REVIEW

## Sugammadex, the Guardian of Deep Muscle Relaxation During Conventional and Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Surgery: A Narrative Review

Yan Sun\* Zhilin Wu®\* Qi Wang\* Rui Chen Shujun Sun Yun Lin

Department of Anesthesiology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430022, People's Republic of China

\*These authors contributed equally to this work

Tel/Fax +86 02785351606 Email sunshunjun@foxmail.com; franklinyun@hust.edu.cn **Abstract:** High intra-abdominal pressure induced by artificial pneumoperitoneum can obviously impair respiratory and circulatory functions and has a negative effect on the prognosis of patients undergoing conventional and robot-assisted laparoscopic surgery. The application of deep neuromuscular blockade during the operation is reported to lower the intra-abdominal pressure and improve patients' outcome. However, concern lies in the risks of postoperative residual muscular paralysis with the use of deep neuromuscular blockade. Sugammadex, a specific antagonist for aminosteroids muscle relaxants, can effectively and rapidly reverse rocuronium and vecuronium induced neuromuscular blockade of different depths. Thus, sugammadex allows the ability to safeguard the application of deep neuromuscular blockade in laparoscopic operations and helps to alleviate the adverse complications associated with pneumoperitoneum. Here, we review the application of deep neuromuscular blockade in different laparoscopic surgeries and discuss the benefits and possible risks of sugammadex administration in the reversal of deep neuromuscular blockade in these operations.

**Keywords:** deep neuromuscular blockade, laparoscopic surgery, muscle relaxation remnants, sugammadex

#### Introduction

Laparoscopic surgeries, including conventional and robotic-assisted surgeries, are becoming increasingly popular worldwide.<sup>1,2</sup> However, creation and maintenance of artificial pneumoperitoneum during the operation can result in a variety of adverse intraoperative and postoperative complications, especially hemodynamic impairment and poor ventilation.<sup>3–5</sup> Studies have shown that application of deep neuromuscular blockade (NMB) in laparoscopic surgery can effectively allow a lower intra-abdominal pressure and improve the prognosis of patients undergoing laparoscopic surgeries.<sup>6,7</sup>

Postoperative residual curarization, with an incidence up to over 50%, is one of the most severe complications and the biggest concern for the application of deep NMB application in laparoscopic surgeries.<sup>8–10</sup> Sugammadex is a potent and specific antagonist of rocuronium, which can quickly reverse any degree of rocuronium-induced muscle relaxation with minimal side effects, thereby significantly reducing the occurrence of complications caused by incomplete neuromuscular recovery.<sup>11,12</sup>

The aim of this narrative review is to provide up-to-date evidence regarding the advantages of the application of deep NMB in different laparoscopic surgeries, and

© 2021 Sun et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php you hereby accept the firms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Correspondence: Shujun Sun; Yun Lin Department of Anesthesiology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, No. 1277, Jiefang Avenue, Wuhan, 430022, People's Republic of China

it also aims to discuss the benefits and possible risks of sugammadex administration in the reversal of deep NMB in these operations.

## Definition of Deep Neuromuscular Blockade

The depth of NMB in general anesthesia is divided into four levels: mild, moderate, deep and intense. Train of four stimulation count (TOF-C) and post-tetanic stimulation count (PTC) have been widely used to define the depths of NMB in clinical studies on muscle relaxation presently. Generally speaking, TOF-C of 4 is defined as mild NMB, and TOF-C from 1 to 3 as moderate NMB, PTC of 2 or less as deep NMB and PTC of zero is as intense NMB.<sup>13</sup>

## Application of Deep Neuromuscular Blockade in Laparoscopic Surgery

Laparoscopic surgery has been acknowledged to be a meaningful milestone since ether anaesthesia.<sup>14</sup> Compared with conventional laparotomy, laparoscopic surgery is minimally invasive with smaller incision, milder postoperative pain, less intraoperative blood loss, faster recovery, shorter hospital stay, as well as more cosmetic surgical wound. Laparoscopic surgery becomes more and more popular and is accepted worldwide.<sup>15,16</sup> In recent years, owing to several technological improvements, robot-assisted laparoscopic surgery is being applied in a wide variety of specialties and achieves even better outcomes.<sup>17,18</sup> However, for patients undergoing laparoscopic surgeries, artificial pneumoperitoneum should be established and maintained during the operation to facilitate laparoscopic surgical maneuver. High intraabdominal pressure from carbon dioxide insufflation could result in hemodynamic impairment and adverse ventilation consequences.<sup>19,20</sup> Hemodynamic impairments secondary to elevated intra-abdominal pressure include increased afterload and preload, decreased cardiac output, sometimes accompanied by arrhythmia and intraoperative hypertension, whereas ventilatory consequences include increased airway pressure, hypercarbia, atelectasis and reduced thoracic compliance.<sup>15</sup> For those patients who have very poor cardiopulmonary reserve functions and could not tolerate the high-pressure pneumoperitoneum-related physiological changes, laparoscopic surgery becomes even more risky.<sup>16,21</sup>

Over the years, the ability to ensure the laparoscopic surgery field and operating space, while at the same time reducing the pressure of pneumoperitoneum and minimizing the adverse effects, has been of utmost importance.

