# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



# Widespread Fosfomycin Resistance in Gram-Negative Bacteria Attributable to the Chromosomal *fosA* Gene

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR MICROBIOLOGY

# Ryota Ito,<sup>a</sup> <sup>(D)</sup>Mustapha M. Mustapha,<sup>a,b</sup> Adam D. Tomich,<sup>a</sup> Jake D. Callaghan,<sup>c</sup> Christi L. McElheny,<sup>a</sup> Roberta T. Mettus,<sup>a</sup> <sup>(D)</sup>Robert M. Q. Shanks,<sup>c</sup> Nicolas Sluis-Cremer,<sup>a,b</sup> Yohei Doi<sup>a,b,d</sup>

Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA<sup>a</sup>; Center for Innovative Antimicrobial Therapy, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA<sup>b</sup>; Department of Ophthalmology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA<sup>c</sup>; Department of Microbiology, Fujita Health University, Aichi, Japan<sup>d</sup>

ABSTRACT Fosfomycin is a decades-old antibiotic which is being revisited because of its perceived activity against many extensively drug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens. FosA proteins are Mn<sup>2+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>-dependent glutathione S-transferases which confer fosfomycin resistance in Gram-negative bacteria by conjugation of glutathione to the antibiotic. Plasmid-borne fosA variants have been reported in fosfomycin-resistant Escherichia coli strains. However, the prevalence and distribution of fosA in other Gram-negative bacteria are not known. We systematically surveyed the presence of fosA in Gramnegative bacteria in over 18,000 published genomes from 18 Gram-negative species and investigated their contribution to fosfomycin resistance. We show that FosA homologues are present in the majority of genomes in some species (e.g., Klebsiella spp., Enterobacter spp., Serratia marcescens, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa), whereas they are largely absent in others (e.g., E. coli, Acinetobacter baumannii, and Burkholderia cepacia). FosA proteins in different bacterial pathogens are highly divergent, but key amino acid residues in the active site are conserved. Chromosomal fosA genes conferred high-level fosfomycin resistance when expressed in E. coli, and deletion of chromosomal fosA in S. marcescens eliminated fosfomycin resistance. Our results indicate that FosA is encoded by clinically relevant Gram-negative species and contributes to intrinsic fosfomycin resistance.

**IMPORTANCE** There is a critical need to identify alternate approaches to treat infections caused by extensively drug-resistant (XDR) Gram-negative bacteria. Fosfomycin is an old antibiotic which is routinely used for the treatment of urinary tract infections, although there is substantial interest in expanding its use to systemic infections caused by XDR Gram-negative bacteria. In this study, we show that *fosA* genes, which encode dimeric Mn<sup>2+-</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>-dependent glutathione *S*-transferase, are widely distributed in the genomes of Gram-negative bacteria—particularly those belonging to the family *Enterobacteriaceae*—and confer fosfomycin resistance. This finding suggests that chromosomally located *fosA* genes represent a vast reservoir of fosfomycin resistance determinants that may be transferred to *E. coli*. Furthermore, they suggest that inhibition of FosA activity may provide a viable strategy to potentiate the activity of fosfomycin against XDR Gram-negative bacteria.

**KEYWORDS** Gram negative, fosfomycin resistance, genomics, glutathione *S*-transferase, phylogenetics

**F**osfomycin is a broad-spectrum cell wall synthesis inhibitor produced by some strains of *Streptomyces* spp. and *Pseudomonas syringae* (1). It exerts antibacterial activity by inactivating the cytosolic *N*-acetylglucosamine enolpyruvyl transferase (MurA), which prevents the formation of *N*-acetylmuramic acid, an essential component

Received 4 May 2017 Accepted 28 July 2017 Published 29 August 2017

Citation Ito R, Mustapha MM, Tomich AD, Callaghan JD, McElheny CL, Mettus RT, Shanks RMQ, Sluis-Cremer N, Doi Y. 2017. Widespread fosfomycin resistance in Gram-negative bacteria attributable to the chromosomal *fosA* gene. mBio 8:e00749-17. https://doi.org/10 .1128/mBio.00749-17.

Editor Karen Bush, Indiana University Bloomington

**Copyright** © 2017 Ito et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Yohei Doi, yod4@pitt.edu.

R.I. and M.M.M. contributed equally to this work.



