JACC: BASIC TO TRANSLATIONAL SCIENCE © 2016 THE AUTHOR. PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY-NC-ND LICENSE (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

A Growth Tonic for Heart Failure?*



he mammalian heart is under constant and unavoidable assault from oxidant stress. Many of these oxidant stressors are the byproduct of normal functioning of the heart, given its large factories of mitochondria. Unlike epithelial cells, which are also highly exposed to environmental stress, cardiac myocytes cannot be easily sloughed and replaced when damaged. Accordingly, myocardial cells are equipped with a number of powerful damage-protective strategies, including oxidant buffers and survival factors that ward off premature cell death decisions in the face of temporary challenges. When these protective mechanisms are impaired, the heart becomes more vulnerable to a range of toxic and oxidative stresses.

Neuregulin-1 is part of a family of naturally occurring membrane-anchored or secreted glycopeptides related to epidermal growth factor (EGF) that have multiple effects on the nervous and cardioldelim vascular systems (1,2). Neuregulin was originally thought to be a ligand for the EGF receptor (EGFR) Neu (HER2, ErbB2), and its name reflects that history; it is now known to bind 2 other EGFRs, ErbB3 and ErbB4. Neuregulin-1 binding leads to the preferential formation of ErbB2- ErbB4 heterodimers, activation of ErbB receptor tyrosine kinase activity, and downstream signaling through phosphotidyl inositol 3-kinase and Akt.

Neuregulin is secreted by epithelial cells that lie in close proximity to myocytes, as in endocardial and microvascular epithelia, and exerts paracrine effects on myocytes that pattern normal cardiac development, and control myocyte proliferation and differentiation (3). Genetic deletion of neuregulin or its receptor ErbB4 confer similar defects in heart development and lead to early embryonic lethality (2).

In the adult heart, neuregulin is activated by stress (4) and has a number of actions that are potentially favorable to cardiac function and repair after injury. Notably, neuregulin has been shown to promote generation of new myocytes during development, and for a short window of time after birth in injury models (5-7). Application of neuregulin to myocytes from older animals has little effect on proliferation, possibly due to the downregulation of both ErbB2 and Erb4 on these cells after birth (5,6,8). Neuregulin can also promote the emergence of mature cardiomyocytes from progenitor cells (9-11), improve contractility and glucose uptake (12), and favorably alter the balance of adrenergic and cholinergic tone in the myocardium (1). Importantly, it appears to protect against cell loss during oxidative stress in both small and large animal models (13-15).

The importance of neuregulin signaling in the heart came to wide attention in the late 1990s, when inhibitors of HER2/Neu/ErbB2 became available for the treatment of breast cancer. A significant subset of breast cancers over-express ErbB2, leading to ligandindependent activation of its strong pro-growth signal. Women receiving trastuzumab, a monoclonal antibody against ErbB2, developed significant cardiotoxicity, particularly if they were also receiving anthracyclines. These observations confirmed in humans the essential role for neuregulin signaling in maintaining cardiac homeostasis during normal function and stress (16).

Cimaglermin alfa is a recombinant human protein derived from the neuregulin-1 gene. Preclinical studies have provided strong support for its efficacy in preserving and improving cardiac contractile function, and in defending the heart and other tissues against stress. In a rat stroke model, treatment with cimaglermin initiated as late as 7 days after permanent middle cerebral artery occlusion promoted signs of molecular and functional recovery, without affecting the size of the infarction (17).

^{*}Editorials published in *JACC: Basic to Translational Science* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC: Basic to Translational Science* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the Miller School of Medicine at the University of Miami, Miami, Florida. Dr. Bishopric has reported that she has no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

In this issue of JACC: Basic to Translational Science. Lenihan et al. (18) report the results of a first-in-human phase 1b trial of the effects of cimaglermin alfa in patients with patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction (HF-REF). The trial was rigorously designed as a double-blind, placebo-controlled study of a single 20-min intravenous infusion of cimaglermin in 7 ascending dose cohorts. Enrollment was terminated by the appearance of adverse effects in the highest dose cohort. Of a total of 40 subjects, 27 were randomized to cimaglermin and 13 patients to placebo. All patients had left ventricular ejection fractions (LVEFs) <40%, and all had previously implanted converter-defibrillators. Safety determinations included standard electrocardiographic and clinical laboratory tests; in addition, echocardiographic measurements of left ventricular function were obtained at assessment visits up to 12 weeks after treatment.

