

# Reliability of Pre-Transplant Live Donor Renal Biopsies in Predicting the Graft Outcome

G. H. Naderi<sup>1</sup>, M. Sotoudeh<sup>2</sup>,  
D. Mehraban<sup>1</sup>, S. Nategh<sup>1\*</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Urology and <sup>2</sup>Pathology, Tehran  
University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Biopsy from deceased donors is of great value in predicting the efficacy and mid-term and long-term outcome of kidney transplantation.

**Objective:** To determine the prevalence of pathological changes in live donors' kidneys and their association with the graft outcome.

**Methods:** This cohort study was performed among a group of renal transplant recipients. Biopsy was taken from donor's kidney. The functionality of the grafted kidney was then evaluated by measuring serum creatinine, based on which, the patients were categorized into "successful" and "unsuccessful" groups. The results were compared based on biopsy results.

**Result:** We studied 58 kidneys from live donors. The mean±SD urine volume on the first day after transplantation was 10,052±3286 mL. Absence of allograft dysfunction was seen in 55 (95%) patients during a month, 51 (88%) patients in 6 months, and 53 (91%) within a year. Glomerulosclerosis was seen in 20 (35%) patients, fibrosis in 9 (16%), tubular atrophy in 5 (9%), and intimal fibrosis in 3 (5%). The mean±SD serum creatinine in patients within 1-month survival was 1.15±0.19, within 3-month survival was 1.17±0.20, within 6-month survival was 1.21±0.20, within 9-month survival was 1.43±1.28, and within 1-year survival was 1.14±0.22.

**Conclusion:** Kidney biopsy from live donors can show us a general status of kidney. Serum creatinine is the test of choice for evaluating the grafted kidney function.

**KEYWORDS:** Transplant; Renal biopsies; Graft outcome; Treatment outcome; Kidney; Tissue donors; Creatinine

## INTRODUCTION

Between 10% and 15% of adult population in the USA suffer from chronic kidney disease (CKD) [1, 2]. This would increase the mortality rate and is an economical burden for health care sectors [3, 4]. One of the best treatments for CKD patients is kidney transplantation. However, a crucial factor to be considered before kidney transplantation from a deceased donor is kidney biopsy from

the donated kidney to assess the functionality and efficiency of the transplanted kidney. It is also important in predicting the mid-term and long-term outcomes of the patient [5-9]. Furthermore, the function of the donors' kidney after transplantation can be evaluated by measuring serum creatinine (Cr) [14-16]. The early outcome of transplantation during the first months of operation was evaluated by measuring serum Cr [16]. Presence of pathological changes in live donors' kidneys has been shown in previous studies [17]. Considering the increasing number of live donor kidney transplantations in our center, we conducted this study to assess if the presence of

\*Correspondence: Shahriar Nategh, MD, Dr. Shariati Hospital, Ale Ahmad Ave, Kargar Ave, Tehran, Iran.

Tel: +98-21-8490-2449

E-mail: sh\_nategh2005@yahoo.com

**Table 1:** Recipients demographic data

Parameter	Mean±SD	Range
Weight (kg)	62.21± 17.06	22.0–109.0
Height (cm)	158.4±13.0	115.0–183.0
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.5±5.2	16.6–42.1
Age (yrs)	38.8±14.4	9.0–64.0

histological changes can affect the outcome of recipients.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a cohort study on patients who referred to Dr. Shari'ati Hospital, Tehran, Iran from April 2010 to April 2011, for renal transplantation, and agreed to participate in this study. Patients younger than 18 years were excluded from the study.

Samples were taken from the donated kidneys and put in 4% formalin and covered with paraffin. All samples were divided into nine microscopic sections. Four sections were stained with hematoxylin; three with acid schiff; one with Jones method; and the last one with Mallory reagent method. A nephropathologist examined the samples. The pathological changes of interest included tubulitis, severity of acute

tubular necrosis (ATN), inflammatory infiltration, glomerulonephritis, hyalinization of arteries, arthritis, fibrosis, tubular atrophy, intimal fibrosis of arteries, and the presence and percentage of glomerulosclerosis.

All patients had follow-up visit in their 1<sup>st</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, and 12<sup>th</sup> month of operation to determine if there is any “allograft dysfunction” [14], defined as serum Cr >15% of the baseline value or lack of response to increasing steroid dose [18]. The patients were then categorized into two groups based on their outcome—“successful” and “unsuccessful.” The outcomes were compared based on the biopsy results.

