ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.086375ko000730Folate biosynthesis50.000684ko000730Thiamine metabolism50.0006776ko00074ABC transporters350.0006776ko00075Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonibosomal peptides40.006776ko00072Synthesis of siderophore group nonibosomal peptides40.0027308ko00072Synthesis of siderophore group nonibosomal peptides40.0027308ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Nitrogen metabolism60.041113ko00072Synthesis of siderophore group nonibosomal peptides40.0170841ko00072Ko00103Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonibosomal peptides40.0170841ko00200Two-
ko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.0120856ko00860Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism140.0258088ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0297269ko00720Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0876344Physical/chemical stressko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.00864ko00730Thiamine metabolism50.000664ko00720Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.027709ko00730Thiamine metabolism60.0136601ko00720Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00720Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Nitrogen metabolism90.0011133ko00072Synthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.01708415Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.0133396ko0010ABC transporters380.0133396ko00200Two-component system180.0242233ko00200Two-component system180.0242233ko00564Giycerophospholipid metabolism60.0441504ko01200Degradation o
ko00860Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism140.0258088ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0297269ko00070Folate biosynthesis70.0791646ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0876344Physical/chemical stressko02010ABC transporters350.000864ko000730Thiamine metabolism50.0065975ko000762Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies40.0067766ko000763Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0067976ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Synthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.01708115Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.0041133ko02010ABC transporters380.0139867ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.01708415Ko01063Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides50.0196852ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.01708415Ko01063Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides50.01968546Ko01064Gioycerophospholipid metabolism50.01968
ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0297269ko00790Folate biosynthesis70.0791646ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0876344Physical/chemical stressko02010ABC transporters350.000864ko00730Thiamine metabolism50.00085975ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.007706ko00720Two-component system60.0136601ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0239887ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0239309ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0239309ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Synthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.00411135Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.00411336Ko02010ABC transporters380.0133096ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko02020Two-component system120.041506ko02020Two-component system60.0440453ko02020Two-component system160.0049322776Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.005898ko02020Two-component system160.005898ko02020Two-component system<
ko00790 ko0072Folate biosynthesis70.07916464Physical/chemical stressko0072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0876344Physical/chemical stressko00730Thiamine metabolism50.000664ko00730Thiamine metabolism60.0136601ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism60.0136601ko0072Synthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0067706ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00010Nitrogen metabolism60.014911145Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko00910Nitrogen metabolism30.0297309ko00730Thiamine metabolism50.01966358iosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.01708415Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko001053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0170841ko00730Thiamine metabolism50.0196635ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism60.04404530.07302770.07322776Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02020Two-component system160.00568810.0441204ko02020Two-component system160.0256810.04141204ko02020Tw
ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0876344Physical/chemical stressko02010ABC transporters350.00086975ko00730Thiamine metabolism50.0065975ko00564Gilycerophospholipid metabolism60.0136601ko02020Two-component system150.0233687ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.00411335Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko0910Nitrogen metabolism90.00411336Ko01653Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0170841ko00730Thiamine metabolism50.0148633ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko00564Gilycerophospholipid metabolism60.0440453ko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02020Two-component system30.025681ko02020Two-component system30.025681ko02020Two-component system30.025681ko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02040Flagellar assembly3<
4 Physical/chemical stress ko02010 ABC transporters 35 0.000864   4 Physical/chemical stress ko02010 Thiamine metabolism 5 0.000864   ko00730 Thiamine metabolism 6 0.0136601 ko002020 Two-component system 15 0.0233687   ko00072 Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies 3 0.0297309 ko00910 Nitrogen metabolism 6 0.041113   5 Peroxide/nitric oxide stress ko00910 Nitrogen metabolism 9 0.0041133   ko02010 ABC transporters 38 0.013396   ko01053 Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides 4 0.0170841   5 Peroxide/nitric oxide stress ko00910 Nitrogen metabolism 5 0.0196635   ko02010 ABC transporters 38 0.013396 ko011053 Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides 4 0.0170841   ko00730 Thiamine metabolism 5 0.0196635 ko024020 Two-component system 18 0.0242233   ko002664 Glycerophospholipid metabolism 6 0.04440453<
ko00730   Thiamine metabolism   5   0.0065975     ko01053   Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides   4   0.0067706     ko00564   Glycerophospholipid metabolism   6   0.0136601     ko00072   Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies   3   0.0297309     ko00910   Nitrogen metabolism   6   0.0441114     5   Peroxide/nitric oxide stress   ko00910   Nitrogen metabolism   9   0.0041133     ko02020   Two-component system   5   0.0138601     ko00910   Nitrogen metabolism   9   0.0041133     ko02010   ABC transporters   38   0.0133096     ko01053   Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides   4   0.0170841     ko00730   Thiamine metabolism   5   0.0196635   ko02020   Two-component system   18   0.0242233     ko00860   Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism   6   0.04405453   ko01220   Degradation of aromatic compounds   5   0.0732277     6   Oxygen deficiency stress   ko02020
ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0067706ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism60.0136601ko02020Two-component system150.0233687ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00910Nitrogen metabolism60.04411135Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.00411335Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko00910ABC transporters380.0133396ko02010ABC transporters380.0133396ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko00860Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism20.0411506ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism60.0440453ko01220Degradation of aromatic compounds50.07322776Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02040Flagellar assembly30.0256810.025681ko02024Quorum sensing150.0521694
ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism60.0136601ko02020Two-component system150.0233687ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00910Nitrogen metabolism60.04911145Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.0041133ko02010ABC transporters380.013396ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0170841ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko02020Two-component system60.0440453ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism50.07322776Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02040Flagellar assembly30.0256810.025641ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism50.0441204ko02020Two-component system160.0059896Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.05989ko02040Flagellar assembly30.0256810.0441204ko02024Quorum sensing150.0521694
ko02020Two-component system150.0233687ko00072Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.0297309ko00910Nitrogen metabolism60.04911145Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.0041133ko02010ABC transporters380.0133396ko00730Thiamine metabolism50.0196635ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko00860Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism50.01322776Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02040Flagellar assembly30.025681ko02024Quorum sensing150.0521694
ko00072 ko00910Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies30.02973095Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.00411335Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.00411336ko02010ABC transporters380.0133396ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0170841ko00730Thiamine metabolism50.0196635ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism60.0440453ko01220Degradation of aromatic compounds50.07322776Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02040Flagellar assembly30.0256810.025681ko02040Glycerophospholipid metabolism50.0441204ko02024Quorum sensing150.0521694
ko00910Nitrogen metabolism60.04911145Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.00411335Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko02010ABC transporters380.0133396ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0170841ko00730Thiamine metabolism50.0196635ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko00860Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism120.0410453ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism60.0440453ko01220Degradation of aromatic compounds50.07322776Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02040Flagellar assembly30.025681ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism50.0441204ko02040Flagellar assembly30.025681ko02040Glycerophospholipid metabolism50.0441204ko02024Quorum sensing150.0521694
5Peroxide/nitric oxide stressko00910Nitrogen metabolism90.00411335ko02010ABC transporters380.0133396ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0170841ko00730Thiamine metabolism50.0196635ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko00860Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism60.0440453ko01220Degradation of aromatic compounds50.07322776Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02040Flagellar assembly30.025681ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism50.0441204ko00204Quorum sensing150.0521694
k02010ABC transporters380.0133396k001053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0170841k000730Thiamine metabolism50.0196635k002020Two-component system180.0242233k00860Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism120.0415006k000564Glycerophospholipid metabolism60.0440453k001220Degradation of aromatic compounds50.07322776Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.005989k002040Flagellar assembly30.025681k002040Glycerophospholipid metabolism50.0441204k002024Quorum sensing150.0521694
ko01053Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides40.0170841ko00730Thiamine metabolism50.0196635ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko00860Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism120.0415006ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism60.0440453ko01220Degradation of aromatic compounds50.07322776Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02040Flagellar assembly30.025681ko02040Glycerophospholipid metabolism50.0441204ko02024Quorum sensing150.0521694
ko00730Thiamine metabolism50.0196635ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko00860Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism120.0415006ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism60.0440453ko01220Degradation of aromatic compounds50.07322776Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02040Flagellar assembly30.025681ko0264Glycerophospholipid metabolism50.0441204ko02040Flagellar assembly30.025681ko02024Quorum sensing150.0521694
ko02020Two-component system180.0242233ko00860Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism120.0415006ko00564Glycerophospholipid metabolism60.0440453ko01220Degradation of aromatic compounds50.07322776Oxygen deficiency stressko02020Two-component system160.005989ko02040Flagellar assembly30.025681ko0264Glycerophospholipid metabolism50.0441204ko02024Quorum sensing150.0521694
ko00860 Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism 12 0.0415006   ko00564 Glycerophospholipid metabolism 6 0.0440453   ko01220 Degradation of aromatic compounds 5 0.0732277   6 Oxygen deficiency stress ko02020 Two-component system 16 0.005989   ko00564 Glycerophospholipid metabolism 3 0.025681   ko02040 Flagellar assembly 3 0.025681   ko02024 Quorum sensing 15 0.0521694
ko00564 Glycerophospholipid metabolism 6 0.0440453   ko01220 Degradation of aromatic compounds 5 0.0732277   6 Oxygen deficiency stress ko02020 Two-component system 16 0.005989   ko02040 Flagellar assembly 3 0.025681   ko02024 Quorum sensing 15 0.0521694
6 Oxygen deficiency stress ko02020 Two-component system 16 0.005989   6 Oxygen deficiency stress ko02020 Two-component system 16 0.005989   ko00564 Glycerophospholipid metabolism 5 0.0441204   ko02024 Quorum sensing 15 0.0521694
6   Oxygen deficiency stress   ko02020   Two-component system   16   0.005989     ko02040   Flagellar assembly   3   0.025681     ko00564   Glycerophospholipid metabolism   5   0.0441204     ko02024   Quorum sensing   15   0.0521694
ko02040   Flagellar assembly   3   0.025681     ko00564   Glycerophospholipid metabolism   5   0.0441204     ko02024   Quorum sensing   15   0.0521694
ko00564   Glycerophospholipid metabolism   5   0.0441204     ko02024   Quorum sensing   15   0.0521694
ko02024 Quorum sensing 15 0.0521694
ko02010 ABC transporters 27 0.0600535
ko00350 Tyrosine metabolism 4 0.0887595
ko00920 Sulfur metabolism 5 0.0942084
7 Iron-limited stress ko00190 Oxidative phosphorylation 29 1.32E-12
ko02020 Two-component system 24 0.0000285
ko01053 Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides 6 0.0000445
ko00910 Nitrogen metabolism 11 0.0000831
ko00020 Citrate cycle (TCA cycle) 12 0.0005147
ko01120 Microbial metabolism in diverse environments 43 0.0275001
ko00072 Svothesis and degradation of ketone bodies 3 0.0502816
ko00860 Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism 11 0.0627104
ko00650 Butanoate metaboliem 7 0.0672592
ko02010   ABC transporters   33   0.0682408

