scientific reports



OPEN Reference values for bone metabolism in a Japanese cohort survey randomly sampled from a basic elderly resident registry

Ryosuke Tokida^{1,4}, Masashi Uehara^{1,4}, Masaki Nakano¹, Takako Suzuki^{1,3}, Noriko Sakai², Shota Ikegami¹, Jun Takahashi¹, Yukio Nakamura¹ & Hiroyuki Kato¹

The aim of this study was to provide definitive reference values for bone mineral density (BMD) and bone turnover markers in the general elderly population. Registered citizens of 50 to 89 years old were targeted for this survey. After random sampling from the resident registry of Obuse town, we established eight groups based on age (50 s, 60 s, 70 s, and 80 s) and gender. A total of 411 people were enrolled. We used a dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry device to measure and evaluate BMD. The bone formation marker bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP) was measured as a bone turnover marker. Bone quality marker pentosidine, and bone resorption markers including urinary total deoxypyridinoline (DPD), tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b (TRACP-5b), 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25[OH]D), and whole parathyroid hormone (PTH) were also measured as bone turnover markers. Sixty-three people (15.3%) were diagnosed as osteoporosis. BMD decreased with age in the femoral neck and total hip. On the other hand, there was no characteristic change with age in the lumber spine. As for bone markers, pentosidine and DPD increased with aging, although 25(OH)D, whole PTH, and BAP showed no characteristic associations with gender and aging. In terms of the relationship between low BMD and bone markers, there was a significant independent association between low BMD and TRACP-5b in females. In conclusions, hip BMD decreased with aging in men and women. However, there was no characteristic decline with aging in the lumbar spine. All bone markers showed no significant independent characteristics associated with age or gender in a multivariate analysis model, except for a significant association between low BMD and TRACP-5b in females. TRACP-5b was a potentially useful marker for the detection of low BMD.

Bone mineral density (BMD) and bone turnover markers have become widely adopted as evaluation tools for osteoporotic disease¹⁻³. There have been several reports on age-specific changes in BMD and bone turnover markers between men and women⁴⁻⁸, and clarification of age- and gender-specific reference is useful in osteoporosis treatment. Although several reports have attempted to determine reference values for BMD and bone turnover markers⁹⁻¹², the availability of definitive reference values for BMD and bone turnover markers in the general population are scarce, since earlier studies were based on volunteer cohorts or lumbar disorder patients.

To establish a new population study of the Japanese subjects, we conducted a random sampling from the Obuse Town Registry of Residents to obtain a more representative cohort of the general population with minimal selection bias^{13–16}. This epidemiological study is referred to as the "Obuse Study" after the name of the cooperating municipality of Obuse Town. It is the first study of its kind to provide baseline values for age-specific bone turnover markers in a large cohort study.

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Shinshu University School of Medicine, 3-1-1 Asahi, Matsumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan. ²Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Nagano Children's Hospital, 3100 Toyoshina, Azumino, Nagano 399-8288, Japan. ³Department of Human Nutrition, Faculty of Human Nutrition, Tokyo Kasei Gakuin University, 22 Sanban-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8341, Japan. ⁴These authors contributed equally: Ryosuke Tokida and Masashi Uehara. [™]email: yxn14@aol.jp



Figure 1. Obuse town resident participant flowchart. 1297 people were randomly sampled from 5352 residents aged between 50 and 89 years in the basic resident registry of Obuse town. A total of 415 people joined the Obuse study cohort, but 4 were excluded due to the artificial head replacement surgeries.

The present investigation proposes reference values for BMD and bone turnover markers in the Japanese population using the Obuse study cohort.

Materials and methods

Subjects. The protocols in this study conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 2013 Declaration of Helsinki and STROBE statement. Informed consent was obtained from participants prior to the initiation of the study. Participants were informed about the purposes of the research both verbally and in writing prior to the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Shinshu University (study no: 2792). From October 2014 to June 2017, we conducted an epidemiological study of residents (the Obuse Study) as a joint collaboration with a cooperating town office^{13, 14}. Male and female participants between the ages of 50–89 were randomly selected from a pool of 5,352 registrants in the resident registry of a rural town^{13, 14}. Those selected from the registry were asked whether they would be able to undergo a bone density examination, and calls for participation were continued until approximately 50 consenting participants were successfully recruited for each age group and sex^{13, 14}. Four hundred and eleven participants were consequently included in the study, excluding 4 participants with incomplete measurements (Fig. 1)^{13, 14}.

