



Short-Term High-Intensity Interval Exercise Promotes Motor Cortex Plasticity and Executive Function in Sedentary Females

Min Hu^{1†}, Ningning Zeng^{2†}, Zhongke Gu^{3†}, Yuqing Zheng¹, Kai Xu³, Lian Xue⁴, Lu Leng⁵, Xi Lu⁶, Ying Shen^{7*} and Junhao Huang^{1*}

¹ Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Sports and Health Promotion, Scientific Research Center, Guangzhou Sport University, Guangzhou, China, ² Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Affective and Neuroscience, Center for Brain Disorders and Cognitive Sciences, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China, ³ Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Nanjing Sport Institute, Nanjing, China, ⁴ Scientific Laboratory Center, Nanjing Sport Institute, Nanjing, China, ⁵ College of Foreign Languages, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, ⁶ Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Beijing, China, ⁷ Rehabilitation Medicine Center, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Charlotte A. Boettiger, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, United States

Reviewed by:

Maxciel Zortea, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil Yudai Yamazaki, University of Tsukuba, Japan

*Correspondence:

Ying Shen shenying_1981@hotmail.com Junhao Huang junhaohuang2006@hotmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Brain Imaging and Stimulation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Human Neuroscience

> Received: 24 October 2020 Accepted: 31 March 2021 Published: 23 April 2021

Citation:

Hu M, Zeng N, Gu Z, Zheng Y, Xu K, Xue L, Leng L, Lu X, Shen Y and Huang J (2021) Short-Term High-Intensity Interval Exercise Promotes Motor Cortex Plasticity and Executive Function in Sedentary Females. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 15:620958. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2021.620958 Previous research has demonstrated that regular exercise modulates motor cortical plasticity and cognitive function, but the influence of short-term high-intensity interval training (HIIT) remains unclear. In the present study, the effect of short-term HIIT on neuroplasticity and executive function was assessed in 32 sedentary females. Half of the participants undertook 2 weeks of HIIT. Paired-pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation (ppTMS) was used to measure motor cortical plasticity via short intracortical inhibition (SICI) and intracortical facilitation (ICF). We further adapted the Stroop task using functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) to evaluate executive function in the participants. The results indicated that, compared with the control group, the HIIT group exhibited decreased ICF. In the Stroop task, the HIIT group displayed greater activation in the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and left orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) even though no significant difference in task performance was observed. These findings indicate that short-term HIIT may modulate motor cortical plasticity and executive function at the neural level.

Keywords: short intracortical inhibition, intracortical facilitation, high-intensity interval training, executive function, sedentary females

INTRODUCTION

Synaptic plasticity refers to the ability of the nervous system to modify the strength of communication between neurons (Citri and Malenka, 2008). There is plentiful evidence that engaging in regular exercise enhances synaptic plasticity, thus having a positive effect on brain cognitive function (Cotman and Berchtold, 2002; Bramham and Messaoudi, 2005). Despite numerous studies highlighting the importance of exercise in maintaining brain function and health, exercise-induced cortical and functional changes in the brain remain largely unelucidated.

Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) provides a distinct opportunity to non-invasively assess neuroplasticity. Numerous studies have used TMS to assess neuroplasticity after exercise

1

in healthy individuals (Rossi and Rossini, 2004; Mellow et al., 2020). Short intracortical inhibition (SICI) decreases following sessions of high-intensity exercise (Smith et al., 2014; Opie and Semmler, 2019). Acute aerobic exercise has also been shown to induce a change in intracortical facilitation (ICF) (Singh et al., 2014; Nicolini et al., 2019). Both SICI and ICF are involved in cortical plasticity in the motor cortex. SICI is mediated by the inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA_a receptor (Kujirai et al., 1993; Paulus et al., 2008), while ICF is thought to reflect the numbers of glutamate neurons and n-methyl-aspartic acid (NMDA) receptors (Liepert et al., 1997; Paulus et al., 2008).

Exercise not only changes cortical plasticity but also has a positive effect on cognitive function. Previous studies have found that, compared with a control group, attention (Bherer, 2015), memory (Stroth et al., 2009), motor performance (Fisher et al., 2008; Ellen et al., 2017) and executive function (Smiley-Oyen et al., 2008) in the exercise group were significantly greater after aerobic training. Likewise, neuroimaging studies using fMRI and fNIRS have found that the prefrontal brain region involving these cognitive functions displayed greater activity after exercise (Derrfuss et al., 2004; Yanagisawa et al., 2010). For example, older adults who performed six months' aerobic exercise exhibited greater activation in frontal brain regions, and those functional changes were associated with better cognitive performance (Colcombe et al., 2004; Szcs et al., 2012; Bherer, 2015). Similar findings were observed even after a single session of moderate exercise (Yanagisawa et al., 2010).

