Abstract

Wechsler

Introduction

Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

# Old investigators considered intelligence to

Estimation of Mean Intelligence Quotient with Wechsler Scale in Iran:

The low intelligence quotient (IQ) level is one of the most common and important medical, social,

and familial problems in all countries. The current study aimed to estimate mean IQ with the Wechsler

scale in Iran by performing a systematic review and meta-analysis. In the current meta-analysis, all

articles related to IQ conducted in Iran using the Wechsler scale were deeply searched by reviewing

citation databases including Science Direct, PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Springer, SID,

Magiran, Iranmedex, Medlib, and Google Scholar motor search and using valid keywords without time limits. Due to heterogeneity between studies, a random effects model was used to combine the

results of studies. To investigate the heterogeneity of the studies, the I2 index was used. All statistical

analyses were performed using STATA software version 11.1. The number of participants in the 51

studies was 5352. The mean total IQ score in Iran was estimated 97.12 (95% confi dence interval

[CI]: 88.71–105.52), the practical intelligence was 92.84 (95% CI: 79.14–106.55), and the verbal

intelligence was 94.50 (95% CI: 83.90-105.10). The total IQ score in the northern, southern, central,

eastern, and western regions of Iran was 97.08, 108.90, 92.31, 101.76, and 96.45, respectively. The

mean IQ score in Iran in subjects under 20 years of age is 97.73 and in subjects over 20 years

of age is 105.61. There is also no signifi cant relationship between the mean total IQ in Iran and

two parameters of the year of research and number of research samples. For prevention of decrease

IQ and given that proper nutrition and breastfeeding directly contribute to increase IQ, nutrition

should be provided free of charge in poorer areas during pregnancy until baby born. Moreover, the

media should provide adequate education for breastfeeding and nutrition, because IQ affects people's

academic, occupational, personal, and social performance, and also prevents elite immigration with

**Keywords:** Iran, intelligence quotient, meta-analysis, practical intelligence, verbal intelligence,

suitable planning and provides conditions for elites to return to the country.

be a general or attribute factor that manifests itself in a wide range of behaviors, but later psychologists have stated that intelligence is a set of relatively independent abilities.<sup>[1]</sup> The analytical concept of the intelligence in Western countries is more cognitive that involves information processing, whereas the Oriental Combined Approach to intelligence encompasses the various components human of performance and experience, including cognition, intuition, and excitement, in an integrated relationship.<sup>[2]</sup> Intelligence quotient (IQ) is a number

with the mean of 100 and the standard deviation of 15. The IQ is a ratio, the result of dividing the percentage of rational age divided by the calendar age multiplied by 100. Hence, in the classification and division of intelligence, nearly 70% of people have middle intelligence, 12% have intelligence above the middle, 2% are very intelligent, and 1% have been identified as highly selected people.<sup>[3,4]</sup> The low IQ level is one of the most common and important medical, social, and familial problems in all countries with a similar prevalence of at least 2–3%.<sup>[5]</sup>

Intelligence is one of the significant means of compromising individuals with the environment and is among the important factors of individual differences.<sup>[6]</sup> Intelligence and memory affect the academic achievement, career development, and social behavior of individuals. Because memory cannot be seen, touched, or measured with physical

How to cite this article: Chaman R, Sarokhani D, Sarokhani M, Angha P, Sanagoo A, Dehkordi AH. Estimation of mean intelligence quotient with Wechsler scale in Iran: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Prev Med 2019;10:34.

Reza Chaman, Diana Sarokhani<sup>1</sup>, Mandana Sarokhani<sup>1</sup>, Parvin Angha, Akram Sanagoo<sup>2</sup>, Ali Hasanpour<sup>3</sup>

Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Yasuj, Iran, <sup>1</sup>Psychosocial Injuries Research Center, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran, <sup>2</sup>Nursing Research Center, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran, <sup>3</sup>Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran

Address for correspondence: Akram Sanagoo, PhD in Nursing, Associate Professor, Nursing Research Center, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran. E-mail: sanagoo@goums.ac.ir



