Open access



www.bioinformation.net Volume 12(6)

Hypothesis

An Analysis of Adenovirus Genomes Using Whole Genome Software Tools

Padmanabhan Mahadevan*

Department of Biology, University of Tampa, 401 W. Kennedy Blvd. Box 3F, Tampa, FL 33606; Padmanabhan Mahadevan - E-mail: pmahadevan@ut.edu; *Corresponding author

Received July 8, 2016; Revised July 28, 2016; Accepted July 29, 2016; Published August 30, 2016

Abstract

The evolution of sequencing technology has lead to an enormous increase in the number of genomes that have been sequenced. This is especially true in the field of virus genomics. In order to extract meaningful biological information from these genomes, whole genome data mining software tools must be utilized. Hundreds of tools have been developed to analyze biological sequence data. However, only some of these tools are user-friendly to biologists. Several of these tools that have been successfully used to analyze adenovirus genomes are described here. These include Artemis, EMBOSS, pDRAW, zPicture, CoreGenes, GeneOrder, and PipMaker. These tools provide functionalities such as visualization, restriction enzyme analysis, alignment, and proteome comparisons that are extremely useful in the bioinformatics analysis of adenovirus genomes.

Key Words: Adenovirus, Genome, Software, Bioinformatics, CoreGenes, GeneOrder, Percent identity, Restriction enzyme, Genomics

Background:

Human adenoviruses (HAdVs) were first discovered in human adenoid tissue in the 1950s **[1].** Since then, many different HAdVs have been identified. HAdVs, like all adenoviruses, possess double stranded DNA genomes **[2].** The size of the HAdV genome is approximately 35 kb. There are seven species of HAdVs (A through G) and each species consists of different HAdV types. HAdVs cause many diseases such as respiratory disease, conjunctivitis, and gastroenteritis. HAdVs are classified based on several criteria including serum neutralization assays, restriction enzyme analysis (REA), hemagglutination, phylogenetic analysis, and whole genome analysis **[3].**

The improvement of genome sequencing technology has revolutionized the field of genomics and this impact has certainly been felt on adenovirus genomics. The number of HAdV genomes that have been sequenced has increased at an incredible rate. Bioinformatics analysis can be applied to whole genomes in order to distinguish between HAdVs and gain insight into their evolution **[4].** Indeed, whole genome sequence analysis has emerged as the gold standard for the classification of HAdVs **[5].**

In order to perform whole genome bioinformatics analysis on HAdVs, the appropriate whole genome software tools must be used. These myriad tools vary from standalone to web-based programs. Some of these tools include Artemis, EMBOSS,

pDRAW, zPicture, CoreGenes, GeneOrder, and PipMaker (**Table 1**). The use of these whole genome tools in describing the relationships between HAdVs is presented here. In addition, the use of whole genome percent identities and the use of inverted terminal repeats (ITRs) as techniques to complement these tools and to describe the relatedness between HAdVs are also explored.

Methodology:

Artemis

Artemis is a genome browser that can be used to annotate genomes [6]. It can be downloaded from http://www.sanger.ac. uk/resources/software/artemis/. In addition to annotation, Artemis can be used to view and compare annotated genomes. genomes can be downloaded from GenBank These (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and examined in Artemis. Of particular value is the ability to compare two HAdV genomes by opening up two instances of Artemis and laying the windows on top of each other. This technique allows the comparison of individual proteins and their corresponding nucleotide sequences. This makes it easy to spot mutations such as mis-sense and non-sense mutations. For example, the genome of the HAdV-B3 GB prototype strain is 98% identical to the HAdV-B3 NHRC 1276 field strain. Despite this high level of sequence identity, there are differences in some proteins that can be spotted using Artemis. For example, as described previously [7], a 20.6 kDa protein in the E2B region is found in HAdV-3 GB (Figure 1A), but using



Open access

Artemis, this protein can be seen to be severely truncated by 1 stop codon in the HAdV-3 NHRC 1276 field strain (Figure 1B).

Table 1: The names and locations of the various bioinformatics tools used to analyze adenovirus genomes.

Tool	Location
Artemis	http://www.sanger.ac.uk/science/tools/artemis
% identity analysis (EMBOSS)	http://emboss.sourceforge.net/
pDRAW for virtual REA	http://www.acaclone.com
ClustalO for ITR analysis	http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/
zPicture	http://zpicture.dcode.org
CoreGenes	http://binf.gmu.edu:8080/CoreGenes3.5
GeneOrder	http://binf.gmu.edu:8080/GeneOrder4.0
PipMaker	http://pipmaker.bx.psu.edu/pipmaker/



Figure 1: (A) Artemis view of a 20.6 kDa protein in HAdV-B3 GB; (B) Artemis view of the same protein in HAdV-B3 NHRC1276 truncated by a stop codon which is symbolized by the "+" sign.