High-intensity interval training (HIIT), a form of exercise characterized by repeated short and intensive workouts combined with short recovery intervals, has become popular in sedentary individuals (El-Sayes et al., 2019; Nicolini et al., 2019). HIIT not only improves physiological function but also promotes executive function (Kujach et al., 2018). However, the effect of short-term HIIT on neuroplasticity requires further investigation. Elucidating the mechanisms by which HIIT modulates motor cortical excitability is necessary in order that exercise protocols can be used as an intervention in neurorehabilitation. In the present study, the effect of short-term HIIT on neuroplasticity and executive function in sedentary individuals was assessed. Paired-pulse TMS was used to measure SICI and ICF, and the Stroop task was adopted to assess executive function, in combination with fNIRS. We hypothesized that short-term HIIT would induce changes in SICI and ICF, accompanied by increased executive function.

METHODS

Subjects

Thirty two healthy female subjects were selected for participation in the study. The inclusion criteria were: (1) age 18-30 years; (2) individuals that were sedentary (no regular exercise, fewer than 3 times per week and less than 20 min on each occasion); (3) no contraindication to exercise (assessed by a physical activity preparation questionnaire, PAR-Q); (4) no contraindication to TMS according to the TMS safety guidelines (Rossi et al., 2009). Subjects that had a history of seizures, were currently prescribed psychoactive medication or with a history of cardiovascular disease were excluded from the study. All subjects were righthanded and had normal vision. Signed informed consent was provided in every case. The ethics of the study were approved by the Guangzhou Sport Institute.

Design

The 32 subjects were randomly divided into two groups: a highintensity interval training (HIIT) group and a control group. All subjects participated in three periods: a pre-training period, training period, and a post-training period. As illustrated in Figure 1, prior to the pre-training session, subjects reported demographic information, including age, education, body mass index (BMI), physical activity preparation (PAR-Q), Physical activity level measured by the international physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ). The training protocol was similar to that of previous studies (Babraj et al., 2009; Ellen et al., 2017). During the period of training, the HIIT group completed 8 high-intensity interval training sessions, 25 min each in length, 4 times per week, lasting for 2 weeks. Participants in the control group maintained their normal lifestyle without training. During pre-training and post-training periods, the physiological and cognitive function of each subject, and cortical plasticity (assessed by TMS) and neuropsychological tests were evaluated.

Ethics and Dissemination

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Guangzhou Sport University (2019LCLL-10) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The trial was registered in the China Clinical Trial Registration Center (ChiCTR1900028645). All participants signed an informed consent form before they were randomly assigned into their respective groups.

Exercise Protocol

The exercise procedure was same as that of previous studies (Ellen et al., 2017). High-intensity interval training was conducted using a stationary power bike (BikeReha, Netherlands). The intensity of training for each subject was selected based on their heart rate reserve (HRR). We used age-predicted HRpeak to calculate HRR (Tanaka et al., 2001). Resting heart rate (RHR) was recorded while seated. The training program consisted of alternating on 4 occasions between a 50% HRR cycle for 3 min and 90% HRR cycle for 2 min, for a total duration of 20 min. Subjects completed a 2-min warm-up and a 2-min relaxation session by cycling at very low intensity both prior to and following training. Subjects' heart rates were monitored continuously during the entire training session. The Borg perceived fatigue scale was used after training to assess each subject's feelings of fatigue, ranging from 6 (no exertion at all) to 20 (maximal exertion). HIIT parameters detailed in Supplementary Table 1.

Physiological Function Evaluation

Blood pressure measurement: The blood pressure of each subject was measured from 08:00 to 10:00 in the morning while fasting using an Omron HEM-7124 electronic sphygmomanometer (Omron, Dalian). Subjects sat quietly for 10 min prior to measurement.



Peak oxygen consumption (VO₂peak) measurement: VO₂peak was measured using a respiratory portable gas analyzer (Cosmed K5, Rome, Italy) during running in a treadmill (hpCosmus,Germany). Heart rate was measured and recorded using a Polar heart rate monitor (Polar, Finland). For the test, a 3-min warm-up was performed at a constant running speed of 2.7 km/h, followed by increased speed and gradient every 3 min. The criteria for recording VO₂peak measurements ensured that the heart rate reached 180 beats /min, with a respiratory quotient greater than 1.15.

Neuropsychological Measurement

All subjects were assessed using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), and Barratt Impulsivity Scale (BIS). The BIS consists of 30 items assessing three sub-dimensions of impulsivity, including attentional impulsivity (BIS-attention), motor impulsivity (BIS-motor), and no plan (BIS-no plan).

Stroop Task

The Stroop task referred to the previous studies (Plenger et al., 2016). The stimulus was presented on a black screen. In the center of the screen, a white "+" fixation point was first presented for 500 ms, and then a stimulus was randomly presented for 200 ms. The stimulus consisted of four words, including "red", "blue", "green", and "yellow", with font colors including red, blue, green, and yellow. Subjects were asked to respond to the color of the stimulus by pressing the key on the keyboard ("D" for "red", "F" for "blue", "J" for "green" and "K" for "yellow") corresponding to the color of the stimulus as quickly and accurately as possible, rather than from the actual words. The task consisted of six blocks. Two task conditions were in the block sequence ABBABA. In condition A (congruent condition), the color of the stimulus matched the word. In condition B (incongruent condition), the color of the stimulus did not correspond with the word. For each block, 12 stimuli were presented over 30 s.