This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

Study ID		ES (95% CI)	% Weight
Alipour.A (2011)		28.53 (28.00, 29.06)	2.44
Ashoori.M (2009)	۲	64.65 (63.37, 65.93)	2.44
Karbalaei.F (2016)	۲	79.00 (77.73, 80.27)	2.44
Bozorgian.N (2012)	۲	79.42 (77.74, 81.10)	2.44
Ebrahimi.A (2000)	•	82.29 (78.12, 86.46)	2.43
Ashtari.F (2013)	۲	82.56 (80.81, 84.31)	2.44
Hashemi.SB (2008)		85.60 (81.89, 89.31)	2.43
Mahram.M (2003)	•	89.26 (87.52, 91.00)	2.44
Sharifi.T (2007)	•	89.56 (87.32, 91.80)	2.44
Mahmoudi-Rad.M (2007)		92.40 (90.12, 94.68)	2.44
Dalvand.H (2008)	۲	93.00 (91.92, 94.08)	2.44
Rahmani.F (2012)	۲	93.58 (90.96, 96.20)	2.44
Rahimianbogar.E (2009)	۲	94.05 (90.72, 97.38)	2.44
Tonekaboni.SH (2003)	*	94.42 (88.93, 99.91)	2.42
Mehryar.AH (2002)		95.39 (93.75, 97.03)	2.44
Nasiri-Amiri.F (2004)		95.43 (92.85, 98.01)	2.44
Hashemi.SB (2009)		96.50 (93.36, 99.64)	2.44
Mahram.M (2009)		96.50 (94.84, 98,16)	2.44
Nasri,S (2013)	•	96.90 (95.89, 97.91)	2.44
Naimi.SB (2013)		97.10 (95.37, 98.83)	2.44
Vaezi,SH (2012)	•	97.79 (93.21, 102.37)	2.43
Jabbari,S (2001)	•	98.14 (97.57, 98.71)	2.44
Atadokht A (2012)		98.33 (96.17, 100.49)	2.44
Alizadeh.M (2015)		98 85 (93 70, 104 00)	2 4 2
Shiri-Aminlu M (2012)	•	99.03 (97.72, 100.34)	2.44
Talebian D (2004)		100.00 (96.85, 103.15)	2.44
Khadem N (2010)		100.40 (99.96, 100.84)	2.44
Shikhmonesi F (2008)		100.87 (98.02, 103.72)	2.44
Abdi.A (2011)		102.50 (101.21, 103.79)	2.44
Azizi E (2005)		103 00 (100 17 105 83)	2 44
Azizi E (1988)		103 00 (98 32 107 68)	2.43
Mehrineiat A (2006)		107 63 (106 79, 108 47)	2 44
Solaimani 7 (2011)		108 24 (105 38 111 10)	2.44
Yaqboubi H (2007)		108 75 (105 71 111 79)	2.44
Ghaffari J (2011)		108 80 (107 06, 110 54)	2.44
Ordooei M (2007)		108.00 (108.20, 100.54)	2.44
Faramarzi M (2007)		100.60 (106.23, 103.31)	2.44
Saremi A (2013)		109.00 (100.21, 112.33)	2.44
Sadegbinour H (2001)		111 48 (110 06 112 90)	2.44
Azizi E (2002)		114 73 (111 31 119 15)	2.44
Rezzei A (2010)		▲ 165.02 (162.70, 160.05)	2.44
Overall (Leguered = $99.9\%$ p = 0.000)	~	Q7 12 (88 71 105 52)	2.44
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		07.12 (00.71, 100.02)	100.00
	I		
· · ·			

Graph 1: Average total intelligence score and its 95% confidence interval in Iran by the author's name and year of research, based on random effects model. The middle point of each section reveals the overall intelligence score in each study, and the rhizome shows the overall intelligence score in Iran for the whole of the study

instruments, the Wechsler intelligence test, which is valid and reliable, is used to assess the intelligence of individuals.<sup>[7]</sup> Wechsler believed that intelligence includes the individual's ability to reasonably think, act purposefully, and deal effectively with the environment, and thus, it can have social, practical, or abstractive IQ.<sup>[8]</sup>

Individual intelligence consists of two parts including verbal intelligence and practical intelligence. The verbal intelligence refers to the degree of fluency in the language. In other words, this type of intelligence refers to the ability to use and understand a rhetorical language that helps people remember things and express them.<sup>[9]</sup> Practical intelligence is what most people call "common sense." Practical intelligence in daily life is useful and necessary because without practical intelligence, in the cultural context, or in the natural environment of life, one cannot survive.<sup>[10]</sup> According to numerous studies conducted in the field of IQ assessment using the Wechsler scale in Iran, the estimation of mean IQ with Wechsler scale in Iran was by a systematic review and meta-analysis was the main aim of the current study.

# Methods

#### Search strategy

The present study is a systematic review and meta-analysis that was conducted through the review of existing articles and dissertations. To access the documentation in Iran, internal and external databases including Science Direct, PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Springer, SID, Magiran, Iranmedex, Medlib, and Google Scholar motor search were searched using the relevance keywords without time limits. The documents found were specified to the period from 1989 to 2017. This study was conducted based on the preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis.<sup>[11]</sup> In order to maximize the search comprehensiveness, internal databases were searched using general Persian words such as "IQ, Intelligence, Verbal Intelligence, Wechsler, Meta-Analysis, and Iran." For English databases, the Latin and Mesh equivalents and their combinations were used with AND, OR operators. To find more studies, the sources of other articles were searched manually.

Study ID	E	S (95% CI)	% Weight
Alipour.A (2011)	• 2	3.95 (23.62, 24.28)	3.13
Khoshoei.MS (2010)	■ 1 3	2.20 (30.83, 33.57)	3.13
Bozorgian.N (2012)	• 3	5.80 (34.38, 37.22)	3.13
Rahimianbogar.E (2009)	• 4	5.04 (44.62, 45.46)	3.13
Ashtari.F (2013)	• 8	3.51 (81.69, 85.33)	3.13
Ebrahimi.A (2000)	- 8	8.17 (83.62, 92.72)	3.12
Sharifi.T (2007)	9	1.62 (88.16, 95.08)	3.12
Araghizadeh.H (2005)	. 9	1.94 (90.22, 93.66)	3.13
Atadokht.A (2012)	9	2.12 (89.89, 94.35)	3.13
Najmi.SB (2013)	• 9	2.60 (89.87, 95.33)	3.13
Tonekaboni.SH (2003)	9	3.62 (87.53, 99.71)	3.11
Rezaei.A (2010)	9	5.45 (93.71, 97.19)	3.13
Shiri-Aminlu.M (2012)	• 9	5.56 (94.26, 96.86)	3.13
Mahram.M (2009)	• 9	7.40 (95.88, 98.92)	3.13
Nasiri-Amiri.F (2004)	• 9	7.56 (94.85, 100.27)	3.13
Rahmani.F (2012)	• 9	8.13 (95.51, 100.75)	3.13
Mehryar.AH (2002)	. 9	8.35 (96.70, 100.00)	3.13
Talebian.D (2004)	• 1	02.06 (98.73, 105.39)	3.12
Shikhmonesi.F (2008)	i∎ 1	02.43 (99.54, 105.32)	3.13
Menshei.Gh (2012)	• 1	02.57 (101.83, 103.31)	3.13
Fathiashtyani.A (1999)	i ● 1	02.65 (101.23, 104.07)	3.13
Azizi.F (1988)	🛨 1	05.29 (99.90, 110.68)	3.11
Ghaffari.J (2011)		05.97 (104.25, 107.69)	3.13
Alizadeh.M (2015)	- 1	06.28 (98.76, 113.80)	3.10
Bagheri.S (2000)	• 1	07.00 (105.84, 108.16)	3.13
Ordooei.M (2007)	● 1	08.42 (107.66, 109.18)	3.13
Solaimani.Z (2011)		08.67 (105.92, 111.42)	3.13
Yaghoubi.H (2007)	<ul> <li>● 1</li> </ul>	08.80 (104.78, 112.82)	3.12
Faramarzi.M (2011)	• 1	09.65 (106.11, 113.19)	3.12
Sadeghipour.H (2001)	• 1	10.00 (108.44, 111.56)	3.13
Razavi.AH (2010)	● 1	10.80 (108.01, 113.59)	3.13
Ghasemtabar.N (2015)	≥ 1	27.74 (125.97, 129.51)	3.13
Overall (I-squared = 100.0%, p = 0.000)	<> 9	2.84 (79.14, 106.55)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis			
-130	I I 0 130		

