RESEARCH ARTICLE



Assessment of Expression of Homeobox A5 in Endometrial Cancer on the mRNA and Protein Level



Konrad Dziobek^{1,*}, Marcin Oplawski², Nikola Zmarzły^{3,4}, Beniamin O. Gabarek^{1,3}, Robert Kiełbasiński⁵, Kamil Kiełbasiński^{6,7}, Przemysław Kieszkowski⁸, Karol Talkowski⁹ and Dariusz Boroń^{1,2,3,10}

¹Maria Sklodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology Krakow Branch, 11 Garncarska st. 31-115 Krakow, Poland; ²Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics with Gynecologic Oncology, Ludwik Rydygier Memorial Specialized Hospital, Kraków, Poland; ³Department of Histology, Cytophysiology and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine in Zabrze, University of Technology in Katowice, Zabrze, Poland; ⁴Department of Molecular Biology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences in Sosnowiec, Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, Katowice, Poland; ⁵Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Ward, Health Center in Mikołów, Mikołów, Poland; ⁶Pavol Jozef Šafárik University in Košice, Košice, Slovak Republic; ⁷Department of Obsterics and Gynecology in Ruda Slaska, Medical University of Silesia, Ruda Slaska, Poland; ⁸Voivodeship Specialist Hospital in Wloclawek, Wloclawek, Poland; ⁹Psychiatric Hospital in Olsztyn, Poland; ¹⁰Faculty of Health Science, Public Higher Medical Professional School in Opole, Opole, Poland

Abstract: *Background:* Endometrial cancer is one of the most common gynecological cancer in the developed countries and occurs mainly in postmenopausal women. Angiogenesis is important for cancer formation as it provides nutrients for growing tumor mass. Most tumors do not show detectable Homeobox A5 (HOXA5 level), suggesting its potential role as a cancer suppressor. It was demonstrated that HOXA5 is involved in the progression of various types of cancer and the loss of its expression correlates with higher pathological grade and poorer outcome.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: September 03, 2019 Revised: October 20, 2019 Accepted: November 20, 2019

DOI: 10.2174/1389201021666191227121627



Objective: The aim of the study was to evaluate HOXA5 expression at transcriptome and protein levels.

Materials and Methods: The study enrolled 45 women diagnosed with endometrial cancer and 15 without neoplastic changes. The histopathological examination allowed us to divide cancer tissue samples according to the degree of histological differentiation: G1, 17; G2, 15; G3, 13. The expression of the HOXA5 protein was determined by immunohistochemistry. Microarray and RT-qPCR techniques were used to assess *HOXA5* expression at the mRNA level.

Results: The reaction to the HOXA5 protein was only visible in glandular cells in G1 endometrial cancer and was lower compared to the control. In grades 2 and 3, reactions were noted at the limit of the method's sensitivity. In addition, reduced *HOXA5* expression was observed at the transcriptome level.

Conclusion: HOXA5 may become a potential complementary molecular marker, allowing early detection of neoplastic changes in the endometrium. It also seems that detection of HOXA5 at the mRNA and protein levels may be helpful in improving the accuracy of diagnosis and planning effective oncological therapy.

Keywords: Endometrial cancer, HOXA5, immunohistochemistry, RT-qPCR, microarray, mRNA level.

1. INTRODUCTION

Endometrial cancer is one of the most common gynecological cancer in the developed countries and occurs mainly in postmenopausal women [1]. It can be divided into three grades [G1-G3] according to the degree of histological differentiation, as recommended by the Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO). G1 demonstrates \leq 5% solid growth pattern, G2 shows between 6 and 50% solid growth pattern, while in G3 >50% solid growth pattern is observed [2].

The homeobox family is a highly conserved group of morphoregulatory genes that, in a coordinated manner, activate or suppress the expression of many genes. It participates in embryonic development, cell differentiation [3, 4], the occurrence of malignant tumors [5], as well as controls angi-