(Continued)

8

#### TABLE 3 | Continued

Group	Stress	Pathway-ID	Description	Genes	P-value
8	Antibacterial stress	ko02020	Two-component system	24	0.0000861
		ko00910	Nitrogen metabolism	11	0.0001522
		ko00190	Oxidative phosphorylation	16	0.006429
		ko01053	Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides	4	0.0173993
		ko03410	Base excision repair	5	0.0200875
		ko02010	ABC transporters	37	0.0246314
		ko01220	Degradation of aromatic compounds	5	0.0745956
		ko00130	Ubiquinone and other terpenoid-quinone biosynthesis	4	0.0879378
9	Multi-stress	ko02020	Two-component system	18	0.0019099
		ko00910	Nitrogen metabolism	16 4 5 37 5 4 18 8 5 4 30 4	0.0037586
		ko00730	Thiamine metabolism	5	0.007187
		ko01053	Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides	4	0.0072753
		ko02010	ABC transporters	30	0.0313728
		ko03410	Base excision repair	4	0.0411957

low pH, antimicrobial defenses, and extreme ROS levels from the host immune response. Thus, the combination of stress conditions 2 to 8 was set as the multi-stress condition #9 that may better simulate the environments that Brucella may occur during host infection. We discovered that 116 and 190 proteins were respectively up-regulated or downregulated in the multi-stress condition compared with the control. The primary enriched KEGG pathways included twocomponent system, nitrogen metabolism, thiamine metabolism, biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides, and ABC transporters (Figure S3H). Brucella may decrease its energy usage and secondary metabolite biosynthesis via the regulation of nitrogen metabolism and thiamine metabolism, while enhancing its iron acquisition and antimicrobial peptide resistance by regulating the two-component system and siderophore biosynthesis in order to cope with multiple stresses.

Compared with the single-stress condition, the enriched pathways of B. abortus in response to multi-stress conditions were more extensive and covered the primary enriched pathways of each single-stress condition. For example, the two-component system pathway enriched in the multi-stress condition was also enriched in all eight of the single-stress conditions, indicating its significance in the metabolic adaptation to multiple environmental stresses. The nitrogen metabolism pathway was enriched in the multi-stress condition and also in numerous single-stress conditions, including nutrient starvation stress, physical/chemical stress, peroxide/nitric oxide stress, ironlimited stress, and antibacterial stress. The DEPs included in this enriched pathway were similar in their regulatory patterns in both the single-stress and multi-stress treatments. The results suggested that the metabolic adaptations of *B. abortus* to multiple stresses constitute the synthesis of each environmental stress condition, and that the multiple stress treatment may better simulate the metabolic adaptations of Brucella during host infection.

## Metabolic Pathway Analysis of DEPs under Various Stress Conditions

The functional analysis above indicated that B. abortus exhibited different metabolic adaptations to each environmental stress condition. To better understand the primary metabolic adaptations of B. abortus to various stress conditions, we summed all the DEPs in each stress condition, resulting in a total of 1,221 proteins that were differentially expressed in at least one stress condition compared with the control. The protein functions of all 1,221 DEPs in the eight stress conditions were assigned by the COG database. We identified 20 different COG phylogenetic protein groups (Figure 4), with the highest represented subsets in category E (amino acid transport and metabolism, 104 proteins), category K (transcription, 93 proteins), category C (energy production and conversion, 90 proteins), category P (inorganic ion transport and metabolism, 87 proteins), and category G (carbohydrate transport and metabolism, 63 proteins). Furthermore, 114 proteins were assigned only a putative function (category R), while 127 proteins remained without an allocated biological role (category S). The COG functional analysis suggested that energy usage-related categories (E, C, and G) and the iron acquisition-related category (P) were involved in the main metabolic adaptations of B. abortus to various stress conditions.

A putative protein-protein interaction network was then constructed from all high-confidence *B. abortus* protein interaction pairs that were matched with the 1,221 DEPs using the STRING tool (Szklarczyk et al., 2015). The KEGG pathway analysis showed a significant enrichment of DEPs (*P*-value  $\leq$  0.05). All 1,221 DEPs in the eight stress conditions were primarily enriched in oxidative phosphorylation, ABC transporters, two-component systems, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism, glycerol phospholipid metabolism, the TCA cycle, thiamine metabolism, nitrogen metabolism, or were associated with carbon metabolism (**Figure 5**). The KEGG pathway analysis suggested that the





energy usage-related pathways and regulatory mechanism pathways constituted the primary pathways involved in the metabolic adaptation of *B. abortus* to various stress conditions. Table S3 lists the DEPs that were related to the main metabolic changes of *B. abortus* in response to stress treatments.