Graph 2: Mean score of practical intelligence and its 95% confidence interval in Iran by author's name and year of research, based on random effects model

# Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Entry criteria included the studies that examined the general intelligence, verbal intelligence, or practical intelligence in Iran using the Wechsler scale. Exit criteria included the studies conducted in countries other than Iran, studies with nonrandom samples, nonquality studies, studies that did not include the required information (such as total intelligence score, verbal intelligence, practical intelligence, and sample number), and studies that used a tool other than the Wechsler intelligence test to measure human intelligence.

# Qualitative assessment of studies

In order to assess the quality of the studies, the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology<sup>[12]</sup> was used. This checklist consists of 22 different sections, with a score of 0–44 and a minimum score of 16 points.

## **Data extraction**

To reduce the bias and error in collecting data, two researchers extracted data from articles independently and using data extraction form including name of the author, year and place of the study, number of samples, overall intelligence score, verbal intelligence score, practical intelligence score, number of women and men, and so on, and the third researcher will examine the data in order to correct the data in case of conflict.

#### Questionnaire

In this research, the Wechsler intelligence test was used. This scale, designed by Wechsler, measures the intelligence in both practical and verbal dimensions, which has 11 subscales including 6 verbal scale and 5 practical scales. The subtests of general information, numeric memory, vocabulary, computing, comprehension and similarities, verbal intelligence and image completion, image adjustment, cube design, and component insertion make practical intelligence. Each subscale has a raw score and a standard score. Each person ultimately has a verbal intelligence, a practical intelligence, and a general intelligence.<sup>[13,14]</sup>

#### Statistical analysis

Considering that the IQ score and its subgroups were quantitative, the mean and standard deviations of these indices were extracted in each study and the variance of the meanings was calculated using the normal distribution. Due to heterogeneity between studies, a random-effects model was used to combine their results. To investigate the heterogeneity of the studies, the P index was used. The sensitivity analysis was used to show that the deletion of each study might affect the final outcome of the study. The meta-regression was also used to investigate the relationship between the intelligence of Iranians and the number of samples and years of research and explore of

Study ID	ES (95% CI)	% Weight
Alipour.A (2011)	<ul> <li>34.03 (33.07, 34.99)</li> </ul>	3.71
Rahimianbogar.E (2009)	<ul> <li>49.01 (47.53, 50.49)</li> </ul>	3.71
Rezaei.A (2010)	70.47 (68.61, 72.33)	3.71
Ebrahimi.A (2000)	● 73.66 (70.03, 77.29)	3.70
Bozorgian.N (2012)	<ul> <li>80.96 (79.54, 82.38)</li> </ul>	3.71
Ashtari.F (2013)	<ul> <li>83.46 (81.24, 85.68)</li> </ul>	3.71
Rahmani.F (2012)	88.81 (85.99, 91.63)	3.70
Nasiri-Amiri.F (2004)	<ul> <li>92.36 (89.85, 94.87)</li> </ul>	3.71
Mehryar.AH (2002)	93.25 (91.40, 95.10)	3.71
Tonekaboni.SH (2003)	÷ 93.68 (88.65, 98.71)	3.68
Mahram.M (2009)	95.45 (93.60, 97.30)	3.71
Shikhmonesi.F (2008)	<ul> <li>96.73 (93.43, 100.03)</li> </ul>	3.70
Talebian.D (2004)	97.51 (94.50, 100.52)	3.70
Faramarzi.M (2011)	★ 100.90 (96.77, 105.03)	3.69
Shiri-Aminlu.M (2012)	• 101.55 (100.03, 103.07)	3.71
Najmi.SB (2013)	<ul> <li>102.36 (99.44, 105.28)</li> </ul>	3.70
Azizi.F (1988)	★ 102.70 (97.67, 107.73)	3.68
Atadokht.A (2012)	<ul> <li>103.69 (101.15, 106.23)</li> </ul>	3.71
Yaghoubi.H (2007)	<ul> <li>105.90 (102.57, 109.23)</li> </ul>	3.70
Solaimani.Z (2011)	<ul> <li>106.62 (103.58, 109.66)</li> </ul>	3.70
Alizadeh.M (2015)	★ 106.89 (101.41, 112.37)	3.68
Ordooei.M (2007)	<ul> <li>107.58 (106.77, 108.39)</li> </ul>	3.71
Fathiashtyani.A (1999)	• 107.65 (106.53, 108.77)	3.71
Ghaffari.J (2011)	<ul> <li>109.41 (107.55, 111.27)</li> </ul>	3.71
Sadeghipour.H (2001)	109.48 (107.92, 111.04)	3.71
Razavi.AH (2010)	<ul> <li>112.49 (110.20, 114.78)</li> </ul>	3.71
Ghasemtabar.N (2015)	<ul> <li>125.26 (123.49, 127.03)</li> </ul>	3.71
Overall (I-squared = 99.9%, p = 0.000)	94.50 (83.90, 105.10)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
-127	I I 0 127	