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Maria Sklodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology Krakow Branch, 11 Garncarska st. 31-115 Krakow, Krakow, Poland; E-mail: konraddziobek28@gmail.com

ogenesis in the complex tumor microenvironment. Homeobox A5 (HOXA5), belonging to the HOXA protein family, participates in the development and progression of tumor [6, 7]. In contrast to HOX3, the expression of HOXA5 gene is observed in inactive vessels, whereas it disappears in the vessels associated with the tumor [8]. Forced expression of HoxA5 in activated, cultured vascular endothelial cells leads to a decrease in the proangiogenic receptor (VEGFR2) level with the simultaneous increase in the expression of antiangiogenic factor thrombospondin-2 [9, 10]. In addition, in cultured vascular endothelial cells, HoxA5 reduces migration and endothelial permeability by stabilizing the adherens junctions [11]. It was demonstrated that HOXA5 expression is significantly downregulated in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and correlates with a clinical-stage (TNM), tumor size, and lymph node metastases [12]. Furthermore, the loss of HOXA5 resulted in the limitation of p53 expression in human breast tumors [13]. Reduction of HOXA5 expression was reported in 7 out of 10 cases of breast cancer in women, indicating a correlation of its expression with disease progression. It is also worth paying attention to the role of HOXA5 as an indicator of precancerous changes in the ovaries, contributing to the ovarian epithelial inclusion cysts. These observations suggest that the gene encoding HOXA5 acts as a suppressor gene. Reduced HOXA5 expression in biopsies of colorectal tumors correlates with elevated levels of nuclear β -catenin, and therefore HOXA5 has an important role in signal transduction of the WNT/β-catenin pathway. The critical role of HOXA5 in the said cascade is supported by the fact that HOXA5 expression is observed in developing lungs [13, 14]. All these findings indicate that HOXA5 may have a high therapeutic potential, reducing tumor angiogenesis and stabilizing hyperpermeable tumor vascularization.

The aim of the study was to evaluate HOXA5 expression at transcriptome and protein levels using immunohistochemistry, microarray and RT-qPCR techniques.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The material for the study included endometrial tissue samples collected from patients who had undergone a hysterectomy. The study group consisted of 45 women diagnosed with endometrioid endometrial cancer, while 15 women without neoplastic changes constituted a control group. The groups of patients were characterized by age, height, weight and BM, which were described as mean \pm standard deviation: (C = 54.53 ± 10.41 years, $1.62 \text{ m} \pm 0.07 \text{ m}$, 73.79kg \pm 19.22kg, 28.21 \pm 7,91 - overweight; G1 = 66.64 \pm 6.07 years, 1.61 m \pm 0.04 m, 74.38kg \pm 14.32kg, 28.77 \pm 5.73 - overweight; $G2 = 67.4 \pm 11.89$ years, 1.57 m ± 0.05 m, 84.77kg ± 25.63 kg, 34.63 ± 11.63 - class I obesity; G3 = 63.38 ± 8.16 years, 1.58 m \pm 0.06 m, 83.15 kg \pm 10.16kg, 33.87 ± 4.87 - class I obesity). The criteria for exclusion from the study group included non-endometrioid endometrial cancer, coexisting cervical cancer, adenocarcinoma with squamous elements, diagnosed endometriosis or adenomyosis, extreme obesity [BMI > 40] and the use of hormone therapy in 24 months prior to surgery. The histopathological examination allowed to divide the study group according to the degree of histological differentiation: well-differentiated (G1; <5% solid cancer) 17 patients, moderately differentiated (G2; 6-50% solid cancer) 15 patients and poorly differentiated (G3; >50% solid cancer) 13 patients. Approval for the study was obtained from the Bioethical Committee of the Medical University of Silesia, no. KNW/0022/KB/237/16.

The paraffin blocks were provided by the Laboratory of Pathomorphology of Beskid Center of Oncology in Bielsko-Biała. Rabbit anti-HOXA5 polyclonal antibody (Novus Biological) was used to perform immunohistochemical staining of the prepared slides. Antigens were retrieved by incubating slides in citrate buffer (10 mM, pH 6.0) at 95°C for 30 min in a water bath and then cooling for 30 min. The next step included blocking the endogenous peroxidase activity with 0.3% (v/v) hydrogen peroxide and 0.1% NaN₃ in PBS for 10 min. To block non-specific antibody binding sites, 1% BSA solution in PBS was used for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was then removed and the primary antibody was applied to the slides. The incubation was carried out for 20h at 4°C. Biotinylated secondary antibodies were applied to the slides, followed by avidin-biotinylated peroxidase complex (Vectastain Elite ABC Kit, Vector Laboratories). Diaminobenzidine (DAB) was used to visualize the bound antibodies, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The slides were then stained with Gill's hematoxylin, dehydrated, and cover-slipped. Negative controls consisted of slides in which the primary antibody was replaced with rabbit IgG at the same concentration as the primary antibody. Nikon Eclipse E200 light microscope with Nikon DS-Fi1 digital camera was used to examine the prepared slides and take pictures under 200x magnification. In total, 15 photos were taken for each paraffin block (3 slides, 5 photos for each of them). In each positively stained cell, the intensity of staining was measured as the optical density of the reaction product. To calculate the average optical density, the image analysis program NISAR (Nikon) was used.