#### Main Metabolic Changes in Response to Environmental Stress

Oxidative phosphorylation is the process in which ATP is formed through using enzymes to oxidize nutrients (Dimroth et al., 2000). TCA cycle is a series of chemical reactions that produces ATP through the oxidation of acetyl-CoA. In this study, several proteins involved in oxidative phosphorylation and the TCA cycle, such as those associated with the NADH dehydrogenase (NDH) family, succinate dehydrogenase (SDH), and cytochrome *c* oxidase CcO, were abundantly downregulated in response to both the single-stress and multiple-stress treatments (**Figures 6A,C**). A major hurdle in the infection of a host cell by *Brucella* is the lack of nutrients within the phagosome (Barbier et al., 2017). These results suggest that immediately after phagocytosis, *Brucella* reduces energy metabolism via the TCA cycle as the available extracellular nutrients decrease.

Two-component systems are adapted to respond to a wide variety of stress, including nutrients, quorum signals, antibiotics, temperature, pH, and so forth (Mascher et al., 2006). In this study, several proteins involved in two component systemcontrolled metabolic pathways, such as chromosomal replication initiator protein dnaA and nitrate reductase Nar, were decreased in abundance. Two-component system sensor histidine kinase PhoR/GlnL, hybrid sensor histidine kinase/response regulator ChvG, and transcriptional regulator RegB were up-regulated in response to several single-stress and multiple environmental stresses (**Figure 6B**). During the invasion of host tissue, *Brucella* must survive under several severe stresses through generating a suitable adaptive response to various signals (Viadas et al., 2010). Here, we found that the two-component system proteins were regulated in response to stress, which is essential for the persistence of *Brucella* within stressed environments in the host organism.

Cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAMPs) are crucial for the host defense against invasive bacterial infection (Alegado and Tan, 2008). The resistance of pathogenic bacteria toward antimicrobial peptides may also account for their virulence. In this study, several proteins involved in CAMPs resistance, such as the serine peptidase DegP and Hemolysin D (NL70\_15120), were increased in abundance in our study. Transporter TolC and N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase (NL70\_04450) were down-regulated in response to several single environmental stresses, especially antibacterial stress (**Figure 6E**). These results indicated that the adopted mechanism of *Brucella* to resist host antimicrobials is important for persistent infection.

Siderophores are an important group of structurally diverse natural products that chelate iron and are important in the acquisition of the essential trace element iron by most microorganisms (Miethke and Marahiel, 2007). In the host, free iron levels are extremely low as the metal is largely bound to proteins. To overcome iron limitation, some bacteria and fungi produce siderophores. In this study, several proteins involved in the biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides, such as enterobactin biosynthetic enzymes EntA/B/E/F, were found to be up-regulated particularly in response to serum stress and iron-limitation stress (**Figure 6F**). These results indicated that *Brucella* has evolved strategies to overcome iron limitation and to compete with the iron sequestration immune mechanisms of the host.

We also observed specific variations in the amounts of multiple proteins involved in nitrogen metabolism, thiamine metabolism, and purine metabolism. In this study, nitrate reductase (Nar), nitrite reductase (NirK), and thiamine pyrophosphokinase (ThiN/E/G), which play essential roles in amino acid metabolism, and several enzymes participating in glycine, serine, and threonine metabolism, were relatively significantly differentially expressed in the different conditions (**Figure 6D**). Serine peptidase HtrA and heat shock protein



Hsp20 were observed to increase considerably under both the single-stress and multiple-stress treatments. The Cu-Zu superoxide dismutase SodC was found to increase during oxidative stress and multiple-stress treatments, but remained unchanged under the physical/chemical stress conditions of heat shock or acidic pH. Other proteins such as exopolyphosphatase (NL70\_13665), ribonuclease H (RnhA), single-stranded DNA exonuclease (RecJ), and urea amidohydrolase (UreA/B/C) were also reduced in at least one of the stress conditions in our study.

## DISCUSSION

The virulence of *Brucella* strains mainly depends on their capacity to survive and proliferate within host cells (Kohler et al., 2002). During the invasion of host tissue, *Brucella* is able to withstand the environmental stresses encountered and establish and maintain persistent intracellular residence (Roop et al., 2009). However, the mechanisms used by *Brucella* in intracellular infection are not fully understood. The aim of this work was to elucidate the regulatory processes of *Brucella* that enable survival under extreme stress by mirroring the possible living conditions of the bacteria in the host environment.

Multiple approaches have been used to investigate the proteomes of bacterial pathogens (Schmidt and Volker, 2011; Semanjski and Macek, 2016). Since bacteria are much smaller than mammalian host cells, the detection of bacterial proteins is difficult due to interference from the large excess of host proteins present. As a result of these challenges, the majority

of bacterial proteomic datasets are still obtained from in vitro experiments (Cash, 2011). The main advantage of in vitro systems is the ability to implement a simple experimental design in a controlled manner using defined media and conditions. Although the in vivo approach in cultured cell lines reflects the conditions in infected hosts more closely than in vitro cultures, and reveals pathogenesis-related determinants present throughout the course of infection, it only partially describes the actual infection state due to the artificial conditions of the cell culture. Thus, in vitro approaches significantly contribute toward our understanding of the physiology of pathogenic bacteria and assist in identifying novel virulence factors that may represent potential biomarkers or drug targets. However, previous in vitro studies did not test the range of possible environment stresses that Brucella may be exposed to within the host. During the invasion of host tissue, Brucella is simultaneously subjected to a variety of harsh environments. Distinct environmental conditions can be simulated in in vitro models in which bacterial cultures are exposed to different in vivo-mimicking conditions similar to the cellular environment of the host. We therefore chose a multiple-environmental-stress strategy in order to reveal the global metabolic adaptations of B. abortus to intravacuolar environmental conditions.

