Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website: www.jehp.net

DOI:

10.4103/jehp.jehp 785 20

MSC in Midwifery, Research Center for Nursing and Midwifery Care, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran, ¹Master Student of Counseling in Midwifery, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran, ²Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Mother and Newborn Health Research Center, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran, 3Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics. Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Yazd, Iran

Address for correspondence:

Ms. Fariba Reyhanizadeh, Master Student of Counseling in Midwifery, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran. E-mail: fariba.

> Received: 04-07-2020 Accepted: 06-09-2020

reyhani38090@gmail.com

Published: 30-07-2021

Evaluation of the effect of education on perceived stress of mother candidates for amniocentesis

Shahnaz Mojahed, Fariba Reyhanizadeh¹, Razieh Sadat Tabatabaei², Ali Dehghani³

Abstract:

BACKGROUND: Amniocentesis is one of the methods for diagnosing prenatal abnormalities. Pregnant women with high-risk fetal screening results are the candidates for amniocentesis. Most of these women are afraid of this procedure because they predict that the test will be painful and worried about its adverse effects on pregnancy, fetal injury, or the risk of maternal death. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the effect of education on the perceived stress of mothers who are the candidates for amniocentesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: The present study was a quasi-experimental, two-group clinical trial with a parallel design. This study was in three stages: pretest (before intervention), posttest (after intervention), and after amniocentesis. This study was performed on 80 pregnant women who were the candidates for amniocentesis (15-20 weeks of pregnancy) with high-risk fetal screening results referred to the perinatology clinic in Yazd in 2020. The intervention package included training and using breathing techniques, broadcasting educational animations on how to perform amniocentesis, expressing experiences by people who had experienced amniocentesis, and finally visiting the amniocentesis site and getting to know the relevant perinatologist. Data collection tools were demographic and midwifery profile questionnaires and Cohen perceived stress. SPSS software version 16 was used for statistical analysis of data.

RESULTS: The results showed that the research units had high stress at the beginning of the study. The perceived stress score at the beginning of the study in the intervention group was 30.1750 ± 6.53153 and in the control group was 28.2750 ± 8.57841 . After the intervention, the participants' stress level decreased below the cutting point. In the experimental group, the mean stresses after the intervention and after amniocentesis were significantly lower than before the intervention; however, after amniocentesis, it was somewhat higher than the postintervention stage. In the preintervention stage, the mean stress score between the two groups was not statistically significant. The difference after the intervention between the two groups was significant (P < 001.0); however, in the postamniocentesis stage, the difference in stress was not significant. After the intervention and after amniocentesis, the perceived stress of pregnant mothers in the experimental group was less than the control group.

CONCLUSION: Considering that the perceived stress in amniocentesis candidate mothers was high in the present study, so that after performing the method, mothers left the center with high stress, it is very important to pay attention to its psychological aspects. Therefore, it is suggested that educational interventions before and during amniocentesis be considered for them along with psychological support and follow-up care after amniocentesis.

Keywords:

Amniocentesis, education, perceived stress

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

to cite this article: Mojahed Reyhanizadeh F, Tabatabaei RS, Dehghani A. Evaluation of the effect of education on perceived stress of mother candidates for amniocentesis. J Edu Health Promot 2021;10:267.

