

Chemoselective α -Sulfidation of Amides Using Sulfoxide Reagents

Mario Leybold,[†] Kyan A. D'Angelo,[†] and Mohammad Movassaghi*



Cite This: *Org. Lett.* 2020, 22, 8802–8807



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information


ABSTRACT: The direct α -sulfidation of tertiary amides using sulfoxide reagents under electrophilic amide activation conditions is described. Employing convenient and readily available reagents, selective functionalization takes place to generate isolable sulfonium ions en route to α -sulfide amides. Mechanistic studies identified activated sulfoxides as promoters of the desired transformation and enabled the extension of the methodology from benzylic to aliphatic amide substrates.




New methods for the introduction of carbon–sulfur bonds are of interest in the synthesis and diversification of bioactive compounds given the existence of hundreds of sulfur-containing structures approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of human ailments.^{1–3} Existing methods for the α -sulfidation of amides rely on nucleophilic displacement, either through the use of basic conditions to activate the amide for nucleophilic attack or α -electrophiles in combination with nucleophilic thiols (Scheme 1A).⁴ As an outgrowth of our studies concerning electrophilic

Scheme 1. Methods for the α -Sulfidation of Amides

A. Representative α -sulfidation of amides using enolates or thiols.



B. This work: α -sulfidation of amides using sulfoxide reagents.




amide activation for practical carbon–carbon and carbon–nitrogen bond-forming reactions,^{5,6} we recognized an opportunity to develop an orthogonal approach compared with contemporary methods for the introduction of carbon–sulfur bonds. Herein we describe the direct, chemoselective α -sulfidation of amides using sulfoxide reagents (Scheme 1B).

We have previously demonstrated^{5,6} that the reagent combination of trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride ($\text{ Tf}_2\text{O}$) and a substituted pyridine such as 2-chloropyridine (2-ClPy)⁷ is effective for electrophilic amide activation⁸ to enable the addition of various nucleophiles. Innovative reports continue

to demonstrate the practical nature of this approach to amide derivatization.^{9,10} Inspired by observations on the addition of pyridine *N*-oxides to activated amides,¹¹ as in our modified Abramovitch reaction that leads to carbon–nitrogen bond formation,^{5c} and the use of sulfoxides in carbon–carbon bond formation,^{9j} we envisioned the use of sulfoxide reagents for carbon–sulfur bond formation. Sulfoxides are readily available, easily derivatized, and bench stable in comparison with noxious thiols and can serve as both an oxidant and a sulfur source.^{12,13}


We anticipated that the addition of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, **2a**) upon the electrophilic activation of amide **1a** would lead to oxysulfonium ion **7aa** en route to α -sulfonium amide **3aa**, which could afford α -sulfide amide **4a** after demethylation (Scheme 2). Under optimal conditions,¹⁴ the

Scheme 2. α -Sulfidation of Benzylic Amide **1a**



Received: September 19, 2020


Published: October 13, 2020




activation of amide **1a** with TiF_2O (1.05 equiv) and 2-CIPy (3.00 equiv) followed by the addition of DMSO (1.20 equiv) gave complete sulfoxide addition and conversion to α -sulfonium amide **3aa** at $-30\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ without the observation of any persistent intermediates by *in situ* IR.¹⁵ The exposure of sulfonium ion **3aa** to excess triethylamine in acetonitrile at $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ subsequently led to quantitative demethylation¹⁶ and afforded α -sulfide amide **4a** (67% yield, two steps). Furthermore, a single-step procedure was also developed wherein the use of *tert*-butyl methyl sulfoxide (TBMSO, **2b**) as the sulfidation reagent enabled direct access to sulfide **4a** in 54% yield via the spontaneous dealkylation of α -sulfonium amide **3ab**.

The application of this chemistry to the α -sulfidation of α -aryl acetamides is illustrated in Scheme 3. Sulfide **4a** could be

Scheme 3. α -Sulfidation of Benzylic Amides^a



Sulfoxide Scope:




^aReagents and conditions: Method A (methyl sulfoxides): TiF_2O (1.05 equiv), 2-CIPy (3.00 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , $-78 \rightarrow 0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, 15 min; methyl sulfoxide (**2a**, **2f**, 1.20 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , $-78 \rightarrow 22\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, 45 min; Et₃N (10 equiv), MeCN, $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, 15 h. Method B (*tert*-butyl sulfoxides): TiF_2O (1.05 equiv), 2-CIPy (3.00 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , $-78 \rightarrow 0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, 15 min; *tert*-butyl sulfoxide (**2b–2e**, 1.20 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , $-78 \rightarrow 22\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, 45 min. Yields are reported: Method A, Method B.