Graph 3: The mean score of verbal intelligence and its 95% confidence interval in Iran by author's name and year of research, based on the random effects model

heterogeneity. All statistical analyses were performed using STATA software version 11.1.

# **Results**

# Summary of how to enter studies into the meta-analysis process

The number of participants in the 51 studies was 5352. The characteristics of the papers examined are listed in Table 1 and the stages of the entry of studies into the meta-analysis process are presented in Graph 1 and Figure 1.

The mean total IQ score in Iran was 97.12 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 88.71–105.52), practical intelligence was 92.84 (95% CI: 79.14–106.55), and verbal intelligence was 94.50 (95% CI: 83.90–105.10) [Graphs 1-3].

According to the graph,<sup>[4]</sup> there is no meaningful relation between the mean total intelligence score in Iran and the number of research samples (P = 0.076) [Graph 4]. According to the graph,<sup>[5]</sup> there is also no significant relationship between the mean total IQ in Iran and the year of research (P = 0.520) [Graph 5].

# Discussion

The sample size was 5352 in 51 of the studied researches. The mean total IQ was 12.97, practical intelligence was 84.92, and verbal intelligence was 94.35. The results show that verbal intelligence score in Iran is more than practical



Graph 4: The relationship between the mean score of intelligence with Wechsler scale in Iran and the number of research samples using meta-regression

intelligence. In a study by Joshua Isen in the United States, the mean and standard deviation of the practical intelligence score was  $89.64 \pm 13.35$  and the verbal intelligence score was  $94.24 \pm 14$ .<sup>[61]</sup> The verbal intelligence score was greater than the intelligence score, consistent with our study.

In addition, the total IQ score in the northern, southern, central, eastern, and western regions of Iran was 97.08,

