

Early-Stage MRI Volumetric Differences in White Matter Hyperintensity and Temporal Lobe Volumes between Autopsy-Confirmed Alzheimer's Disease, Cerebral Small Vessel Disease, and Mixed Pathologies

Dixon Yang^a Arjun Masurkar^{b, c, d}

^aDepartment of Neurology, Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA; ^bDepartment of Neurology, Center for Cognitive Neurology, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA; ^cDepartment of Neuroscience & Physiology, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA; ^dNeuroscience Institute, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Keywords

Volumetry · Alzheimer's disease · Cerebral small vessel disease · Mild cognitive impairment

Abstract

Introduction: Alzheimer's disease (AD) and cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD) both contribute to age-related cognitive decline but can be difficult to clinically distinguish at early stages. At mild cognitive impairment (MCI), we investigated brain MRI volumetric differences in white matter hyperintensities (WMH), frontal and temporal lobe volumes between neuropathologically defined groups of cerebral arteriosclerosis alone (pARTE), AD alone (pAD), and mixed (ADARTE). **Methods:** From the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center, we defined neuropathology groups of pARTE ($n = 18$), pAD ($n = 36$), and ADARTE ($n = 55$) who had MRI brain volumetrics within 1 year of clinical evaluation with Clinical Dementia Rating score of 0.5, corresponding to MCI. We included moderate-to-severe arteriosclerosis and/or ABC score 2–3 for AD, after excluding other major neuropathologies. We compared WMH and frontal and temporal lobe volumes between neuropathology groups using regression analysis. **Results:** Adjusted regression models show

AD-related groups associated with less WMH when compared to pARTE (pAD adjusted odds ratio (aOR) (95% confidence interval [CI]): 0.94 (0.90–0.98); ADARTE aOR (95% CI): 0.96 (0.93–0.99)). The mixed pathology group, but not pAD, had smaller right temporal lobe volumes than pARTE (pAD aOR [95% CI]: 0.86 [0.74–1.00]; ADARTE aOR [95% CI]: 0.83 [0.72–0.96]). There were no differences in frontal lobe volumes. **Discussion/Conclusions:** Findings from this neuropathologically confirmed cohort suggest volumetric differences in WMH and temporal lobe volumes between AD- and CSVD-related MCI. Moreover, our results suggest a differential atrophy susceptibility of the right versus left temporal lobe to the additive effect of AD and vascular pathologies.

© 2022 The Author(s).
Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Vascular cognitive impairment (VCI) from cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD) and Alzheimer's disease (AD) are common causes of age-related cognitive decline. Simplistically, CSVD affects white matter [1], while AD pathology leads to gray matter atrophy [2]. However,

CVSD can drive cortical atrophy and AD can affect white matter through incompletely understood mechanisms [3, 4]. Further, they often coexist but can be difficult to clinically distinguish at early stages [5]. Prodromal differentiation of AD-, CVSD-related, or mixed cognitive decline can help guide therapeutics and prognosis, as phenotype, comorbidities, and mortality may differ [6]. We previously showed in a neuropathology subsample from the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC) that differences in neuropsychiatric measures between CVSD and AD emerge at mild dementia rather than mild cognitive impairment (MCI) [7], emphasizing a potential early role in adjunctive diagnostics such as neuroimaging.

In AD, radiographic atrophy of medial temporal lobe structures may be evident during prodromal stages [8]. Early CVSD-related cognitive impairment can show subcortical white matter ischemic lesions and frontal lobe atrophy [9]. Qualitative visual assessment of temporal lobe atrophy on MRI may help distinguish AD from normal aging, VCI [10, 11], or other neurodegenerative processes at later clinical stages, but potentially suffers from lower interobserver agreement [12]. Quantitative volumetric analysis may provide greater reliability and accuracy [13]. It may also be useful in evaluating more global structural changes in early amyloid and CVSD pathologies, besides infarct or hemorrhage. Studies comparing volumetry between VCI and AD have not found a specific difference at either MCI or more cognitively impaired stages [14–17], but involved clinically diagnosed participants who may exhibit overlapping pathologies.