prepared on a 5.00 mmol scale without compromising the reaction efficiency via either the two-step procedure (Method A: 70% yield) or the single-step procedure (Method B: 56% yield). A variety of α -aryl acetamides including versatile morpholine-derived amides (**4a** and **4h–4o**),¹⁷ in addition to *N*-methoxy- (**4c**),¹⁸ *N*-phenyl- (**4e** and **4f**), and *N*-benzyl-substituted (**4d** and **4g**) amides, served as substrates for this transformation.^{19,20} Substituents that may compromise the


stability of the α -sulfonium ion intermediate **3** led to low isolated yields of the desired product (**4i** and **4j**). When demethylation was omitted, dimethylsulfonium trifluoromethanesulfonates **3aa** and **3ba** derived from morpholine and pyrrolidine amides **1a** and **1b** could be isolated in 61 and 68% yield, respectively.¹⁴

Employing our single-step sulfidation procedure, we also examined the use of other *tert*-butyl sulfoxides **2c–2e** with amide **1a** to give the corresponding α -sulfide amides **4p–4r**.¹⁴ In each case, the primary alkyl substituent of the *tert*-butyl sulfoxide was preserved, owing to the relative stability of the cation derived from the *tert*-butyl substituent in the spontaneous dealkylation. Complimentarily, α -sulfide amide **4r** was also obtained in 62% yield with methyl sulfoxide **2f** after regioselective dealkylation, leaving the homobenzylic substituent intact. Whereas the two-step procedure generally affords higher yields, *tert*-butyl sulfoxides directly form the α -sulfide amides. Additionally, the use of *tert*-butyl sulfoxides enables the sulfidation of substrates where the α -sulfonium ion intermediate is subject to hydrolysis (e.g., sulfidation of α,α -diphenyl acetamide **S1** to α -thiomethyl amide **S4**).¹⁴

In evaluating the scope of the transformation, we found that the conditions described in Scheme 3 were not compatible with amides other than α -aryl acetamides. We therefore pursued a series of mechanistic experiments to guide our efforts to expand the substrate scope of our amide sulfidation methodology. Whereas the use of DMSO-*d*₆ (**2a-d₆**) for the α -sulfidation of benzylic amide **1b** led to α -sulfide amide **4b-d₃** in 71% yield (eq 1), when DMSO-*d*₆ (**2a-d₆**) was used with




aliphatic amide **1t**, we only observed the recovery of tertiary amide **1t-d₁** (85% yield) with 88 atom % D incorporation at the α -position (eq 2).¹⁴ We attributed these observations to a retro-ene reaction from intermediate **7ta-d₆** that is preferred for aliphatic substrates.^{21,22}



Toward our goal of the mechanism-guided expansion of the scope of our α -sulfidation chemistry, it was necessary to develop a detailed understanding of the underlying sulfidation pathway. We envisioned that oxysulfonium ion intermediate **7**, derived from the addition of sulfoxide to keteniminium **6**, undergoes rearrangement to give the α -sulfonium amide **3**. Both intra- and intermolecular pathways for 1,3-sulfur shifts were identified by Kwart for neutral sulfides,²³ and we have previously described an intramolecular pathway in our modified Abramovitch reaction.^{5e} In contrast with these existing proposals, we identified a distinct intermolecular sulfidation pathway supported by density functional theory (DFT) calculations, wherein an electrophilically activated sulfoxide **8**²⁴ transfers the sulfonium moiety via a cyclic transition state (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Proposed Intermolecular Sulfidation Pathway




- all possible crossover and non-crossover products observed
- activated sulfoxide **8** promotes desired sulfidation over retro-ene pathway
- supported by DFT calculations

Distinguishing intra- and intermolecular sulfidation pathways was accomplished by means of a crossover experiment employing an equal mixture of DMSO (**2a**) and doubly labeled DMSO- ^{18}O - d_6 (**2a- ^{18}O - d_6**). When amide **1b** was subjected to the standard reaction conditions using this sulfoxide mixture, we observed the substantial formation of crossover sulfonium ion products **3ba- ^{18}O** /**3ba- d_6** and DMSO (**2a- ^{18}O** /**2a- d_6**) by quadrupole time-of-flight (Q-TOF) mass spectrometry,¹⁴ consistent with our proposed intermolecular pathway.^{25,26} Notably, crossover in the recovered sulfoxide is inconsistent with a separate intermolecular pathway akin to Kwart's,²³ involving the combination of two oxysulfonium ions **7**.²⁷