Introduction

Drenatal diagnosis of fetal malformations is a stressful event for pregnant women. Congenital malformations and genetic diseases threaten the life and death of about 3% of infants.^[1] The only way to prevent the birth of babies with chromosomal abnormalities is prenatal diagnosis. These disorders are now assessed by screening tests that are part of prenatal care worldwide. [2] Screening tests put mothers into three groups in terms of the risk of fetal malformations. In the high-risk group, these women are advised to have an invasive diagnostic test, such as an amniocentesis or chorionic villus sampling, and to karyotype fetal tissue. Low-risk people, who do not need another test, and the last group of women are at moderate risk who should undergo tests in the second trimester of pregnancy.[3] Amniocentesis is a well-known and accessible method for prenatal care. Amniocentesis is the most widely used prenatal diagnostic procedure in which amniotic fluid is extracted through the transabdominal in 15–20 weeks. This method can examine fetal genetic disorders, congenital infections, alloimmunization, and fetal lung maturation.[4] Among the indications for performing amniocentesis is the diagnosis of chromosomal, genetic, and metabolic disorders, following positive screening tests or their positive family history, maternal age, and ultrasound findings. In addition to their benefits, these methods are associated with complications such as fetal death, rupture of membranes, vaginal bleeding, and chorioamnionitis.^[5] The risk of losing a fetus in this procedure is <1%. Amniocentesis in obese women with a body mass index above 30 carries about a 2% risk of miscarriage. In 1%–2% of cases, there is a possibility of amniotic fluid leakage or transient spotting after 48 h of amniocentesis in 90% of people. Fetal injury caused by needles is rare. Cultivation is successful in 99% of cases. Lack of culture or reduced cell growth is associated with the possibility of abnormal fetuses. Early amniocentesis is performed at 11–14 weeks, which is difficult due to the lack of attachment of the amniotic and chorionic layers to the uterus and has more surgical-related complications. There is a possibility of echinococcus (clubfoot), amniotic fluid leakage, and miscarriage. As a result, this type of amniocentesis is not recommended. [3] Pregnant women who are the candidates for amniocentesis are often afraid of this procedure because they anticipate the test as painful and are concerned about the possibility of adverse outcomes, the birth of a disabled child, fetal injury, or the risk of maternal death. [6] In the study of Abedi et al., high levels of anxiety and stress were expressed in amniocentesis candidate mothers. [7] Stress is a person's reaction to external pressures or unfavorable conditions. Anxiety is one of the most common side effects of stress. Stress is an experiencing event that is considered dangerous to a person's physical or mental

well-being. Stress is one of the explanatory components of the possibility of coping styles by people in stressful situations. Perceived stress is one of the factors that can play a role in many diseases and disorders or alleviate the conditions for diseases and disorders. Perceived stress intensity refers to a person's belief that stress is serious.[8] Stress at the individual level causes physical and mental injuries and at the social level causes and aggravates social problems. [9,10] Coping with stress is a response to thoughts, feelings, and actions that a person uses to deal with problematic situations. [9-11] If a woman can cope with stress, she will probably be safe from its adverse effects on her health,[12-15] and if the adaptation to stressful conditions is not formed well, negative emotions and even irreversible psychological problems will occur.[16] Providing adequate information and awareness about screening is a powerful and effective part in its acceptance by the pregnant mother and can reduce the stress caused by it.[17] Stress management methods include a set of activities that include getting help from others to get things done, embracing the support of others, being realistic, considering success, exercising (walking, running, etc.), proper nutrition, sleep and rest, healthy recreation, travel, laughing, writing down your thoughts and feelings, and accepting yourself. In fact, the way to deal with stress is the process of how a person copes with events and happenings that is used to successfully cope and adapt to the appropriate environmental conditions and interactions.[18] According to the results of studies, fetal health has been the main cause of concern for mothers and most pregnant women are worried about fetal abnormalities and screening tests.[19] Therefore, educating and informing pregnant women can be a good tool to control their stress and anxiety before amniocentesis.[19] Studies show that pregnant women who have access to counseling services are more accepting of screening tests and have fewer negative psychological consequences.[14] Although the psychological impact of diagnostic invasive tests is very important, they have received less attention[3] and there is limited evidence on the effectiveness of prenatal counseling.[20] Training before taking any action can inform people about the procedure, risks, benefits, and all necessary actions. On the other hand, mothers' awareness reduces their worries and stress and they take measures more effectively. Therefore, since one of the roles of midwives is to provide care and education during pregnancy and psychological support of mothers, so this study was conducted to determine the effect of education on the perceived stress of mothers of amniocentesis candidates.

Materials and Methods

The present study was a two-group clinical trial with a parallel design. This study was in three stages: pretest (before intervention), posttest (after intervention), and after amniocentesis. This study was performed on pregnant women candidates for amniocentesis referred to the perinatology clinic in Yazd in 2020. The sample consisted of 80 pregnant women who were the candidates for amniocentesis who met the inclusion criteria and gestational age of 15–20 weeks. Sampling was done purposefully according to the objectives of the research and inclusion conditions. In this study, the sample size was calculated according to the following formula by considering the difference of at least five points in the average of the two groups. The number of samples for each group was 36 people. The number of final sample volumes was considered as 40% in each group, taking into account 10% of sample loss.

