CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) - Submission/Publication Form

The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating web-based and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be
a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs,
b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items.
Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.
In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS,
or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED).
Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):
Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group

CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and Mobile Health Interventions
J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126
URL: http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/
doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923
PMID: 22209829

* Erforderlich

Your name *

First Last

Brodbeck

Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country *

University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

University of Bern, Switzerland

Your e-mail address *

abc@gmail.com

jeannette.brodbeck@unibe.ch

Title of your manuscript *

Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

The Role of Emotion Regulation and Loss-Related Coping Self-Efficacy in an Internet Intervention for Grief: A Mediation Analysis.

Name of your App/Software/Intervention *

If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

LIVIA

Evaluated Version (if any)

e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

Meine Antwort

Language(s) *

What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

German

URL of your Intervention Website or App

e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

https://www.kpp.psy.unibe.ch/

URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

Meine Antwort

Accessibility *

Can an enduser access the intervention presently?

- ○ access is free and open
- ○ access only for special usergroups, not open
- ○ access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases
- ◉ app/intervention no longer accessible
- ○ Sonstiges:

Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition *

e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)"

Prolonged Grief adn adaptation problems after

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *

comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

Grief symptoms, psychpathological distress

Secondary/other outcomes

Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

Depression symptoms, loneliness, embitterment, life satisfaction

Recommended "Dose" *

What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used?

- ○ Approximately Daily
- ◉ Approximately Weekly
- ○ Approximately Monthly
- ○ Approximately Yearly
- ○ "as needed"
- ○ Sonstiges:

Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months *

- ◉ unknown / not evaluated
- ○ 0-10%
- ○ 11-20%
- ○ 21-30%
- ○ 31-40%
- ○ 41-50%
- ○ 51-60%
- ○ 61-70%
- ○ 71%-80%
- ○ 81-90%
- ○ 91-100%
- ○ Sonstiges:

Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *

- [x] yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- [ ] partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- [ ] no statistically significant difference between control and intervention
- [ ] potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more outcomes
- [ ] inconclusive: more research is needed
- [ ] Sonstiges:

Article Preparation Status/Stage *

At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)

- [ ] not submitted yet - in early draft status
- [ ] not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
- [ ] submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
- [ ] submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
- [x] submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
- [ ] published
- [ ] Sonstiges:

Journal *

If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")

- ○ not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this
- ○ Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)
- ○ JMIR mHealth and UHealth
- ○ JMIR Serious Games
- ◉ JMIR Mental Health
- ○ JMIR Public Health
- ○ JMIR Formative Research
- ○ Other JMIR sister journal
- ○ Sonstiges:

Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial? *

- ○ Pilot/feasibility
- ◉ Fully powered

Manuscript tracking number *

If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)

- ○ no ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR
- ◉ Sonstiges: 27707

TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *

I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

- ○ yes
- ◉ Sonstiges: secondary mediation analysis of an RCT

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use “web-based” and/or “mobile” and/or “electronic game” in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like “online”, “virtual”, “interactive”. Use “Internet-based” only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use “computer-based” or “electronic” only if offline products are used. Use “virtual” only in the context of “virtual reality” (3-D worlds). Use “online” only in the context of “online support groups”. Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as “mobile” or “smart phone” instead of “iphone”), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

secondary mediation analysis of an RCT

1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., “with telephone support”).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

not applicable for this study

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., “for children with Type I Diabetes”)
Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Internet Intervention for Grief

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

item not applicable for this study

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like “fully automated” vs. “therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted” (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use “blinded” or “unblinded” to indicated the level of blinding instead of “open”, as “open” in web-based trials usually refers to “open access” (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"They were recruited mainly via newspaper articles."

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"A total of 100 participants took part in the guided internet intervention."

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials

Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

INTRODUCTION

2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale

2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in “Methods” under 5)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The present study examines emotion regulation and loss-related coping self-efficacy as potential mechanisms of change in an internet intervention for prolonged grief symptoms after spousal bereavement or separation/divorce called LIVIA [32,33]. LIVIA addressed older adults who had experienced spousal bereavement or a separation/divorce and sought help for coping with prolonged grief symptoms, psychological distress or adaptation problems in daily life. Thus, LIVIA is the first intervention that focuses on grief after bereavement as well as grief after a separation or divorce. Both events require similar adaptation and mourning tasks identified by Worden i.e. accepting the reality of the loss, processing the pain of grief, adjusting to a life without the spouse, and remembering the lost spouse while reinvesting emotional energy into a new life [29]. We assume that the dual process model is also applicable for a separation or a divorce from a spouse insofar as these events imply breaking the bond and necessarily lead to a reorganization of one’s life circumstances. Furthermore, we hypothesise that the effect of the intervention is based on the same mechanisms of change. "