Using MRI brain volumetric analysis in a neuropathologically confirmed cohort from the NACC, we sought to evaluate volumetric differences in white matter hyperintensities (WMH) and cortical volumes of the frontal and temporal lobes at MCI between those with cerebral arteriolosclerosis alone (pARTE), AD alone (pAD), and mixed AD and arteriolosclerosis (ADARTE). We hypothesized that AD-related pAD and ADARTE would have smaller temporal lobe volumes and vascular-related pARTE and ADARTE would exhibit greater WMH and smaller frontal lobe volumes.

Methods

Participants

This cross-sectional analysis included data from NACC. Trained personnel at Alzheimer's Disease Research Centers (ADRC) throughout the USA enroll subjects ranging from cognitively normal to demented and collect longitudinal data for NACC using a Uniform Data Set (UDS). Respondents are the participant

and coparticipants. Diagnoses are made by a team consensus or single physician [18]. Consenting participants also undergo a neuropsychological battery administered by a trained researcher. A subset of NACC patients have MRI brains with volumetric analysis conducted by the Imaging of Dementia and Aging (IDeA) Lab (Director: Charles DeCarli, MD; University of California, Davis; <http://idealab.ucdavis.edu/>), following Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) protocols [19]. An overlapping subset of participants consented to brain donation upon death and underwent autopsy to comprise the neuropathology dataset [20].

We acquired NACC data for all participants who had (1) neuropathology and (2) an MRI brain with volumetric analysis within 1 year of an UDS evaluation in which the subject had a Global Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) score of 0.5, corresponding to MCI, using the CDR[®] Dementia Staging Instrument [21]. There were 318 participants who met these criteria with a total of 403 MRI brains with volumetric analysis performed between 2005 and 2017.

Neuropathology Groups

We excluded all participants with cortical ischemic infarcts, CADASIL, intracranial hemorrhages, and other neurodegenerative processes including Lewy body disease, frontotemporal dementia and other cerebral tauopathies, multiple system atrophy, and prion disease, such that the only major neuropathologies in our groups were isolated to arteriolosclerosis and/or AD pathology. We then defined three neuropathology groups: (1) pure arteriolosclerosis (pARTE) had only moderate-to-severe arteriolosclerosis, (2) pure AD (pAD) had only National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association ABC score for AD of 2–3, and (3) AD with arteriolosclerosis (ADARTE) had both moderate to severe arteriolosclerosis and ABC score 2–3.

Neuropathological assessments in the NACC database are made by sampling all gross lesions and a minimum sampling of 13 brain regions with hematoxylin and eosin stains, based on reproducible procedures [22]. Arteriolosclerosis is defined as concentric hyaline thickening of the media of arterioles with possible intimal fibrosis. Global arteriolosclerosis severity is judged qualitatively on a scale of none, mild, moderate, or severe. AD pathology is further assessed with immunohistochemistry (preferred), Thioflavin S, or sensitive silver histochemical stains to derive an ABC score by ranking Ab plaque score, Braak neurofibrillary tangle stage, and CERAD neuritic plaque score. ABC scores range from 0 to 3, with scores 2 and 3 as intermediate and high AD pathology considered as sufficient explanation for dementia.

After forming neuropathology groups, there were 109 participants with volumetric analysis of a brain MRI within 1 year of an UDS evaluation with CDR 0.5. These participants were enrolled from 12 different ADRCs. If a participant had multiple qualifying MRIs, we used the last MRI at CDR 0.5 for cross-sectional analysis.

MRI Procedures and Volumetry

MRI scans at NACC are voluntarily submitted by ADRCs. Imaging data collection and acquisition protocols vary by ADRC. NACC began MRI collection in 2005. Submitted MRIs may include T1-weighted, T2-weighted, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) or other sequences and any combination thereof.

The IDeA Lab conducted volumetric MRI quantification for NACC based on ADNI 2 protocols, which is fully described at https://www.alz.washington.edu/WEB/adni_proto.pdf. Briefly for WMH, T2 FLAIR sequences were used. After excluding nonbrain