SEE PAGE 576

The key finding is that pooled data from the highdose cohorts (who received between 0.189 mg/kg and 1.5 mg/kg) compared with placebo shows a significant increase in LVEF of roughly 8% at 30 days after infusion; this increase was sustained 3 months later. When the limiting 1.5-mg/kg dose (n = 3) is excluded, the increase at 90 days is not statistically significant, but the 30-day response remains. The results are intriguing, and congruent with a previous study of a peptide derived from the receptor-activating domain of neuregulin (19), in which safety and a trend towards increased LVEF were reported. Notably, all 22 patients who received cimaglermin in the trial have completed a planned year of follow-up this July, and further information will likely be forthcoming in the new year.

There is a relative dearth of truly novel approaches to heart failure, especially treatments that target the myocyte itself rather than its hemodynamic demands. Hence, the attractiveness of a therapy that may act directly on myocyte cell fate decisions, similar to the recent enthusiasm for regenerative therapies in heart failure. Especially given the desperate lack of alternatives for this crippling and ultimately fatal disease and the many years of dedicated effort by this research team, the opportunities for positive bias are many. There are significant weaknesses to the current study. The small number of subjects, the even smaller number of patients in the responding group, the weakness of the experimental endpoint (echocardiographically determined LVEF), and the biological implausibility of an enduring treatment effect of a brief 1-time drug infusion, warrant an excess of caution.

Efficacy, even if borne out by future studies, is only part of the problem. Completion of the phase 1b trial

reported here was delayed for a time by the appearance of transient hepatotoxicity (elevated alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, and bilirubin) in 1 patient who received the study drug and another who had been reported as part of a previous phase 1 trial. In both cases, the subjects were asymptomatic, and the biochemical abnormalities resolved spontaneously after several days. Whether this, or other toxicities yet to be identified during larger trials, represents a significant limitation to further progress in the clinic is impossible to determine at this point. The safety and tolerability of a drug for heart failure depends a great deal on the dosing schedule, as well as the impact of the drug on survival, hospitalization rates, symptoms, and requirements for other interventions, including implantable devices. Some symptoms may be acceptable if the drug is given as a monthly infusion, but completely unacceptable as part of a daily regimen.

Similarly, concerns about the promotion of cancer by cimaglermin are unlikely to be assuaged by the small trial presented here. Although neuregulin is unlikely to be a tumor driver in the absence of ErbB2 upregulation, it is possible that it could assist in bypassing ErbB2 inhibitors, which upregulate ErbB3 expression and could promote the formation of new oncogenic dimers with ErbB2 (20). Choice of patient, timing, and dose schedule will have important and as-yet-undetermined effects on that risk.

Yet optimism is still appropriate, given these early results. It is a given that progress in the treatment of heart failure requires a high tolerance for risk. Many exciting basic science concepts have met disappointment on the path to translation. At the same time, too much skepticism can smother good ideas in the cradle, and paradigm-shifting treatments cannot be recognized until conceptual resistance has had a chance to be overcome by strong data. The editors of JACC Basic to Translational Science are pleased to provide a forum for innovative first-in-human studies, especially when they are backed by solid basic science, extensive preclinical data, and a rigorous study design. All of these are fully in evidence in this provocative and potentially important paper. (A Double-Blind Pharmacokinetic Interaction Study Evaluating the Effect of a Single IV Infusion of GGF2 or Placebo on Midazolam Pharmacokinetics in Patients With Heart Failure; NCT01944683).

REPRINT REQUESTS AND CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Nanette H. Bishopric, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, RMSB 5054, 100 NW 10th Avenue, Miami, Florida 33136-1045. E-mail: nbishopric@miami.edu.

REFERENCES

1. Fukada K. Purification and partial characterization of a cholinergic neuronal differentiation factor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1985;82:8795-9.

 Burden S, Yarden Y. Neuregulins and their receptors: a versatile signaling module in organogenesis and oncogenesis. Neuron 1997;18:847-55.

3. Lemmens K, Segers VF, Demolder M, De Keulenaer GW. Role of neuregulin-1/ErbB2 signaling in endothelium-cardiomyocyte crosstalk. J Biol Chem 2006;281:19469-77.