We used a label-free proteomics approach to quantitatively elucidate the protein profiles of *B. abortus* under conditions of stress, and compared them with the expressed proteome in the control sample. The *in vitro* single-stress and multistress conditions simulated the *in vivo* conditions of *B. abortus* 





under intracellular infection, with survival rates ranging from 3.17 to 73.17%. The results suggested that all of the singlestress conditions constituted harsh environments for *B. abortus*. The multi-stress condition resulted in the lowest survival rates, and may constitute a more accurate reflection of the *in vivo* conditions of *B. abortus* under intracellular infection. During the invasion of host tissue, *Brucella* is subjected to a harsh environment that results in the vast majority of the cells being killed within the macrophages (Di-Russo Case and Samuel, 2016). The *in vitro* stress treatments utilized in this study correspond well with the *in vivo* conditions of *Brucella* under intracellular infection. The proteomic analysis identified and quantified a total of 2,272 proteins and 74% of the theoretical proteome, which has provided wide coverage of the *B. abortus* proteome. By replicating eight typical stress environments *in vitro*, we were able to investigate the influence of various stresses on the detected proteomes. The results indicated that there were different metabolic adaptations to different environmental stresses. The COG functional analysis of the 1,221 DEPs showed that energy usage-related categories (E, C, and G) and the iron acquisition-related category (P) were involved in the main metabolic adaptations of B. abortus to various stress conditions. While the KEGG pathway analyses revealed

that the majority of pathways were involved in oxidative phosphorylation, ABC transporters, two-component systems, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, the citrate cycle, thiamine metabolism, and nitrogen metabolism; all representing major response mechanisms involved in the maintenance of cellular homeostasis and metabolic balance under stress. The multi-stress treatment was a combination of each single-stress, and may better reflect the metabolic response of *Brucella* under intracellular infection. Most of the regulated proteins in the multi-stress treatment were associated with oxidative phosphorylation, the citrate cycle, nitrogen metabolism, and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, suggesting that *Brucella* may decrease the oxidation of nutrients, amino acid use and reduce secondary metabolite biosynthesis in order to adapt to the intracellular environment.

Teixeira-Gomes et al. (2000) studied the differences in protein synthesis patterns in B. melitensis 16M in response to heat, oxidative, and acidic pH stresses using a 2-D approach. The 19 resulting DEPs suggested that B. melitensis invoked an adaptive response to stress conditions. Al-Dahouk et al. characterized the proteome of B. suis at the late stage of in vitro infection, oxygen deficiency and long-term nutrient starvation using a 2-D approach, respectively (Al-Dahouk et al., 2008, 2009, 2013). The resulting 168, 37, and 30 DEPs indicated the regulatory mechanisms that reducing processes participating in energy, protein, and nucleic acid metabolism. Lamontagne et al. (2009) characterized the proteome of B. abortus strain 2308 and attenuated strain 19 that were infected into macrophages. The comparative analysis suggested that the B. abortus initially reduced the majority of biosynthesis and altered its respiration, but these adaptations were reversed later in the infection process.

Our observations are consistent with previous studies that suggest that Brucella may regulate its metabolism by decreasing its energy usage and secondary metabolite biosynthesis, while enhancing its iron acquisition and two-component system to cope with the intracellular environment (Kohler et al., 2002). In addition, we also discovered that some unique pathway categories and regulated genes play key roles in stress resistance, like thiamine metabolism and purine metabolism, thereby further elucidating the metabolic adaptation of Brucella to specific stressors. Furthermore, on comparison with the intracellular lifecycle of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, we discovered that the latter adapted to the intracellular environment by producing several key virulence factors which also appear on Brucella (Weiss and Schaible, 2015). For example, the virulence regulator PhoR in the two-component system plays a major role in M. tuberculosis pathogenicity and is also regulated in Brucella in response to stress (Ryndak et al., 2008; Broset et al., 2015). These findings may facilitate a better understanding of the metabolic adaptations of intracellular pathogens during their infection lifecycle.

The quantitative data obtained here are the most comprehensive to date that might capture the integral proteome profiling by *B. abortus* at a specific point in time. However, there may be some limitations regarding our experimental system. We used *in vitro* stress conditions to mimic the *in vivo* condition of *B. abortus* under intracellular infection by culturing the cells in a rich medium (TSB) until mid-log phase, and then transferring them to the stress treatments for 3 h.

TSB is a standard culture medium that is routinely used as the control condition in proteomic analyses of *Brucella* under stress (Teixeira-Gomes et al., 2000). Compared with the extracellular lifecycle (represented here by culture on TSB), *Brucella* is subjected to severe nutrient limitation when invading the host tissue (represented by the treatments). Additionally, the selected 3 h treatment duration used constituted a short-term stress treatment that approximates the preliminary stage required for the survival of *Brucella* in the host cells (Lamontagne et al., 2009). We suspect that gradual metabolic changes may occur in *Brucella* after 3 h. However, we recommend that other conditions using different media and stress durations are tested in future research.