Table 1. Baseline characteristics by neuropathology groups

Characteristics	pARTE (n = 18)	pAD (n = 36)	ADARTE (n = 55)	p value
Age at MRI, years	83 (8)*	77 (10)*	80 (8)	0.04
Age at first noted cognitive decline, years	83 (7)^	72 (11)	74 (9)	<0.01
Age at death, years	87 (7)	82 (10)	85 (8)	0.05
Time from MRI to autopsy, years	4 (3)	5 (3)	5 (3)	0.10
Male sex	12 (67)	21 (58)	32 (58)	0.80
White	12 (67)^	34 (94)	50 (91)	0.01
Education, years	14 [12–16]	16 [13–18]	16 [12–18]	0.36
Any APOE4 allele	3 (17)*	15 (42)	29 (53)*	0.02
Right-handed	16 (89)	34 (94)	50 (91)	0.82
Comorbidities				
Hypertension	14 (78)	22 (61)	39 (71)	0.41
Diabetes	6 (33)^	0 (0)	5 (9)	<0.01
Hyperlipidemia	13 (73)	19 (53)	35 (64)	0.34
Stroke/TIA	3 (17)	3 (8)	15 (27)	0.08
Any smoking	12 (67)	18 (50)	33 (60)	0.45
Depression	2 (11)	8 (22)	18 (33)	0.16

Age displayed as mean years (standard deviation). Education displayed as median years [interquartile range]. pARTE, pure arteriolosclerosis; pAD, pure Alzheimer's disease; ADARTE, mixed Alzheimer's disease and arteriolosclerosis; APOE4, apolipoprotein E allele 4; TIA, transient ischemic attack. * Post hoc Bonferroni $p < 0.05$ between groups. ^ Post hoc Bonferroni $p < 0.05$ from all other groups.

structures, FLAIR sequences are transformed to a high-resolution 3D T1 sequence using linear image registration, and then inhomogeneity between the native T1 and transformed T1 sequences is corrected for using nonlinear transformation that includes a multiple iteration interleaved bias estimation, B-spine deformation, and local histogram normalization method [23]. The transformed T1 sequence is then aligned to a common template atlas and WMH are calculated based on a Bayesian probability structure [24]. WMH segmentation is then performed by evaluating probability likelihood values of WMH at each white matter voxel.

Segmentation of the MRIs into gray matter, white matter, and CSF is achieved first through an Expectation-Maximization algorithm, which iteratively produces segmentation estimates and outputs from native T1 images [24]. This automated algorithm is based on the estimates from T1 templates used in WMH detection where the location of different tissues can be easily identified. Mean and standard deviation intensities of each tissue type are calculated and used as initial parameters for the automated segmenter, which undergoes multiple iterations with a Markov Random Field model that computationally refines the segmentation based on input intensities and image smoothness statistics. This automated process has high validity and inter-rater reliability when compared to manual segmentation by trained neuroradiologists [25]. From the final output, voxels determined from WMH segmentation are applied to create a final four tissue segmentation that is used in calculations. Measurements are reported in native space as volumes in cubic centimeters.

In our included subsample, all MRI studies had T1 images. There were 8 MRI studies without T2 FLAIR sequences and thus did not have WMH calculations (pAD = 5, ADARTE = 3). All studies that underwent volumetric analysis were acquired from a 1.5 or 3.0 Tesla MRI based on ADNI 2 protocols.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 25 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). We compared demographics, vascular risk factors, and apolipoprotein E allele 4 (APOE4) carrier status across neuropathology groups. Subjects were dichotomized as either APOE4 carrier or noncarrier. For categorical variables, we used Pearson χ^2 and applied post hoc pairwise categorical comparisons using Bonferroni corrections. For continuous variables, we used one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test or Kruskal-Wallis H test with post hoc Dunn's test and Bonferroni adjustment to compare baseline characteristics and neuropsychiatric measures across neuropathology groups. We then conducted multinomial logistic regression to determine association between volumetric predictors of total WMH, frontal lobe, and temporal lobe volumes and neuropathology groups with pARTE as reference, adjusting for age at MRI and total cranial volume of cerebrum. Other potential confounders of race, APOE4 carrier, and diabetes did not significantly impact the model in sensitivity analyses and, therefore, were not included. To correct for multiple comparisons, we applied Bonferroni correction based on 5 comparisons to p values. Adjusted p values of less than or equal to 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Participant Characteristics

Of 109 included participants, the mean age was 79 ± 9 years, 60% were male, and 88% white. Table 1 shows baseline characteristics by neuropathology groups. The pARTE group was older than pAD and had fewer APOE4 car-

