Support: IHMA (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support: Janssen Research & Development (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Johnson & Johnson (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support: Kaleido Biosceinces (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; KBP Biosciences (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Luminex (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Matrivax (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Mayo Clinic (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Medpace (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Meiji Seika Pharma Co., Ltd. (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Melinta (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Menarini (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Merck (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Meridian Bioscience Inc. (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Micromyx (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; MicuRx (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; N8 Medical (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Nabriva (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; National Institutes of Health (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; National University of Singapore (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; North Bristol NHS Trust (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Novome Biotechnologies (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Paratek (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Pfizer (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Prokaryotics Inc. (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; QPEX Biopharma (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Rhode Island Hospital (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; RIHML (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Roche (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Roivant (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Salvat (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Scynexis (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; SeLux Diagnostics (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Shionogi (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Specific Diagnostics (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Spero (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; SuperTrans Medical LT (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; T2 Biosystems (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; The University of Queensland (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Thermo Fisher Scientific (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Tufts Medical Center (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Universite de Sherbrooke (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; University of Iowa (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; University of Wisconsin (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; UNT System College of Pharmacy (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; URMC (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; UT Southwestern (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; VenatoRx (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Viosera Therapeutics (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support; Wayne State University (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Research Grant or Support Cecilia G. Carvalhaes, MD, PhD, AbbVie (formerly Allergan) (Research Grant or Support)Cidara Therapeutics, Inc. (Research Grant or Support)Cipla Therapeutics (Research Grant or Support)Cipla USA Inc. (Research Grant or Support)Melinta Therapeutics, LLC (Research Grant or Support)Pfizer, Inc. (Research Grant or Support) S J Ryan Arends, PhD, AbbVie (formerly Allergan) (Research Grant or Support)GlaxoSmithKline, LLC (Research Grant or Support)Melinta Therapeutics, LLC (Research Grant or Support)Nabriva Therapeutics (Research Grant or Support)Spero Therapeutics (Research Grant or Support) Rodrigo E. Mendes, PhD, AbbVie (Research Grant or Support)AbbVie (formerly Allergan) (Research Grant or Support)Cipla Therapeutics (Research Grant or Support)Cipla USA Inc. (Research Grant or Support)ContraFect Corporation (Research Grant or Support)GlaxoSmithKline, LLC (Research Grant or Support)Melinta Therapeutics, Inc. (Research Grant or Support)Melinta Therapeutics, LLC (Research Grant or Support)Nabriva Therapeutics (Research Grant or Support)Pfizer, Inc. (Research Grant or Support)Shionogi (Research Grant or Support)Spero Therapeutics (Research Grant or Support)

1306. Early Transition to Oral Antibiotics, Including Fluoroquinolone Therapy, for Streptococcus milleri Empyema Following Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery

Anais Ovalle, MD¹; Ahmad Alsalman, MD²; Timothy Millington, MD²; Richard A. Zuckerman, MD, MPH¹; ¹Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, Lebanon, New Hampshire; ²darmouth hitchcock, lebanon, New Hampshire

Session: P-73. Respiratory Infections - Bacterial

Background. Pleural empyema from Streptococcus milleri (SM) is often complex and requires a combination of surgery and intravenous (IV) antibiotics. There is a paucity of data on the efficacy of oral (PO) treatment due to concerns about the development of resistance, particularly to fluoroquinolones (FQ). We report outcomes of postoperative antibiotic treatment for SM empyema over 3 years, including PO therapy.

Methods. A single-center retrospective chart review was performed of 20 patients treated with video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) from October 2015 to March 2018 and SM diagnosed by thoracentesis or operative culture. We reviewed clinical factors, route and duration of antibiotics, complications (empyema recurrence, repeat surgery, 30-day readmission due to empyema), and mortality (30day and 1-year)

Results. Of the 20 patients, 12 (60%) received all IV and 8 (40%) transitioned to PO therapy (Table 1). Median age was 60 and 58 in the IV and PO group, respectively. IV treated patients had more comorbidities. Cultures were primarily monomicrobial. Isolates tested were susceptible (S) to penicillin (Table 1), Of 10 tested specimen, all had moxifloxacin MIC < 0.19 µg/mL and 8/8 specimens tested were S to levofloxacin. The average duration of antibiotic therapy in the IV group was 34 days and 32 days in the PO group. There were no complications in the IV group: however, there were 2 deaths (1 patient died from comorbid complications and 1 patient was readmitted and died due to MSSA endocarditis). There were no complications or deaths in patients treated PO.

Table 1. Characteristics and outcomes in Streptococcus milleri infections following video-

	IV N=12	PO N=8
Median Age (years)	60	58
Average Total Duration of IV/PO antibiotic therapy after surgery (days)	34	32 (5 days IV / 27 days PO)
Organisms on culture	11/12 Strep milleri monomicrobial 1/12 Strep milleri and actinomyces species	8/8 Strep milleri
Susceptibility data (of those tested)	12/12 S to penicillin 2/2 S to levofloxacin 8/8 with moxifloxacin breakpoint ≤0.19	7/7 S to penicillin 6/6 S to levofloxacin 2/2 with moxifloxacin breakpoint ≤0.125
Antibiotic treatment	11/12 IV ceftriaxone 7/12 with po metronidazole 1/12 ampicillin-sulbactam	4/8 levofloxacin 3/8 moxifloxacin 1/8 Penicillin VK
Readmission	1*	0
Complications	1 death	None
Mortality	2 deaths**, 1 within 30 days and 1 within 1 year	0 deaths

IV=intravenous, PO = orally, S=susceptible,

*Readmission due to MSSA endocarditis months later ** 1 death from comorbid complications and one death from MSSA endocarditis months later

Abstract References

- Ripley RT, Cothren CC, Moore EE, Long J, Johnson JL, Haenel JB. Streptococcus milleri infections of the pleural space: operative management predominates. *Am J Surg.* 2006;192(6):817-821. doi:10.1016/j.amjsurg.2006.08.05
 Stockmann C, Ampofo K, Pavia AT, et al. Comparative Effectiveness of Oral Versus Outpatient Parenteral Antibiotic Therapy for Empyema. *Hosp Pediatr.* 2015;5(12):605-2010. doi:10.1016/j.com.2010.0016
- 612. doi:10.1542/hpeds.2015-0100

Conclusion. Our review suggests that early transition to PO antibiotics may be a viable option for operatively managed empyema caused by SM in certain patients. FQs have been generally avoided due to concerns about the rapid development of resistance that has been shown in-vitro; however, no in-vivo data have been reported regarding this concern. We show excellent outcomes with the use of PO therapy in susceptible isolates, particularly FQs, with no failure or reported resistance in patients with SM empyema treated with VATS. Further study is needed to validate these findings and determine optimal patient characteristics for transition to PO therapy. Disclosures. All Authors: No reported disclosures

1307. The Mycoplasma Conundrum

Kenneth Rand, M.D.¹; ¹University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

Session: P-73. Respiratory Infections - Bacterial

Background. Lockdown for Covid 19 between March 15 - 30, 2020 lead to sudden closures of schools, public gatherings, all but essential businesses, and stay-at-home orders. Between then and the end of April 2020, literally all enveloped respiratory viruses declined to virtually undetectable levels, suggesting a successful interruption of transmission. Weekly percentage positivity rates for M. pneumoniae and all other respiratory viruses from BioFire Syndromic Trends for weeks ending 3/7/2020-4/24/2020