Aerobic Exercise Capacity and Pulmonary Function in Athletes With and Without Type 1 Diabetes

WILLIAM R. KOMATSU, MS¹ TURIBIO L. BARROS NETO, MD, PHD² ANTONIO R. CHACRA, MD, PHD¹

SERGIO A. DIB, MD, PHD1

OBJECTIVE — To compare the aerobic exercise capacity and pulmonary function between athletes with and without type 1 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — Fifty-one adult age-matched individuals were assessed in random order to the maximum volume of O_2 consumption ($V_{O_{2\text{peak max}}}$) (ml/kg/min), anaerobic threshold (ml/kg/min), peak pulmonary ventilation (*V*E), heart rate (beats per min), time to exhaustion (min), forced vital capacity (FEV) (%), forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1) (%), total lung capacity (TLC) (%), and lung diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DL_{CO}) (%). Individuals were 27 with type 1 diabetes: 15 athletes (ADM) and 12 nonathletes (NADM); and 24 healthy individuals: 12 ADM and 12 NADM. Duration of diabetes was 14.6 \pm 6.2 and 15.2 \pm 6.7 years in ADM and NADM, respectively.

RESULTS — $\text{Vo}_{2\text{peak max}}$ was higher in ADM than in NADM ($P < 0.001$). The anaerobic threshold was lower in subjects with type 1 diabetes than in control subjects (*P* < 0.001). FEV1 was lower in ADM than in other groups (NADM, athletes control, and nonathletes control, $P < 0.001$).

CONCLUSIONS — Aerobic capacity in subjects with type 1 diabetes with programmed exercise is similar to the capacity of normal athletes despite lower anaerobic threshold and FEV1.

Diabetes Care **33:2555–2557, 2010**

ndividuals with type 1 diabetes may
have some impaired fitness-related
components and alterations in their
cardiorespiratory responses to exercise. ndividuals with type 1 diabetes may have some impaired fitness-related components and alterations in their The association of reduced lung function with diabetes has been described for many years (1). The lung may be another organ adversely affected by diabetes. Patients with diabetes demonstrate a restrictive (8–20%) ventilatory defect. However, some of these data are controversial (2–5).

In a previous study, we demonstrated that nonathletic type 1 diabetic patients had decreased lung ventilation associated with decreased maximal $O₂$ consumption and exercising capacity (6). It is currently unclear, however, whether the observed lower aerobic performance is a function of a reduced level of habitual activity or im-

pairment in cardiorespiratory or skeletal muscle function (7). In this article, we will verify whether our previous findings in lung ventilation, maximum volume of $O₂$ consumption (V_{O_{2peak max}), and exercis-} ing capacity are also present in type 1 diabetic patients who engage in regular or systematic exercise.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND

METHODS — We studied 51 adult age-matched individuals. The experimental group included 12 athletes (ADM) and 12 nonathletes (NADM) with type 1 diabetes (8). The control group included 12 healthy athletes and 12 healthy nonathletes. The diabetic groups did not have clinical chronic diabetes complications or anemia. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Federal Univer-

●●●

Corresponding author: William R. Komatsu, william.komatsu@unifesp.br.

sity of São Paulo (number 0604/06). All subjects or respective relatives gave written, informed consent. Anthropometric, laboratory (A1C; high-performance liquid chromatography-normal range: 3.5– 6.0%), and microalbuminuria (12-h overnight immunoturbidimetric method; normal up to 20 μ g/min) test results were recorded at each visit. All individuals were in a nonfasting state and were in the diabetic group two hours after their last routine insulin injection.

Aerobic exercise capacity was conducted using a motorized Life Fitness treadmill model 9100 HR (Schiller Park, IL). A metabolic system model assembled together with a $CO₂/O₂$ gas analyzer (Vista Mini CPX; VacuMed Turbofit, Ventura, CA) was used to determine the subject's aerobic power (assessed as peak *V*Emax).

The test ended (subject showed signs or symptoms of fatigue) at what we considered the V_{O_{2peak max} (ml/kg/min) of ox-} ygen consumption (aerobic exercise capacity). O_2 consumption, CO_2 production, and pulmonary ventilation (*V*E) were monitored at 30-s intervals. The *V*O2peak max was used to define the subject's aerobic capacity. Anaerobic threshold was measured when *VE* and *VCO*₂ began to increase nonlinearly as compared with V_{O_2} (9).

