SYMPOSIUM REVIEW

Does modulation of the endocannabinoid system have potential therapeutic utility in cerebellar ataxia?

G. J. Stephens

School of Pharmacy, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6AJ, UK



Abstract Cerebellar ataxias represent a spectrum of disorders which are, however, linked by common symptoms of motor incoordination and typically associated with deficiency in Purkinje cell firing activity and, often, degeneration. Cerebellar ataxias currently lack a curative agent. The endocannabinoid (eCB) system includes eCB compounds and their associated metabolic enzymes, together with cannabinoid receptors, predominantly the cannabinoid CB₁ receptor (CB₁R) in the cerebellum; activation of this system in the cerebellar cortex is associated with deficits in motor coordination characteristic of ataxia, effects which can be prevented by CB₁R antagonists.

Gary Stephens held postdoctoral and Fellowship positions with Wyeth Research, Imperial College and University College London before moving to University of Reading in 2005, where he is now Professor of Pharmacology and Director of Pharmacology and Therapeutics. His research interests are in the modulation of presynaptic calcium channels and G protein-coupled receptors, with a particular interest in cerebellar function.



This review was presented at the symposium "Mechanisms of cerebellar ataxias and neurodegeneration", which took place at Ageing and Degeneration: A Physiological Perspective in Edinburgh, UK, 10–11 April 2015.

© 2016 The Authors. The Journal of Physiology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of The Physiological Society This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Of further interest are various findings that CB₁R deficits may also induce a progressive ataxic phenotype. Together these studies suggest that motor coordination is reliant on maintaining the correct balance in eCB system signalling. Recent work also demonstrates deficient cannabinoid signalling in the mouse 'ducky^{2J}' model of ataxia. In light of these points, the potential mechanisms whereby cannabinoids may modulate the eCB system to ameliorate dysfunction associated with cerebellar ataxias are considered.

(Received 14 June 2015; accepted after revision 4 February 2016; first published online 11 March 2016) **Corresponding authors** G. J. Stephens: School of Pharmacy, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AJ, UK. Email: g.j.stephens@reading.ac.uk

Abstract figure legend Presynaptic CB₁Rs modulate Purkinje cell output in the cerebellar cortex. Interneurons (INs) make inhibitory contacts predominantly at the so-called pinceau region surrounding the Purkinje cell (PC) soma and also potentially at dendritic shafts. Parallel fibres (PFs) arise from granule cells, which in turn receive input from mossy fibres, and make weak but numerous excitatory contacts predominantly with postsynaptic dendritic spines. Climbing fibres (CFs) arise from the inferior olive and make strong monosynaptic contacts with individual PCs within the dendritic tree, predominantly at dendritic shafts. 2-Arachidonylglycerol (2-AG), the predominant endocannabinoid (eCB) in the cerebellar cortex, is synthesized from diacylglycerol (DAG) by diacylglycerol lipase α (DAGL α); this process is driven by activation of metabotropic receptors including mGluR1 and may also involve Ca+-dependent processes including voltage-dependent Ca²⁺ channels such as Ca_V2.1 and also Ca²⁺ permeable ionotropic receptors (see Kano *et al.* 2009). 2-AG is released retrogradely 'on-demand' to active presynaptic CB1Rs. 2-AG action is terminated by monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) localized predominantly to PF terminals. CB1Rs are highly expression at IN inputs into Purkinje cells at the pinceau. CB₁Rs are highly expressed at perisynaptic regions and also at extrasynaptic and synaptic PF sites, but at lower density on CF terminals. At all presynaptic terminals, CB₁Rs predominantly couple to $G\alpha_{i/o}$ to inhibit Ca₂2.1 Ca^{2+} channels via release of $G\beta\gamma$ subunits (shown only at IN–PC synapses for clarity). Activation of presynaptic CB_1Rs inhibits GABA release onto postsynaptic GABAA receptors and glutamate release predominantly onto postsynaptic AMPA receptors, respectively. As PCs represent the sole output of the cerebellar cortex, CB1Rs are ideally localized to control cerebellar function.

Abbreviations 2-AG, 2-arachidonylglycerol; CBD, cannabidiol; CBDV, cannabidivarin; CF, climbing fibre; eCB, endocannabinoid; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; IN, interneuron; LTD, long term depression; MAGL, mono-acylglycerol lipase; PC, Purkinje cell; pCB, phytocannabinoid; PF, parallel fibre; SCA, spinocerebellar ataxia; Δ^9 -THC, tetrahydrocannabinol; VDCC, voltage-dependent Ca²⁺ channel.

Cerebellar ataxias are a diverse group of disorders lacking a therapeutic agent

Cerebellar ataxias comprise a group of progressive neurological diseases associated with deficits in motor coordination and are typically associated with dysfunction and/or degeneration of Purkinje cells (PCs), the sole efferent output of the cerebellar cortex. There are a range of acquired ataxias and different hereditary forms of the disease (Klockgether, 2011). Thus, ataxia can be acquired from, amongst others, traumatic head injury, bacterial infection (meningitis or encephalitis), viral infection (chickenpox or measles), disruption of blood flow (stroke or transient ischaemic attack, haemorrhage), CNS disease (cerebral palsy or multiple sclerosis), sustained long-term alcohol misuse, underactive thyroid gland and cancer autoimmune conditions (lupus), and can also be iatrogenic. Hereditary ataxias may be autosomal-dominant diseases, including forms of spinocerebellar ataxia (SCA), several of which are associated with polyglutamine repeats in the dysfunctional protein; for example: ataxin 1 in SCA1; ataxin 2 in SCA2;

Cacnala encoding the voltage-dependent Ca²⁺ channel (VDCC) Cav2.1 subunit in SCA6 (also in episodic ataxia 2). There are also autosomal-recessive diseases such as Friedreich's ataxia and ataxia telangiectasia associated with deficits in, respectively, the mitochondrial protein frataxin and a serine/threonine protein kinase termed ataxia telangiectasia mutated protein (Klockgether, 2011). Despite this range of causes and implicated proteins, deleterious effects are largely limited to the cerebellar cortex and are typically associated with cerebellar dysfunction and/or degeneration and are manifest as motor incoordination. This commonality of symptoms offers hope for providing treatment options; however, at present there is no known cure for cerebellar ataxia. There are treatments to ameliorate associated symptoms. For example, vitamin E and anti-oxidants, such as co-enzyme Q10 and its synthetic analogue idebenone, have been suggested to have some benefit, largely in Friedreich ataxia. However, as yet, such agents lack proven efficacy in controlled clinical trials (Cooper et al. 2008; Lynch et al. 2010), although some improvement in comparison to controls was seen in cross-over trials,

suggesting that patients with vitamin E-deficient and co-enzyme Q10-deficient ataxia may receive some benefit (Cooper *et al.* 2008). In addition, administration of thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH) was reported to ameliorate cerebellar ataxia in rolling Nagoya mice (Shibusawa *et al.* 2008) and the TRH analogue taltirelin is approved to improve motor performance in ataxic patients in Japan.