Table 2. Neuropsychiatric measures at MRI by pathology groups

Characteristics	pARTE (n = 18)	pAD (n = 36)	ADARTE (n = 55)	p value
MMSE	25 (23–28)	26 (24–27)	26 (24–28)	0.28
Logical memory IA	9 (5)	7 (4)	8 (4)	0.46
Logical memory IIA	7 (3–12)	4 (0–8)	3 (1–7)	0.35
Forward digit span	7 (2)	8 (2)	8 (2)	0.16
Backward digit span	4 (2)	5 (3)	6 (2)	0.21
Animals	12 (3)	14 (4)	13 (5)	0.58
Vegetables	9 (3)	9 (3)	8 (4)	0.40
Trail making test part A	56 (33–112)	50 (38–77)	57 (38–77)	0.42
Trail making test part B	119 (97–212)	161 (116–300)	180 (116–291)	0.44
WAIS-R digit symbol	28 (16)	31 (13)	29 (11)	0.71
Boston naming test	23 (17–26)	26 (23–27)	24 (19–27)	0.27
Geriatric depression scale	2 (1–3)	1 (1–2)	2 (0–4)	0.08

pARTE, pure arteriolosclerosis; pAD, pure Alzheimer's disease; ADARTE, mixed Alzheimer's disease and arteriolosclerosis; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Exam; WAIS-R, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-revised.

Table 3. Adjusted logistic regression of volumetrics (reference pARTE)

Structure	pAD			ADARTE		
	aOR	95% CI	adjusted p value	aOR	95% CI	adjusted p value
Total brain WMH	0.94	0.90–0.98	0.02	0.96	0.93–0.99	0.04
L frontal lobe cortical	1.01	0.93–1.11	1.00	1.04	0.96–1.13	1.00
R frontal lobe cortical	1.06	0.97–1.17	1.00	1.10	1.01–1.22	0.20
L temporal lobe cortical	0.91	0.79–1.05	1.00	0.92	0.80–1.05	1.00
R temporal lobe cortical	0.86	0.74–1.00	0.25	0.83	0.72–0.96	0.05

Adjusted for age at MRI and total cerebral cranial volume. pARTE, pure arteriolosclerosis; pAD, pure Alzheimer's disease; ADARTE, mixed Alzheimer's disease and arteriolosclerosis; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; L, left; R, right; WMH, white matter hyperintensities. *p* value adjusted by Bonferroni correction.

riers than ADARTE. Compared to both groups, pARTE had fewer white participants and a higher prevalence of diabetes. Neuropsychiatric profiles were similar between the neuropathology groups (Table 2). The total cerebrum cranial volume was similar between neuropathology groups (pARTE = 1,157 ± 117 cc, pAD = 1,207 ± 124 cc, ADARTE = 1,230 ± 143 cc, *p* = 0.13). Raw volumetry by neuropathology groups is shown in the online supplementary Table (for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000524499).

Logistic Regression Analysis

We applied regression models to compare predictors of WMH, frontal, and temporal lobe volumes and neuropathology groups with pARTE as reference (Table 3). Af-

ter adjustment for age at MRI and total cerebrum cranial volume, we find that AD-related groups were associated with less WMH volume (pAD adjusted odds ratio (aOR) (95% confidence interval [CI]): 0.94 (0.90–0.98), ADARTE aOR (95% CI): 0.96 (0.93–0.99)) than pARTE. The ADARTE, but not pAD group, had larger right frontal cortex volumes than pARTE (pAD aOR [95% CI]: 1.06 [0.97–1.17], ADARTE aOR [95% CI]: 1.10 [1.01–1.22]). Similarly, the mixed pathology group, but not pAD, had significantly smaller right temporal lobe volumes than pARTE (pAD aOR [95% CI]: 0.86 [0.74–1.00], ADARTE aOR [95% CI]: 0.83 [0.72–0.96]). The differences in WMH and right temporal lobe volumes remained significant after Bonferroni correction.

Discussion

In this neuropathologically confirmed subsample from NACC, we found volumetric differences in WMH and temporal lobe volumes between Alzheimer- and CVSD-related MCI. When comparing temporal lobe volumes against pARTE, it appeared that the mixed pathology group had a greater association with atrophy than pAD, suggesting an early additive effect of Alzheimer and vascular processes on gray matter degeneration. In prior studies, it has been difficult to discern volumetric differences between early AD and VCI [15, 17], partly owing to limitations in clinical diagnostic classification of these entities. This study adds novelty with a neuropathologically confirmed cohort.