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)





Figure 2: Virtual restriction enzyme analysis of HAdV-3 strains using BclI. The standards lane is labeled "1." Lane 2 is HAdV-B3 GB and lane 3 is HAdV-B3 NHRC 1276.

Whole genome percent identity analysis

It is very useful to know how closely related adenoviruses are to each other. One way of determining this is to examine the whole genome nucleotide percent identity of an adenovirus genome and compare it to the percent identity of another adenovirus genome. This can be accomplished using the EMBOSS package [8] which contains programs that perform pairwise alignment of two sequences and output the percent identity between them. Specifically, the two programs are called needle and stretcher. Needle performs a classic Needleman-Wunsch global alignment of two sequences, while stretcher uses a modified version of the same algorithm to deal with longer sequences. An example of the utility of using percent identity in comparing HAdVs can be seen in the case of HAdV-G52 which is associated with gastroenteritis [9]. There was debate as to whether HAdV-G52 was a new type of HAdV or whether it belonged to the existing HAdV-F species which are also associated with gastroenteritis **[10]**. One piece of evidence that argues that HAdV-G52 is indeed a new type is whole genome nucleotide percent identity of this genome compared to the genomes of SAdV-1, SAdV-7, HAdV-F40, and HAdV-F41. These percent identities are shown in Table II. The HAdV-F40 and HAdV-F41 genomes have significantly lower percent identities when compared to SAdV-1 and SAdV-7. This suggests that HAdV-G52 is more closely related to the simian adenoviruses SAdV-1 and SAdV-7 than to HAdV-F40 and HAdV-F41.

In addition to downloading the EMBOSS package (http://emboss.sourceforge.net/), the needle and stretcher programs are also available online. Needle is available at <u>http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/psa/</u> emboss_needle/ and stretcher is available at <u>http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/psa/</u> emboss_stretcher/.

Table 2: Percent identities of SAdV-1, SAdV-7, HAdV-F40, andHAdV-F41 compared to HAdV-G52.

HAdV type (GenBank accession #)	% identity to HAdV-G52
HAdV-G52 (DQ923122)	100
SAdV-1 (NC_006879)	95.5
SAdV-7 (DQ792570)	82.9
HAdV-F40 (NC_001454)	69.1
HAdV-F41 (DQ315364)	69.2

Virtual restriction enzyme analysis

REA has been used for a long time as an inexpensive and quick way to distinguish between HADV strains belonging to a certain type. For example, twelve restriction enzymes were used to distinguish between numerous strains of HAdV-3 obtained from Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, North America, and South America [11]. REA has also been useful in distinguishing between HAdV types associated with outbreaks of lower respiratory tract infections in children [12].

With the increasing number of HAdV genomes that are available, it can be very useful to perform a virtual REA using these genomes. Since the whole genome is available, it is unnecessary to extract DNA and perform REA in the lab. The program pDRAW (www.acaclone.com) can perform REA on HAdV genomes using a wide variety of restriction enzymes. A virtual gel plot is then produced so that the results can be viewed and analyzed. Virtual REA can be used to determine differences between HAdVs. For example, HAdV-B3 GB is 98% identical to HAdV-B3 NHRC 1276. The whole genome percent identity alone may suggest only a few differences between these HAdVs. However, when a virtual REA is done on these two genomes, it can be seen that they are distinct from each other. Figure 2 shows a virtual REA gel plot produced by pDRAW using the BclI enzyme for these two genomes. Lane 2 corresponds to HAdV-B3 and lane 3 corresponds to HAdV-B3 NHRC 1276. The restriction patterns are quite different between the two strains, despite their percent identity being very high.



Open access

HAdV-52	CATCATCAATAATATACCTTAAAACTGGAAACGTGCCAATATGATAATGAGCGGGGGAGGA	60
SAdV-1	CATCATCAATAATATACCTTATT-CTGGAAACGTGCCAATATGATAATGAGCGGGGGGGGGG	59
SAdV-7	CATCATCAATAATATACCTTATT-CTGGAAACGTGCCAATATGATAATGAGCGGGGGGGGG	59
HAdV-40	CATCATCAATAATATACCTTAAAACTGGAAACGAGCCAATATGATAATGAGGGGGGGG	60
HAdV-41	CATCATCAATAATATACCTTAAAGC TGGAAACGAGCCAA TATGATAATGAGTGAGGAGGG	60

