




OPEN ACCESS

Short report

Hypomethylation of a centromeric block of ICR1 is sufficient to cause Silver-Russell syndrome

Ken Higashimoto,¹ Hijiri Watanabe,² Yuka Tanoue,¹ Hidefumi Tonoki,³ Tomoharu Tokutomi,⁴ Satoshi Hara,¹ Hitomi Yatsuki,¹ Hidenobu Soejima ¹

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2020-106907>).

¹Division of Molecular Genetics & Epigenetics, Department of Biomolecular Sciences, Saga University Faculty of Medicine, Saga, Japan

²Department of Pediatrics, Amakusa Medical Center, Amakusa, Japan

³Medical Genetics Center, Department of Pediatrics, Tenri Hospital, Sapporo, Japan

⁴Department of Clinical Genetics, School of Medicine, Iwate Medical University, Morioka, Japan

Correspondence to

Dr Hidenobu Soejima and Dr Ken Higashimoto, Division of Molecular Genetics & Epigenetics, Department of Biomolecular Sciences, Saga University Faculty of Medicine, Saga 849-8501, Japan; soejimah@cc.saga-u.ac.jp, higashim@cc.saga-u.ac.jp

Received 17 February 2020

Revised 8 April 2020

Accepted 20 April 2020

Published Online First 23 May 2020



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Higashimoto K, Watanabe H, Tanoue Y, et al. *J Med Genet* 2021;**58**:422–425.

ABSTRACT

Silver-Russell syndrome (SRS) is a representative imprinting disorder. A major cause is the loss of methylation (LOM) of imprinting control region 1 (ICR1) within the *IGF2/H19* domain. ICR1 is a gametic differentially methylated region (DMR) consisting of two repeat blocks, with each block including three CTCF target sites (CTSs). ICR1-LOM on the paternal allele allows CTCF to bind to CTSs, resulting in *IGF2* repression on the paternal allele and biallelic expression of *H19*. We analysed 10 differentially methylated sites (DMSs) (ie, seven CTSs and three somatic DMRs within the *IGF2/H19* domain, including two *IGF2*-DMRs and the *H19*-promoter) in five SRS patients with ICR1-LOM. Four patients showed consistent hypomethylation at all DMSs; however, one exhibited a peculiar LOM pattern, showing LOM at the centromeric region of the *IGF2/H19* domain but normal methylation at the telomeric region. This raised important points: there may be a separate regulation of DNA methylation for the two repeat blocks within ICR1; there is independent control of somatic DMRs under each repeat block; sufficient *IGF2* repression to cause SRS phenotypes occurs by LOM only in the centromeric block; and the need for simultaneous methylation analysis of several DMSs in both blocks for a correct molecular diagnosis.

INTRODUCTION

Silver-Russell syndrome (SRS; OMIM 180860) is an imprinting disorder characterised by prenatal and postnatal growth restriction, relative macrocephaly, a protruding forehead, asymmetry, and feeding difficulties.¹ Loss of methylation (LOM) of imprinting control region 1 (ICR1) within the *IGF2/H19* domain at 11p15.5 is a major cause of SRS and is found in 30%–60% of patients. Other causative alterations are maternal UPD of chromosomes 7, 14, 16 and 20; maternal 11p15 duplication; aberrant methylation of 14q32.2; paternal *IGF2* loss-of-function mutations; and maternal *CDKN1C* gain-of-function mutations.¹ ICR1 is a differentially methylated region (DMR) with methylation of the paternal allele and an unmethylated maternal allele. Differential methylation of ICR1 is established during gametogenesis (ie, it is a gametic DMR), and is continuously maintained in somatic cells.^{2,3} ICR1 is located 2 kb upstream of the *H19* gene and controls paternal expression of *IGF2* (a growth factor) and maternal expression of *H19* (a growth inhibitor). On the maternal allele, unmethylated ICR1 bound by CTCF functions as a

chromatin insulator that prevents the interaction of the *IGF2* promoter and the enhancer downstream of *H19*, resulting in the silencing of *IGF2* and the activation of *H19*. On the paternal allele, prevention of CTCF binding to methylated ICR1 allows the interaction between the *IGF2* promoter and the enhancer downstream of *H19*, resulting in the activation of *IGF2* and the silencing of *H19*.^{2,3} Therefore, ICR1-LOM on the paternal allele induces repression of *IGF2* expression and biallelic expression of *H19* (causing an overexpression of *H19*), leading to the SRS phenotype.¹