The immunosuppression regimen we used for our patients included cyclosporine A and prednisolone; cyclosporine A, 5 mg/kg/day, was administered for the 1<sup>st</sup> three or six months after transplantation; the dose was then tapered down to 12.5 mg. Prednisolone was given at a dosage of 20 mg/day within first three months of operation and tapered down to 10 mg/day thereafter. During the rejection episodes, high dose intravenous prednisolone was administered for five days.

The participants were signed a written informed consent. Data were analyzed by SPSS® for Windows® ver 18. Correlation between pathological findings and serum Cr was de-

**Table 2:** Lab findings in patients after surgery

Parameter	Mean±SD	Range
Urine volume in first 24 hrs (mL)	10,053±3286	3400–20,700
Serum creatinine (mg/dL)		
first day	2.30±0.79	1.10–4.80
at discharge	1.14±0.21	0.80-1.70
1 month	1.15±0.19	0.80–1.80
3 months	1.17±0.20	0.90–1.80
6 months	1.21±0.20	0.90–1.80
9 months	1.43±1.28	0.80–3.20
1 year	1.14±0.22	0.70–1.90

**Table 3:** Association between glomerulosclerosis and incidence of allograft dysfunction

Included biopsies	n	9 <sup>th</sup> month p value	1 <sup>st</sup> year p value
All cases	20		
>2 glomeruli	9	0.503	0.034
>4 glomeruli	4	0.080	0.030
>6 glomeruli	3	0.236	0.031
>8 glomeruli	2	0.048	0.026

terminated by Spearman's rho. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## RESULT

We studied 58 (32 male and 26 female) patients. The mean±SD age of the live donors was 29±7 years. Demographic data of recipients are shown in Table 1. Lab findings of recipients during the follow-up are shown in Table 2. Absence of allograft dysfunction was observed in 55 (95%) patients during the first month, in 51 (88%) in six months, and in 53 (91%) patients in the first year of operation.

The most common observed pathology in biopsies was glomerulosclerosis observed in 20 (35%) patients, followed by fibrosis in 9 (16%), tubular atrophy in 5 (9%), and intimal fibrosis in 3 (5%) patients.

There were significant correlations between presence of allograft dysfunction and fibrosis or intimal fibrosis in the donated kidney ( $p<0.05$ ), and between allograft dysfunction in the third month and glomerulosclerosis in the donated kidney ( $p=0.019$ ).

The presence of intimal fibrosis in biopsies was significantly ( $p=0.004$ ) associated with a high variance in serum Cr during the 1<sup>st</sup> month after operation; this is the result of the difference in the mean serum Cr between patients with intimal fibrosis ( $1.46\pm 0.35$  mg/dL) and those without ( $1.14\pm 0.17$  mg/dL). Furthermore, the diversity in the mean serum Cr within one year of operation was high, which

is due to the difference between serum Cr in patients with intimal fibrosis ( $1.46\pm 0.33$  mg/dL) and those without ( $1.31\pm 0.21$  mg/dL).

The mean serum Cr in patients on the first day of operation was 2.3 mg/dL and decreased to 1.1 mg/dL after one year (Table 2).

The mean±SD serum Cr in patients who developed allograft dysfunction within the first month of operation ( $1.32\pm 0.28$  mg/dL) was significantly ( $p=0.001$ ) higher than those without ( $1.11\pm 0.14$  mg/dL). Similar association was found for the third month of operation (mean±SD serum Cr:  $1.32\pm 0.22$  vs  $1.11\pm 0.16$  mg/dL;  $p<0.001$ ).

Both fibrosis and intimal fibrosis in donated kidney are significantly ( $p=0.002$ , and  $p=0.03$ , respectively) associated with allograft dysfunction during the 1<sup>st</sup> month of transplantation. There was also significant associations between the 6<sup>th</sup> month serum Cr>1.5 mg/dL and 6-month survival rate ( $p=0.009$ ) and 1-year survival rate ( $p=0.02$ ). Similar associations were observed between 1-month serum Cr>1.5 mg/dL and 9-month survival rate ( $p<0.001$ ), and between 9-month serum Cr>1.5 mg/dL and 1-year survival rate ( $p=0.038$ ).

Allograft dysfunction in the first month of operation was significantly ( $p=0.002$ ) associated with interstitial fibrosis; graft dysfunction during the third month was significantly ( $p=0.019$ ) associated with glomerulosclerosis (Table 3).