$$n = \frac{2s^2 p \left[z_{1-\alpha/2} + z_{1-\beta} \right]^2}{u^2 d}$$

Inclusion criteria were Muslim and Iranian, having written consent to participate in the study, having a minimum literacy, candidate for amniocentesis, gestational age (based on the 1st day of the last menstrual period or first trimester ultrasound) 15-20 weeks, single pregnancy and live fetus, and positive fetal screening result (abnormal laboratory results or ultrasound findings). Exclusion criteria at the beginning of the study were pregnancy following infertility treatment and assisted reproductive techniques, history of amniocentesis, history of recurrent miscarriages (three or more consecutive abortions), presence of major abnormalities in ultrasound, awareness about the details of amniocentesis, use of hookah, cigarettes, drugs, alcohol, psychotropic drugs, history of referring to a psychiatrist or psychologist for mood and mental disorders, taking medication, or hospitalization due to mental illness. Exclusion criteria during the study were unwillingness to continue cooperation and participation in the study and incomplete completion of questionnaires (in the case of the main variables, and all questions had to be answered). In the Cohen perceived stress questionnaire, 90% of the questions had to be answered, not given amniocentesis for any reason, and need to repeat amniocentesis.

The data collection tool in this study was questionnaires. The questionnaires were demographic and midwifery information questionnaire and Cohen perceived stress questionnaire.

The demographic and midwifery information questionnaire consisted of two parts: (a) demographic information (including age, education, occupation, and satisfaction with the economic situation) and (b) midwifery characteristics (including gestational age,

number of pregnancies and deliveries, number of live and dead children, number of abortions, stillbirth and infant death, existence of fetal or neonatal abnormalities in previous pregnancies, and cause of amniocentesis).

Cohen perceived stress questionnaire was first developed by Cohen et al. in 1938. This tool is suitable for determining the extent to which people recognize their stress in dealing with unpredictable and uncontrollable events in life. The perceived stress questionnaire has 14 questions. The answers are in the form of five Likert options. For each option, a score of 0-4 (0 = never, 1 = rarely, 2 = sometimes, 3 = most of the time, 4 = all the time) is considered. For positive questions (13, 10, 9, 7, 6, 5, 4), the scores are calculated inversely. The score range is between 0 and 56. The cutoff score is 21.8. The higher the score obtained, the higher the perceived stress. [3] This questionnaire has been used many times in domestic studies, which is approved.[3] This research was started after the approval of the research council in the Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery and obtaining the code of ethics from the ethics committee of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences (Yazd, design code IR.SSU.REC.1398.088 approved on June 21, 2019). Necessary letters of introduction were also taken and presented to the relevant authorities. At first, sufficient explanations about the goals and stages of the research were given to all participants in the two groups of control and intervention, and after receiving informed consent and assuring the clients (pregnant women candidates for amniocentesis) about the confidentiality of information, sampling was performed. Before the intervention, demographic characteristics questionnaire and Cohen perceived stress (pretest) questionnaire were completed by all participants in the intervention and control groups. In the intervention group, the intervention package was performed as group training in four groups of 10 people 90 min before the amniocentesis in the training class of the center with the presence of 40 pregnant women who met the inclusion criteria. Educational materials based on the midwifery scientific texts and review of studies were presented as follows. First, the researcher introduced himself/herself and explained the objectives of the study. After communicating effectively with the participants, the researcher gave a brief description of the diagnostic method of amniocentesis, how it is performed, indications, possible complications after amniocentesis, and how to deal with it. Then, the research units expressed their sensitivities about amniocentesis. Participants then talked about the causes and factors of stress, and the researcher clarified what was causing the concern. Then, training and use of breathing techniques and individual participation were discussed. In the next stage, an educational animation on how to perform amniocentesis was broadcast to the experimental group. Finally, the person who had previously undergone amniocentesis

was asked to share their experiences in this area. After the training session, the amniocentesis site was visited and the relevant perinatologist was introduced. The control group received routine care. Routine care included brief descriptions of the amniocentesis provided by the perinatologist at the time of amniocentesis. The stress questionnaire was completed by both groups before the intervention, after the intervention, and after amniocentesis. Amniocentesis of all research units under ultrasound guide was performed by a spinal needle No. 22 made in Japan with a length of 9 cm and by a single perinatologist. After disinfecting the mother's abdomen with betadine antiseptic fluid, amniocentesis was performed and 20 cc of aspirated fluid was delivered to the mother for delivery to the laboratory.