Bone mineral density and young adult mean measurement. We used a dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry device (GE Prodigy, GE healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) to measure and evaluate bone mineral density (BMD) and T-score. BMD and T-score were measured at the femoral neck, total hip, and lumbar spine (L2–4). Based on the WHO diagnostic criteria, T-score ≥ -1 was classified as healthy, -2.5 < T-score < -1 as osteopenia, and T-score ≤ -2.5 as osteoporosis¹⁷. Osteoporosis and osteopenia were defined as low BMD.

Assay of bone turnover markers. The bone quality marker pentosidine, as well as the bone resorption marker urinary total deoxypyridinoline (DPD), tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b (TRACP-5b), 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25[OH]D), and whole parathyroid hormone (PTH) were measured as bone turnover markers. Serum pentosidine, TRACP-5b, 25(OH)D, and whole PTH were measured using an enzyme-linked immuno-sorbent assay kit (SRL, Tokyo, Japan), enzyme immunoassay kit (SRL), electro chemiluminescence immunoassay kit (SRL), and chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay kit (SRL), respectively. Urine DPD was measured using an enzyme immunoassay kit (SRL). The bone formation marker bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP) was also measured as a bone turnover marker. Serum BAP was measured using a chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay kit (SRL).

Statistical analysis. The prevalence of osteoporosis was compared for each age group and sex. BMD and bone turnover markers were evaluated for each age and sex using Tukey's test for comparisons among multiple groups. Multiple logistic regression analysis was applied to determine the association between bone markers and low BMD.

All statistical analyses were performed with EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University), which is a graphical user interface for R software (version 3.5.2; The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

	Age strata (years)	n	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m2)
	50-59	50	171.8 (5.9)	67.1 (9.0)	22.7 (2.9)
	60–69	53	166.7 (4.7)	66.9 (7.7)	24.1 (2.7)
Male	70–79	55	163.2 (4.9)	60.0 (10.2)	22.5 (3.4)
	80-89	45	160.1 (5.6)	57.5 (8.4)	22.4 (2.7)
	Total	203	165.6 (6.8)	63.0 (0.98)	22.9 (0.30)
Female	50-59	47	158.1 (4.9)	55.4 (8.9)	22.2 (3.8)
	60–69	61	152.8 (5.3)	52.2 (7.6)	22.3 (2.7)
	70–79	55	149.3 (5.6)	50.7 (7.9)	22.8 (3.5)
	80-89	45	144.7 (6.1)	48.4 (8.1)	23.1 (3.4)
	Total	208	151.3 (7.2)	51.7 (8.4)	22.6 (3.3)

 Table 1. Characteristics of 411 subjects in the Obuse study cohort. Values represent mean (standard deviation). BMI, Body Mass Index.

Disease	No. of participants	Prevalence (%)
Hyperthyroidism	5	1.2
Hyperparathyroidism	0	0
Diabetes mellitus	52	12.7
Paget's disease of bone	3	0.7
Rheumatoid arthritis	5	1.2
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	7	1.7
Fracture	131	31.9
Menopause (only female)	202	96.7

 Table 2.
 Comorbidities in the study cohort.

Results

Of the 415 participants who were randomly sampled from the resident registry, 4 participants who were unable to measure BMD due to artificial hip joint replacement were excluded (Fig. 1). The physical characteristics and functions of the 411 examinees are shown for each age group and sex in Table 1. The interview results regarding participant comorbidities and menopause were shown in Table 2. Thirty-nine (9.5%) people had been treated for osteoporosis. Fifteen (3.6%) people had been treated with hormone therapy. Five (1.2%) people had been treated with steroid. A history of vertebral fracture was observed in 15 subjects (3.6%).