The expression profile of HOXA5 was also evaluated at the mRNA level using microarray technique (HG-U133A; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The procedures were explained in detail in our previous paper [15]. In addition, the real-time quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RTqPCR) was used to validate the results of HOXA5 expression obtained by the microarray technique. It was carried out using SensiFAST SYBR No-ROX One-Step Kit (Bioline, London, UK) and OpticonTM DNA Engine Sequence Detector (MJ Research Inc., Watertown, MA, USA) as recommended by the manufacturer. 18S rRNA was used as an endogenous control. The reaction was carried out using the following primer pairs: HOXA5 (forward: 5' TGACTAGTGACTCTGTGATG 3', reverse: 5' CACAGTTTGCTTAAAACAGC 3'), 18S rRNA (forward: 5' CGGACAGGATTGACAGATTGA 3', reverse: 5' GCCAGAGTCTCGTTCGTTAT 3'). The RT-qPCR thermal profile included: reverse transcription (45°C for 10 min), polymerase activation (95°C for 2 min), 40 cycles including denaturation (95°C for 5 s), annealing (60°C for 10 s) and elongation (72° C for 5 s).

Statistical analysis was carried out using the Statistica 13.0 PL software (StatSoft, Cracow, Poland). The normality of the data distribution was confirmed with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Then, the one-way ANOVA was conducted. The values obtained using immunohistochemistry are presented as mean \pm standard deviation.



Fig. (1). The results of HOXA5 expression at protein (A) and mRNA levels (B-C). C, control; G1-G3, grades of endometrial cancer.

The microarray data was analyzed using GeneSpring 13.0 software (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) and DNA Microarray Integromics analysis platform PL-Grid Infrastructure (http://www.plgrid.pl/en).

3. RESULTS

Table 1 and Fig. (1 A-C) show the results of HOXA5 expression at both mRNA and protein levels. HOXA5 protein was well expressed in the control samples. The reaction to this protein was only visible in glandular cells (Fig. 2). It was found that HOXA5 protein expression appeared only in G1 endometrial cancer and was lower by about 31% compared to the control. In the remaining grades, G2 and G3, reactions at the limit of the method's sensitivity were observed. Taking into account the microarray data, it can be observed that regardless of endometrial cancer grade, HOXA5 level was reduced compared to control. The obtained results showed that as the cancer grade increases, HOXA5 transcriptional activity decreases (from -1.73 in G1)

to -3.16 in G3). The last part of the molecular analysis was RT-qPCR to validate the *HOXA5* microarray profile. The results confirmed the direction of changes in the *HOXA5* level determined during microarray analysis (p<0.05). However, the differences in the *HOXA5* expression pattern observed between G2 and G3 cancer in RTqPCR appear to be higher compared to microarray analysis (Table 1, Fig. 1). Fig. (3) shows the melting curve for *HOXA5*, which confirms the specificity of RTqPCR.

4. DISCUSSION

Angiogenesis is a complex, multistep process not only important for embryonic development, wound healing, but also cancer formation as it provides nutrients for growing tumor mass [11]. Cancer can activate angiogenesis through multiple signaling pathways and mediators [16]; therefore it is important to carry out studies to identify new molecular markers and therapeutic targets.

Table 1.	Expression	of HOXA5 a	it the protein	and mRNA levels.
----------	------------	------------	----------------	------------------

Group	Protein		mRNA				
			Microarrays		RT-qPCR [HOXA5 Copies/1µg RNA]		
	М	SD	FC	Up/Down	М	SD	
С	162.01	13.16	-	-	50405	2369	
G1	112.47*	9.84	-1.73	down	12433*	385	
G2	-	-	-3.53	down	8237*	720	
G3	-	-	-3.16	down	4749*	535	

M, mean; SD, standard deviation; FC, fold change; C, control; G, grade of endometrial cancer. *p<0.05 vs. C group.