of peptidoglycan (2). It maintains excellent activity against the majority of Escherichia coli clinical isolates and is now one of the first-line agents endorsed for the empirical treatment of uncomplicated urinary tract infection (3). However, other Gram-negative species exhibit lower susceptibility to fosfomycin (4). For example, the MIC<sub>50</sub> values for Klebsiella pneumoniae range between 16 and 32  $\mu$ g/ml, compared to 0.5 to 1  $\mu$ g/ml for E. coli (5, 6). In Gram-negative bacteria, fosfomycin resistance can be conferred by (i) defects in the transporters across the cytoplasmic membrane, (ii) amino acid substitution in the MurA active site which decreases fosfomycin binding affinity, and (iii) production of the fosfomycin-inactivating enzyme FosA (7). FosA is an  $Mn^{2+}$ - and K<sup>+</sup>-dependent dimeric glutathione S-transferase that catalyzes the nucleophilic addition of glutathione to the epoxide ring of fosfomycin (8). FosA can be encoded on a bacterial chromosome or a plasmid. For instance, the first fosA gene, described in Serratia marcescens, is carried on transposon Tn2921 located on a conjugative plasmid (9, 10), but it has high identity with chromosomal fosA of Enterobacter cloacae, where it likely originated. Similarly, fosA5 and fosA6 located on E. coli plasmids likely originated on the chromosome of K. pneumoniae (11, 12). The most commonly reported plasmidmediated fosA gene is fosA3, which is widely distributed in E. coli and other Enterobacteriaceae species in East Asia but whose chromosomal progenitor is unknown (13-15). The goals of this study were to systematically survey for the presence and distribution of the fosA genes in Gram-negative bacteria in published genome sequences, to confirm their contribution to fosfomycin resistance, and to catalog their genetic diversity. Insights into FosA-mediated intrinsic fosfomycin resistance in Gram-negative bacteria would inform approaches to potentiate the activity of fosfomycin against extensively drug-resistant (XDR) Gram-negative bacteria.

## RESULTS

**FosA is widely distributed among Gram-negative pathogenic species.** A total of 18,130 published genomes from 18 clinically relevant Gram-negative species were downloaded. They were queried for FosA-like sequences at a cutoff of 40% similarity to a collection of diverse FosA sequences by BLAST. FosA was frequently identified in the genomes of *Providencia stuartii* (100%), *K. pneumoniae* (99.7%), *S. marcescens* (99.7%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (98.8%), *Enterobacter aerogenes* (98.4%), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (96.6%), *Morganella morganii* (90.5%), *Providencia rettgeri* (85.7%), and *Enterobacter cloacae* (82.4%), which were likely to be on the chromosomes based on the high prevalence (Table 1). FosA was also intermittently found in *Proteus mirabilis* (16.7%), *Salmonella enterica* (9.8%), and *Acinetobacter pittii* (7.8%). In contrast, it was rarely

<b>IABLE I</b> Distribution of FOSA in 18 Gram-negative spe	pecies
---	--------

	Total no. of	No. of genomes containing	No. of FosA
Species	genomes	fosA homologue (%)	alleles <sup>a</sup>
Providencia stuartii	10	10 (100)	5
Klebsiella pneumoniae	1,631	1,626 (99.7)	104
Serratia marcescens	311	310 (99.7)	37
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	2,257	2,231 (98.8)	68
Enterobacter aerogenes	122	120 (98.4)	30
Klebsiella oxytoca	89	86 (96.6)	30
Morganella morganii	21	19 (90.5)	8
Providencia rettgeri	7	6 (85.7)	5
Enterobacter cloacae	489	403 (82.4)	144
Proteus mirabilis	60	10 (16.7)	3
Salmonella enterica	5,416	533 (9.8)	17
Acinetobacter pittii	102	8 (7.8)	6
Escherichia coli	5,363	246 (4.6)	22
Citrobacter freundii	78	3 (3.8)	3
Acinetobacter baumannii	1,915	39 (2.0)	9
Achromobacter xylosoxidans	35	0	
Burkholderia cepacia	94	0	
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	130	0	

<sup>a</sup>Several of the FosA alleles were found in more than one species.