In conclusion, we have utilized a label-free relative quantitative proteomics approach to describe the protein profiles of B. abortus under different stress conditions. Under the multi-stress treatment, B. abortus experienced greater survival pressure in an environment that better imitates the intracellular environment of the host. Our results revealed differences in protein expression between the different stress treatments, providing new insight into the metabolic pathway of the response of *B. abortus* to multiple environmental stresses. Further studies into the proteins required for stress resistance under multiple environmental stresses are warranted to elucidate metabolic adaptation in Brucella. Continued efforts to elucidate the manner in which Brucella has adapted to its intracellular niche should provide valuable information for the discovery of novel therapeutic targets and effective vaccines in order to control brucellosis.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

XZ, JX, and WC conceived and designed the experiments; XZ, QY, RL and LF performed the experiments; XZ, MQ and YY analyzed the data; YL, TZ and YY contributed to the reagents; XZ and JX wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the finalized manuscript.

#### FUNDING

This work was financially supported by the National Science and Technology Major Project of China (2013ZX09304101 and 2016ZX10004001). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, interpretation, or decision-making in relation to submission for publication.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Shanhu Li, Li Zhu, and Hengliang Wang at the Beijing Institute of Biotechnology for helpful discussions; and also thank Beijing BangFei Bioscience Co. Ltd. for technical assistance with the LC–MS/MS analysis.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2017.02347/full#supplementary-material

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed, W., Zheng, K., and Liu, Z. F. (2016). Establishment of chronic infection: brucella's stealth strategy. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 6:30. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2016.00030
- Akpinar, O. (2016). Historical perspective of brucellosis: a microbiological and epidemiological overview. *Infez. Med.* 24, 77–86.
- Al-Dahouk, S., Jubier-Maurin, V., Neubauer, H., and Kohler, S. (2013). Quantitative analysis of the *Brucella suis* proteome reveals metabolic adaptation to long-term nutrient starvation. *BMC Microbiol.* 13:199. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-13-199
- Al-Dahouk, S., Jubier-Maurin, V., Scholz, H. C., Tomaso, H., Karges, W., Neubauer, H., et al. (2008). Quantitative analysis of the intramacrophagic *Brucella suis* proteome reveals metabolic adaptation to late stage of cellular infection. *Proteomics* 8, 3862–3870. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200800026
- Al-Dahouk, S., Loisel-Meyer, S., Scholz, H. C., Tomaso, H., Kersten, M., Harder, A., et al. (2009). Proteomic analysis of *Brucella suis* under oxygen deficiency reveals flexibility in adaptive expression of various pathways. *Proteomics* 9, 3011–3021. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200800266
- Alegado, R. A., and Tan, M. W. (2008). Resistance to antimicrobial peptides contributes to persistence of *Salmonella typhimurium* in the *C. elegans* intestine. *Cell Microbiol.* 10, 1259–1273. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2008.01124.x
- Barbier, T., Nicolas, C., and Letesson, J. J. (2011). Brucella adaptation and survival at the crossroad of metabolism and virulence. *FEBS Lett.* 585, 2929–2934. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2011.08.011
- Barbier, T., Zuniga-Ripa, A., Moussa, S., Plovier, H., Sternon, J. F., Lazaro-Anton, L., et al. (2017). Brucella central carbon metabolism: an update. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* doi: 10.1080/1040841X.2017.1332002. [Epub ahead of print].
- Braun, V. (2001). Iron uptake mechanisms and their regulation in pathogenic bacteria. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 291, 67–79. doi: 10.1078/1438-4221-00103
- Broset, E., Martin, C., and Gonzalo-Asensio, J. (2015). Evolutionary landscape of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex from the viewpoint of PhoPR: implications for virulence regulation and application to vaccine development. *MBio* 6:e01289-15. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01289-15
- Byndloss, M. X., and Tsolis, R. M. (2016). Brucella spp. virulence factors and immunity. Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci. 4, 111–127. doi: 10.1146/annurev-animal-021815-111326
- Cash, P. (2011). Investigating pathogen biology at the level of the proteome. *Proteomics* 11, 3190–3202. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201100029
- Detilleux, P. G., Deyoe, B. L., and Cheville, N. F. (1991). Effect of endocytic and metabolic inhibitors on the internalization and intracellular growth of *Brucella abortus* in Vero cells. Am. J. Vet. Res. 52, 1658–1664.
- Dimroth, P., Kaim, G., and Matthey, U. (2000). Crucial role of the membrane potential for ATP synthesis by F(1)F(0) ATP syntheses. *J. Exp. Biol.* 203, 51–59.
- Di-Russo Case, E., and Samuel, J. E. (2016). Contrasting lifestyles within the host cell. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 4:10.1128/microbiolspec.VMBF-0014-2015. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.VMBF-0014-2015
- Doganay, G. D., and Doganay, M. (2013). Brucella as a potential agent of bioterrorism. *Recent Pat. Antiinfect. Drug Discov.* 8, 27–33. doi: 10.2174/1574891X11308010006
- Eskra, L., Covert, J., Glasner, J., and Splitter, G. (2012). Differential expression of iron acquisition genes by *Brucella melitensis* and *Brucella canis* during macrophage infection. *PLoS ONE* 7:e31747. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0031747
- Essenberg, R. C., Seshadri, R., Nelson, K., and Paulsen, I. (2002). Sugar metabolism by Brucellae. Vet. Microbiol. 90, 249–261. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1135(02) 00212-2
- Galperin, M. Y., Makarova, K. S., Wolf, Y. I., and Koonin, E. V. (2015). Expanded microbial genome coverage and improved protein family annotation in the COG database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D261–D269. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1223
- Gasteiger, E., Gattiker, A., Hoogland, C., Ivanyi, I., Appel, R. D., and Bairoch, A. (2003). ExPASy: the proteomics server for in-depth protein knowledge and analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31, 3784–3788. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkg563
- Greco, T. M., and Cristea, I. M. (2017). Proteomics tracing the footsteps of infectious disease. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 16, S5–S14. doi: 10.1074/mcp.O116.066001
- Hanna, N., Ouahrani-Bettache, S., Drake, K. L., Adams, L. G., Kohler, S., and Occhialini, A. (2013). Global Rsh-dependent transcription profile of Brucella suis during stringent response unravels adaptation to nutrient