Human ICR1 is arranged in two repeat blocks, namely a centromeric block and a telomeric block (figure 1A). Each block contains one repetitive sequence A and three or four repetitive sequences B. There are seven CTCF target sites (CTSs). Among them, six CTSs are present in each repetitive sequence B (except B4) and CTS7, which is located outside of the repeat blocks.¹ In addition to ICR1, the *IGF2/H19* domain contains three somatic DMRs: *IGF2*-DMR0, *IGF2*-DMR2 and the *H19*-promoter (figure 1A). These are methylated on the paternal allele and are established after fertilisation under the control of ICR1.⁴ The proper allele-specific methylation at ICR1 appears to be mediated by several DNA binding trans-factors, such as CTCF, OCT4, SOX2, ZFP57, ZBTB33 (KAISO) and YY1.^{5–7} CTCF, OCT4 and SOX2 are required to maintain the unmethylated status of maternal ICR1.⁸ Microdeletions (1.4–2.2 kb) abolishing one to three CTSs, single-nucleotide variants, or a small deletion of the OCT4/SOX2 target site can result in ICR1 hypermethylation. Hypermethylation causes Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome, an overgrowth syndrome molecularly and clinically opposite to SRS.^{7,9–11} In contrast, ZFP57 is required to maintain the methylated status of many ICRs in mice, though the methylation status of ICR1 was normal in *Zfp57* homozygous mutant embryos.^{12,13} However, deletions including ZFP57 binding sites in SRS patients with ICR1-LOM suggest that ZFP57 is required to maintain the methylated status of paternal ICR1.^{6,7} ZBTB33 is also important for the maintenance of the methylated status of paternal ICR1.⁵ However, it has not been clearly shown whether ZFP57 and ZBTB33 are involved in the aetiology of SRS.

In total, there are 10 differentially methylated sites (DMSs) within the *IGF2/H19* domain, including two *IGF2*-DMRs, seven CTSs and the *H19*-promoter (figure 1A). To date, the DNA methylation status of the DMSs, excluding CTS5




Figure 1 Structure of the *IGF2/H19* domain and methylation status of patients with SRS. (A) Schematic representation of the 10 DMSs within the *IGF2/H19* domain (not to scale). The lower panel shows an enlarged view of ICR1. ICR1 is arranged in two repeat blocks, one centromeric and one telomeric. Each block contains one repetitive sequence A and three or four repetitive sequences B. The seven CTCFs, three OCT4/SOX2 motifs and one putative SOX2 consensus motif are shown by arrowheads. The transcription directions of the genes are indicated by arrows. (B) Methylation status of 10 DMSs in patients with SRS and control subjects. Left: average MIs with SD of two independent bisulfite-pyrosequencing data at each DMS from four patients with SRS. Average MIs with SD of controls. Right: average MIs with SD of SRS-s09 and control subjects. SRS-s09 showed a peculiar pattern of LOM, exhibiting LOM at DMSs within the centromeric region of the *IGF2/H19* domain and normal methylation at DMSs within the telomeric region. The bisulfite-pyrosequencing assessment of patients with SRS was performed in duplicate. The MIs of all patients and control subjects are shown in online supplementary tables S1 and S3, respectively. cen, centromere; CTCFs, CTCF target sites; DMR, differentially methylated region; DMSs, differentially methylated sites; ICR1, imprinting control region 1; LOM, loss of methylation; MI, methylation index; M, maternal allele; P, paternal allele; SRS, Silver-Russell syndrome; tel, telomere; open lollipop, unmethylated DMS; black lollipop, methylated DMS.

and *IGF2-DMR2*, has been investigated in SRS patients with ICR1-LOM. Uneven methylation profiles among the DMSs and normal methylation at CTS1 and CTS7 have been reported.¹⁴ In this study, we examined all 10 DMSs in five SRS patients with ICR1-LOM. We found even levels of hypomethylation in four patients. However, one patient exhibited an unusual pattern of LOM, showing LOM at the centromeric region of the *IGF2/H19* domain and normal methylation at the telomeric region. This

peculiar LOM raised important points in terms of imprinting mechanisms and molecular diagnoses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients with SRS and healthy controls