The elucidation of function of proteins associated with inherited ataxias within the cerebellar cortex may also lead to future therapeutic advances relevant across different forms of ataxia. Amongst target proteins, the $Ca_V 2.1$ ($\alpha 1A$) VDCC represents a widely studied protein. Ca_V2.1 subunits are highly expressed in the cerebellum (Westenbroek et al. 1995; Kulik et al. 2004). In particular, Ca_V2.1 is expressed postsynaptically in PCs (which led to the designation of these subunits as carriers of P-type Ca²⁺ current (Mintz et al. 1992)), at presynaptic terminals of inhibitory interneurons (INs) arising from basket and stellate cells, and of excitatory, parallel fibres (PFs) and climbing fibres (CFs); such inputs regulate PC, and thus cerebellar cortex, output activity (Regehr & Mintz, 1994; Mintz et al. 1995; Stephens et al. 2001; Lonchamp et al. 2009). Several mouse Ca_V2.1 mutants display ataxia (Pietrobon, 2010; Rajakulendran et al. 2012). Correspondingly, genetic deletion of $Ca_V 2.1$ is associated with a clear ataxic behavioural phenotype (Jun et al. 1999). Moreover, conditional PC-specific Ca_V2.1 knock-down was shown to be sufficient to induce impaired synaptic transmission and ataxia (Mark et al. 2011; Todorov et al. 2012), the former study termed their mice 'purky'. Cell-specific work was extended to excitatory inputs into PCs, where it was shown that selective Ca_V2.1 knockdown in PFs (arising from mossy fibre inputs) in so-called 'quirky' mice, also gave rise to an ataxic phenotype (Maejima et al. 2013). Of further interest here, is that mutations which *increase* Ca_V2.1 current also give impaired synaptic transmission and irregular PC firing (a cerebellar epitome predicted to lead to motor incoordination) (Gao et al. 2012); these reports suggest that correct VDCC activity must be maintained for PC firing fidelity. To add to purky and quirky, we also have 'ducky' mice (Barclay et al. 2001). Ducky, and the related ducky^{2J} (du^{2J}) strain, have mutations predicted to lead to deficits in $\alpha 2\delta$ -2 auxiliary VDCC subunit protein, which is expressed at high levels in normal cerebella (Cole et al. 2005) and is associated predominantly with Ca_V2.1 in the cerebellum (Barclay et al. 2001). In different ducky strains, the ataxic phenotype is associated with a reduction in postsynaptic PC whole-cell Ca²⁺ current (Brodbeck et al. 2002; Donato et al. 2006), together with irregular PC firing (Donato et al. 2006; Walter et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2013). Thus, several potential therapeutic targets have been suggested, largely confined to protein associated with inherited ataxias; however, as discussed above, as yet we have no cure for ataxia. The remainder of this review will focus on the potential to target the endocannabinoid (eCB) system to ameliorate cerebellar ataxia and, in particular, eCB compounds and their associated metabolic enzymes and G protein-coupled CB₁R, one of the most ubiquitously expressed proteins in the mammalian cerebellum, and a protein which also modulates $Ca_V 2.1$ activity at the presynapse.

Cannabinoid signalling and its potential links to cerebellar ataxia

Cannabinoids represent a diverse number of compounds, including (i) eCBs, for example, the lipid mediator 2-arachidonylglycerol (2-AG), which is the major eCB in the cerebellum (Szabo *et al.* 2006); (ii) plant-derived phytocannabinoids (pCBs), for example, the major herbal *Cannabis* constituents tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ^9 -THC) and cannabidiol (CBD) (Hill *et al.* 2012*a*); and (iii) exogenous synthetic agents, namely CB₁R agonists, for example, WIN 55,212-2, an aminoalkylindole derivative, and CP 55940, which is structurally related to tetrahydrocannabinol, and CB₁R antagonists/inverse agonists, for example, rimonabant (Pertwee *et al.* 2010), and newer allosteric modulators, for example, Org27569 (Price *et al.* 2005) and PSNCBAM-1 (Horswill *et al.* 2007).

Within the CNS, cannabinoids predominantly activate CB₁Rs, which represent the most widespread G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) in the mammalian cerebellum (Herkenham et al. 1991; Tsou et al. 1997). CB₁R expression is reported to be very low at PC cell bodies; rather, expression is high at excitatory PF inputs into PCs, reportedly with a perisynaptic over extrasynaptic and synaptic localization, with lower CB₁R expression at CF inputs onto PC dendritic shafts (Kawamura et al. 2006; see Abstract Figure). CB1Rs are expressed at higher levels on presynaptic terminals of inhibitory INs, predominantly basket cells, but also stellate cells, which form a specialized region surrounding the PC axon initial segment known as the pinceau (the French word for paintbrush) (Tsou et al. 1997; Kawamura et al. 2006; Rodríguez-Cueto et al. 2014). Presynaptic CB₁Rs are activated by retrograde 'on demand' release of 2-AG from postsynaptic PCs. The major effect of presynaptic CB₁R activation is a suppression of neurotransmitter release, whereby activation of presynaptic CB1Rs inhibits action potential-evoked and spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) at IN–PC synapses (see Fig. 1) or excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) at PF-PC and CF–PC synapses (Takahashi & Linden, 2000; Szabo et al. 2004; Kano et al. 2009). We have also used multi-electrode array recording to demonstrate that CB₁R ligand-induced changes to cerebellar cortex network activity are mediated, at least in part, via effects on inhibitory synaptic transmission (Ma et al. 2008). CB₁R activation has been



Figure 1. Presynaptic CB_1R modulation of inhibitory transmission at IN–PC synapses is deficient in ataxic ducky²¹ mice

Aa and Ba, representative spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic current (sIPSC) traces from +/+ (Aa) and du^{2J}/du^{2J} (Ba) PCs showing effect of WIN55 (5 μ M), and also subsequent application of AM251 (2 μ M). Ab and Bb, summary bar graphs showing that WIN55 significantly reduced, and AM251 significantly increased, normalized sIPSC frequency in +/+ (Ab), but was without effect in du^{2J}/du^{2J} (Bb), conditions. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01;

widely associated with a number of different forms of short- and long-term synaptic plasticities which modulate cerebellar learning (Kano et al. 2009; Ohno-Shosaku & Kano, 2014). Thus, 2-AG release mediates the short-term suppression of inhibitory GABA release from IN terminals (depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition) or excitatory glutamate release (depolarization-induced suppression of excitation) (Szabo et al. 2006; Tanimura et al. 2009). Seminal work by Ito (1989) linked long term depression (LTD) by associative stimulation of PF and CF inputs to PCs, to motor learning in the cerebellum. It is also known that the metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 (mGluR1) pathway is critically involved in cerebellar development and LTD (Aiba et al. 1994), and it was further proposed that CF inputs into individual PCs were required for normal motor coordination (Chen et al. 1995). More recent work has established that cerebellar LTD is a postsynaptic phenomenon requiring 2-AG release from PCs and activation of presynaptic CB₁Rs at PF-PC synapses (Safo & Regehr, 2005). Here, mGluR1 activation drives 2-AG release (Kano et al. 2009; see Abstract Figure). Thus, CB₁Rs have a privileged position in the function and control of overall output of the cerebellar cortex and, as such, represent good potential targets to modulate dysfunctional signalling associated with cerebellar ataxias.