Fig. (2). Immunohistochemical localization of HOXA5 protein in different grades of endometrial cancer and control. C, control; G1-G3, grades of endometrial cancer. Positive reaction - brown color. 200x magnification.

Most tumors do not show detectable HOXA5 levels, suggesting its potential role as a cancer suppressor. It was demonstrated that HOXA5 is involved in the progression of various types of cancer and the loss of its expression correlates with higher pathological grade and poorer outcomes [17]. A decreased level of HOXA5 was found in breast, colorectal, prostate cancer, as well as in gastric cancer cells [18-21]. In our study, HOXA5 expression was determined at both mRNA and protein levels, which gave the opportunity to determine changes in its level at two levels of genetic information flow. In addition, a similar expression pattern of *HOXA5* results from two independent techniques realistically reducing the risk of false-positive and false-negative results. We observed a statistically lower level of HOXA5 protein in G1 endometrial cancer than in the control group. In turn, HOXA5 expression was not found in biopsies of G2 and G3 endometrial cancer. The relatively high inhibition of HOXA5 expression seems to indicate that as the cancer grade increases, the cells present different metabolism, protein expression and triggered signal cascades [22]. It is there-



Fig. (3). HOXA5 melting curve that confirms the specificity of RT qPCR. (A higher resolution/colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article).

fore important to evaluate variances in HOXA5 expression in different grades of endometrial cancer. In addition, it should be remembered that the process of neoplastic transformation is extremely dynamic and varies to some extent in different types of cancer [23]. The study of Ordóñez-Morán et al. using IHC staining in colorectal cancer showed a low level of HOXA5 in stem cells and high expression in differentiated villi, suggesting that HOXA5 is strongly associated with regulation of metastatic potential. They also indicated that HOXA5 could be a promising target for a new modern therapeutic strategy [24]. It is possible that the expression of HOXA5 observed in our analysis may suggest that as the cancer grade increases, the pool of stem cells forming the tumor microenvironment increases and/or the cells forming the tumor mass and its microenvironment express genes and proteins characteristic of the stem cells. It would confirm an increase in metastatic potential with a decrease in the degree of endometrial cancer differentiation. Therefore, our observations may be a premise to continue research on the significance of stem cells in endometrial cancer.

It should be noted that epigenetic mechanisms regulating gene expression and signaling pathways play an important role in carcinogenesis [25, 26]. Watson et al. suggested that one of the likely reasons for the reduction of HOXA5 expression at the mRNA level could be hypermethylation of the HOXA5 gene promoter sequence. In addition, the simultaneous excessive methylation of the HOX genes, p16 and MGMT leads to an increasing number of aberrant cells at the stage of tumor stage [27]. In turn, Li et al. analyzed changes in the methylation profile of HOXA2, HOXA5, HOXA6 in colorectal cancer. The highest methylation level was observed for HOXA2 and HOXA5 in the first, non-invasive stage of this cancer. They observed that the expression of three HOXA isoforms was downregulated [28]. Methylation has been described as an extremely important epigenetic modification during the early stages of tumorigenesis, which probably determines the metastasis potential of cancer cells [29]. Our observations are in line with the findings of Wang et al. who showed a decrease in HOXA5 expression using RT-qPCR and Western blot. They also pointed out that HOXA5 may be a factor inhibiting the development of cervical cancer, which is most likely associated with the function of HOXA5 as a regulator of the AKT/p27 pathway [30].

Taking into account the role of HOXA5 as a cancer suppressor [17] and our results obtained using microarrays, RTqPCR and IHC staining, it appears that G2 and G3 cancer cells have a higher proliferate potential compared to G1 samples. In studies on invasive breast cancer, it was reported that HOXA5 is expressed in physiological vessels, but not in angiogenic vessels associated with cancer [31]. Cuevas *et al.* demonstrated that HOXA5 expression in endothelial cells significantly reduced angiogenesis during cancer progression and inhibited transition into the dysplastic phenotype, accompanied by an increase in thrombospondin-2 level and a decrease in VEGFA expression [10]. In order to limit the progression of cancer, an antiangiogenic approach focused on the restoration of HOXA5 expression may be proposed.