Table 1: Information extracted from articles entered into the meta-analysis process							
Author	Year of	City of study	Age	Type of study	Overall IQ	<b>Overall IQ</b>	Sample
	study				(mean)	(SD)	size
Bezorgyan <sup>[13]</sup>	2012	Yasouj	28.08	-	79.42	8.55	100
Rahimian Bugar <sup>[15]</sup>	2009	Semnan	9-11	Causal-comparative	94.05	16.11	90
Sharifi <sup>[16]</sup>	2007	Chaharmahal va bakhtyari	6-15	Causal-comparative	89.56	11.68	104
Sheykh Mounesi <sup>[17]</sup>	2008	Mazandaran	-	Causal-comparative	100.87	13	80
Mehry Nejad <sup>[18]</sup>	2006	Tehran	<11	-	107.63	9.54	500
Araghizade <sup>[7]</sup>	2005	Tehran	>40	-	-	-	64
Fathi Ashtiani <sup>[14]</sup>	1999	Tehran	9	-	-	-	60
Ebrahimi <sup>[19]</sup>	2000	Isfahan	>40	-	82.29	19.02	80
Bagheri <sup>[20]</sup>	2000	Tabriz	7	Causal-comparative	-	-	240
Soleymani <sup>[21]</sup>	2011	Tehran	4-6.5	Association	108.24	9.45	42
Tavakoli <sup>[22]</sup>	2007	Isfahan	27	Association	88.06	-	29
Razavi <sup>[23]</sup>	2010-2011	Nevshabur	5-6.5	Descriptive-analytical	_	_	80
Alizade <sup>[24]</sup>	2015-2016	Isfahan	7-15	Before-after study	98.85	13.65	27
Soghraei Karbalaei <sup>[25]</sup>	2019 2010	Systan and Baluchestan	12-18	Descriptive-analytical	79	6	86
Ghasem Tabar <sup>[26]</sup>	2015	Tehran	5	Before-after study	-	-	30
Nasri <sup>[27]</sup>	2013-2014	Rasht	8	Before-after study	96.9	4 01	60
Atadokht <sup>[28]</sup>	2012-2014	Ardehil	7-11	Causal-comparative	98.33	11.01	100
Manshaei <sup>[29]</sup>	2012-2013	Isfahan	6-12	Causal-comparative	70.55	-	90
Dalvand <sup>[30]</sup>	2012-2013	Tahran	1.8	Semi experimental	03	2 47	20
Mahravar <sup>[31]</sup>	2008	Shiraz	4-0	Causal comparative	95 30	12.47	20
Mahram <sup>[32]</sup>	2002	Zanian	-	Uistoriaal aphart	95.59	0.06	126
Sadaghi Daar <sup>[33]</sup>	2003	Zalijali Tehren	/.5	Historical collort	09.20	9.90	120
	2001	Tehren	4-15	Historical collort	111.40	10	191
AZIZI <sup>e 1</sup>	2003	Dehal	4-7	Dream estime ash art	105	15.07	02 120
Nasiri Amiri <sup>100</sup>	2004-2007	Babol	0-/	Prospective conort	95.45	14.41	52
rasseries [37]	2005	-	25-45	-	109.35	11.52	52 209
	2012-2013	- T 1	6-12	-	99.03	11.53	298
Yaghoubi <sup>136]</sup>	2007	Tehran	-	Semi-experimental	108.75	6.2	16
Mahmoodi Rad <sup>[39]</sup>	2007	Tehran	9	Before-after study	92.4	8.05	48
Khoshouei <sup>[40]</sup>	2010-2011	Istahan	6-7	Comparative	-	-	50
Ashuri <sup>[41]</sup>	2009-2010	Tehran	13-17	Before-after study	64.65	2.91	20
Hashemi <sup>[42]</sup>	2008-2009	Fars	6-9	Semi-experimental	85.6	9.08	23
Abdı <sup>[43]</sup>	2011	Tehran	30-50	-	102.5	4.67	50
Alipour <sup>[1]</sup>	2011	Tehran	-	Descriptive	28.53	2.97	120
Rezaei <sup>[44]</sup>	2010-2011	Tabriz	22.1	Descriptive	165.92	28.31	315
Jabbari <sup>[45]</sup>	2001	Shiraz	10-12	Comparative	98.14	3.67	160
Talebian <sup>[46]</sup>	2004-2006	Tehran	4-6	Historical cohort	100	16.23	102
Rahmani <sup>[47]</sup>	2012	Sanandaj	27.06	Before-after study	93.58	10.34	60
Vaezi <sup>[9]</sup>	2012	Tehran	8-10	-	97.79	11.69	25
Hashemi <sup>[48]</sup>	2009-2011	Fars	6-9	Experimental	96.5	12.42	60
Ashtari <sup>[49]</sup>	2013	Isfahan	19-60	Cross-sectional	82.56	8.91	100
Saremi <sup>[50]</sup>	2013-2015	Mashhad	20-40	Causal-comparative	109.92	4.7	70
Ghaffari <sup>[51]</sup>	2011	Mazandaran	6-14	Comparative	108.8	11.92	180
Ahmadi <sup>[52]</sup>	2014	Yazd	6-9	-	105.87	-	75
Khadem <sup>[53]</sup>	2010	Mashhad	6-7	Cross-sectional	100.4	4.33	372
Faramarzi <sup>[54]</sup>	2011-2012	Babol	5-6.5	Cross-sectional	109.6	13.41	60
Tonekaboni <sup>[55]</sup>	2003-2004	Tehran	6-12	-	94.42	18.8	45
Azizi <sup>[56]</sup>	2002	Tehran	3-11	-	114.73	12.7	53
Azizi <sup>[57]</sup>	1377	Tehran	4-6	-	103	13.29	31
Najmi <sup>[58]</sup>	2013	Isfahan	4.5	Comparative	97.1	9.66	120
Mahram <sup>[59]</sup>	2009	Zanjan	6	Cohort	96.5	9.66	130
Ordooei <sup>[60]</sup>	2007	Yazd	5	Case-control	108.9	2.78	80



Figure 1: The process of entering the researches into systematic review and meta-analysis

108.90, 92.31, 101.76, and 96.45, respectively. Based on these results, the people of southern Iran have the highest IQ and the people of the central region of Iran have the lowest IQ [Table 2].

An analysis based on the age of the participants showed that the mean IQ score in Iran in subjects under 20 years of age is 97.73 and in subjects over 20 years of age is 105.61. This suggests that the intelligence of Iranians increases with age and adults are more intelligent than children and adolescents.

In the graph,<sup>[4]</sup> meta-regression showed no significant relationship between the general IQ in Iran and the number of research samples. Thus, by increasing the number of samples, the average total IQ in Iran will not increase. Graph<sup>[5]</sup> also showed no meaningful relationship between the general intelligence score in Iran and the year of research. During the years under review, from 1367 to 1396, the mean total IQ in Iran has decreased, but this decline was not statistically significant.

Sensitivity analysis showed that by eliminating Rezaei *et al.*<sup>[44]</sup> study in 2011, the mean IQ increased to 95.39% (95% CI: 87.01–103.77), and by eliminating Alipour *et al.* study<sup>[1]</sup> in 2011, this amount will increase to 98.82 (95% CI: 95.40–102.23). As a result, these two studies are the most effective studies in obtaining the final result.

According to statistics and measurements conducted in Western countries, the average IQ of the first year students of universities is between 100 and 110. Masters and Ph.D. students have an IQ of over 120 and 130.<sup>[62]</sup>



Graph 5: The relationship between the mean scores of intelligence with the Wechsler scale in Iran and the year of the research using meta-regression

According to the global ranking, Iran with an average IQ of 84 is in the 98<sup>th</sup> position, which can improve its position using the results of this study. Also according to the results of the study by Joseph *et al.* in the United States, the average overall IQ was 85/90.<sup>[63]</sup> According to the statistics, Iranian intelligence is more than the people of the United States. The results of the study showed that breast milk, breakfast, and proper education during the study had a direct impact on the increase in IQ. Other factors which had impact on IQ are chronic diseases, administration of proper antioxidants, and other micronutrients<sup>[56,64-69]</sup> Because families with high incomes have a better and more moderate diet than low-income families,<sup>[70]</sup> chronic diseases affect mental, physical, and psychological status.<sup>[71-74]</sup>

The main limitations of this research included the failure to provide accurate statistics on the IQ of other countries in existing resources, the lack of access to the full text of some articles, and the inability of internal databases to combine the keywords.