Sixty-three people (15.3%) were diagnosed as osteoporosis, of which 14 (6.9%) were male and 49 (23.6%) were female. In men and women, diminished hip BMD was seen in the elderly. The decrease in BMD was particularly pronounced in the femoral neck. On the other hand, there was no characteristic change with age in the lumber spine (Table 3).

In men and women, pentosidine and DPD increased with aging. In addition, TRACP-5b increased with age in males. 25(OH)D, whole PTH, and BAP showed no characteristics associated with gender or aging (Table 4). In addition, bone turnover markers, pentosidine, and whole PTH were compared in the presence and absence of OP. Pentosidine was 0.062 ± 0.022 in OP and 0.054 ± 0.025 in non-OP, which was significantly greater in OP (p = 0.028). DPD was 32.2 ± 25.7 in OP and 34.0 ± 25.0 in non-OP, with no significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.64). BAP was 15.9 ± 5.8 in OP and 14.0 ± 4.4 in non-OP, and which was significantly greater in OP (p = 0.030). TRACP-5b was 505 ± 198 in OP and 422 ± 160 in non-OP, significantly greater in OP (p = 0.007). Whole PTH was 24.0 ± 14.7 in OP and 20.7 ± 8.3 in non-OP, and there was no significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.128). 25(OH)D was 21.4 ± 7.6 in OP and 23.7 ± 7.2 in non-OP, with no significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.055).

Relevant factors selected by the unifactorial analysis were subjected to multiple logistic regression analysis with gender. The results showed that when considering low BMD as a dependent variable, bone markers were not associated significantly with low BMD in males (Table 5). However, there was a significant independent association between low BMD and TRACP-5b in females (Table 6).

Discussion

In the present cohort study, we were able to calculate mean BMD and bone turnover markers by age and sex for the elderly aged 50 years and older according to the Japanese population ratio in more than 400 subjects randomly selected from a rural town registry in Japan. We were able to create a cohort that more accurately reflects the general population in comparison to traditional population studies that recruited active volunteers. Another feature of this study was the uniform distribution of age and gender ratios between 50 and 89 years old,

	Age strata (years)	N	Femoral neck BMD	Total hip BMD	Lumbar spine BMD	Lumbar spine BMD without vertebral fracture	Femoral neck T-score	Total hip T-score	Lumbar spine T-score	Number of OP
	50-59	50	0.90 (0.13)	0.96 (0.13)	1.17 (0.18)	1.17 (0.18)	- 0.39 (1.04)	0.13 (1.01)	- 0.13 (1.53)	2 (4.0%)
	60–69	53	0.91 (0.11)	0.99 (0.13)	1.28 (0.22)	1.28 (0.22)	- 0.35 (0.88)	0.35 (0.99)	0.76 (1.84)	0 (0.0%)
Male	70-79	55	0.88 (0.12)	0.97 (0.15)	1.35 (0.27) ^a	1.36 (0.27) ^a	- 0.51 (0.93)	0.21 (1.14)	1.31 (2.28)	5 (9.1%)
	80-89	45	0.80 (0.15) ^{abc}	0.87 (0.15) ^{abc}	1.27 (0.29)	1.28 (0.29)	- 1.12 (1.12)	- 0.59 (1.14)	0.70 (2.39)	7 (15.6%)
	Total	203	0.88 (0.13)	0.95 (0.15)	1.27 (0.25)	1.27 (0.25)	- 0.58 (1.03)	0.05 (1.12)	0.67 (2.08)	14 (6.9%)
	50-59	47	0.80 (0.11)	0.87 (0.13)	1.09 (0.18)	1.09 (0.18)	- 0.85 (0.90)	- 0.52 (1.06)	- 0.24 (1.46)	4 (8.5%)
	60–69	61	0.76 (0.10)	0.84 (0.11)	1.02 (0.18)	1.02 (0.18)	- 1.16 (0.85)	- 0.81 (0.88)	- 0.80 (1.52)	12 (19.7%)
Female	70-79	55	0.72 (0.10) ^a	0.80 (0.12) ^a	1.03 (0.20)	1.02 (0.21)	- 1.47 (0.86)	- 1.08 (0.99)	- 0.80 (1.67)	15 (27.3%)
	80-89	45	0.67 (0.10) ^{ab}	0.71 (0.10) ^{abc}	1.00 (0.20)	1.00 (0.20)	- 1.88 (0.80)	- 1.83 (0.84)	- 0.96 (1.67)	18 (40.0%)
	Total	208	0.74 (0.11)	0.81 (0.13)	1.04 (0.19)	1.03 (0.19)	- 1.33 (0.92)	- 1.04 (1.05)	- 0.71 (1.60)	49 (23.6%)