Five patients with SRS were analysed in this study. The Netchine-Harbisson clinical scoring system was used as the clinical

Table 1 The Netchine-Harbisson clinical scores of patients with SRS in this study

Clinical criteria	SRS-s01	SRS-s03	SRS-s04	SRS-s09	SRS-s11
SGA (birth weight and/or birth length)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Postnatal growth failure		✓	✓	✓	✓
Relative macrocephaly at birth	✓		✓	✓	✓
Protruding forehead	✓	✓	✓		✓
Body asymmetry	✓	✓			✓
Feeding difficulties and/or low BMI		✓	✓	✓	
Age	2 years	3 years	10 years	2 years	1 year 6 months
Sex	Female	Male	Male	Male	Female

A clinical diagnosis is considered if a patient has at least four of the six criteria. BMI, body mass index; SGA, small for gestational age; SRS, Silver-Russell syndrome.

diagnostic criterion for SRS. All patients scored positive for at least four of the six components of the criteria and were diagnosed with clinical SRS (table 1).¹ LOM at CTS3 within ICR1 was confirmed in all patients (online supplementary figure S1A). Normal methylation (approximately 50% of methylation index (MI)) of ICR2 due to maternal methylation and paternal unmethylation was also confirmed in all patients (online supplementary figure S1B). Maternal duplication of 11p15 and maternal UPD of chromosome 7 were excluded (online supplementary figure S2 for SRS-09; data not shown for other patients). These indicated that isolated ICR1-LOM was a causative alteration in these patients. In addition, healthy children (n=24; 12 girls and 12 boys; mean age: 3.8 ± 2.4 years), were used as control subjects (online supplementary table S1). Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leucocytes of all subjects using the FlexiGene DNA Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).

Written informed consent was provided by all parents/guardians.

DNA methylation analysis

We investigated the methylation status of 10 DMSs within the *IGF2/H19* domain (ie, CTS1–7, *IGF2*-DMR0, *IGF2*-DMR2 and the *H19*-promoter) using bisulfite-pyrosequencing, as previously described (figure 1A).¹¹ The methylation status of *MEG3*-DMR was also investigated through bisulfite-pyrosequencing.¹⁵ MIs were calculated as the average methylation of CpG sites within each DMS. The bisulfite-pyrosequencing assessment was performed in duplicate. Bisulfite sequencing of CTS5 was also performed as follows: the bisulfite PCR products were cloned into a pT7Blue T-Vector (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and individual clones were sequenced. Primers for CTS5 bisulfite sequencing are shown in online supplementary table S2.

Sequencing analysis

Microdeletions/insertions in ICR1 were screened for using long-range PCR encompassing the entire ICR1 region (GRCh37/hg19, chr11: 2 017 992–2 025 550), including seven CTSs, two OCT4/SOX2 sites and 12 ZFP57 sites. Sanger sequencing was performed to investigate the variants in the entire ICR1 region, as previously described.^{10 11} The coding regions of *CDKN1C* were also sequenced, as previously described.¹⁶ Furthermore, the coding regions of *IGF2* and *ZFP57* were sequenced. Primers for sequencing analyses of *IGF2* and *ZFP57* are shown in online supplementary table S2.

Analyses of short tandem repeats

Short tandem repeat markers (STR) on chromosomes 7, 11, 14, 16 and 20 were analysed to investigate maternal UPD. Primers for STR analyses are shown in online supplementary table S2.

Copy number analysis of the *IGF2/H19* domain

Copy number analysis of the *IGF2/H19* domain was performed by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) with a ME030-C3 BWS/RSS kit according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

RESULTS

We analysed the methylation status of all 10 DMSs within the *IGF2/H19* domain using bisulfite-pyrosequencing to determine whether uneven methylation profiles of DMSs were present in our patients. The MIs of all DMSs in four patients (ie, SRS-s01, SRS-s03, SRS-s04, SRS-s11) were lower than those observed in

the healthy controls (figure 1B left, online supplementary table S3). Δ Methylation (Δ me) of the patients, which was the difference between the maximum and minimum MIs among all DMSs, were similar to that of average of healthy controls (online supplementary table S3). In addition, the SD of the MI difference at each DMS between the patients and healthy controls were small (online supplementary table S3). These data indicated that LOM occurred evenly at all DMSs within the *IGF2/H19* domain in these four patients.

