Research Article

"In Silico" Characterization of 3-Phytase A and 3-Phytase B from *Aspergillus niger*

Doris C. Niño-Gómez,¹ Claudia M. Rivera-Hoyos,¹ Edwin D. Morales-Álvarez,² Edgar A. Reyes-Montaño,³ Nury E. Vargas-Alejo,³ Ingrid N. Ramírez-Casallas,¹ Kübra Erkan Türkmen,⁴ Homero Sáenz-Suárez,⁵ José A. Sáenz-Moreno,⁵ Raúl A. Poutou-Piñales,¹ Janneth González-Santos,⁶ and Azucena Arévalo-Galvis⁷

¹Laboratorio de Biotecnología Molecular, Grupo de Biotecnología Ambiental e Industrial (GBAI),

Departamento de Microbiología, Facultad de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombia

²Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Caldas, Manizales, Caldas, Colombia

³*Grupo de Investigación en Proteínas, Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias,*

Universidad Nacional de Colombia (UNAL), Bogotá, Colombia

⁴Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Hacettepe University, Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey

⁵Unidad de Biología Celular y Microscopía, Decanato de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Centroccidental Lisandro Alvarado, Barquisimeto, Venezuela

⁶*Grupo de Bioquímica Computacional y Estructural, Departamento de Bioquímica y Nutrición,*

Facultad de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombia

⁷Laboratorio de Microbiología Especial, Grupo de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Departamento de Microbiología,

Facultad de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombia

Correspondence should be addressed to Edgar A. Reyes-Montaño; eareyesm@unal.edu.co and Raúl A. Poutou-Piñales; rpoutou@javeriana.edu.co

Received 31 May 2017; Accepted 27 July 2017; Published 20 November 2017

Academic Editor: Sunney I. Chan

Copyright © 2017 Doris C. Niño-Gómez et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Phytases are used for feeding monogastric animals, because they hydrolyze phytic acid generating inorganic phosphate. Aspergillus niger 3-phytase A (PDB: 3K4Q) and 3-phytase B (PDB: 1QFX) were characterized using bioinformatic tools. Results showed that both enzymes have highly conserved catalytic pockets, supporting their classification as histidine acid phosphatases. 2D structures consist of 43% alpha-helix, 12% beta-sheet, and 45% others and 38% alpha-helix, 12% beta-sheet, and 50% others, respectively, and pI 4.94 and 4.60, aliphatic index 72.25 and 70.26 and average hydrophobicity of -0,304 and -0.330, respectively, suggesting aqueous media interaction. Glycosylation and glycation sites allowed detecting zones that can affect folding and biological activity, suggesting fragmentation. Docking showed that H_{59} and H_{63} act as nucleophiles and that D_{339} and D_{319} are proton donor residues. MW of 3K4Q (48.84 kDa) and 1QFX (50.78 kDa) is similar; 1QFX forms homodimers which will originate homotetramers with several catalytic center accessible to the ligand. 3K4Q is less stable (instability index 45.41) than 1QFX (instability index 33.66), but the estimated lifespan for 3K4Q is superior. Van der Waals interactions generate hydrogen bonds between the active center and O_2 or H of the phytic acid phosphate groups, providing greater stability to these temporal molecular interactions.

1. Introduction

Most of the phosphorus (P) present in terrestrial ecosystems is located in the soil. Globally, the terrestrial biota contains

 2.6×10^6 g P, which is less than that contained in the soil, which oscillates between 96 and 160×10^6 g P [1]. The highest transference of P from soil to biota occurs through the synthesis of organic compounds containing phosphorus (P)

in plants, animals, and microorganisms. The organic compounds containing P are diverse, and their mineralization in the soil allows the P to be recycled back to the biota [1].

Phosphorus is an essential nutrient, which is involved in several biological functions such as regulation of intraand extracellular pH, accumulation of energy in the form of ATP, lipid transport, and formation of biological membranes [2, 3]. Several compounds with organic phosphorus (oP) have different rates of mineralization. For example, oP from microorganisms (predominantly nucleic acids, 30–50% P in RNA and 5–10% P in DNA) and phospholipids (<10% P) is easily mineralized in soil environments [1]. However, other compounds with oP are not easily mineralized and can accumulate in the soil in substantial amounts. The most significant of these compounds is phytic acid (myo-inositol 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 hexakisphosphate), [1].

Phytic acid is the main form of P storage in cereals, pulses, oilseeds, and nuts and constitutes 1–5% of its dry weight. In forage, one-third of the phosphorus is present as digestible inorganic phosphorus (iP), while the remaining two-thirds are present as oP in the form of phytates [4]. Phytates are a mixture of salts resulting from the union of phytic acid with divalent metal ions such as: Calcium (Ca²⁺), Copper (Cu²⁺), Iron (Fe²⁺), Magnesium (Mg²⁺), Manganese (Mn²⁺), and Zinc (Zn²⁺). Phytic acid can be bound to two different metals such as Calcium (Ca²⁺) and Magnesium (Mg²⁺), the resulting mixed salt is called phytin [4].

Phytate constitutes 65–80% of total P in grains and up to 80% of total P in manures of monogastric animals. Due to its negative charge, phytate is strongly adsorbed to various soil components once it is released from plant residues or manure [1].

On the other hand, the accumulation of phytate in the soil is due to the low possibility of being hydrolyzed by the phytase enzymes (E.C. 3.1.3.8), since the phytate dephosphorylation requires the binding of free phytate to the binding pocket of the substrate in the phytase enzyme. Thus, if phytate is tightly bound with soil components, it is not susceptible to be hydrolyzed by enzymes [1].

P from phytate is largely unavailable for monogastric animals, such as pigs and birds, due to the absence or the insufficient amount of phytase enzymes in the gastrointestinal tract to degrade it [5]; in this way it passes without being digested through the gastrointestinal tract. Since phytic acid can not be reabsorbed, feed for pigs and poultry is commonly supplemented with iP in order to meet the requirement of P, which increases production costs [6].

Supplementation with iP, along with the P from phytate excreted by monogastric animals, generates global ecological problems (eutrophication) as the discharge into rivers of wastewater with a high content of phytates results in the proliferation of cyanobacteria, hypoxia, and death of animals from aquatic environments [5]. The P present in phytate that is excreted in the manure of monogastric animals subsequently extends to farmlands, which often contributes to the eutrophication of surface waters, particularly in the areas of intensive livestock of pigs [7].

However, the adverse environmental and nutritional consequences of the presence of phytate in the diet of monogastric animals can be improved by the inclusion of phytases (E.C. 3.1.3.8) in their diet [5]. These enzymes are considered as an environmentally friendly product because (i) they reduce the amount of phosphorus entering the ecosystem, (ii) they reduce the problems caused by eutrophication of water, and (iii) they reduce the constant chelation or sequestration of nutritional factors in the soil, as well as in the digestive tract of poultry and pigs [8]. Phytases are produced by a wide variety of plants, bacteria, fungi, and yeasts. A commercial pair of phytases from the genus Aspergillus (Natuphos® and Ronozyme®) are currently available, as these filamentous fungi are the most prolific extracellular producers of this enzyme [7].

Some studies have shown that microbial sources are more promising for commercial phytase production. Although several strains of bacteria, yeasts, and fungi have been used for production under different conditions, two species, *A. niger* and *A. ficuum*, have been used more frequently for commercial phytase production [9]. Among the best known commercial phytases is found "Natu-phos" (Gist-Brocades NV Company, Netherlands). Natu-phos is a recombinant phytase produced by the expression of the *phyA* gene of *A. ficuum* NRRL 3135 in *A. niger* CBS 513.88, produced in 1994 [4, 7, 9].

In countries like Colombia and Venezuela, there is no legislation regulating the incorporation of phytase enzymes into the feed of monogastric animals, aimed at improving the bioavailability of phosphorus from the diet itself and at the reduction of the amount of phytate excreted in the feces. Therefore, the "in silico" analysis of physicochemical and structural properties, as well as the molecular docking analysis between the enzymes and the ligand, will allow researchers to gather information that is useful for the heterologous expression of the recombinant enzymes.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Protein Analysis. The phytases reported until September 13, 2015, were analyzed in the UNIPROT database (The UniProt Consortium) [10]. The PSI-Blast alignment [11] was performed between the amino acid sequences of the phytase reported for *A. niger*, which allowed determining its percentage of similarity and a multiple alignment with the ClustalO programs to identify conserved sites among the selected phytases. The ClustalW alignment allowed comparing the sequences of the two revised phytases: the 3-phytase A and the chains A and B of the 3-phytase B (http://www.ebi.ac.uk) [12].

2.2. Bioinformatic Analysis of the Reported and Revised Phytase from A. Niger. For this analysis, two protein structures resolved by X-ray crystallography (revised proteins) were used for A. niger: 3-phytase A and 3-phytase B. The primary sequences of the revised phytases were obtained from UniProtKB, Entry: P34755 and P34752, respectively, while tertiary structures were obtained from Protein Data Bank (PDB) [13], using the ID: 3K4Q and 1QFX, respectively. 2.3. Physicochemical Properties. The physicochemical properties of the amino acid sequences of the revised *A. niger* proteins were evaluated using the following programs: Prot-Param and ProtScale [14] from ExPASy (http://www.expasy.org). The size of the window for the analyses with ProtScale was the basic nine amino acids recommended by the programs to ensure optimum coverage of the sequence when the path is made over it. In the case of the hydrophobicity profile, the Kyte and Doolittle algorithm was used, whose scale considers values between -4.5 and 4.5. The 3D structures of phytases from *A. niger* were visualized using the PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.8 Schrödinger, LLC) program.

2.4. Prediction of N-Glycosylation Sites. For the analysis of potential N-glycosylation sites in both phytases, the NetNG-lyc 1.0 software [15] (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk) was used.

2.5. Prediction of Glycation Sites. For the analysis of the potential glycation sites in both phytases, Netglycate 1.0 software was used [16]. In addition, visualization of the 3D structures and determination of the distances between the ε -NH₂ groups of the lysines and the side chains of Glutamate acid residues (E) and Aspartate (D) or basic residues of histidine (H), Arginine (R), and lysine (K) were performed using the SPDB-viewer 4.01 program [17, 18].

2.6. Prediction of Antigenic Peptides. Prediction of antigenic peptides in both proteins was performed by means of the antigenic peptide prediction tool (http://imed.med.ucm.es/Tools/antigenic.pl) [19] of the Complutense University of Madrid.

2.7. Ligand and Molecular Coupling Models (Rigid Docking). The construction of the ligand (phytic acid) was performed using the Spartan version 4.0 molecular modeling program (https://www.wavefun.com/products/spartan.html), which has a graphical interface that allows the construction of the molecule atom by atom, selecting the appropriate hybridization according to the binding site of each element, followed by a minimization of the energy of the generated model.

The molecular coupling models of the reviewed phytases from A. niger against the phytic acid as a ligand were performed using the Autodock program [20] and the 3D structure of the ligand (phytic acid) was obtained with the Spartan program (before performing simulations of coupling with Autodock 4.2) [20]. The pocket containing the amino acids that form the highly conserved catalytic center in the revised phytase (histidine acid phosphatases) from A. niger (RHGXRXP-D) was identified from reports in the literature [21, 22]. Polar hydrogens were added to each receptor; the grid was located in the pocket of the active site for each model. Phytic acid boxes had the following dimensions and coordinates respectively: for 3-phytase A: X36, Y36 and Z38 (*x*: -6.396, *y*: 8.301 and *z*: 27.885), and for 3-phytase B: X36, Y34 and Z38 (*x*: 23.968, *y*: 71.06 and *z*: 69.576), with a space in both proteins of 0.375 Å. The network parameters and atomic affinity maps were calculated using AutoGrid 4 [20]. Each

coupling simulation was carried out using the Lamarckian genetic algorithm with 2.500.000 energetic evaluations with a population of 150. Finally, the best poses of the ligand were determined based on the results of energy interaction given in kcal/mol.