Table 3. Bone mineral density and T-score at the femoral neck, proximal femur, and lumbar 1–4, in addition to prevalence of osteoporosis. Values represent mean (standard deviation). Values of OP represent number (prevalence). One female patient aged 70s and 3 female patients aged 80s were excluded due to the artificial head replacement surgeries. BMD: bone mineral density, OP: osteoporosis. ^aSignificantly different (p < 0.05) values from those aged 50–59 years. ^bSignificantly different (p < 0.05) values from those aged 60–69 years. ^cSignificantly different (p < 0.05) values from those aged 70–79 years.

	Age strata (years)	Pentosidine	DPD	25(OH)D	TRACP-5b	Whole PTH	BAP
Male	50–59	0.05 (0.01)	3.3 (0.8)	25.2 (6.0)	312.2 (88.3)	21.8 (8.1)	11.9 (2.4)
	60–69	0.05 (0.02)	3.7 (1.2)	22.9 (5.4)	380.8 (144.0)	19.8 (7.7)	13.5 (3.8)
	70–79	0.06 (0.02) ^a	3.9 (1.1) ^a	29.3 (7.5) ^{ab}	448.7 (198.4) ^a	20.7 (7.7)	13.6 (4.7)
	80-89	0.07 (0.02) ^{abc}	5.2 (1.7) ^{abc}	22.0 (5.6) ^c	489.7 (194.8) ^{ab}	22.0 (12.1)	13.3 (3.7)
	Total	0.06 (0.02)	4.0 (1.4)	25.0 (6.8)	406.2 (174.2)	21.0 (8.9)	13.1 (3.8)
Female	50–59	0.04 (0.01)	5.9 (1.2)	22.0 (6.5)	416.1(130.9)	22.6 (11.0)	15.2 (4.5)
	60–69	0.05 (0.02)	5.3 (1.3)	20.6 (6.2)	478.5 (141.7)	20.1 (7.7)	16.1 (5.1)
	70–79	0.06 (0.05) ^a	5.4 (2.0)	25.0 (9.1) ^b	490.1 (167.4)	22.2 (12.3)	15.5 (5.4)
	80-89	0.06 (0.02) ^a	6.2 (2.5) ^b	19.2 (6.0) ^c	433.8 (167.9)	21.0 (10.5)	14.1 (5.3)
	Total	0.06 (0.03)	5.7 (1.8)	21.8 (7.4)	457.6 (154.8)	21.4 (10.4)	15.3 (5.1)

Table 4. Bone markers and 25-hydroxyvitamin D. Values represent mean (standard deviation). DPD:deoxypyridinoline, 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D, TRACP-5b: tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b, PTH:parathyroid hormone, BAP: bone alkaline phosphatase. ^aSignificantly different (p < 0.05) values from thoseaged 50–59 years. ^bSignificantly different (p < 0.05) values from those aged 60–69 years. ^cSignificantly different (p < 0.05) values from those aged 70–79 years.