In considering other intermolecular pathways, we sought to distinguish our mechanistic proposal from existing α -sulfidation methods that rely on nucleophilic displacement (Scheme 1A).⁴ Accordingly, when nucleophilic dimethyl sulfide- d_6 (1.00 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture at $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, we observed unsubstantial deuterium incorporation into sulfonium product **3aa**.²⁸ Furthermore, DFT calculations identified a relatively high barrier for sulfur–oxygen cleavage from oxysulfonium ion **7** to form the requisite nucleophile–electrophile pair.¹⁴

Our mechanistic insights suggested that the unproductive retro-ene pathway that initially precluded the α -sulfidation of aliphatic amide **1t** may be outcompeted by increasing the concentration of electrophilically activated sulfoxide **8**. Indeed, the α -sulfidation of amide **1t** with DMSO proceeded in 79% yield by increasing the amount of sulfoxide used and adding supplemental Tf_2O after amide activation, consistent with our mechanism-based hypothesis. Compared with other oxidants employed in amide activation protocols,^{4g} our results collectively establish that sulfoxides serve additional roles as sulfur sources and promoters in this unique transformation.

The further evaluation of sulfoxide activators revealed that trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA) offered the sulfidated aliphatic amides in higher yield compared with Tf_2O .^{14,29} This rationally modified protocol provided access to a variety of α -sulfidated aliphatic amides (Scheme 5, Method C).^{30,31} The α -sulfidated morpholine amide **4s** could be prepared on a 5.00 mmol scale with similar reaction efficiency to saturated α -sulfide amides **4t** and **4u**. Terminal alkyne **4v**, alkene **4w**, and ester- and ketone-containing substrates **4x** and **4y** could be chemoselectively sulfidated adjacent to the amide group, even in the presence of other unprotected carbonyl groups. Aliphatic amide **1aa** was sulfidated using methyl sulfoxide

Scheme 5. α -Sulfidation of Aliphatic Amides^a

^aReagents and conditions, Method C: Tf_2O (1.10 equiv), 2-CIPy (3.00 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , $-78 \rightarrow 0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, 15 min; DMSO (**2a**, 2.50 equiv), TFAA (1.00 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , $-78 \rightarrow 22\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, 45 min; Et_3N (10 equiv), MeCN, $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, 15 h. ^bSulfoxide **2f** (2.50 equiv).

derivative **2f** after regioselective dealkylation. For amide **1z**, single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained of intermediate **3za**³² en route to α -sulfide product **4z**, revealing a noncovalent interaction³³ between the sulfonium cation and the trifluoromethanesulfonate anion that underlies its high solubility in organic solvents and resistance toward elimination and hydrolysis.³⁴

In conclusion, we have identified a direct procedure for the chemoselective α -sulfidation of amides. This transformation is applicable to a wide range of tertiary amides with high functional group tolerance. The use of convenient and easily accessible sulfoxides enhances the practicality of this strategy and enables the single-step functionalization of benzylic amides via spontaneous dealkylation. Our ability to sulfidate α -aryl acetamides and introduce small thioalkyl groups, otherwise derived from exceptionally noxious thiols, is unparalleled in comparison to existing amide activation protocols.^{4g} Mechanistic studies supported the role of electrophilically activated sulfoxides as promoters for the sulfidation and enabled the extension of the methodology to aliphatic tertiary amide substrates. Overall, this approach offers a valuable alternative to existing solutions for the α -sulfidation of amides by introducing an orthogonal strategy under mild conditions and provides direct access to functionalized amides for fine chemical synthesis.^{1–3}

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT**SI Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c03160>.

Experimental procedures, spectroscopic data, computed free energy profiles, Cartesian coordinates, and copies of ^1H , ^{13}C , and ^{19}F NMR spectra (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1916405 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION**Corresponding Author**

Mohammad Movassaghi – Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-3080-1063; Email: movassag@mit.edu

Authors

Mario Leypold – Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States

Kyan A. D'Angelo – Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-9688-5143

Complete contact information is available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c03160>

Author Contributions

[†]M.L. and K.A.D. contributed equally.

Funding

We acknowledge financial support from NIH-NIGMS (GM074825). M.L. was supported by a Schrödinger Postdoctoral Fellowship, financed by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): J3930-N34. K.A.D. acknowledges the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for a PGS-D3 scholarship.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Peter Müller (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) for the assistance with the single-crystal X-ray diffraction of **3za**. M.L. thanks the Graz University of Technology for access to the Zentraler Informatikdienst (ZID) computing facility and resources during manuscript refinement in the second phase of his Schrödinger Postdoctoral Fellowship in the laboratory of Prof. Dr. Rolf Breinbauer (Graz University of Technology).