Interestingly, the application of deep NMB in laparoscopic surgery makes the use of a low pneumoperitoneum pressure possible and offers great benefits, which include improvement of surgical field, mitigation of high pneumoperitoneum pressure related postoperative pain (deep abdominal pain and shoulder pain), inhibition of stress responses and alleviation of the post-operative impairment of cardiopulmonary function.<sup>22-25</sup> Studies have indicated that deep NMB. in comparison to moderate NMB, significantly enlarges the abdominal space after artificial pneumoperitoneum establishment for laparoscopic cholecystectomy or gynecological laparoscopy.-<sup>26–29</sup> It also helps to optimize surgical conditions for the abdominal fascia suturing.<sup>30,31</sup> A systematic review and meta-analysis revealed that deep NMB block was more frequently associated with excellent or good surgical exposure than moderate NMB.32 Sustained deep NMB could also facilitate robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy at a low intra-abdominal pressure and provide acceptable surgical conditions.<sup>33</sup> It is worth noting that a Trendelenburg (head down tilt) position is always taken in this surgery and the abdominal viscera is pulled away from the operative field by gravity. The Trendelenburg (head down tilt) position has been demonstrated to decrease intra-abdominal pressure compared with supine position.<sup>34,35</sup> But it is nonphysiologic and potentially deteriorates pneumoperitoneum-induced adverse physiologic effects when maintained for extended periods.<sup>36,37</sup> Combined with body positioning, deep NMB is more effective at paralyzing abdominal muscles and lowering intra-abdominal pressure, creating a superior surgical condition, being accompanied with less complications and better prognosis, thus we speculate that there will be a promising prospect for the application of the strategy of deep NMB in laparoscopic surgeries.<sup>28,38</sup>

# Disadvantages of the Application of Deep Neuromuscular Blockade

Nevertheless, due to the significantly high incidence of postoperative residual curarization, the clinical benefits of maintaining deep NMB for various laparoscopic surgeries are still in debate.<sup>39</sup> To acquire deep NMB, anesthesiologists usually administer more sedatives and analgesics to increase the depth of anesthesia or use fast acting muscle relaxants as an alternative.<sup>40–42</sup> Generally speaking, residual muscle relaxation means that the patient's motor function has not fully recovered after surgery. Residual muscle relaxation may cause airway obstruction, respiratory depression and hypoxemia. When muscles

responsible for deglutition are involved, life-threatening aspiration pneumonia may occur.<sup>43</sup> Postoperative residual curarization is a safety hazard to surgical patients and strongly affects the prognosis.44,45 Special attention needs to be paid to it. A large prospective observational multicenter study conducted by Kirmeier et al described that the total dose of neuromuscular blocking medicine during the operation was directly associated with an increased risk of post-anaesthetic pulmonary complications.<sup>46</sup> Madsen et al reported that forty percent of patients who were maintained under deep NMB still had an inadequate surgical field, and an intense NMB was needed to improve the conditions.<sup>47</sup> In addition, residual muscle relaxation significantly extends the time required for extubation and postoperative monitoring, thereby increasing the medical expenses.

In clinical practice, the depth of NMB is measured with a neuromuscular monitor. However, due to the complexity of operations, tedious connection of monitoring devices, easy interference of external factors and unfamiliarity of anesthetists with objective techniques, neuromuscular monitoring is often difficult to be performed routinely.48-<sup>50</sup> Moreover, it can trigger an unpleasant experience in awake patients.<sup>51,52</sup> The adverse consequences caused by residual muscle relaxation often make anesthesiologists reluctant to use deep NMB in clinical work, despite its many advantages.<sup>53,54</sup> Therefore, in order to effectively decrease or even avoid the occurrence of various complications associated with profound blockade, a definite and effective NMB reversal is indeed imperative. The development and application of sugammadex makes it possible to introduce deep NMB in clinical practices while allowing for subsequent safe reversal of motor blockade. As a more potent and specific antagonist than neostigmine, sugammadex is designated as the best candidate to reverse different depths of rocuronium-induced muscle relaxation, thereby circumventing the occurrence of various complications associated with profound blockade.

#### An Overview of Sugammadex

With its unique antagonistic mechanism and metabolic pathway, sugammadex is superior in terms of its reversal speed and efficacy as opposed to minimal side effects.<sup>55</sup> Specifically, sugammadex is a modified gamma-cyclodex-trin that can selectively encapsulate rocuronium and other aminosteroid neuromuscular blocking agents and promptly form a one-to-one complex, which is eliminated through the kidney. Since the free non-depolarizing neuromuscular

blocking agent is encapsulated by sugammadex, its ability to bind to and block acetylcholine receptors is neutralized. Its concentration in circulation decreases rapidly.<sup>56</sup> The interaction of muscle relaxants and nicotinic cholinergic receptors at the neuromuscular junction is disrupted, and NMB induced by rocuronium or vecuronium is reversed. It would be interesting to note that the affinity and selectivity of sugammadex for rocuronium was 2.5 times higher than that for vecuronium in a dose-dependent manner.<sup>57</sup> The reversal effect of NMB is achieved in about three minutes after sugammadex administration, which is not affected by blood pH value and temperature.58 Alongside its high efficiency and impressive advantage in reversing NMB of any depths at any time,<sup>59</sup> sugammadex also plays an important role in some other special conditions. For instance, sugammadex has widened the performance of general anesthesia in pregnancy and<sup>60</sup> children,<sup>61–63</sup> as well as lung, hepatic and renal insufficiency patients,<sup>64–68</sup> the management of difficult airway,<sup>69,70</sup> and in patients with myasthenia gravis.<sup>71,72</sup> In addition, sugammadex has also been proposed as a useful adjunct to treat anaphylaxis induced by rocuronium. It was reported that the anaphylaxis cascade was reversed almost immediately when sugammadex was administered.<sup>73,74</sup> With its favourable tolerance and great safety, the cardiovascular, digestive, and respiratory adverse effects associated with anticholinesterases may be eliminated with the administration of sugammadex. Sugammadex is currently marketed for use in more than seventy countries around the world, but only an estimated 10% of the surgical population have received sugammadex from 2010 to 2018.75 Except for hypersensitivity, bleeding, bradycardia, effect on coagulation parameters and risk of recurarization, there are a number of potential adverse events that remain of concern.<sup>76-80</sup> In Japan, sugammadex is now the leading cause of perioperative anaphylaxis since it has been approved for clinical use.<sup>59</sup> A case was also reported that deep residual NMB reoccurred in a 74-year-old woman who received a single dose of sugammadex (4 mg/kg).<sup>81</sup>