Univariate	Multivariate		
Odds ratio (95%CI)	<i>p</i> value	Odds ratio (95%CI)	<i>p</i> value
1.20 (0.91–1.60)	0.20		
1.11 (0.84–1.47)	0.47		
1.40 (1.04–1.87)	0.026	1.18 (0.84–1.67)	0.34
0.86 (0.65–1.14)	0.30		
1.51 (1.11-2.05)	0.0079	1.38 (0.97–1.97)	0.072
1.32 (0.98–1.77)	0.064		
1.08 (0.82–1.41)	0.60		
	Univariate Odds ratio (95%CI) 1.20 (0.91–1.60) 1.11 (0.84–1.47) 1.40 (1.04–1.87) 0.86 (0.65–1.14) 1.51 (1.11–2.05) 1.32 (0.98–1.77) 1.08 (0.82–1.41)	Univariate Odds ratio (95%CI) p value 1.20 (0.91-1.60) 0.20 1.11 (0.84-1.47) 0.47 1.40 (1.04-1.87) 0.026 0.86 (0.65-1.14) 0.30 1.51 (1.11-2.05) 0.0079 1.32 (0.98-1.77) 0.064 1.08 (0.82-1.41) 0.60	Univariate Multivariate Odds ratio (95%CI) p value Odds ratio (95%CI) 1.20 (0.91-1.60) 0.20 0.20 1.11 (0.84-1.47) 0.47 0.47 1.40 (1.04-1.87) 0.026 1.18 (0.84-1.67) 0.86 (0.65-1.14) 0.30 1.51 (1.11-2.05) 1.32 (0.98-1.77) 0.064 1.08 (0.82-1.41)

Table 5. Independent association between low BMD and bone markers in males. CI: confidence interval, DPD: deoxypyridinoline, 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D, TRACP-5b: tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b, PTH: parathyroid hormone, BAP: bone alkaline phosphatase.

	Univariate	Multivariate		
Factor	Odds ratio (95%CI)	<i>p</i> value	Odds ratio (95%CI)	<i>p</i> value
Age	2.23 (1.54-3.21)	0.00002	1.90 (1.28-2.83)	0.0015
Pentosidine	1.94 (1.01-3.70)	0.045	1.36 (0.70-2.66)	0.366
DPD	1.35 (0.93–1.95)	1.95		
25(OH)D	0.74 (0.54-0.99)	0.048	0.74 (0.53-1.03)	0.075
TRACP-5b	1.56 (1.11-2.20)	0.011	1.57 (1.07–2.31)	0.021
Who1e PTH	1.26 (0.85-1.85)	0.25		
BAP	1.25 (0.90–1.75)	0.19		

Table 6. Independent association between low BMD and bone markers in females. CI: confidence interval, DPD: deoxypyridinoline, 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D, TRACP-5b: tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b, PTH: parathyroid hormone, BAP: bone alkaline phosphatase.

Study	Country	Sex	Number	Age (years)	Femoral neck	Total hip	Lumber spine
Les 2010	Korea	Male	244	Age>65	0.78 (0.007)	0.87 (0.008)	0.94 (0.005)
Lee, 2019		Female	319		0.56 (0.005)	0.70 (0.005)	0.73 (0.008)
Schacht, 2019	Donmark	Male	98	69.0 (6.0)	0.95 (0.18)	1.10 (0.24)	1.31 (0.26)
	Denmark	Female	86	70.0 (5.8)	0.83 (0.19)	0.88 (0.24)	1.13 (0.25)
Fuggle, 2018	England and Wales	Male	194	64.4 (2.5)	1.03 (0.14)		1.06 (0.15)
		Female	171	66.5 (2.7)	0.89 (0.13)		0.95 (0.17)
Fujiwara, 2003	Japan	Male	763	62.9 (9.8)	0.73 (0.11)		0.98 (0.16)
		Female	1593	65.4 (9.8)	0.62 (0.11)		0.82 (0.11)
Our study	Japan	Male	203	69.5 (11.2)	0.88 (0.13)	0.95 (0.15)	1.27 (0.25)
	Japan	Female	208	70.0 (11.0)	0.74 (0.11)	0.81 (0.13)	1.04 (0.19)

Table 7. Mean BMD values in previous reports. Values represent mean (standard deviation).

.....

as a result of collecting about 50 physical examination participants by age and gender. This uniform distribution is advantageous for making accurate statistical comparisons between men and women and between age groups.

In this study, significantly diminished BMD was seen in the elderly at the femoral neck and total hip in males and females. The BMD of the femoral neck, total hip, and lumber spine were comparable with previous studies in our country and elsewhere after accounting for gender and age (Table 7). Previous studies have demonstrated that BMD decreased with aging^{18,19}, and this study obtained the same results as have been described in the literature.