Functional Near-Infrared Spectroscopy Test

Functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) was used during the Stroop task. A continuous wave near infrared spectroscopy (CW-NIRS) system (NIRSIT, OBELAB, South Korea) was used to measure changes in light intensity at a sampling rate of 8.13 Hz. The light probe consisted of 24 light sources and 32 detectors. A total of 48 predefined channels were measured, with intervals of 3 cm between light source-detectors. Measurements were obtained from the prefrontal cortex, where the center of the lowest optical probe was aligned with the frontal pole zero (FPz) position of the 10-20 electrode EEG system to eliminate positional uncertainty between subjects. The modified Beer Lambert Law (MBLL) was used to convert raw light intensities into concentration changes in oxygenated hemoglobin (Δ HbO2). The region of interest (ROI) was selected based on previous study (Zhang et al., 2020; Jang et al., 2021). The MNI coordinates for each channel were defined base on the equipment coordinates.

Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation

Subjects were seated in an upright armchair with the instruction to relax their right arm entirely. Surface electromyography (EMG) was recorded from the abductor pollicis brevis (APB) muscle of the right hand via electrodes placed 2 cm apart in a belly-tendon montage. Single monophasic TMS was used via a figure of eight coil (outer diameter of each loop: 70 mm) connected to a Neuro-MS/D stimulator (Neurosoft, Russia). The coil was held tangentially to the skull, with the handle pointing posteriorly and laterally at an angle of approximately 45° to the sagittal plane over the left primary motor cortex (M1) hand region. The resting motor threshold (RMT) was then measured by determining the TMS intensity required to obtain a motor evoked potential (MEP) in the APB > 50 μ V in five out of 10 consecutive trials, expressed as the percentage of maximum stimulator output (MSO). The SICI and ICF were measured using paired-pulse TMS paradigms. The intensity of the conditioning stimulus (CS) was 90% of RMT and that of the test stimulus (TS) was 120% RMT. TS and CS were separated by an interstimulus interval (ISI) of 2.5 ms for SICI and 12 ms for ICF. 10 consecutive trials were delivered with TMS pulse given every 5 s. SICI and ICF were assessed by calculating the peak-to-peak amplitude of the MEP_{TS} and MEP_{CS-TS} . Then the SICI and ICF were computed as the ratio of MEP_{CS-TS} and MEP_{TS} (MEP_{CS-TS} / MEP_{TS}).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of the cognitive and TMS test data was conducted using SPSS Statistics version 21 software. Any values failing to meet assumptions of normality were transformed into log values. Two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance was used for the group and training periods (recording means and standard deviations). *P*-values <0.05 were considered significant. Bonferroni-adjusted pairwise comparisons were used.

fNIRS data were analyzed by NIRSIT Analysis Tool v2.2 software. Oxygenated hemoglobin concentration (HbO) was analyzed by the peak value of oxy-Hb in the present study. Spline interpolation was used in preprocessing to eliminate the effects of head movements. Components with frequencies greater than 0.1 Hz and less than 0.01 Hz were filtered to eliminate the effects of high-frequency physiological signals and low-frequency baseline drift. The data in the first 10 s of each condition was used as a baseline for HbO, which was then subtracted from the HbO values of each task condition to obtain final HbO data. According to the previous studies (Zhang et al., 2020; Jang et al., 2021), a total of 8 regions of interest (ROI) were defined: right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (right DLPFC), right ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (right VLPFC), right frontopolar prefrontal cortex (right FPA), right orbitofrontal cortex (right OFC), left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (left DLPFC), left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (left VLPFC), left frontopolar prefrontal cortex (left FPA), and left orbitofrontal cortex (left OFC). The mean HbO value for each ROI was calculated.

RESULTS

Demographic Results

There were no differences in the HIIT and Control groups in terms of their demographic characteristics (age, education, BMI, and physical activity level), neuropsychological characteristics

