



Delay or postponement of medical care among older adults in the Netherlands at earlier and later stages of the COVID-19 pandemic

Marlou Mizee^{1,2} · Laura A. Schaap^{2,3} · Emiel O. Hoogendijk^{1,2} · Natasja M. van Schoor^{1,2}

Received: 16 August 2022 / Accepted: 21 September 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Aims The aim of the current study was to compare cancellations or postponement of medical care among older adults during the COVID-19 pandemic between 2021 and 2020.

Methods Data of respondents aged ≥ 62 years were used from the longitudinal aging study Amsterdam (LASA), collected in 2020 and 2021, directly after the main COVID-19 waves in the Netherlands. A questionnaire assessed cancellations of medical care and postponed help-seeking behavior. Descriptive analyses were performed.

Results Overall, cancellations declined from 35% in 2020 (sample $n = 1128$) to 17% in 2021 (sample $n = 1020$). Healthcare-initiated cancellations declined from 29 to 8%. Respondent-initiated cancellations declined from 12 to 7%. Postponed help-seeking remained around 8%.

Conclusions In 2021, less cancellations were reported compared to just after the first wave of the pandemic in 2020, while postponed help-seeking remained the same. It is important to investigate how cancellations and postponed help-seeking can be prevented in future pandemics.

Keywords COVID-19 · Healthcare use · Older adults · Pandemic · Care delay

Introduction

Since the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic in early 2020, routine healthcare has been majorly disrupted because of governmental measures to contain the pandemic and unburden healthcare professionals [1]. Changes in healthcare utilization and accessibility of care may particularly be a concern for older adults, among whom chronic conditions and multimorbidity are highly prevalent [2, 3]. It has been suggested that the disruption of medical care, and the subsequent cancellation and postponement of care, may have

severe consequences for the well-being and functioning of older adults in the short- and long-term [3].

There have been several publications on the delay or postponement of medical care during 2020 among the older population [4–7]. Overall, these studies showed a decline in healthcare utilization among older adults during the first stage of the COVID-19 pandemic compared to the period before the pandemic. In an earlier LASA publication, it was reported that during the first stage of the pandemic, 35% of the sample reported cancellation of medical care and 8% reported postponed help-seeking [8]. In an editorial, several reasons for the decrease in health care utilization were suggested, such as fear of infection, COVID-19-related reorganizations of health care leading to reduced accessibility, and shortage of healthcare professionals in non-COVID-19 care [9]. At later stages of the pandemic, several attempts were made to adapt the healthcare system to the COVID-19 situation. For example, preparations were made in setting up separate COVID-19 units, regular healthcare services were scaled up to its regular capacity and face-to-face consultations were partly converted into video and telephone consultations [1]. Due to these adaptations, it is likely that

✉ Natasja M. van Schoor
nm.vanschoor@amsterdamumc.nl

¹ Epidemiology and Data Science, Amsterdam UMC
Location Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1117,
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

² Amsterdam Public Health Institute, Aging and Later Life,
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³ Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Science, Vrije
Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

more healthcare services were able to resume their regular activities.

The aim of the current study was to compare the extent to which older adults in the Netherlands report cancellation or postponement of medical care in 2021—a later stage of the pandemic—with 2020, just after the first stage of the pandemic. It is hypothesized that due to adaptations of the Dutch healthcare system to the COVID-19 situation there were less cancellations and postponements in 2021 compared to 2020.

Methods

Design and participants

The study was performed as an ancillary study of LASA, an ongoing cohort study that performs measurements approximately every three years among a representative sample of older adults in the Netherlands. The current study extends a previous LASA publication from 2020 on the same topic [8]. A first COVID-19 questionnaire was sent to 1485 eligible respondents in June 2020, after the first COVID-19 wave, of which 1128 participated (response: 76%). A second questionnaire was sent to 1325 eligible respondents in March 2021, after the second COVID-19 wave, of which 1020 participated (response: 77%). The overlapping sample consisted of 993 respondents. Details on the sampling, measurements and data collection of the LASA COVID-19 study have been published previously [10]. All respondents signed a written informed consent and the study was approved by the medical ethics committee of the VU University Medical Center.