In cell lines and native neurons, CB₁R activation causes pertussis toxin-sensitive inhibition of Ca_V2 family VDCCs, and can also activate inwardly rectifier K⁺ channels (Mackie & Hille, 1992; Twitchell *et al.* 1997; Guo & Ikeda, 2004). It is likely that CB₁Rs couple to presynaptic Ca_V2.1 (P/Q-type) VDCCs at IN–PC synapses to reduce action potential evoked GABA release (Forti *et al.* 2000; Stephens *et al.* 2001; Lonchamp *et al.* 2009) and to Ca_V2.1 (and to a lesser extent Ca_V2.2 and Ca_V2.3) at PF–PC synapses to reduce action potential-evoked glutamate release (Brown *et al.* 2004); these effects are most likely mediated by direct binding of G protein G $\beta\gamma$ subunits to VDCCs (Abstract Figure and Fig. 1).

 CB_1R agonists also cause clear reductions in frequency of 'miniature' IPSCs at IN–PC synapses (Takahashi & Linden, 2000; Yamasaki *et al.* 2006; Ma *et al.* 2008), consistent with an inhibition of action potential-independent GABA release. These effects are proposed to occur downstream of actions on voltage-dependent ion channels and are also consistent with direct effects on the synaptic release machinery, and also may be mediated by $G\beta\gamma$ subunits (Stephens, 2009). By contrast, CB₁R agonist effects on miniature EPSCs at PF–PC synapses were only apparent when extracellular Ca²⁺ levels were increased (Yamasaki *et al.* 2006). Moreover, CB₁R antagonists/inverse agonists such as AM251, rimonabant and the pCB Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabivarin all increase inhibitory GABA release at IN–PC synapses (Ma *et al.* 2008). Such effects are consistent with the presence of a strong, modulatable eCB tone in the cerebellum (Kreitzer *et al.* 2002; Galante & Diana, 2004), which provides further opportunity for therapeutic intervention in cerebellar ataxia.

Importantly, activation of presynaptic CB₁Rs by synthetic cannabinoids and eCBs has been shown to promote cerebellar dysfunction, causing severe motor incoordination and modelling cerebellar ataxia (Lichtman et al. 1998; DeSanty & Dar, 2001; Patel & Hillard, 2001); in these studies pre-treatment with a CB₁R antagonist or CB₁R antisense prevented the induction of an ataxic phenotype. Such data suggest that CB₁R antagonism may be useful in the pathogenic situation. In comparison to administration of CB1R ligands, data with CB1R knock-out mice are somewhat more equivocal. Thus, voung/mature CB₁R deficient mice are reported not to exhibit clear motor discoordination or changes to gait (Steiner et al. 1999; Kishimoto & Kano, 2006); however, deficits in motor function were reported in mature and older mice, in comparison to unaffected younger mice, in rotarod tests (Bilkei-Gorzo et al. 2005). One interpretation of these studies is that a progressive ataxic pathogenesis may be associated with long-term loss of CB1R. One common feature of CB1R knock-out mice, chronic marijuana users or animals administered CB₁R agonists is a reported deficit in delay eyeblink conditioning, a cerebellar-dependent, motor learning process (Kishimoto & Kano, 2006; Skosnik et al. 2008; Steinmetz & Freeman, 2010). These data are consistent with CB₁R controlling discrete motor function. Kishimoto & Kano (2006) also report that pharmacological block of CB₁R had no effect on motor function in wild-type mice; however, it is also important to point out that CB₁R deficiency and/or lack of effect of CB₁R antagonism in a non-pathogenic situation does not preclude a role

repeated measurement one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's honest significant difference test. Ac and Bc, summary diagrams for +/+ (Ac) and du^{2J}/du^{2J} (Bc) conditions. Ad, in wild-type conditions, CB₁R activation (i.e. +WIN55) causes the release of G $\beta\gamma$ subunit from CB₁R and subsequent inhibitory coupling of G $\beta\gamma$ to Cav2.1 at the presynapse to inhibit the action potential-evoked GABA release seen in control (CTL). Bd, by contrast, in du^{2J}/du^{2J} conditions, CB₁R activation (i.e. +WIN55) has no effect on the GABA release seen in control (CTL). AM251 effects were also absent (see Wang et al. 2013). Thus, we propose that at synapses lacking $\alpha 2\delta$ -2 subunits (which associate predominantly with Cav2.1 in the cerebellum; Barclay et al. 2001), normal CB₁R modulation of Cav2.1 is lacking. This deficit may relate to incorrect control of synaptic release by $\alpha 2\delta$ subunits (Hoppa et al. 2012); alternatively, it is possibly that lack of $\alpha 2\delta$ subunits may cause changes to CB₁R-mediated G protein inhibition of Cav2.1.

in disease; for example, whilst SR141617A (rimonabant) reversed CB₁R-induced dysfunction, it had no effects itself on motor incoordination in non-ataxic animals (Lichtman *et al.* 1998; DeSanty & Dar, 2001). Together, these data suggest that CB₁Rs modulate cerebellar circuitry in ataxic disease, potentially with a progressive onset of effect. Therefore, targeting CB₁Rs may be beneficial in modulating motor incoordination in cerebellar ataxia, as discussed more fully below.