3. Results

3.1. Computational Characterization. Until September 13, 2015, there were 12651 phytases in UNIPROT of which 115 (0.90%) belonged to *Aspergillus* spp., of which, 33 (28.69%) belonged to *A. niger*. For the genus Aspergillus, 11 phytases in total were reported as revised or cured; the distribution was as follows: one for each species *A. ficuum*, *A. oryzae*, *A. fumigatus*, and *A. nidulans*; two for *A. niger* and *A. awamori*, and three for *A. terreus*. The only two (6.06%) of the revised phytases of *A. niger* were 3-phytase A (E.C. 3.1.3.8, PDB ID: 3K4Q), corresponding to a monomer, and the 3-phytase B (E.C. 3.1.3.8, PDB ID: 1QFX), a homodimer.

The dendrogram between the 33 phytases reported for *A. niger* was obtained using the BLAST tool, and it was found that 3-phytase A (number 17, P34752) is closely related to 78.8% (26/33) of phytases whereas 3-phytase B (number 5, P34754) is only related to 9.1% (3/33) (Figure 1).

The multiple alignment (Clustal O) between the amino acid sequences of the phytases reported for *A. niger* enabled the identification of a highly conserved sequence (RHGXRXP-HD) present in the histidine acid phosphatase family, corresponding to the pocket where the active ligand binding site is located (Figure 2).

3.2. Structural Characteristics of the Two Reported and Revised Phytases from A. Niger. The first revised phytase from A. niger, the 3-phytase A (PDB ID: 3K4Q), corresponds to a monomer. The second revised phytase, the 3-phytase B (PDB ID: 1QFX), initially corresponds to a homodimer (Chains A and B) which, thanks to its crystallographic symmetry, generates a homotetramer from two dimers.

When performing an alignment (Clustal W) between the sequences of the two chains of the initially dimeric protein (A and B) and the unique sequence of the monomeric protein, it was possible to determine that the chains A and B of the dimer are identical to each other, but different to the monomeric phytase.

3.3. 2D Structures of the Two Reported and Revised Phytases from A. Niger. The 3-phytase A (monomer) (Figure 3) (PDB ID: 3K4Q) has a 2D structure formed by 43% alpha helices, 12% beta sheets, and 45% random coils. A and B chains of 3-phytase B initially form a homodimer (Figure 3) (PDB ID: 1QFX) and its 2D structure corresponds to 38% alpha helices, 12% beta sheets, and 50% random coils. For the 3-phytase A, the active site is conformed by amino acids R₅₈, **H**₅₉, R₆₂, R₁₄₂, and **D**₃₃₉ [22] (Figure 3(b)), and for the 3-phytase B the active site is conformed by amino acids R₆₂, **H**₆₃, R₆₆, R₁₅₆, H₃₁₈, and **D**₃₁₉ [21] (Figures 3(c) and 3(d)).

3.4. Structure of the Homodimer and the Tetramer Formed by Chains A and B of the 3-Phytase B from A. Niger. 3-phytase



FIGURE 1: Dendrogram of the 33 phytases reported for A. niger. The first two phytases that appear are phytases that do not have PDB code or are not characterized within UNIPROT and are far from the two revised phytases. Alignment parameters are predetermined. The default transition matrix is Gonnet; the gap of the opening is 6 bits; the extension interval is of 1 bit. Clustal-Omega uses the HHafign algorithm and its default configuration as its core alignment engine [23].

B is initially a homodimer consisting of two identical chains A and B. The 39 amino acids that allow the interactions that lead to dimerization between the A and B chains are: Lys_{14} -Tyr₂₄, Leu_{27} -His₂₉, Tyr₃₆, Glu₃₈, Ser₄₁-Ala₄₅, Tyr₁₂₀, Lys₂₁₇, Leu₂₄₈, Pro₂₅₂-Ser₂₅₄, Gln₂₆₂-Asp₂₆₃, Val₂₆₆-Ser₂₆₇, Asn₃₃₅, Arg₃₄₂, Phe₃₄₅-Gly₃₄₆, Ala₃₇₂, Asp₃₉₃, Gly₃₉₉, Tyr₄₀₀. The crystallographic symmetry generates a tetramer from the two dimers, and 17 amino acids that are involved in the interactions that allow the tetramerization of the protein have been identified: Cys₁₀₉, Glu₁₁₄, Thr₁₁₆, Gly₁₁₈, Ala₁₂₁, Leu₁₂₃-Leu₁₂₄, Tyr₁₂₇-Asn₁₂₈, Asn₁₃₁, Lys₁₆₃, Glu₁₆₆, Tyr₁₇₁, Arg₄₄₇, Pro₄₅₀-Ile₄₅₁, and Cys₄₅₃ [21] (Figures 3(e) and 3(f)).

In both phytases, the fact that the pocket of the active site is composed mostly of positively charged amino acids (Hhistidine and R-Arginine) is highlighted.

3.5. Physicochemical Characterization of the Two Reported and Revised Phytases from A. Niger. The physicochemical properties of the two phytases reported and reviewed for A. niger and obtained by the ProtParam bioinformatics program are detailed in Table 1.

3.6. Hydrophobicity and Accessibility Profiles of the Two Reported and Revised Phytases from A. niger. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) are the detailed hydrophobicity profiles of the phytases A and B from A. niger. The red circles represent the amino acids with a higher hydrophobicity score and the yellow circles represent the amino acids with a lower hydrophobicity score, according to the values recorded in Table 2.

The accessibility profile of phytases A and B from *A. niger* is shown in Figure 5. Green colored circles represent amino acids with a minimum accessibility value and purple circles represent amino acids with a maximum accessibility value, according to the values recorded in Table 2.

3.7. Prediction of N-Glycosylation Sites in 3-Phytase A and Chain A of 3-Phytase B from A. Niger. Table 3 details the predictions of possible N-glycosylation sites of phytases A and B from A. niger by means of the NetNGlyc 1.0 tool, showing the position of the Asparagines (N), along both phytase chains that are located in an Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr (where Xaa is any amino acid except proline) and for that reason could be glycosylated.

3.8. Prediction of Glycation Sites in 3-Phytase A and Chain A of 3-Phytase B from A. Niger. In the case of 3-phytase A, Netglycate 1.0 predicted the glycation potential of seven lysines, whereas the methodology proposed by Sáenz et al., 2016 suggests the glycation of 14 of them. For Lys94, there is no prediction of glycation by either method. Table 4 shows the comparison of the results and distances of the ε -NH2 groups of the lysines and the side chains of acidic or basic residues. Figure 6 shows some distances between lysines and other acidic or basic residues in 3-phytase A.

In the case of 3-phytase A, Netglycate 1.0 allowed predicting the glycation potential of seven lysines along the protein 1 P34752