FosA™	mltgmnhltlavadldrslhfyrdilkmtlhtrwkygayltcgelwidlsadpeiihr
FosAPA	mltglnhltlavadlpasiafyrdllgfrlearwdggaylelgslwldlsrepgyg
FosA <sup>PS</sup>	mliginhltlavsdigksisfygtvlgmglhaswergayltcgalwyclsydpmrgevaa
FosA <sup>SM</sup>	mltglnhltlavsdldrsfdfyrhllgftpharwgggaylslgalwlclsldearm-g
FosA <sup>EC</sup>	mlqslnhltlavsdlqksvtfwhellqlalharwntgayltcqdlwvclsydearrnv-p
FosA <sup>KO</sup>	mlsglnhltlavsglapsvafygqlpgmtlrarwdngayfscgdlwlclsldpgrrvi-s
FosAKP	mlsglnhltlavsqlapsvafyqqllgmtlharwdsgaylscgdlwldlsldpqrrvt-p
FosA <sup>EA</sup>	mlsglnhltlavsglapsvafyhgllgmtlharwdsgaylscgdlwldlsldpgrrvt-p
	** .:******:: *. *:: : .: *. ***: * **:*** :
	1 60
FosAMM	pihqqythyaftlppeqfpafrsllaahqitlwkrnrsegdsvyfldpdghqleahsggi
FosAPA	gpaadythyafgiaaadfarfaaqlrahgvrewkgnrsegdsfyfldpdghrleahvgdl
FosA <sup>PS</sup>	akrrdythyaftvlaedfsdiveklhqagvtvwkdnrsegdsfyfldpdghqleihvgsl
FosA <sup>SM</sup>	prerdythyafsvapehiervserlrqagveewksnrsegeslyfldpdghqleihagdl
FosA <sup>EC</sup>	pqesdythyaftvaeedfepfshrleqagvtvwkgnksegesfyfldpdghklelhvgsl
FosA <sup>ko</sup>	peesdythyafsiaeadfalfagrlnaagvpvwkthksegashyfldpdghklelhvgsl
FosA <sup>KP</sup>	peesdythyafsiseadfasfaarleaagvavwklhrsegashyfldpdghklelhvgsl
FosA <sup>EA</sup>	peesdythyafsiseadfasfaarlevagvavwklhrsegeshyfldpdghklelhvgnl
	·***** : .: . * : ** *:*** * ***********
	61 120
	_
FosAMM	qqrldacreapyeemifpapgqinv
FosAPA	rsrlaacrqapyagmrfad
FosA <sup>PS</sup>	idrlkscrkkpydgmvfys
FosA <sup>SM</sup>	asrlaacrekpyqgmvfy
FosA <sup>EC</sup>	aarlaacrekpyagmvftsdga
FosA <sup>ko</sup>	adrlaacrakpykgmvffgedeqaagnp
FosA <sup>KP</sup>	adrlaacreqpykgmvffeq
FosA <sup>EA</sup>	adrlaacreqpykgmvffge
	<del>*</del> * :** ** * *
	121 148

**FIG 1** Amino acid alignment of representative chromosomal FosA. Amino acids in boxes represent active site residues. MM, *M. morganii*; PA, *P. aeruginosa*; PS, *P. stuartii*; SM, *S. marcescens*; EC, *E. cloacae*; KO, *K. oxytoca*; KP, *K. pneumoniae*; EA, *E. aerogenes*.

identified in *E. coli* (4.6%), *Citrobacter freundii* (3.8%), *Acinetobacter baumannii* (2.0%), *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* (0%), *Burkholderia cepacia* (0%), and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (0%). Table S1 in the supplemental material shows the numbers of genomes evaluated and the rates of FosA homologues by time periods.

**Phylogenetic analysis reveals significant diversity and interspecies acquisition of fosA.** The amino acid sequences of FosA in *K. pneumoniae, K. oxytoca, E. cloacae, E. aerogenes, S. marcescens, P. aeruginosa, M. morganii,* and *P. stuartii* shared 80%, 76%, 71%, 80%, 68%, 60%, 55% and 62% identity, respectively, to that of FosA3, the most common plasmid-mediated FosA found in *E. coli.* The identity between FosA in *K. pneumoniae* and *E. aerogenes* was notably high (97%), which likely reflects the close genomic relationship of the two species (Fig. 1) (16).

A total of 473 distinct FosA protein sequences were identified across the species investigated in this work (Fig. 2 and Data Set S1). Phylogenetic analyses revealed extensive FosA sequence diversity both within and between species (Fig. 2). The crystal structure of FosA<sup>PA</sup> in complex with fosfomycin previously revealed key residues in the enzyme's active site responsible for Mn<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and fosfomycin binding (Fig. S1) (17). Of note, all of these residues (H7, T9, W46, C48, S50, H64, K90, N92, S94, E95, G96, S98, Y100, E110, and R119) are highly conserved across the different FosA proteins. These residues are equivalent to H7, T9, W46, C48, S50, H68, K94, N96, S98, E99, G100, S102, Y104, E114, and R123 in the present study (Fig. 1). Shared FosA sequences between species and high diversity of FosA sequences within several species suggest the likely occurrence of lateral acquisition of *fosA*, presumably through acquisition of plasmids.

**Chromosomal fosA genes confer high-level fosfomycin resistance.** *E. coli* TOP10 was transformed with recombinant plasmids pFosA<sup>KP</sup>, pFosA<sup>KO</sup>, pFosA<sup>EC</sup>, pFosA<sup>EA</sup>, pFosA<sup>SM</sup>, pFosA<sup>PA</sup>, pFosA<sup>MM</sup>, and pFosA<sup>PS</sup>, carrying *fosA* from *K. pneumoniae*, *K. oxytoca*,



**FIG 2** Phylogeny of 473 FosA amino acid sequences identified across 15 Gram-negative species. The red bars represent number of genomes with a given FosA sequence in a given species. Bars with frequencies of >300 (\*) are truncated for clarity.