2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropiate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"While considerable evidence has established the efficacy of cognitive-behavioural (internet) interventions for psychiatric disorders and several emotional problems including grief, little is known about how they lead to an improvement of symptoms or behaviour [10,11]. The identification of these mechanisms of change would be useful for tailoring interventions that specifically target these mechanisms and thus may be more potent or efficient [12]. It may also contribute to the development of more parsimonious interventions with fewer but equally effective components [13] which reduce the burden for clients as well as save time and cost [14].

Potential mechanisms of change can be specific factors posited in the theoretical background of the intervention (e.g. changes in maladaptive thinking or behaviour in cognitive behaviour therapy) or common factors, as the therapeutic alliance, empathy, expectations or a rationale that provides credibility to the intervention [15]. Self-efficacy is a central variable in social-cognitive theories [16]. Self-efficacy as belief in the ability to exercise control over events that affect one's life, to manage one's personal functioning and environmental demands plays an important role in stress reactions and adaptive coping in threatening situations [17]. Bereavement coping self-efficacy predicted lower emotional distress, higher psychological and spiritual well-being as well as better physical health in widows whose husbands had died of cancer [18]. Self-efficacy also predicted lower grief symptoms in students who lost a close person in a university campus shooting [19]. In addition, Benight and Bandura [17] concluded that coping self-efficacy was a mediator in the recovery from traumatic experiences. In line with this notion, change in coping self-efficacy predicted a decrease in post-traumatic stress symptoms in an e-health intervention for trauma survivors [20]. Moreover, self-efficacy was a mediator between psychopathology symptoms and disabilities in activities and participation [21].

Emotion regulation has been established as a transdiagnostic risk factor for different psychological disorders [22] and is a central intervention target in psychotherapy [23]. A review concluded that face-to-face emotion regulation interventions had positive short- and long-term effects for emotion process outcomes, affect and mood states, as well as medical and psychiatric disorders [24]. Improvements in the abilities to modify, accept, and tolerate negative emotions were consistent predictors of treatment outcomes in patients with various mental disorders [23]. Moreover, CBT enriched with an emotion-regulation training resulted in a greater reduction in depression, negative affect and increased well-being than routine CBT [25].

While some studies have examined emotion-regulation trainings as predictors or outcome of treatment, few studies have investigated emotion regulation as a mechanism of change. For example, modification of negative emotions was found to mediate the link between emotion-regulation skills and psychopathological symptoms assessed with the Brief Symptom Inventory [26]. Furthermore, emotion regulation was a mediator and putative mechanism of change in an internet intervention for stress management [27].

Emotion regulation and loss-related coping self-efficacy can be integrated as putative mechanisms of change in existing models of coping with grief. The dual process model of coping with bereavement posits that loss-oriented tasks, such as grief work, experiencing the pain of the loss, expressing emotions towards the deceased and transforming bonds

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Building up on these results, the present post-hoc analyses aimed at investigating whether gains in emotion-regulation skills and loss-related coping self-efficacy mediated the intervention effects as a first step in elucidating mechanisms of change in an intervention for grief after spousal bereavement or separation/divorce. The present study is one of a few investigating mediators in internet interventions and the first that examined emotional and cognitive processes as mediators in a grief intervention. We hypothesised that both, gains in emotion-regulation skills and loss-related coping self-efficacy mediated the effect of the intervention on the improvements in grief and psychopathology symptoms."

METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Therefore, the analysis sample consisted of 100 German-speaking participants who lost their spouse through bereavement (20%) or separation/divorce (80%) and who were randomly allocated to the intervention group or the wait-list control group. The wait-list control group received access to the treatment after 12-weeks. "

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This was not the case in this study

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other “unexpected events” that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The main inclusion criteria were the experience of spousal bereavement or a separation/divorce more than six months prior to enrolling in the study and seeking help for coping with prolonged grief symptoms, psychological distress or the psychosocial adaptation to a life without the partner. The main exclusion criteria were severe psychological or somatic disorders which needed immediate treatment and acute suicidality (BDI suicide item > 1 or suicidal ideation in the telephone interview) and a concomitant psychotherapy, and/or prescribed drugs against depression or anxiety if prescription or dosage has changed in the month prior or during the internet intervention."