A2QSK3

A2QIG7

H9C6G1

A1XRK3

I2DBZ3

I2DBZ4

12 A0JJX7

13 Q2XQS0

14 Q6GYA8

Q9UUZ7

O93838

Q6T9Z6

Q6R519

A2TEY4

22 F4ZNF9

23 A2QI82

24 A2R685

25 E3UHI1

26 E3UHI4

E3UHI3

E3UHI2

E3UHI5

E3UHI7 A2R765

G3Y5L5

P34752 1

P34754

A2QSK3

A2QIG7

H9C6G1

A1XRK3

I2DBZ3

12 A0JJX7

13 Q2XQS0

O93838

Q6T9Z6

Q6R519

22 F4ZNF9 23 A2QI82 24 A2R685 25 E3UHI1

27 28 E3UHI3 29 E3UHI2

30 E3UHI6

31 E3UHI5

32 E9M258

33 Q2MKJ5

E3UHI7

A2R765

E3UHI1 26 E3UHI 27 E3UHI 27 E3UHI3

33 Q2MKJ5

2 P34754

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

27

28

29 30 E3UHI6

31 32 E9M258

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9 I2DBZ4

15

16

17

18

19 20 A2TEY4 PHYA_ASPNG

PHYB_ASPNG

A2QSK3_ASPNC

A2QIG7_ASPNC

H9C6G1_ASPNG

A1XRK3_ASPNG I2DBZ3_ASPNG

I2DBZ4_ASPNG

A0JJX7_ASPNG

Q2XQS0_ASPNG

Q6GYA8_ASPNG

Q9UUZ7_ASPNG O93838_ASPNG

Q6T9Z6_ASPNG

Q6R519_ASPNG

A2TEY4_ASPNG

F4ZNF9_ASPNG A2QI82_ASPNC

A2R685_ASPNC

E3UHI1_ASPNG

E3UHI4_ASPNG

E3UHI3_ASPNG

E3UHI2_ASPNG

E3UHI6_ASPNG

E3UHI5_ASPNG

E9M258_ASPNG

Q2MKJ5_ASPNG E3UHI7_ASPNG A2R765_ASPNC

G3Y5L5_ASPNA

PHYA_ASPNG

PHYB_ASPNG

A2QSK3_ASPNC

A2QIG7_ASPNC

H9C6G1_ASPNG

A1XRK3_ASPNG

I2DBZ3_ASPNG

I2DBZ4_ASPNG

A0JJX7_ASPNG

Q2XQS0_ASPNG

093838_ASPNG

Q6T9Z6_ASPNG

Q6R519_ASPNG

A2TEY4_ASPNG

F4ZNF9_ASPNG A2QI82_ASPNC A2R685_ASPNC E3UHI1_ASPNG

E3UHI4_ASPNG

E3UHI3_ASPNG E3UHI2_ASPNG

E3UHI6_ASPNG

E3UHI5_ASPNG

E9M258_ASPNG

Q2MKJ5_ASPNG E3UHI7_ASPNG A2R765_ASPNC

G3Y5L5 G3Y5L5_ASPNA

10 G8GYH6 G8GYH6_ASPNG 11 A1XRK2 A1XRK2_ASPNG

14 Q6GYA8 Q6GYA8_ASPNG

21 Q5XNQ8 Q5XNQ8_ASPNG

Q9UUZ7 Q9UUZ7_ASPNG

10 G8GYH6 G8GYH6_ASPNG

11 A1XRK2 A1XRK2_ASPNG

21 Q5XNQ8 Q5XNQ8_ASPNG

63	ISPEVPAGCRVTFAQVL\$RHGARYPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFDGKY	113
63	IARDPPTGCEVDQVIMVKRHGERYPSPSAGKSIEEALAKVYSI-NTT-EYKGDL	114
63	IARDPPTGCEVDQVIMIKRHGERYPSPSAGKSIEEALAKVYSI-NTT-EYKGDL	114
63		113
(2)		113
63	ISPDVPAGCHVIFAQVLSRHGARYPIDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNAIIFEGKY	113
47	IARDPPTGCEVDQVIMVKRHGERYPSPSAGKSIEEALAKVYSI-NTT-EYKGDL	98
63	ISPDVPAGCHVTFAQVL <mark>\$RH</mark> ÇA <mark>R</mark> YPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFEGKY	113
63	ISPDVPAGCHVTFAQVLSRHGARYPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFEGKY	113
63		113
44		04
44		94
48	ISPEVPAGCRVTFAQVLSRHGARYPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFDGKY	98
44	ISPDVPAGCHVTFAQVL <mark>\$RHCAR</mark> YPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFEG <mark>K</mark> Y	94
44	ISPDVPAGCHVTFAQVL <mark>\$RH</mark> CARYPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFEGKY	94
63	ISPDVPAGCRVTFAQVLSRHGARYPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFDGKY	113
63	TSDDVDACCHVTFAOVI SPHCAPVDTDSVCKKVSAI TEETOONATTEECKV	113
62		112
05		115
63	ISPDVPAGCRVTFAQVLSRHGARYPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFDGKY	113
63	ISPEVPAGCRVTFAQVL <mark>\$RHCAR</mark> YPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFDG <mark>K</mark> Y	113
63	ISPDVPAGCKVTFAQVL <mark>\$RH</mark> CARYPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFDGKY	113
90	ISPDVPAGCQVTFAQVLSRHGARYPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFKEKY	140
59		111
111		164
111		104
44	ISPDVPTGCRVTFAQVLSRHGARYPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFDGKY	94
44	ISPDVPAGCHVTFAQVL <mark>\$RH</mark> CARYPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFEG <mark>K</mark> Y	94
44	ISPDVPAGCRVTFAQVL <mark>\$RH</mark> GARYPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFDGKY	94
44	ISPDVPAGCHVTFAQVL <mark>SRHCAR</mark> YPTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFEGKY	94
44	TSPDVPACCHVTFAOVI SBHCARVPTDSKCKKVSAI TFFTOONATTFFCKV	94
11		04
44		94
44	ISPDVPAGCRVTFAQVLSRHGARYPTESKGKKYSALIEEIQQNVTTFDGKY	94
47	ISPDVPAGC <mark>H</mark> VTFAQVL <mark>\$RH</mark> CAR <mark>Y</mark> PTDSKGKKYSALIEEIQQNATTFEG <mark>K</mark> Y	97
44	ISPDVPAGCRVTFAQVL <mark>\$RH</mark> CARYPTESKGKKYSALIEEIQQNVTTFDGKY	94
11		1.0
117	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTTTTTTTTKY	168
117 109	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTT-TTKY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTT-TTKY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168
117 109 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTT-TTKY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTT-TTKY * : * * : * AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI	168
117 109 114 115	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTT-TTKY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTT-TTKY * : : * : : * : : AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLNDWTYYVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNDYK-ARYGHLWNGETVVPF	168 160 158 168
117 109 114 115 115	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTT-TTKY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTTY * : * : * : : AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QNYESLTRNIVPFI AFLNDWTYYVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNDYK-ARYGHLWNGETVVPF AFLNDWTYVVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNEYK-ARYGHLWNGETVVPF	168 160 158 168 168
117 109 114 115 115 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTT-TTKY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTTY * : * : * : * : * AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFG-EQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLNDWTYYVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNDYK-ARYGHLWNGETVVPF AFLNDWTYYVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNEYK-ARYGHLWNGETVVPF AFLNTYNYSLGADDLTPFG-EQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI	158 160 158 168 168 158
117 109 114 115 115 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTY * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	158 160 158 168 168 158
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 99	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	158 160 158 168 168 158 158
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 99	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTT-TTKY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTTY * : : * : : : AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFG-EQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLNDWTYYVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNDYK-ARYCHLWNGETVVPF AFLNDWTYVVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNEYK-ARYCHLWNGETVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFG-EQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFG-EQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFG-EQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLNDWTYYVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNDYK-ARYCHLWNGETVPFI	158 160 158 168 168 158 158 152
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 99 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTY * : * : * : : AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLNDWTYVVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNDYK-ARYGHLWNGETVVPF AFLNDWTYVVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNEYK-ARYGHLWNGETVVPF AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLNDWTYVVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNDYK-ARYGHLWNGETVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI	158 160 158 168 168 158 158 158 152 158
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 99 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	158 160 158 168 168 158 158 158 152 158 158
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 99 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 160 158 168 168 158 158 152 158 158 158
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 95	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	158 160 158 168 158 158 152 158 152 158 158 158 158 158
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 99 114 114 114 95 99	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	158 160 158 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 139 143
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 99 99 95	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 160 158 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 139 143 139
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 99 914	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 160 158 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 139 143 139 139
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 99 114 114 114 95 99 95 95	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 160 158 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 139 143 139 139
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 99 114 114 99 95 95 95 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 160 158 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 139 143 139 139 139
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 99 114 114 114 95 99 95 95 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	158 160 158 168 158 158 158 158 158 139 143 139 158 158
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	158 160 158 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 139 143 139 139 139 158 158
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 99 91 14 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	158 160 158 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 139 143 139 139 139 158 158 158
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 99 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 160 158 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 139 143 139 139 158 158 158 158
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	158 160 158 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTYY * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 160 158 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	158 160 158 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 99 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 160 158 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	158 160 158 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 160 158 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 99 95 95 95 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 11	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 168 168 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 99 95 95 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 11	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 168 168 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTTNTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 168 168 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 168 168 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 99 95 95 95 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 11	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTYY * : : * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 168 168 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTYY * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 168 168 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTYY * : * * : * AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLNDWTYVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNIGNDYK-ARYGHLWNGETVVPF AFLNDWTYVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNIGNEYK-ARYGHLWNGETVVPF AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNI	168 168 168 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTYY * : : * : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	168 168 168 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 99 914 114 114 114 114 114 114	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTYY * : * * : * AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLNDWTYYVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNDYK-ARYGHLWNGETVVPF AFLNDWTYYVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNHGNDYK-ARYGHLWNGETVVPF AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGAD	168 168 168 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15
117 109 114 115 115 114 114 114 99 95 95 95 95 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 11	LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTYY LSPNASATHPSPPNIHLHYLDTLLTGPDNAPLTGLDAITTTNTTTTTTYY * : * * : * * : : AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGIKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLNDWTYYVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNIGNDY-ARYGHLWDGETVVPF AFLNDWTYYVPNECYYNAETTSGPYAGLLDAYNIGNDY-ARYGHLWDGETVVPF AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIVPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLTRNIPFI AFLKTYNYSLGADDLTPFGEQELVNSGVKFY-QRYESLT	168 168 168 168 168 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 15

1	P34752	PHYA_ASPNG	354	TLYADF <mark>\$HDN</mark> GIISILFALGLYNGT <mark>K</mark> -PLSTTTVENITQT	392
2	P34754	PHYB_ASPNG	330	PLFFNF <mark>AHD</mark> TNITPILAALGVLIPNE-DLPLD <mark>R</mark> VAFGVAFG	365
3	A2QSK3	A2QSK3_ASPNC	330	SLFFNF <mark>AHD</mark> TNITPILAALGVLIPTE-DLPLD <mark>R</mark> VAFGVAFG	365
4	A2QIG7	A2QIG7_ASPNC	354	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGT <mark>K</mark> -PLSSTTAENITQT	392
5	H9C6G1	H9C6G1_ASPNG	354	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGT <mark>K</mark> -PLSSTTAENITQT	392
5	A1XRK3	A1XRK3_ASPNG	314	PLFFNF <mark>AHD</mark> TNITPILAALGVLIPNE-DLPLD <mark>R</mark> VAFGVAFG	349
8	I2DBZ3	I2DBZ3_ASPNG	354	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGT <mark>K</mark> -PLSSTTAENITQT	392
9	I2DBZ4	I2DBZ4_ASPNG	354	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGT <mark>K</mark> -PLSSTTAENITQT	392
10	G8GYH6	G8GYH6_ASPNG	354	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSTTTVENITQT	392
11	A1XRK2	A1XRK2_ASPNG	335	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSTTTVENITQT	373
12	A0JJX7	A0JJX7_ASPNG	339	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSTTTVENITQT	377
13	Q2XQS0	Q2XQS0_ASPNG	335	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSSTTAENITQT	373
14	Q6GYA8	Q6GYA8_ASPNG	335	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSSTTAENITQT	373
15	Q9UUZ7	Q9UUZ7_ASPNG	354	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSTTTVQNITQT	392
10	O93838	O93838_ASPNG	354	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSSTTAENITQT	392
18	Q6T9Z6	Q6T9Z6_ASPNG	354	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSTTTVENITQT	392
19	Q6R519	Q6R519_ASPNG	354	TLYADF <mark>\$HDK</mark> GIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSTTTAENITQT	392
20	A2TEY4	A2TEY4_ASPNG	354	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSTTTVENITQT	392
21	Q5XNQ8	Q5XNQ8_ASPNG	354	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSTTTVQNITQT	392
22	F4ZNF9	F4ZNF9_ASPNG	381	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGT <mark>K</mark> -PLSSTTAENITQT	419
23	A2QI82	A2QI82_ASPNC	329	PLFFNFNISPIITALGIATPAT-PLNKTRIPFPP	361
24	A2R685	A2R685_ASPNC	399	SLYFDF <mark>AHDM</mark> ILLGVLTAFGL <mark>R</mark> QFADLPFPDYTDQYF-MDVFPP RH	443
25	E3UHI1	E3UHI1_ASPNG	335	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSTTTVENITQT	373
26	E3UHI4	E3UHI4_ASPNG	335	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSSTTAENITQT	373
27	E3UHI3	E3UHI3_ASPNG	335	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSTTTVQNITQT	373
2.9	E3UHI2	E3UHI2_ASPNG	335	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSSTTAENITQT	373
30	E3UHI6	E3UHI6_ASPNG	335	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSSTTAENITQT	373
31	E3UHI5	E3UHI5_ASPNG	335	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGT <mark>K</mark> -PLSTTTVENITQT	373
32	E9M258	E9M258_ASPNG	335	TLYADF <mark>\$H</mark> E	343
33	Q2MKJ5	Q2MKJ5_ASPNG	338	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGT <mark>K</mark> -PLSSTTAENITQT	376
	E3UHI7	E3UHI7_ASPNG	335	TLYADF <mark>\$HD</mark> NGIISILFALGLYNGTK-PLSTTTVENITQT	373
	A2R765	A2R765_ASPNC	429	AEYCSF-LDYNVPGQLAKFGLHNGGEQDRWLLNEKWESLALVPVNPDTEDSSG-GNGEKD	486
	G3Y5L5	G3Y5L5_ASPNA	421	AEYCPF ⁺ LD <mark>Y</mark> NVPGQLA <mark>K</mark> FGLHNGGEQDEWLLNEKWESLALVPVNPDTEDSSTNSGGEKE	479

FIGURE 2: *Multiple alignment (Clustal O) among the 33 phytases reported for A. niger.* The green boxes indicate the two phytases reviewed and the red boxes indicate the amino acids that are highly conserved in the active site. The letters shaded in green correspond to positively charged amino acids.

sequence. When the glycation potential analysis was done by using the methodology proposed by Sáenz et al., 2016, the results suggest the glycation of 14 lysines. For Lys₂₁₇, there is no prediction of glycation by either method. Table 4 shows the comparison of the results and distances of the ε -NH₂ groups of the lysines and acidic or basic residue side chains. Figure 6 shows some distances between lysines and other acidic or basic residues in 3-phytase B.

3.9. Profile of Antigenicity of 3-Phytase A and Chain A of 3-*Phytase B from A. niger.* The antigenic propensity or average epitope of 3-phytase A is 1.0304; when the average for the complete protein is greater than 1.0 then all residues having more than 1.0 are potentially antigenic. Figure 7(1A) shows the peaks of antigenicity in green colored circles along the chain of amino acids in 3-phytase A. In Figure 7(1B), the two peaks of antigenicity are located on the surface of the protein with higher score in green color and, additionally, its active center in red color is observed, corresponding to the information registered on the antigenic determinants in Table 5. The amino acid Arg₅₈ forms part of the active site of the protein and at the same time is located in an area with a high antigenic propensity, highlighted in underlined font. The letters N highlighted in bold in Table 5 correspond to the positions of the Asparagines (N) which were identified as potential N-glycosylation sites and are also part of some identified antigenic determinant. This finding may be a

contributing factor to the induction of an immune response by 3-phytase A.