*E. cloacae, E. aerogenes, S. marcescens, P. aeruginosa, M. morganii,* and *P. stuartii,* respectively. Most of these transformants of *fosA* were highly resistant to fosfomycin with a MIC of 1,024  $\mu$ g/ml (Table 2). pUC57 is a high-copy-number plasmid, which may have caused some of the MICs to be very high. The MIC of fosfomycin in the transformant of *fosA*<sup>PA</sup> was 16  $\mu$ g/ml, which is considered susceptible though still representing a 16-fold increase from the baseline MIC of 1  $\mu$ g/ml (18). The FosA activity of the transformants was inhibited by sodium phosphonoformate, as suggested by significant enlargements of the zones of inhibition upon its addition to the fosfomycin disk in most of species

TABLE 2 Susceptibility of E. coli TOP10 transformants	s harboring chromosomal fosA from various spe	ecies
---	---	-------

Transformant	MIC $(\mu g/ml)^a$	Zone diam (mm)	Zone diam with PPF (mm)	Original species	Accession number
E. coli TOP10 carrying plasmid					
pFosA <sup>KP</sup>	>1,024	6	18	K. pneumoniae	YP_005224903.1
pFosA <sup>EC</sup>	>1,024	6	20	E. cloacae	AIX57742.1
pFosA <sup>EA</sup>	>1,024	6	16	E. aerogenes	YP_004592226.1
pFosA <sup>SM</sup>	1,024	6	14	S. marcescens	WP_025303168.1
pFosA <sup>PA</sup>	16	22	28	P. aeruginosa	NP_249820.1
pFosA <sup>MM</sup>	1,024	6	16	M. morganii	WP_004238530.1
pFosA <sup>KO</sup>	1,024	6	20	K. oxytoca	WP_047724618.1
pFosA <sup>PS</sup>	>1,024	6	18	P. stuartii	WP_014658192.1
E. coli TOP10 alone	1	38	38		

<sup>a</sup>MICs were determined by the agar dilution method supplemented with 25 μg/ml glucose-6-phosphate. For disk testing, 1 mg of sodium phosphonoformate (PPF) was added to fosfomycin disks. The *fosA* genes were cloned and constitutively expressed on vector pUC57.

(Table 2). One exception was FosA of *P. aeruginosa*, for which the inhibition zone enlarged only by 6 mm, likely due to the modest baseline activity of FosA<sup>PA</sup>.

Fosfomycin MIC of *S. marcescens* K904 was reduced from 16  $\mu$ g/ml to 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml (32-fold decrease) when *fosA* was deleted in frame, confirming the role played by chromosomally encoded FosA in the reduced susceptibility of fosfomycin.

### DISCUSSION

In the present study, we revealed the distribution of FosA homologues in Gramnegative bacteria and their genetic diversity. Homologues of FosA were identified in most genomes of *K. pneumoniae*, *K. oxytoca*, *E. cloacae*, *E. aerogenes*, *S. marcescens*, *M. morganii*, *P. stuartii*, and *P. aeruginosa*, which represent species with intrinsic resistance or reduced susceptibility to fosfomycin (4, 6).

While resistance to fosfomycin can be caused by multiple mechanisms, including transporter defect, target modification, and FosA-mediated inactivation (2, 19, 20), it is notable that many Gram-negative species carry the fosA gene on the chromosome, whereas it is nearly absent on the E. coli chromosome. FosA was first reported on transposon Tn2921 of a clinical strain of S. marcescens (10). However, this original FosA<sup>Tn,2921</sup> is distinct from FosA<sup>SM</sup> encoded on the chromosome of S. marcescens. Instead, FosA<sup>Tn2921</sup> is closely related to the chromosomal FosA of *E. cloacae*, suggesting that it was mobilized from the latter species by transposition. We recently reported that fosA6 identified in a fosfomycin-resistant E. coli strain was likewise mobilized from the chromosome of K. pneumoniae based on its high-level similarity with fosAKP and its location on a transposon (11). Our findings that fosA is widely distributed in Gramnegative bacterial species and confers resistance or reduced susceptibility to fosfomycin suggest that chromosomal fosA genes in Gram-negative bacteria may serve as a reservoir of fosfomycin resistance in species that lack fosA, such as E. coli (21). This is supported by phylogenetic evidence of frequent lateral exchange of fosA alleles among a majority of Gram-negative species in our study. Despite their genetic diversity, fosA genes from multiple species retain the capacity to confer fosfomycin resistance.