An ataxic mouse model has deficient CB₁R signalling

Whilst the role of ion channels (in particular, $Ca_V 2.1$) has been broadly studied in animal models of ataxia, there has been much less work on the presynaptic receptors that modulate neurotransmitter release and the postsynaptic receptors responsible for onward signalling in such models. A study in Cav2.1 mutant tottering mice by Zhou and co-workers reported that presynaptic inhibition mediated by $GABA_B$ or α 2-adrenoceptor GPCRs was enhanced at excitatory PF-PC synapses, although this may be as consequence of a switch to a reliance on Ca_V2.2 (N-type) channels for transmitter release (Zhou et al. 2003). Tottering mice also had a reduction in GABA_A receptor expression, with specific deficits in granule cells (Kaja et al. 2007). We have shown that ataxic du^{2J} mutant mice exhibit increased irregularity of PC and, to a lesser extent, granule cell firing in multi-electrode array recordings from cerebellar brain slices (Wang et al. 2013). Of note, clear effects on PC firing regularity in du^{2J}/du^{2J} mice were not seen in heterozygous $+/du^{2J}$ mice, and the latter also lacked a clear behavioural ataxic phenotype. Importantly, the CB₁R-mediated inhibition at IN-PC synapses seen in litter-matched controls was completely absent in both $+/du^{2J}$ and du^{2J}/du^{2J} mice. These data demonstrate that ataxic $\alpha 2\delta$ -2-deficient mice have aberrant presynaptic CB₁R-mediated signalling. The question arises as to whether deficiency in CB1R-mediated signalling is involved in ataxia pathogenesis or whether it occurs as a result of the disease. It appears that, in this model, both alleles need to be affected in order for an ataxic phenotype to be seen (Wang et al. 2013), and thus progressive deficits may be associated with du^{2J} mice. We saw no changes in PC firing regularity in response to CB₁R ligands in wild-type or du^{2J} mice, consistent with a lack of postsynaptic CB₁R effects in this model. We propose that such deficits occur due to compromised Ca²⁺ channel activity consequent to reduced presynaptic $\alpha 2\delta$ -2 expression in du^{2J} mice (Fig. 1). In this regard, $\alpha 2\delta$ -2 subunits have been shown to be essential not only for Ca²⁺ channel trafficking (Dolphin, 2012), but also for synaptic function, the latter by increasing transmitter release probability and also protecting release from inhibitory effects of intracellular Ca²⁺ chelators (Hoppa *et al.* 2012).

There are few studies measuring CB₁R expression in ataxic animals; we reported no clear changes in expression in the cerebellar cortex of $+/du^{2J}$ and du^{2J}/du^{2J} mice (Wang et al. 2013). In a recent study, post-mortem cerebellar tissue from patients with SCAs, CB1R (and CB₂R) expression was generally up-regulated in PCs, and also in glial cells (Rodríguez-Cueto et al. 2014a). Of interest here was that CB₁R expression was reported in PC soma and pinceau in SCA patients, but was confined largely to the pinceau in control patients. It is possible that upregulated postsynaptic CB₁R expression may affect 2-AG release in SCA patients; however, Rodriguez-Cueto and co-workers suggest that this CB1R expression is associated with degenerating PCs and may represent a marker for degeneration and/or a protective response against such degeneration. A further study in SCA patients reported an up-regulation of eCB degradative fatty acid amide hydrolase and monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) enzymes (Rodríguez-Cueto et al. 2014b), proposed to lead to reduced eCB levels in disease. In these studies, a compensatory up-regulation of cannabinoid receptor expression may occur as a consequence of reduced eCB levels; alternatively, it may be argued that eCBs are suppressed in order not to overactivate the system. Thus, it may also be possible to target eCB metabolizing enzymes for future therapeutic development. In this regard, potential avenues to increase 2-AG include inhibition of MAGL, localized predominantly to the PF terminal (Tanimura et al. 2012), or activation of the biosynthetic enzyme diacylglycerol lipase- α (DAGL α) localized predominantly to the base of postsynaptic dendritic spines (Yoshida et al. 2006) (see Abstract Figure). It is also clear that we will need to investigate potential changes to eCB signalling in different animal models of ataxia to inform development of the most useful therapeutic strategies and also to determine if any such changes represent useful markers for different forms of ataxia.

Do cannabinoids have therapeutic utility in cerebellar ataxia?

There are anecdotal reports that cannabis smokers can achieve symptom relief for several CNS disorders. Such evidence has fuelled the investigation of use of CB₁R agonists as potential neuroprotective agents for a range of conditions including epilepsy, neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's, Parkinson's and Huntington's disease and appetitive disorders (Fernández-Ruiz *et al.* 2011; Hill *et al.* 2012*a*). Earlier evidence for ataxia is largely confined to two case studies which suggest that oral Δ^9 -THC or marijuana improved motor coordination in some multiple sclerosis patients (Clifford, 1983; Meinck *et al.* 1989). At the clinical level, synthetic Δ^9 -THC has been used in management of nausea, emesis and pain, and nabiximols (Sativex) (containing ~1:1 Δ^9 -THC:CBD) represents the first phytocannabinoid medicine, used as an oromucosal spray for pain and spasticity associated with multiple sclerosis (Hill et al. 2012a); Sativex was also stated to delay onset of ataxia symptoms in the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) Public Information Report UK/H/961/01/DC. Such reports contributed to fuelling a major review on the clinical effects of cannabinoids in ataxia associated with multiple sclerosis (Mills et al. 2007); although cannabinoids showed promise, it was concluded that better standardized measures of ataxia were needed to fully establish the utility of cannabis-based medicines in ataxia. The role of cannabinoids in disease-associated movement disorders and tremor has been further discussed more recently by Arjmand et al. (2015) and Kluger et al. (2015), who similarly concluded that further work on cannabinoids in different models of ataxia is warranted. In this regard, an interesting recent report suggests that a 'Sativex-like' combination of Δ^9 -THC and CBD, as well as the individual administration of Δ^9 -THC or CBD, was able to improve motor deficits in a viral model of multiple sclerosis (Feliú et al. 2015). Clearly, studies which suggest CB₁R activation may be useful in cerebellar ataxia contrast to preclinical data where CB1R agonists induce an ataxic phenotype (Lichtman et al. 1998; DeSanty & Dar, 2001; Patel & Hillard, 2001); however, these data do support the hypothesis that maintaining the correct balance in eCB system signalling is a major factor for proper control of motor coordination. This hypothesis is further supported by data from Ca_V2.1 mutants described above, where both decreases (Maejima et al. 2013) and increases in Ca²⁺ current (Gao et al. 2012) can produce an ataxia phenotype; moreover, deficits in delay eyeblink conditioning are reported for both CB1R agonists and CB₁R antagonists/inverse agonists using the same experimental design (Steinmetz & Freeman, 2010). CB₁R agonists have also been shown to possess functional selectively or 'biased agonism', whereby different ligands (including eCBs) preferentially activate different CB₁R signalling pathways (Laprairie et al. 2014; Khajehali et al. 2015). Whilst, as argued above, it is likely that CB1Rs act predominantly on presynaptic Ca_V2.1 to reduce transmitter release in the cerebellar cortex, alternative signalling pathways include inhibition of cAMP or stimulation of phosphorylation of signal regulated kinases (Howlett et al. 2010). Thus, it could be argued that by using knowledge of biased agonism that we can target specific pathways associated with diseases, including cerebellar ataxia. Finally here, CB₁R agonists such as Δ^9 -THC have also been proposed to possess anti-oxidant (Hampson et al. 1998) and anti-inflammatory (although largely CB₂R-mediated) (Fernández-Ruiz et al. 2011) properties; in common with other degenerative diseases, such properties may benefit the amelioration of cerebellar ataxia symptoms.