The antigenic propensity or average epitope of chain A of 3-phytase B is 1.0234. In Figure 7(2A), the peaks of antigenicity in green circles are observed, along the chain of amino acids in chain A of 3-phytase B. In Figure 7(2B), the peaks of antigenicity in green color are located on the surface of the protein and additionally its active center is observed in red color, corresponding with the information registered on the antigenic determinants in Table 5; the amino acid Arg_{156} is a part of the active site of the protein and, at the same time, is located in an area with a low antigenic propensity, highlighted in underlined font. The letters N highlighted in bold in Table 6 correspond to the positions of the Asparagines (N) which were identified as potential N-glycosylation sites and are also part of some identified antigenic determinant. This finding may be a factor contributing to the induction of an immune response by 3-phytase B.

3.10. Ligand and Molecular Coupling Models (Rigid Docking). The 3D structure of the phytic acid ligand (myo-inositol 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 hexakisphosphate) was generated by the Spartan 4.0 program and is observed in Figure 8. This ligand was used in the molecular coupling models

Molecular coupling models (Rigid Docking) were performed with the two revised phytases from *A. niger* and phytic acid as ligand which was directed to the catalytic

TABLE 1: Physicochemi	cal properties of the two rep	oorted and revised phy	tases from A. nige	r.		
Physical and chemical properties (ProtParam)	3-Phyt PJ	tase A (monomer) DB ID: 3K4O		3-Phytase B	(chain A, Homodi DB ID: 10FX	imer)
as semience length		467			479	
		5				
Signal peptide length		57			51	
Mature protein length		444			460	
Molecular Weight (kDa)		48,84			58,78	
Instability Index	45	5,41 (Unstable)		Ϋ́,	3,66 (Stable)	
	5 (Intra	chain) in positions:		5 (Intra	chain) in positions	
		Cys ₈ -Cys ₁₇		0	Cys ₅₂ -Cys ₃₆₈	
	0	$Cys_{48} - Cys_{401}$		0	VS109-CVS453	
Disultae bond	0	Dys ₁₉₂ -Cys ₄₄₂		O	ys ₁₉₇ -Cys ₄₂₂	
	0	$Cys_{241} - Cys_{259}$		0	ys ₂₀₆ -Cys ₂₇₉	
	0	Cys ₄₁₃ -Cys ₄₂₁		C	ys ₃₉₄ -Cys ₄₀₂	
Theoretical Isoelectric Point (iP)		4,94			4,6	
	4,4 hours (Reticu	locytes of mammals, <i>ii</i>	ı vitro)	1,1 hours (Reticul	ocytes of mammal	s, in vitro)
Estimated Lifetime	>20 hoi	urs (yeasts, in vivo)		3 minut	tes (yeasts, in vivo)	
	>10 hot	urs (E. coli, in vivo)		2 minut	tes (E. coli, in vivo)	0
Aliphatic Index		72,25			70,46	
Average Hydropathicity (GRAVY)		-0,304			-0,33	
	Ala (A)	29	6.5%	Ala (A)	40	8.7%
	Arg (R)	19	4.3%	Arg (R)	14	3.0%
	Asn(N)	19	4.3%	Asn (N)	38	8.3%
	Asp (D)	29	6.5%	Asp (D)	24	5.2%
	Cys (C)	10	2.3%	Cys (C)	10	2.2%
	Gln (Q)	19	4.3%	Gln (Q)	14	3.0%
	Glu (E)	22	5.0%	Glu (E)	24	5.2%
	Gly (G)	30	6.8%	Gly (G)	37	8.0%
	His (H)	9	2.0%	His (H)	9	1.3%
	Ile (I)	18	4.1%	Ile (I)	19	4.1%
A mino acids composition	Leu (L)	36	8.1%	Leu (L)	36	7.8%
	Lys (K)	15	3.4%	Lys (K)	13	2.8%
	Met (M)	4	0.9%	Met (M)	7	1.5%
	Phe (F)	25	5.6%	Phe (F)	20	4.3%
	Pro(P)	22	5.0%	Pro(P)	27	5.9%
	Ser (S)	49	11.0%	Ser (S)	34	7.4%
	Thr (T)	39	8.8%	Thr (T)	32	7.0%
	Trp (W)	4	0.9%	Trp (W)	6	1.3%
	Tyr(Y)	18	4.1%	Tyr (Y)	35	7.6%
	Val (V)	28	6.3%	Val (V)	24	5.2%
	Pyl (O)	0	%0	Pyl (O)	0	0%
	Sec (U)	0	0%0	Sec (U)	0	%0
Total number (%) of negatively charged amino acids (Asp + Glu)		51 (11.48%)			48 (10.43%)	
Total number (%) of positively charged amino acids (Asp + Glu)		34 (7.65%)			27 (5.86%)	

Enzyme Research

7



FIGURE 3: (a) *Ribbon diagram of 3-phytase A (PDB ID: 3K4Q)*. The 6 residues marked in red color form part of the pocket of the highly conserved ligand binding active site (R_{58} , H_{59} , G, X(R_{62}), R_{142} , X, P, H_{338} , D_{339}); (b) *ribbon diagram of the 3-phytase B chain A (PDBID: 1QFX)*; the 6 residues indicated in red color form part of the pocket of the highly conserved ligand binding active site (R_{62} , H_{63} , G, X(R_{66}), R_{156} , X, P, H_{318} , and D_{319}); (c) and (d) *active site rear view of the amino acids involved in the interactions that allow dimerization* (king blue color), tetramerization (green color), and active ligand binding sites (red color) in the dimer (left) and in the B chain of the 3-phytase B dimer (right); (e) *surface diagram* of the 3-phytase B top view; (f) *surface diagram* of the 3-phytase B bottom view.

Physica chamical property	3-Phytase A (4	144 residues)	3-Phytase B (460 residues)		
r hysicochennical property	Residue position	Score	Residue position	Score	
	Ala ₁₆₄	-2.300 (min.)	Glu ₆₅	-2.633 (min.)	
	Asp ₆₆	-2.289	Ala ₁₃₅	-2.200	
Hydrophobicity	Ser ₃₁₄	-2.211	Thr ₂₀₄	-2.211	
	Ile ₃₄₅	2.722 (max.)	Leu ₃₂₉	3.089 (max.)	
	Leu ₃₄₆	2.722 (max.)			
	Residue position	Value	Residue position	Value	
	Glu ₃₈₇	3.633 (min.)	Gln ₅₆	4.089 (min.)	
Accessibility	Ser ₁₈₂	<u>7.811</u>	Ser ₃₇₄	7.344	
	Gly ₆₉	8.467 (max.)	Ser ₇₁	<u>7.389 (max.)</u>	

TABLE 2: Hydrophobicity score in the two reported and revised phytases from *A. niger* (ProtScale). Minimum and maximum values of accessibility in the two reported and revised phytases of *A. niger* (ProtScale).



FIGURE 4: *Hydrophobicity profiles* (a and b) of phytases A and B from *A. niger*; (c) and (d) surface and ribbons diagram of the 3-phytase A; (e) and (f) surface and ribbons diagram of the chain A in the 3-phytase B, with the highest scoring amino acids (red color) and lower score (yellow color) of hydrophobicity. The circle in black color refers to the amino acids that allow homodimer formation in the 3-phytase B.

a	a position	Potential	Jury agreement	N-Glyc
	Prediction of I	N-glycosylation sites for 3-phytas	e A (PDB ID: 3K4Q)	
(1)	27 NQSS	0.5302	(6/9)	+
(2)	59 N ESV	0.6564	(9/9)	++
(3)	105 N ATT	0.6414	(7/9)	+
(4)	120 NYSL	0.7272	(9/9)	++
(5)	207 N NTL	0.5930	(7/9)	+
(6)	230 N FTA	0.6720	(8/9)	+
(7)	339 NHTL	0.4021	(7/9)	-
(8)	352 N STL	0.7211	(9/9)	++
(9)	376 N GTK	0.7904	(9/9)	+++
(10)	388 N ITQ	0.6418	(8/9)	+
	Prediction of N-glycos	ylation sites for Chain A of 3-phy	vtase B (PDB ID: 1QFX)	
(1)	87 N TTE	0.4822	(4/9)	-
(2)	172 N YST	0.6708	(8/9)	+
(3)	208 N LTY	0.7573	(9/9)	+++
(4)	231 NLTA	0.6870	(9/9)	++
(5)	296 N ASL	0.5727	(6/9)	+
(6)	321 N ITP	0.1572	(9/9)	
(7)	406 N YTS	0.6257	(8/9)	+
(8)	423 N VSA	0.5649	(5/9)	+
(9)	439 N TTT	0.5323	(7/9)	+

TABLE 3: Possible N-glycosylation sites. Three phytases A and B from A. niger.

pocket of the enzymes where the active site (RHGXRXP-HD) is located. Figure 9 shows the result of the lower energy docking (-6.3 kcal/mol) between 3-phytase A and phytic acid. Figure 10 provides an overview of the protein and the ligand coupling at the active site.

In Table 6, the results of the five lower docking energies (kcal/mol) obtained with the Autodock program at a distance of 0.375 Å are registered; the amino acids in bold refer to those that make up the highly conserved active site in the histidine acid phosphatases (HAP) and that are involved in the formation of hydrogen bonds with the ligand. In Figure 11, the results recorded in Table 6 are shown. The green dots in Figure 11 refer to the electrostatic interactions involving the formation of hydrogen bonds between the amino acids of the active site and/or the nearest ones to it, and the oxygens or hydrogens of the phytic acid ligand.

Figure 12 shows the docking result between the A chain of the 3-phytase B homodimer and the phytic acid. In Table 6, the results of the first five docking energies (kcal/mol) obtained with the Autodock program are shown. Figure 13 provides an overview of the protein and the ligand coupling at the active site.

In Table 5, the results of the five lower docking energies (kcal/mol) obtained with the Autodock program at a distance of 0.375 Å are recorded; the amino acids in bold refer to those that make up the highly conserved active site in the histidine acid phosphatases (HAP) and that are involved in the formation of hydrogen bonds with the ligand. In Figure 12, the results recorded in Table 2 are evidenced. The green

colored spots in Figure 11 refer to the electrostatic interactions involving the formation of hydrogen bonds between the amino acids of the active site and/or the closest ones to it and the oxygens or hydrogens of the phytic acid ligand.

4. Discussion

The database UNIPROT is characterized for being a nonredundant database, in which, on September 13, 2015, a large number of phytases was reported, 12651 in total, covering different species of microorganisms, plants, and animals. According to Casey and Walsh (2004), 115 (0.9%) phytases belonged to the Aspergillus genus, of which 33 (28.69%) corresponded to the species *A. niger*; they asserted that the genus Aspergillus is one of the most prolific extracellular producers of phytase enzymes [7].

Of these 33 reported phytases, 11 (33.3%) were found as revised for the genus Aspergillus, (phytases that were manually cured by experts in the UNIPROT database). Within this genus, the species *A. terreus* was the one that obtained a greater report of phytases, three in total. However, the three reports for this species corresponded to the same enzyme, 3-phytase A, expressed by the same *phyA* gene. In contrast, the *A. niger* species presented two reports of revised phytases, corresponding to different phytases, the 3-phytase A and the 3-phytase B, expressed by different *phyA* and *phyB* genes, respectively. Both revised phytases from *A. niger* were experimentally obtained by X-ray diffraction [21, 22].