# Conclusions

The people of Iran have high intelligence and their verbal intelligence is more than their practical intelligence. From the geographic point of view, the people of southern Iran have the highest intelligence and the people of eastern Iran have the least IQ. In terms of age, people under the age of 20 years have a lower IQ than people over the age of 20 years. According to the results of meta-analysis, Iran's IQs have decreased in recent years, but this decline has not been statistically significant. Given that proper nutrition and breastfeeding directly contribute to IQ, nutrition should be provided free of charge in poorer areas during pregnancy until baby born. Moreover, the media should provide adequate education for breastfeeding and nutrition, because IQ affects people's academic, occupational, personal, and social performance.

Chaman, et al.: Estimation of mean intelligence quotient with wechsler scale in Iran

Table 2: Results of meta-analysis of Iranian intelligence using the Wechsler scale							
Subgroups	Number of study	Mean IQ	95% CI		<b><i>P</i></b> for heterogeneity	$I^{2}(\%)$	
			Lower	Upper			
Overall IQ	41	97.12	88.71	105.52	0.000	99.9	
Practical IQ	27	94.50	83.90	105.10	0.000	99.9	
Verbal IQ	32	92.84	79.14	106.55	0.000	100	
Climate							
North	19	97.08	80.15	114.01	0.000	100	
South	1	108.90	108.29	109.51	-	-	
Center	9	92.31	88.04	96.57	0.000	97.8	
West	7	101.76	86.31	117.22	0.000	99.7	
East	3	96.45	82.73	100.34	0.000	99.9	
Age							
>20	30	97.73	94.21	101.24	0.000	99.5	
>20	6	105.61	88.92	122.31	0.000	100	

# **Ethical considerations**

Ethical issues (including plagiarism, data fabrication, double publication) have been completely observed by the authors.

## Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

# **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

Received: 08 Apr 18 Accepted: 14 Nov 18 Published: 05 Mar 19

#### References

- 1. Alipoor A, Saleh Mirhoseini V. Handedness and intelligence: Compare intelligence (verbal and practical) and its subscales between left-handed and right-handed. J Educ Psychol 2011;7:1-22.
- Nasel D. Spiritual orientation in relation to Spiritual intelligence: A consideration of traditional Christianity and new age/ individualistic spirituality. Christianity: University of South Australia, 2004; p. 1186.
- 3. Horn J, Cattell R. Refinement and test of the theory of fluid and crystallized intelligence. J Educ Psychol 1966;57:253-70.
- Black K, Hynd G. Epilepsy in the school aged child: Cognitive-behavioral characteristic and effect on Academic performance. Sch Psychol Q 1995;10:345-58.
- Donna K, Daily D, Holly H, Ardinger H, Grace E, Holmes G. Identification and evaluation of mental retardation. Am Fam Physician 2000;61:1059-70.
- Ehsani M, Sabarad L, Jahanian I, Kheirkhah F. The relationship between emotional intelligence and academic achievement among dental students at Babol University of Medical Sciences. J Educ Develop Jundishapur 2014;5:80-7.
- Araghizade H, Mirzamani S, Lak M, Sadrian M. The effect of general anesthesia and spinal cord round-memory close. Kosar Med J 2005;10:293-8.
- Taghva M, Abdollahi H. The effect of big five personality factors on emotional intelligence and organizational change and improvement. Manage Stud Dev Evol 2013;23:23-48.
- Vaezi S, Zolfaghari Ardchi F, Rahimi E. Cognitive processing in bilingual and monolingual children. Thinking and Children 2012;3:119-34.

- Sternberg R, Okagaki L, Jackson A. Practical intelligence for success in school. Educational Leadership 1990;48:35-9.
- Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, All E. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev J 2015;4:1-9.
- Von Elm E, Altman D, Egger M, Pocock S, Gøtzsche P, Vandenbroucke J. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: Guidelines for reporting observational studies. BMJ 2007;335:806-8.
- Bezorgyan N, Zare H, Kheramin S, Anjamrouz H, Zare H, Kheramin S, *et al.* Comparison of cognitive functions of patients with substance dependency and normal people in WAIS subscales. Armaghan-e-Danesh 2012;17:469-76.
- Fathi ashtiani A, Zandie A. Compare intelligence verbal and nonverbal students with dyslexia-false draft with normal students. J Psychol 5:345-60.
- Rahimian Bugar E, Najafi M. Comparison the performance of children with and without learning disorders in The Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised Quarterly. Psychol Except Individ 2015;6:56-80.
- Sharifi T, Rabiei M. Using Wechsler Intelligence Scale-4 for diagnosing children with learning disorders writing and math. J Learn Disabil 2013;2:59-75.
- Sheykh Mounesi F, Shahsavari M, Jafarzadeh A, Khademlo M. Creativity and intelligence quotient in bipolar disorder patients and their offspring: A case-control study. J Mazandaran Univ Med Sci 2010;20:55-60.
- Mehry Nejad S. Comparison of visual motor coordination ability, attention, intelligence, learning disorder and behavioral disorder in Immature and normal children. CPAP 2006;13:1-10.
- Ebrahimi A, Musavi S, Samuei R. Review and compare the cognitive functioning of patients with schizophrenia in the first episode of the disease and a control group. Res Med Sci 2003;8:97-101.
- Bagheri S, Khani M. Comparison of pre-school education centers under the guise of well-being and education of male and female learners with control intelligence. J Teaching Learn Stud 2013;5:23-46.
- Soleymani Z, Keramati N, Rouhani F, Jalaie S, Alaee M. The effect of the age of treatment Monset and quality of dietary control on language and intelligence functions in patients with Phenylketonuria. Audiol 2013;22:42-51.
- 22. Tavakoli M, Barekatain M, Taher Neshat Doust H, Molavi H, Kormi Nouri R, Moradi A, et al. Cognitive impairments in

patients with intractable temporal lobe epilepsy. J Res Med Sci 2011;16:1466-72.