The demonstration that pre-treatment with a CB₁R antagonist prevents the induction of motor incoordination by CB1R agonists (DeSanty & Dar, 2001; Patel & Hillard, 2001) suggests that CB1R antagonists/inverse agonists may be protective in ataxia. The archetypal agent rimonabant was introduced as an anti-obesity agent, but was withdrawn due fears of increased suicidality and depression in to patients (Nathan et al. 2011). Since then, therapeutic development of CB₁R antagonists/inverse agonists has largely been put on hold. Interesting potential alternatives are CB1R negative allosteric antagonists, such as Org-27569 and PSNCBAM-1. These compounds have a somewhat unique pharmacological profile as they increase orthosteric agonist binding, but decrease agonist activity; more intriguingly, allosteric antagonism action is ligand-dependent and also shows biased antagonism for different signalling pathways (Baillie et al. 2013). We have shown that such functional selectivity for PSNCBAM-1 extends to effects on orthosteric ligands at IN-PC synapses in the cerebellar cortex (Wang et al. 2011); thus, PSNCBAM-1 attenuated CP55940 agonist and AM251 antagonist effects, but had no clear effects against WIN 55,212-2. Moreover, when applied alone, PSNCBAM-1 was not associated with potentially deleterious effects on eCB tone, a concern associated with use of CB₁R antagonists/inverse agonists such as rimonabant. These studies indicate that exogenous allosteric CB1R ligands have potential to fine tune eCB orthosteric agonist effects in a ligand- and/or cell signalling-selective manner within the cerebellar cortex; moreover, biased antagonism effects may allow for further useful therapeutic development.

This review has focused on cannabinoids as agents acting on the eCB system in the cerebellum; moreover, the modulation of CB₁Rs has been highlighted. It may transpire that, for exogenous compounds, targeting alternative modes of action offers improved therapeutic potential in diseases such as ataxia. The last few years have seen increasing calls for the use of medical marijuana to treat a range of disorders; of course, use of marijuana is intimately associated with psychoactive effects of the CB₁R partial agonist Δ^9 -THC. Therapeutically, a more attractive option may be use of non-psychoactive pCBs. Thus, CBD and cannabidivarin (CBDV) have reported utility in epilepsy and, potentially, other CNS disorders (Hill et al. 2012b, 2013; Devinsky et al. 2014). The demonstration that Sativex can improve motor activity in multiple sclerosis (Feliú et al. 2015) is consistent with beneficial effects of a CB₁R activator (Δ^9 -THC) in combination with CBD as a potential ameliorating agent for unwanted Δ^9 -THC effects (McPartland *et al.* 2015); for example, CBD alone was also effective in improving motor deficits, potentially via an action on peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ) receptors (Feliú

et al. 2015). In this regard, CBD has recently been awarded orphan drug status for the severe childhood epilepsy Dravet syndrome and is currently progressing well through clinical trials. CBD and CBDV have only low affinity at CB₁Rs and CBD has been proposed, amongst other possibilities, to act at alternative GPCRs or at transient receptor potential ion channels or, possibly, to augment eCB tone via effects on metabolic enzymes (Hill et al. 2012a; McPartland et al. 2015). A recent study has proposed that CBD, as well as having CB₁R-independent actions, may also act as a CB₁R negative allosteric antagonist (Laprairie et al. 2015); therefore, CBD may share useful properties of this class of agents discussed above. It is also of interest that the hypophagic effects of the allosteric antagonist Org27569 have been suggested to occur independently of CB₁Rs (Ding et al. 2014; Gamage et al. 2014). Thus, the use of cannabinoids with CB₁R-independent and/or allosteric actions should also be considered.

In the future, it will be of interest in particular to test agents such as Sativex, and perhaps CBD as an individual compound, in cerebellar ataxia. There are a number of general points to consider, including whether deficits in CB₁R-mediated signalling are hallmark characteristics of different forms of ataxia, how best to target such deficits and whether aberrant cannabinergic signalling represents a useful biomarker for early or asymptomatic cerebellar ataxia. The answer to such questions will go some way to determining if modulation of the eCB system has therapeutic utility in cerebellar ataxia.

References

- Aiba A, Kano M, Chen C, Stanton ME, Fox GD, Herrup K, Zwingman TA & Tonegawa S (1994). Deficient cerebellar long-term depression and impaired motor learning in mGluR1 mutant mice. *Cell* **79**, 377–388.
- Arjmand S, Vaziri Z, Behzadi M, Abbassian H, Stephens GJ & Shabani M (2015). Cannabinoids and tremor induced by motor-related disorders: friend or foe? *Neurotherapeutics* 12, 778–787.
- Baillie GL, Horswill JG, Anavi-Goffer S, Reggio PH, Bolognini D, Abood ME, McAllister S, Strange PG, Stephens GJ, Pertwee RG & Ross RA (2013). CB₁ receptor allosteric modulators display both agonist and signaling pathway specificity. *Mol Pharmacol* 83, 322–338.
- Barclay J, Balaguero N, Mione M, Ackerman SL, Letts VA, Brodbeck J, Canti C, Meir A, Page KM, Kusumi K, Perez-Reyes E, Lander ES, Frankel WN, Gardiner RM, Dolphin AC & Rees M (2001). Ducky mouse phenotype of epilepsy and ataxia is associated with mutations in the Cacna2d2 gene and decreased calcium channel current in cerebellar PCs. J Neurosci 21, 6095–6104.
- Bilkei-Gorzo A, Racz I, Valverde O, Otto M, Michel K, Sastre M & Zimmer A (2005). Early age-related cognitive impairment in mice lacking cannabinoid CB₁ receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**, 15670–15675.