We found that the pure vascular pathology group had a greater WMH volume than their AD-related counterparts, consistent with other clinically diagnosed dementia cohorts [26, 27]. WMH of presumed vascular origin are known neuroimaging features of CVSD-related brain changes [28]. Accordingly, those with the greatest vascular burden to reach MCI in our study, the pARTE group, would expectedly have greater WMH volumes. Though WMH frequently exist in individuals with AD [29], they are more strongly linked to VCI risk than AD risk and may predict progression from MCI to dementia [30]. Multifactorial evaluation of CVSD-related brain changes including WMH volume, lacunes, and gray matter atrophy may help determine cognitive and functional outcomes in VCI [31]; therefore, further refinement of a continuously measured WMH volume or establishing volume thresholds for a predictive model may be useful in the prodromal stage.

Though WMH are increasingly recognized to be involved in AD, their exact relationship with Alzheimer pathology is not entirely known [32]. White matter ischemia has been previously associated with hippocampal volume loss [33]. Diffusion tensor imaging studies have found distinct patterns of cortical atrophy, including in the temporal lobe, related to white matter microstructure [4]. We found that the mixed pathology group was associated with smaller temporal lobe volumes when compared to pARTE, but not as strong of a relationship between pAD and pARTE. This may suggest an additive effect of Alzheimer pathology and cerebral arteriolosclerosis on early temporal cortical atrophy. Previously, it has been demonstrated that AD and CVSD can converge to cause similar gray matter atrophy [33]. Our findings suggested that this may be seen as early as MCI, though it is unclear if WMH are involved in this pattern, as we

found no difference in WMH volume between pAD and ADARTE.

The reason for right- rather than left-sided findings is also not clear. Prior reports have described asymmetry in hippocampal atrophy during AD, which may vary in laterality by clinical stage or *APOE4* allele [34, 35]. AD pathology may not be completely uniform throughout the brain [36]; however, these prior reports do not fully consider coexistent vascular pathology, which may also influence atrophy patterns. Our present data do not capture WMH topography, subtle white matter tract injury, or distribution of arteriolosclerosis burden, which may be relevant in the complete pathophysiological pathways of temporal lobe atrophy in early AD [4, 37]. Laterality of atrophy may be an important consideration in prodromal psychometric testing, as certain tests may be less sensitive for nondominant hemisphere functions. We found no differences in neuropsychiatric measures in this analysis or our previous study at MCI [7], but the available measures may not best reflect right temporal lobe changes [38].

Lastly, we did not find a strong relationship between frontal lobe volume and neuropathology groups. Previously, frontal lobe subregion atrophy has correlated with worsened cognitive performance in those with significant WMH [39]. Possibly, more global frontal lobe changes in CVSD are not as evident until later cognitive stages [40]. Frontal lobe atrophy rates may also be important in predicting cognitive course in those with CVSD [41].

Strengths of this study include the use of an autopsy-confirmed cohort, which can add diagnostic certainty to our comparisons. Weaknesses include the cross-sectional design and limitations in generalizability. Given the cross-sectional nature, we are unable to assess dynamic temporal contributions of pathologies as participants age. Though from a nationwide multicenter database, certain populations may be more likely to enroll from tertiary care centers, participate in a research study, and consent for autopsy, which may be reflected by the predominantly white and higher education composition of our sample. Further, selection of pure pathology may not reflect community pathology, which is probably more heterogeneous and therefore multifactorial in brain atrophy. A relatively smaller sample also limits our analytic precision in comparing smaller subregions.

In conclusion, we identified volumetric differences at MCI in WMH and temporal cortex volumes between neuropathologically confirmed pARTE, pAD, and mixed pathologies in a subsample from NACC. In both findings, CVSD-related pathology appeared to contribute substan-

tially to brain changes at this early clinical stage. In particular, the right versus left temporal lobe appears particularly susceptible to mixed pathology. Given the known impact of CVSD on cognitive impairment, including in AD [32], this additionally highlights the importance vascular risk factor management during the prodromal stage. Further research in a larger cohort is needed to discern clinical utility in early volumetric changes and pathophysiological mechanisms underlying patterns of atrophy between VCI, AD, and their combination.