- Razavi A, Haghighat S, Vaaezi T. Language development and intelligence in preschoolers. QJOE 2016;31:105-20.
- 24. Alizade M, Haghayegh S. Understanding the relationships between executive functions and intelligence quotient with academic performance of students diagnosed as having level 1 of Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD). JOEC 2016;16:65-74.
- Soghraei Karbalaei F, Afrooz G, Raghibi M. Investigation of biological characteristics, personality and IQ in delinquent adolescents in Sistan and Baluchestan province. Res Educ Syst 2016;10:189-208.
- Ghasem Tabar S, Hoseini M, Hajitabar Firuzjaei M, Gudarzi N. The effectiveness of music education on pre-school children's mental abilities. Q J Educ Psychol 2015;11:139-59.
- 27. Nasri S, Karimi Lichahi R. The comparison of the effectiveness of multi-sensory and perceptual-motor training method in improving reading skills dyslexic students. J Learn Disabil 20165:141-7.
- Atadokht A, Yagobi V, Basharpoor S, Zare R. The diagnostic capability of the wechsler intelligence scale for children in quickly diagnosis of students with special learning disability. J Learn Disabil 2014 4:121-8.
- 29. Manshaei G, Asli Azad M, Faramarzi S, Karimi Jozestani L, Arefi M, Farhadi T. Comparing the intelligence profiles of children with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder and children with learning disabilities with normal children. JPEN 2015;1:61-73.
- Dalvand H, Dehghan L, Feizi A, Amirsalari S, Shamsaei M. Effectiveness of space suit in improvement of motor activity of 4-8 years old children with spastic cerebral palsy with normal IQ. Kosar Med J 2008;13:303-7.
- Mahreyar A, Ahadi H, Shafi Abadi A, Javidi H. Comparison of mental abilities and memory between normal students and students with symptoms of obsession. Know Res Educ Psychol 2002:1-14.
- Mahram M. Relationship IQ in children living in areas with lead mine. J Improv 2003 7:36-42.
- 33. Sadeghi Poor H, Siah Kolah B, Riahi Asl B, Rezaei Ghale N, Hadian M, Jalilvand M, *et al.* Mother's fasting during pregnancy and the infant's IQ. J Res Med Sci 2004;28:191-7.
- 34. Azizi F, Bahreinian M, Khamse M, Khoshneiat Nikoo M. Thyroid function and intellectual development in infant whose mothers receive methimazole. Res Med 2005;29:207-11.
- 35. Nasiri Amiri F, Salavati A, Hajiahamadi M, Salmalian H, Ahmadi A. Correlation between the type of delivery and intelligence quotient in children at 6 to 7 years of age. J Babol Univ Med Sci 2010;12:19-24.
- Yasseri G, Moradi A, Shahraray M. Autobiographical memory performance and PTSD symptoms in survivors of cancer. Psychol Res 2006;8:84-98.
- 37. Shiri Aminloo M, Kamkary K, Shokrzadeh S. The concurrent validity of the new version of the Tehran-Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale with the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised. Except Educ 2013;7:50-61.
- Yaghoubi H, Jazayeri A, Khoushabi K, Dolatshahi B, Niknam Z. Neurofeedback specification efficacy on intelligent performance of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder children. Arch Rehabil 2007;8:40-52.
- 39. Mahmoodi Rad M. Investigating the role of communication skills training and social problem solving in promoting self-esteem and its relationship with the intelligence functions and academic achievement of students. J Dis Iran Children 2002;13:71-6.
- 40. Khoshouei M, Mirlohi F. Performance of slow-learner students in first grade of elementary school in Wechsler, Leiter and good enough intelligence scales. Arch Rehabil 2014;15:44-51.