- Brodbeck J, Davies A, Courtney JM, Meir A, Balaguero N, Canti C, Moss FJ, Page KM, Pratt WS, Hunt SP, Barclay J, Rees M & Dolphin AC (2002). The ducky mutation in Cacna2d2 results in altered Purkinje cell morphology and is associated with the expression of a truncated $\alpha 2\delta$ -2 protein with abnormal function. *J Biol Chem* **277**, 7684–7693.
- Brown SP, Safo PK & Regehr WG (2004). Endocannabinoids inhibit transmission at granule cell to Purkinje cell synapses by modulating three types of presynaptic calcium channels. *J Neurosci* 24, 5623–5631.
- Chen C, Kano M, Abeliovich A, Chen L, Bao S, Kim JJ, Hashimoto K, Thompson RF & Tonegawa S (1995). Impaired motor coordination correlates with persistent multiple climbing fiber innervation in PKCγ mutant mice. *Cell* 83, 1233–1242.
- Clifford DB (1983). Tetrahydrocannabinol for tremor in multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* **13**, 669–671.
- Cole RL, Lechner SM, Williams ME, Prodanovich P, Bleicher L, Varney MA & Gu G (2005). Differential distribution of voltage-gated calcium channel alpha-2 delta ($\alpha 2\delta$) subunit mRNA-containing cells in the rat central nervous system and the dorsal root ganglia. *J Comp Neurol* **491**, 246–269.
- Cooper JM, Korlipara LV, Hart PE, Bradley JL & Schapira AH (2008). Coenzyme Q10 and vitamin E deficiency in Friedreich's ataxia: predictor of efficacy of vitamin E and CoQ10 therapy. *Eur J Neurol* **15**, 1371–1379.
- DeSanty KP & Dar MS (2001). Cannabinoid-induced motor incoordination through the cerebellar CB₁ receptor in mice. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **69**, 251–259.
- Devinsky O, Cilio MR, Cross H, Fernandez-Ruiz J, French J, Hill C, Katz R, Di Marzo V, Jutras-Aswad D, Notcutt WG, Martinez-Orgado J, Robson PJ, Rohrback BG, Thiele E, Whalley B & Friedman D (2014). Cannabidiol: Pharmacology and potential therapeutic role in epilepsy and other neuropsychiatric disorders. *Epilepsia* **55**, 791–802.
- Ding Y, Qiu Y, Jing L, Thorn DA, Zhang Y & Li JX (2014). Behavioral effects of the cannabinoid CB₁ receptor allosteric modulator ORG27569 in rats. *Pharmacol Res Perspect* **2**, e00069.
- Dolphin AC (2012). Calcium channel auxiliary $\alpha 2\delta$ and β subunits: trafficking and one step beyond. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **13**, 542–555.
- Donato R, Page KM, Koch D, Nieto-Rostro M, Foucault I, Davies A, Wilkinson T, Rees M, Edwards FA & Dolphin AC (2006). The ducky^{2J} mutation in Cacna2d2 results in reduced spontaneous Purkinje cell activity and altered gene expression. *J Neurosci* **26**, 12576–12586.
- Feliú A, Moreno-Martet M, Mecha M, Carrillo-Salinas FJ, de Lago E, Fernández-Ruiz J & Guaza C (2015). A Sativex[®]-like combination of phytocannabinoids as a disease-modifying therapy in a viral model of multiple sclerosis. *Br J Pharmacol* 172, 3579–3595.
- Fernández-Ruiz J, Moreno-Martet M, Rodríguez-Cueto C, Palomo-Garo C, Gómez-Cañas M, Valdeolivas S, Guaza C, Romero J, Guzmán M, Mechoulam R & Ramos JA (2011). Prospects for cannabinoid therapies in basal ganglia disorders. *Br J Pharmacol* 163, 1365–1378.

Forti L, Pouzat C & Llano I (2000). Action potential-evoked Ca²⁺ signals and calcium channels in axons of developing rat cerebellar interneurones. *J Physiol* **527**, 33–48.

4638

Galante M & Diana MA (2004). Group I metabotropic glutamate receptors inhibit GABA release at interneuron-Purkinje cell synapses through endocannabinoid production. *J Neurosci* **24**, 4865–4874.

Gamage TF, Ignatowska-Jankowska BM, Wiley JL, Abdelrahman M, Trembleau L, Greig IR, Thakur GA, Tichkule R, Poklis J, Ross RA, Pertwee RG & Lichtman AH (2014). *In-vivo* pharmacological evaluation of the CB₁-receptor allosteric modulator Org-27569. *Behav Pharmacol* **25**, 182–185.

Gao Z, Todorov B, Barrett CF, van Dorp S, Ferrari MD, van den Maagdenberg AM, De Zeeuw CI & Hoebeek FE (2012). Cerebellar ataxia by enhanced $Ca_V 2.1$ currents is alleviated by Ca^{2+} -dependent K⁺-channel activators in Cacna1a(S218L) mutant mice. *J Neurosci* **32**, 15533–15546.

Guo J & Ikeda SR (2004). Endocannabinoids modulate N-type calcium channels and G-protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium channels via CB₁ cannabinoid receptors heterologously expressed in mammalian neurons. *Mol Pharmacol* **65**, 665–674.

Hampson AJ, Grimaldi M, Axelrod J & Wink D (1998). Cannabidiol and $(-)\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol are neuroprotective antioxidants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**, 8268–8273.

Herkenham M, Groen BG, Lynn AB, De Costa BR & Richfield EK (1991). Neuronal localization of cannabinoid receptors and second messengers in mutant mouse cerebellum. *Brain Res* **552**, 301–310.

Hill AJ, Mercier MS, Hill TD, Glyn SE, Jones NA, Yamasaki Y, Futamura T, Duncan M, Stott CG, Stephens GJ, Williams CM & Whalley BJ (2012*b*). Cannabidivarin is anticonvulsant in mouse and rat in vitro and in seizure models. *Br J Pharmacol* **167**, 1629–1642.

Hill AJ, Williams CM, Whalley BJ & Stephens GJ (2012*a*). Phytocannabinoids as novel therapeutic agents in CNS disorders. *Pharmacol Ther* **133**, 79–97.

Hill TD, Cascio MG, Romano B, Duncan M, Pertwee RG, Williams CM, Whalley BJ & Hill AJ (2013).
Cannabidivarin-rich cannabis extracts are anticonvulsant in mouse and rat via a CB₁ receptor-independent mechanism. *Br J Pharmacol* 170, 679–692.

Hoppa MB, Lana B, Margas W, Dolphin AC & Ryan TA (2012). $\alpha 2\delta$ expression sets presynaptic calcium channel abundance and release probability. *Nature* **486**, 122–125.

Horswill JG, Bali U, Shaaban S, Keily JF, Jeevaratnam P, Babbs AJ, Reynet C & Wong Kai In P (2007). PSNCBAM-1, a novel allosteric antagonist at cannabinoid CB₁ receptors with hypophagic effects in rats. *Br J Pharmacol* **152**, 805–814.

Howlett AC, Blume LC & Dalton GD (2010). CB₁ cannabinoid receptors and their associated proteins. *Curr Med Chem* **17**, 1382–1393.

Ito M (1989). Long-term depression. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 12, 85–102.

Jun K, Piedras-Rentería ES, Smith SM, Wheeler DB, Lee SB, Lee TG, Chin H, Adams ME, Scheller RH, Tsien RW & Shin HS (1999). Ablation of P/Q-type Ca^{2+} channel currents, altered synaptic transmission, and progressive ataxia in mice lacking the α_{1A} -subunit. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**, 15245–15250.