(sleep quality, depression, anxiety), pre-training physiological function (DBP, SBP, RHR, and VO2peak) impulsivity, and TMS parameters in the pre-training period (Table 1). We also used 2-way ANOVA for RMT and MEP: groups: (HIIT, Control) \times training periods (pre-training, post training). The results showed that there was no significant main effect of groups or training periods for RMT, $F_{group(1,30)} = 1.01$, p = 0.377, $\eta^2 = 0.063$; F training(1.30) = 0.03, p = 0.872, $\eta^2 = 0.001$. The interaction effect was significant, $F_{interaction(1,30)} = 5.15$, p = 0.012, $\eta^2 = 0.256$. Simple t test found RMT of CON group was significantly higher in post-training than in pre-training, t = 3.31, p = 0.005, Cohen's d = 0.516, while no difference for HIIT group, t = 0.70, p = 0.494, Cohen's d = 0.109. Group difference was not significant both in pre-training and post-training, t = 0.41, p = 0.685, Cohen's d = 0.141, t = 1.287, p = 0.208, Cohen's d = 0.229. There was no significant main effect or interaction effect for MEP, $F_{training(1,30)} = 1.453$, p = 0.237, $\eta^2 = 0.046$; F $group(1,30) = 0.17, p = 0.680, \eta^2 = 0.008; F interaction(1,30) = 1.68,$ $p = 0.205, \eta^2 = 0.053.$

Short-Interval intracortical Inhibition and Intracortical Facilitation

We first compared the TMS parameters between HIIT group and control group. Independent t test was adapted. As shown in Table1, there was no significant difference on RMT, MEP,

TABLE 1 Demographic information and Baseline TMS parameters (X \pm	: SD)	
---	-------	--

	CON (n = 16)	HIIT (n = 16)	t	р
Age(year)	19.31 ± 0.60	19.13 ± 0.62	0.868	0.392
Education(year)	13.69 ± 0.79	13.44 ± 0.51	1.059	0.298
BMI(kg/m ²)	21.04 ± 2.48	21.31 ± 2.08	-0.330	0.744
IPAQ(MET-min/w)	1111 ± 930	1655 ± 1136	-1.488	0.147
PSQI	7.25 ± 2.52	7.19 ± 2.34	0.073	0.943
BDI	6.50 ± 6.71	5.73 ± 7.92	0.280	0.782
BAI	6.60 ± 6.47	5.73 ± 7.93	0.987	0.330
BIS-noplan	53.57 ± 12.16	62.50 ± 13.69	-1.876	0.071
BIS-motor	34.82 ± 11.54	31.41 ± 11.79	0.799	0.431
BIS-attention	66.43 ± 9.84	69.53 ± 8.86	-0.909	0.371
BIS-total	51.07 ± 5.52	54.47 ± 6.18	-1.334	0.193
RHR (bpm)	77.56 ± 7.68	80.50 ± 6.40	1.213	0.211
VO ₂ peak(ml/kg/min)	35.57 ± 2.83	35.28 ± 4.82	0.211	0.834
RMT _{pre} (%MSO)	65.12 ± 9.69	68.19 ± 11.44	0.409	0.685
RMT _{post} (%MSO)	63.63 ± 10.91	63.14 ± 10.74	1.287	0.208
MEP _{pre} (μν)	716.52 ± 215.81	765.91 ± 203.65	-0.666	0.511
MEP _{post} (µv)	717.90 ± 229.05	727.88 ± 170.77	-0.140	0.890
TS(%MSO)	77.27 ± 11.25	74.87 ± 13.44	0.572	0.600
CS(%MSO)	57.84 ± 8.45	56.28 ± 10.28	0.414	0.653
SICI(%TS)	29.18 ± 8.54	30.63 ± 9.89	-0.347	0.670
ICF(%TS)	143.61 ± 21.78	138.82 ± 25.63	-0.514	0.611

BMI = body mass index; IPAQ = International Physical Activity Questionnaire; PSQI = Pittsburgh sleep quality scores; BDI = Beck depression scores; BAI = Beck anxiety scores; BIS-noplan = no planning impulsiveness scores; BIS-motor = motor impulsiveness scores; BIS-attention = attention impulsiveness scores; BIS-total = Barratt impulsiveness total scores; RHR = resting heart rate; VO2peak = peak oxygen uptake; RMT_{pre} = resting motor threshold in pre-training session; RMT_{post} = resting motor threshold in post-training session; MEP: motor evoked potential; MSO = maximum stimulus output; TS = test stimulus; CS = conditional stimulus; SICI = short intracortical inhibition; ICF = intracortical facilitation.

CS, TS, SICI, and ICF amplitude between two groups in pretraining session.

A two-factor repeated measures ANOVA was adopted with 2 groups: (HIIT, Control) \times 2 training periods (pre-training, post training). As displayed in Figure 2, for SICI, the results indicate that neither the principal effect of group or training period nor the interaction between group and training period were significant, with the group main effect: $F_{(1,30)} = 0.88$, p = 0.356, $\eta^2 = 0.028$; training main effect: $F_{(1,30)} = 0.152$, p = 0.701, $\eta^2 = 0.007$; interaction effect: $F_{(1,30)} = 2.576$, p = 0.123, $\eta^2 = 0.109$. For ICF, the results suggest a marginally significant interaction between the group and training periods, $F_{(1,30)} = 4.17$, p = 0.054, $\eta^2 = 0.167$. Post-hoc comparison indicated that ICF declined significantly after training in the HIIT group, t = 2.76, p = 0.015, Cohen's d = 0.690, but not in the Control group, t = 0.54, p = 0.597, Cohen's d = 0.135. The main effect of the group and training periods were not significant, $F_{(1,30)} = 0.21$, p = 0.65, $\eta^2 = 0.001; F_{(1,30)} = 0.35, p = 0.561, \eta^2 = 0.016.$

Stroop Task Performance

We first calculated the Stroop effect for accuracy (ACC) and response time (RT) by subtracting ACC (or RT) in the congruent condition from the incongruent condition.