In this study, HOXA5 protein expression has not been observed in G2 and G3 endometrial cancer samples, which may be due to increasing the role of post-transcriptional regulation. One of the widely described mechanisms involved in gene expression changes is microRNA molecules (miRNAs). Through sequence-specific silencing, they affect processes such as cell differentiation and regulation of signal cascades [32]. Their importance is emphasized especially in the context of the neoplastic transformation [33, 34] and molecular marker systems [35]. Liu et al. reported that miR-196a suppressed the expression of HOXA5 in non-small cell lung cancer at both mRNA and protein levels, leading to the promotion of cell proliferation, migration and invasion [36]. Therefore, it seems likely that with the advancement of endometrial cancer, the activity of miRNAs increases, which results in the lack of HOXA5 expression. Finding the HOXA5 protein only in G1 endometrial cancer highlights two important aspects. First, it will allow early detection of neoplastic changes of the endometrium, increasing the possibility of using HOXA5 as a complementary molecular marker. Secondly, a statistically significant difference in the HOXA5 protein level between grade 1 samples and control may indicate that miRNAs potentially regulating HOXA5 expression at an early stage of endometrial cancer development are silenced [37].

It should be noted that the analysis carried out in this study allows a comprehensive assessment of changes in HOXA5 expression in endometrial cancer biopsies. The strengths of our research include the use of modern and complex molecular biology methods. In addition, the inclusion and exclusion criteria are very strict, which allowed to create a homogeneous group and obtain reliable results. Changes in the expression profile of HOXA5 determined using microarrays were confirmed by RT-qPCR. Admittedly, it may seem that the size of individual groups [C, G1-G3] is relatively small, but it is associated with study eligibility criteria. The molecular analysis carried out does not involve additional burden for patients, as tissue samples are taken as a standard for histopathological examination [38]. The extension of the analysis to recognize changes in the expression of selected factors in the context of endometrial cancer will allow the classification of obtained biopsies to the appropriate cancer grade [G1-G3]. This is due to the fact that molecular changes are ahead of phenotypic changes [39].

CONCLUSION

In summary, the present study at the protein and mRNA level indicates an important role of HOXA5 expression in endometrial cancer. Our results and observations of other researchers have suggested that HOXA5 expression is lowered regardless of the cancer type. Epigenetic mechanisms, *i.e.* DNA methylation and miRNAs, seem to play a key role in HOXA5 expression regulation. Furthermore, it can be assumed that the expression pattern of HOXA5 in endometrial cancer samples suggests that as the cancer grade increases, the percentage of stem cells forming the tumor mass and micro-environment and/or cells that express stem cell markers also increases. Therefore, a thorough analysis is necessary.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICI-PATE

Approval of the Bioethical Committee of the Medical University of Silesia, no. KNW/0022/KB/237/16 has been obtained for this study.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals were used in the study. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed consent was obtained from all of the patients recruited.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All authors were responsible for the concept and design of the study, collection and collation of data, analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the article, reviewing, and final reviewing of this article and graphics performance. We thank Wojciech Peszek for comments that greatly improved the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ryan, A.J.; Susil, B.; Jobling, T.W.; Oehler, M.K. Endometrial cancer. *Cell Tissue Res.*, **2005**, *322*(1), 53-61.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00441-005-1109-5 PMID: 15947972
- [2] Helpman, L.; Kupets, R.; Covens, A.; Saad, R.S.; Khalifa, M.A.; Ismiil, N.; Ghorab, Z.; Dubé, V.; Nofech-Mozes, S. Assessment of endometrial sampling as a predictor of final surgical pathology in endometrial cancer. *Br. J. Cancer*, **2014**, *110*(3), 609-615. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2013.766 PMID: 24366295
- [3] Liu, W.J.; Zhang, T.; Guo, Q.L.; Liu, C.Y.; Bai, Y.Q. Effect of ATRA on the expression of HOXA5 gene in K562 cells and its relationship with cell cycle and apoptosis. *Mol. Med. Rep.*, 2016, *13*(5), 4221-4228.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2016.5086 PMID: 27052693
- [4] Agger, K.; Cloos, P.A.; Christensen, J.; Pasini, D.; Rose, S.; Rappsilber, J.; Issaeva, I.; Canaani, E.; Salcini, A.E.; Helin, K. UTX and JMJD3 are histone H3K27 demethylases involved in HOX gene regulation and development. *Nature*, **2007**, *449*(7163), 731-734.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06145 PMID: 17713478