Vertebral fracture should be considered as an influence on lumbar BMD, but vertebral fracture in this study was only 3.6%, and we do not think it has a significant influence on the results of lumbar BMD. We also performed an analysis of lumbar BMD without the subject of vertebral fractures, and the values were similar (Table 3). All bone markers in this study were within the standard value for males and females in each generation^{10, 12}. In men and women, pentosidine and DPD increased with age. TRACP-5b increased with aging in males. However, other markers showed no association with age. In the current study, subjects were randomly selected from a rural Japanese town; thus, subjects may be healthy. Aging may exert little influence on bone markers, while the prevalence of osteoporosis and osteopenia increased with aging in both males and females.

In terms of the association between low BMD and bone markers, there was a significant association between low BMD and TRACP-5b in females. TRACP-5b is a bone resorption marker that is not affected by renal dys-function and has a low diurnal variability^{20, 21}. Thus, TRACP-5b has been considered a useful marker. TRACP-5b was inversely correlated with BMD in females²². Furthermore, TRACP-5b has been described to be associated with increased fracture risk in elderly females^{23, 24}. TRACP-5b could be a potential marker to predict fractures. In this study, TRACP-5b was related to low BMD in randomly selected female residents in the area. High bone resorption may be a factor for low BMD in female residents. TRACP-5b may be a marker which was useful for the detection of low BMD.

Several reports have shown that diabetic patients have a higher risk of fractures, even though they do not have decreased BMD²⁵⁻²⁹. On the other hand, pentosidine has been found to increase in DM patients³⁰. Pentosidine, one of the advanced glycation end products, is a marker of bone quality (matrix) and is associated with fragility fractures independently of bone density³¹, and can be associated with the pathogenesis of bone fragility in patients with DM. The most common comorbidity in this cohort was DM. There may have been some effect on the pentosidine levels. However, since the purpose of our study was to present data from a population close to the general population, not volunteers, we believe it is meaningful to present data including subjects with DM.

For females, previous studies reported large differences between pre- and postmenopausal serum bone turnover markers concentrations^{32, 33}. During the menopausal transition, bone turnover markers increase due to increased osteoclast activity, which is caused by decreased estrogen levels³⁴. In terms of BMD, it was reported that

the degree of decline with age was greater in women than in men^{4, 6}. On the other hand, Hannan et al. reported that men have higher bone mineral density than women, but there is no difference in the degree of bone mineral density loss with age^{6} .

Bone turnover markers levels are high in early puberty and then decline faster in girls than in boys^{35–37}. In young adults, bone turnover markers levels are higher in males than in females and then decline faster in females, reaching their lowest levels in the 40 s in females and in the 50 s in males³⁸. PINP and CTX-I increase at menopause and then remain higher than premenopausal levels^{39,40}. In contrast, in older men, CTX-I and PINP levels are generally stable or only slightly elevated past age 70⁴¹.

There is a limitation in this study. Although the research design reduces the sampling bias by adopting random sampling from the resident register, we may not have been able to control for all potential biases as a result of the low participation rate.

Conclusions

A characteristic feature of this study was the collection of participants with age ranging from 50 to 89 years by a randomly sampling from the resident register.

Therefore, this research was designed to create a cohort that more accurately reflects common residents. BMD decreased with aging in the femoral neck and total hip. On the other hand, there was no characteristic change with aging in the lumber spine. Furthermore, all bone markers except for pentosidine and DPD showed no significant independent characteristics associated with age or gender in a multivariate analysis model. However, a significant association between low BMD and TRACP-5b in females was observed; therefore, high bone resorption may be a factor for low BMD in female residents.