Stroop effects were significant in both the pre-training and post-training periods for the two groups: the ACC in the congruent condition was higher than in the incongruent condition, $p_s < 0.001$. The RT in the congruent condition was significantly shorter than that of the incongruent condition, $p_s < 0.001$. Repeated measures ANOVA (2 group (HIIT, Control) × 2 training periods (pre-training, post-training)) indicates a significant main effect from the training period in terms of RT, $F_{(1,30)} = 4.61$, p = 0.041, $\eta^2 = 0.141$. The Stroop effect of RT in the post-training period was shorter than in the pre-training period. But other effects or interactions were not significant, $p_s > 0.05$ (see **Table 2**).

fNIRS Outcomes

For the outcome of fNIRS analysis, we focused on the neural response of 8 ROIs during the congruent and incongruent conditions and used the difference (incongruent-congruent) to represent the hemodynamic response due to Stroop interference. Furthermore, a 2 group (HIIT, Control) × 2 training periods (pre-training, post-training) repeated measures ANOVA was used for the Stroop effect for HbO in the 8 ROIs. As shown in Figure 3, Group \times training period indicated a significant interaction effect in the left DLPFC, $F_{(1,30)} = 5.60, p = 0.025, \eta^2 = 0.157$. The simple effect indicated that HbO was higher in the post-training period $(M_{post} = 1.55^*10^{-4}, SD_{post} = 2.53^*10^{-4})$ than in the pretraining period $(M_{pre} = 2.29^{*}10^{-5}, SD_{pre} = 1.58^{*}10^{-4})$ for the HIIT group, t = 2.09, p = 0.045, Cohen's d = 0.52, but with no difference for the Control group ($M_{pre} = 8.45^*10^{-5}$, $SD_{pre} = 1.56^{*}10^{-4}; M_{post} = 1.11^{*}10^{-6}, SD_{post} = 1.30^{*}10^{-4}),$ t = 1.19, p = 0.250, Cohen's d = 0.29. A similar result was found in the left OFC: the interaction between the group and training periods was marginally significant, $F_{(1,30)} = 4.00$,





TABLE 2 Accuracy and res	sponse time in stroop	task of HIIT grou	p and Control	group (X ± SE).
--------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------	---------------	-----------------

		CON		НІІТ	
		pre-test	post-test	pre-test	post-test
RT(ms)	congruent	441.02 ± 24.30	430.09 ± 22.37	459.59 ± 18.25	438.35 ± 20.63
	incongruent	581.73 ± 27.53	552.25 ± 25.62	603.41 ± 18.05	585.82 ± 24.78
ACC(%)	congruent incongruent	97.22 ± 0.77 94.84 ± 1.08	96.83 ± 0.64 96.03 ± 0.91	98.21 ± 0.69 96.23 ± 1.22	$\begin{array}{c} 98.02 \pm 0.68 \\ 95.44 \pm 0.85 \end{array}$

HIIT, high intensity interval training; RT, response time; ACC, accuracy.



p = 0.046, $\eta^2 = 0.118$. Post-hoc comparison demonstrated that HbO was significantly higher in the post-training period $(M_{post} = 1.64^{*}10^{-4}, SD_{post} = 2.76^{*}10^{-4})$ than in the pre-training period $(M_{pre} = 7.32^{*}10^{-5}, SD_{pre} = 1.95^{*}10^{-4})$ for the HIIT group, t = 2.95, p = 0.010, Cohen's d = 0.74, but with no difference for the Control group $(M_{pre} = 2.46^{*}10^{-6}, SD_{pre} = 2.65^{*}10^{-4}; M_{post} = 1.16^{*}10^{-5}, SD_{post} = 1.19^{*}10^{-4})$, t = 0.18, p = 0.859, Cohen's d = 0.045.

DISCUSSION

The present study targeted in sedentary female individuals, examined the effect of short-term, high-intensity interval exercise on motor cortex plasticity and executive function. We found that, compared with the Control group, those individuals who received 2 weeks of HIIT exhibited an exercise-mediated reduction of intracortical facilitation. The brain activation related to Stroop interference was also significantly enhanced in the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and the left orbitofrontal cortex following training. These findings provide evidence that shortterm HIIT effectively enhances motor cortical plasticity and modulates the prefrontal cortical activation. It emphasizes the importance of the effect of short-term physical training on improvements in neuroplasticity.