- [5] Liu, W.J.; Huang, M.X.; Guo, Q.L.; Chen, J.H.; Shi, H. Effect of human cytomegalovirus infection on the expression of Hoxb2 and Hoxb4 genes in the developmental process of cord blood erythroid progenitors. *Mol. Med. Rep.*, **2011**, *4*(6), 1307-1311. http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2011.576 PMID: 21879259
- [6] Bagadi, S.A.; Prasad, C.P.; Kaur, J.; Srivastava, A.; Prashad, R.; Gupta, S.D.; Ralhan, R. Clinical significance of promoter hypermethylation of RASSF1A, RARbeta2, BRCA1 and HOXA5 in breast cancers of Indian patients. *Life Sci.*, 2008, 82(25-26), 1288-1292.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2008.04.020 PMID: 18538349

- [7] Huang, H.P.; Liu, W.J.; Guo, Q.L.; Bai, Y.Q. Effect of silencing HOXA5 gene expression using RNA interference on cell cycle and apoptosis in Jurkat cells. *Int. J. Mol. Med.*, **2016**, *37*(3), 669-678. http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2016.2480 PMID: 26846409
- [8] Myers, C.; Charboneau, A.; Cheung, I.; Hanks, D.; Boudreau, N. Sustained expression of homeobox D10 inhibits angiogenesis. *Am. J. Pathol.*, 2002, *161*(6), 2099-2109. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64488-4
 PMID: 12466126
- [9] Chen, Y.Q.; Yang, T.Q.; Zhou, B.; Yang, M.X.; Feng, H.J.; Wang, Y.L. HOXA5 overexpression promotes osteosarcoma cell apoptosis through the p53 and p38α MAPK pathway. *Gene*, **2019**, *689*, 18-23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2018.11.081 PMID: 30521886
- [10] Cuevas, I.; Layman, H.; Coussens, L.; Boudreau, N. Sustained endothelial expression of HoxA5 *in vivo* impairs pathological angiogenesis and tumor progression. *PLoS One*, **2015**, *10*(3), e0121720. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121720 PMID: 25821967
- [11] Arderiu, G.; Cuevas, I.; Chen, A.; Carrio, M.; East, L.; Boudreau, N.J. HoxA5 stabilizes adherens junctions via increased Akt1. Cell Adhes. Migr., 2007, 1(4), 185-195. http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/cam.1.4.5448 PMID: 19262140
- Zhang, M.L.; Nie, F.Q.; Sun, M.; Xia, R.; Xie, M.; Lu, K.H.; Li, W. HOXA5 indicates poor prognosis and suppresses cell proliferation by regulating p21 expression in non small cell lung cancer. *Tumour Biol.*, 2015, 36(5), 3521-3531. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13277-014-2988-4 PMID: 25549794
- [13] Jeannotte, L.; Gotti, F.; Landry-Truchon, K. Hoxa5, a key player in development and disease. J. Dev. Biol., 2016, 4(2), 13. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jdb4020013 PMID: 29615582
- [14] Wang, C.C.; Su, K.Y.; Chen, H.Y.; Chang, S.Y.; Shen, C.F.; Hsieh, C.H.; Hong, Q.S.; Chiang, C.C.; Chang, G.C.; Yu, S.L.; Chen, J.J.

HOXA5 inhibits metastasis *via* regulating cytoskeletal remodelling and associates with prolonged survival in non-small-cell lung carcinoma. *PLoS One*, **2015**, *10*(4), e0124191. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124191 PMID: 25875824