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Scott, K. A.; Njardarson, J. T. Analysis of US FDA-Approved Drugs Containing Sulfur Atoms. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2018**, *376*, 1–34. (b) Ilardi, E. A.; Vitaku, E.; Njardarson, J. T. Data-Mining for Sulfur and Fluorine: An Evaluation of Pharmaceuticals to Reveal Opportunities for Drug Design and Discovery. *J. Med. Chem.* **2014**,

57, 2832–2842. (c) Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. *Orange Book: Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations*, 40th ed.; U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services: Rockville, MD, 2020.

(2) Feng, M.; Tang, B.; Liang, S. H.; Jiang, X. Sulfur Containing Scaffolds in Drugs: Synthesis and Application in Medicinal Chemistry. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *16*, 1200–1216.

(3) For recent reviews on strategies for carbon–sulfur bond formation, see: (a) Beletskaya, I. P.; Ananikov, V. P. Transition-Metal-Catalyzed C–S, C–Se, and C–Te Bond Formation Cross-Coupling and Atom-Economic Addition Reactions. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1596–1636. (b) Eichman, C. C.; Stambuli, J. P. Transition Metal Catalyzed Synthesis of Aryl Sulfides. *Molecules* **2011**, *16*, 590–608. (c) Chauhan, P.; Mahajan, S.; Enders, D. Organocatalytic Carbon–Sulfur Bond-Forming Reactions. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 8807–8864. (d) Shen, C.; Zhang, P.; Sun, Q.; Bai, S.; Hor, T. S. A.; Liu, X. Recent advances in C–S bond formation via C–H bond functionalization and decarboxylation. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 291–314. (e) Wimmer, A.; König, B. Photocatalytic formation of carbon–sulfur bonds. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *14*, 54–83.

(4) (a) Mukaiyama, T.; Endo, T.; Kojima, Y.; Sato, T. Selective Formation of Symmetrical Bissulfides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 7575–7577. (b) Zoretic, P. A.; Soja, P. Sulfenylation and Selenenylation of Lactams. *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 3587–3589. (c) Marzorati, L.; Fejfar, J. L.; Tormena, C. F.; Vitta, C. D. Kinetic Resolution of α -Bromophenylacetamides Using Quinine or Cinchona Alkaloid Salts. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2012**, *23*, 748–753. (d) Zou, L.-H.; Pribbenow, D. L.; Wang, L.; Mottweiler, J.; Bolm, C. Copper-Catalyzed Synthesis of α -Thioaryl Carbonyl Compounds Through S–S and C–C Bond Cleavage. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 2558–2563. (e) Prasad, C. D.; Sattar, M.; Kumar, S. Transition-Metal-Free Selective Oxidative C(sp³)–S/Se Coupling of Oxindoles, Tetralone, and Arylacetamides: Synthesis of Unsymmetrical Organochalcogenides. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 774–777. (f) Jiang, Y.; Deng, J.-D.; Wang, H.-D.; Zou, J.-X.; Wang, Y.-Q.; Chen, J.-H.; Zhu, L.-Q.; Zhang, H.-H.; Peng, X.; Wang, Z. Direct access to α -sulfenylated amides/esters via sequential oxidative sulfenylation and C–C bond cleavage of 3-oxobutyric amides/esters. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 802–805. (g) Gonçalves, C. R.; Lemmerer, M.; Teskey, C. J.; Adler, P.; Kaiser, D.; Maryasin, B.; González, L.; Maulide, N. Unified Approach to the Chemoselective α -Functionalization of Amides with Heteroatom Nucleophiles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 18437–18443. (h) Liu, C.; Li, Z.; Weng, Z.; Fang, X.; Zhao, F.; Tang, K.; Chen, J.; Ma, W. Transition-Metal-Free Selective C(sp³)–H Thiolation of Arylacetamides with Substituted Benzenethiols, Aryl Sulfenylchlorides and Diaryl Disulfides. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, *9*, 668–672.

(5) (a) Movassaghi, M.; Hill, M. D. Synthesis of Substituted Pyridine Derivatives via the Ruthenium-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization of 3-Azadienynes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 4592–4593. (b) Movassaghi, M.; Hill, M. D. Single-Step Synthesis of Pyrimidine Derivatives. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 14254–14255. (c) Movassaghi, M.; Hill, M. D.; Ahmad, O. K. Direct Synthesis of Pyridine Derivatives. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 10096–10097. (d) Movassaghi, M.; Hill, M. D. A Versatile Cyclodehydration Reaction for the Synthesis of Isoquinoline and β -Carboline Derivatives. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 3485–3488. (e) Medley, J. W.; Movassaghi, M. Direct Dehydrative N-Pyridinylation of Amides. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 1341–1344. (f) Ahmad, O. K.; Hill, M. D.; Movassaghi, M. Synthesis of Densely Substituted Pyrimidine Derivatives. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 8460–8463. (g) Medley, J. W.; Movassaghi, M. Synthesis of Spirocyclic Indolines by Interruption of the Bischler–Napieralski Reaction. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 3614–3617. (h) White, K. L.; Mewald, M.; Movassaghi, M. Direct Observation of Intermediates Involved in the Interruption of the Bischler–Napieralski Reaction. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 7403–7411.