To date, various HIIT protocols has been conducted to improve the motor or cognitive functions. Nicolini et al. (2019) carried out 6 weeks HIIT over sedentary young males, to evaluate their corticospinal excitability and working memory. They found ICF was significantly reduced while no change of working memory. Similarily, in our findings, short-term HIIT can also reduce ICF which indicates that short-term exercise is effective and adequate to improve fitness for healthy young adults. What is different is that our study found short-term HIIT can also modulate the executive-related cortical activations though no changes in behavioural performance. Previous study demonstrated that acute HIIT can improve executive performance in association with dorsolateral prefrontal activation (Kujach et al., 2018). These findings combined may suggest that HIIT specifically enhances the specific executive functions. it needs further investigate simultaneously in the future.

Previous studies have demonstrated that acute aerobic exercise modulates motor cortical excitability, suggesting that acute exercise might promote short-term plasticity within the motor region (Singh et al., 2014; Hendrikse et al., 2017; Andrews et al., 2020). In the present study, we found that ICF declined after 2 weeks of HIIT in sedentary females. This finding is similar to that of a previous study in which appeared in sedentary males after 6 weeks of HIIT (Nicolini et al., 2019). These findings suggest that short-term and chronic exercise possibly affect the modulation of ICF comparably. ICF is thought to reflect the activation of glutamatergic interneurons and NMDA receptors (Liepert et al., 1997; Paulus et al., 2008). Suppression of ICF after short-term training might help maintain excitability and prime the release of GABAergic inhibition. As with ICF, previous research suggests that the inhibitory after-effects of cTBS are modulated by NMDA receptors (Huang et al., 2007). Consequently, the results of the present study add to the evidence that short-term HIIT can modulate cortical excitability in a facilitative manner (Nicolini et al., 2019).

High intensity interval training modulates the executiverelated brain activations. In the previous studies, 4 weeks of light intensity exercise in sedentary individuals improved performance of the Stroop task (Gomes-Osman et al., 2017) and acute high intensity interval training can improve stroop performance with related dorsolateral prefrontal activation (Kujach et al., 2018). Similarly, the present study utilized the Stroop task to reflect the performance of executive function of sedentary females and investigated potential mechanisms using fNIRS. Although HIIT did not have a measurable effect on executive performance, increased activation of the Stroop effect on the left DLPFC and on the left OFC was observed. Greater activation was observed in the incongruent condition following HIIT, consistent with previous studies (Kujach et al., 2018; Ji et al., 2019). The DLPFC is a crucial region of the brain that monitors and processes Stroop cognitive conflict, essential for executive function (Yanagisawa et al., 2010). The OFC and its functional connectivity with the DLPFC are also important for inhibitory control (Kronhaus et al., 2006;

Darnai et al., 2019). Recent study demonstrated that optimal cognitive control performance are associated with the functional interactions of specific cortical structures belonging to both the cognitive control network and the default mode network, not to the cognitive control network alone (Herbet and Duffau, 2020). Thus, our findings may reflect improvements in executive control processes at the macro neural level and need explore the whole brain activation in the future study.

There are a number of limitations to the present study. Firstly, we did not monitor the menstrual cycle, which is specific to females and has a significant impact on the activity of the central nervous system (Farage et al., 2008; Andreano and Cahill, 2010). Additionally, significant changes in SICI were not observed in the present study which may be due to the selected population or specific exercise protocol. Further investigation is required to explain this. Furthermore, cortical plasticity was measured on the musculus abductor pollicis brevis but training mostly focused on the muscles of the lower limb, which may indicate an indirect relationship.

In conclusion, the current study demonstrates that 2 weeks of high-intensity interval training in sedentary females decreased Intracortical facilitation and induced greater activation in the left DLPFC and OFC during executive tasks. The results provide evidence that short-term high-intensity interval training can modulate cortex plasticity and executive-related cortical activations.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Guangzhou Sport Institute. The

REFERENCES

- Andreano, J. M., and Cahill, L. (2010). Menstrual cycle modulation of medial temporal activity evoked by negative emotion. *NeuroImage* 53, 1286–1293. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.07.011
- Andrews, S. C., Curtin, D., Hawi, Z., Wongtrakun, J., Stout, J. C., and Coxon, J. P. (2020). Intensity matters: high-intensity interval exercise enhances motor cortex plasticity more than moderate exercise. *Cerebral Cortex* 30, 101–112. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhz075
- Babraj, J. A., Vollaard, N. B. J., Keast, C., Guppy, F. M., Cottrell, G., and Timmons, J. A. (2009). Extremely short duration high intensity interval training substantially improves insulin action in young healthy males. *BMC Endocrine Disord*. 9:3. doi: 10.1186/1472-6823-9-3
- Bherer, L. (2015). Cognitive plasticity in older adults: effects of cognitive training and physical exercise. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1337, 1–6. doi: 10.1111/nyas. 12682
- Bramham, C. R., and Messaoudi, E. (2005). BDNF function in adult synaptic plasticity: the synaptic consolidation hypothesis. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 76, 99–125. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2005.06.003

patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YS, JH, and MH designed, organized the study and provided the financial support for the study. ZG carried out literature search and collected the data of the experiment. YZ took part in the data collection and data analysis. KX, LX, and LL provided the assistance for data acquisition and data analysis. XL provided significant suggestions and modifications of the data analysis and manuscript revision. NZ analyzed the data and wrote the main manuscript. All authors have read and approved the content of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31971105, 81902294, and 81702230), the National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFC2001600 and 2018YFC2001603) and the Humanities and Social Science Foundation of Ministry of Education of China (18YJC880035).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Prof. Ti-Fei Yuan for advice on experimental design.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnhum. 2021.620958/full#supplementary-material

- Citri, A., and Malenka, R. C. (2008). Synaptic plasticity: multiple forms, functions, and mechanisms. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 33, 18–41. doi: 10.1038/sj.npp. 1301559
- Colcombe, S. J., Kramer, A. F., Erickson, K. I., Scalf, P., McAuley, E., Cohen, N. J., et al. (2004). Cardiovascular fitness, cortical plasticity, and aging. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 3316–3321. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0400266101
- Cotman, C. W., and Berchtold, N. C. (2002). Exercise: a behavioral intervention to enhance brain health and plasticity. *Trends Neurosci.* 25, 295–301. doi: 10.1016/ S0166-2236(02)02143-4
- Darnai, G., Perlaki, G., Zsidó, A. N., Inhóf, O., Orsi, G., Horváth, R., et al. (2019). Internet addiction and functional brain networks: task-related fMRI study. *Sci. Rep.* 9:15777. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-52296-1
- Derrfuss, J., Brass, M., and Yves Von Cramon, D. (2004). Cognitive control in the posterior frontolateral cortex: evidence from common activations in task coordination, interference control, and working memory. *NeuroImage* 23, 604–612. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.06.007
- Ellen, L., Stavrinos, and James, C. (2017). High-intensity interval exercise promotes motor cortex disinhibition and early motor skill consolidation. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 29, 593-604. doi: 10.1162/jocn

El-Sayes, J., Turco, C. V., Skelly, L. E., Nicolini, C., Fahnestock, M., Gibala, M. J., et al. (2019). The effects of biological sex and ovarian hormones on exerciseinduced neuroplasticity. *Neuroscience* 410, 29–40. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience. 2019.04.054

Hu et al.

- Farage, M. A., Osborn, T. W., and MacLean, A. B. (2008). Cognitive, sensory, and emotional changes associated with the menstrual cycle: a review. Arch. Gynecol. Obstetr. 278, 299–307. doi: 10.1007/s00404-008-0708-2
- Fisher, B. E., Wu, A. D., Salem, G. J., Song, J. E., Lin, J., Yip, J., et al. (2008). The effect of exercise training in improving motor performance and corticomotor excitability in persons with early parkinson's disease. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 89, 1221–1229. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2008.01.013
- Gomes-Osman, J., Cabral, D., Hinchman, C., Jannati, A., Morris, T., and Pascual-Leone, A. (2017). The effects of exercise on cognitive function and brain plasticity-a feasibility trial. *Restor. Neurol. Neurosci.* 35, 547–556. doi: 10.1016/ j.physbeh.2017.03.040
- Hendrikse, J., Kandola, A., Coxon, J., Rogasch, N., and Yücel, M. (2017). Combining aerobic exercise and repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation to improve brain function in health and disease. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 83, 11–20. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.09.023
- Herbet, G., and Duffau, H. (2020). Revisiting the functional anatomy of the human brain: toward a meta-networking theory of cerebral functions. *Physiol. Rev.* 100, 1181–1228. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00033.2019
- Huang, Y. Z., Chen, R. S., Rothwell, J. C., and Wen, H. Y. (2007). The aftereffect of human theta burst stimulation is NMDA receptor dependent. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 118, 1028–1032. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2007.01.021
- Jang, S., Choi, J., Oh, J., Yeom, J., Hong, N., Lee, N., et al. (2021). Use of virtual reality working memory task and functional near-infrared spectroscopy to assess brain hemodynamic responses to methylphenidate in ADHD children. *Front. Psychiatry* 11:564618. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.564618
- Ji, Z., Feng, T., Mei, L., Li, A., and Zhang, C. (2019). Influence of acute combined physical and cognitive exercise on cognitive function: an NIRS study. *PeerJ* 2019, 1–16. doi: 10.7717/peerj.7418
- Kronhaus, D. M., Lawrence, N. S., Williams, A. M., Frangou, S., Brammer, M. J., Williams, S. C. R., et al. (2006). Stroop performance in bipolar disorder: further evidence for abnormalities in the ventral prefrontal cortex. *Bipol. Disord.* 8, 28–39. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-5618.2006.00282.x
- Kujach, S., Byun, K., Hyodo, K., Suwabe, K., Fukuie, T., Laskowski, R., et al. (2018). A transferable high-intensity intermittent exercise improves executive performance in association with dorsolateral prefrontal activation in young adults. *NeuroImage* 169, 117–125. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.003
- Kujirai, T., Caramia, M. D., Rothwell, J. C., Day, B. L., Thompson, P. D., Ferbert, A., et al. (1993). Corticocortical inhibition in human motor cortex. J. Physiol. 471, 501–519. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1993.sp019912
- Liepert, J., Schwenkreis, P., Tegenthoff, M., and Malin, J. P. (1997). The glutamate antagonist Riluzole suppresses intracortical facilitation. J. Neural Trans. 104, 1207–1214. doi: 10.1007/BF01294721
- Mellow, M. L., Goldsworthy, M. R., Coussens, S., and Smith, A. E. (2020). Acute aerobic exercise and neuroplasticity of the motor cortex: a systematic review. J. Sci. Med. Sport 23, 408–414. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2019.10.015
- Nicolini, C., Toepp, S., Harasym, D., Michalski, B., Fahnestock, M., Gibala, M. J., et al. (2019). No changes in corticospinal excitability, biochemical markers, and working memory after six weeks of high-intensity interval training in sedentary males. *Physiol. Rep.* 7, 1–15. doi: 10.14814/phy2.14140
- Opie, G. M., and Semmler, J. G. (2019). Acute exercise at different intensities influences corticomotor excitability and performance of a ballistic thumb

training task. Neuroscience 412, 29-39. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2019. 05.049

- Paulus, W., Classen, J., Cohen, L. G., Large, C. H., Di Lazzaro, V., Nitsche, M., et al. (2008). State of the art: pharmacologic effects on cortical excitability measures tested by transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Brain Stimul.* 1, 151–163. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2008.06.002
- Plenger, P., Krishnan, K., Cloud, M., Bosworth, C., Qualls, D., and Carlos, M. D. L. P. (2016). Fnirs-based investigation of the stroop task after tbi. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 10, 357–366. doi: 10.1007/s11682-015-9401-9
- Rossi, S., Hallett, M., Rossini, P. M., Pascual-Leone, A., Avanzini, G., Bestmann, S., et al. (2009). Safety, ethical considerations, and application guidelines for the use of transcranial magnetic stimulation in clinical practice and research. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 120, 2008–2039. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2009.08.016
- Rossi, S., and Rossini, P. M. (2004). TMS in cognitive plasticity and the potential for rehabilitation. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 8, 273–279. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2004.04.012
- Singh, A. M., Duncan, R. E., Neva, J. L., and Staines, W. R. (2014). Aerobic exercise modulates intracortical inhibition and facilitation in a nonexercised upper limb muscle. *BMC Sports Sci. Med. Rehabil.* 6:23. doi: 10.1186/2052-1847-6-23
- Smiley-Oyen, A. L., Lowry, K. A., Francois, S. J., Kohut, M. L., and Ekkekakis, P. (2008). Exercise, fitness, and neurocognitive function in older adults. Ann. Behav. Med. 36, 280–291. doi: 10.1007/s12160-008-9064-5.Exercise
- Smith, A. E., Goldsworthy, M. R., Garside, T., Wood, F. M., and Ridding, M. C. (2014). The influence of a single bout of aerobic exercise on short-interval intracortical excitability. *Exp. Brain Res.* 232, 1875–1882. doi: 10.1007/s00221-014-3879-z
- Stroth, S., Hille, K., Spitzer, M., and Reinhardt, R. (2009). Aerobic endurance exercise benefits memory and affect in young adults. *Neuropsychol. Rehabil.* 19, 223–243. doi: 10.1080/09602010802091183
- Szcs, D., Killikelly, C., and Cutini, S. (2012). Event-related near-infrared spectroscopy detects conflict in the motor cortex in a Stroop task. *Brain Res.* 1477, 27–36. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2012.08.023
- Tanaka, H., Monahan, K. D., and Seals, D. R. (2001). Age-predicted maximal heart rate revisited. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 37, 153–156. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(00) 01054-8
- Yanagisawa, H., Dan, I., Tsuzuki, D., Kato, M., Okamoto, M., Kyutoku, Y., et al. (2010). Acute moderate exercise elicits increased dorsolateral prefrontal activation and improves cognitive performance with Stroop test. *NeuroImage* 50, 1702–1710. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.023
- Zhang, Z., Olszewska-Guizzo, A., Husain, S. F., Bose, J., Choi, J., Tan, W., et al. (2020). Brief relaxation practice induces significantly more prefrontal cortex activation during arithmetic tasks comparing to viewing greenery images as revealed by functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS). *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17:8366. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17228366

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Hu, Zeng, Gu, Zheng, Xu, Xue, Leng, Lu, Shen and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.