Finally, the research units in both groups completed the above questionnaires again after amniocentesis. SPSS software version 16 (IBM, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used for statistical analysis of data. First, the normality of quantitative variables was determined by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Parametric methods were used for variables that had normal distribution while equivalent nonparametric methods were used for variables that did not have normal distribution. Frequency distribution tables, mean statistical indices, and standard deviation were used to describe the characteristics of the research unit and data. T-test and repeated-measure test were used to achieve the research objectives. In the tests performed, a confidence interval of 95% and a significance level of α < 0.05 were considered.

Results

The age range of the participants in the present study was 17--44 years, with a mean of 33.5 ± 5.67 years. The number of pregnancies in women was between 0 and 4 pregnancies, with an average gestational age of 14--20 weeks [Table 1].

The results of the data showed that the highest mean is related to the intervention group but in the later stages of the research has decreased significantly. The highest minimum scores in all stages were related to the intervention group [Table 2].

The results of Mann–Whitney intergroup test showed that the scores of the two groups before the intervention were not significantly different (P < 0.01); however, after the intervention, the scores of the two groups were significantly different (P < 0.001). However, after amniocentesis, there was no significant difference between the intervention and control groups (P < 0.023). Further, the results of the study of perceived stress data by Wilcoxon intragroup test showed that the intragroup

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of research units

Variable	Mean±SD		
	Intervention	Control	
Mother's age	32.9±5.85	34±5.5	
Gestational age (weeks)	16.35±0.93	16.5±1.08	
Number of deliveries	1.3±0.85	1.6±1.25	
SD=Standard deviation			

Table 2: Mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum scores of perceived stress in three stages of study in research units

Perceived stress variable	Mean±SD	Minimum	Maximum
Perceived stress before			
training			
Intervention group	30.1750±6.53153	19.00	47.00
Control group	28.2750±8.57841	9.00	63.00
Perceived stress after			
training			
Intervention group	19.9500±4.78754	10.00	29.00
Control group	24.9500±5.42052	9.00	36.00
Perceived stress after			
amniocentesis			
Intervention group	21.7250±4.60205	13.00	33.00
Control group	23.9000±5.66048	9.00	34.00

SD=Standard deviation

changes of the intervention and control groups were significant (P < 0.001) [Table 3].

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of education on the perceived stress of amniocentesis candidate mothers, which was performed on 80 eligible pregnant mothers. According to the results of the study, the participants had a mean age of 33.5 ± 5.67 years. The mean age of eligible women in this study was similar to other studies, including Tara^[4]. The level of mothers' stress before the intervention in the present study was high so that the highest level of perceived stress was related to the intervention group. For many women, pregnancy is a stressful period and requires some kind of psychological adjustment to ensure the health of the mother and fetus.[21,22] The increasing need for invasive procedures in pregnancy and its consequences to diagnose fetal abnormalities before birth as a critical emotional event is stressful for women.^[5,23] If coping with stressful situations does not work well, negative emotions and even irreversible psychological problems develop.^[15] In the study of Azh et al., the results showed that the most common cause of increased preterm delivery in mothers is the experience of stress caused by fetal screening.[24] Various studies have shown that most pregnant women who are referred for amniocentesis experience high levels of stress. Most studies that have examined the causes of stress have mentioned that the two causes of lack of awareness and confusion of parents

Table 3: Evaluation of the effect of education on the perceived stress of pregnant women candidates for amniocentesis in the control and intervention group

Perceived stress	Group, mean±SD		Mann-Whitney (P)
	Intervention group	Control group	
Perceived stress before training	30.1750±6.53153	28.2750±8.57841	0.018
Perceived stress after training	19.9500±4.78754	24.9500±5.42052	0.001
Perceived stress after amniocentesis	21.7250±4.60205	23.9000±5.66048	0.023
Wilcoxon (P)	0.001	0.001	