HAdV-52	GCGA GGCGGGG C-CGGGGG tgacgt G	84
SAdV-1	GCGA GCGCGCGC C-CGGGGC tgacgt GCGGTGACGT GCGCTGA CGCG-GGGTGGCGCGA-GG	116
SAdV-7	GCGA GCGCGCGC C - CGGGGC gacgt GCGGTGA CGC GCGCGA GGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	118
HAdV-40	ACTA GGGGTGG TGTAAGG tgacg t AGAGGCGGGGC GGGGTGG GGAAGGGTGGAGGCGGAtg	120
HAdV-41	GCTA GGGGTGG TGCGAAG tgacgt ATAGGTAGGC GGGGTGG GGAAGGGTGGAGGTGAAtg	120
	* *** * ** *****	
HAdV-52		
SAdV-1	GCGGG 121	
SAdV-7	GCGCGGGTGTGTGTG 133	
HAdV-40	acgtGTGGGGTCGGAGGACGGGC-GCGGTGCGGCGGAAGTGACG 163	
HAdV-41	acgt-TGGGGTCGAAGGTTGGGGAGTGGCGCGGCGGAAGTG 160	

Figure 3: Alignment of ITRs from HAdV-G52, SAdV-1, SAdV-7, HAdV-F40, and HAdV-F41. The boxed region consists of a motif that is highly conserved in mastadenoviruses. The uppercase bold sequences correspond to NFI binding sites, the underlined sequences correspond to NFIII sites, the bold italic sequences correspond to SP1 sites, and the lowercase bold sequences correspond to ATF sites.

HAdV-52 SAdV-1 SAdV-7 HAdV-40 HAdV-41	CATCATCAATAATATACCTTAAAAC TGGAAACGTGCCAA TATGATAATGAGCGGGGGAGGA CATCATCAATAATATACCTTATT-C TGGAAACGTGCCAA TATGATAATGAGCGGGGGAGGA CATCATCAATAATATACCTTATT-C TGGAAACGTGCCAA TATGATAATGAGCGGGGGAGGA CATCATCAATAATATACCTTAAAAC TGGAAACGAGCCAA TATGATAATGAGGGAGGAGGG CATCATCAATAATATACCTTAAAAC TGGAAACGAGCCAA TATGATAATGAGGGAGGAGGG CATCATCAATAATATACCTTAAAGC TGGAAACGAGCCAA TATGATAATGAGGGAGGAGGG	60 59 59 60 60

HAdV-52	CCGA CCCCCCC -CGGGGtgacgtGGGAATGG CCACACC GGCG-GGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	116
SAdV-1	GCGA CGCGCG C-CGGGG tgacgt GCGGTGACGT GCGGTGA CGCG-GGGTGGCGCGA-GG	116
SAdV-7	CCGACCCCCCC-CGGGCtgacgtGCGGTGACGCCCCCCGCGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	118
HAdV-40	ACTACCCCCTCCTGTAAGGtgacgtAGAGGCCGGGCCCCGCTCCGAAAGGCTGGAGGCGGAtg	120
HAdV-41	GCTA CGGGTGG TGCGAAGtgacgtATAGGTAGGC GGGGTGG GAAAGGGTGGAGGTGAAtg	120
	* *** * ** ***** * ** * * * * *	
HAdV-52	TCGCAGGCGGGCGTG-GGGAGGCGCTTAGCTTTTACGTATGCGGAAGGAGGTTTTATGCC	175
SAdV-1	GCG-GGGCGGGAGTG-GGGAGGCGCTTAGTTTTTACGTATGCGGAAGGAGGTTTTATACC	174
SAdV-7	GCGCGGGTGTGTGTGTGGGAGGCGCTTAGTTTTTACGTATGCGGAAGGAGGTTTTATACC	178
HAdV-40	acgtGTGGGGTCGGAGGACGGGC-GCGGTGCGGCGGAAGTGACG	163
HAdV-41	acgtTGGGGTCGAAGGTTGGGGAGTGGCGCGGCGGAAGTG	160
	** * * * ** * * * * * *	
HAdV-52	GGAAGTTGGGTAATTTGGGCGTATACTTGTAAGTT- 210	
SAdV-1	GGAAGTTGGGTAATTTGGGCGTATACTTGTAAGTTT 210	
SAdV-7	GGAAGATGGGTAATTTGGGCGTATACTTGTAA 210	
HAdV-40		
HAdV-41		

Figure 4: The HAdV-G52, SAdV-1, and SAdV-7 ITRs have been extended to 210 nucleotides. The second ATF binding site (lowercase bold) still appears to be only present in HAdV-F40 and HAdV-F41. In contrast, the SP1 site missing in HAdV-52 in the original alignment appears to be present in this extended alignment.