There were only four patients who had both fi-

brosis and glomerulosclerosis, of whom three developed allograft dysfunction—one after one month, another after nine months, and one after one year. No patient had all the three studied pathological findings.

The mean±SD age of donors with glomerulosclerosis was 30.7±11 years.

## DISCUSSION

Although the mean age of our donors was lower than that reported by other studies [19] (in Schwartz study, for example, the mean age was 47 years, while in our study it was 29), the prevalence of glomerulosclerosis in our series was higher (34%). Kaplan showed that the prevalence of glomerulosclerosis in 55-year-old patients was 0.2%–16.7%; it was 1.5%–23% in 75-year-old patients [20]. In our study, glomerulosclerosis in donated kidney was not associated with graft rejection. Therefore, we should pay attention to the association between glomerulosclerosis and other possible factors that might cause rejection. Absence of allograft dysfunction was observed in 55 (95%) patients during the 1st month, 51 (88%) in 6 months, and in 53 (91%) recipients during the 1st year. The one-year survival rate was 98% in Mosaad report [22], and 100% in both Chamienia report [23] and Hery's [24]. All of these reports were published in 2011. However, one-year donors' survival rate was 89% in Hashimura report [25], and 85.6% in Rezaei report, both published in 2004 [26]. Obviously, one-year survival rate has improved for better drug protocols, immunosuppressive drugs, surgical techniques, and the new generation of anti-hypertensive and anti-hyperlipidemic drugs. On the other hand, most foreign studies showed lower rate of graft rejection, probably for relative donors, transfer method, kidney protection and ischemia protocol. Keeping with these reports, Humar showed a graft survival rate of 62% for unrelated living donors—the rate increased to 87% in 1990 [27].

During the 1st year of follow-up we had no death. However, a death rate of 9%–30% was reported in the literature due to graft dysfunction

[29–30]; the main reason of death was infection [31–34].

The mean serum Cr was 2.3 mg/dL on the 1st day of transplantation; it decreased to 1.1 mg/dL after one year. Therefore, graft function can be closely monitored by serum Cr. We found a significant ( $p<0.001$ ) association between allograft dysfunction and the mean serum Cr during the 1st month of operation; patients with allograft dysfunction had a mean serum Cr of 1.32 mg/dL and those without allograft dysfunction had a mean serum Cr of 1.11 mg/dL. The same relation existed for the third month of operation too—the mean serum Cr in those with allograft dysfunction ( $1.32\pm0.22$  mg/dL) was significantly ( $p<0.001$ ) higher than those without ( $1.11\pm0.16$  mg/dL).

Alessandro studied 1000 live donors for 28 years and reported a mean serum Cr of  $1\pm0.2$  mg/dL before operation; the Cr increased to  $1.4\pm0.3$  mg/dL on the discharge day, hence, a rise of 15%. Out of their 1000 live donors, 17% developed post-operative complications [35]. The mean serum Cr on discharging day was  $1.14\pm0.21$  mg/dL in our study and 9% of our recipients developed allograft dysfunction within the first year of operation.

The long-term function of a transplanted kidney is evaluated by measuring serum Cr. In a recent report, it is mentioned that if the serum Cr after six months of transplantation is  $\leq 1.5$  mg/dL, the survival rate will be 80%; if it is 2.6–3 mg/dL, the rate will be 55%, and if it exceeds 3 mg/dL the survival rate will be  $<40\%$  [14]. For recipients who have serum Cr  $>1.5$  mg/dL, an estimated increase in serum Cr of 0.3 mg/dL between 6–12 months of operation is expected [14]. Furthermore, Fitzsimmons, *et al* [15], concluded that serum Cr in 6–12 months of operation can predict the 3-year survival of the grafted kidney. They analyzed 572 patients during six months and 535 during 12 months. The rate of renal failure was 17% in six months and 19.3% in 12 months among patients with serum Cr  $>1.5$  mg/dL; in those with serum Cr  $>2$  mg/dL, the 3-year kidney failure rate was 24.6% in six months and 26.5% in 12 months of operation.

The same results were reported by Tejani who studied 686 patients [16].