Given its distinguishing antagonistic effect on NMB at any depth and its potential risks, the dosage of sugammadex has been further investigated by researchers. Routinely, 4 mg/kg is recommended for deep NMB (PTC 1–2) and 2 mg/kg for train-of-four Count (TOFC) $\geq 2.^{82}$  In addition, an injection of 16 mg/kg is indicated only when patients receive a large single dose of rocuronium above 1.2 mg/kg or when NMB is required to be reversed within 3 minutes. All recommended doses of sugammadex should be based on the actual body weight of patients.<sup>57,83</sup>

## The Effect of Sugammadex on Deep Neuromuscular Blockade Used for Various Laparoscopic Surgeries

Deep NMB during laparoscopic surgery makes favorable exposure under low-pressure pneumoperitoneum (intraabdominal pressure 8-10 mm Hg) possible.<sup>84</sup> Consequently, low pneumoperitoneum pressure helps to alleviate internal organs ischemia-reperfusion injury, systemic inflammation, as well as pressure-related injury to the abdominal wall. As described, exposure to the surgical field is facilitated, which can optimize the surgical field of vision, provide ideal conditions for abdominal surgery, shorten the operation time, reduce the risk of intraoperative adverse events and complications and improve early prognosis. Therefore, the technique of "deep NMB combined with low pneumoperitoneum pressure" has been widely accepted in laparoscopic surgeries in recent years. However, the adverse consequences caused by residual muscle relaxation often discourage anesthesiologists from using deep NMB. An editorial by Murphy et al emphasized that the harm of postoperative residual NMB was clear and an appropriate dose of reversal agents should be routinely administered after surgery.85 In contrast to neostigmine, sugammadex has greater potential to achieve a reliable, accurate and rapid reversal of deep NMB induced by rocuronium or vecuronium.<sup>86–89</sup> Thus we hypothesized that sugammadex could be the "guardian" of deep muscle relaxation during laparoscopic surgery. Patients undergoing laparoscopic gastrectomy have a shorter hospital stay and a lower incidence of postoperative complications after receiving subgammadex at the end of surgery.<sup>90</sup> For extremely obese and high risk cardiac patients who are scheduled for laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy and abdominoplasty, it was highlighted by Carron et al that the ideal combination of rocuronium and sugammadex should be applied, which would achieve the purpose of desirable muscle relaxation condition through rocuronium-induced deep NMB coupled with a fast recovery with sugammadex reversal after surgery.<sup>91</sup> Sugammadex administration against profound blockade in laparoscopic cholecystectomy or appendectomy had positive effects on the recovery of gastrointestinal motility compared with the use of a mixture of glycopyrrolate and pyridostigmine.<sup>92</sup> Concerning patients who underwent laparoscopic hysterectomy, a series of randomized controlled trials have proved the superiority of sugammadex administration in effectively reversing deep block at the end of the procedure and shortening the length of hospital stay.<sup>93,94</sup> Many studies showed that the robotic approach had longer operative time compared with conventional laparoscopic surgery.<sup>95–99</sup> And prolonged operative time combined with elevated intraabdominal compartment pressure could incur more cardiopulmonary complications.<sup>100</sup> A retrospective study concluded that in comparison with neostigmine, the reversal of rocuronium in robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy surgery with sugammadex represented its superiority in a shorter hospital stay and post-anaesthetic recovery time.<sup>101</sup> Moreover, it was found that patients with deep NMB during laparoscopic operations recovered 3.4 times faster when NMB was antagonized with sugammadex than with neostigmine.<sup>102</sup>

## Possible Risks After the Administration of Sugammadex for Reversing Deep Neuromuscular Blockade

Muscle relaxant antagonist sugammadex certainly has a variety of proven benefits, especially in the reversal of deep rocuronium or vecuronium-induced NMB, a feature that neostigmine does not have. However, till now, the study of sugammadex has been involved only in a relatively small fraction of surgical population, and there may be other potential drawbacks yet to be known. This is especially true when sugammadex is used in specific populations, such as children, elderly patients and pregnant women.<sup>60,103,104</sup> The most common adverse reactions to sugammadex include dysgeusia, transient hypotension, movement before the end of anesthesia, nausea and vomiting.<sup>105</sup> Apart from these side effects, a possible link between sugammadex administration and QT interval prolongation was also reported.<sup>106-109</sup> However, in the latest literature, this possible side-effect was debated, and the authors argued that the arrhythmia was not related to sugammadex.<sup>78</sup> The risk of recurarization even after sugammadex administration should not be negligible, especially in patients with prolonged elimination of rocuronium and sugammadex caused by severe renal impairment.<sup>110-112</sup> In addition to the patient's poor metabolism of muscle relaxants, there are some other factors that can cause recurarization. For instance, when the provider chooses to use lower-than-recommended doses of sugammadex, re-NMB can recur, even if initial NMB has been reversed.<sup>113,114</sup> Toremifene, a selective estrogen receptor modulator, has a high binding affinity for sugammadex. When the medicine is given, it is able to displace the steroidal neuromuscular blockade agents from sugammadex and re-paralysis may

occur.<sup>115</sup> The recurrence of paralysis may also be caused by drugs that can potentiate neuromuscular blockade.<sup>115</sup> With the expanding use and further research of sugammadex in the reversal of deep NMB in clinical anesthesia, the possible mechanism will hopefully be elucidated to the maximum extent in the future.

Due to the risks described above, the decision to use a lower-than-recommended dose of sugammadex is often taken, which leads to an increased event of residual NMB or recurrence of NMB and pulmonary outcomes. Consequently, based on the objective determination of NMB depth, an appropriate dose of sugammadex should be administered.<sup>113,116</sup> In addition, it is worth noting that sugammadex is a selective antagonist exclusively to aminosteroid non-depolarizing muscle relaxants.<sup>117</sup> Deep muscle relaxation induced by atracurium or cisatracurium can only be reversed by neostigmine.<sup>118</sup>

#### Conclusion

Although the definite effect of deep NMB in laparoscopic application is yet to be identified, it undoubtedly provides a new scope for reducing pneumoperitoneum pressure, promoting ideal operation conditions and improving patients' outcomes. Sugammadex is a major breakthrough and innovation in the field of anesthesia. When administered with an appropriate dose, sugammadex offers anesthesiologists greater flexibility and better control over different depths of NMB, especially deep NMB and intense NMB. However, a clear and comprehensive understanding of the adverse effects of sugammadex has yet to be obtained and more large-scale multi-center studies on sugammadex are urgently needed. We believe that sugammadex-rocuronium combined deep NMB and the resulting low pneumoperitoneal pressure will be a routine practice during laparoscopic surgery in the near future.

