

Author response to: correspondence to: 'A meta-analysis of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) antibody treatment for COVID-19 patients'

Jin-Tao Guan*, Wei-Jie Wang*, Shan-Shan Lei and Zheng-Hao Xu 

Keywords: Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, GM-CSF antibody, COVID-19

To the Editor,

We read the letter from Pankaj *et al.*, which is regarding our recent study titled 'A meta-analysis of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) antibody treatment for COVID-19 patients'.¹ We appreciate their attention and agree with them that we have mistakenly included several studies evaluating the role of IL-6 inhibitors. We have also noticed this error by a kindly email from Dr. Stefan Steidl, Vice President, Disease Biology & Translational Research, on 27 August 2021.

Immediately after reading the email from Dr. Stefan Steidl, I (Z.X.) discussed with the two co-first authors (J.G. and W.W.) and another corresponding author (S.L.). Considering this topic is very interesting and important, as well as that unpredictable outcomes may be resulted from these errors, all the authors reach a consensus on trying to do a correction or update analysis. Thus, we did an updated systematic literature search from 1 December 2019 to 29 August 2021, which was limited to anti-GM-CSF therapy. Finally, we collected six studies, including the two previous cohort studies^{2,3} and four new randomized controlled trials.⁴⁻⁷ Based on these new pieces of evidence, we have finished the update meta-analysis and have emailed it to the editorial office to apply a formal correction.

In our update meta-analysis, a total of six eligible literature involving 1542 COVID-19 patients were recruited, including anti-GM-CSF therapy treatment group ($n=761$) and control group ($n=781$). Using a random-effect model, we

found that the GM-CSF antibody treatment was associated with a 4.3–26.9% decline of the risk of death [odds ratio (OR) = 0.71, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.53–0.95, $p = 0.02$], a 5.3–28.7% reduction of the incidence of invasive mechanical ventilation [OR = 0.53, 95% CI: 0.31, 0.90, $p = 0.02$], and a 23.3–50.0% enhancement of ventilation improvement [OR = 11.70, 95% CI: 1.99, 68.68, $p = 0.006$]. Besides, GM-CSF antibody treatment did not have a significant correlation to secondary infection. Thus, the main results of our new analysis still support our previous conclusion that severe COVID-19 patients can benefit from GM-CSF antibodies, though further randomized controlled trials are still needed for further verification.

Thank you very much!

Author contributions

J.G. and Z.X. wrote this letter in consultation with S.L. and W.W.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Zheng-Hao Xu  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0030-4701>

Ther Adv Chronic Dis

2021, Vol. 12: 1–2

DOI: 10.1177/
20406223211055922

© The Author(s), 2021.
Article reuse guidelines:
[sagepub.com/journals-](https://sagepub.com/journals-permissions)
permissions

Correspondence to:

Shan-Shan Lei
Department of Medicine,
Zhejiang Academy of
Traditional Chinese
Medicine, Hangzhou
310007, China.
815006028@qq.com

Zheng-Hao Xu
Institute of TCM Clinical
Basic Medicine, Zhejiang
Chinese Medical
University, Binwen Road
548, Hangzhou 310053,
China.
xuzhenghao@foxmail.com

Jin-Tao Guan
First People's Hospital of
Taizhou, Taizhou, China

Wei-Jie Wang
The Second Affiliated
Hospital, Zhejiang Chinese
Medical University,
Hangzhou, China

*Co-first author: They
contributed to this paper
equally.

References

1. Guan J, Wang W, Jin D, *et al.* A meta-analysis of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) antibody treatment for COVID-19 patients. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis* 2021; 12: 20406223211039699.
2. De Luca G, Cavalli G, Campochiaro C, *et al.* GM-CSF blockade with mavrilimumab in severe COVID-19 pneumonia and systemic hyperinflammation: a single-centre, prospective cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2020; 2: e465–e473 (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04318366).
3. Temesgen Z, Assi M, Shweta FNU, *et al.* GM-CSF neutralization with lenzilumab in severe COVID-19 pneumonia: a case-cohort study. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2020; 95: 2382–2394 (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04351152).
4. Patel J, Beishuizen A, Ruiz XB, *et al.* A randomized trial of otilimab in severe COVID-19 pneumonia (OSCAR). *medRxiv* 2021 (ClinicalTrials.gov number: NCT04376684).
5. Fisher A, Veenith T, Slade D, *et al.* Namilumab or infliximab compared to standard of care in hospitalised patients with COVID-19 (CATALYST): a phase 2 randomised adaptive trial. *medRxiv* 2021 (Isrctn.com, ISRCTN 40580903).
6. Cremer P, Abbate A, Hudock K, *et al.* Mavrilimumab in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia and systemic hyperinflammation (MASH-COVID): an investigator initiated, multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2021; 3: e410–e418 (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04399980, NCT04463004, and NCT04492514).
7. Temesgen Z, Burger C, Baker J, *et al.* Lenzilumab efficacy and safety in newly hospitalized Covid-19 subjects: results from the live-air phase 3 randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *medRxiv* 2021 (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04351152).