starvation and cross-talk with other stress responses. *BMC Genomics* 14:459. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-459

- Hasanjani Roushan, M. R., and Ebrahimpour, S. (2015). Human brucellosis: an overview. *Caspian J. Intern. Med.* 6, 46–47.
- He, Y. (2012). Analyses of Brucella pathogenesis, host immunity, and vaccine targets using systems biology and bioinformatics. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2:2. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2012.00002
- James, P. E., Grinberg, O. Y., Michaels, G., and Swartz, H. M. (1995). Intraphagosomal oxygen in stimulated macrophages. J. Cell. Physiol. 163, 241-247. doi: 10.1002/jcp.1041630204
- Jimenez De Bagues, M. P., Loisel-Meyer, S., Liautard, J. P., and Jubier-Maurin, V. (2007). Different roles of the two high-oxygen-affinity terminal oxidases of *Brucella suis*: cytochrome c oxidase, but not ubiquinol oxidase, is required for persistence in mice. *Infect. Immun.* 75, 531–535. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01185-06
- Kalayou, S., Granum, C., Berntsen, H. F., Groseth, P. K., Verhaegen, S., Connolly, L., et al. (2016). Label-free based quantitative proteomics analysis of primary neonatal porcine Leydig cells exposed to the persistent contaminant 3methylsulfonyl-DDE. J. Proteomics 137, 68–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2015.12.007
- Kanehisa, M., Furumichi, M., Tanabe, M., Sato, Y., and Morishima, K. (2017). KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D353–D361. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1092
- Kentache, T., Ben Abdelkrim, A., Jouenne, T., De, E., and Hardouin, J. (2017). Global dynamic proteome study of a pellicle-forming acinetobacter baumannii strain. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 16, 100–112. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M116.061044
- Kohler, S., Foulongne, V., Ouahrani-Bettache, S., Bourg, G., Teyssier, J., Ramuz, M., et al. (2002). The analysis of the intramacrophagic virulome of Brucella suis deciphers the environment encountered by the pathogen inside the macrophage host cell. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 15711–15716. doi: 10.1073/pnas.232454299
- Krogh, A., Larsson, B., Von Heijne, G., and Sonnhammer, E. L. (2001). Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J. Mol. Biol. 305, 567–580. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315
- Lamontagne, J., Beland, M., Forest, A., Cote-Martin, A., Nassif, N., Tomaki, F., et al. (2010). Proteomics-based confirmation of protein expression and correction of annotation errors in the *Brucella abortus* genome. *BMC Genomics* 11:300. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-11-300
- Lamontagne, J., Forest, A., Marazzo, E., Denis, F., Butler, H., Michaud, J. F., et al. (2009). Intracellular adaptation of *Brucella abortus. J. Proteome Res.* 8, 1594–1609. doi: 10.1021/pr800978p
- Liu, W., Dong, H., Li, J., Ou, Q., Lv, Y., Wang, X., et al. (2015). RNA-seq reveals the critical role of OtpR in regulating *Brucella melitensis* metabolism and virulence under acidic stress. *Sci. Rep.* 5:10864. doi: 10.1038/srep10864
- Martinez De Tejada, G., Pizarro-Cerda, J., Moreno, E., and Moriyon, I. (1995). The outer membranes of Brucella spp. are resistant to bactericidal cationic peptides. *Infect. Immun.* 63, 3054–3061.
- Mascher, T., Helmann, J. D., and Unden, G. (2006). Stimulus perception in bacterial signal-transducing histidine kinases. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 70, 910–938. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00020-06
- Miethke, M., and Marahiel, M. A. (2007). Siderophore-based iron acquisition and pathogen control. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 71, 413–451. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00012-07
- Okuda, S., Watanabe, Y., Moriya, Y., Kawano, S., Yamamoto, T., Matsumoto, M., et al. (2017). jPOSTrepo: an international standard data repository for proteomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D1107–D1111. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1080
- Olsen, S. C., and Palmer, M. V. (2014). Advancement of knowledge of Brucella over the past 50 years. *Vet. Pathol.* 51, 1076–1089. doi: 10.1177/03009858145 40545
- Pettersen, V. K., Mosevoll, K. A., Lindemann, P. C., and Wiker, H. G. (2016). Coordination of metabolism and virulence factors expression of extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* purified from blood cultures of patients with sepsis. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 15, 2890–2907. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M116.060582
- Ronneau, S., Moussa, S., Barbier, T., Conde-Alvarez, R., Zuniga-Ripa, A., Moriyon, I., et al. (2016). Brucella, nitrogen and virulence. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 42, 507–525. doi: 10.3109/1040841X.2014.962480
- Roop, R. M. II. (2012). Metal acquisition and virulence in Brucella. Anim. Health Res. Rev. 13, 10–20. doi: 10.1017/S1466252312000047
- Roop, R. M. 2nd, Gaines, J. M., Anderson, E. S., Caswell, C. C., and Martin, D. W. (2009). Survival of the fittest: how Brucella strains adapt to their