Phytases A2R765 and G3Y5L5 in Figure 1 (green box) were removed from the computational analysis because they



FIGURE 5: Accessibility profiles (a and b) of phytases A and B from A. niger. (c) and (d) Surface and ribbons diagram of the 3-phytase A. (e) and (f) Surface and ribbons diagram of chain A in 3-phytase B, where the amino acids with the minimum value (green color) and maximum value (purple) of accessibility are observed. The black colored circle refers to the amino acids that allow homodimer formation in 3-phytase B.

do not have PDB code or are not characterized within UNIPROT.

The ClustalW alignment showed that the sequences of these two phytases reviewed, the 3-phytase A with PDB ID:

3K4Q and the 3-phytase B with PDB ID: 1QFX, are different, being from different proteins. However, the sequences of the chains A and B that form the dimer of 3-phytase B are identical (homodimer). The first revised phytase of A.

Lysine position	Acid residue distance: Å	Basic residue distance: Å	Glycation predict Netglycate 1.0 [16]	tion [17]
	3-Phytase A (ID:	3K4Q)		
68	Lys ₆₈ -Glu ₂₀₅ : 8.26	Lys ₆₈ -Lys ₇₀ : 6.51	Х	Х
70	Lys ₇₀ -Asp ₆₆ : 4.09	Lys ₇₀ -Lys ₇₁ : 9.05; Lys ₇₀ -Lys ₆₈ : 6.51		Х
71	Lys ₇₁ -Glu ₂₃₃ : 9.89		Х	Х
89	Lys ₈₉ -Asp ₂₂₃ : 5.68		Х	Х
94	Acid residue location > 13.98	Basic residues location > 13.06	_	_
119	Lys ₁₁₉ -Asp ₄₀₅ : 4.21; Lys ₁₁₉ -Asp ₁₂ : 4.27			Х
148		Lys ₁₄₈ -Lys ₁₄₉ : 9.73		Х
149	Lys ₁₄₉ -Glu ₁₅₂ : 4.52		Х	Х
158	Lys ₁₅₈ -Asp ₁₆₁ : 7.65		Х	Х
160	Lys ₁₆₀ -Asp ₁₆₁ : 9.97		Х	Х
172	Lys ₁₇₂ -Asp ₁₇₄ : 4.50		Х	Х
254	Lys ₂₅₄ -Asp ₂₄₄ : 9.84			Х
277	Lys ₂₇₇ -Asp ₂₃₉ : 7.30; Lys ₂₇₇ -Asp ₂₀₂ : 9.16; Lys ₂₇₇ -Glu ₂₀₅ : 4.28	Lys ₂₇₇ -Lys ₆₈ : 7.84; Lys ₂₇₇ -Lys ₂₇₈ : 6.72		Х
278		Lys ₂₇₈ -Lys ₂₇₇ : 6.72; Lys ₂₇₈ -His ₂₈₂ : 6.51		Х
356	Lys ₃₅₆ -Asp ₃₇₀ : 8.5; Lys ₃₅₆ -Glu ₃₆₄ : 9.83			Х
	TOTAL		7	14
	Chain A of 3-phytase I	B (ID: 1QFX)		
14	Lys ₁₄ -Glu ₁₉ : 8.26		Х	Х
28	Lys ₂₈ -Glu ₃₈ : 8.55; Lys ₂₈ -Asp ₂₂ : 6.95	Lys ₂₈ -His ₂₉ : 8.6		Х
61	Lys ₆₁ -Asp ₁₂₅ : 6.56	Lys ₆₁ -His ₃₆₀ : 4.63; Lys ₆₁ -His ₁₂₉ : 4.40		Х
74	Lys ₇₄ -Glu ₇₇ : 9.04; Lys ₇₄ -Glu ₇₈ : 4.51; Lys ₇₄ -Asp ₇₅ : 5.95			Х
82	Lys ₈₂ -Glu ₇₈ : 9.46; Lys ₈₂ -Asp ₂₃₆ : 4.15			Х
92	Lys ₉₂ -Glu ₉₀ : 4.61			Х
134		Lys ₁₃₄ -His ₁₃₉ : 9.21		Х
163	Lys ₁₆₃ -Glu ₁₅₉ : 8.49; Lys ₁₆₃ -Glu ₁₆₆ : 4.74	Lys ₁₆₃ -Arg ₄₄₇ : 9.84		Х
217	Acid residues location > 11.97	Basic residues location > 13.15	_	_
285	Lys ₂₈₅ -Glu ₂₈₄ : 7.35			Х
307	Lys ₃₀₇ -Glu ₃₀₈ : 9.81		Х	Х
413	Acid residues location > 29.31	Basic residues location > 23.84	Х	
	Total		3	10

TABLE 4: Distances between lysines and acidic or basic residues in the 3D structure of 3-phytase A and the chain A of 3-phytase B and their relation with the prediction of possible glycation sites.

niger, the 3-phytase A, corresponds to a monomer and the second phytase revised, the 3-phytase B, corresponds to a homodimer formed by chains A and B. The two revised phytases from *A. niger* also differ in the amount and type of amino acids that form the signal peptide, being 23 residues for 3-phytase A and 19 for 3-phytase B.

The ClustalO alignment (Figure 2) showed that of the 33 reported phytases, 31 (93.9%) share a highly conserved motif corresponding to the active ligand binding site (RHGXRXP-HD) in the family of the histidine acid phosphatases "HAP" [22, 24, 25], which allows classification within this family. Within these 31 phytases, 3-phytases A and B (Figure 2, green box), revised phytases for *A. niger* species, were found. Phytases that were not characterized within the UNIPROT database (A2R765 and G3Y5L5), which were not assigned PDB code, did not present this highly conserved motif. Initially, it was possible to detect that the majority of the amino

acids forming this highly conserved active site correspond to positively charged residues (Figure 2, green shading).

The enzymes 3-phytase A and 3-phytase B, belonging to the histidine acid phosphatases family (HAP), have the same enzymatic code E.C.3.1.3.8 according to the database BRENDA, which corresponds to the enzymes with phosphohydrolase activity. These enzymes catalyze the phosphomonoester bonds of phytic acid, releasing orthophosphate and producing final derivatives such as inositol and inositol monophosphate, which have a lower capacity to bind to metals [25]. This family of phytases share the active site (RHGXRXP-HD), whose catalytic pockets are for 3-phytase A (R₅₈, **H**₅₉, G, X₆₂, R₁₄₂, X, P, H₃₃₈, **D**₃₃₉) [22] and for the 3-phytase B (R_{62} , H_{63} , G_{66} , X_{156} , R_{318} , X, P, H_{318} , D_{319}) [21]; both enzymes have activity at acidic pH (2.5-6.0) and at temperatures between 40 and 60°C, have low substrate specificity, and are capable of hydrolyzing phytate up to inositol monophosphate (IP1), [26].

Fragment number	Position	Sequence		Total Number
i ruginent number	Initial	ocquence	Final	a.a.
		Antigenic determinants of 3-phytase A (Long. Total = $444 a.a.$)		
		<i>Mean antigenic propensity</i> = 1.0304		
1	23	HLWGQYAPFFSLA N ESVISPEVPAGCRVTFAQVLS <u>R</u>	58	36
2	374	SAWTVPFASRLYVEMMQCQAEQEPLVRVLVNDRVVPLHGCPVDALGR		47
		Antigenic determinants of chain A in 3-phytase B (Long. Total = 460 a.a.)		
		<i>Mean antigenic propensity</i> = 1.0234		
1	322	ITPILAALGVLIPNE	336	15
2	378	TYVRLVLNEAVLPFN	392	15

TABLE 5: Antigenic determinants of 3-phytase A and of chain A in 3-phytase B from A. niger.

TABLE 6: Results of lower docking energies between 3-phytase A and the A chain of 3-phytase B versus phytic acid, obtained with the Autodock program.

Classification	Energy (kcal/mol)	Number of hydrogen bonds formed	AA involved
		Docking energy between 3-phytase	A and phytic acid
First	-6.3	8	Arg ₅₈ , His ₅₉ , Arg ₆₂ , Arg ₆₂ , Arg ₁₄₂ , Arg ₁₄₂ , His ₃₃₈ , Asp ₃₃₉
Second	-6.2	7	Tyr ₂₈ , Arg ₆₂ , Arg ₆₂ , Arg ₁₄₂ , Arg ₁₄₂ , His ₃₃₈ , Asp ₃₃₉
Third	-6.0	7	Tyr ₂₈ , Arg₆₂ , Arg₆₂ , Arg₆₂ , Lys ₂₇₇ , Lys ₂₇₈ , Asn ₃₄₀
Fourth	-6.0	5	Tyr ₂₈ , His₅₉ , Arg₆₂ , Arg₆₂ , Lys ₂₇₈
Fifth	-5.9	8	Arg ₆₂ , Arg ₁₄₂ , Arg ₁₄₂ , Lys ₂₇₈ , His ₂₈₂ , His ₃₃₈ , Asn ₃₄₀ , Asn ₃₄₀
		Docking energy between 3-phytase B ch	nain A and phytic acid
First	-6.4	3	Arg ₆₂ , Arg ₆₆ , Tyr ₂₇₆
Second	-6.4	4	$Arg_{66}, Arg_{66}, Ser_{69}, Ser_{71}$
Third	-6.3	6	Arg ₆₂ , Ser ₇₁ , Try ₁₅₄ , Arg ₁₅₆ , Arg ₁₅₆ , Asn ₂₇₅
Fourth	-6.1	3	Asn ₃₃ , Ser ₆₉ , Tyr ₂₇₆
Fifth	-5.9	4	Asn ₃₃ , Glu ₂₇₂ , Asn ₂₇₅ , Tyr ₂₇₆

The 2D structure of the monomer (Figure 3) of the 3phytase A and the A chain of the 3-phytase B homodimer (Figure 3) have very similar conformations, being in higher proportion random coils (45% and 50%, resp.) and alpha helices (43% and 38% resp.) in both proteins and in a lower proportion (12% in both proteins) (Figure 3) which provides a more compact structure to both proteins. However, 3phytase A presents a 3D structure formed by a single domain containing 20 alpha helices and only 8 beta sheets, while the A chain of the homodimer has a more complex structure made up of two domains with the active site located in the interface (Figure 3, red color). The largest domain consists of 11 alpha helices and 8 beta sheets, and the smallest consists of only 10 alpha helices.

The 3-phytase B has two identical A and B chains, which have 39 amino acids (Lys_{14} -Tyr_{24}, Leu_{27} -His₂₉, Tyr₃₆, Glu₃₈, Ser₄₁-Ala₄₅, Tyr₁₂₀, Lys₂₁₇, Leu₂₄₈, Pro₂₅₂-Ser₂₅₄, Gln₂₆₂-Asp₂₆₃, Val₂₆₆-Ser₂₆₇, Asn₃₃₅, Arg₃₄₂, Phe₃₄₅-Gly₃₄₆, Ala₃₇₂, Asp₃₉₃, Gly₃₉₉, Tyr₄₀₀) which allow the interactions that give rise to its dimerization (Figure 3). Kostrewa et al. (1999) obtained a crystal of this protein, formed by a tetramer from two homodimers due to its crystallographic symmetry, and identified 17 amino acids involved in the interactions that facilitate the formation of the tetramer, thus achieving greater stability: Cys₁₀₉, Glu₁₁₄, Thr₁₁₆, Gly₁₁₈, Ala₁₂₁, Leu₁₂₃-Leu₁₂₄, Tyr₁₂₇-Asn₁₂₈, Asn₁₃₁, Lys₁₆₃, Glu₁₆₆, Tyr₁₇₁, Arg₄₄₇, Pro₄₅₀-Ile₄₅₁, Cys₄₅₃ (Figure 3).