On the other hand, SRS-s09 showed an uneven methylation profile. CTS1–3 within the centromeric block of ICR1 were hypomethylated; however, CTS4–6 within the telomeric block showed normal methylation (figure 1B right, online supplementary table S3). Bisulfite sequencing revealed normal differential methylation of CTS5, where the two parental alleles could be distinguished using the single-nucleotide variant rs2735972 (online supplementary figure S3). Furthermore, *IGF2*-DMR0 and *IGF2*-DMR2 (located far upstream of ICR1) also showed LOM, whereas CTS7 and the *H19*-promoter (located downstream of ICR1) showed normal methylation (figure 1B right, online supplementary table S3). Long-range PCR encompassing the entire ICR1 did not show any deletions or insertions (online supplementary figure S4B). Sequencing analysis, including seven CTSs; three OCT4/SOX2 sites; and 12 ZFP57 sites, detected known SNVs, but not a pathogenic variant (online supplementary table S4). MLPA showed two parental copies of 11p15 (online supplementary figure S4A). Moreover, all minor causative alterations for SRS, including pathogenic variants of the *IGF2* and *CDKN1C* genes (data not shown), aberrant methylation of *MEG3* at 14q32.2 and maternal UPDs of chromosomes 14, 16 and 20, were not found (online supplementary figure S4C and S5). Sequencing analysis of *ZFP57* also did not detect any variation (data not shown). Furthermore, the karyotype of this patient was also normal (data not shown). Taken together, the LOM of the ICR1 centromeric block was strongly suggested as a causative alteration in this patient.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we analysed 10 DMSs within the *IGF2/H19* domain in five SRS patients with isolated ICR1-LOM. We found that four patients showed an evenly reduced DNA methylation at all DMSs. However, one patient (SRS-s09) showed a peculiar pattern of LOM, which was LOM at DMSs within the centromeric region of the *IGF2/H19* domain and maintained methylation at DMSs within the telomeric region. This peculiar LOM suggested several important points in terms of an imprinting mechanism and molecular diagnosis. First, DNA methylation of the two repeat blocks within ICR1 may be independently regulated. CTCF, OCT4 and SOX2, which bind to their own motifs in ICR1, are important trans-factors for unmethylated maternal ICR1.⁸ ZFP57 and ZBTB33 are also important for the maintenance of the methylated paternal ICR1.^{5 6} Binding of these factors is thought to regulate the methylation of the entire ICR1 region. We did not find any pathogenic variants in these binding motifs or any putative variants associated with LOM of paternal ICR1. We also did not find any variation of *ZFP57*. This suggests the possible existence of other regulatory element(s) outside of ICR1, probably bound by a known or unknown trans-factor, which independently regulate the methylation of the centromeric and telomeric ICR1 blocks. In addition, the difference in methylation status between the two blocks within ICR1 also suggests that the centromeric block may be more susceptible to LOM than the telomeric block.

Secondly, DNA methylation of *IGF2*-DMR0 and *IGF2*-DMR2 may be under the control of the centromeric block of ICR1, but not the telomeric block. Additionally, DNA methylation of the *H19*-promoter may be under the control of the telomeric block, but not the centromeric block. In general, somatic DMRs (eg, *IGF2*-DMRs) are established after fertilisation in response to nearby gametic DMRs (eg, ICR1).^{2,17} In mice, ICR1 is needed on the maternal allele to protect the *Igf2*-DMRs from methylation after fertilisation through long-range chromatin interactions, although it is unknown whether each half of the mouse ICR1 has different functions.¹⁸ The results observed for SRS-9 suggest that half of the ICR is sufficient to affect the establishment of somatic DMRs, including the *IGF2*-DMRs and the *H19*-promoter.