(6) (a) Medley, J. W.; Movassaghi, M. A Concise and Versatile Double-Cyclization Strategy for the Highly Stereoselective Synthesis and Arylative Dimerization of Aspidosperma Alkaloids. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 4572–4576. (b) Mewald, M.; Medley, J. W.;

Movassaghi, M. Concise and Enantioselective Total Synthesis of (–)-Mehranine, (–)-Methylenebismehranine, and Related Aspidosperma Alkaloids. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 11634–11639.

(7) For early applications of this reagent combination, see: (a) Myers, A. G.; Tom, N. J.; Fraley, M. E.; Cohen, S. B.; Madar, D. J. A Convergent Synthetic Route to (+)-Dyemicin A and Analogs of Wide Structural Variability. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 6072–6094. (b) Garcia, B. A.; Gin, D. Y. Dehydrative Glycosylation with Activated Diphenyl Sulfonium Reagents. Scope, Mode of C(1)-Hemiacetal Activation, and Detection of Reactive Glycosyl Intermediates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 4269–4279.

(8) (a) Falmagne, J.-B.; Escudero, J.; Taleb-Sahraoui, S.; Ghosez, L. Cyclobutanone and Cyclobutenone Derivatives by Reaction of Tertiary Amides with Alkenes or Alkynes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1981**, *20*, 879–880. (b) Charette, A. B.; Grenon, M. Spectroscopic studies of the electrophilic activation of amides with triflic anhydride and pyridine. *Can. J. Chem.* **2001**, *79*, 1694–1703. (c) Charette, A. B.; Mathieu, S.; Martel, J. Electrophilic Activation of Lactams with Tf₂O and Pyridine: Expedient Synthesis of (±)-Tetraponerine T4. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 5401–5404.

(9) (a) Cui, S.-L.; Wang, J.; Wang, Y.-G. Synthesis of Indoles via Domino Reaction of *N*-Aryl Amides and Ethyl Diazoacetate. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 13526–13527. (b) Barbe, G.; Charette, A. B. Highly Chemoselective Metal-Free Reduction of Tertiary Amides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 18–19. (c) Barbe, G.; Pelletier, G.; Charette, A. B. Intramolecular Pyridine Activation-De aromatization Reaction: Highly Stereoselective Synthesis of Polysubstituted Indolizidines and Quinolizidines. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 3398–3401. (d) Xiang, S.-H.; Xu, J.; Yuan, H.-Q.; Huang, P.-Q. Amide Activation by Tf₂O: Reduction of Amides to Amines by NaBH₄ under Mild Conditions. *Synlett* **2010**, 1829–1832. (e) Pelletier, G.; Bechara, W. S.; Charette, A. B. Controlled and Chemoselective Reduction of Secondary Amides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 12817–12819. (f) Xiao, K.-J.; Luo, J.-M.; Ye, K.-Y.; Huang, Y.; Wang, P.-Q. Direct, One-pot Sequential Reductive Alkylation of Lactams/Amides with Grignard and Organolithium Reagents through Lactam/Amide Activation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 3037–3040. (g) Xiao, K.-J.; Wang, Y.; Ye, K.-Y.; Huang, P.-Q. Versatile One-Pot Reductive Alkylation of Lactams/Amides via Amide Activation: Application to the Concise Syntheses of Bioactive Alkaloids (±)-Bgugaine, (±)-Coniine, (+)-Preussin, and (–)-Cassine. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 12792–12796. (h) Xiao, K.-J.; Wang, A.-E.; Huang, P.-Q. Direct Transformation of Secondary Amides into Secondary Amines: Triflic Anhydride Activated Reductive Alkylation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 8314–8317. (i) Bechara, W. S.; Pelletier, G.; Charette, A. B. Chemoselective synthesis of ketones and ketimines by addition of organometallic reagents to secondary amides. *Nat. Chem.* **2012**, *4*, 228–234. (j) Peng, B.; Geerdink, D.; Fares, C.; Maulide, N. Chemoselective Intermolecular α -Arylation of Amides. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 5462–5466. (k) Romanens, A.; Bélanger, G. Preparation of Conformationally Restricted $\beta^{2,2}$ - and $\beta^{2,2,3}$ -Amino Esters and Derivatives Containing an All-Carbon Quaternary Center. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 322–325. (l) Régnier, S.; Bechara, W. S.; Charette, A. B. Synthesis of 3-Aminoimidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridines from α -Aminopyridinyl Amides. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 10348–10356. (m) Kaiser, D.; Teskey, C. J.; Adler, P.; Maulide, N. Chemoselective Intermolecular Cross-Enolate-Type Coupling of Amides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 16040–16043. (n) Di Mauro, G.; Maryasin, B.; Kaiser, D.; Shaaban, S.; González, L.; Maulide, N. Mechanistic Pathways in Amide Activation: Flexible Synthesis of Oxazoles and Imidazoles. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 3815–3818. (o) de la Torre, A.; Kaiser, D.; Maulide, N. Flexible and Chemoselective Oxidation of Amides to α -Keto Amides and α -Hydroxy Amides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 6578–6581. (p) Li, J.; Berger, M.; Zawodny, W.; Simaan, M.; Maulide, N. A Chemoselective α -Oxytriflation Enables the Direct Asymmetric Arylation of Amides. *Chem.* **2019**, *5*, 1883–1891. (q) Adler, P.; Teskey, C. J.; Kaiser, D.; Holy, M.; Sitte, H. H.; Maulide, N. α -Fluorination of carbonyls with nucleophilic fluorine. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 329–334. (r) Magyar, C. L.; Wall, T. J.; Davies,