Despite the worldwide spread of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases (ESBLs) in *E. coli*, fosfomycin remains active with a MIC<sub>50/90</sub> of 0.5/2  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively (19, 22, 23). However, other Gram-negative species are generally not as susceptible to fosfomycin as E. coli. For example, K. pneumoniae clinical strains producing KPC-type carbapenemase have a MIC<sub>50/90</sub> of 16/64  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively (5). The majority of K. pneumoniae strains are considered susceptible given the current susceptibility breakpoint of 64  $\mu$ g/ml, which is applicable only to urinary tract infection, but a significant portion will be considered nonsusceptible by the lower susceptibility breakpoint (32  $\mu$ g/ml) of the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST). Therefore, clinical use of fosfomycin might be limited against these species. There is emergent interest in reevaluating fosfomycin for use in the treatment of infections caused by multidrugresistant Gram-negative bacteria, orally for urinary tract infections and intravenously for systemic infections (24). Intravenous fosfomycin has been used in many countries outside the United States for years, and a phase 3 clinical trial of intravenous fosfomycin for the treatment of complicated urinary tract infection and acute pyelonephritis was recently completed (ClinicalTrials registration no. NCT02753946). However, the peak plasma concentration of fosfomycin after an oral dose is below the current breakpoint (20). While the plasma concentrations are much higher for intravenous fosfomycin, a wide variation between individuals has been noted (25). Therefore, whether fosfomycin can be utilized in the treatment of systemic infections caused by Gram-negative pathogens with reduced susceptibility to this agent due to intrinsic production of FosA remains uncertain.

Nomenclature of FosA has lacked consistency, likely due to the diversity of this family of enzymes, and also in part because of the ambiguity in regard to the origin and location of *fosA*, i.e., intrinsic versus acquired and chromosomal versus plasmid-mediated. From both clinical and One Health perspectives, FosA enzymes of concern are those which are acquired by species lacking intrinsic *fosA*. We therefore propose

FosA allele <sup>a</sup>	Harboring species	Likely species of origin	Accession no.	Reference(s)
FosA1 (FosA <sup>Tn2921</sup> )	S. marcescens	E. cloacae	ACO52881.1	37
FosA2 (FosA <sup>EC</sup> )	E. cloacae	E. cloacae	ACC85616.1	21
FosA3	E. coli, K. pneumoniae, E. cloacae, E. aerogenes, S. enterica, P. mirabilis	Unknown	BAJ10054.1	13
FosA4	E. coli, S. enterica	Unknown	BAP18892.1	36
FosA5 (FosKP96)	E. coli	K. pneumoniae	AJE60855.1	12, 14
FosA6	E. coli	K. pneumoniae	AMQ12811.1	11
FosA7	S. enterica serovar Heidelberg	S. enterica serovar Heidelberg	KKE03230.1	27

TABLE 3 List of plasmid-mediated FosA alleles

<sup>a</sup>FosA2 and FosA7 were reported as chromosomal FosA of *E. cloacae* and *S. enterica* serovar Heidelberg but are included here for reference.

that chromosomal, or intrinsic, *fosA*/FosA be distinguished by adding the initials of the species, as we have done throughout this paper. For example, the *fosA* gene located on the chromosome of *S. marcescens* would be called *fosA*<sup>SM</sup> (and its product would be called FosA<sup>SM</sup>). We acknowledge that there will be multiple intrinsic FosA sequences in many species, but they are likely to be functionally comparable, and the need to distinguish them would be minimal. In the case that a functionally significant allele is identified, it can be distinguished by adding the amino acid change of interest after the initials of the species.

There are currently 5 acquired FosA proteins described in the literature (Table 3). FosA<sup>Tn2921</sup>, as has been discussed, was the first such protein to be described as a component of Tn2921 on plasmid pSU912 in *S. marcescens* (26). FosA<sup>Tn2921</sup> is up to 100% identical to FosA<sup>EC</sup>. As FosA<sup>Tn2921</sup> is the first plasmid-mediated FosA that was identified, and also in order to avoid its confusion with the chromosomal FosA inherent in this species (FosA<sup>SM</sup>), we propose designating FosA<sup>Tn2921</sup> FosA1 (Table 3). FosA2 was reported as chromosomal FosA of *E. cloacae* (14) and therefore would be considered FosA<sup>EC</sup> in our proposed nomenclature. FosA3 is the most commonly reported plasmid-mediated FosA whose origin remains unknown. FosA4 shares 93% amino acid identity with FosA3 and therefore likely has the same origin as the latter. FosA5 and FosA6 are 100% and 99% identical to FosA<sup>KP</sup>, respectively, and thus most likely originated in *K. pneumoniae*. FosA7 was recently reported as the chromosomal FosA of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Heidelberg of animal origin (27).

We propose that this numbering scheme (FosA followed by a number) be reserved for only acquired FosA. This would presumably include the following two scenarios: (i) a new *fosA* allele is conclusively identified on a plasmid or (ii) a new *fosA* allele is identified on the chromosome but is distinct from the intrinsic *fosA* carried by the species of interest. Given that FosA3 is by far the most common acquired FosA and yet only one variant (FosA4) has been identified, it seems reasonable to continue with the sequential numbers (e.g., FosA8, FosA9, and so forth) for acquired FosA proteins that are identified in the future. We hope that the phylogenetic analysis presented here will prove useful for the research community in determining whether a FosA enzyme of interest is intrinsic or acquired.