#### Abbreviations

NMB, neuromuscular blockade; TOF-C, train of four stimulation count; PTC, post-tetanic stimulation count.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the Wu Jieping Medical Foundation –2018 Precision Muscle Relaxation Special Research Project [grant number 320.6750.18178]; the 2019 College-level Teaching Reform Research Project [grant number 02.03.2019.15-15].

#### **Author Contributions**

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

#### References

- Gordon AG, Magos AL. The development of laparoscopic surgery. *Baillieres Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 1989;3(3):429–449. doi:10.1016/S0950-3552(89)80003-3
- Leal Ghezzi T, Campos Corleta O. 30 years of robotic surgery. World J Surg. 2016;40(10):2550–2557. doi:10.1007/s00268-016-3543-9
- Philips PA, Amaral JF. Abdominal access complications in laparoscopic surgery. J Am Coll Surg. 2001;192(4):525–536. doi:10.1016/S1072-7515(01)00768-2
- Radunovic M, Lazovic R, Popovic N, et al. Complications of laparoscopic cholecystectomy: our experience from a retrospective analysis. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci.* 2016;4(4):641–646. doi:10.3889/oamjms.2016.128
- Phillips JM. Complications in laparoscopy. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 1977;15(2):157–162. doi:10.1002/j.1879-3479.1977.tb00668.x
- Bruintjes MH, van Helden EV, Braat AE, et al. Deep neuromuscular block to optimize surgical space conditions during laparoscopic surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth.* 2017;118(6):834–842. doi:10.1093/bja/aex116
- Rosenberg J, Herring WJ, Blobner M, et al. Deep neuromuscular blockade improves laparoscopic surgical conditions: a randomized, controlled study. *Adv Ther.* 2017;34(4):925–936. doi:10.1007/s12325-017-0495-x
- 8. Rossi M. The Linus blanket. *Minerva Anestesiol*. 2016;82 (7):725–727.
- Lee YJ, Oh AY, Koo BW, et al. Postoperative residual neuromuscular blockade after reversal based on a qualitative peripheral nerve stimulator response: a randomised controlled trial. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2020;37(3):196–202. doi:10.1097/ EJA.000000000001157
- Fuchs-Buder T, Nemes R, Schmartz D. Residual neuromuscular blockade: management and impact on postoperative pulmonary outcome. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.* 2016;29(6):662–667. doi:10.1097/ACO.00000000000395
- Honing G, Martini CH, Bom A, et al. Safety of sugammadex for reversal of neuromuscular block. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2019;18 (10):883–891. doi:10.1080/14740338.2019.1649393
- Togioka BM, Yanez D, Aziz MF, Higgins JR, Tekkali P, Treggiari MM. Randomised controlled trial of sugammadex or neostigmine for reversal of neuromuscular block on the incidence of pulmonary complications in older adults undergoing prolonged surgery. *Br J Anaesth.* 2020;124(5):553–561. doi:10.1016/j.bja.2020. 01.016
- Couto M, Couto JG, Nunes CS, Vide S, Amorim P, Mendes JG. Systematic review on rocuronium continuous infusion for deep neuromuscular blockade. *Curr Clin Pharmacol.* 2019;16:64–72.

- Johnson A. Laparoscopic surgery. Lancet. 1997;349(9052):631– 635. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(96)10032-5
- Oti C, Mahendran M, Sabir N. Anaesthesia for laparoscopic surgery. Br J Hosp Med (Lond). 2016;77(1):24–28. doi:10.12968/hmed.2016.77.1.24
- Atkinson TM, Giraud GD, Togioka BM, Jones DB, Cigarroa JE. Cardiovascular and ventilatory consequences of laparoscopic surgery. *Circulation*. 2017;135(7):700–710. doi:10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.116.023262
- Peters BS, Armijo PR, Krause C, Choudhury SA, Oleynikov D. Review of emerging surgical robotic technology. *Surg Endosc.* 2018;32(4):1636–1655. doi:10.1007/s00464-018-6079-2
- Jara RD, Guerron AD, Portenier D. Complications of robotic surgery. Surg Clin North Am. 2020;100(2):461–468. doi:10.1016/j.suc.2019.12.008
- Kashtan J, Green JF, Parsons EQ, Holcroft JW. Hemodynamic effect of increased abdominal pressure. J Surg Res. 1981;30 (3):249–255. doi:10.1016/0022-4804(81)90156-6
- Cullen DJ, Coyle JP, Teplick R, Long MC. Cardiovascular, pulmonary, and renal effects of massively increased intra-abdominal pressure in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med.* 1989;17(2):118– 121. doi:10.1097/00003246-198902000-00002
- Boersma E, Kertai MD, Schouten O, et al. Perioperative cardiovascular mortality in noncardiac surgery: validation of the Lee cardiac risk index. *Am J Med.* 2005;118(10):1134–1141. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2005.01.064
- Fuchs-Buder T, Schmartz D, Baumann C, et al. Deep neuromuscular blockade improves surgical conditions during gastric bypass surgery for morbid obesity: a randomised controlled trial. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2019;36(7):486–493. doi:10.1097/EJA.00000000000996
- 23. Raval AD, Deshpande S, Rabar S, et al. Does deep neuromuscular blockade during laparoscopy procedures change patient, surgical, and healthcare resource outcomes? A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One.* 2020;15(4):e0231452. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0231452
- Koo BW, Oh AY, Ryu JH, et al. Effects of deep neuromuscular blockade on the stress response during laparoscopic gastrectomy randomized controlled trials. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9(1):12411. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-48919-2
- Cho YJ, Paik H, Jeong SY, et al. Lower intra-abdominal pressure has no cardiopulmonary benefits during laparoscopic colorectal surgery: a double-blind, randomized controlled trial. *Surg Endosc*. 2018;32(11):4533–4542. doi:10.1007/s00464-018-6204-2
- Barrio J, Errando CL, San Miguel G, et al. Effect of depth of neuromuscular blockade on the abdominal space during pneumoperitoneum establishment in laparoscopic surgery. *J Clin Anesth.* 2016;34:197–203. doi:10.1016/j.jclinane.2016.04.017
- Dubois PE, Putz L, Jamart J, Marotta ML, Gourdin M, Donnez O. Deep neuromuscular block improves surgical conditions during laparoscopic hysterectomy: a randomised controlled trial. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2014;31(8):430–436. doi:10.1097/ EJA.000000000000094
- Martini CH, Boon M, Bevers RF, Aarts LP, Dahan A. Evaluation of surgical conditions during laparoscopic surgery in patients with moderate vs deep neuromuscular block. *Br J Anaesth*. 2014;112 (3):498–505. doi:10.1093/bja/aet377
- 29. Staehr-Rye AK, Rasmussen LS, Rosenberg J, et al. Surgical space conditions during low-pressure laparoscopic cholecystectomy with deep versus moderate neuromuscular blockade: a randomized clinical study. *Anesth Analg.* 2014;119(5):1084–1092. doi:10.1213/ANE.0000000000316
- Madsen MV, Gatke MR, Springborg HH, Rosenberg J, Lund J, Istre O. Optimising abdominal space with deep neuromuscular blockade in gynaecologic laparoscopy–a randomised, blinded crossover study. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2015;59(4):441–447. doi:10.1111/aas.12493