- [15] Opławski, M.; Michalski, M.; Witek, A.; Michalski, B.; Zmarzły, N.; Jęda-Golonka, A.; Styblińska, M.; Gola, J.; Kasprzyk-Żyszczyńska, M.; Mazurek, U.; Plewka, A. Identification of a gene expression profile associated with the regulation of angiogenesis in endometrial cancer. *Mol. Med. Rep.*, **2017**, *16*(3), 2547-2555. http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2017.6868 PMID: 28656251
- [16] Abdalla, A.M.E.; Xiao, L.; Ullah, M.W.; Yu, M.; Ouyang, C.; Yang, G. Current challenges of cancer anti-angiogenic therapy and the promise of nanotherapeutics. *Theranostics*, 2018, 8(2), 533-548. http://dx.doi.org/10.7150/thno.21674 PMID: 29290825
- [17] Teo, W.W.; Merino, V.F.; Cho, S.; Korangath, P.; Liang, X.; Wu, R.C.; Neumann, N.M.; Ewald, A.J.; Sukumar, S. HOXA5 determines cell fate transition and impedes tumor initiation and progression in breast cancer through regulation of E-cadherin and CD24. *Oncogene*, **2016**, *35*(42), 5539-5551. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/onc.2016.95 PMID: 27157614
- [18] Chen, H.; Chung, S.; Sukumar, S. HOXA5-induced apoptosis in breast cancer cells is mediated by caspases 2 and 8. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 2004, 24(2), 924-935. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/MCB.24.2.924-935.2004
 PMID: 14701762
- [19] Raman, V.; Martensen, S.A.; Reisman, D.; Evron, E.; Odenwald, W.F.; Jaffee, E.; Marks, J.; Sukumar, S. Compromised HOXA5 function can limit p53 expression in human breast tumours. *Nature*, 2000, 405(6789), 974-978. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35016125 PMID: 10879542
- [20] Rodini, C.O.; Xavier, F.C.; Paiva, K.B.; De Souza Setúbal Destro, M.F.; Moyses, R.A.; Michaluarte, P.; Carvalho, M.B.; Fukuyama, E.E.; Tajara, E.H.; Okamoto, O.K.; Nunes, F.D. Head And Neck Genome Project Gencapo. Homeobox gene expression profile indicates HOXA5 as a candidate prognostic marker in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Int. J. Oncol.*, **2012**, *40*(4), 1180-1188. http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2011.1321 PMID: 22227861
- [21] Tan, S.H.; Barker, N. Stemming colorectal cancer growth and metastasis, HOXA5 forces cancer stem cells to differentiate. *Cancer Cell*, 2015, 28(6), 683-685.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2015.11.004 PMID: 26678334
 [22] Amant, F.; Moerman, P.; Neven, P.; Timmerman, D.; Van Limbergen, E.; Vergote, I. Endometrial cancer. *Lancet*, 2005, 366(9484), 491-505.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67063-8
 PMID: 16084259
- [23] Vilarrasa-Blasi, R.; Soler-Vila, P.; Verdaguer-Dot, N.; Russiñol, N.; Di Stefano, M.; Chapaprieta, V.; Prosper, F. Dynamics of genome architecture and chromatin function during human B cell differentiation and neoplastic transformation. *bioRxiv*, **2019**, 764910.
- [24] Ordóñez-Morán, P.; Dafflon, C.; Imajo, M.; Nishida, E.; Huelsken, J. HOXA5 counteracts stem cell traits by inhibiting Wnt signaling in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Cell*, **2015**, *28*(6), 815-829. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2015.11.001 PMID: 26678341
- [25] Chatterjee, A.; Rodger, E.J.; Eccles, M.R. Epigenetic drivers of tumourigenesis and cancer metastasis. *Semin. Cancer Biol.*, 2018, 51, 149-159. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2017.08.004
- PMID: 28807546
 [26] Pidsley, R.; Lawrence, M.G.; Zotenko, E.; Niranjan, B.; Statham, A.; Song, J.; Chabanon, R.M.; Qu, W.; Wang, H.; Richards, M.; Nair, S.S.; Armstrong, N.J.; Nim, H.T.; Papargiris, M.; Balanathan, P.; French, H.; Peters, T.; Norden, S.; Ryan, A.; Pedersen, J.; Kench, J.; Daly, R.J.; Horvath, L.G.; Stricker, P.; Frydenberg, M.; Taylor, R.A.; Stirzaker, C.; Risbridger, G.P.; Clark, S.J. Enduring

Res., 2018, 28(5), 625-638.

epigenetic landmarks define the cancer microenvironment. Genome

Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, 2020, Vol. 21, No. 7 641

http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.229070.117 PMID: 29650553

- [27] Watson, R.E.; Curtin, G.M.; Hellmann, G.M.; Doolittle, D.J.; Goodman, J.I. Increased DNA methylation in the HoxA5 promoter region correlates with decreased expression of the gene during tumor promotion. *Mol. Carcinog.*, 2004, *41*(1), 54-66. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mc.20043 PMID: 15352125
- [28] Li, D.; Bai, Y.; Feng, Z.; Li, W.; Yang, C.; Guo, Y.; Lin, C.; Zhang, Y.; He, Q.; Hu, G.; Li, X. Study of promoter methylation patterns of HOXA2, HOXA5 and HOXA6 and its clinicopathological characteristics in colorectal cancer. *Front. Oncol.*, **2019**, *9*, 394.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00394 PMID: 31165042