- 41. Ashuri M, Mir Zamani S, Jalil Abkar S, Adib Sarkeshi N. A study on the effectiveness of pate booster on educational achievement in the empirical science curriculum of mentally disabled students in the third grade. Rehabilitation 2010;11:73-9.
- 42. Hashemi S, Monshizadeh L, Alipour A. Effects of cochlear implantation and associated rehabilitation services on the development of verbal and non-verbal intelligence of 6-9 years old deaf children with cochlear implants. Koomesh 2011;13:93-9.
- 43. Abdi A, Moradi A, Akramian F, Fathi Ashtiani A. Autobiographical memory performance in cancer survivors with PTSD. J Behav Sci 2012;6:60-8.
- 44. Rezaei A. Standardization and psychometric properties of multidimensional aptitude battery (MAB). Q J Educ Meas 2012;3:35-62.
- 45. Jabbari S, Khademi M. A comparative study on the effect of teaching comprehension skills with modern cognitive strategies and traditional methods of reading comprehension of fourth and fifth grade elementary students with reading difficulties and students with no reading problems. Learn Educ Stud (Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Shiraz University) 2009;1:19-57.
- 46. Talebian D, Radfar S, Gholami Fesharaki M, Aghamiri Z, Habibi M, Anvari S, *et al.* Relationship between the type of delivery and the actions taken in NICU of Najmieh Hospital on the IQ of premature infants born between 2004 and 2006. J North Khorasan Univ Med Sci 2011;3:71-8.
- 47. Rahmani F, Kiani M, Rezaei F, Nasuri M. The relation of of marriage and gender in the effects of aversive situations on intellectual functioningin borderline personality disorder. J Fam Couns Psychother 2011;2:388-411.
- Hashemi S, Monshizadeh L. The effect of cochlear implantation in development of intelligence quotient of 6–9 deaf children in comparison with normal hearing children (Iran, 2009-2011). Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2012;76:802-4.
- 49. Ashtari F, Emami P, Akbari M. Association between retinal nerve fiber layer thickness and magnetic resonance imaging findings and intelligence in patients with multiple sclerosis. Adv Biomed Res 2015;4:223.
- 50. Saremi A, Shariat S, Nazari M, Dolatshahi B. Neuropsychological functioning in obsessive-compulsive washers: Drug-naive without depressive symptoms. Basic Clin Neurosci 2017;8:233-48.
- Ghaffari J, Abbaskhanian A, Jalili M, Yazdani Charati Y. IQ Score of children with persistent or perennial allergic rhinitis: Comparison with healthy children. Iran J Child Neurol 2014;8:44-8.
- Ahmadi N, Mohammadi M, Araghi S, Zarafshan H. Neurocognitive profile of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorders (ADHD): A comparison between subtypes. Iran J Psychiatry 2014;9:197-202.
- Khadem N, Khadivzadeh T. The intelligence quotient of school aged children delivered by cesarean section and vaginal delivery. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res 2010;15:135-40.
- 54. Faramarzi M, Golsorkhtabaramiri M, Esmaeilzadeh S, Ghofrani F, Sorkhi H. Are children born through Intra-Cytoplasmic Sperm Injection (ICSI) having a lower intelligence quotient?. Middle East Fertil Soc J 2016;21:16-21.
- Tonekaboni S, Beyraghi N, Tahbaz H, Bahreynian S, Aghamohammadpoor M. Neurocognitive effects of phenobarbital discontinuation in epileptic children. Epilepsy Behav 2006;8:145-8.
- Azizi F, Khamseh M, Bahreynian M, Hedayati M. Thyroid function and intellectual development of children of mothers taking methimazole during pregnancy. J Endocrinol Invest 2002;25:586-9.

- Azizi F, Khoshniat M, Bahrainian M, Hedayati M. Thyroid function and intellectual development of infants nursed by mothers taking methimazole. J Clin Endocrinol Metab Printed USA 2000;85:3233-8.
- Najmi S, Hashemipour M, Maracy M, Hovsepian S, Ghasemi M. Intelligence quotient in children with congenital hypothyroidism: The effect of diagnostic and treatment variables. Res Med Sci 2013;18:395-9.
- Mahram M, Mousavinasab N, Gooran Urimei A. Intelligence quotient (IQ) and growth indices in children with the history of low birth weight. Iran J Pediatr 2009;19:387-92.
- Ordooei M, Mottaghi Pisheh H, Fallah R, Rabiee A. Cognitive outcomes for congenital hypothyroid and healthy children: A comparative study. Iran J Child Neurol 2014;8:28-32.
- 61. Isen J. A meta-analytic assessment of Wechsler's PNV sign in antisocial populations. Clin Psychol Rev 2010;30:423-35.
- Shariati M, Nezarat N. One hundred students of exceptional karyotype (an IQ less). South Med J 2003;6:127-33.
- Joseph J, Sistino J, Bonilha H. Improvements in survival and neurodevelopmental outcomes in surgical treatment of hypoplastic left heart syndrome: A meta-analytic review. J Extra Corpor Techno 2012;44:216-23.
- 64. Ardalan M-R, Nasri H. Lessons from our paleolithic primogenitors; A short look to the world diabetes day 2014 with the theme of "healthy living and diabetes". J Parathyr Dis 2014;2:57-8.
- Asgari A. Herbal medicines and kidney; friends or foes? J Nephropharmacol 2014;3:5-6.

- Hassanpour Dehkordi A, Mohammadi N, Nikbakhat Nasrabadi A. Hepatitis-related stigma in chronic patients: A qualitative study. Appl Nurs Res 2016;29:206-10.
- 67. Jafari T. Antioxidants; helpful or harmful? Ann Res Antioxid 2016;1:e13.
- Nasri H. The adverse effects of vitamin D deficiency on health. J Renal Endocrinol 2017;3:3.
- Shahreza FD. From oxidative stress to endothelial cell dysfunction. J Prev Epidemiol 2016;1:e04.
- 70. Victora CG, Horta BL, De Mola CL, Quevedo L, Pinheiro RT, Gigante DP, *et al.* Association between breastfeeding and intelligence, educational attainment, and income at 30 years of age: A prospective birth cohort study from Brazil. Lancet Global Health 2015;3:e199-e205.
- Dehkordi AH. Influence of yoga and aerobics exercise on fatigue, pain and psychosocial status in patients with multiple sclerosis: A Randomized Trial. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 2016;56:1417-22.
- 72. Hasanpour-Dehkordi A, Khaledi-Far A, Khaledi-Far B, Salehi-Tali S. The effect of family training and support on the quality of life and cost of hospital readmissions in congestive heart failure patients in Iran. Appl Nurs Res 2016;31:165-9.
- Mohammadi N, HassanpourDehkordi A, NikbakhatNasrabadi A. Iranian patients with chronic hepatitis struggle to do self-care. Life Sci J 2013;10:457-62.
- 74. Shahbazi K, Solati K, Hasanpour-Dehkordi A. Comparison of hypnotherapy and standard medical treatment alone on quality of life in patients with irritable bowel syndrome: A Randomized Control Trial. J Clin Diagn Res 2016;10:OC01.