- Soderstrom CM, Borregaard Medici R, Assadzadeh S, et al. Deep neuromuscular blockade and surgical conditions during laparoscopic ventral hernia repair: a randomised, blinded study. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2018;35(11):876–882. doi:10.1097/ EJA.000000000000833
- Park SK, Son YG, Yoo S, Lim T, Kim WH, Kim JT. Deep vs. moderate neuromuscular blockade during laparoscopic surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2018;35 (11):867–875. doi:10.1097/EJA.00000000000884
- 33. Yoo YC, Kim NY, Shin S, et al. The intraocular pressure under deep versus moderate neuromuscular blockade during low-pressure robot assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in a randomized trial. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(8):e0135412. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0135412
- Awad H, Santilli S, Ohr M, et al. The effects of steep trendelenburg positioning on intraocular pressure during robotic radical prostatectomy. *Anesth Analg.* 2009;109(2):473–478. doi:10.1213/ane.0b013e3181a9098f
- Cheatham ML, De Waele JJ, De Laet I, et al.; World Society of the Abdominal Compartment Syndrome Clinical Trials Working, G. The impact of body position on intra-abdominal pressure measurement: a multicenter analysis. *Crit Care Med.* 2009;37 (7):2187–2190. doi:10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181a021fa
- Arvizo C, Mehta ST, Yunker A. Adverse events related to Trendelenburg position during laparoscopic surgery: recommendations and review of the literature. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;30(4):272–278. doi:10.1097/GCO.000000000000471
- Schramm P, Treiber AH, Berres M, et al. Time course of cerebrovascular autoregulation during extreme Trendelenburg position for robotic-assisted prostatic surgery. *Anaesthesia*. 2014;69 (1):58–63. doi:10.1111/anae.12477
- Fuchs-Buder T, Brunaud L, Brunaud L. Neuromuscular block in laparoscopic surgery. *Minerva Anestesiol.* 2018;84(4):509–514. doi:10.23736/S0375-9393.17.12330-8
- 39. Raval AD, Uyei J, Karabis A, Bash LD, Brull SJ. Incidence of residual neuromuscular blockade and use of neuromuscular blocking agents with or without antagonists: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Anesth.* 2020;64:109818. doi:10.1016/j.jclinane.2020.109818
- Ahluwalia JS, Morley CJ, Mockridge JN. Computerised determination of spontaneous inspiratory and expiratory times in premature neonates during intermittent positive pressure ventilation. II: results from 20 babies. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 1994;71(3):F161–F164. doi:10.1136/fn.71.3.F161
- Yu B, Ouyang B, Ge S, et al. Incidence of postoperative residual neuromuscular blockade after general anesthesia: a prospective, multicenter, anesthetist-blind, observational study. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2016;32(1):1–9. doi:10.1185/03007995.2015.1103213
- 42. Fortier LP, McKeen D, Turner K, et al. The RECITE study: a Canadian prospective, multicenter study of the incidence and severity of residual neuromuscular blockade. *Anesth Analg.* 2015;121(2):366–372. doi:10.1213/ANE.00000000000757
- 43. Bronsert MR, Henderson WG, Monk TG, et al. Intermediateacting nondepolarizing neuromuscular blocking agents and risk of postoperative 30-day morbidity and mortality, and long-term survival. *Anesth Analg.* 2017;124(5):1476–1483. doi:10.1213/ ANE.000000000001848
- 44. Grabitz SD, Rajaratnam N, Chhagani K, et al. The effects of postoperative residual neuromuscular blockade on hospital costs and intensive care unit admission: a population-based cohort study. *Anesth Analg.* 2019;128(6):1129–1136. doi:10.1213/ ANE.00000000000004028
- 45. Kiekkas P, Bakalis N, Stefanopoulos N, Konstantinou E, Aretha D. Residual neuromuscular blockade and postoperative critical respiratory events: literature review. *J Clin Nurs.* 2014;23(21–22):3025–3035. doi:10.1111/jocn.12508