- [29] Issa, J.P. Cancer prevention: Epigenetics steps up to the plate. *Cancer Prev. Res. (Phila.)*, 2008, 1(4), 219-222. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-08-0029 PMID: 19138962
- [30] Wang, Z.; Yu, C.; Wang, H. HOXA5 inhibits the proliferation and induces the apoptosis of cervical cancer cells *via* regulation of protein kinase B and p27. *Oncol. Rep.*, **2019**, *41*(2), 1122-1130.
 PMID: 30483748
- [31] Rhoads, K.; Arderiu, G.; Charboneau, A.; Hansen, S.L.; Hoffman, W.; Boudreau, N. A role for Hox A5 in regulating angiogenesis and vascular patterning. *Lymphat. Res. Biol.*, **2005**, *3*(4), 240-252. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/lrb.2005.3.240 PMID: 16379594
- [32] Romero-Cordoba, S.L.; Salido-Guadarrama, I.; Rodriguez-Dorantes, M.; Hidalgo-Miranda, A. miRNA biogenesis: Biological impact in the development of cancer. *Cancer Biol. Ther.*, 2014, 15(11), 1444-1455. http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/15384047.2014.955442 PMID: 25482951
- [33] Hukowska-Szematowicz, B.; Deptuła, B. Biologiczna rola mikroRNA (miRNA) – nowe dane. Post Biol Kom., 2010, 37, 1-13.
- [34] Kavitha, N.; Vijayarathna, S.; Jothy, S.L.; Oon, C.E.; Chen, Y.; Kanwar, J.R.; Sasidharan, S. MicroRNAs: Biogenesis, roles for carcinogenesis and as potential biomarkers for cancer diagnosis and prognosis. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.*, **2014**, *15*(18), 7489-7497. http://dx.doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2014.15.18.7489 PMID: 25292018
- [35] Liu, X.H.; Lu, K.H.; Wang, K.M.; Sun, M.; Zhang, E.B.; Yang, J.S.; Yin, D.D.; Liu, Z.L.; Zhou, J.; Liu, Z.J.; De, W.; Wang, Z.X. MicroRNA-196a promotes non-small cell lung cancer cell proliferation and invasion through targeting HOXA5. *BMC Cancer*, 2012, *12*, 348.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-12-348 PMID: 22876840

- [36] Wcisło-Dziadecka, D.; Grabarek, B.; Zmarzły, N.; Skubis, A.; Sikora, B.; Kruszniewska-Rajs, C.; Gola, J.; Mazurek, U.; Kucharz, E. Influence of adalimumab on the expression profile of genes associated with the histaminergic system in the skin fibroblast *in vitro. BioMed Res. Int.*, **2018**, 2018, 1582173 http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2018/1582173 PMID: 29487864
- [37] Rudini, N.; Novello, C.; Destro, A.; Riboldi, E.; Donadon, M.; Vicanè L. Maranaki, F.; Bonaelli, M.; Di Tarmasa, L. Bhara
- Viganò, L.; Morenghi, E.; Roncalli, M.; Di Tommaso, L. Phenotypic and molecular changes in nodule-in-nodule hepatocellular carcinoma with pathogenetic implications. *Histopathology*, **2018**, *73*(4), 601-611. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/his.13659 PMID: 29791027

[38] Cohen, S.A.; Pritchard, C.C.; Jarvik, G.P. Lynch syndrome: From screening to diagnosis to treatment in the era of modern molecular oncology. *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet.*, 2019, *20*, 293-307. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genom-083118-015406

PMID: 30848956
[39] Oplawski, M.; Dziobek, K.; Zmarzły, N.; Grabarek, B.O.; Kiełbasiński, R.; Kieszkowski, P.; Januszyk, P.; Tałkowski, K.; Plewka, A.; Boroń, D. Variances in the level of of COX-2 and iN-OS in different grades of endometrial cancer. *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.*, 2020, 21(1), 52-59. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1389201020666190918104105

http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1389201020666190918104105 PMID: 31533599