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit “de facto” eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	◉	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants were mainly recruited by newspaper articles and online self-help forums."

4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	◉	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants received a written study information approved by the Ethics committee

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"All self-report questionnaires were completed online using Qualtrics [37] at baseline, i.e. prior to the intervention and at post-measurement 12 weeks after receiving access to the programme. "

4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"All self-report questionnaires were completed online using Qualtrics [37] at baseline, i.e. prior to the intervention and at post-measurement 12 weeks after receiving access to the programme. "

4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention.(Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	◉	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The logo and the responsible organisation was included in the study information as required by the ethics committee

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a “Conflict of interest” section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

not applicable for this study

5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

not applicable for this study

5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was “frozen” during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

not applicable for this study

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

not applicable for this study

5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

not applicable for this study

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

not applicable for this study

5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained “access to the platform and Internet” [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers /readers, consider to provide a “backdoor” login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The participation in this trial was free, see eligibility criteria

5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1]," whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback" [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The dual process model of coping with bereavement and the task model of mourning provided the theoretical background for the guided internet-based self-help intervention called LIVIA [32,33]. It consisted of ten text-based modules and a weekly email as guidance. The modules contained writing tasks for the exposure to the loss and assignments to practice in daily life. Several modules directly targeted emotion-regulation processes: Three modules focused on cognitive-behavioural techniques fostering positive emotions, self-care, and social relationships while two modules focused on exposure and loss-oriented interventions, i.e. writing tasks for accepting memories and pain as well as addressing unfinished business. Loss-related coping self-efficacy was a direct target in modules including information about grief or separation reactions, coping strategies and restoration-oriented interventions for creating a life without the partner. "

"

5-ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended “doses” and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Details are described in the efficacy paper

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as “type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered”. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"It consisted of ten text-based modules and a weekly email as guidance."

5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

not applicable in this study

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

not applicable for this study

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The severity of grief symptoms was assessed with the 16-item Texas Revised Inventory of Grief – German Version (TRIG) [34]. Answer categories were 1 = completely true to 5 = completely false. Cronbach alpha was .86 at pre- and .90 at post-measurement. The TRIG includes items which are applicable after divorce as well as bereavement and has proven a good factorial validity which was temporally invariant over one year [35].

Psychopathology symptoms were measured with the German version of the widely used Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI) [36]. The 53 items assessed a broad range of somatic and psychopathology symptoms within seven days prior to completing the questionnaire. Answer categories range from 0 = not at all to 4 = very much. Cronbach alpha was .90 at pre- and .96 at post-measurement.

Six module-related items assessed loss-focused emotion regulation and loss-related coping-self-efficacy. Response categories ranged from -3 = not at all to 3 = yes, exactly. A confirmatory factor analysis including the six items supported a two-factor model compared to a one factor model (1 factor model: CFI = .84, TLI = .74, RMSEA = .273; 2 factor model: CFI = .95, TLI = .91, RMSEA = .159). Details on the development of these measures and the results of the exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses are presented in supplement A. Loss-focused emotion regulation was assessed with the following module-related items (“I can cheer myself up”; “I can have a positive influence on my thoughts and feelings”; “I can take care of my own well-being”.). Loss-focused coping self-efficacy contained three items (“I am convinced that I can cope with the loss of my spouse/with the separation/divorce”; “I am ready to do what is necessary to overcome my loss”; “I have a strong influence on the coping with my loss”). Cronbach alpha for emotion regulation was .90 at pre- and .91 at post-measurement and .76 at pre- and .85 at post-measurement for self-efficacy. To measure gains in emotion regulation and self-efficacy, we subtracted the pre sum score from the post sum scores. Thus, a positive value indicated a gain during the intervention. All self-report questionnaires were completed online using Qualtrics [37] at baseline, i.e. prior to the intervention and at post-measurement 12 weeks after receiving access to the programme.

In addition to the self-report questionnaires, the initial screening process included a telephone call, in which trained email-supporters assessed the criteria of the DSM-5 diagnosis of a Persistent Complex Bereavement Disorder. This required an adaptation of the criteria to the purpose of our study, i.e., we assessed the persistence of the symptoms already six months after the loss instead of 12 months and also employed the interview to individuals who lost their spouse through separation/divorce."