Cancellations and postponed help-seeking

Cancellation of medical care was measured by asking the respondents whether they had an appointment cancelled (i.e., primary care, outpatient specialist) either initiated by the healthcare professional or initiated by themselves since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic (first questionnaire, 2020) or since October 2020 (second questionnaire, 2021). In addition, we asked how many planned visits were replaced by a telephone consult in 2020 and by a telephone or video consult in 2021. Physical therapist visits were only included in the 2021 questionnaire and, therefore, presented separately. Postponed help-seeking was measured by asking the respondents if, due to the COVID-19 situation, they did not seek the necessary help in case of physical or mental health problems. In 2021, we asked about the reasons for the postponement. Compared to our first publication on care delay during the COVID-19 pandemic [8], the numbers in the current paper vary slightly. In the previous publication, only complete cases with data on various health

characteristics were included, while for the current paper we included all participants [8].

Characteristics of respondents

Data on age and sex were derived from population registries. Educational level was assessed by asking the respondent for the highest education level completed. This was converted into elementary school or less (low), secondary school (medium) or higher education (high). Number of chronic diseases was measured through self-reported presence of the following chronic diseases: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cardiac disease, peripheral arterial disease, diabetes mellitus, stroke, cancer, and joint disorders (osteoarthritis/rheumatoid arthritis). Functional limitations were assessed using self-reported questions on the following activities: walking up and down a staircase of 15 steps without resting, dressing and undressing oneself, sitting down and standing up from a chair, cutting one's own toenails, walking outside for five minutes without resting, taking a bath or shower, and using own or public transportation. We distinguished the following functional limitations categories: no limitations, mild limitations (having difficulty with at least one activity) and severe functional limitations (respondent could not perform at least one activity or only with help). Depressive symptoms were assessed using the 10-item version of the center for epidemiologic studies depression scale (CES-D-10) and were summed to a total score ranging from 0 to 30. A cut-off score of ≥ 10 indicated the presence of clinically relevant depressive symptoms [11]. Anxiety symptoms were measured using the anxiety subscale of the Hospital Anxiety and depression scale (HADS-A) and summed to a total score ranging from 0 to 21. A cut-off score of ≥ 8 was applied to indicate the presence of clinically significant anxiety symptoms [12].

Statistical analysis

Descriptive analyses were performed to report the characteristics of respondents participating in the 2020 and 2021 questionnaires, respectively. In addition, the percentage of cancellations, either healthcare- or respondent-initiated cancellations, or postponement of medical care, both in 2020 and 2021, were described. Analyses were done in SPSS 26 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Characteristics of the samples from 2020 to 2021 are provided in Table 1. Mean age was 74 years and about 52% was female both in 2020 and in 2021. In Fig. 1, the percentages of cancellations and postponements stratified by

Table 1 Characteristics of the study samples in 2020 and 2021

Characteristics	2020 sample <i>n</i> = 1128 Mean (SD) or <i>n</i> (%)	2021 sample <i>n</i> = 1020 Mean (SD) or <i>n</i> (%)
Age, 62–103 years, mean (SD)	73.9 (7.5)	74.2 (7.1)
Sex, female, <i>n</i> (%)	596 (52.8)	534 (52.4)
Educational level		
Low, <i>n</i> (%)	132 (11.7)	104 (10.2)
Medium, <i>n</i> (%)	380 (33.7)	340 (33.3)
High, <i>n</i> (%)	616 (54.6)	576 (56.5)
Chronic diseases, 0–7, mean (SD)	1.3 (1.1)	1.3 (1.1)
Functional limitations		
No limitations, <i>n</i> (%)	602 (54.3)	533 (52.7)
Mild, <i>n</i> (%)	327 (29.5)	304 (30.0)
Severe, <i>n</i> (%)	180 (16.2)	175 (17.3)
Depressive symptoms, CES-D-10 \geq 10, <i>n</i> (%)	199 (18.0)	165 (16.3)
Anxiety symptoms, HADS-A \geq 8, <i>n</i> (%)	115 (10.5)	79 (7.8)

n may vary across covariates due to missing data




Fig. 1 Cancellation and postponement of medical care among older adults in the Netherlands during the COVID-19 pandemic: comparison between 2020 and 2021

healthcare setting are shown for 2020 and 2021. The total percentage of cancellations was lower in 2021 (16.8%) as compared to 2020 (35.1%). Healthcare-initiated cancellations were reported by 29.3% of respondents in 2020 and by 8.2% in 2021. In 2020, 15.4% of participants indicated that their planned visit to the general practitioner was replaced by a telephone consult, while in 2021 8.7% of respondents reported that their visit was replaced by a telephone or video consult. For the medical specialist, this percentage decreased from 21.3% in 2020 to 16.5% in 2021. Respondent-initiated cancellations were reported by 12.4% of respondents in 2020 and by 6.7% in 2021. Postponed help-seeking changed from 8.1% in 2020 to 8.5% in 2021. In 2021, we asked about the reasons for the postponement. Out of 87 respondents who postponed help-seeking, 28 persons did not want to

burden the care system, 25 were afraid to get infected with COVID-19, 7 were afraid to infect others and 23 reported other reasons, such as having COVID-19, having a cold or having another health problem, being in quarantine or waiting for the test result, having a partner at risk for COVID-19, and transportation problems. Furthermore, in 2021, 4.2% reported a healthcare-initiated physiotherapy cancellation and 2.5% reported a respondent-initiated physiotherapy cancellation.