Kaja S, Hann V, Payne HL & Thompson CL (2007). Aberrant cerebellar granule cell-specific GABA_A receptor expression in the epileptic and ataxic mouse mutant, *Tottering. Neuroscience* **148**, 115–125.

Kano M, Ohno-Shosaku T, Hashimotodani Y, Uchigashima M & Watanabe M (2009). Endocannabinoid-mediated control of synaptic transmission. *Physiol Rev* 89, 309–380.

Kawamura Y, Fukaya M, Maejima T, Yoshida T, Miura E, Watanabe M, Ohno-Shosaku T & Kano M (2006). CB₁ is the major cannabinoid receptor at excitatory presynaptic site in the hippocampus and cerebellum. *J Neurosci* **26**, 2991–3001.

Khajehali E, Malone DT, Glass M, Sexton PM, Christopoulos A & Leach K (2015). Biased agonism and biased allosteric modulation at the CB₁ cannabinoid receptor. *Mol Pharmacol* 88, 368–379.

Kishimoto Y & Kano M (2006). Endogenous cannabinoid signaling through the CB₁ receptor is essential for cerebellum-dependent discrete motor learning. *J Neurosci* **26**, 8829–8837.

Klockgether T (2011). Update on degenerative ataxias. *Curr Opin Neurol* **24**, 339–345.

Kluger B, Triolo P, Jones W & Jankovic J (2015). The therapeutic potential of cannabinoids for movement disorders. *Mov Disord* **30**, 313–327.

Kreitzer AC, Carter AG & Regehr WG (2002). Inhibition of interneuron firing extends the spread of endocannabinoid signaling in the cerebellum. *Neuron* **34**, 787–796.

Kulik A, Nakadate K, Hagiwara A, Fukazawa Y, Luján R, Saito H, Suzuki N, Futatsugi A, Mikoshiba K, Frotscher M & Shigemoto R (2004). Immunocytochemical localization of the $\alpha 1_A$ subunit of the P/Q-type calcium channel in the rat cerebellum. *Eur J Neurosci* **19**, 2169–2178.

Laprairie RB, Bagher AM, Kelly ME & Denovan-Wright EM (2015). Cannabidiol is a negative allosteric modulator of the type 1 cannabinoid receptor. *Br J Pharmacol* **172**, 4790–4805.

Laprairie RB, Bagher AM, Kelly ME, Dupré DJ & Denovan-Wright EM (2014). Type 1 cannabinoid receptor ligands display functional selectivity in a cell culture model of striatal medium spiny projection neurons. *J Biol Chem* **289**, 24845–24862.

Lichtman AH, Wiley JL, LaVecchia KL, Neviaser ST, Arthur DB, Wilson DM & Martin BR (1998). Effects of SR 141716A after acute or chronic cannabinoid administration in dogs. *Eur J Pharmacol* **357**, 139–148.

Lonchamp E, Dupont JL, Doussau F, Shin HS, Poulain B & Bossu JL (2009). Deletion of $Ca_V 2.1(\alpha IA)$ subunit of Ca^{2+} -channels impairs synaptic GABA and glutamate release in the mouse cerebellar cortex in cultured slices. *Eur J Neurosci* **30**, 2293–2307.

Lynch DR, Perlman SL & Meier T (2010). A phase 3, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of idebenone in Friedreich ataxia. *Arch Neurol* **67**, 941–947.

Ma YL, Weston SE, Whalley BJ & Stephens GJ (2008). The phytocannabinoid Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabivarin modulates inhibitory neurotransmission in the cerebellum. *Br J Pharmacol* **154**, 204–215.

Mackie K & Hille B (1992). Cannabinoids inhibit N-type calcium channels in neuroblastoma-glioma cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **89**, 3825–3829.

Maejima T, Wollenweber P, Teusner LU, Noebels JL, Herlitze S & Mark MD (2013). Postnatal loss of P/Q-type channels confined to rhombic-lip-derived neurons alters synaptic transmission at the parallel fiber to purkinje cell synapse and replicates genomic Cacna1a mutation phenotype of ataxia and seizures in mice. *J Neurosci* **33**, 5162–5174.

Mark MD, Maejima T, Kuckelsberg D, Yoo JW, Hyde RA, Shah V, Gutierrez D, Moreno RL, Kruse W, Noebels JL & Herlitze S (2011). Delayed postnatal loss of P/Q-type calcium channels recapitulates the absence epilepsy, dyskinesia, and ataxia phenotypes of genomic Cacna1A mutations. *J Neurosci* **31**, 4311–4326.

McPartland JM, Duncan M, Di Marzo V & Pertwee R (2015). Are cannabidiol and Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabivarin negative modulators of the endocannabinoid system? A systematic review. *Br J Pharmacol* **172**, 737–753.

Meinck HM, Schönle PW & Conrad B (1989). Effect of cannabinoids on spasticity and ataxia in multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol* **236**, 120–122.

Mills RJ, Yap L & Young CA (2007). Treatment for ataxia in multiple sclerosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 1, CD005029.

Mintz IM, Sabatini BL & Regehr WG (1995). Calcium control of transmitter release at a cerebellar synapse. *Neuron* **15**, 675–688.

Mintz IM, Venema VJ, Swiderek KM, Lee TD, Bean BP & Adams ME (1992). P-type calcium channels blocked by the spider toxin omega-Aga-IVA. *Nature* **355**, 827–829.

Nathan PJ, O'Neill BV, Napolitano A & Bullmore ET (2011). Neuropsychiatric adverse effects of centrally acting antiobesity drugs. *CNS Neurosci Ther* **17**, 490–505.

Ohno-Shosaku T & Kano M (2014). Endocannabinoid-mediated retrograde modulation of synaptic transmission. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 29, 1–8.

Patel S & Hillard CJ (2001). Cannabinoid CB₁ receptor agonists produce cerebellar dysfunction in mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **297**, 629–637.

Pertwee RG, Howlett AC, Abood ME, Alexander SP, Di Marzo V, Elphick MR, Greasley PJ, Hansen HS, Kunos G, Mackie K, Mechoulam R & Ross RA (2010). International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXIX. Cannabinoid receptors and their ligands: beyond CB₁ and CB₂. *Pharmacol Rev* 62, 588–631.

Pietrobon D (2010). Ca_V2.1 channelopathies. *Pflugers Arch* **460**, 375–393.

Price MR, Baillie GL, Thomas A, Stevenson LA, Easson M, Goodwin R, McLean A, McIntosh L, Goodwin G, Walker G, Westwood P, Marrs J, Thomson F, Cowley P, Christopoulos A, Pertwee RG & Ross RA (2005). Allosteric modulation of the cannabinoid CB₁ receptor. *Mol Pharmacol* 68, 1484–1495.