Acknowledgments

This work was a secondary analysis of the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC) database. The NACC database is funded by NIA/NIH Grant U01 AG016976. NACC data are contributed by the NIA-funded ADRCs: P30 AG019610 (PI Eric Reiman, MD), P30 AG013846 (PI Neil Kowall, MD), P30 AG062428-01 (PI James Leverenz, MD) P50 AG008702 (PI Scott Small, MD), P50 AG025688 (PI Allan Levey, MD, PhD), P50 AG047266 (PI Todd Golde, MD, PhD), P30 AG010133 (PI Andrew Saykin, PsyD), P50 AG005146 (PI Marilyn Albert, PhD), P30 AG062421-01 (PI Bradley Hyman, MD, PhD), P30 AG062422-01 (PI Ronald Petersen, MD, PhD), P50 AG005138 (PI Mary Sano, PhD), P30 AG008051 (PI Thomas Wisniewski, MD), P30 AG013854 (PI Robert Vassar, PhD), P30 AG008017 (PI Jeffrey Kaye, MD), P30 AG010161 (PI David Bennett, MD), P50 AG047366 (PI Victor Henderson, MD, MS), P30 AG010129 (PI Charles DeCarli, MD), P50 AG016573 (PI Frank LaFerla, PhD), P30 AG062429-01 (PI James Brewer, MD, PhD), P50 AG023501 (PI Bruce Miller, MD), P30 AG035982 (PI Russell Swerdlow, MD), P30 AG028383 (PI Linda Van Eldik, PhD), P30 AG053760 (PI Henry Paulson, MD, PhD), P30 AG010124 (PI John Trojanowski, MD, PhD), P50 AG005133 (PI Oscar Lopez, MD), P50 AG005142 (PI Helena Chui, MD), P30 AG012300 (PI Roger Rosenberg, MD), P30 AG049638 (PI Suzanne Craft, PhD), P50 AG005136 (PI Thomas Grabowski, MD), P30 AG062715-01 (PI Sanjay Asthana, MD, FRCP), P50 AG005681 (PI John Morris, MD), and P50 AG047270 (PI Stephen Strittmatter, MD, PhD). Apolipoprotein genotyping data were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Genetic Consortium (ADGC), which is funded by NIA/NIH Grant U01 AG032984.

References

- 1 Roman GC, Erkinjuntti T, Wallin A, Pantoni L, Chui HC. Subcortical ischemic vascular dementia. *Lancet Neurol*. 2002 Nov;1(7):426–36.
- 2 DeTure MA, Dickson DW. The neuropathological diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener*. 2019 Aug;14(1):32.
- 3 Kim HJ, Ye BS, Yoon CW, Kim GH, Cho H, Jeon S, et al. Cortical thickness and hippocampal shape in pure vascular mild cognitive impairment and dementia of subcortical type. *Eur J Neurol*. 2014 May;21(5):744–51.
- 4 Seo SW, Ahn J, Yoon U, Im K, Lee J, Kim ST, et al. Cortical thinning in vascular mild cognitive impairment and vascular dementia of subcortical type. *J Neuroimaging*. 2010 Jan; 20(1):37–45.
- 5 Schneider JA, Arvanitakis Z, Bang W, Bennett DA. Mixed brain pathologies account for most dementia cases in community-dwelling older persons. *Neurology*. 2007 Dec;69(24): 2197–204.
- 6 Chui HC, Zarow C, Mack WJ, Ellis WG, Zheng L, Jagust WJ, et al. Cognitive impact of subcortical vascular and Alzheimer's disease pathology. *Ann Neurol*. 2006 Dec;60(6):677–87.
- 7 Yang D, Masurkar AV. Clinical profiles of arteriolosclerosis and Alzheimer disease at mild cognitive impairment and mild dementia in a national neuropathology cohort. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2021 Jan–Mar 01;35(1):14–22.

Statement of Ethics

Data were obtained from NACC. The study protocol was reviewed and the need for approval was waived by the University of Washington Human Subjects Division because it does not involve human subjects, as defined by Federal and State Regulations. All contributing ADRCs are required to obtain informed consent from participants and to maintain their own separate IRB review and approval from their own institution prior to submitting data to NACC.

Conflict of Interest Statement

Dr. Arjun Masurkar is on the Steering Committee of the Alzheimer Disease Cooperative Study and is a council member for the Alzheimer's Association International Research Grants Program. Dr. Dixon Yang has no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Funding Sources

Dr. Arjun Masurkar is funded by NIH P30 AG008051 and P30 AG066512. Dr. Dixon Yang is supported by NINDS T32NS007153-38.