6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

not applicable for this study

6a-ii) Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored

Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

not applicable for this study

6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

not applicable for this study

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

not applicable for this study

7a) How sample size was determined

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary analyses, not applicable for this study

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

not applicable for this study

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence

NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary analyses, not applicable for this study

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We used random.org for this study

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We used random.org for this study

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We used random.org for this study

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable for this study

11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable for this study, waitlist control group

11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions

(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable for this study, waitlist control group

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable for this study, waitlist control group

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable for this study

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"In a second step, we computed mediation models for improvements in grief and psychopathology symptoms firstly with one single mediator and then with two parallel mediators in a path-analytic framework using Mplus v8.4 [38] using the original dataset. As mediator variables, we included gains in emotion regulation and gains in loss-related self-efficacy from pre- to post-measurement. We used observed difference scores for change in the mediators as well as in the outcome variables, i.e. grief and psychopathology symptoms. Positive change scores indicate improvements in grief and psychopathology symptoms. We used the “model indirect” command to specify and estimate the specific indirect effects for both mediators and the total indirect effect."

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The study was approved by the Cantonal Ethics Committee of the Canton of Bern, Switzerland."

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures

Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.?), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	◉	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants first gave electronic and then oral informed consent in a telephone screening interview."

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures

Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary analyses, not applicable for this study

RESULTS

13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Out of the total sample (N = 110), nine individuals did not start the internet intervention (8.18%) and were excluded from the present analyses. One participant was excluded due to being a multivariate outlier which affected the mediation analyses. Therefore, the analysis sample consisted of 100 German-speaking participants who lost their spouse through bereavement (20%) or separation/divorce (80%) and who were randomly allocated to the intervention group or the wait-list control group. "

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary analyses, reported in the main outcome paper

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary analyses, not applicable

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary analyses, not applicable

14a-i) Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period

Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or “changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources”

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	◉	○	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary analyses, not applicable

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable for this study

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group

NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Table 1
Demographics and Sample Characteristics at Baseline and Means of Grief and Psychopathology at Post-Measurement

	Pooled		Intervention		Control		
	n = 100		n = 58		n = 42		P a
Age (M, SD)	51.11	13.60	50.85	12.90	51.48	14.68	.828
Gender (n, %)							.192
Female	69	69.0	43	74.1	26	61.9	
Male	31	31.0	15	25.9	16	38.1	
Event (n, %)							.761
Spousal bereavement	20	20.0	11	19.0	9	21.4	
Separation / divorce	80	80.0	47	81.0	33	78.6	
Education (n, %)							.351
Compulsory school	2	2.0	1	1.7	1	2.4	
Apprenticeship	19	19.0	14	24.1	5	11.9	
Secondary II	7	7.0	3	5.2	4	9.5	
Vocational school	37	37.0	19	32.8	18	42.9	
University	34	34.0	21	36.2	13	31.0	
Nationality (n, %)							.660
Swiss	76	76.0	46	79.3	30	71.4	
German speaking countries	18	18.0	9	15.5	9	21.4	
Other countries	6	6.0	3	5.2	3	7.1	
Years since event (M, SD)	2.21	3.0	2.16	3.47	2.27	2.26	.854
Persistent Complex Bereavement Disorder	25	25.0	15	25.9	10	23.8	.815
Grief							
Pre-treatment (M, SD)	3.39	0.78	3.48	0.74	3.26	0.82	.177
Post-treatment (M, SD)	2.84	0.89	2.80	0.86	2.90	0.93	.601
Psychopathology							
Pre-treatment (M, SD)	0.86	0.57	0.95	0.63	0.73	0.44	.056
Post-treatment (M, SD)	0.62	0.50	0.61	0.51	0.64	0.48	.807

Note: a Comparison intervention and control group

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not assessed in this study

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

16-i) Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions

Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions: Report N’s (and effect sizes) “across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds” [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants “used” the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define “use” of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary analyses, not applicable

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only “users”, with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary mediation analyes, not applicable

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary mediation analyes, not applicable

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as “average session length”. These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a “session” is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary mediation analyes, not applicable

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary mediation analyes, not applicable

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Mediation models with a single mediator:
Improvement in grief was associated with gains in emotion regulation (β = .41; P = <.001) and gains in coping self-efficacy (β = .24; P = .016). Only emotion regulation showed a significant indirect path with a medium effect size (β = .13; P = .009). The indirect effect for coping self-efficacy showed a small to medium sized effect but did not reach the significance level (β = .07; P = .061).
Improvement in psychopathology symptoms was related to gains in emotion regulation as well as loss-related self-efficacy (ER: β = .35; P = <.001; CSE: β = .35; P = <.001). Emotion regulation and loss-related self-efficacy functioned as mediators with medium effect sizes (indirect effects: ER: β = .12; P = .016; CSE: β = .10; P = .022).