Several studies showed that taking biopsy from donor's kidney is not beneficial. However, for lack of enough description of tissue parameters studies and survival rate, the significance of these studies is not questionable [35, 36]. On the other hand, some studies revealed that taking biopsy from donor's tissue is advantageous [37-39]. For example Soren, *et al* [40], found an association between interstitial fibrosis in biopsies and serum Cr level of 12<sup>th</sup> month of operation. Leunissen, *et al* [39], found a relation between the severity of tissue complications and serum Cr in the third month of transplantation. Gaber, *et al* [37], in their study found that when glomerulosclerosis is >20% of tissue column, it is clearly associated with 88% of delayed graft function and 38% of graft rejection. The mean serum Cr was  $2.6 \pm 0.1$  mg/dL, however, because the results were come from the analyses of only eight patients with unusual high percentage of glomerulosclerosis, the findings should be interpreted with caution.

Gira, *et al* [37], found a correlation between serum Cr and the 3-month, 6-month, and 1-year kidney survival rate. Based on the findings of Humar and Ishika [41, 42], a serum Cr level >1 mg/dL in six months of operation had a significant correlation with graft failure. In our study, we found a significant correlation between serum Cr level >1.5 mg/dL and 6-month and 1-year graft failure rate. We also observed correlation between serum Cr >1.5 mg/dL in the 1<sup>st</sup> month and 9-month graft survival rate ( $p=0.048$ ); serum Cr >1.5 mg/dL in the 9<sup>th</sup> month and 9-month graft survival ( $p<0.001$ ); and serum Cr >1.5 mg/dL after one year and 1-year graft survival ( $p=0.038$ ).

In fact, pre-transplant donor renal biopsy shows the general status of the kidney. Reliability of the biopsy findings was reported in the other studies too [45, 46].

Hass [43] has found correlations between biopsy findings and general problems of the grafted kidney post-operatively (*eg*; atrophy);

such correlation was not statistically significant in our study. Ellingsen, *et al* [48], used morphometric counting techniques in evaluating interstitial fibrosis and reported better results than those reported earlier.

In the current study, we found a significant correlation between interstitial fibrosis of the donated kidney and allograft dysfunction, whereas other researchers could not observe such association [49-51].

Presence of glomerulosclerosis in donated kidney though is a good determinant for glomerular grading, there are no consensus statements yet. Some authors mention a range of 5-25 as a reliable grade [52-55]. Glomerulosclerosis has been mentioned as an index of kidney injury in pre-transplant donor renal biopsy [46-47]. In our study, there was a significant correlation between presence of glomerulosclerosis and 3-month graft survival rate. There was also a significant correlation between the presence >2 glomerulosclerosis and 1-year graft survival; the 9-month survival had a correlation with >8 glomerulosclerosis. Therefore, pre-transplant donor renal biopsy has a good predictive value for the grafted kidney survival. Follow-up of these patients for five and 10 years seems necessary to generate more accurate results. Conduction of large cohort studies are thus recommended.

**CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:** None declared.

## REFERENCES

1. Coresh J, Astor BC, Greene T, *et al*. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease and decreased kidney function in the adult US population: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2003;**41**:1-12.
2. De Zeeuw D, Hillege HL, de Jong PE. The kidney, a cardiovascular risk marker, and a new target for therapy. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2005; (98):S25-9.
3. Anavekar NS, McMurray JJ, Velazquez EJ, *et al*. Relation between renal dysfunction and cardiovascular outcomes after myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2004;**351**:1285-95.
4. Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, *et al*. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular

- events, and hospitalization. *N Engl J Med* 2004; **351**:1296-305.
5. Randhawa P. Role of donor kidney biopsies in renal transplantation. *Transplantation* 2001;**71**:1361-5.
  6. El-Husseini A, Sabry A, Zahran A. Can donor implantation renal biopsy predict long-term renal allograft outcome? *Am J Nephrol* 2007;**27**:144-51.
  7. Eapen G, Hinduja A, Abraham G, et al. Does implantation biopsy help in predicting renal allograft management and outcome? *Transplant Proc* 2000; **32**: 1795.
  8. Randhawa PS, Minervini MI, Lombardero M, et al. Biopsy of marginal donor kidneys: correlation of histologic findings with graft dysfunction. *Transplantation* 2000;**69**:1352-7.
  9. Bosmans JL, Woestenburg A, Ysebaert DK, et al. Fibrous intimal thickening at implantation as a risk factor for the outcome of cadaveric renal allografts. *Transplantation* 2000;**69**:2388-94.
  10. Kuypers DR, Chapman JR, O'Connell PJ. Predictors of renal transplant histology at three months. *Transplantation* 1999;**67**:1222-30.
  11. Nyberg G, Hedman L, Blohmé I, Svalander C. Morphologic findings in baseline kidney biopsies from living related donors. *Transplant Proc* 1992;**24**: 355-6.
  12. Belnap LP, Hammond EH, Yowell R, et al. Baseline biopsies define cyclosporine nephrotoxicity. *Transplant Proc* 1989;**21**:1485.
  13. Gaber LW, Gaber AO, Tolley EA, Hathaway DK. Prediction by postrevascularization biopsies of cadaveric kidney allografts of rejection, graft loss, and preservation nephropathy. *Transplantation* 1992; **53**:1219-25.
  14. First MR. Renal function as a predictor of long-term graft survival in renal transplant patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2003 May;**18**Suppl 1:i3-6
  15. Fitzsimmons W, Thompson D, Hariharan S, Van Veldhuisen P. Serum creatinine as a surrogate endpoint for graft loss in kidney transplantation: validation efforts from multicentre trials. *Am J Transplant* 2002;**2**(Suppl 3): 272
  16. Tejani A, Stablein D, Ho P. Calculated creatinine clearance (CCC) as the most promising candidate surrogate end point for clinical trials. *Am J Transplant* 2002;**2** (Suppl 3): 256 (Abstract472)
  17. Mancilla E, Avila-Casado C. Time zero renal biopsy in living kidney transplantation: A valuable opportunity to correlate predonation clinical data with histological abnormalities. *Transplantation*. 2008, **86**:1684-8.
  18. Matas AJ, Gillingham KJ, Sutherland DER: Half-life and risk factors for kidney transplant outcome: importance of death with function. *Transplantation* 1993;**55**:757-61.
  19. Schwarz A, Mengel M, Gwinner W, et al. Risk factors for chronic allograft nephropathy after renal transplantation: a protocol biopsy study. *Kidney Int* 2005;**67**:341-8.
  20. Kaplan C Pasternack B, Shah H, Gallo G. Age – related incidence of sclerotic glomeruli in human kidneys. *Am J Pathol* 1975;**80**: 227.
  21. Prommool S, Jhangri GS, Cockfield SM, Halloran PF. Time dependency of factors affecting renal allograft survival. *J Am SocNephrol* 2000;**11**:565-73.
  22. Mosaad AM, Hamdy AF, Hassan NM, et al . Evaluation of growth in low-body-weight kidney transplant Egyptian children: 25-year experience. *J Nephrol* 2012;**25**:363-72.
  23. Chamienia A, Dębska-Ślizień A, Rutkowski B, et al. 11-year single-center experience in living-donor kidney transplantation in poland. *Transplant Proc* 2011;**43**:2911-3.
  24. Héry G, Franchi-Abella S, Habes D, et al. Initial liver transplantation for unresectablehepatoblastoma after chemotherapy. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2011;**57**:1270-5.
  25. Rezaei M, Kazemnejad A, Bardideh A, Mahmoudi M. Factors affecting survival in kidney recipients at kermanshah. *Urol J* 2004;**1**:180-7.
  26. Hashikura Y, Kawasaki S. Living donor liver transplantation: issues regarding left liver grafts. *HPB (Oxford)* 2004;**6**:99-105.
  27. Humar A, Durand B, Gillingham K, et al. Living unrelated donors in kidney transplants: better long-term results than with non- HLA-identical living related donors? *Transplantation* 2000;**69**:1942-5.
  28. Lindholm A, Albrechtsen D, Frodin L, et al. Ischemic heart disease – major cause of death and graft loss after renal transplantation in scandinavia. *Transplantation* 1995;**60**:451-7.
  29. Hirata M, Cho YW, Cecka MJ, Terasaki PI. Patient death renal transplantation – An analysis of its role in graft outcome. *Transplantation* 1996;**61**:1479-83.
  30. West M, Sutherland DER, Matas AJ. Kidney transplant recipients who die with functioning grafts: serum creatinine level and cause of death. *Transplantation* 1996;**62**:1029-30.
  31. D'Alessandro AM, Sollinger HW, Knechtle SJ, et al. Living related and unrelated donors for kidney transplantation.A 28-year experience. *Ann Surg* 1995;**222**:353-62.
  32. Billingham ME, Cary NRB, Hammond ME, et al. A working formulation for the standarization of nomenclature in the diagnosis of heart and lung rejection: heart rejection study group. *J Heart Transplant* 1990;**9**:587.
  33. Yousem SA, Berry GJ, Brunt EM, et al. A working formulation for the standardisation of nomenclature in the diagnosis of the heart and lung rejection: lung rejection study group. *J Heart Transplant* 1990;**19**:593.
  34. Drachemberg CB, Papadimitriou JC, Klassen DK, et al. Evaluation of pancreas transplant needle biopsy. *Transplantation* 1997;**63**:1579.