Two N-acetylglucosamine residues, NAG472 and NAG473, from a chain of carbohydrates bound to Ans_{172} are involved in the formation of the homotetramer in the crystal. These carbohydrate chains do not represent the complete natural glycosylation, but result from partial deglycosylation. The main tetramerization contacts are located on the opposite side of the entrance to the active site, so that the four active sites of the tetramer are exposed to the solvent and are easily accessible to the substrate [21].

In Figure 3, the amino acids forming the highly conserved ligand binding site (RHGXRXP-HD) in both phytases are detailed, which had initially been determined to be mostly positively charged amino acids (Clustal O alignment), because the ligand "phytic acid" is a very negative organic molecule which, thanks to the presence of the 6 phosphate groups (PO_4^{-3}), each of them located in a carbon atom of the inositol ring, binds to this active site by electrostatic interactions of Van der Waals type that generate temporal molecular couplings [27].

The amino acids indicated in red in both phytases correspond to the amino acids that perform the nucleophilic attack, according to the catalytic mechanism proposed by Oh et al. (2004), who assert that the histidine residue in



FIGURE 6: Distances between amino acids involved in glycation, $Lysine_{119}$ (a) and $Lysine_{277}$ (b), and acid residues (Asp_{405} and Glu_{205}) in the 3D structure of 3-phytase A. Distances between $Lysine_{14}$ (c) and the $Lysine_{74}$ (d) and acid residues (Glu_{19} and Glu_{78}) in the 3D structure of chain A of 3-phytase B.

the highly conserved active site RHGXRXP- serves as a nucleophile in the formation of a covalent phosphohistidine intermediate, while the aspartic acid residue from the Cterminal in the conserved sequence HD serves as a proton donor to the oxygen atom of the cleavable phosphomonoester bond, generating myo-inositol monophosphate as the final product.

The amino acid H_{338} that appears in the legend of Figure 3 between question marks is part of the conserved HD sequence in 3-phytase A that was not reported by Oakley (2010), but that participates in ligand binding; in this research, this amino acid is proposed as a part of the highly conserved active site as observed in molecular docking performed through the Autodock program at a distance of 0.375 Å.

The results obtained by the ProtParam program (Table 1) demonstrated that the 3-phytase A has a length of 444 aa and has a molecular weight of 48.84 kDa. Chain A of 3-phytase B, on the other hand, has a total length of 460 aa in mature state

and a molecular weight of 58.78 kDa. These proteins have a p*I* of 4.94 and 4.6, respectively, which allows them to be classified as acid phytases.

3-phytase A (monomer) has a higher instability index (45.41) compared to chain A of 3-phytase B, whose instability results are inferior (33.66), which allows to catalog the latest as a stable protein (<40 = stable, values >40 = unstable), possibly due to the fact that the initial formation of the homodimer between the identical chains A and B gives it greater stability. Additionally, the formation of five intrachain disulfide bonds in both chains allows them to stabilize their three-dimensional structure.

The half-life of a protein is a prediction of the time it takes half the concentration of a protein in a cell to degrade after its synthesis. The 3-phytase A, being a monomeric protein, has a longer lifespan (>20 hours in yeast, *in vivo*) possibly because the N-terminal group in its sequence is Alanine (Ala1) and the proteins that possess Met, Ser, Ala, Thr, Val, or Gly at the



FIGURE 7: Antigenicity profile. (1A) 3-Phytase A from A. niger; (1B) location of antigenicity peaks (green color). 3-Phytase A from A. niger and RHGXRXP-HD active site (\underline{R}_{58} , \underline{H}_{59} , R_{62} , R_{142} , H_{338} , and \underline{D}_{339}); (2A) chain A in 3-phytase B from A. niger; (2B) location of antigenicity peaks (green color).



FIGURE 8: 3D structure of phytic acid (myo-inositol 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 hexakisphosphate). (a) Diagram obtained from Pubchem; (b) 3D model generated by the Spartan 4.0 program and visualized with PyMOL.

N-terminal position register lifespans greater than 20 hours [28, 29].

On the contrary, chain A of 3-phytase B yielded a result of 3 minutes of lifespan in yeast, possibly because the Nterminal group in its sequence is phenyl alanine (Phe₁) and the proteins that have Phe, Leu, Asp, Lys, or Arg at the Nterminal position register lifespans of less than 3 minutes [28, 29]. It appears that this factor involves the ubiquitin system, which is a small protein (76 amino acids) found in all eukaryotic cells and that undergoes an ATP-dependent reaction with proteins, condensing their C-terminal glycine residues with groups of amino of lysines of the protein to be labeled. These modified proteins are degraded shortly afterwards by a proteolytic complex which is recognized by the ubiquitin marker and because of this their lifespan is very short [28, 29]. The biological importance of the calculation of this parameter lies in the fact that the production of recombinant enzymes takes into account both the lifespan of the proteins to be expressed as well as their stability, in such way that a reduction of the degradation rate of proteins from heterologous genes is achieved.

The results of the aliphatic index of the two revised phytases from *A. niger*, 3-phytase A and 3-phytase B (72.25 and 70.46, resp.), allow the consideration of the fact that the



FIGURE 9: Docking result between 3-phytase A (monomer) and phytic acid. (a) Active site (RHGXRXP-HD) consisting of residues R_{58} , H_{59} , R_{62} , R_{142} , H_{338} , and D_{339} versus phytic acid. (b) Ribbons diagram of the amino acids that make up the active site of the protein and (c) surface diagram of the phytic acid ligand attached to the pocket of the active site of the protein, visualized with the program Autodock.

relative volume occupied by its aliphatic side chains Ala, Val, Ile, and Leu increases thermostability in both phytases (both are globular secretory proteins).

The results of GRAVY (grand average of hydropathy) in 3-phytase A and 3-phytase B (-0.304 and -0.33, resp.) are obtained by combining the values of hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity of the side chains in their sequences. These negative values explain the reason why they tend to interact with aqueous media, typical of secretory proteins such as extracellular phytases [27].

The hydrophobicity profile allowed identifying that amino acids with a high score (Ile_{345} y Leu_{346} in 3-phytase A and Leu_{329} in 3-phytase B, Table 2) were found in areas with little exposure (inside alpha helices, Figures 4(c)-4(f)) in both proteins because such amino acids have aliphatic side chains that do not interact easily with aqueous solvents. On the contrary, amino acids with a minimum hydrophobicity score were found in exposed areas of the proteins (Ala_{164} in 3-phytase A and Glu_{65} in 3-phytase B). In the case of Glu_{65} , being an amino acid whose R group does not have positive or negative charges at physiological pH, that is, pH close to 6.5 and 7.0, allows it to be solubilized more easily in aqueous solvents and, in the case of Ala_{164} , to have a short aliphatic side chain that allows it to interact more easily with the aqueous medium. It should be noted that none of these amino acids were a part of the active site of ligand binding in both phytases. The amino acids indicated within the black circle in Figure 4(e) are involved in the formation of the homodimer in 3-phytase B and therefore are not found within an exposed zone of the protein. In general terms, 3-phytase A and 3-phytase B present few hydrophobic regions, as expected in secretory proteins [27].

The accessibility profile allowed the identification of the amino acids more or less exposed to the solvent, according to the score obtained and recorded in Table 2. For the 3-phytase A and the 3-phytase B, the Gly_{69} and the Ser_{71} , respectively, were located in areas that were very exposed to the solvent and that were not a part of the active ligand binding site (Figures 5(c)-5(f)). The amino acid glycine has a simple structure, is the smallest amino acid, and is the only nonchiral amino acid, characteristics that allow it to acquire special conformations that other amino acids can not, and for this reason obtains a high solvent accessibility score. As for the serine amino acid, although it has an uncharged R polar group (-OH), it is short, very reactive, and hydrophilic, with a tendency to form hydrogen bonds with water.

The amino acids with the lowest accessibility score (Glu₃₈₇ in 3-phytase A and Gln₅₆ in 3-phytase B) were located inside the protein as a part of beta sheets (Figures 5(c)-5(f)) because glutamine is a polar amino acid and glutamic acid



FIGURE 10: General overview of the docking result between 3-phytase A and phytic acid (lowest energy = -6.3 kcal/mol). Active site (RHGXRXP-HD) consisting of residues R_{58} , H_{59} , R_{62} , R_{142} , H_{338} , and D_{339} versus phytic acid, visualized with the Autodock program. The red colored areas in the phytic acid correspond to regions with negative charge. Green dots refer to the H Bridges established between the ligand and the amino acids that form the active site in the protein.

is negatively charged, which reduces its exposure to the solvent. According to the hydrophobicity profile, 3-phytase A and 3-phytase B present a high proportion of zones of easy accessibility to the aqueous medium along their sequences.

Secretory proteins, such as phytase enzymes, have carbohydrate addition or glycosylation sites that allow them to be recognized in the rough endoplasmic reticulum for their future correct folding and secretion [30, 31]. Using the NetNGlyc 1.0 bioinformatics program, 9 N-glycosylation sites for 3-phytase A (monomer) and 7 N-glycosylation sites for 3-phytase B (dimer) chain A were established. The positions of the Asparagines (N) along both phytase chains that were located in an Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr section (where Xaa is any amino acid except proline) could be glycosylated (Table 3). It stands out that the seven possible N-glycosylation sites predicted for chain A of 3-phytase B must be duplicated because this phytase is formed by two identical chains, A and B, that initially form a homodimer; for that reason, it would have a total of 14 possible N-glycosylation sites for this phytase. The carbohydrate that binds directly to these N-glycosylation sites is normally N-acetylglucosamine [21, 22]. These added sugars will promote the correct folding of the phytases, deducing a mechanism of quality control of synthesis and assembly of the proteins, thus increasing its stability [32].

In glycation, the initial reversible reaction occurs between aldehyde or ketone groups of reducing sugars and ε -NH₂ groups of lysines or the amino terminal of the protein. Subsequently, there is formation of Amadori products and finally AGEs (Advanced Glycation End products) are formed [33]. In general, the amino groups with the lowest pKavalue should be more reactive towards glycation due to their nucleophilic capacity [34]. In the case of lysines, it has been suggested that the proximity of nearby residues plays a determining role to be or not glycated. The positively charged amino acids located near the primary structure or the threedimensional structure decrease the pKa and thereby catalyze the glycation of such lysines [35]. Likewise, it has been suggested that the proximity of an acid residue to a lysine catalyzes the formation of Amadori products, which would make lysine more reactive to be glycated [36]. The results of the comparison of lysine prediction by the Netglycate algorithm [16], which exclusively considers the primary sequence of the protein and the methodology proposed by Sáenz et al. (2016), which uses the 3D structure of the protein, are shown in Table 4 and allow us to point out that, for the case of 3-phytase A, according to the proposal of the spatial relationship between the ε -NH₂ group and side chains of acidic or basic residues as a requirement for glycation, 14 lysines with distances inferior to 9.89 are considered as potentially glycable. Although these distances exist in the 3D structure, only seven were considered by the algorithm Netglycate 1.0. The only lysine without glycation prediction by the two methodologies presented distances above 13.06 Å between the ε -NH₂ group and the side chains of basic or acidic residues, a distance that would not allow the chemical interaction between these chemical groups as a requirement for glycation.