SD=Standard deviation

are the most important causes of perceived high levels of stress.^[5,25,26] The results of various studies show that parents do not have proper information and knowledge about the benefits, harms, and risks in relation to various diagnostic methods of fetal health.[27,28] Therefore, in the present study, after the educational intervention related to amniocentesis and with the aim of increasing the awareness of pregnant mothers, the results showed a significant difference in the mean score of perceived stress in the intervention group before and after training. In fact, education can reduce stress before amniocentesis in pregnant women. The study of Alipour et al. also showed that training on how to deal with perceived stress during pregnancy can reduce perceived stress during pregnancy by increasing self-efficacy in pregnant women.^[29] Further, the study of Hallajan et al. confirmed the effect of education during pregnancy on reducing perceived stress in pregnant women.[30] The mean score of perceived stress in the intervention group before and immediately after amniocentesis was also different. This suggests that intervention before amniocentesis reduces postamniocentesis stress in pregnant mothers. These results indicate the effectiveness and durability of the training effect. In this regard, the results of studies by Esfehani also showed the positive effect of education on stress reduction.[31] In addition, the mean score of perceived stress in the intervention and control groups after the intervention and immediately after amniocentesis was different. In the pretraining stage, the level of stress was not different between the two groups and was at a high level; however, in the posttraining period and after amniocentesis, the intervention group was lower than the control group. It seems that understanding pain, worry, and expectation of test results and demographic variables should be considered. Abbasalizadeh et al.'s study also showed that the amount of stress and anxiety in women after amniocentesis was higher than before amniocentesis. They also attributed to the cause of this high stress to lack of knowledge of the method and fear of harm to themselves and the fetus. [32] The results of the study by Tursinawati et al. showed that the perceived awareness and risk of having an abnormal baby did not have serious psychological effects on women. [33] Abbasalizadeh et al. reported in their study that depression and anxiety significantly increase after amniocentesis, which can lead to complications such as spontaneous abortions,

so they emphasize the role and place of education and counseling before amniocentesis. [32] Perceived stress depends on a person's perception of what is happening around them. In one way, one person may perceive an event as stressful and threatening, while the other person perceives the same event as stress-free^[33] Therefore, stress and tension follow the requests and the feeling of threat or stress perceived by people. As a result, the type of response to stress or threat varies depending on the requests and perceptions of individuals.[34] The supportive needs of women seeking positive prenatal screening results indicate that basic needs for knowledge and information, decision-making assistance, and emotional support remain unanswered, requiring face-to-face interaction, training, and consultation with relevant professionals.[35] Women's psychological response to aggressive methods of prenatal diagnosis can be affected by knowledge and understanding of their infant risk.[36] The information that women gain when performing an amniocentesis test and understanding the risks associated with amniocentesis (for example, the risk of miscarriage or chromosomal abnormalities) is complex and specialized and can lead to severe stress and anxiety if it is not structured and consistent with goals. [37] Therefore, training to deal with stressful situations includes very strong and effective techniques and can be used when dealing with stressful events.[38-40]

Conclusion

Given that amniocentesis is an invasive procedure that increases perceived stress and anxiety of mothers who are candidates for this method, its psychological aspects should be considered. Interventions should also be considered that not only provide comprehensive information but also improve emotional problems and decision making. Therefore, considering that the effect of training sessions before amniocentesis was shown in the present study, it is recommended to develop comprehensive support programs that include training using effective strategies and psychological support before amniocentesis and follow-up care.

Acknowledgments

The present study is part of the master's thesis in midwifery counseling at Yazd University of Medical Sciences with the code of ethics committee IR.SSU. REC.1398.088 which was conducted with the financial support of the Vice Chancellor for Research of Yazd University of Medical Sciences. We would like to thank the Vice Chancellor and the Research Council of Yazd University of Medical Sciences, the pregnant mothers participating in the project, the esteemed colleagues of the project, and the staff of Yazd School of Nursing and Midwifery.