35. Nyberg G, Hedman L, Blohme I, Svalander C. Morphologic findings in baseline kidney biopsies from living related donors. *Transplant Proc* 1979;**24**: 355.
36. Ratner LE, Joseph V, Zibari G, *et al.* Transplantation of kidneys from hypertensive cadaveric donors. *Transplant Proc* 1995;**27**:989.
37. Nicol D, MacDonald AS, Belitsky P. Early prediction of renal allograft loss beyond one year. *Transplant* 1993;**6**:153-7.
38. Seron D, Carrera M, Grino JM, *et al.* Relationship between donor renal interstitial surface and post-transplant function. *Nephron Dial Transplant* 1993;**8**:539.
39. Leunissen KM, Bosman FT, Nieman FH, *et al.* Amplification of the nephrotoxic effect of cyclosporine by preexistent chronic histological lesions in the kidney. *Transplantation* 1989;**48**:590.
40. Kukla A, Adulla M, Pascual J, *et al.* CKD stage-to-stage progression in native and transplant kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2008;**23**:693-700.
41. Humar A, Kerr S, Gillingham KJ, Matas AJ. Features of acute rejection that increase risk for chronic rejection. *Transplantation* 1999;**68**:1200-3.
42. Ishikawa A, Flechner SM, Goldfarb DA, *et al.* Significance of serum creatinine pattern and area under the creatinine versus time curve during the first acute renal transplant rejection. *Transplant Proc* 2000;**32**:781-3.
43. Haas M, Segev DL, Racusen LC, *et al.* Arteriosclerosis in kidneys from healthy live donors: comparison of wedge and needle core perioperative biopsies. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2008;**132**:37-42.
44. Wang HJ, Kjellstrand CM, Cockfield SM, Solez K. On the influence of sample size on the prognostic accuracy and reproducibility of renal transplant biopsy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1998;**13**:165-72.
45. Randhawa PS, Minervini MI, Lombardero M, *et al.* Biopsy of marginal donor kidneys: correlation of histologic findings with graft dysfunction. *Transplantation* 2000;**69**:1352-7.
46. Escofet X, Osman H, Griffiths DF, *et al.* The presence of glomerular sclerosis at time zero has a significant impact on function after cadaveric renal transplantation. *Transplantation* 2003;**75**: 344-6.
47. Muruve NA, Steinbecker KM, Luger AM. Are wedge biopsies of cadaveric kidneys obtained at procurement reliable? *Transplantation* 2000;**69**:2384-8.
48. Ellingsen AR, Nyengaard JR, Osterby KA, *et al.* Measurements of cortical interstitium in biopsies from human kidney grafts: how representative and how reproducible? *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2002;**17**: 788-92.
49. Bohle A, Grund KE, Mackensen S, Tolon M. Correlations between renal interstitium and level of serum creatinine. Morphometric investigations on biopsies in perimembranous glomerulonephritis. *Virchows Arch A* 1977;**373**:15-22.
50. Serón D, Moreso F, Bover J, *et al.* Early protocol renal allograft biopsies and graft outcome. *Kidney Int* 1997;**51**:310-6.
51. Nicholson ML, McCulloch TA, Harper SJ, *et al.* Early measurement of interstitial fibrosis predicts long-term renal function and graft survival in renal transplantation. *Br J Surg* 1996;**83**:1082-5.
52. Sund S, Reisaeter AV, Fauchald P, *et al.* Living donor kidney transplants: a biopsy study 1 year after transplantation, compared with baseline changes and correlation to kidney function at 1 and 3 years. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1999;**14**:2445-54.
53. Pokorná E, Vítko S, Chadimová M, Schück O. Adverse effect of donor arteriolosclerosis on graft outcome after renal transplantation. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2000;**15**:705-10.
54. Ortiz F, Paavonen T, Törnroth T, *et al.* Predictors of renal allograft histologic damage progression. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005;**16**:817-24.
55. Colvin RB, Nickleleit V. Renal Transplant Pathology. In: JC Jennette, JL Olson, MM Schwartz (eds). *Heptinstall's Pathology of the Kidney*. vol 2. Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, 2007; p:1347-490.