Our genomic survey is limited by the availability of genome sequences in public databases, which is limited for some species like *P. mirabilis* and *E. aerogenes* compared to *E. coli*. Therefore, the prevalence and diversity of FosA homologues are likely to change as additional genome sequences become available. For some species with low numbers of published genomes, the point estimate may not be accurate and prevalence may be underestimated. Also, isolation dates were not available from the NCBI genome database, making it difficult to assess temporal changes in the prevalence of FosA homologues in a given species. Finally, a vast majority of genomes were draft sequences where it was difficult to discriminate FosA homologues located on plasmids from chromosomal FosA sequences. Despite these limitations, the genomic survey provided a rapid, high-throughput assessment of the prevalence and diversity of FosA homologues in clinically relevant Gram-negative species.

In conclusion, fosA homologues are widely distributed among Gram-negative bac-

teria and encode functional FosA enzymes that inactivate fosfomycin. Given the ubiquity of glutathione in Gram-negative bacteria and the broad distribution of *fosA*, whether the primary function of FosA is fosfomycin resistance is yet to be determined. Nonetheless, the FosA homologues represent a vast reservoir of fosfomycin resistance determinants that may be mobilized to non-FosA-producing species such as *E. coli* as fosfomycin use increases in the clinic. The findings also suggest that inhibition of FosA activity may provide a viable strategy to expand the activity of fosfomycin beyond *E. coli* to include XDR Gram-negative bacteria such as *Klebsiella* and *Enterobacter* spp.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

producing KPC-type carbapenemase.

**Selection of species.** The following Gram-negative species were included in the bioinformatic analysis: A. *xylosoxidans, A. baumannii, A. pittii, B. cepacia, C. freundii, E. aerogenes, E. cloacae, E. coli, K. oxytoca, K. pneumoniae, M. morganii, P. mirabilis, P. rettgeri, P. stuartii, P. aeruginosa, S. marcescens, S. enterica, and S. maltophilia. All genomes from these 18 species or species complexes that were available in the NCBI Genome database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/) as of February 2017 were downloaded from the NCBI ftp web server using a custom shell script.* 

**Identification of FosA homologues.** The genomes from the above species were initially queried for FosA homologues using the most commonly observed plasmid-mediated *fosA* gene, *fosA3*, as the reference. Amino acid sequences of 71 representative FosA homologues downloaded from GenBank and from the ResFinder database (28) were used to query a database of 18,130 publicly available genomes in an iterative manner. In the first iteration, the 71 representative FosA amino acid sequences were used to query 18,130 publicly available genomes using tBLASTn with a cutoff of 40% amino acid sequence similarity and 40% query coverage and a minimum sequence length of 70 amino acids. FosA sequences identified from the first iteration were then used to query the entire genome collection a second time to identify FosA homologues that may have been missed by the first round. Identified FosA homologues were aligned and visually inspected to exclude putative homologues with very large alignment gaps. The vast majority of queried genomes represented draft sequences for which it could not be determined whether FosA homologues were chromosomal or located on a plasmid.

**Phylogenetic analysis.** One representative amino acid sequence was selected for each species using ClustalW. A global phylogeny of FosA homologues was generated by aligning all alleles found in each species using the sequence alignment tool MAFFT v7 (29), and an unrooted maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was reconstructed using the WAG model of evolution with uniform rates of substitution on MEGA v7 (30, 31). Phylogenetic trees were visualized alongside bar graphs of allele frequencies using the interactive web platform iTOL (32). Pairwise similarity between sequences was generated using the p-distance algorithm on MEGA v7. All phylogenetic analyses and designations were based on amino acid sequences.

**Cloning of fosA from various species.** The *fosA* genes found in more than 80% of the genomes in a given species for which more than 10 genomes were publicly available were investigated for their functionality. These included *K. pneumoniae, K. oxytoca, E. cloacae, E. aerogenes, S. marcescens, M. morganii, P. stuartii,* and *P. aeruginosa.* The accession numbers of *fosA* genes representing each species were YP\_005224903.1, WP\_047724618.1, AIX57742.1, YP\_004592226.1, WP\_025303168.1, WP\_004238530.1, WP\_014658192.1, and NP\_249820.1, respectively, and the genes were synthesized and cloned into vector pUC57 by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ, USA). Sequences were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. The recombinant plasmids were introduced into *E. coli* TOP10 (Thermo Scientific) via electroporation, and the transformants were selected on Mueller-Hinton agar plates containing 100 µg/ml of ampicillin.

**Susceptibility testing.** MICs of fosfomycin were determined by the agar dilution method according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines, with Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with 25  $\mu$ g/ml of glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) (18). *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was used as the quality control strain. Contribution of *fosA* to fosfomycin susceptibility was examined by disk diffusion testing using fosfomycin disks containing 200  $\mu$ g of fosfomycin and 50  $\mu$ g of G6P with or without the addition of 1 mg of sodium phosphonoformate, which is a known inhibitor of glutathione *S*-transferase (11, 36).