- 46. Kirmeier E, Eriksson LI, Lewald H, et al. Post-anaesthesia pulmonary complications after use of muscle relaxants (POPULAR): a multicentre, prospective observational study. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2019;7(2):129–140.
- De Jong RH. Controlled relaxation. I. Quantitation of electromyogram with abdominal relaxation. *JAMA*. 1966;197(6):393–395. doi:10.1001/jama.1966.03110060067016
- Naguib M, Brull SJ, Johnson KB. Conceptual and technical insights into the basis of neuromuscular monitoring. *Anaesthesia*. 2017;72(Suppl 1):16–37. doi:10.1111/anae.13738
- Nemes R, Nagy G, Murphy GS, Logvinov II, Fulesdi B, Renew JR. Awake volunteer pain scores during neuromuscular monitoring. *Anesth Analg.* 2020;130(4):941–948. doi:10.1213/ANE.00 00000000004326
- Viby-Mogensen J. Neuromuscular monitoring. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2001;14(6):655–659. doi:10.1097/00001503-200112000-00010
- Ledowski T, O'Dea B, Meyerkort L, Hegarty M, von Ungernsternberg BS. Postoperative residual neuromuscular paralysis at an Australian tertiary children's hospital. *Anesthesiol Res Pract.* 2015;2015:410248.
- Connelly NR, Silverman DG, O'Connor TZ, Brull SJ. Subjective responses to train-of-four and double burst stimulation in awake patients. *Anesth Analg.* 1990;70(6):650–653. doi:10.1213/ 00000539-199006000-00012
- 53. Nemes R, Fulesdi B, Pongracz A, et al. Impact of reversal strategies on the incidence of postoperative residual paralysis after rocuronium relaxation without neuromuscular monitoring: a partially randomised placebo controlled trial. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2017;34(9):609–616. doi:10.1097/EJA.00000000 00000585
- Spadaro S, Grasso S, Dres M, et al. Point of care ultrasound to identify diaphragmatic dysfunction after thoracic surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 2019;131(2):266–278. doi:10.1097/ALN.000000 0000002774
- Karalapillai D, Kaufman M, Weinberg L. Sugammadex. Crit Care Resusc. 2013;15(1):57–62.
- Papathanas MR, Killian A. Sugammadex for neuromuscular blockade reversal. *Adv Emerg Nurs J.* 2017;39(4):248–257. doi:10.1097/TME.00000000000170
- Thompson CA. Sugammadex approved to reverse NMBA effects. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2016;73(3):100. doi:10.2146/news160009
- Deyhim N, Beck A, Balk J, Liebl MG. Impact of sugammadex versus neostigmine/glycopyrrolate on perioperative efficiency. *Clinicoecon Outcomes Res.* 2020;12:69–79. doi:10.2147/CEOR. S221308
- Savic L, Savic S, Hopkins PM. Sugammadex: the sting in the tail? Br J Anaesth. 2018;121(4):694–697. doi:10.1016/j. bja.2018.07.014
- Richardson MG, Raymond BL. Sugammadex administration in pregnant women and in women of reproductive potential: a narrative review. *Anesth Analg.* 2020;130(6):1628–1637. doi:10.1213/ANE.000000000004305
- Matsui M, Konishi J, Suzuki T, Sekijima C, Miyazawa N, Yamamoto S. Reversibility of rocuronium-induced deep neuromuscular block with sugammadex in infants and children-a randomized study. *Biol Pharm Bull.* 2019;42(10):1637–1640. doi:10.1248/bpb.b19-00044
- 62. Blazek ER, Peak JG, Peak MJ. Singlet oxygen induces frank strand breaks as well as alkali- and piperidine-labile sites in supercoiled plasmid DNA. *Photochem Photobiol*. 1989;49 (5):607–613. doi:10.1111/j.1751-1097.1989.tb08431.x
- Ozgun C, Cakan T, Baltaci B, Basar H. Comparison of reversal and adverse effects of sugammadex and combination of -Anticholinergic-Anticholinesterase agents in pediatric patients. J Res Med Sci. 2014;19(8):762–768.

- Cho HC, Lee JH, Lee SC, Park SY, Rim JC, Choi SR. Use of sugammadex in lung cancer patients undergoing video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy. *Korean J Anesthesiol*. 2017;70(4):420– 425. doi:10.4097/kjae.2017.70.4.420
- Kheterpal S, Vaughn MT, Dubovoy TZ, et al. Sugammadex versus neostigmine for reversal of neuromuscular blockade and postoperative pulmonary complications (STRONGER): a multicenter matched cohort analysis. *Anesthesiology*. 2020;132 (6):1371–1381. doi:10.1097/ALN.000000000003256
- 66. Fujita A, Ishibe N, Yoshihara T, et al. Rapid reversal of neuromuscular blockade by sugammadex after continuous infusion of rocuronium in patients with liver dysfunction undergoing hepatic surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Taiwan*. 2014;52(2):54–58. doi:10.1016/j. aat.2014.04.007
- 67. de Souza CM, Tardelli MA, Tedesco H, et al. Efficacy and safety of sugammadex in the reversal of deep neuromuscular blockade induced by rocuronium in patients with end-stage renal disease: a comparative prospective clinical trial. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2015;32(10):681–686. doi:10.1097/EJA.000000000000312
- Muramatsu T, Isono S, Ishikawa T, et al. Differences of recovery from rocuronium-induced deep paralysis in response to small doses of sugammadex between elderly and nonelderly patients. *Anesthesiology*. 2018;129(5):901–911. doi:10.1097/ALN.00000 0000002412
- 69. de Boer HD, Driessen JJ, Marcus MA, Kerkkamp H, Heeringa M, Klimek M. Reversal of rocuronium-induced (1.2 mg/kg) profound neuromuscular block by sugammadex: a multicenter, dose-finding and safety study. *Anesthesiology*. 2007;107(2):239–244. doi:10.1097/01.anes.0000270722.95764.37
- Puhringer FK, Rex C, Sielenkamper AW, et al. Reversal of profound, high-dose rocuronium-induced neuromuscular blockade by sugammadex at two different time points: an international, multicenter, randomized, dose-finding, safety assessor-blinded, Phase II trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2008;109(2):188–197. doi:10.109 7/ALN.0b013e31817f5bc7
- Vymazal T, Krecmerova M, Bicek V, Lischke R. Feasibility of full and rapid neuromuscular blockade recovery with sugammadex in myasthenia gravis patients undergoing surgery - A series of 117 cases. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.* 2015;11:1593–1596. doi:10.2147/TCRM.S93009
- 72. Mouri H, Jo T, Matsui H, Fushimi K, Yasunaga H. Effect of sugammadex on postoperative myasthenic crisis in myasthenia gravis patients: propensity score analysis of a Japanese nationwide database. *Anesth Analg.* 2020;130(2):367–373. doi:10.1213/ ANE.000000000004239
- McDonnell NJ, Pavy TJ, Green LK, Platt PR. Sugammadex in the management of rocuronium-induced anaphylaxis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2011;106(2):199–201. doi:10.1093/bja/aeq366
- Takazawa T, Mitsuhata H, Mertes PM. Sugammadex and rocuronium-induced anaphylaxis. J Anesth. 2016;30(2):290–297. doi:10.1007/s00540-015-2105-x
- Min KC, Bondiskey P, Schulz V, et al. Hypersensitivity incidence after sugammadex administration in healthy subjects: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Anaesth. 2018;121(4):749–757. doi:10.1016/j.bja.2018.05.056
- Moon YJ, Kim SH, Kim JW, Lee YK, Jun IG, Hwang GS. Comparison of postoperative coagulation profiles and outcome for sugammadex versus pyridostigmine in 992 living donors after living-donor hepatectomy. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2018;97(11): e0129. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000010129
- Tsur A, Kalansky A. Hypersensitivity associated with sugammadex administration: a systematic review. *Anaesthesia*. 2014;69 (11):1251–1257. doi:10.1111/anae.12736
- Cammu G, De Kam PJ, Demeyer I, et al. Safety and tolerability of single intravenous doses of sugammadex administered simultaneously with rocuronium or vecuronium in healthy volunteers. *Br J Anaesth.* 2008;100(3):373–379. doi:10.1093/bja/aem402