4. Cai MX, Shi XC, Chen T, et al. Exercise training activates neuregulin 1/ErbB signaling and promotes cardiac repair in a rat myocardial infarction model. Life Sci 2016;149:1–9.

5. Polizzotti BD, Ganapathy B, Walsh S, et al. Neuregulin stimulation of cardiomyocyte regeneration in mice and human myocardium reveals a therapeutic window. Sci Transl Med 2015;7: 281ra45.

6. D'Uva G, Aharonov A, Lauriola M, et al. ERBB2 triggers mammalian heart regeneration by promoting cardiomyocyte dedifferentiation and proliferation. Nat Cell Biol 2015;17:627-38.

7. Bersell K, Arab S, Haring B, Kuhn B. Neuregulin1/ ErbB4 signaling induces cardiomyocyte proliferation and repair of heart injury. Cell 2009;138: 257-70.

8. Reuter S, Soonpaa MH, Firulli AB, Chang AN, Field LJ. Recombinant neuregulin 1 does not activate cardiomyocyte DNA synthesis in normal or infarcted adult mice. PLoS ONE 2014;9:e115871. **9.** Iglesias-Garcia O, Baumgartner S, Macri-Pellizzeri L, et al. Neuregulin-1beta induces mature ventricular cardiac differentiation from induced pluripotent stem cells contributing to cardiac tissue repair. Stem Cells Dev 2015;24: 484-96.

10. Zhu WZ, Xie Y, Moyes KW, Gold JD, Askari B, Laflamme MA. Neuregulin/ErbB signaling regulates cardiac subtype specification in differentiating human embryonic stem cells. Circ Res 2010; 107:776–86.

11. Wang Z, Xu G, Wu Y, Liu S, Sun B, Dai Q. Neuregulin-1 promotes cardiomyocyte differentiation of genetically engineered embryonic stem cell clones. BMB Rep 2008;41:699-704.

12. Pentassuglia L, Heim P, Lebboukh S, Morandi C, Xu L, Brink M. Neuregulin-1beta promotes glucose uptake via PI3K/Akt in neonatal rat cardiomyocytes. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2016;310:E782-94.

13. Galindo CL, Kasasbeh E, Murphy A, et al. Antiremodeling and anti-fibrotic effects of the neuregulin-1beta glial growth factor 2 in a large animal model of heart failure. J Am Heart Assoc 2014;3:e000773.

14. Zhao YY, Sawyer DR, Baliga RR, et al. Neuregulins promote survival and growth of cardiac myocytes. Persistence of ErbB2 and ErbB4 expression in neonatal and adult ventricular myocytes. J Biol Chem 1998;273:10261-9.

15. Jay SM, Murthy AC, Hawkins JF, et al. An engineered bivalent neuregulin protects against

doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity with reduced proneoplastic potential. Circulation 2013;128: 152-61.

16. Pugatsch T, Abedat S, Lotan C, Beeri R. AntierbB2 treatment induces cardiotoxicity by interfering with cell survival pathways. Breast Cancer Res 2006;8:R35.

17. Iaci JF, Parry TJ, Huang Z, et al. An optimized dosing regimen of cimaglermin (neuregulin 1beta3, glial growth factor 2) enhances molecular markers of neuroplasticity and functional recovery after permanent ischemic stroke in rats. J Neurosci Res 2016;94:253-65.

18. Lenihan DJ, Anderson SA, Lennemen CG, et al. A phase I, single ascending dose study of cimaglermin alfa (neuregulin 1β 3) in patients with systolic dysfunction and heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol Basic Trans Science 2016;1:576-86.

19. Gao R, Zhang J, Cheng L, et al. A phase II, randomized, double-blind, multicenter, based on standard therapy, placebo-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of recombinant human neuregulin-1 in patients with chronic heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:1907-14.

20. Vermeulen Z, Segers VF, De Keulenaer GW. ErbB2 signaling at the crossing between heart failure and cancer. Basic Res Cardiol 2016;111:60.

KEY WORDS CGF-2, glial growth factor 2-acorda, neuregulin 1β3, neuregulin 1 protein, NRG1 protein, recombinant human glial growth factor-2