Financial support and sponsorship

The present study is part of the master's thesis in midwifery counseling at Yazd University of Medical Sciences with the ethics committee code of IR.SSU. REC.1398.088 which was conducted with the financial support of the Vice Chancellor for Research of Yazd University of Medical Sciences.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Hasanzadeh R, Naghizadeh S, Azari S, Ebrahimpour Mirza Rezaei M. Diagnosis of aneuploidies by amniocentesis in high risk cases of first trimester screening test. Iran J Obstetrics Gynecol Infertility 2014;17:18-26.
- Schut W, Weiner P. When to screen in Obstetrics and Gynecology 1 and 2. 1st ed. Qom: Fanose Andishe pub.; 2011.
- Behrooz Ni, Yilagh S, Manijeh P. The relationship between perfectionism, perceived stress and social support with academic burnout. Culture Strategy 2013;5(20):83-102.
- Tara F, Lotfalizade M, Moeindarbari S. Evaluation of early and late complications of amniocentesis in ommolbanin hospital in Mashhad, Iran during 2014-2016. Iran J Obstetrics Gynecol Infertil 2018;21:1-4.
- Niromanesh S, Mousavi Darzikolaei N, Rahimi-Shaarbaf F, Shirazi M. Pregnancy outcome in amniocentesis and chorionic villous sampling: Ten-year report. Tehran Univ Med J 2016;74:400-7.
- Karimi N, Bahadori F, Khalkhali HR, Rabiepoor S. Evaluation of anxiety and perceived stress in mothers undergoing amniocentesis. J Nurs Midwifery Urmia Univ Med Sci 2017;15:292-300.
- Abedi M, Sabory E, Rabiepour S, Rasouli J. The relationship between stress in pregnancy, and pregnancy outcomes: A longitudinal study. J Urmia Nurs Midwifery Faculty 2017;14:969-81.
- Asghari F, Ghasemi Jobneh R, Yousefi N, Saadat S, Rafiei Gazani F. Role of perceived stress and coping styles on the eating disorders of high school students of Rasht city in 2013. Community Health J 2014;2:28-38.
- Piri-Kamrani M, Dehghan F, Bashiri H. The effectiveness of stress management skills training on perceived stress and resiliency of women with multiple sclerosis. J Health Care 2016;17:319-28.
- Heidaran F, Sajjadian I, Fathi M. Effectiveness of hypnotherapy on the perceived stress and blood pressure in patients with primary hypertension. Med J Mashhad Univ Med Sci 2017;60:669-80.
- 11. Hoseini ES, Rahmati R, Shaghaghi F, Beigi M, Mohebbi-Dehnavi Z. The relationship between hope and happiness with prenatal care. J Edu Health Promot 2020;9:206.
- Asadi L, Bokaie M, Khavari F, Mohammadi M, Gandomani SJ. Evaluation of the relationship between emotional intelligence

- and sexual function of reproductive age women. J Educ Health Promot 2020;9:93.
- Noroozi M, Gholami M, Mohebbi-Dehnavi Z. The relationship between hope and resilience with promoting maternal attachment to the fetus during pregnancy. J Educ Health Promot 2020;9:54.
- Vasegh Rahimparvar SF, Hamzehkhani M, Geranmayeh M, Rahimi R. Effect of educational software on self-efficacy of pregnant women to cope with labor: A randomized controlled trial. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2012;286:63-70.
- Beyrami M, Zahmatyar H, KHosroshahi J. Prediction Strategies for coping with stress during pregnancy on components of hardiness and social support in nulliparous pregnant women. Iran J Nurs Res 2013;7:1-9.
- 16. Javidi-Sarafan M, Tafazoli M, Khadivzadeh T, Mazloum SR. Comparing the effect of standardized patient-based education and feedback lecture on midwives' knowledge and practice in counseling screening for fetal malformations. J Educ Health Promot 2020;9:151.
- 17. Salmaani Barough N, Taghavi Larijani T, Monjamed Z, Sharifi N, Bahrani N. Coping with stress. Hayat 2005;10:21-7.
- Biesecker BB. The psychological well-being of pregnant women undergoing prenatal testing and screening: A narrative literature review. Hastings Center Rep 2019;49:553-60.
- 19. Yazdanpanah E, Atghaei M, Hosseinifard S, Fazilat Pour M, Jahani YA. A comparison between the effects of counseling using approach and attentional bias modification based on dot-probe task on anxiety in pregnant women refering to kerman health-care center in: Schl Nurs Kerman Univ Med Sci Kerman Iran. In Partial F'ulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree MSc 2017;2:42-54.
- de Leeuw RA, van der Horst SF, de Soet AM, van Hensbergen JP, Bakker PC, Westerman M, et al. Digital vs. face-to-face information provision in patient counselling for prenatal screening: A noninferiority randomized controlled trial. Prenatal Diagn 2019;39:456-63.
- Abedi M, Rasoli J, Rabiepour S, Saboory E. The relationship between stress in pregnancy, and pregnancy outcomes: A longitudinal study. J Urmia Nurs Midwifery Fac 2017;14:81969.
- Mparmpakas D, Goumenou A, Zachariades E, Pados G, Gidron Y, Karteris E. Immune system function, stress, exercise and nutrition profile can affect pregnancy outcome: Lessons from a Mediterranean cohort. Exp Ther Med 2013;5:411-8.
- 23. Karimi N, Rabiepour S, Khalkhali HR, Bahadori F. Evaluation of anxiety and perceived stress in mothers undergoing amniocentesis. J Urmia Nurs Midwifery Fac 2017;292:300-15.
- Azh N, Ghasemi M, Khani M, Mafi M, Ranjkesh F. Relationship between maternal stress and pregnancy outcomes: A prospective study. The Iranian Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Infertility, 2019;22(5):27-36. doi: 10.22038/ijogi.2019.13579
- Shirazi M, Pooransari P, Rahimi Sharbaf F, Niromanesh SH, Sahebdel B, Shariat M, et al. Correlation of maternal stress because of positive aneuploidy screening serum analytes and uterine arteries' Doppler ultrasound index: A prospective cohort study. Int J Fertil Steril 2019;12:329-34.
- Pop-Tudose ME, Armean P, Pop VI. Women s emotional, profile and the psychological, stress associated with waiting, for the prenatal, diagnostic testing results. Ethnicity 2019;25:50-20.
- 27. Sommerseth E, Sundby J. Women's experiences when ultrasound examinations give unexpected findings in the second trimester. Women Birth 2010;23:111-6.
- Bratt EL, Järvholm S, Ekman-Joelsson BM, Mattson LÅ, Mellander M. Parent's experiences of counselling and their need for support following a prenatal diagnosis of congenital heart disease-a qualitative study in a Swedish context. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2015;15:171.
- 29. ALIPOOR M, GHAHREMANI L, AMOOEI S, KESHAVARZI S. Evaluation of the effectiveness of relaxation techniques on