For the case of chain A of 3-phytase B, of the 10 lysines susceptible to being glycated as proposed by Sáenz et al. (2016), all with distances lower than 9.48 Å, only two are considered by Netglycate 1.0. The third lysine with prediction of glycation (K_{413}) is not considered by this proposal since the group ε -NH₂ is separated from the side chains of basic or acidic residues by distances greater than 23.84 Å, a distance that would not allow the chemical interaction between these chemical groups as a requirement for glycation. The only lysine not considered by the two methodologies is K_{217} , whose ε -NH₂ group is located away from the side chains of basic or acidic residues by distances greater than 13.15 or 11.97 Å, respectively, distances that would not allow the chemical interaction between these chemical groups as a requirement for glycation.

Ninety percent (9/10) of the Netglycate 1.0 predictions, as proposed by Sáenz et al. (2016), can be associated with the spatial relationship between lysines and acidic or basic residues less than 10 Å and the remaining 10% (1/10), the K_{413} of 1QFX, presents distances greater than 23.84 Å to acidic or basic residues even though, according to the data provided by the algorithm Netglycate 1.0, its probability (score) of occurrence of glycation is scarcely 59.8% (data not



FIGURE 11: Van der Waals electrostatic interactions involving the formation of hydrogen bonds (green dots) between the active site amino acids and/or those closest to it in 3-phytase A (a–e), chain A of 3-phytase B (f–j), and the oxygens or hydrogens of the phytic acid ligand. Red colored regions can be seen, corresponding to negative regions in the electrostatic cloud of the ligand that make contact with the amino acids of the protein.



FIGURE 12: Result of the docking between the A Chain of the homodimer from 3-phytase B and the phytic acid. (a) Active site (RHGXRXP-HD) consisting of residues R_{62} , H_{63} , R_{66} , R_{156} , H_{318} , and D_{319} versus phytic acid. (b) Ribbons diagram of the amino acids that compose the active site of the protein and (c) surface diagram of the phytic acid ligand attached to the pocket of the active site of the protein, visualized with the program Autodock.

shown), being the lowest of all; however, it is predicted to be potentially glycable.

These results are in accordance with what Sáenz et al. (2016) proposed, because it is not necessarily the sequence (primary structure) but the spatial relationship in the 3D structure that favors the lysines glycation, provided that the distances of lysines to acidic or basic residues are less than 10 Å (Figure 6). In this sense, this type of enzymes with high percentages of acidic and basic residues and lysines close, in both the primary structure and the 3D structure, to acid residues or other basic residues generates a high probability of chemical interaction between that type of amino acids, required for glycation. Finally, the Netglycate algorithm only predicted as glycable lysines 37.5% of those proposed by Sáenz et al. (2016).

"In vitro" investigations with other proteins that have been brought into contact with reducing sugars show that glycation may affect biological activity [37]. Assays performed with recombinant human interferon-gamma (hIFN- γ) glycoprotein isolates in *E. coli* demonstrated that such purified protein was also prone to progressive proteolysis and covalent dimerization during storage, since late glycation stages cause the cleavage of the peptide bond and the covalent reticulation in lysine and arginine residues (but not of cysteine) [38]; that is to say that glycation promotes protein fragmentation and is produced in glycated lysines [17]. However, the "*in vitro*" effect caused in proteins should be studied carefully to correctly determine the cause-effect relationship, since the observed phenomena could be the consequence of the glycation of other components that can interact with the proteins or of the reactions between the protein and some by-product generated during glycation [37]. Therefore, the identification of these potential glycation sites in 3-phytase A and 3-phytase B chain A could represent potential sites of fragmentation of the concentrated or purified proteins during prolonged storage times, negatively affecting their biological activity.

In 3-phytase A, the average antigenic propensity was 1.0304, and when the average value is higher than 1.0, the amino acids that are above 1.0 will be potentially antigenic. According to the data recorded in Table 5 and compared to Figure 7(1A) (Green colored circles), two highly antigenic peaks or regions can be identified. The first region groups the amino acids from His_{23} -Arg₅₈, 36 amino acids in total including the amino acid Arg₅₈, which is the first amino acid that forms part of the ligand binding active site and is therefore part of a solvent accessible zone. The second region registers the highest peak of antigenicity and integrates a greater amount of amino acids from Ser₃₇₄-Arg₄₂₀, 47 amino acids in total, being located in the opposite side to the active



FIGURE 13: General overview of the docking result between the A chain of the 3-phytase B homodimer and the phytic acid (lowest energy = -6.4 kcal/mol). Active site (RHGXRXP-HD) consisting of residues R_{62} , H_{63} , R_{66} , R_{156} , H_{318} , and D_{319} versus phytic acid, visualized with the Autodock program. The red colored areas in the phytic acid correspond to regions with negative charge.

site and in a zone highly exposed to the solvent, as can be observed in Figure 7(1B). The prediction of antigenic peptides takes into account which peptide fragments of a protein are likely to be antigenic. These antigenic fragments should be located in solvent accessible regions and should contain hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues. Therefore, the second region which comprises the highest amount of amino acids and is completely exposed to the solvent would have a higher antigenicity.

In chain A of 3-phytase B, the average antigenic propensity is 1.0234. In contrast to 3-phytase A, the 3-phytase B chain A has more peaks or highly antigenic regions that cluster fewer amino acids; however, according to the data recorded in Table 5 and compared to Figure 7(2A) (green colored circles), two highly antigenic peaks or regions can be identified. The first region groups the amino acids Ile₃₂₂-Glu₃₃₆, 15 amino acids in total, located in an area highly exposed to the solvent. The second region contains amino acids Thr₃₃₈-Asn₃₉₂, 15 amino acids in total, but not all of them are exposed to the solvent. Five of these 15 are hydrophobic (Val₃₈₀, Leu₃₈₂, Val₃₈₃, Leu₃₈₄, and Val₃₈₈) and therefore are located in the interior of the protein (Figure 7(2B)). Therefore, antigenic fragments of the first region, which are all located in solvent accessible regions and contain hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues, would exhibit greater antigenicity.

The positions of the Asparagines (N) that were identified as potential N-glycosylation sites and which are a part of the reported antigenic determinants are highlighted in bold in Table 5 because this is a factor that may contribute to the induction of an immune response by both phytases [39].

Considering the usefulness of phytases as a dietary supplement in monogastric animals, it is also pertinent to consider that the presence of regions with high antigenic propensity along the sequence of these proteins could be translated in the presence of allergens that could trigger an allergic reaction in the host, who ingests them. The process of digestion involves mechanical, chemical, and biochemical processes that allow macronutrients to be transformed into simpler molecules that can be absorbed and used by animals. But despite the fact that these digestive processes take place, significant amounts of protein from diets and that are immunologically active reach the intestinal mucosa of monogastric animals [40]. When there is an actual allergic reaction, the body produces antibodies (proteins that specifically bind to allergens to neutralize and remove them from the body). There are different types of antibodies, but the responsible for allergic reactions to food is known as immunoglobulin E (IgE). The IgE antibody binds to the allergens, triggering an allergic reaction. During this reaction, IgE activates the segregation of signaling molecules in the bloodstream, which simultaneously causes the common symptoms of food

allergies such as skin rashes, inflammation, abdominal pain and inflammation, vomiting, and diarrhea [40]. However, in several investigations performed [41–43] in animals, no reports were found on allergic reactions provoked by phytases to the animals involved in the trials.

In the research conducted by Kostrewa et al. (1999) and Oakley (2010), the ligand used to obtain the crystalline structure of 3-phytase A and 3-phytase B, respectively, was myoinositol-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexakis sulfate (IHS), a potent inhibitor of such enzymes. This chemical compound is isosteric and isoelectric with respect to myo-inositol 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 hexakisphosphate (IHP) and is considered an excellent analogous substrate.

However, the ligand used in this investigation corresponded to the chemical compound myo-inositol 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 hexakisphosphate (IHP), also called phytic acid, the main form of phosphorus storage in the cereals that make up the diet of monogastric animals. This chemical compound is highly negative due to the presence of 6 phosphate groups (PO_4^{-3}) in its inositol ring, which is why the active site of binding to this ligand in phytases is composed mainly of positively charged amino acids (RHGXRXP-HD), [21, 22].

The molecular coupling model (Rigid Docking) directed to the catalytic pocket of 3-phytase A formed by residues Arg₅₈, **His**₅₉, Arg₆₂, Arg₁₄₂, His₃₃₈, and **Asp₃₃₉** [22], and phytic acid as ligand, yielded very interesting results that allowed establishing the formation of Van der Waals electrostatic interactions that generated hydrogen bonds between the amino acids that form the active site of the protein and the oxygen or hydrogen of the phosphate groups of phytic acid (Figure 11). The lowest 5 energies of the molecular coupling result were selected (Table 6) and it was possible to determine which amino acids were forming the hydrogen bonds.

Table 6 shows that in the case of 3-Phytase B there are 6 amino acids (Arg_{58} , His_{59} , Arg_{62} , Arg_{142} , His_{338} , and Asp_{339}) of the active center involved in the formation of 8 hydrogen bonds with phytic acid, generating the lower energy in docking (-6.3 kcal/mol), which is favorable because the greater number of hydrogen bonds formed between the active site of the enzyme and the ligand favors the stability of this temporary molecular interaction [27].

Research by Oakley (2010) reported that the amino acids that form the active site of the protein and therefore establish electrostatic Van der Waals type interactions with the analogous IHP ligand in the crystal by the X-ray diffraction method at a resolution of 2.20 Å are: Arg_{58} , His_{59} , Arg_{62} , Arg_{142} and Asp_{339} . However, the active site of the protein in histidine acid phosphatases presents highly conserved residues (RHGXRXP-HD), involving a histidine in the HD segment. By means of the molecular coupling (Rigid Docking) carried out in this investigation at a distance of 0.375 Å, it was possible to determine that the His_{338} is involved in the formation of a hydrogen bond with the phytic acid ligand that was not previously reported by Oakley (2010), but was consistent with the information reported in the PDBsum database [22].

For the molecular coupling model (Rigid Docking) directed to the catalytic pocket of chain A of 3-phytase B, formed by residues Arg₆₂, **His₆₃**, Arg₆₆, Arg₁₅₆, His₃₁₈, and

21

Asp₃₁₉ [21] and phytic acid as ligand, the formation of Van der Waals electrostatic interactions that generated hydrogen bonds between the amino acids that form the active site of the protein and the oxygens or hydrogens from the phosphate groups of phytic acid was also detected (Figure 11). The lowest 5 energies of the molecular coupling result were selected (Table 6) and it was possible to determine which amino acids were forming the hydrogen bonds.

Table 6 shows that in the case of 3-Phytase B there are 6 amino acids (Arg_{62} , Ser_{71} , Try_{154} , Arg_{156} , Arg_{156} , and Asn_{275}) of the active center involved in the formation of 4 hydrogen bonds with phytic acid, generating the lower energy in docking (-6.3 kcal/mol).

It is interesting to note that Kostrewa et al., (1999) determined that the active site of 3-phytase B is subdivided into a catalytic center (R_{62} , H_{63} , R_{66} , R_{156} , H_{318} y D_{319}) and a substrate specificity site (Asp₇₅ and Glu₂₇₂); however, only the amino acid Arg₆₆ forms part of this active site and participates in the formation of the hydrogen bonds with the analogous substrate IHS in the crystal [21].

