# LETTER

**Open Access** 



# Freedom of speech for all critically ill patients: work in progress

P. R. Tuinman<sup>1,2\*</sup> and S. ten Hoorn<sup>1,2</sup>

See related Letter by Sutt et al., https://ccforum.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13054-016-1588-7 and related Letter by Egbers et al., https://ccforum.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13054-016-1587-8

Freedom of speech, e.g. to seek, receive and impart information, is one of the most precious rights of man. Both Egbers and Boerma [1] and Sutt and Fraser [2] highlight the possibility of using an (in-line) speaking valve in ventilator-dependent patients to improve communication through speech and suggest adding this option to the algorithm presented in our systematic review on communication with these patients [3]. As already pointed out by the authors, this option was beyond the scope of our literature search. However, we thank the authors for their suggestions, because using the patient's own voice is a very important option for improving communication with mechanically ventilated patients, and underlines three important issues regarding updating the algorithm for selecting alternative communication methods.

First, the scope of the algorithm may be broadened to critically ill patients who can tolerate cuff deflation and/ or patients with communication problems due to other reasons, such as non-invasive ventilation or intensive care unit-acquired weakness.

Second, new innovative communication techniques will be introduced in the future and need to be added to the algorithm. Next to the inclusion of speaking valves for restoration of the patient's own voice [4] as suggested by Sutt, Egbers and their co-workers, we think that developments in mobile technology will be of special interest. For example, mobile communication apps for tablets may benefit patients and replace simple communication boards in the future. These apps have not so far been studied and therefore have not yet been added to the algorithm. Also, we recently studied a new promising speech enhancement device. This device consists of a sensor which is placed on the patient's neck to conduct the faint vocalizations of the patient to a control unit, where the signal is amplified to produce an audible sound [5]. Especially, patients with non-invasive ventilation, a weak voice or patients with a tracheotomy seem to benefit.

Third, next to updating the algorithm with new communication methods, it also needs to be adjusted to the experience, preferences and resources of individual intensive care units. Therefore, depending on the availability of high-tech augmentative communication devices and the training, experience and preferences for the different alternative communication methods of the intensive care unit staff, a local algorithm should be designed.

In this way, each individual intensive care unit has its own tailor-made algorithm to maximize effective communication with critically ill patients, thereby allowing patients to seek, receive and impart information.

### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding Departmental.

## Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

### Authors' contributions

PRT and StH contributed substantially to the study design and the writing of the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Authors' information

Not applicable.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### **Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which pernits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: p.tuinman@vumc.nl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Intensive Care Medicine and Research VUmc Intensive Care (REVIVE), VU University Medical Center Amsterdam, Room ZH—7D-166, De Boelelaan 1117, PO Box 7057, MB, Amsterdam 1007, The Netherlands <sup>2</sup>Institute for Cardiovascular Research VU (ICaR-VU), VU University Medical Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

# Published online: 08 February 2017

### References

- Egbers PH, Boerma EC. Communicating with conscious mechanical ventilated critically ill patients: let them speak with deflated cuff and an inline speaking valve! Crit Care. 2017;21(1):7.
- Sutt AL, Fraser JF. Patients want to be heard—loud and clear! Crit Care. 2017;21(1):6.
- Ten Hoorn S, Elbers PW, Girbes AR, Tuinman PR. Communicating with conscious and mechanically ventilated critically ill patients: a systematic review. Crit Care. 2016;20(1):333.
- Sutt AL, Caruana LR, Dunster KR, Cornwell PL, Anstey CM, Fraser JF. Speaking valves in tracheostomised ICU patients weaning off mechanical ventilation—do they facilitate lung recruitment? Crit Care. 2016;20:91.
- IJssennagger CE, Ten Hoorn S, Girbes AR, Tuinman PR. A new speech enhancement device for critically ill patients with communication problems: a prospective feasibility study. Intensive Care Med. 2016. (Epub ahead of print).