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

BIOMEDICAL INFORMATICS ©2016

Open access

HAdV-52	CATCATCAATAATATACCTTAAAAC TGGAAACGTGCCAA TATGATAATGAGCGGGGGAGGA 60	0	
SAdV-1	CATCATCATAATATATCCTTATT-CTGGAAACGTGCCAATATGATAATGAGCGGGGGGGGG 59	9	
SAdV-7	CATCATCATATATATACCTTATT-CTGGAAACGTGCCAATATGATAATGAGCGGGGGGGGG 59	9	
HAdV-40	CATCATCATATATATATACCTTAAAACTGGAAACGAGCCAATATGATAATGAGGGGGGGG	0	
HAdv-41	CATCATCATATATATATACCTTAAAGCTGGAAACGAGCCAATATGATAATGAGTGAG	0	
IIAGV 31	***************************************	,	
HAdV-52	GCGA GCCGGGCC- CGGGGTGACGTGGGAATGGGGAGAGGGGCG-GGGCGGGGGGGGGG	16	
SAdV-1	gcga ggcggggc -cggggtgacgtgcggtgacgtgggggtgacgcg-gggtggcgcga-gg 11	16	
SAdV-7	gcga ggcggggc -cggggtgacgtgcggtgacgcgggggggggggggggggg	18	
HAdV-40	ACTAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG		
HAdV-41	GCTA GGGGTGGT GCGAAGTGACGTATAGGTAGGCGGGGGGGAAAGGGTGGAGGTGAA tg 12 * *** * ** * ** ** ** ** ** ** **	20	
undu-52		69	
nAuv-JZ		50 67	
SAUV-1		07 71	
SAUV-1		/ I 65	
HAQV-40		20	
HAav-41	acgt-TGGGGTCGAAGGTTGGGGAGTGGCGCGCGGGAGTGACGGATCCGGTAGTATGTT 1/ ** ** * *** ** ** * * *****	19	
HAdV-52	TTATGCCGGAAGTTGGGTAATTTGGGCGTATACTTGTAAGTTTT-GTGTAAATTGGCGCG 22	27	
SAdV-1	TTATACCGGAAGTTGGGTAATTTGGGCGTATACTTGTAAGTTTT-GTGTAATTTGGCGCG 22	26	
SAdV-7	TTATACCGGAAGATGGGTAATTTGGGCGTATACTTGTAAGTTTT-GTGTAATTTGGCGCG 23	30	
HAdV-40	AAATCTGGTGTATTGGGCGGGTTTTTGTAACTTTT-GGCCATTTTGGCGCG 21	15	
HAdV-41	TTG-ACCGGAAATTTGGTGTATTGGGCGGGGTTTTTGTAACTTTTTGGTTATTTTGGCGCG 23	38	
HAdV-52	AAAACTGGGTAATGAGGAAGTTGAGGTTAATATGTACTTTTTA tgactg -GGCGGAATTT 28	86	
SAdV-1	AAAACCGGGTAATGAGGAAGTTGAGGTTAATATGTACTTTTTA tgactg- GGCGGAATTT 28	85	
SAdV-7	AAAACTGGGTAATGAGGAAGTTGAGGTTAATATGTACTTTTTA tgactg- GGCGGAATTT 28	89	
HAdV-40	AAAACTGAGTAATGAGGACGTGGGACGAACTTTGGACTTTT-GTGTTTATGGAGGAAAAA 27	74	
HAdV-41	AAAACTGAGTAATGCGGAAGTTGAACGAACTCTGGACTTTTTATGGCTAGGGAGGG	98	
HAdV-52	CTGCTGATCAGCAGTGAACTTTGGG-CGCTGACGGGGAGGTTTCGCTACGTGGCAGTACC 34	45	
SAdV-1	CTGCTGATCAGCAGTGAACTTTGGG-CGCTGACGGGGAGGTTTCGCTACGTGGCAGTACC 34	44	
SAdV-7	CTGCTGATCAGCAGTGAACTTTGGG-CGCTGACGGGGAGGTTTCGCTACGTGACAGTACC 34	48	
HAdV-40	CTGCTGATTATTACTGAACTTTGGC-CCATGACGAACCGGTTTTTCTACGTGGCAGTGCC 33	33	
HAdv-41	CTGCTGATCATTGCTGAACTTTGGGGGCTTTGACGTGGCGGTTTCCCTACGTGGCACTGCC 35	58	
HAdV-52	ACGAGAAGGCTCAAAGGTCCCATTTATTGTACTCCTCAGCGTTTTCGCTGGG TATTT 40	02	
SAdV-1	ACGAGAAGGCTCAAAGGTCCCATTTATTGTACTCCTCAGCGTTTTCGCTGGG TATTT 40	01	
SAdV-7	ACGAGAAGGCTCAAAGGTCCCATTTATTGTACTCTTCAGCGTTTTCGCTGGG TATTT 40	05	
HAdV-40	ACGAGACGGCTCAAAGTCCTAATTTTTTTTTTGTG-TGCTCAGCCCGTTTGA-GGG TATTT 39	91	
HAdV-41	ACGCGAATGCTCAAAGTCCTTATTTTATTGTG-TGTTCAGCCCTTTTGA-GGG TATTT 41	14	
HAdV-52	Δ ΔΔCGCTGTCAGATCATC 420		
SAdV-1	AAACGCTGTCAGATCA 420	ļ	
SAdV-7	ADACGCTGTCAGATC 420		
HAdV-40	AAACACAGCCAGAACATCAAGAGGCCACT 420		
HAdv-41	AACAC 420		
IIAUV HI			