Ito et al.

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio .00749-17.

FIG S1, TIF file, 1.9 MB. TABLE S1, PDF file, 0.4 MB. DATA SET S1, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work presented here was supported by research grants from the National Institutes of Health (R21Al123747, R01Al104895, R01EY027331, and P30EY008098) and unrestricted funds from Research to Prevent Blindness. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

### REFERENCES

- Shoji J, Kato T, Hinoo H, Hattori T, Hirooka K, Matsumoto K, Tanimoto T, Kondo E. 1986. Production of fosfomycin (phosphonomycin) by *Pseudomonas syringae*. J Antibiot 39:1011–1012. https://doi.org/10.7164/ antibiotics.39.1011.
- Silver LL. 2017. Fosfomycin: mechanism and resistance. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 7:a025262. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a025262.
- Gupta K, Hooton TM, Naber KG, Wullt B, Colgan R, Miller LG, Moran GJ, Nicolle LE, Raz R, Schaeffer AJ, Soper DE; Infectious Diseases Society of America, European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. 2011. International clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of acute uncomplicated cystitis and pyelonephritis in women: a 2010 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Clin Infect Dis 52:e103–e120. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciq257.
- Vardakas KZ, Legakis NJ, Triarides N, Falagas ME. 2016. Susceptibility of contemporary isolates to fosfomycin: a systematic review of the literature. Int J Antimicrob Agents 47:269–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .ijantimicag.2016.02.001.
- Endimiani A, Patel G, Hujer KM, Swaminathan M, Perez F, Rice LB, Jacobs MR, Bonomo RA. 2010. *In vitro* activity of fosfomycin against *bla<sub>KPC</sub>*containing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates, including those nonsusceptible to tigecycline and/or colistin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54: 526–529. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01235-09.
- Hirsch EB, Raux BR, Zucchi PC, Kim Y, McCoy C, Kirby JE, Wright SB, Eliopoulos GM. 2015. Activity of fosfomycin and comparison of several susceptibility testing methods against contemporary urine isolates. Int J Antimicrob Agents 46:642–647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag .2015.08.012.
- Falagas ME, Vouloumanou EK, Samonis G, Vardakas KZ. 2016. Fosfomycin. Clin Microbiol Rev 29:321–347. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00068-15.
- Bernat BA, Laughlin LT, Armstrong RN. 1997. Fosfomycin resistance protein (FosA) is a manganese metalloglutathione transferase related to glyoxalase I and the extradiol dioxygenases. Biochemistry 36:3050–3055. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi963172a.
- Mendoza C, Garcia JM, Llaneza J, Mendez FJ, Hardisson C, Ortiz JM. 1980. Plasmid-determined resistance to fosfomycin in *Serratia marcescens*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 18:215–219. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC .18.2.215.
- García-Lobo JM, Ortiz JM. 1982. Tn2921, a transposon encoding fosfomycin resistance. J Bacteriol 151:477–479.
- Guo Q, Tomich AD, McElheny CL, Cooper VS, Stoesser N, Wang M, Sluis-Cremer N, Doi Y. 2016. Glutathione-S-transferase FosA6 of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* origin conferring fosfomycin resistance in ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli*. J Antimicrob Chemother 71:2460–2465. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/jac/dkw177.
- Ma Y, Xu X, Guo Q, Wang P, Wang W, Wang M. 2015. Characterization of fosA5, a new plasmid-mediated fosfomycin resistance gene in *Escherichia coli*. Lett Appl Microbiol 60:259–264. https://doi.org/10.1111/lam .12366.
- 13. Wachino J, Yamane K, Suzuki S, Kimura K, Arakawa Y. 2010. Prevalence of fosfomycin resistance among CTX-M-producing *Escherichia coli* clinical isolates in Japan and identification of novel plasmid-mediated

fosfomycin-modifying enzymes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54: 3061–3064. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01834-09.