- 79. Vanacker BF, Vermeyen KM, Struys MM, et al. Reversal of rocuronium-induced neuromuscular block with the novel drug sugammadex is equally effective under maintenance anesthesia with propofol or sevoflurane. *Anesth Analg.* 2007;104(3):563– 568. doi:10.1213/01.ane.0000231829.29177.8e
- De Kam PJ, Grobara P, Prohn M, et al. Effects of sugammadex on activated partial thromboplastin time and prothrombin time in healthy subjects. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;52(3):227– 236. doi:10.5414/CP201976
- Ito S, Seki H, Sannohe J, Ouchi T. A case of deep residual neuromuscular blockade after sugammadex administration. J Clin Anesth. 2019;58:33–34. doi:10.1016/j.jclinane.2019.04.036
- Kopman AF. Neostigmine versus sugammadex: which, when, and how much? *Anesthesiology*. 2010;113(5):1010–1011. doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181f41847
- Mirakhur RK. Sugammadex in clinical practice. *Anaesthesia*. 2009;64(Suppl 1):45–54. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2044.2008.05870.x
- 84. Barrio J, Errando CL, Garcia-Ramon J, Selles R, San Miguel G, Gallego J. Influence of depth of neuromuscular blockade on surgical conditions during low-pressure pneumoperitoneum laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a randomized blinded study. J Clin Anesth. 2017;42:26–30. doi:10.1016/j.jclinane.2017.08.005
- Murphy GS, Kopman AF. "To reverse or not to reverse?": the answer is clear! *Anesthesiology*. 2016;125(4):611–614. doi:10.1097/ALN.00000000001280
- Bartels K, Hunter JM. Neostigmine versus sugammadex: the tide may be turning, but we still need to navigate the winds. *Br J Anaesth*. 2020;124(5):504–507. doi:10.1016/j.bja.2020.02.021
- Krause M, McWilliams SK, Bullard KJ, et al. Neostigmine versus sugammadex for reversal of neuromuscular blockade and effects on reintubation for respiratory failure or newly initiated noninvasive ventilation: an interrupted time series design. *Anesth Analg.* 2020;131(1):141–151. doi:10.1213/ANE.000000000004505
- Carron M, Zarantonello F, Tellaroli P, Ori C. Efficacy and safety of sugammadex compared to neostigmine for reversal of neuromuscular blockade: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Clin Anesth. 2016;35:1–12. doi:10.1016/j.jclinane.2016.06.018
- Hristovska AM, Duch P, Allingstrup M, Afshari A. Efficacy and safety of sugammadex versus neostigmine in reversing neuromuscular blockade in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;8: CD012763.
- Oh TK, Ji E, Na HS. The effect of neuromuscular reversal agent on postoperative pain after laparoscopic gastric cancer surgery: comparison between the neostigmine and sugammadex. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2019;98(26):e16142. doi:10.1097/MD.000000000016142
- Carron M, Gasparetto M, Vindigni V, Foletto M. Laparoscopic surgery in a morbidly obese, high-risk cardiac patient: the benefits of deep neuromuscular block and sugammadex. *Br J Anaesth*. 2014;113(1):186–187. doi:10.1093/bja/aeu211
- 92. An J, Noh H, Kim E, Lee J, Woo K, Kim H. Neuromuscular blockade reversal with sugammadex versus pyridostigmine/glycopyrrolate in laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a randomized trial of effects on postoperative gastrointestinal motility. *Korean J Anesthesiol*. 2020;73(2):137–144. doi:10.4097/kja.19360
- Madsen MV, Istre O, Springborg HH, et al. Deep neuromuscular blockade and low insufflation pressure during laparoscopic hysterectomy. *Dan Med J.* 2017;64(5):A5364.
- 94. Putz L, Dransart C, Jamart J, Marotta ML, Delnooz G, Dubois PE. Operating room discharge after deep neuromuscular block reversed with sugammadex compared with shallow block reversed with neostigmine: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Anesth.* 2016;35:107–113. doi:10.1016/j.jclinane.2016.07.030
- 95. Wang L, Yao L, Yan P, et al. Robotic versus laparoscopic Rouxen-Y gastric bypass for morbid obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Surg.* 2018;28(11):3691–3700. doi:10.1007/ s11695-018-3458-7