Figure 5: The HAdV-G52, SAdV-1, SAdV-7, HAdV-F40, and HAdV-F41 ITRs have been extended to 420 bp. Putative ATF sites appear in HAdV-G52, SAdV-1, and SAdV-7. The conserved TATA box of the E1A gene is also shown (TATTTA).

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)



Open access



Figure 6: zPicture plots of HAdV-G52 vs. A) SAdV-1, B) SAdV-7, C)HAdV-F40, D) HAdV-F41. The red regions are evolutionarily conserved regions (ECRs) of at least 100 bp in length and at least 70% identity.

Inverted terminal repeats

The ITRs of adenoviruses are located at both ends of the linear double-stranded DNA genome. The ITRs are essential for viral DNA replication because they contain sequence motifs that serve as binding sites for cellular and viral proteins **[13]**. One sequence motif is the "core" origin of replication (ATAATATACC), which is highly conserved in mastadenoviruses. This site binds the preterminal protein-DNA polymerase heterodimer **[14]**. The analysis of ITRs can be used to distinguish between HAdV types as will be seen in the case of HAdV-G52, SAdV-1, SAdV-7, HAdV-F40, and HAdV-F41.

Figure 3 shows an alignment of the ITRs from these adenoviruses using ClustalW **[15]** (Please note that the ClustalW server has been replaced by ClustalO at EBI). The core origin is perfectly conserved in the ITRs (nucleotides 9-18), as shown in the boxed region. The ITRs also contain transcription factor binding sites to which cellular factors bind, which may reflect cell tropism. One of these is the NFI site (TGGAAACGTGCCAA), which is highly conserved between HAdV-G52, SAdV-1, SAdV-7, HAdV-F40, and HAdV-F41. The NFI site is identical between HAdV-52 and the simian adenoviruses. Similarly, the site is exactly the same between the two HAdV-F species adenoviruses. The NFII site

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)



Open access

(TATGATAAT) is identical between the five adenoviruses. The host provided NF1 and NFIII transcription factors serve to enhance adenovirus replication **[16]**.

Two putative SP1 sites (denoted by bold italics in Figure 3) are also present in the SAdV-1, SAdV-7, HAdV-F40, and HAdV-F41 ITRs. One of these SP1 sites is not found in the HAdV-G52 ITR because it is significantly shorter (84 nucleotides) than the other ITRs. However, when the HAdV-G52 ITR is extended to 210 nucleotides, this SP1 site is present (Figure 4). The ATF site (TGACGT) is present in all of the five analyzed ITRs. Interestingly, there is an extra ATF site present in the HAdV-F40 and HAdV-F41 ITRs. Even in the extended ITRs (Figures 4 and 5), this ATF site does not appear to be present in HAdV-G52, SAdV-1, and SAdV-7. Figure 5 shows all the ITRs extended to 420 nucleotides, and includes the TATA box of the E1A gene towards the end of the alignment. In this extended alignment, putative ATF sites appear in HAdV-52, SAdV-1, and SAdV-7. However, these ATF sites differ from the ATF sites found in HAdV-F40 and HAdV-F41. The difference is that the last 2 nucleotides in the ATF sites are switched (TGACGT vs. TGACTG). In summary, this in depth sequence analysis shows that the ITR of HAdV-G52 is more similar to the ITRs of SAdV-1 and SAdV-7 than to the ITRs of HAdV-F40 and HAdV-F41. This suggests that HAdV-G52 is more closely related to the simian adenoviruses than to the species F adenoviruses. This provides more evidence that HAdV-G52 is a new type.

zPicture

Percent identity gives a broad overview of the differences between HAdV genomes. However, in order to determine where in the genome these differences are located, a whole genome visualization tool such as zPicture must be used. zPicture uses BLASTZ [17] to align two genomes and produces a plot of percent identity between the two genomes [18]. By looking at the plot, regions of high percent identity and regions of low percent identity can be easily identified. This is especially useful in the comparison of HAdV-G52 with SAdV-1, SAdV-7, HAdV-F40, and HAdV-F41. Figure 6A shows a zPicture plot of HAdV-G52 vs. SAdV-1 and it can be seen that the percent identity is very high in almost all regions between these two genomes. In contrast, Figure 6B shows a zPicture plot of HAdV-G52 vs. SAdV-7 that indicates there is zero percent identity between the two at the E1A and E3 regions. A possible explanation for this is that parts of these regions may have been artificially deleted by researchers using SAdV-7 as a viral vector. Indeed, the genome size of SAdV-7 is smaller at 31,045 bp than HAdV-G52 whose genome size is 34,250 bp, supporting this hypothesis. Figure 6C and 6D show plots of HAdV-G52 vs. HAdV-F40 and HAdV-F41. These figures show lower percent identity at the E3 and E4 regions and relatively high percent identity for the rest of the genome.