- Ho PL, Chan J, Lo WU, Lai EL, Cheung YY, Lau TC, Chow KH. 2013. Prevalence and molecular epidemiology of plasmid-mediated fosfomycin resistance genes among blood and urinary *Escherichia coli* isolates. J Med Microbiol 62:1707–1713. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.062653-0.
- Jiang Y, Shen P, Wei Z, Liu L, He F, Shi K, Wang Y, Wang H, Yu Y. 2015. Dissemination of a clone carrying a *fosA3*-harbouring plasmid mediates high fosfomycin resistance rate of KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in China. Int J Antimicrob Agents 45:66–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .ijantimicag.2014.08.010.
- Diene SM, Merhej V, Henry M, El Filali A, Roux V, Robert C, Azza S, Gavory F, Barbe V, La Scola B, Raoult D, Rolain JM. 2013. The rhizome of the multidrug-resistant *Enterobacter aerogenes* genome reveals how new "killer bugs" are created because of a sympatric lifestyle. Mol Biol Evol 30:369–383. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss236.
- Rife CL, Pharris RE, Newcomer ME, Armstrong RN. 2002. Crystal structure of a genomically encoded fosfomycin resistance protein (FosA) at 1.19 A resolution by MAD phasing off the L-III edge of Tl(+). J Am Chem Soc 124:11001–11003. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja026879v.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2017. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 27th ed. M100-S27. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Falagas ME, Kastoris AC, Kapaskelis AM, Karageorgopoulos DE. 2010. Fosfomycin for the treatment of multidrug-resistant, including extendedspectrum β-lactamase producing, Enterobacteriaceae infections: a systematic review. Lancet Infect Dis 10:43–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473 -3099(09)70325-1.
- Sastry S, Doi Y. 2016. Fosfomycin: resurgence of an old companion. J Infect Chemother 22:273–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiac.2016.01.010.
- Xu H, Miao V, Kwong W, Xia R, Davies J. 2011. Identification of a novel fosfomycin resistance gene (*fosA2*) in *Enterobacter cloacae* from the Salmon River, Canada. Lett Appl Microbiol 52:427–429. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2011.03016.x.
- Chislett RJ, White G, Hills T, Turner DP. 2010. Fosfomycin susceptibility among extended-spectrum-β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in Nottingham, UK. J Antimicrob Chemother 65:1076–1077. https://doi .org/10.1093/jac/dkq051.
- Hsu MS, Liao CH, Liu CY, Yang CJ, Huang YT, Hsueh PR. 2011. In vitro susceptibilities of clinical isolates of ertapenem-non-susceptible Enterobacteriaceae to nemonoxacin, tigecycline, fosfomycin and other antimicrobial agents. Int J Antimicrob Agents 37:276–278. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.12.003.
- Grabein B, Graninger W, Rodríguez Baño J, Dinh A, Liesenfeld DB. 2017. Intravenous fosfomycin—back to the future. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the clinical literature. Clin Microbiol Infect 23:363–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2016.12.005.
- Parker SL, Frantzeskaki F, Wallis SC, Diakaki C, Giamarellou H, Koulenti D, Karaiskos I, Lipman J, Dimopoulos G, Roberts JA. 2015. Population pharmacokinetics of fosfomycin in critically ill patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:6471–6476. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01321-15.
- 26. León J, García-Lobo JM, Navas J, Ortiz JM. 1985. Fosfomycin-resistance

plasmids determine an intracellular modification of fosfomycin. J Gen Microbiol 131:1649–1655. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-131-7-1649.

- Rehman MA, Yin X, Persaud-Lachhman MG, Diarra MS. 2017. First detection of a fosfomycin resistance gene, *fosA7*, in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Heidelberg isolated from broiler chickens. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61:e00410-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00410-17.
- Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O, Aarestrup FM, Larsen MV. 2012. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:2640–2644. https://doi .org/10.1093/jac/dks261.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010.
- Whelan S, Goldman N. 2001. A general empirical model of protein evolution derived from multiple protein families using a maximumlikelihood approach. Mol Biol Evol 18:691–699. https://doi.org/10.1093/ oxfordjournals.molbev.a003851.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol 33: 1870–1874. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054.
- 32. Letunic I, Bork P. 2016. Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for

the display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W242–W245. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw290.

- Shanks RM, Stella NA, Hunt KM, Brothers KM, Zhang L, Thibodeau PH. 2015. Identification of SlpB, a cytotoxic protease from *Serratia marcescens*. Infect Immun 83:2907–2916. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.03096-14.
- Shanks RM, Caiazza NC, Hinsa SM, Toutain CM, O'Toole GA. 2006. Saccharomyces cerevisiae-based molecular tool kit for manipulation of genes from gram-negative bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:5027–5036. https://doi .org/10.1128/AEM.00682-06.
- Shanks RM, Kadouri DE, MacEachran DP, O'Toole GA. 2009. New yeast recombineering tools for bacteria. Plasmid 62:88–97. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.plasmid.2009.05.002.
- Nakamura G, Wachino J, Sato N, Kimura K, Yamada K, Jin W, Shibayama K, Yagi T, Kawamura K, Arakawa Y. 2014. Practical agar-based disk potentiation test for detection of fosfomycin-nonsusceptible *Escherichia coli* clinical isolates producing glutathione S-transferases. J Clin Microbiol 52:3175–3179. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01094-14.
- Navas J, León J, Arroyo M, García Lobo JM. 1990. Nucleotide sequence and intracellular location of the product of the fosfomycin resistance gene from transposon Tn2921. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 34: 2016–2018. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.34.10.2016.