Author Contributions

Dr. Dixon Yang: study design, data acquisition, analysis and interpretation, and drafting the work. Dr. Arjun Masurkar: study design, interpretation, and critical revision.

Data Availability Statement

Data for this study were obtained from NACC where restrictions may apply. Inquiries for data sharing should be directed toward NACC via <https://nacccdata.org/requesting-data/submit-data-request>.

- 8 Frisoni GB, Fox NC, Jack CR, Scheltens P, Thompson PM. The clinical use of structural MRI in Alzheimer disease. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2010 Feb;6(2):67–77.
- 9 Risacher SL, Saykin AJ. Neuroimaging biomarkers of neurodegenerative diseases and dementia. *Semin Neurol*. 2013 Sep;33(4):386–416.
- 10 O'Brien JT, Desmond P, Ames D, Schweitzer I, Chiu E, Tress B. Temporal lobe magnetic resonance imaging can differentiate Alzheimer's disease from normal ageing, depression, vascular dementia and other causes of cognitive impairment. *Psychol Med*. 1997 Nov;27(6):1267–75.
- 11 Wahlund LO, Julin P, Johansson SE, Scheltens P. Visual rating and volumetry of medial temporal lobe on magnetic resonance imaging in dementia: a comparative study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2000 Nov;69(5):630–5.
- 12 Scheltens P, Pasquier F, Weerts JG, Barkhof F, Leys D. Qualitative assessment of cerebral atrophy on MRI: inter- and intra-observer reproducibility in dementia and normal aging. *Eur Neurol*. 1997;37(2):95–9.
- 13 Visser PJ, Verhey FR, Hofman PA, Scheltens P, Jolles J. Medial temporal lobe atrophy predicts Alzheimer's disease in patients with minor cognitive impairment. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2002 Apr;72(4):491–7.
- 14 van de Pol L, Gertz HJ, Scheltens P, Wolf H. Hippocampal atrophy in subcortical vascular dementia. *Neurodegener Dis*. 2011;8(6):465–9.
- 15 Pantel J, Schroder J, Essig M, Jauss M, Schneider G, Eysenbach K, et al. In vivo quantification of brain volumes in subcortical vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease. An MRI-based study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 1998 Nov–Dec;9(6):309–16.
- 16 Laakso MP, Partanen K, Riekkinen P, Lehtovirta M, Helkala EL, Hallikainen M, et al. Hippocampal volumes in Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease with and without dementia, and in vascular dementia: an MRI study. *Neurology*. 1996 Mar;46(3):678–81.
- 17 Yin X, Liu C, Gui L, Zhao L, Zhang J, Wei L, et al. Comparison of medial temporal measures between Binswanger's disease and Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One*. 2014 Jan;9(1):e86423.
- 18 Weintraub S, Besser L, Dodge HH, Teylan M, Ferris S, Goldstein FC, et al. Version 3 of the Alzheimer disease centers' neuropsychological test battery in the uniform data set (UDS). *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2018 Jan–Mar;32(1):10–7.
- 19 DeCarli C, Miller BL, Swan GE, Reed T, Wolf PA, Garner J, et al. Predictors of brain morphology for men of the NHLBI twin study. *Stroke*. 1999 Mar;30(3):529–36.
- 20 Besser LM, Kukull WA, Teylan MA, Bigio EH, Cairns NJ, Kofler JK, et al. The revised national Alzheimer's coordinating center's neuropathology form-available data and new analyses. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*. 2018 Aug;77(8):717–26.
- 21 Morris JC. The clinical dementia rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules. *Neurology*. 1993 Nov;43(11):2412–4.
- 22 Montine TJ, Phelps CH, Beach TG, Bigio EH, Cairns NJ, Dickson DW, et al. National institute on aging-Alzheimer's association guidelines for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease: a practical approach. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2012 Jan;123(1):1–11.
- 23 DeCarli C, Murphy DG, Teichberg D, Campbell G, Sobering GS. Local histogram correction of MRI spatially dependent image pixel intensity nonuniformity. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1996 May–Jun;6(3):519–28.
- 24 Rajapakse JC, Giedd JN, DeCarli C, Snell JW, McLaughlin A, Vauss YC, et al. A technique for single-channel MR brain tissue segmentation: application to a pediatric sample. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 1996;14(9):1053–65.
- 25 Li X, Li D, Li Q, Li Y, Li K, Li S, et al. Hippocampal subfield volumetry in patients with subcortical vascular mild cognitive impairment. *Sci Rep*. 2016 Feb;6:20873.
- 26 Gootjes L, Teipel SJ, Zebuhr Y, Schwarz R, Leinsinger G, Scheltens P, et al. Regional distribution of white matter hyperintensities in vascular dementia, Alzheimer's disease and healthy aging. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2004;18(2):180–8.
- 27 Altamura C, Scarscia F, Quattrocchi CC, Errante Y, Gagemi E, Curcio G, et al. Regional MRI diffusion, white-matter hyperintensities, and cognitive function in Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia. *J Clin Neurol*. 2016 Apr;12(2):201–8.
- 28 Wardlaw JM, Smith EE, Biessels GJ, Cordonnier C, Fazekas F, Frayne R, et al. Neuroimaging standards for research into small vessel disease and its contribution to ageing and neurodegeneration. *Lancet Neurol*. 2013 Aug;12(8):822–38.
- 29 Hu HY, Ou YN, Shen XN, Qu Y, Ma YH, Wang ZT, et al. White matter hyperintensities and risks of cognitive impairment and dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 36 prospective studies. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2021 Jan;120:16–27.
- 30 Benedictus MR, van Harten AC, Leeuwis AE, Koene T, Scheltens P, Barkhof F, et al. White matter hyperintensities relate to clinical progression in subjective cognitive decline. *Stroke*. 2015 Sep;46(9):2661–4.
- 31 Jokinen H, Koikkalainen J, Laakso HM, Melkas S, Neiminen T, Brander A, et al. Global burden of small vessel disease-related brain changes on MRI predicts cognitive and functional decline. *Stroke*. 2020 Jan;51(1):170–8.
- 32 Brickman AM. Contemplating Alzheimer's disease and the contribution of white matter hyperintensities. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep*. 2013 Dec;13(12):415.
- 33 Jagust WJ, Zheng L, Harvey DJ, Mack WJ, Vinters HV, Weiner MW, et al. Neuropathological basis of magnetic resonance imaging in aging and dementia. *Ann Neurol*. 2008 Jan;63(1):72–80.
- 34 Barnes J, Scallih RI, Schott JM, Frost C, Rossor MN, Fox NC. Does Alzheimer's disease affect hippocampal asymmetry? Evidence from a cross-sectional and longitudinal volumetric MRI study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2005 Mar;19(5–6):338–44.
- 35 Shi F, Liu B, Zhou Y, Yu C, Jiang T. Hippocampal volume and asymmetry in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: meta-analyses of MRI studies. *Hippocampus*. 2009 Nov;19(11):1055–64.
- 36 Cavedo E, Pievani M, Boccardi M, Galluzzi S, Bocchetta M, Bonetti M, et al. Medial temporal atrophy in early and late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2014 Sep;35(9):2004–12.
- 37 Graff-Radford J, Arenaza-Urquijo EM, Knopman DS, Schwarz CG, Brown RD, Rabinstein AA, et al. White matter hyperintensities: relationship to amyloid and tau burden. *Brain*. 2019 Aug;142(8):2483–91.
- 38 Bigler ED, Tate DF, Miller MJ, Rice SA, Hessel CD, Earl HD, et al. Dementia, asymmetry of temporal lobe structures and apolipoprotein E genotype: relationships to cerebral atrophy and neuropsychological impairment. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2002 Nov;8(7):925–33.
- 39 Mok V, Wong KK, Ziong Y, Wong A, Schmidt R, Chu W, et al. Cortical and frontal atrophy are associated with cognitive impairment in age-related confluent white-matter lesion. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2011 Jan;82(1):52–7.
- 40 Pascual B, Prieto E, Arbizu J, Marti-Climent J, Olier J, Masdeu JC. Brain glucose metabolism in vascular white matter disease with dementia: differentiation from Alzheimer disease. *Stroke*. 2010 Dec;41(12):2889–93.
- 41 Grau-Olivares M, Arboix A, Junqué C, Arenaza-Urquijo EM, Rovira M, Bartrés-Faz D. Progressive gray matter atrophy in lacunar patients with vascular mild cognitive impairment. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2010;30(2):157–66.