The time, flow-volume (lung dynamic and static volumes curves [Collins spirometer DS11a; Warren E. Collins, Braintree, MA]), and lung diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DL_{CO}) were obtained following the American Thoracic Society Pulmonary Function Test Guidelines (10). Forced vital capacity (FVC), expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1), functional residual capacity (FRC) (open-circuit method of N_2 washout), and DL_{CO} (corrected for individual hemoglobin concentrations) measurements were reported. Inspiratory volumes were considered acceptable when subjects achieved an inspired volume above 90% of their vital capacity in all tests. All spirometric, N_2 washout, and DL_{CO} data were reported as percentages of the normal predicted values by age, height, and sex.

From the ¹Diabetes Center, Department of Medicine, Endocrinology Division, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; and the ²Physical Activity and Sports Medical Center, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.

Received 28 April 2010 and accepted 24 August 2010. Published ahead of print at http://care. diabetesjournals.org on 31 August 2010. DOI: 10.2337/dc10-0769.

^{© 2010} by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Data are means \pm SD. TDDM, time from diabetes diagnosis. Height: *ADM \times NADM, ∞ NADM \times AC. A1C: *ADM \times NADM, †ADM \times AC, Ω ADM \times NAC, ∞NADM × AC, ×NADM × NAC. Microalbuminuria: †ADM × AČ, ΩADM × NAC, ×NADM × NAC. *V*O_{2peak max}: *ADM × NADM, ΩADM × NAC, ∞NADM × AC, °AC × NAC. Anaerobic threshold: *ADM × NADM, †ADM × AC, ∞NADM × AC, °AC × NAC, **Ω**ADM × NAC, ×NADM × NAC. Peak *VE_{max}: ∞NADM* × AC. Peak heart rate: ∞ NADM \times AC, \degree AC \times NAC. Time to exhaustion: Ω ADM \times NAC, ∞ NADM \times AC, \degree AC \times NAC. FFEV1: †ADM \times AC, Ω ADM \times NAC. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 17.0 (Chicago, IL). The variable curve normality was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The correlation (Spearman or Pearson coefficients) between variables was accomplished using the ANOVA test and a Tukey post hoc analysis. $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

RESULTS — The anthropometric parameters were similar between the type 1 diabetes and control groups. A1C was higher in the NADM than in the ADM $(9.0 \pm 1.3 \text{ vs. } 7.5 \pm 6.2\%; P < 0.05).$ There were no statistical differences in the microalbuminuria between diabetic groups.

*V*O2peak max was higher in ADM $(42.4 \pm 5.5 \text{ ml/kg/min})$ and athletes control (AC) (44.2 \pm 5.0) than in NADM (34.8 ± 3.3) and nonathletes control (NAC) (37.8 \pm 2.7) ($P < 0.001$). Anaerobic threshold was lower in ADM (31.7 \pm 4.4 ml/kg/min) and NADM (24.6 ± 3.3) than in AC (35.9 \pm 4.9) and NAC (28.3 \pm 3.0) (*P* - 0.001). FEV1 in AC (102 0.6%) and NAC (97 \pm 0.4%) was higher than in ADM (89 \pm 0.5%) ($P < 0.001$) (Table 1).

CONCLUSIONS — In this study, we have shown that athletes with type 1 diabetes have a V_{O_{2peakmax} similar to that of}

athletes without diabetes but a lower anaerobic threshold than that of athletes without diabetes.

In a previous study (6), we demonstrated that nonathletic type 1 diabetic patients have a lower Vo_{2peak max} than healthy subjects. In the present study, we confirm these data in nonathletic type 1 diabetic patients, but the defect (low *V*O2peak max) was not found in athletes with type 1 diabetes. These data are in accordance with a study (11) that compared 128 patients with long-duration type 1 diabetes and 36 healthy individuals.

Metabolic issues (such as hyperglycemia and low lactic acid clearance during and after exercise) and respiratory problems (the ventilation reached at peak exercise was lower in proportion to the reduction in $O₂$ uptake) may relate to reduced anaerobic threshold and early fatigability or loss of performance (12). However, we did not find a difference in *V*O2peak max between type 1 diabetic and healthy control athletes. Therefore, other factors besides ventilation may influence anaerobic threshold in this diabetic condition.