- Rogula T, Koprivanac M, Janik MR, et al. Does Robotic Rouxen-Y gastric bypass provide outcome advantages over standard laparoscopic approaches? *Obes Surg.* 2018;28(9):2589–2596. doi:10.1007/s11695-018-3228-6
- Fazl Alizadeh R, Li S, Inaba CS, et al. Robotic versus laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: a MBSAQIP analysis. *Surg Endosc*. 2019;33(3):917–922. doi:10.1007/s00464-018-6387-6
- Alhossaini RM, Altamran AA, Seo WJ, Hyung WJ. Robotic gastrectomy for gastric cancer: current evidence. *Ann Gastroenterol Surg.* 2017;1(2):82–89. doi:10.1002/ags3.12020
- Kane WJ, Charles EJ, Mehaffey JH, et al. Robotic compared with laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a propensity matched analysis. *Surgery*. 2020;167(2):432–435. doi:10.1016/j.surg.2019.07.020
- 100. Kaye AD, Vadivelu N, Ahuja N, Mitra S, Silasi D, Urman RD. Anesthetic considerations in robotic-assisted gynecologic surgery. *Ochsner J.* 2013;13(4):517–524.
- 101. Min BH, Oh TK, Song IA, Jeon YT. Comparison of the effects of sugammadex and neostigmine on hospital stay in robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy: a retrospective study. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2020;20(1):178. doi:10.1186/s12871-020-01088-6
- 102. Geldner G, Niskanen M, Laurila P, et al. A randomised controlled trial comparing sugammadex and neostigmine at different depths of neuromuscular blockade in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery. *Anaesthesia*. 2012;67(9):991–998. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2044.2012.07197.x
- 103. Tobias JD, Thomas M. Current evidence for the use of sugammadex in children. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2017;27(2):118–125. doi:10.1111/pan.13050
- 104. Carron M, Bertoncello F, Ieppariello G. Profile of sugammadex for reversal of neuromuscular blockade in the elderly: current perspectives. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2018;13:13–24. doi:10.2147/ CIA.S134108
- 105. Hogg RM, Mirakhur RK. Sugammadex: a selective relaxant binding agent for reversal of neuromuscular block. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2009;9(5):599–608. doi:10.1586/ern.09.22
- 106. Miyazaki Y, Sunaga H, Kida K, et al. Incidence of anaphylaxis associated with sugammadex. *Anesth Analg.* 2018;126(5):1505– 1508. doi:10.1213/ANE.00000000002562
- 107. Gijsenbergh F, Ramael S, Houwing N, van Iersel T. First human exposure of Org 25969, a novel agent to reverse the action of rocuronium bromide. *Anesthesiology*. 2005;103(4):695–703. doi:10.1097/0000542-200510000-00007
- 108. King A, Naguib A, Tobias JD. Bradycardia in a pediatric heart transplant recipient: is it the sugammadex? J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther. 2017;22(5):378–381.
- Carlos RV, Torres MLA, de Boer HD. [Reversal of neuromuscular block with sugammadex in five heart transplant pediatric recipients]. *Rev Bras Anestesiol.* 2018;68(4):416–420. Portugegse. doi:10.1016/j.bjan.2017.10.008
- 110. Staals LM, Snoeck MM, Driessen JJ, et al. Reduced clearance of rocuronium and sugammadex in patients with severe to end-stage renal failure: a pharmacokinetic study. *Br J Anaesth*. 2010;104 (1):31–39. doi:10.1093/bja/aep340
- 111. Staals LM, Snoeck MM, Driessen JJ, Flockton EA, Heeringa M, Hunter JM. Multicentre, parallel-group, comparative trial evaluating the efficacy and safety of sugammadex in patients with endstage renal failure or normal renal function. *Br J Anaesth.* 2008;101(4):492–497. doi:10.1093/bja/aen216
- 112. Panhuizen IF, Gold SJ, Buerkle C, et al. Efficacy, safety and pharmacokinetics of sugammadex 4 mg kg-1 for reversal of deep neuromuscular blockade in patients with severe renal impairment. *Br J Anaesth.* 2015;114(5):777–784. doi:10.1093/bja/aet586
- 113. Asztalos L, Szabo-Maak Z, Gajdos A, et al. Reversal of vecuroniuminduced neuromuscular blockade with low-dose sugammadex at trainof-four count of four: a randomized controlled trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2017;127(3):441–449. doi:10.1097/ALN.000000000001744

- 114. Aouad MT, Alfahel WS, Kaddoum RN, Siddik-Sayyid SM. Half dose sugammadex combined with neostigmine is noninferior to full dose sugammadex for reversal of rocuroniuminduced deep neuromuscular blockade: a cost-saving strategy. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2017;17(1):57. doi:10.1186/s12871-017-0348-9
- 115. Chen B. Sugammadex: a limited but important role in emergency medicine. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2020;36(6):296–301. doi:10.1097/PEC.00000000002126
- 116. de Boer HD, Carlos RV, Brull SJ. Is lower-dose sugammadex a cost-saving strategy for reversal of deep neuromuscular block? Facts and fiction. *BMC Anesthesiol*. 2018;18(1):159. doi:10.1186/ s12871-018-0605-6
- Schaller SJ, Fink H. Sugammadex as a reversal agent for neuromuscular block: an evidence-based review. *Core Evid.* 2013;8:57–67.
- 118. Yang L, Yang D, Li Q, Zuo Y, Lu D. Neostigmine for reversal of neuromuscular block in paediatric patients. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2014, Issue 5. Art. No.: CD010110. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010110.pub2. Accessed 09 September 2021.

#### Drug Design, Development and Therapy

#### **Dove**press

3901

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peerreviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are a feature of the journal, which has also

been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www. dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal