



Perspective

HIV-2: still off the radar for India's 90-90-90 targets

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-2, a kin of HIV-1, still considered to be confined to the African subcontinent, has spread its roots across the globe in countries such as Europe¹, America² and India³. The test and treat strategy being implemented globally for HIV-1 has shown reductions in the epidemic-limiting AIDS-related deaths and new infections⁴. However, there seems to be no road map in the case of HIV-2 for achieving the target of 'ending AIDS by 2030'. The sole reason behind HIV-2 being neglected globally is that none of the global agencies (WHO and UNAIDS) have a formal surveillance system for HIV-2, which makes it difficult to know the updated epidemiology and geographical distribution of the infection which form the basis for interventions and research to tackle it^{4,5}. Also, lack of surveillance reports from some areas where although the infection exists and qualifies the definition of a neglected tropical disease results in inaccurate prevalence⁶. In India, National agencies such as NACO (National AIDS Control Organisation) have a formal surveillance system in place for HIV-2 through integrated counselling and testing centres, regional laboratories and an apex laboratory network across the country that accounts for total HIV-2 cases detected annually^{7,8}, of which HIV-1+2 dual infection cases are referred to the apex laboratory for molecular testing, though counts for both mono as well as dual infections are unpublished⁹. So far, the highest number of HIV-2 cases reported from India are compared to the rest of Asia¹⁰. Sparse data are available from few parts of the country with potentially high HIV-2 cases^{3,10,11}.

The HIV-2 90-90-90 road map for India

In India, to achieve the first 90 of the UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment target to end AIDS epidemic, 90 per cent of people living with HIV-2 should know their HIV status. Technological advances have introduced modern epidemiological and laboratory methods for differential diagnosis of HIV-1

and serially with 2nd, 3rd and 4th generation serological tests; however, scope for technological advancements in these assays for rapid, point-of-care antigen (Ag)/antibody (Ab) tests capable of differentiating HIV-1 and -2 individually and/or in combination exists. The Indian national programme employs 2nd and 3rd generation differential diagnosis assays which on occasion are complimented with nucleic acid test (NAT)^{8,11}. But this is also a limitation since there is no commercial FDA-approved NAT for HIV-2^{11,12}. This makes NAT-based confirmation of the HIV-2 infection in case of dual infection limited and centralized which is practiced at national apex laboratories and some regional centres and not at the point-of-care with in-house assays. To catch up with the 90-90-90 timelines, it is essential to close this diagnostic gap for confirming HIV-2 infection with rapid and affordable point-of-care assays.

The genesis of the HIV-1 test and treat strategy is from global studies and clinical trials that support early antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation for reducing HIV-1 transmission^{13,14} and sustained ART for achieving viral suppression and minimizing drug resistance. Per contra, there exists a lack of profusion of basic research and clinical experience that could serve as guidance in case of HIV-2¹⁵. The existing NACO guidelines for HIV-2 are based on sporadically reported individual case studies, a few retrospective cohorts which are unable to create a strong base for initiating the ART regimen⁷. These need to be refurbished with results of the trials published in 2018 that give evidence-based recommendations for preferred first-line and second-line regimens which clearly outline criteria for ART initiation after treatment failure for HIV-2 alone and HIV-1+2 dual infection^{16,17}. These also highlight the use of integrase inhibitor (raltegravir)-containing first-line regimen as a safe option¹⁶. Like many developing countries, India is also attempting free roll out of ART under the test and treat strategy to achieve the second 90 of the 90-90-90 treatment

target, which aimed that, 90 per cent of all people with diagnosed HIV infection will receive sustained ART^{18,19}. However, HIV-2 features nowhere in these strategic plans¹¹. The finite choice of antiretroviral drugs [NRTIs, protease, integrase and entry inhibitors (PI, II and EI)]; programmatic limitations of using PI, II and EI; unavailability of active (*i*) triplet single-tablet II containing first-line raltegravir-emtricitabine-tenofovir combination^{7,15,16}, (*ii*) quadruple single-tablet regimens containing four HIV-2-active retrovirals, *viz.* elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate¹⁷; non-routine screening strategies for hypersensitivity syndrome for abacavir usage^{18,20}; insufficient data to justify the use of PI and II as the preferred first-line for HIV-2 given their higher cost, *etc.* make the roll out of the HIV-2 ART challenging in developing countries like India¹⁵⁻²⁰. In addition, the Indian ART programme needs to be strengthened with essential monitoring assessments that include routine HIV-2 viral load measurements as employed for HIV-1²¹. In case of HIV-1+2 dual infections, there is lack of information available on choosing the optimal antiretroviral regimen that is active against both the viruses²². Also for this, the monitoring of such patients for virological failures requires inclusion in the mainstream viral load and drug resistance testing for both the viruses. Furthermore, as mentioned earlier unavailability of commercial FDA-approved HIV-2 viral load tests serves as the limitation for programmatic prognostic assessments.

The third 90 of the UNAIDS ambitious 90-90-90 treatment target, aimed that 90 per cent of all the people receiving ART will have viral suppression (undetectable/untransmittable), however, the same cannot be mapped without HIV-2 viral load tests^{23,24}. Hence, it is essential to develop decentralized capacity not only for HIV-2 NAT but also for HIV-2 viral load tests (DNA or RNA based) at point-of-care for efficient monitoring of virological failure during the roll out of the HIV ART programme²⁴. Rather, the second and the third 90-90-90 targets go hand in hand as HIV-2 viral load is an early virological indicator for drug resistance and both of these are indispensable in sustained therapy monitoring, leading to viral suppression. Implementation of these in developing countries is challenging as specialized facilities and centres are needed to meet and aid the diagnosis and prognosis arms^{25,26}. These tests are further complexed with high equipment costs and high cost per test^{19,23}. It is essential that all these factors need to be addressed before rolling out efficient antiretroviral

programmes. Strategies such as ‘network viral load’ should be adopted to have a more precise metrics of the infection in high-risk pockets²⁷.

Overall, although the patients with HIV-2 infection form a small subset as compared to HIV-1–infected patients, they deserve, uniform access to treatment and clinical management in spite of the posed challenges. Many strategies currently being used to curtail HIV-1 are likely to be effective against HIV-2 as well and may assist in developing a clear road map. However, this does not disregard the unique challenges that HIV-2 infection presents to individual patients, caregivers, researchers and national programme activities²⁸. These aforesaid perspectives need prompt attention at individual and programmatic level to map HIV-2 in and indicate the need of concentrated and multifocal efforts for curtailing HIV-2 along with HIV-1 to achieve the 90-90-90 global targets^{10,29}.

Financial support & sponsorship: PK was supported with Senior Research Fellowship from ICMR, New Delhi.

Conflicts of Interest: None.

Priyanka Khopkar-Kale^{1,2} & Smita Kulkarni^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Virology, Indian Council of Medical Research-National AIDS Research Institute, Bhosari, Pune 411 026 & ²Symbiosis School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, Symbiosis International (Deemed University), Lavale, Pune 412 115, Maharashtra, India

*For correspondence:
skulkarni@nariindia.org

Received May 3, 2019

References

1. Requena S, Lozano AB, Caballero E, García F, Nieto MC, Téllez R, *et al.* HIV-2 Spanish Study Group; clinical experience with integrase inhibitors in HIV-2-infected individuals in Spain. *J Antimicrob Chemoth* 2019; 74 : 1357-62.
2. Karlsson I, Tingstedt JL, Sahin GÖ, Hansen M, Szojka Z, Buggert M, *et al.* Cross-reactive antibodies with the capacity to mediate HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein-targeted antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity identified in HIV-2–infected individuals. *J Infect Dis* 2019; 219 : 1749-54.
3. Salwe S, Singh A, Padwal V, Velhal S, Nagar V, Patil P, *et al.* Immune signatures for HIV-1 and HIV-2 induced CD4+T cell dysregulation in an Indian cohort. *BMC Infect Dis* 2019; 19 : 135.
4. The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS. *Global HIV & AIDS Statistics – 2020 Fact Sheet*. Available from:

- <https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/fact-sheet>, accessed on July 30, 2020.
5. World Health Organization. *Consolidated HIV strategic information guidelines*. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240000735>, accessed on July 30, 2020.
 6. Wejse C, Hønge BL. Is it time to revise the notion that HIV-2 is benign? *Lancet HIV* 2018. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(18)30265-0.
 7. National AIDS Control Organisation. *Antiretroviral therapy guidelines for HIV-infected adults and adolescents; May, 2013*. Available from: http://naco.gov.in/sites/default/files/Antiretroviral%20Therapy%20Guidelines%20for%20HIV-Infected%20Adults%20and%20Adolescents%20May%202013%281%29_0.pdf, accessed on January 9, 2020.
 8. National AIDS Control Organisation. *National technical guidelines on antiretroviral treatment*. Available from: [https://lms.naco.gov.in/frontend/content/NACO%20-%20National%20Technical%20Guidelines%20on%20ART_October%202018%20\(1\).pdf](https://lms.naco.gov.in/frontend/content/NACO%20-%20National%20Technical%20Guidelines%20on%20ART_October%202018%20(1).pdf), accessed on January 9, 2020.
 9. National AIDS Control Organisation & ICMR-National Institute of Medical Statistics. *India HIV estimates 2019: report*. New Delhi: NACO, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India; 2020.
 10. Vidyavijayan KK, Cheedarala N, Babu H, Precilla LK, Sathyamurthi P, Chandrasekaran P, et al. Cross type neutralizing antibodies detected in a unique HIV-2 infected individual from India. *Front Immunol* 2018; 9 : 2841.
 11. de Silva T, Weiss RA. HIV-2 goes global: An unaddressed issue in Indian anti-retroviral programmes. *Indian J Med Res* 2010; 132 : 660-2.
 12. Baylis SA, Wallace P, McCulloch E, Niesters HG, Nübling CM. Standardization of nucleic acid amplification tests: The approach of the World Health Organization. *J Clin Microbiol* 2019; 57 : e01056-18.
 13. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, Gamble T, Hosseinipour MC, Kumarasamy N, et al. Antiretroviral therapy for the prevention of HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 375 : 830-9.
 14. Chen J, Ramendra R, Lu H, Routy J. The early bird gets the worm: benefits and future directions with early antiretroviral therapy initiation in primary HIV infection. *Future Virol* 2018; 13 : 779-86.
 15. Patrikar S, Kachroo K, Sharma J, Kotwal A, Basannar DR, Bhatti VK, et al. A systematic review and cost-effectiveness analyses of the new World Health Organization guidelines for the treatment of HIV-positive adults in India. *Med J Armed Forces India* 2019; 75 : 31-40.
 16. Matheron S, Descamps D, Gallien S, Besseghir A, Sellier P, Blum L, et al. First-line raltegravir/emtricitabine/tenofovir combination in human immunodeficiency virus type 2 (HIV-2) infection: A phase 2, noncomparative trial (ANRS 159 HIV-2). *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; 67 : 1161-7.
 17. Ba S, Raugi DN, Smith RA, Sall F, Faye K, Hawes SE, et al. A trial of a single-tablet regimen of Elvitegravir, Cobicistat, Emtricitabine, and Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate for the initial treatment of human immunodeficiency virus type 2 infection in a resource-limited setting: 48-week results from Senegal, West Africa. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; 67 : 1588-94.
 18. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, NACO. *National Strategic Plan for HIV/AIDS and STI 2017 – 2024 “Paving Way for an AIDS Free India”*. December, 2017. Available from: <http://naco.gov.in/sites/default/files/Paving%20the%20Way%20for%20an%20AIDS%2015122017.pdf>, accessed on January 9, 2020.
 19. Tanwar S, Rewari BB, Rao CD, Seguy N. India’s HIV programme: successes and challenges. *J Virus Erad* 2016; 2 (Suppl 4) :15-9.
 20. Mounzer K, Hsu R, Fusco JS, Brunet L, Henegar CE, Vannappagari V, et al. HLA-B*57:01 screening and hypersensitivity reaction to abacavir between 1999 and 2016 in the OPERA® observational database: A cohort study. *AIDS Res Ther* 2019; 16 : 1.
 21. Peterson K, Jallow S, Rowland-Jones SL, de Silva TI. Antiretroviral therapy for HIV-2 infection: Recommendations for management in low-resource settings. *AIDS Res Treat* 2011; 2011 : 463704.
 22. Schutten M, van der Ende ME, Osterhaus AD. Antiretroviral therapy in patients with dual infection with human immunodeficiency virus types 1 and 2. *N Engl J Med* 2000; 342 : 1758-60.
 23. Bertine M, Gueudin M, Mélard A, Damond F, Descamps D, Matheron S, et al. New highly sensitive real-time PCR assay for HIV-2 group A and group B DNA quantification. *J Clin Microbiol* 2017; 55 : 2850-7.
 24. Berzow D, Descamps D, Obermeier M, Charpentier C, Kaiser R, Guertler L, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus-2 (HIV-2): A summary of the present standard of care and treatment options for individuals living with HIV-2 in Western Europe. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; 73 : 503-9.
 25. Roberts T, Cohn J, Bonner K, Hargreaves S. Scale-up of routine viral load testing in resource-poor settings: Current and future implementation challenges. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; 62 : 1043-8.
 26. Ambike SS, Thakar MR, Patil AA, Gangakhedkar RR, Kurle SN. Partial pol sequences from drug naïve HIV-2 infected individuals from Maharashtra, India. *AIDS Res Hum Retrovir* 2019; 35 : 505-8.
 27. Skaathun B, Khanna AS, Morgan E, Friedman SR, Schneider JA. Network viral load: A critical metric for HIV elimination. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2018; 77 : 167-74.
 28. Esbjörnsson J, Månsson F, Lindman J, Rowland-Jones SL, Jansson M, Medstrand P, et al. New insights are game-changers in HIV-2 disease management – Authors’ reply. *Lancet HIV* 2019; 6 : e214-5.
 29. Gottlieb GS, Raugi DN, Smith RA. 90-90-90 for HIV-2? Ending the HIV-2 epidemic by enhancing care and clinical management of patients infected with HIV-2. *Lancet HIV* 2018; 5 : e390-9.