intracellular niche in the host. Med. Microbiol. Immunol. 198, 221-238. doi: 10.1007/s00430-009-0123-8

- Ryndak, M., Wang, S., and Smith, I. (2008). PhoP, a key player in Mycobacterium tuberculosis virulence. Trends Microbiol. 16, 528–534. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2008.08.006
- Scheltema, R. A., Hauschild, J. P., Lange, O., Hornburg, D., Denisov, E., Damoc, E., et al. (2014). The Q Exactive HF, a Benchtop mass spectrometer with a prefilter, high-performance quadrupole and an ultra-high-field Orbitrap analyzer. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 13, 3698–3708. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M114.043489
- Schmidt, A., Kochanowski, K., Vedelaar, S., Ahrne, E., Volkmer, B., Callipo, L., et al. (2016). The quantitative and condition-dependent *Escherichia coli* proteome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 34, 104–110. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3418
- Schmidt, F., and Volker, U. (2011). Proteome analysis of host-pathogen interactions: investigation of pathogen responses to the host cell environment. *Proteomics* 11, 3203–3211. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201100158
- Semanjski, M., and Macek, B. (2016). Shotgun proteomics of bacterial pathogens: advances, challenges and clinical implications. *Expert Rev. Proteomics* 13, 139–156. doi: 10.1586/14789450.2016.1132168
- Szklarczyk, D., Franceschini, A., Wyder, S., Forslund, K., Heller, D., Huerta-Cepas, J., et al. (2015). STRING v10: protein-protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D447–D452. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1003
- Szklarczyk, D., Morris, J. H., Cook, H., Kuhn, M., Wyder, S., Simonovic, M., et al. (2017). The STRING database in 2017: quality-controlled proteinprotein association networks, made broadly accessible. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D362–D368. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw937
- Teixeira-Gomes, A. P., Cloeckaert, A., and Zygmunt, M. S. (2000). Characterization of heat, oxidative, and acid stress responses in *Brucella melitensis*. *Infect. Immun.* 68, 2954–2961. doi: 10.1128/IAI.68.5.2954-2961.2000
- Tutturen, A. E., Fleckenstein, B., and De Souza, G. A. (2014). Assessing the citrullinome in rheumatoid arthritis synovial fluid with and without enrichment of citrullinated peptides. J. Proteome Res. 13, 2867–2873. doi: 10.1021/pr500030x
- Van Oudenhove, L., and Devreese, B. (2013). A review on recent developments in mass spectrometry instrumentation and quantitative tools advancing

bacterial proteomics. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 97, 4749–4762. doi: 10.1007/s00253-013-4897-7

- Viadas, C., Rodriguez, M. C., Sangari, F. J., Gorvel, J. P., Garcia-Lobo, J. M., and Lopez-Goni, I. (2010). Transcriptome analysis of the *Brucella abortus* BvrR/BvrS two-component regulatory system. *PLoS ONE* 5:e10216. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0010216
- Vitry, M. A., Hanot Mambres, D., Deghelt, M., Hack, K., Machelart, A., Lhomme, F., et al. (2014). *Brucella melitensis* invades murine erythrocytes during infection. *Infect. Immun.* 82, 3927–3938. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01779-14
- Vizcaino, J. A., Deutsch, E. W., Wang, R., Csordas, A., Reisinger, F., Rios, D., et al. (2014). ProteomeXchange provides globally coordinated proteomics data submission and dissemination. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32, 223–226. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2839
- Weiss, G., and Schaible, U. E. (2015). Macrophage defense mechanisms against intracellular bacteria. *Immunol. Rev.* 264, 182–203. doi: 10.1111/imr. 12266
- Yu, D., Hui, Y., Zai, X., Xu, J., Liang, L., Wang, B., et al. (2015). Comparative genomic analysis of *Brucella abortus* vaccine strain 104M reveals a set of candidate genes associated with its virulence attenuation. *Virulence* 6, 745–754. doi: 10.1080/21505594.2015.1038015
- Zai, X., Yang, Q., Liu, K., Li, R., Qian, M., Zhao, T., et al. (2017). A comprehensive proteogenomic study of the human Brucella vaccine strain 104 M. BMC Genomics 18:402. doi: 10.1186/s12864-017-3800-9

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Zai, Yang, Yin, Li, Qian, Zhao, Li, Zhang, Fu, Xu and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.