Thirdly, *IGF2* expression may be repressed by LOM of the centromeric block, and this reduction may be sufficient for the clinical features of SRS to develop. Of note, we were unable to examine *IGF2* expression because of the unavailability of RNAs from *IGF2*-expressing tissues. We presume that LOM of the centromeric block induced the insulator activity of ICR1 and the change of the higher-order chromatin conformation of the *IGF2*/*H19* domain, resulting in *IGF2* repression in this patient.^{8,19} Since the methylation of the *H19*-promoter was normal, *H19* expression is expected to be maintained at a normal level.

Lastly, the methylation statuses of both the centromeric and telomeric blocks must be analysed to reach a correct molecular diagnosis. Although peculiar LOM patterns may be rare, CTSs in both the centromeric and telomeric blocks must be simultaneously analysed to avoid a molecular misdiagnosis.

The peculiar LOM of the patient with SRS reveals unknown mechanisms of ICR1 and emphasises the need for precise molecular methods to appropriately determine the methylation status of ICR1. The new mechanisms of ICR1 should be elucidated to understand the imprinting mechanism of the *IGF2*/*H19* domain.

Acknowledgements We thank the Analytical Research Center for Experimental Sciences, Saga University, for the experimental support.

Contributors KH and HS designed the study, interpreted the results and wrote the manuscript; HW, HT and TT provided the patient samples; YT, SH, HY and KH performed almost all experiments. All coauthors participated in the review of the manuscript and have approved the final version.

Funding This study was supported in part by: grants from the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) program of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (16K09970 to KH; 17K08687 to HS); grants for Practical Research Projects for Rare/Intractable Diseases from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) (17ek0109280h0001, 17ek0109234h0001 and 17ek0109205h0001 to HS); and a grant for Research on Intractable Diseases from the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare (H29-nanchitou(nan)-ippan-025 to HS).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval This study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Human Genome and Gene Analyses of the Faculty of Medicine, Saga University.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Hidenobu Soejima <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5076-6563>