Rajakulendran S, Kaski D & Hanna MG (2012). Neuronal P/Q-type calcium channel dysfunction in inherited disorders of the CNS. *Nat Rev Neurol* **8**, 86–96.

Rodríguez-Cueto C, Benito C, Fernández-Ruiz J, Romero J, Hernández-Gálvez M & Gómez-Ruiz M (2014*a*). Changes in CB₁ and CB₂ receptors in the post-mortem cerebellum of humans affected by spinocerebellar ataxias. *Br J Pharmacol* 171, 1472–1489. Rodríguez-Cueto C, Benito C, Romero J, Hernández-Gálvez M, Gómez-Ruiz M & Fernández-Ruiz J (2014*b*).
Endocannabinoid-hydrolysing enzymes in the post-mortem cerebellum of humans affected by hereditary autosomal dominant ataxias. *Pathobiology* 81, 149–159.

Regehr WG & Mintz IM (1994). Participation of multiple calcium channel types in transmission at single climbing fiber to Purkinje cell synapses. *Neuron* **12**, 605–613.

Safo PK & Regehr WG (2005). Endocannabinoids control the induction of cerebellar LTD. *Neuron* **48**, 647–659.

Shibusawa N, Hashimoto K & Yamada M (2008). Thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH) in the cerebellum. *Cerebellum* 7, 84–95.

Skosnik PD, Edwards CR, O'Donnell BF, O'Donnell BF, Steffen A, Steinmetz JE & Hetrick WP (2008). Cannabis use disrupts eyeblink conditioning: evidence for cannabinoid modulation of cerebellar-dependent learning. *Neuropsychopharmacol* 33, 1432–1440.

Steiner H, Bonner TI, Zimmer AM, Kitai S & Zimmer A (1999). Altered gene expression in striatal projection neurons in CB₁ cannabinoid receptor knockout mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96, 5786–5790.

Steinmetz AB & Freeman JH (2010). Central cannabinoid receptors modulate acquisition of eyeblink conditioning. *Learn Mem* 17, 571–576.

Stephens GJ (2009). G-protein-coupled-receptor-mediated presynaptic inhibition in the cerebellum. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **30**, 421–430.

Stephens GJ, Morris NP, Fyffe RE & Robertson B (2001). The $Ca_V 2.1/\alpha 1A$ (P/Q-type) voltage-dependent calcium channel mediates inhibitory neurotransmission onto mouse cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Eur J Neurosci* **13**, 1902–1912.

Szabo B, Than M, Thorn D & Wallmichrath I (2004). Analysis of the effects of cannabinoids on synaptic transmission between basket and Purkinje cells in the cerebellar cortex of the rat. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **310**, 915–925.

Szabo B, Urbanski MJ, Bisogno T, Di Marzo V, Mendiguren A, Baer WU & Freiman I (2006). Depolarization-induced retrograde synaptic inhibition in the mouse cerebellar cortex is mediated by 2-arachidonoylglycerol. *J Physiol* **577**, 263–280.

Takahashi KA & Linden DJ (2000). Cannabinoid receptor modulation of synapses received by cerebellar Purkinje cells. *J Neurophysiol* **83**, 1167–1180.

Tanimura A, Kawata S, Hashimoto K & Kano M (2009). Not glutamate but endocannabinoids mediate retrograde suppression of cerebellar parallel fiber to Purkinje cell synaptic transmission in young adult rodents. *Neuropharmacol* **57**, 157–163.

Tanimura A, Uchigashima M, Yamazaki M, Uesaka N, Mikuni T, Abe M, Hashimoto K, Watanabe M, Sakimura K & Kano M (2012). Synapse type-independent degradation of the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol after retrograde synaptic suppression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**, 12195–12200.

Todorov B, Kros L, Shyti R, Plak P, Haasdijk ED, Raike RS, Frants RR, Hess EJ, Hoebeek FE, De Zeeuw CI & van den Maagdenberg AM (2012). Purkinje cell-specific ablation of $Ca_V 2.1$ channels is sufficient to cause cerebellar ataxia in mice. *Cerebellum* **11**, 246–58. Tsou K, Brown S, Sañudo-Peña MC, Mackie K & Walker JM (1997). Immunohistochemical distribution of cannabinoid CB₁ receptors in the rat central nervous system. *Neuroscience* **83**, 393–411.

Twitchell W, Brown S & Mackie K (1997). Cannabinoids inhibit N- and P/Q-type calcium channels in cultured rat hippocampal neurons *J Neurophysiol* **78**, 43–50.

Walter JT, Alviña K, Womack MD, Chevez C & Khodakhah K (2006). Decreases in the precision of Purkinje cell pacemaking cause cerebellar dysfunction and ataxia. *Nat Neurosci* 9, 389–397.

Wang X, Horswill JG, Whalley BJ & Stephens GJ (2011). Effects of the allosteric antagonist PSNCBAM-1 on CB₁ receptor modulation in the cerebellum. *Mol Pharmacol* **79**, 758–767.

Wang X, Whalley BJ & Stephens GJ (2013). The *du*^{2J} mouse model of ataxia and absence epilepsy has deficient cannabinoid CB₁ receptor-mediated signalling. *J Physiol* **591**, 3919–3933.

Westenbroek RE, Sakurai T, Elliott EM, Hell JW, Starr TV, Snutch TP & Catterall WA (1995). Immunochemical identification and subcellular distribution of the alpha 1A subunits of brain calcium channels. *J Neurosci* **15**, 6403–6418.

Yamasaki M, Hashimoto K & Kano M (2006). Miniature synaptic events elicited by presynaptic Ca²⁺ rise are selectively suppressed by cannabinoid receptor activation in cerebellar Purkinje cells. *J Neurosci* **26**, 86–95. Yoshida T, Fukaya M, Uchigashima M, Miura E, Kamiya H, Kano M & Watanabe M (2006). Localization of diacylglycerol lipase-alpha around postsynaptic spine suggests close proximity between production site of an endocannabinoid, 2-arachidonoyl-glycerol, and presynaptic cannabinoid CB₁ receptor. *J Neurosci* **26**, 4740–4751.

Zhou YD, Turner TJ & Dunlap K (2003). Enhanced G protein-dependent modulation of excitatory synaptic transmission in the cerebellum of the Ca²⁺ channel-mutant mouse, *tottering. J Physiol* **547**, 497–507.

Additional information

Competing interests

The author has no conflict of interest.

Funding

Work in my group included here was supported by The Wellcome Trust and an Ataxia UK Postgraduate Fellowship awarded to Xiaowei Wang, who also received a University of Reading Postgraduate Research Studentship Award and was co-supervised by Prof. Benjamin Whalley. I also acknowledge the support of GW Pharmaceuticals in supplying material and associated grant funding during some of this work.