Received: 17 November 2020; Accepted: 19 March 2021 Published online: 09 April 2021

References

- 1. Kanis, J. A., Johnell, O., Oden, A., Johansson, H. & McCloskey, E. FRAX and the assessment of fracture probability in men and women from the UK. *Osteoporos Int.* **19**, 385–397 (2008).
- 2. Silverman, S. L. & Calderon, A. D. The utility and limitations of FRAX: A US perspective. Curr. Osteoporos. Rep. 8, 192–197 (2010).
- Glendenning, P., Chubb, S. A. P. & Vasikaran, S. Clinical utility of bone turnover markers in the management of common metabolic bone diseases in adults. *Clin. Chim. Acta.* 481, 161–170 (2018).
- 4. Krall, E. A. *et al.* Bone mineral density and biochemical markers of bone turnover in healthy elderly men and women. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* **52**, M61–M67 (1997).
- Jones, G., Nguyen, T., Sambrook, P., Kelly, P. J. & Eisman, J. A. Progressive loss of bone in the femoral neck in elderly people: Longitudinal findings from the Dubbo osteoporosis epidemiology study. *BMJ* 309, 691–695 (1994).
- Hannan, M. T., Felson, D. T. & Anderson, J. J. Bone mineral density in elderly men and women: Results from the Framingham osteoporosis study. J. Bone Miner. Res. 7, 547–553 (1992).
- 7. Blunt, B. A., Klauber, M. R., Barrett-Connor, E. L. & Edelstein, S. L. Sex differences in bone mineral density in 1653 men and women in the sixth through tenth decades of life: The Rancho Bernardo Study. J. Bone Miner. Res. 9, 1333–1338 (1994).
- 8. Sherman, S. S. *et al.* Biochemical parameters associated with low bone density in healthy men and women. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 7, 1123–1130 (1992).
- 9. Callegari, E. T., Gorelik, A., Garland, S. M., Chiang, C. Y. & Wark, J. D. Bone turnover marker reference intervals in young females. *Ann. Clin. Biochem.* 54, 438–447 (2017).
- Nishizawa, Y. et al. Guidelines for the use of bone metabolic markers in the diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis (2012 edition). J. Bone Miner. Metab. 31, 1–15 (2013).
- Li, M. et al. Establishment of a normal reference value of parathyroid hormone in a large healthy Chinese population and evaluation of its relation to bone turnover and bone mineral density. Osteoporos. Int. 27, 1907–1916 (2016).
- Nishizawa, Y. *et al.* Committee on the guidelines for the use of biochemical markers of bone turnover in osteoporosis: Japan Osteoporosis Society **Guidelines on the use of biochemical markers of bone turnover in osteoporosis (2001). *J. Bone Miner. Metab.* 19, 338–344 (2001).
- 13. Uehara, M. *et al.* Sagittal spinal alignment deviation in the general elderly population: A Japanese cohort survey randomly sampled from a basic resident registry. *Spine J.* **19**, 349–356 (2019).
- 14. Tokida, R. *et al.* The association between sagittal spinal alignment and physical function in a Japanese cohort sampled from an older general population: A Japanese cohort survey randomly sampled from a basic resident registry. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* **101**, 1698–1706 (2019).
- 15. Uehara, M. *et al.* Impact of diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis on sagittal spinal alignment in the general elderly population: A Japanese cohort survey randomly sampled from a basic resident registry. *JBJS Open Access.* **4**, e0062.1–6 (2019).
- Ikegami, S. *et al.* Physical performance reflects cognitive function, fall risk, and quality of life in community-dwelling older people. Sci. Rep. 9, 12242 (2019).
- Kanis, J. A. Assessment of fracture risk and its application to screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis: Synopsis of a WHO report. WHO Study Group. Osteoporos. Int. 4, 368–381 (1994).
- Iki, M. *et al.* Design and baseline characteristics of a prospective cohort study for determinants of osteoporotic fracture in community-dwelling elderly Japanese men: The Fujiwara-kyo osteoporosis risk in men (FORMEN) study. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 24, 165 (2009).
- 19. Yoshimura, N. *et al.* Bone loss at the lumbar spine and the proximal femur in a rural Japanese community, 1990–2000: The Miyama Study. *Osteoporos. Int.* **13**, 803–808 (2002).
- Yamada, S. et al. Utility of serum tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRACP5b) as a bone resorption marker in patients with chronic kidney disease: Independence from renal dysfunction. Clin. Endocrinol. 69, 189–196 (2008).
- Halleen, J. M. Tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5B is a specific and sensitive marker of bone resorption. Anticancer Res. 23, 1027–1029 (2003).
- 22. Qin, Y. J. et al. Age-related changes of serum tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b and the relationship with bone mineral density in chinese women. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 29, 1493–1498 (2008).
- Gerdhem, P. et al. Biochemical markers of bone metabolism and prediction of fracture in elderly women. J. Bone Miner. Res. 19, 386–393 (2004).
- Ivaska, K. K., Gerdhem, P., Väänänen, H. K., Akesson, K. & Obrant, K. J. Bone turnover markers and prediction of fracture: A prospective follow-up study of 1040 elderly women for a mean of 9 years. J. Bone Miner. Res. 25, 393–403 (2010).