REFERENCES

- 1 Wakeling EL, Brioude F, Lokulo-Sodipe O, O'Connell SM, Salem J, Blik J, Canton APM, Chrzanoska KH, Davies JH, Dias RP, Dubern B, Elbracht M, Giabicani E, Grimberg A, Grønsvov K, Hokken-Koelega ACS, Jorge AA, Kagami M, Linglart A, Maghnie M, Mohnike K, Monk D, Moore GE, Murray PG, Ogata T, Petit IO, Russo S, Said E, Toumba M, Tümer Z, Binder G, Eggermann T, Harbison MD, Temple IK, Mackay DJG, Netchine I. Diagnosis and management of Silver-Russell syndrome: first international consensus statement. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2017;13:105–24.
- 2 Soejima H, Higashimoto K. Epigenetic and genetic alterations of the imprinting disorder Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and related disorders. *J Hum Genet* 2013;58:402–9.
- 3 Monk D, Mackay DJG, Eggermann T, Maher ER, Riccio A. Genomic imprinting disorders: lessons on how genome, epigenome and environment interact. *Nat Rev Genet* 2019;20:235–48.
- 4 Abi Habib W, Azzi S, Brioude F, Steunou V, Thibaud N, Das Neves C, Le Jule M, Chantot-Bastaraud S, Keren B, Lyonnet S, Michot C, Rossi M, Pasquier L, Gicquel C, Rossignol S, Le Bouc Y, Netchine I. Extensive investigation of the *Igf2*/*H19* imprinting control region reveals novel OCT4/SOX2 binding site defects associated with specific methylation patterns in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet* 2014;23:5763–73.
- 5 Bohne F, Langer D, Martiné U, Eider CS, Cencic R, Begemann M, Elbracht M, Bülow L, Eggermann T, Zechner U, Pelletier J, Zabel BU, Enklaar T, Prawitt D. Kaiso mediates human ICR1 methylation maintenance and *H19* transcriptional fine regulation. *Clin Epigenetics* 2016;8:47.
- 6 Sparago A, Cerrato F, Riccio A. Is ZFP57 binding to *H19*/*IGF2*:IG-DMR affected in Silver-Russell syndrome? *Clin Epigenetics* 2018;10:23.
- 7 Kraft F, Wesseler K, Begemann M, Kurth I, Elbracht M, Eggermann T. Novel familial distal imprinting centre 1 (11p15.5) deletion provides further insights in imprinting regulation. *Clin Epigenetics* 2019;11:30.
- 8 Shmela ME, Gicquel CF. Human diseases versus mouse models: insights into the regulation of genomic imprinting at the human 11p15/mouse distal chromosome 7 region. *J Med Genet* 2013;50:11–20.
- 9 Demars J, Shmela ME, Rossignol S, Okabe J, Netchine I, Azzi S, Cabrol S, Le Caignec C, David A, Le Bouc Y, El-Osta A, Gicquel C. Analysis of the *Igf2*/*H19* imprinting control region uncovers new genetic defects, including mutations of OCT-binding sequences, in patients with 11p15 fetal growth disorders. *Hum Mol Genet* 2010;19:803–14.
- 10 Higashimoto K, Jozaki K, Kosho T, Matsubara K, Fuke T, Yamada D, Yatsuki H, Maeda T, Ohtsuka Y, Nishioka K, Joh K, Koseki H, Ogata T, Soejima H. A novel de novo point mutation of the OCT-binding site in the *IGF2*/*H19*-imprinting control region in a Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome patient. *Clin Genet* 2014;86:539–44.
- 11 Sun F, Higashimoto K, Awaji A, Ohishi K, Nishizaki N, Tanoue Y, Aoki S, Watanabe H, Yatsuki H, Soejima H. The extent of DNA methylation anticipation due to a genetic defect in ICR1 in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *J Hum Genet* 2019;64:937–43.
- 12 Li X, Ito M, Zhou F, Youngson N, Zuo X, Leder P, Ferguson-Smith AC. A maternal-zygotic effect gene, *Zfp57*, maintains both maternal and paternal imprints. *Dev Cell* 2008;15:547–57.
- 13 Quenneville S, Verde G, Corsinotti A, Kapopoulou A, Jakobsson J, Offner S, Baglivo I, Pedone PV, Grimaldi G, Riccio A, Trono D. In embryonic stem cells, ZFP57/KAP1 recognize a methylated hexanucleotide to affect chromatin and DNA methylation of imprinting control regions. *Mol Cell* 2011;44:361–72.
- 14 Azzi S, Steunou V, Tost J, Rossignol S, Thibaud N, Das Neves C, Le Jule M, Habib WA, Blaise A, Koudou Y, Busato F, Le Bouc Y, Netchine I. Exhaustive methylation analysis revealed uneven profiles of methylation at *IGF2*/*ICR1*/*H19* 11p15 loci in Russell silver syndrome. *J Med Genet* 2015;52:53–60.
- 15 Hidaka H, Higashimoto K, Aoki S, Mishima H, Hayashida C, Maeda T, Koga Y, Yatsuki H, Joh K, Noshiro H, Iwakiri R, Kawaguchi A, Yoshiura K-I, Fujimoto K, Soejima H. Comprehensive methylation analysis of imprinting-associated differentially methylated regions in colorectal cancer. *Clin Epigenetics* 2018;10:150.
- 16 Yatsuki H, Higashimoto K, Jozaki K, Koide K, Okada J, Watanabe Y, Okamoto N, Tsuno Y, Yoshida Y, Ueda K, Shimizu K, Ohashi H, Mukai T, Soejima H. Novel mutations of CDKN1C in Japanese patients with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Genes Genomics* 2013;35:141–7.
- 17 Ferguson-Smith AC. Genomic imprinting: the emergence of an epigenetic paradigm. *Nat Rev Genet* 2011;12:565–75.
- 18 Lopes S, Lewis A, Hajkova P, Dean W, Oswald J, Forné T, Murrell A, Constância M, Bartolomei M, Walter J, Reik W. Epigenetic modifications in an imprinting cluster are controlled by a hierarchy of DMRs suggesting long-range chromatin interactions. *Hum Mol Genet* 2003;12:295–305.
- 19 Nativio R, Sparago A, Ito Y, Weksberg R, Riccio A, Murrell A. Disruption of genomic neighbourhood at the imprinted *Igf2*-*H19* locus in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and Silver-Russell syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet* 2011;20:1363–74.