Table 6 shows the energy of docking number 2 (-6.4 Kcal/mol) which involves 3 of these amino acids (Arg₆₆, Ser₆₉ and Ser₇₁); although they do not form a part of the active center of the protein, they do form hydrogen bonds with the analog substrate IHS in the crystal. In addition, they are reported in PDB*sum*. Therefore, taking into account the fact that the stability of this temporal molecular interaction depends mainly on the number of hydrogen bonds formed, the energy that would offer greater stability would be number 3, since it additionally involves a greater number of amino acids than those that are located in the active site of the enzyme [27].

5. Conclusions

The species Aspergillus niger expresses two phytases currently reported by the UNIPROT database: 3-phytase A (PDB ID: 3K4Q) corresponding to a monomer and 3-phytase B (PDB ID: 1QFX) corresponding to a homodimer (chains A and B) which, due to its crystallographic symmetry, generates a homotetramer from two dimers. These phytases have been crystallized and the genes encoding them (phyA and phyB gene, resp.) have been cloned and overexpressed in other microorganisms, which has allowed them to be widely used in the feed industry of monogastric animals. The computational characterization of the two phytases produced by A. niger, 3phytase A and 3-phytase B, made it possible to establish that both phytases belong to the histidine acid phosphatases class, with the active ligand binding site (RHGXRXP-HD) highly conserved. The 3-phytase A and the 3-phytase B chain A possess a molecular length and a molecular weight that do not differ substantially, although the monomer is considered as an unstable protein and the homodimer has a shorter lifespan. The aliphatic index in both phytases allows to conclude that they are thermostable enzymes. The hydrophobicity profiles and accessibility showed that these phytases interact with aqueous media, which is a characteristic of secretory proteins. It was possible to identify possible glycosylation and glycation sites in both phytases, which could affect the correct folding of the proteins and their possible fragmentation during prolonged storage times and therefore their biological activity. Both 3-phytases, A and B, exhibited areas with high antigenic propensity which could affect the immune system of the animal that ingests them. Finally, the molecular coupling models in both phytases allowed verifying the formation of electrostatic interactions of Van der Waals type that generates hydrogen bonds between the amino acids that form the active center of the protein and the oxygens or hydrogens of the phosphate groups of phytic acid, providing greater stability to these temporary molecular interactions.

Disclosure

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have declared that no conflicts of interest exist.

Authors' Contributions

Doris C. Niño-Gómez and Claudia M. Rivera-Hoyos contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombia (Grant ID 00007390) titled "*Clonación y expresión constitutiva de las Fitasas 3-Fitasa A y 3-Fitasa B de Aspergillus niger bajo el control del pGAP en Pichia pastoris*," by Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia (Grant DIB 201010020419) and by Universidad Centroccidental Lisandro Alvarado, Barquisimeto, Venezuela (Grant CDCHT ICS-2017-6). The authors thank María Lucía Gutiérrez for English editing.

References

- B. L. Lim, P. Yeung, C. Cheng, and J. E. Hill, "Distribution and diversity of phytate-mineralizing bacteria," *The ISME Journal*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 321–330, 2007.
- [2] A. Acosta and M. Cárdenas, "Enzimas en la alimentación de las aves. Fitasas," *Revista Cubana de Ciencia Agrícola*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 377–387, 2006.
- [3] Y. Zhu, X. Qiu, Q. Ding, M. Duan, and C. Wang, "Combined effects of dietary phytase and organic acid on growth and phosphorus utilization of juvenile yellow catfish *Pelteobagrus fulvidraco*," *Aquaculture*, vol. 430, pp. 1–8, 2014.
- [4] P. Vats and U. C. Banerjee, "Production studies and catalytic properties of phytases (myo-inositolhexakisphosphate phosphohydrolases): an overview," *Enzyme and Microbial Technol*ogy, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 3–14, 2004.
- [5] S. Dahiya and N. Singh, "Isolation and biochemical characterization of a novel phytase producing bacteria *Bacillus cereus* isolate MTCC 10072," *International Journal of Microbial Resource Technology*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 1–5, 2014.

- [6] M. Lamid, N. N. T. Puspaningsih, and O. Asmarani, "Potential of phytase enzymes as biocatalysts for improved nutritional value of rice bran for broiler feed," *Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 377–380, 2014.
- [7] A. Casey and G. Walsh, "Identification and characterization of a phytase of potential commercial interest," *Journal of Biotechnology*, vol. 110, no. 3, pp. 313–322, 2004.
- [8] G. E. A. Awad, M. M. I. Helal, E. N. Danial, and M. A. Esawy, "Optimization of phytase production by *Penicillium purpurogenum* GE1 under solid state fermentation by using Box-Behnken design," *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 81–88, 2014.
- [9] A. Pandey, G. Szakacs, C. R. Soccol, J. A. Rodriguez-Leon, and V. T. Soccol, "Production, purification and properties of microbial phytases," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 77, no. 3, pp. 203–214, 2001.
- [10] The UniProt Consortium, "Reorganizing the protein space at the Universal Protein Resource (UniProt)," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 40, no. D1, pp. D71–D75, 2012.
- [11] S. F. Altschul, T. L. Madden, A. A. Schäffer et al., "Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 25, no. 17, pp. 3389–3402, 1997.
- [12] W. Li, A. Cowley, M. Uludag et al., "The EMBL-EBI bioinformatics web and programmatic tools framework," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. W580–W584, 2015.
- [13] H. Berman, K. Henrick, and H. Nakamura, "Announcing the worldwide Protein Data Bank," *Nature Structural Biology*, vol. 10, no. 12, p. 980, 2003.
- [14] E. Gasteiger, C. Hoogland, A. Gattiker et al., Protein Identification and Analysis Tools on the ExPASy Server, Humana Press, New York, NY, USA, 2005.
- [15] G.-Y. Chuang, J. C. Boyington, M. Gordon Joyce et al., "Computational prediction of N-linked glycosylation incorporating structural properties and patterns," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 28, no. 17, Article ID bts426, pp. 2249–2255, 2012.
- [16] M. B. Johansen, L. Kiemer, and S. Brunak, "Analysis and prediction of mammalian protein glycation," *Glycobiology*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 844–853, 2006.
- [17] H. Sáenz-Suárez, R. A. Poutou-Piñales, J. González-Santos et al., "Prediction of glycation sites: new insights from protein structural analysis," *Turkish Journal of Biology*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 12–25, 2016.
- [18] N. Guex and M. C. Peitsch, "SWISS-MODEL and the Swiss-PdbViewer: an environment for comparative protein modeling," *Electrophoresis*, vol. 18, no. 15, pp. 2714–2723, 1997.
- [19] A. S. Kolaskar and P. C. Tongaonkar, "A semi-empirical method for prediction of antigenic determinants on protein antigens," *FEBS Letters*, vol. 276, no. 1-2, pp. 172–174, 1990.
- [20] G. M. Morris, H. Ruth, W. Lindstrom et al., "Software news and updates AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: automated docking with selective receptor flexibility," *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, vol. 30, no. 16, pp. 2785–2791, 2009.
- [21] D. Kostrewa, M. Wyss, A. D'Arcy, and A. P. G. M. Van Loon, "Crystal structure of *Aspergillus niger* pH 2.5 acid phosphatase at 2.4 Å resolution," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 288, no. 5, pp. 965–974, 1999.
- [22] A. J. Oakley, "The structure of Aspergillus niger phytase PhyA in complex with a phytate mimetic," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 397, no. 4, pp. 745–749, 2010.

- [23] J. Söding, "Protein homology detection by HMM-HMM comparison," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 951–960, 2005.
- [24] B.-C. Oh, W.-C. Choi, S. Park, Y.-O. Kim, and T.-K. Oh, "Biochemical properties and substrate specificities of alkaline and histidine acid phytases," *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 362–372, 2004.
- [25] E. J. Mullaney and A. H. J. Ullah, "The term phytase comprises several different classes of enzymes," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 312, no. 1, pp. 179–184, 2003.
- [26] E. Humer, C. Schwarz, and K. Schedle, "Phytate in pig and poultry nutrition," *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, vol. 99, no. 4, pp. 605–625, 2015.
- [27] D. L. Nelson and M. M. Cox, *Lehninger, Principles of Biochemistry*, Freeman and Company, New York, NY, USA, 5th edition, 2005.
- [28] M. Hochstrasser, "Ubiquitin, proteasomes, and the regulation of intracellular protein degradation," *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 215–223, 1995.
- [29] A. Varshavsky, "The N-end rule: functions, mysteries, uses," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 93, no. 22, pp. 12142–12149, 1996.
- [30] A. J. Parodi, "Role of N-oligosaccharide endoplasmic reticulum processing reactions in glycoprotein folding and degradation," *Biochemical Journal*, vol. 348, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2000.
- [31] A. Helenius and M. Aebi, "Intracellular functions of N-linked glycans," *Science*, vol. 291, no. 5512, pp. 2364–2369, 2001.
- [32] R. S. López, "El proceso de N-glicosilación de proteínas en Entamoeba histolytica," Revista Latinoamericana de Microbiología, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 70–72, 2006.
- [33] P. Verbeke, B. F. C. Clark, and S. I. S. Rattan, "Modulating cellular aging in vitro: hormetic effects of repeated mild heat stress on protein oxidation and glycation," *Experimental Gerontology*, vol. 35, no. 6-7, pp. 787–794, 2000.
- [34] H. F. Bunn, R. Shapiro, M. McManus et al., "Structural heterogeneity of human hemoglobin A due to nonenzymatic glycosylation," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 254, no. 10, pp. 3892–3898, 1979.
- [35] N. Iberg and R. Fluckiger, "Nonenzymatic glycosylation of albumin *in vivo*. Identification of multiple glycosylated sites," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 261, no. 29, pp. 13542–13545, 1986.
- [36] J. W. Baynes, N. G. Watkins, C. I. Fisher et al., "The Amadori product on protein: structure and reactions," *Progress in Clinical and Biological Research*, vol. 304, no. 24, pp. 43–67, 1989.
- [37] F. L. González Flecha, P. R. Castello, J. J. Gagliardino, and J. P. F. C. Rossi, "La glucosilación no enzimática de proteínas. Mecanismo y papel de la reacción en la diabetes y el envejecimiento," *Ciencia al Día Internacional*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 1–17, 2000.
- [38] R. Mironova, T. Niwa, R. Dimitrova, M. Boyanova, and I. Ivanov, "Glycation and post-translational processing of human interferon-γ expressed in *Escherichia coli*," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 278, no. 51, pp. 51068–51074, 2003.
- [39] M. López-Hoyos, G. Fernández-Fresnedo, H. López-Escribano, and A. de Francisco, "Antigenicidad de las proteínas recombinantes," *Gaceta Médica de Bilbao*, vol. 101, no. 1, pp. 17–21, 2004.
- [40] J. L. C. Muñoz, "Antigenicidad de piensos y materias primas proteicas en conejos," in *Departamento de Ciencia Animal*, p. 120, Universidad Politécnica de Valéncia, Valéncia, España, 2016.
- [41] Y. B. Wu, V. Ravindran, and W. H. Hendriks, "Effects of microbial phytase, produced by solid-state fermentation, on the

performance and nutrient utilisation of broilers fed maize- and wheat-based diets," *British Poultry Science*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 710–718, 2003.

- [42] J. P. Zhou, Z. B. Yang, W. R. Yang, X. Y. Wang, S. Z. Jiang, and G. G. Zhang, "Effects of a new recombinant phytase on the performance and mineral utilization of broilers fed phosphorus-deficient diets," *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 331–339, 2008.
- [43] D. Šefer, B. Petrujkić, R. Marković et al., "Effect of phytase supplementation on growing pigs performance," *Acta Veterinaria*, vol. 62, no. 5-6, pp. 627–639, 2012.