Discussion

The results of this study show to what extent older adults in the Netherlands reported cancellations or postponement of medical care during earlier and later stages of the COVID-19 pandemic. The results indicate that in 2021, 16.8% of the sample reported any cancellation. This is half of what was reported in 2020 (35.3%). The percentage reported postponed help-seeking did not markedly change between 2020 and 2021.

When comparing the results with previous studies among older populations, it should be noted that, to our knowledge, no previous publications have reported on a comparison of healthcare use in different periods during the pandemic. Several publications showed a decrease in the use of healthcare services in the first stages of the COVID-19 pandemic compared to pre-pandemic healthcare use, in the general population and in older populations [4–8]. A study from the UK showed a decline of 70% in the utilization of healthcare services during the first months of the pandemic compared to before the pandemic. This study also showed that in August 2020

healthcare utilization increased steadily and approached pre-pandemic rates [7]. A study from Singapore showed the same pattern, with a decline in the number of medical visits by older adults during the first stage of the pandemic, followed by an increase that reached the regular pre-COVID-19 medical visit numbers at the end of 2020 [13]. In the Netherlands, the Dutch Healthcare Authority revealed that the number of healthcare referrals were since mid-April 2021—1 month after sending out our second questionnaire—around 96% of the expected number of referrals if the COVID-19 pandemic had not taken place [14].

Despite the fewer reported cancellations in later stages of the pandemic, cancellations are still reported. It was suggested that due to the adaption of the healthcare system to the COVID-19 situation, regular healthcare services were more able to continue healthcare services. This suggestion is supported by data from our study, as healthcare-initiated cancellations showed a larger decrease (from 29.3% in 2020 to 8.2% in 2021) compared to respondent-initiated cancellations (from 12.4% in 2020 to 6.7% in 2021). In our first COVID-19 questionnaire we asked about cancellations that occurred between the start of the pandemic until June/July 2020. During this first stage of the pandemic, a lockdown was in effect during the first few months, but many COVID-19 measures were lifted in June. In our second COVID-19 questionnaire we asked about cancellations that occurred between October 2020 and March 2021. During that time period, the number of COVID-19 infections was rising again, leading to a second COVID-19 wave, which was followed by a lockdown of the country in November 2020 that lasted until March 2021. By that time, a few older adults had been vaccinated. Therefore, although we are comparing different stages of the pandemic, some similarities exist, including the high number of infections and the lockdown of the country during both stages of the pandemic. Part of the cancellations that are still present in 2021 could therefore be due to a COVID-19 infection (of either the respondent or the health care professional) or due to consequences of the lockdown, e.g. public transportation limitations. Interestingly, the percentage of planned visits that was replaced by a telephone or video consult decreased between 2020 and 2021. As there were less cancellations in 2021 as compared with 2020, telephone or video consults may not have been necessary anymore in 2021 for part of the consults. It is important to investigate how cancellations and postponed help-seeking can be prevented or minimized in future pandemics to continue health services and prevent potential negative health outcomes. Detailed monitoring of cancellations and postponed help-seeking behavior may help policymakers to make the healthcare systems more resilient in current and future pandemics. Additionally, a

longitudinal follow-up of health outcomes is needed to better understand the possible consequences of cancellations and postponed help-seeking behaviour.

A strength of this study is the use of data from a large, representative sample of community-dwelling older adults. To our knowledge, this is the first study which compared the reported cancellation and postponed help-seeking between different stages of the COVID-19 pandemic. However, there are some limitations to this study. At both time moments, cancellation and postponement of healthcare were based on self-report, which might have led to under- or over-reporting due to recall bias. Additionally, the data does not include details on the medical appointments, and the severity of the health issue for which an appointment was made. Furthermore, our results concern the older population in the Netherlands and it remains unknown to what extent results can be generalized to other countries with a different healthcare system and other policies concerning COVID-19.