Models with simultaneous parallel mediators:
Including emotion regulation and loss-related coping self-efficacy simultaneously in the analyses, the relative strength of both mediators was investigated in exploratory models. Improvement in grief was associated with gains in emotion regulation (β = .39; P = <.001), but not by gains in self-efficacy (β = .04; P = .734, see Figure 1a). Only emotion regulation mediated the association between taking part in the intervention and improvement in grief (indirect effect: β = .13; P = .008). The path from the intervention to the improvement in grief remained significant (β = .26; P = .005). The total indirect effect was significant and showed a medium effect size (β = .14; P = .016).
Improvement in psychopathology symptoms was significantly predicted by gains in loss-related coping self-efficacy (β = .23; P = .044, see Figure 1b). Emotion regulation showed a similar effect size but did not reach the significance level (β = .24; P = .054). The total indirect effect was significant (β = .15; P = .003), but not the specific indirect paths. However, post hoc Monte Carlo Power Analysis for Indirect Effects indicated that the models with two parallel mediators did not have enough power to detect specific indirect effects apart from the indirect path from emotion regulation on improvement of grief, see supplement A."

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Seondary mediation analyses, not applicable

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group

(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Seondary mediation analyses, not applicable

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical “harm” to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. “Unintended effects” also includes unintended positive effects [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Seondary mediation analyses, not applicable

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Seondary mediation analyses, not applicable

DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence

NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Seondary mediation analyses, not applicable

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"A fine-grained temporal design may also be able to detect more accurately the temporal sequence of change and the interplay of the mediators, and thus disentangle mechanisms of change. However, the speed and shape of change is not necessarily linear and sudden gains or losses may occur (for more details see [46]). Thus, the appropriate time point for assessing the mediators for capturing these changes may be difficult to determine and temporal associations between change in the mechanism and change in outcomes may be hard to disentangle [47]. " "Considering these limitations, the results of this study must be replicated and extended with larger samples and more measurement points. Further research should use validated measures for emotion regulation and loss-related coping self-efficacy and investigate whether the greater relative importance of emotion regulation compared to coping self-efficacy is specific to prolonged grief symptoms or whether it also generalises to distress-related disorders and other psychological disorders such as anxiety disorders. In addition, other potential mediators such as social support could be examined together with emotion regulation and coping self-efficacy."

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses

20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary mediation analysis, not applicable

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Moreover, the sample size of 100 participants limited the number of variables in the models, precluded more detailed analysis of the interplay of potential moderators and mediators, and lead to power issues for the models with two parallel mediators. Moreover, the sample included only 20 widowed individuals which precluded separate models for widowed participants. "

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary mediation analysis, not applicable

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02900534; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02900534."

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) [grant 51NF40-160590] granted to Dario Spini. The SNSF had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript."

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)

X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem X27-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Secondary mediation analyses, not applicable

About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist

As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? *

- ○ yes, major changes
- ○ yes, minor changes
- ◉ no

What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?

none, manuscript already accepted, this feels like a time-consuming useless task

How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript *

it took about three hours and it is useless to write this out for having enough caracters

As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *

- ○ yes
- ◉ no
- ○ Sonstiges:

Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group?

This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document

- ○ yes
- ◉ no
- ○ Sonstiges:

Auswahl löschen

Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

Filling out this form feels like a time-consuming useless task, the manuscript has already been submitted and/or accepted.

STOP - Save this form as PDF before you click submit

To generate a record that you filled in this form, we recommend to generate a PDF of this page (on a Mac, simply select "print" and then select "print as PDF") before you submit it.

When you submit your (revised) paper to JMIR, please upload the PDF as supplementary file.

Don't worry if some text in the textboxes is cut off, as we still have the complete information in our database. Thank you!

Final step: Click submit !

Click submit so we have your answers in our database!

Senden Alle Eingaben löschen