All of the individuals in this study went to heart rate max frequency during the test. However, the type 1 diabetes sedentary group had lower maximum heart rate than the control group, as expected. This was an interesting finding and one in

accordance with our previous data (6) in which the diabetic group showed lower maximum frequency during exercise than normal control subjects. This defect could be corrected with regular exercise since the diabetic athlete was able to achieve the same maximum heart rate as a normal athlete.

In this study, we also found that FEV1 was decreased in type 1 diabetic athletes compared with other groups. This result conflicts with data found in type 1 diabetic nonathletes with the same clinical characteristics and duration of type 1 diabetes but higher A1C than type 1 diabetic athletes (13). However, this can suggest a difficult bronchial adaptation to air flow in the first second of expiration in these individuals. Abnormalities in lung elasticity behavior can be manifestations of widespread elastin and collagen abnormalities in type 1 diabetic patients (14). These alterations have been demonstrated in diabetes and are, in some respects, similar to those that occur during normal aging.

Acknowledgments— This study had the financial support of Coordenação de Aperfeicoamento de Pessoal de Nivel Superior (CAPES) of Brazilian Ministry of Education.

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

W.R.K. researched data and wrote the manuscript. T.L.B.N. researched data. A.R.C.

reviewed the manuscript. S.A.D. contributed to the discussion and reviewed/edited the manuscript.

The authors wish to thank the study patients and volunteers and their families, as well as the staff of the Diabetes Center, Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP). The authors also thank Professor Jose Roberto Jardim of the Pneumology Division of UNIFESP for his comments during this study.

References

- 1. Goldman MD. Lung dysfunction in diabetes. Diabetes Care 2003;26:1915– 1918
- 2. Lange P, Parner J, Schnohr P, Jensen G. Copenhagen City Heart Study: longitudinal analysis of ventilatory capacity in diabetic and nondiabetic adults. Eur Respir J 2002;20:1406–1412
- 3. Ramirez LC, Dal Nogare A, Hsia C, Arauz C, Butt I, Strowig SM, Schnurr-Breen L, Raskin P. Relationship between diabetes control and pulmonary function in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Am J Med 1991;91:371–376
- 4. Niranjan V, McBrayer DG, Ramirez LC, Raskin P, Hsia CC. Glycemic control and cardiopulmonary function in patients with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Am J Med 1997;103:504–513
- 5. Davis WA, Knuiman M, Kendall P, Grange V, Davis TM, Fremantle Diabetes Study. Glycemic exposure is associated with reduced pulmonary function in type 2 diabetes: the Fremantle Diabetes Study. Diabetes Care 2004;27:752–757
- 6. Komatsu WR, Gabbay MA, Castro ML, Saraiva GL, Chacra AR, de Barros Neto TL, Dib SA. Aerobic exercise capacity in normal adolescents and those with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Pediatr Diabetes 2005; 6:145–149
- 7. Baran D, Dorchy H. [Physical fitness in diabetic adolescents (author's translation)]. Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir 1982; 18:51–58 [in French]
- 8. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus (Position Statement). Diabetes Care 2010; 33(Suppl. 1):S62–S69
- 9. Wasserman K, Hansen JE, Sue D, Whipp BJ, Casaburi R. *Principles of Exercise Test-*

ing and Interpretation: Including Pathophysiology and Clinical Applications. 3rd ed. Philadelphia, PA, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 1999

- 10. American Thoracic Society. Single-breath carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (transfer factor): recommendations for a standard technique–1995 update. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;152:2185– 2198
- 11. Sokolov E, Demidov Iu I, Dudaev VA. Physical work ability in patients with type 1 diabetes. Klin Med (Mosk) 2008; 86:54–57 [in Russian]
- 12. Baraldi E, Monciotti C, Filippone M, Santuz P, Magagnin G, Zanconato S, Zacchello F. Gas exchange during exercise in diabetic children. Pediatr Pulmonol 1992;13:155–160
- 13. Benbassat CA, Stern E, Kramer M, Lebzelter J, Blum I, Fink G. Pulmonary function in patients with diabetes mellitus. Am J Med Sci 2001;322:127–132
- 14. Schuyler MR, Niewoehner DE, Inkley SR, Kohn R. Abnormal lung elasticity in juvenile diabetes mellitus. Am Rev Respir Dis 1976;113:37–41