CoreGenes

CoreGenes is a tool that is used to determine the "core" or common set of proteins in a set of genomes. It has previously been used in the classification of bacteriophages [19, 20] CoreGenes is

implemented in the Java programming language and uses a combination of servlets and HTML to provide the required functionality [21, 22]. The CoreGenes algorithm takes GenBank accession numbers as input via a web interface. These genome files are then retrieved and the protein sequences are parsed and extracted from the files. Protein similarity analysis is performed for each protein from the query genome against the reference genome protein database using BLASTP from the WU-BLAST package. If the sequence alignments are equal to or greater than a user specified threshold BLASTP score, then that pair of proteins is stored and a consensus genome of related genes is created. These scores can be "custom-specified" by the user by entry into text fields in the CoreGenes web interface. It is available at http://binf.gmu.edu:8080/CoreGenes3.5. If more than two accession numbers are entered, the CoreGenes3.0 algorithm proceeds in an iterative manner. The consensus genome created from the analysis of the first query genome and the reference genome is analyzed against the second query genome. A second consensus genome is created and stored, which is then analyzed against the third query genome. This process is repeated and the fourth query genome is treated in the same way. The final output is a table of related genes across all five genomes. CoreGenes also outputs unique genes between two genomes.

From the whole genome percent identity analysis, ITR alignments, and zPicture plots, there is strong evidence that HAdV-G52 is closely related to SAdV-1 and SAdV-7. In order to further investigate the relationship between HAdV-G52, SAdV-1, and SAdV-7, a whole proteome approach is undertaken here. The CoreGenes whole proteome analysis reveals that HAdV-G52 and SAdV-1 share a total of 35 proteins at a BLASTP threshold score of "75". Figure 7 shows a partial table of shared proteins between HAdV-G52 and SAdV-1 that is produced by CoreGenes. The total number of proteins in HAdV-G52 is 36, while the total number in SAdV-1 is 35. Interestingly, a protein that is not annotated in SAdV-1, but which is found in HAdV-G52 is the U protein. This is likely an essential protein. For example, this protein may be involved in adenovirus DNA replication and RNA transcription [23]. Additional analysis using the annotation and genome visualization tool Artemis reveals that the U protein is in fact present in SAdV-1. These results suggest that HAdV-G52 and SAdV-1 are very closely related since they share all the same proteins with each other. This is consistent with whole genome percent identity analysis, ITR alignments, and zPicture plots.

CoreGenes analysis reveals that HAdV-G52 shares fewer proteins with SAdV-7 than with SAdV-1 with a total of 26 shared proteins at a BLASTP threshold score of "75." The total number of proteins in SAdV-7 is 27. There appears to be several proteins unique to HAdV-G52 that are absent in SAdV-7. These are the E3 CR1-alpha1, E3 CR1beta1, E3 RIDalpha, E3 RIDbeta, E3 14.7 kDa, and U proteins. Further analysis using TBLASTN (BLAST a protein query against a translated nucleotide database) confirms that these proteins are missing in SAdV-7. In contrast, these proteins are all present in SAdV-1 and this indicates that HAdV-G52 is more closely related to SAdV-1 than to SAdV-7. This is sup-

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

307





ported by the genome identity between HAdV-G52 and SAdV-1 which is 95.5%. The genome identity between HAdV-G52 and SAdV-7 is significantly lower at 82.9%. As mentioned earlier in the zPicture analysis, a possible explanation for these missing proteins in SAdV-7 is artificial deletion of segments of the genome for use as a viral vector.