S. B.; Campbell, M. V.; Barna, H. A.; Smith, S. R.; Savich, C. J.; Mosey, R. A. Triflic anhydride mediated synthesis of 3,4-dihydroquinazolines: a three-component one-pot tandem procedure. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2019**, *17*, 7995–8000.

(10) For reviews on electrophilic amide activation, see: (a) Kaiser, D.; Maulide, N. Making the Least Reactive Electrophile the First in Class: Domino Electrophilic Activation of Amides. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 4421–4428. (b) Kaiser, D.; Bauer, A.; Lemmerer, M.; Maulide, N. Amide activation: an emerging tool for chemoselective synthesis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2018**, *47*, 7899–7925.

(11) Da Costa, R.; Gillard, M.; Falmagne, J. B.; Ghosez, L. α,β Dehydrogenation of Carboxamides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 4381–4383.

(12) (a) Roy, K.-M. Sulfones and Sulfoxides. In *Ullmann's Fine Chemicals*; John Wiley & Sons, 2014; Vol. 3, pp 1169–1184. (b) Pulis, A. P.; Procter, D. J. C–H Coupling Reactions Directed by Sulfoxides: Teaching an Old Functional Group New Tricks. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 9842–9860. (c) Wu, X.-F.; Natte, K. The Applications of Dimethyl Sulfoxide as Reagent in Organic Synthesis. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2016**, *358*, 336–352. (d) Jones-Mensah, E.; Karki, M.; Magolan, J. Dimethyl Sulfoxide as a Synthone in Organic Chemistry. *Synthesis* **2016**, *48*, 1421–1436.

(13) According to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) solvent classification based on possible risk to human health, DMSO belongs to class 3, which is defined as solvents with low toxic potential.

(14) See the [Supporting Information](#) for details.

(15) A variety of substituted pyridine bases, including 2-FPy, 2-ClPy, 2-BrPy, and 2-MeOPy, were examined, and 2-ClPy was found to be the optimal additive, consistent with our prior studies.

(16) (a) Shimizu, H.; Yonezawa, T.; Watanabe, T.; Kobayashi, K. Novel cycloaddition of 2-alkyl-3-benzoyl-2-thianaphthalenes. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 1659–1660. (b) Warner, A. J.; Churn, A.; McGough, J. S.; Ingleson, M. J. BCl₃-Induced Annulative Oxo- and Thioboration for the Formation of C3-Borylated Benzofurans and Benzothio-phenes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 354–358.

(17) (a) Martín, R.; Romea, P.; Tey, C.; Urpi, F.; Vilarrasa, J. Simple and Efficient Preparation of Ketones from Morpholine Amides. *Synlett* **1997**, *12*, 1414–1416. (b) Sengupta, S.; Mondal, S.; Das, D. Amino acid derived morpholine amides for nucleophilic α -amino acylation reactions: A new synthetic route to enantiopure α -amino ketones. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 4107–4110. (c) Douat, C.; Heitz, A.; Martinez, J.; Fehrentz, J.-A. Synthesis of *N*-protected α -amino aldehydes from their morpholine amide derivatives. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 37–40. (d) Badioli, M.; Ballini, R.; Bartolacci, M.; Bosica, G.; Torregiani, E.; Marcantoni, E. Addition of Organocerium Reagents to Morpholine Amides: Synthesis of Important Pheromone Components of *Achaea janata*. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 8938–8942. (e) Goodman, S. N.; Jacobsen, E. N. Enantiopure β -Hydroxy Morpholine Amides from Terminal Epoxides by Carbonylation at 1 Atm. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 4703–4705. (f) Clark, C. T.; Milgram, B. C.; Scheidt, K. A. Efficient Synthesis of Acylsilanes Using Morpholine Amides. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 3977–3980. (g) Denmark, S. E.; Heemstra, J. R., Jr Lewis Base Activation of Lewis Acids. Vinylogous Aldol Addition Reactions of Conjugated *N,O*-Silyl Ketene Acetals to Aldehydes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1038–1039. (h) Denmark, S. E.; Heemstra, J. R., Jr Lewis Base Activation of Lewis Acids: Catalytic, Enantioselective Vinylogous Aldol Addition Reactions. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 5668–5688. (i) Kokotos, C. G.; Baskakis, C.; Kokotos, G. Synthesis of Medicinally Interesting Polyfluoro Ketones via Perfluoroalkyl Lithium Reagents. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 8623–8626. (j) Kopach, M. E.; Singh, U. K.; Kobiarski, M. E.; Trankle, W. G.; Murray, M. M.; Pietz, M. A.; Forst, M. B.; Stephenson, G. A.; Mancuso, V.; Giard, T.; et al. Practical Synthesis of Chiral 2-Morpholine: (4-Benzylmorpholin-2-(*S*)-yl)-(tetrahydropyran-4-yl)methanone Mesylate, a Useful Pharmaceutical Intermediate. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2009**, *13*, 209–224.

(18) A similar Weinreb amide failed in a related amination reaction via electrophilic amide activation; see: Tona, V.; de la Torre, A.;

Padmanaban, M.; Ruider, S.; González, L.; Maulide, N. Chemo- and Stereoselective Transition-Metal-Free Amination of Amides with Azides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 8348–8351.

(19) Substrates that were found to be unsuitable for this α -sulfidation chemistry included γ -butyrolactams 1-methylindolin-2-one and 1-methyl-3-phenylpyrrolidin-2-one; diketopiperazines (3R,8aS)-2-methyl-3-phenylhexahydropyrrolo-[1,2-*a*]pyrazine-1,4-dione and (3R,6S)-1,4-dimethyl-3,6-diphenylpiperazine-2,5-dione; and secondary amides *N*-butyl-2-phenylacetamide and *N*-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropanamide. These observations are consistent with the inability of these substrates to form keteniminium ions under the reaction conditions. Primary amides undergo dehydration upon electrophilic activation; see: Bose, D. S.; Jayalakshmi, B. A Practical Method for the Preparation of Nitriles from Primary Amides Under Non-Acidic Conditions. *Synthesis* **1999**, *1999*, 64–65.

(20) Side products corresponding to the oxidative C–C coupling of amides **1e**–**1g** were also isolated; see the Supporting Information for details and: Kaiser, D.; de la Torre, A.; Shaaban, S.; Maulide, N. Metal-Free Formal Oxidative C–C Coupling by Situ Generation of an Enolonium Species. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 5921–5925.

(21) Hori, T.; Singer, S. P.; Sharpless, K. B. Allylic Deuteration and Tritiation of Olefins with *N*-Sulfinylsulfonamides. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 1456–1459.

(22) The subambient temperature at which this retro-ene reaction takes place suggests that it may benefit from charge acceleration. Pericyclic reactions of other sulfonium ions have been found to benefit from charge acceleration; see: Huang, X.; Klimczyk, S.; Maulide, N. Charge-Accelerated Sulfonium [3,3]-Sigmatropic Rearrangements. *Synthesis* **2012**, 175–183.

(23) (a) Kwart, H.; Johnson, N. Antipolar Mechanism of Thermal Allylic Rearrangements. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 6064–6066. (b) Kwart, H.; Stanulonis, J. Assessment of the Thioallylic Rearrangement by a Simplified Technique for High-Precision Measurement of Isotope Effects. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 4009–4010. (c) Kwart, H.; Johnson, N. A. The Occurrence of Permutational Isomerism in the Mechanism of the Thermal Thiaallylic Rearrangement. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 3441–3449. (d) Kwart, H.; George, T. J. Secondary Deuterium Isotope Effects in the Thia-Allylic Rearrangement. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 5214–5215. (e) Kwart, H. Patterns of Thermal Rearrangements Involving 3rd Row Elements. *Phosphorus Sulfur Relat. Elem.* **1983**, *15*, 293–310. For a review, see: (f) Bur, S. K. 1,3-Sulfur Shifts: Mechanism and Synthetic Utility. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2007**, *274*, 125–171.

(24) Hendrickson, J. B.; Schwartzman, S. M. Dimethyl Sulfide Ditriflate: A New Reagent for the Facile Oxidation of Alcohols. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, *16*, 273–276.

(25) When a substoichiometric quantity of Tf₂O was used in the crossover experiment (0.50 equiv), the proportion of crossover products **3ba**-¹⁸O/**3ba**-*d*₆ observed was diminished. This is consistent with the predominance of an intramolecular pathway in the absence of activated sulfoxide promoter **8** rather than an intermolecular pathway.

(26) The use of enantiomerically enriched sulfoxide (–)-**2b** in the sulfidation of amide **1a** gave racemic product **4a**.

(27) The complete transfer of the oxygen from the sulfoxide to the sulfidated product was verified by the reaction of amide **1b** with DMSO-¹⁸O-*d*₆ (**2a**-¹⁸O-*d*₆). Thus the *in situ* formation of crossover DMSO (**2a**-¹⁸O/**2a**-*d*₆) via the ¹⁶O/¹⁸O exchange of the sulfoxide with unlabeled Tf₂O/TfO[–] does not occur prior to sulfidation.

(28) The distribution of sulfonium products **3ba** and **3ba**-*d*₆ was 76 and 24%, respectively. The observation of the partial formation of **3ba**-*d*₆ is consistent with competitive reversible oxygen transfer from electrophilically activated sulfoxides to sulfides; see: Tanikaga, R.; Nakayama, K.; Tanaka, K.; Kaji, A. Reversible Oxygen Transfer Reactions between Sulfoxides and Sulfides. Relative Stabilities of Acyloxysulfonium Ions. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1978**, *51*, 3089–3090.

(29) Simple organic acids such as methanesulfonic acid (MsOH) or trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) could also be added in place of additional anhydride to activate DMSO, but this resulted in a lower yield of the

desired α -sulfidated amide. For benzylic amides such as **1b**, supplemental DMSO and anhydride were not found to be beneficial.

(30) Replacing DMSO (**2a**) with TBMSO (**2b**) in Method C resulted in decreased yields of α -sulfidated amides. We hypothesize that TBMSO, upon electrophilic activation, is subject to spontaneous dealkylation of the *tert*-butyl group to give a sulfenate; see: (a) Yoshimura, T.; Tsukurimichi, E.; Yamazaki, S.; Soga, S.; Shimasaki, C.; Hasegawa, K. Synthesis of a stable sulfenic acid, *trans*-decalin-9-sulfenic acid. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1992**, 1337–1338. (b) Okuyama, T.; Fueno, T. Acid-Catalyzed Cleavage of Methoxymethyl Phenyl Sulfoxide. Solvent Effects and Mode of Bond Cleavage. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1990**, *63*, 3111–3116.

(31) The starting aliphatic amides, derived from the competing retro-ene pathway, were recovered (~15%) in most cases.

(32) Sulfonium trifluoromethanesulfonate **3za** can be stored at 22 °C for weeks. It can be demethylated by treatment with triethylamine according to our standard conditions to give sulfide **4z** in 92% yield.

(33) The noncovalent interaction is evidenced by the considerable elongation of the Me₂S⁺–C bond: 1.831 Å. Additionally, the oxygen atom of the longest S–O bond in the trifluoromethanesulfonate anion is engaged in this interaction (1.444 Å vs 1.428, 1.425 Å). For a similar discussion, see: Lodochnikova, O. A.; Litvinov, I. A.; Palei, R. V.; Plemenkov, V. V. Crystal structure of the sulfonium salts of natural azulenes. *J. Struct. Chem.* **2008**, *49*, 322–326.

(34) Reported sulfur–carbon bond lengths in other sulfonium trifluoromethanesulfonates: (2-(2-Acetylphenyl)-1-phenylvinyl)(dimethyl)sulfonium trifluoromethanesulfonate, 1.804 Å: (a) Hooper, J. F.; Chaplin, A. B.; González-Rodríguez, C.; Thompson, A. L.; Weller, A. S.; Willis, M. C. Aryl Methyl Sulfides as Substrates for Rhodium-Catalyzed Alkyne Carbothiolation: Arene Functionalization with Activating Group Recycling. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 2906–2909. (1-Diazo-2-ethoxy-2-oxoethyl)dimethylsulfonium trifluoromethanesulfonate, 1.731 Å: (b) Schnaars, C.; Hennem, M.; Bonge-Hansen, T. Nucleophilic Halogenations of Diazo Compounds, a Complementary Principle for the Synthesis of Halodiazo Compounds: Experimental and Theoretical Studies. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 7488–7497.