- 25. Moseley, K. F. Type 2 diabetes and bone fractures. Curr. Opin. Endocrinol. Diabetes Obes. 19, 128-135 (2012).
- 26. Schwartz, A. V. & Sellmeyer, D. E. Diabetes, fracture, and bone fragility. Curr. Osteoporos. Rep. 5, 105–111 (2007).
 - 27. Schwartz, A. V. et al. Association of BMD and FRAX score with risk of fracture in older adults with type 2 diabetes. JAMA 305, 2184–2192 (2011).
 - Schwartz, A. V. et al. Older women with diabetes have an increased risk of fracture: A prospective study. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 86, 32–38 (2001).
 - 29. de Liefde, İ. I. *et al.* Bone mineral density and fracture risk in type-2 diabetes mellitus: The Rotterdam Study. Osteoporos. Int. 16, 1713–1720 (2005).
 - Choi, Y. J. et al. Urinary pentosidine levels negatively associates with trabecular bone scores in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Osteoporos. Int. 29, 907–915 (2018).
 - 31. Nakano, M. et al. Pentosidine and carboxymethyl-lysine associate differently with prevalent osteoporotic vertebral fracture and various bone markers. Sci. Rep. 10, 22090 (2020).
 - 32. Ardawi, M. S. *et al.* Reference intervals of biochemical bone turnover markers for Saudi Arabian women: A cross-sectional study. *Bone* 47, 804–814 (2010).
 - Iki, M. *et al.* Reference database of biochemical markers of bone turnover for the Japanese female population. Japanese Populationbased Osteoporosis (JPOS) Study. Osteoporos. Int. 15, 981–991 (2004).
 - 34. Al-Azzawi, F. The menopause and its treatment in perspective. Postgrad. Med. J. 77, 292-304 (2001).
 - 35. Alvarez, L. *et al.* Usefulness of biochemical markers of bone turnover in assessing response to the treatment of Paget's disease. *Bone* **29**, 447–452 (2001).
 - Garnero, P. et al. Decreased beta-isomerization of the C-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen alpha 1 chain in Paget's disease of bone. J. Bone Miner. Res. 12, 1407–1415 (1997).
 - Orford, N. *et al.* The association between critical illness and changes in bone turnover in adults: A systematic review. *Osteoporos.* Int. 25, 2335–2346 (2014).
 - Kitareewan, W., Boonhong, J., Janchai, S. & Aksaranugraha, S. Effects of the treadmill walking exercise on the biochemical bone markers. J. Med. Assoc. Thai. 94(Suppl 5), S10–S16 (2011).
 - 39. Naylor, K. & Eastell, R. Bone turnover markers: Use in osteoporosis. Nat. Rev. Rheumatol. 8, 379-389 (2012).
 - Garnero, P., Borel, O. & Delmas, P. D. Evaluation of a fully automated serum assay for C-terminal cross-linking telopeptide of type I collagen in osteoporosis. *Clin. Chem.* 47, 694–702 (2001).
 - Van den Berghe, G. et al. Bone turnover in prolonged critical illness: Effect of vitamin D. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 88, 4623–4632 (2003).

Author contributions

R.T., M.U., M.N., T.S., and, Y.N. wrote the main and revised manuscript texts, and R.T., M.U., and S.I. prepared Fig. 1 and Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. N.S., S.I., J.T., and H.K. gave supervision to this study. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by a grant from the Japan Orthopaedics and Traumatology Research Foundation, Inc. (no. 339), project research funds from the Japanese Orthopaedic Association, a research fund from the Japanese Society for Musculoskeletal Medicine, and a research fund from The Nakatomi Foundation.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.N.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021