- depression, anxiety and stress in pregnant women: Based on self-efficacy theory. Sci J Kurdistan Univ Med Sci 2017;22:20-30.
- Halajani F, Jameinezhad F, DashtBozorgi Z, Peymani Foroshani R, EsmaeiliShad B. The Effect of Cognitive Rehabilitation Group Training on Perceived Stress, Depression and Quality of Life among Primigravid Women with Pregnancy Anxiety. IJRN. 2019; 6(1):10-17
- Esfehani A. The Effect of Life Skills Training on Pregnancy Anxiety, Perceived Stress and Mental Health of Primiparous Women. Tehran: Payam Noor University of Tehran; 2011.
- 32. Abbasalizadeh F, Sahhaf F, Mousavi S, Ranjbar F, Dargahi R, Javid M. Comparing the Ratio of Anxiety and Depression in Women with Positive Aneuploidy Screening Result before and after Amniocentesis Result. J Biochem Tech. (2019) Special Issue(2):185-191.
- 33. Tursinawati Y, Thain S, Choi C, Seow GH. Amniocentesis increases level of anxiety in women with invasive prenatal diagnosis of Down syndrome. Univ Med 2015;34:20-112.
- Lim EX, Sim AY, Forde CG, Cheon BK. The role of perceived stress and gender on portion selection patterns. Physiol Behav 2018;194:205-11.

- Brunton RJ, Dryer R, Krägeloh C, Saliba A, Kohlhoff J, Medvedev O. The pregnancy-related anxiety scale: A validity examination using Rasch analysis. J Affect Disord 2018;236:127-35.
- Durand MA, Stiel M, Boivin J, Elwyn G. Information and decision support needs of parents considering amniocentesis: Interviews with pregnant women and health professionals. Health Expect 2010;13:125-38.
- Ng CC, Lai FM, Yeo GS. Assessment of maternal anxiety levels before and after amniocentesis. Singapore Med J 2004;45:370-4.
- 38. Khoursandi M, Vakilian K, Torabi Goudarzi M, Abdi M. Childbirth preparation using behavioral-cognitive skill in childbirth outcomes of primiparous women. J Babol Univ Med Sci 2013;15:80-76.
- Gholami M, Moallem SA, Afshar M, Etemad L, Karimi G. Gestational exposure to silymarin increases susceptibility of BALB/c mice fetuses to apoptosis. Avicenna J Med Biotechnol 2017;9:66-70.
- Saeidi R, Ziadi Lotf Abadi M, Saeidi A, Gholami Robatsangi M. The effectiveness of mother infant interaction on infantile colic. Iran J Neonatol 2013;4:34-8.