Human adenovirus 52	Simian adenovirus 1
DQ923122	NC_006879
GI: <u>117503036</u>	GI: <u>61602119</u>
PRODUCT:E1A	PRODUCT:E1A
GI: <u>117503037</u>	GI: <u>61602120</u>
PRODUCT:E1B 19K	PRODUCT:E1B 19K
GI: <u>117503038</u>	GI: <u>61602121</u>
PRODUCT:E1B 55K	PRODUCT:E1B 55K
GI: <u>117503039</u>	GI: <u>61602087</u>
PRODUCT:IX	PRODUCT:IX
GI: <u>117503040</u>	GI: <u>61602088</u>
PRODUCT:IVa2	PRODUCT:IVa2
GI: <u>117503041</u>	GI: <u>61602089</u>
PRODUCT:pol	PRODUCT:pol
GI: <u>117503042</u>	GI: <u>61602090</u>
PRODUCT:pTP	PRODUCT:pTP
GI: <u>117503043</u>	GI: <u>61602091</u>
PRODUCT:52k	PRODUCT:52K
GI: <u>117503044</u>	GI: <u>61602092</u>
PRODUCT:pIIIa	PRODUCT:pIIIa
GI: <u>117503045</u>	GI: <u>61602093</u>
PRODUCT:III	PRODUCT:III
GI: <u>117503046</u>	GI: <u>61602094</u>
PRODUCT:pVII	PRODUCT:pVII
GI: <u>117503047</u>	GI: <u>61602095</u>
PRODUCT:V	PRODUCT:V
GI: <u>117503048</u>	GI: <u>61602096</u>
PRODUCT:pX	PRODUCT:pX
GI: <u>117503049</u>	GI: <u>61602097</u>
PRODUCT:pVI	PRODUCT:pVI
GI: <u>124375633</u>	GI: <u>61602098</u>
PRODUCT:hexon	PRODUCT:hexon
GI: <u>117503051</u>	GI: <u>61602099</u>
PRODUCT:protease	PRODUCT:protease

Figure 7: CoreGenes analysis of HAdV-G52 vs. SAdV-1. The partial table contains links to the entire genome in GenBank, as well as to each individual gene. This allows the user to perform additional analyses on genes of interest.

GeneOrder

GeneOrder4.0 is a versatile user-friendly web-based tool developed for the analysis of gene order and synteny **[24]**. This software tool has been updated to analyze larger sized bacterial genomes of around 4-5 megabases (Mb). It performs "on-the-fly" analysis of two genomes and produces a dot plot of gene pairs.

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

GeneOrder4.0 uses the BLAST-like Alignment Tool (BLAT) [25] to perform efficient and fast "all-against-all" protein comparisons. GeneOrder4.0 also provides for the analysis of custom or proprietary data, that is, data not submitted to GenBank for one reason or another. Since GeneOrder4.0 is web-based, users do not have to download or install any software packages. Web-based access is especially useful for non-computationally based scientists such as bench-based biologists. Other user-friendly features of GeneOrder4.0 include zooming, printing, and customizing the final graphical plot. In addition, clicking on the data points on the plot leads to the popping up of new browser windows, leading to the GenBank record of the gene pairs on the plot.

Open access



Figure 8: GeneOrder plots of HAdV-G52 vs. A) SAdV-1 and B) SAdV-7. The red circles indicate BLASTP scores ≥ 200 , while the blue "x" symbols indicate BLASTP scores of ≥ 100 but < than 200.

In order to visualize the relatedness of the HAdV-G52, SAdV-1, and SAdV-7 genomes, they are analyzed as pairs using GeneOrder4.0. The plot between HAdV-G52 and SAdV-1 shows several related proteins and confirms that these two genomes are related to each other (Figure 8A). The plot between HAdV-G52 and SAdV-7 shows several related proteins (Figure 8B), but the num-



Open access

ber is less than that of the plot between HAdV-G52 and SAdV-1. These related proteins are indicated by red dots (BLASTP score \geq 200) and blue "x" symbols (100 \leq BLASTP score < 200) on the plots. In addition, there is a noticeable gap between one segment of related proteins and the other (Figure 8B). As explained previously, it appears that a part of the genome of SAdV-7 has been deleted. This illustrates the utility of GeneOrder4.0 in visualizing abnormalities in a genome when compared to a reference genome (HAdV-G52).

PipMaker

PipMaker compares two sequences using the BLASTZ algorithm and produces a dot plot that shows the segments that are conserved between the sequences **[26]**. The PipMaker web server accepts sequences in FASTA format and also produces a percent identity plot (pip). A textual form of the sequence alignments can also be created. When PipMaker is used to produce a dotplot of HAdV-G52 vs. SAdV-7 **(Figure 9)**, it can be seen that there are gaps in the plot showing the regions of artificial deletion in SAdV-7, particularly the E1A and E3 regions. Thus, PipMaker allows for the visualization of differences between whole adenovirus genomes.



Figure 9: PipMaker dot plot of HAdV-G52 vs. SAdV-7. The gaps in the plot reflect differences between the HADV-G52 genome and the SAdV-7 genome. The differences indicate gaps present in the SAdV-7 genome which correspond to artificial deletions in that genome with respect to HAdV-G52.

Discussion:

The evolution of sequencing technology from second generation to third generation sequencing promises to deliver higher throughput at a cheaper cost and faster rate **[27]**. This will lead to even more genomes being sequenced. In order to deal with this data deluge, the development of whole genome software tools must continue. The utility of whole genome tools such as Artemis, EMBOSS, pDRAW, zPicture, CoreGenes, and GeneOr-

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

program in EMBOSS provides a broad overview of the similarity between adenovirus genomes, while zPicture enables the visualization of regions of high percent identity in these genomes. These two tools are useful in determining that HAdV-G52 is more related to SAdV-1 and SAdV-7 than to HAdV-F40 and HAdV-F41. The ITR analysis also agrees with the whole genome percent identity and zPicture results. REA analysis using pDRAW allows the differentiation of two HAdV types that may initially look the same, but are in fact distinct. HAdV-3 GB and the field strain HAdV-3 NHRC 1276 share a very high percent identity, but pDRAW analysis shows distinct restriction patterns that distinguish these two genomes. The whole genome visualization tool Artemis allows the viewing and inspection of these two HAdV-3 genomes. Upon closer inspection with Artemis, a 20.6 kDA protein was found to be truncated in the HAdV-3 NHRC 1276 field strain. This illustrates the use of Artemis in discovering minor differences between these two HAdV-3 genomes. CoreGenes finds the common genes between a set of up to five genomes. CoreGenes analysis reveals that HAdV-G52 shares more proteins with SAdV-1 than with SAdV-7. The missing proteins in SAdV-7 likely due to an artificial deletion are also found using the CoreGenes analysis. GeneOrder analysis also visualizes these missing proteins as a gap in the synteny plot that it produces. Similarly, PipMaker also shows gaps in the dot plot between HAdV-G52 and SAdV-7, reflecting the differences between HAdV-G52 and SAdV-7. In summary, all of these whole genome tools are invaluable in analyzing adenovirus genomes. Therefore, their development and the development of new tools must be encouraged and supported.

der in the analysis of adenovirus genomes has been demon-

strated here. Whole genome percent identity analysis using the

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Don Seto for his helpful advice in writing this paper.

References

- [1] Rowe WP et al. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 1953 84(3): 570.
 [PMID: 13134217]
- [2] Davison AJ et al. J Gen Virol. 2003 84(11): 2895 [PMID: 14573794]
- [3] Harrach B. *Encyclopedia of Virology (Third Edition)*. Oxford: Academic Press. 2008 p1.
- [4] Torres S et al. Viruses. 2010 2(7): 1367 [PMID: 21994684]
- [5] Singh G et al. J. Virol. 2012 86(8): 4693 [PMID: 22301156]
- [6] Carver T et al. Bioinformatics. 2008 24(23): 2672 [PMID: 18845581]
- [7] Mahadevan P *et al. Virology.* 2010 **397(1)**: 113 [PMID: 19932910]
- [8] Rice P et al. Trends Genet. 2000 16(6): 276 [PMID: 10827456]
- [9] Jones MS 2nd et al. J. Virol. 2007 81(11): 5978 [PMID: 17360747]
- [10] de Jong JC et al. J. Virol. 2008 82(7): 3809 [PMID: 18334604]
- [11] Li QG and Wadell G. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1988 26(5): 1009. [PMID: 2838500]



Open access

- [12] Kim Y-J et al. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2003 41(10): 4594. [PMID: 14532188]
- [13] Dán A et al. Virus Genes. 2001 22(2): 175. [PMID: 11324754]
- **[14]** Temperley SM and Hay RT. *EMBO J.* 1992 **11(2)**: 761.
- [15] Thompson JD *et al. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics.* 2002 Chapter 2: Unit 2.3. [PMID: 18792934]
- [16] Mul YM et al. J. Virol. 64(11): 5510. [PMID: 2214023]
- [17] Schwartz S et al. Genome Res. 2003 13(1): 103. [PMID: 12529312]
- [18] Ovcharenko I et al. Genome Res. 2004 14(3): 472. [PMID: 14993211]
- [19] Lavigne R et al. BMC Microbiol. 2009 9:224. [PMID: 19857251]
- [20] Mahadevan P and Seto D. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 2010 680:379. [PMID: 20865522]

- [21] Mahadevan P *et al. BMC Res Notes.* 2009 2:168. [PMID: 19706165]
- [22] Turner D et al. BMC Res Notes. 2013 6:140. [PMID: 23566564]
- [23] Tollefson AE *et al. J. Virol.* 2007 81(23): 12918. [PMID: 17881437]
- [24] Mahadevan P and Seto D. *BMC Res Notes*. 2010 3:41. [PMID: 20178631]
- [25] Kent WJ. Genome Res. 2002 12(4): 656. [PMID: 11932250]
- [26] Elnitski L et al. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. 2003 Chapter 10: Unit 10.2. [PMID: 18428692]
- [27] Schadt EE et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. 2010 19(R2): R227. [PMID: 20858600]

Edited by P Kangueane

Citation: Mahadevan, Bioinformation 12(6): 301-310 (2016)

License statement: This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License