To conclude, fewer cancellations were reported in 2021 when compared to 2020. The highest decrease was observed in healthcare-initiated cancellations, which suggests adaptation of the healthcare system to the COVID-19 situation. Nonetheless, cancellations and postponed help-seeking are still reported. It is important to investigate how these cancellations and postponed help-seeking can be prevented in future pandemics.

Author contributions Drafted the manuscript: MM, LA, EH, NS. Statistical analysis: MM, NS, EH. Study design and concept: NS, LS, EH. Data interpretation, critical revision, and approval of final version: MM, LS, EH, NS.

Funding The longitudinal aging study Amsterdam (LASA) is largely supported by a grant from the Netherlands Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports, Directorate of Long-Term Care.

Data availability The dataset generated during the current study are not publicly available due to confidentiality, but the data underlying the results presented in this study are available from the longitudinal aging study Amsterdam (LASA). Data from LASA, including data from the LASA COVID-19 questionnaire, may be requested for research purposes. More information on data requests can be found on the LASA website: <http://www.lasa-vu.nl>.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they do not have competing interests.

Ethical approval This study is conducted in line with the declaration of Helsinki and received approval by the medical ethics committee of the VU University medical center.

Consent to participate All participants of the LASA study provided written informed consent.

Informed consent All respondents signed a written informed consent

Human and Animal rights All procedures were approved by the medical ethics committee of the VU University Medical Center. I left out the animal part as there were no animals involved in the study.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. RIVM (2020) Kort-cyclische rapportage indirecte effecten COVID-19 op zorg en gezondheid. Available from: <https://www.rivm.nl/documenten/kort-cyclische-rapportage-indirecte-effecten-covid-19-op-zorg-en-gezondheid-14-september>. Accessed 20 Mar 2021.
2. Marengoni A, Angleman S, Melis R et al (2011) Aging with multimorbidity: a systematic review of the literature. *Ageing Res Rev* 10:430–439
3. Wong SYS, Zhang D, Sit RWS et al (2020) Impact of COVID-19 on loneliness, mental health, and health service utilisation: a prospective cohort study of older adults with multimorbidity in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 70:e817–e824
4. Michalowsky B, Hoffmann W, Bohlken J et al (2021) Effect of the COVID-19 lockdown on disease recognition and utilisation of healthcare services in the older population in Germany: a cross-sectional study. *Age Ageing* 50:317–325
5. TILDA (2021) Altered lives in a time of crisis: the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the lives of older adults in Ireland. Available from: <https://tilda.tcd.ie/publications/reports/pdf/c19-key-findings-report/COVID-19%20Key%20Findings%20Report.pdf>. Accessed 20 Mar 2021.
6. Lei L, Maust DT (2022) Delayed care related to COVID-19 in a nationally representative sample of older Americans. *J Gen Intern Med* 37:1337–1340
7. Howarth A, Munro M, Theodorou A et al (2021) Trends in health-care utilisation during COVID-19: a longitudinal study from the UK. *BMJ Open* 11:e048151
8. Schuster NA, de Breij S, Schaap LA et al (2021) Older adults report cancellation or avoidance of medical care during the COVID-19 pandemic: results from the longitudinal aging study Amsterdam. *Eur Geriatr Med* 12:1075–1083
9. Soulis G, Inzitari M (2022) Medical care emerging challenges for older people during early COVID-19 pandemic. *Eur Geriatr Med* 13:505–506
10. Hoogendijk EO, van der Horst MHL, Poppelaars J et al (2021) Multiple domains of functioning in older adults during the pandemic: design and basic characteristics of the longitudinal aging study Amsterdam COVID-19 questionnaire. *Ageing Clin Exp Res* 33:1423–1428
11. Andresen EM, Malmgren JA, Carter WB et al (1994) Screening for depression in well older adults: evaluation of a short form of the CES-D (center for epidemiologic studies depression scale). *Am J Prev Med* 10:77–84
12. Snaith RP (2003) The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 1:29
13. Ahn S, Kim S, Koh K (2022) Associations of the COVID-19 pandemic with older individuals' healthcare utilization and self-reported health status: a longitudinal analysis from Singapore. *BMC Health Serv Res* 22:66
14. NZA (2021) Monitor Toegankelijkheid van Zorg Gevolgen van Covid-19: Nederlandse Zorgautoriteit. Available from: https://puc.overheid.nl/nza/doc/PUC_652707_22/1/. Accessed 13 Sep 2021.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.