

Eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders: a narrative review on clinical perspectives and research gaps in the Asian context

Fang Kuan Chiou¹[^], Lay Queen Ng¹, Wenyin Loh²[^]

¹Gastroenterology, Hepatology & Nutrition Service, Paediatric Medicine, Kandang Kerbau Women's and Children's Hospital (KKH), Singapore, Singapore; ²Allergy Service, Paediatric Medicine, Kandang Kerbau Women's and Children's Hospital (KKH), Singapore, Singapore Contributions: (I) Conception and design: FK Chiou; (II) Administrative support: All authors; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: All authors; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: All authors; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: All authors; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Dr. Fang Kuan Chiou, MBBS, MRCPCH. Gastroenterology, Hepatology & Nutrition Service, Paediatric Medicine, Kandang Kerbau Women's and Children's Hospital (KKH), 100 Bukit Timah Road, Singapore 229899, Singapore. Email: chiou.fang.kuan@singhealth.com.sg.

Background and Objective: Eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders (EGID) are a heterogeneous group of conditions, comprising eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) and non-EoE EGID which have gained considerable research interest. There are likely to be differences in disease characteristics between populations with distinct dietary, environmental and cultural backgrounds. However much of our understanding on EGID have come from studies from Europe and North America. The aim of this review is to summarize the recent developments and updates in EGID focusing on disease phenotype specifically in Asian patients, and identify opportunities for future research pertaining to disease profile in the Asian population.

Methods: Original studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses and review articles up to March 2024 were systematically searched on PubMed, with specific focus on newer studies published in the past 10 years. Case reports, conference abstracts and articles that were not published in the English language were excluded.

Key Content and Findings: Prevalence and incidence of EoE and non-EoE EGID have reportedly increased globally over time, but population-based studies are lacking in Asia. Based on heterogeneous data from a limited number of studies from Asia, there are features in epidemiology, clinical phenotype, and treatment response that may be appreciably distinct in Asian patients with EoE and non-EoE EGID, as compared to the Western patient population. Moreover, the efficacy of novel biologic therapies such as dupilumab in the Asian population has not been well-defined.

Conclusions: There is a lack of robust data on many basic aspects of EGID in Asia. There is a pressing need to bridge this gap by building research networks and collaborations across wider regions in Asia, to gather high-quality, multicenter data using standardized and uniform criteria and build a more accurate understanding of EGID in Asian patients.

Keywords: Eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders (EGID); eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE); food allergy

Received: 22 March 2024; Accepted: 21 July 2024; Published online: 23 August 2024.

doi: 10.21037/tgh-24-34

View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tgh-24-34

[^] ORCID: Fang Kuan Chiou, 0000-0003-4777-0267; Wenyin Loh, 0000-0003-1055-5985.

Introduction

Eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders (EGID) are emerging as an increasingly important disease entity affecting adults and children, and gaining considerable research interest over the past 2 decades. EGID is a heterogeneous group of conditions characterized by gastrointestinal (GI) dysfunction and symptoms, and increased eosinophilic infiltration in the GI tract with the exclusion of other causes of GI eosinophilia (1). While diagnostic criteria and treatment of eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) are well-established, there remains a lack of consensus on the clinical definition and management of non-EoE EGID (2,3). The prevalence of both EoE and non-EoE EGID is reportedly on the rise worldwide, and approaching similar figures as chronic inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) in the United States (4,5).

While the exact pathophysiologic mechanisms in EGID remains to be fully elucidated, the manifestation of EGID is predominantly the effect of a T-helper cell type 2 (TH2) inflammatory response to an interplay of genetic, dietary and environmental factors and triggers (6). EoE and non-EoE EGID are often associated with food allergies and atopic disorders, and response to elimination diet therapy particularly in EoE lends proof that food triggers have an important role to play in disease pathogenesis in EGID (3). For a condition that is so closely associated with food antigen and environmental triggers, it is reasonable to postulate that inherent differences in disease phenotype and triggering factors should exist between different populations with distinct genetic, dietary, and cultural backgrounds. In this respect, it is an interesting observation that the majority of studies on EoE and non-EoE EGID have been from Europe and North America.

In this article, we aimed to present a review that summarizes the recent developments and updates in EGID with a specific focus on epidemiology and clinical characteristics in Asian patients in comparison with Western patients, and identify gaps and opportunities for future research pertaining to disease profile in the Asian population. We present this article in accordance with the Narrative Review reporting checklist (available at https://tgh.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tgh-24-34/rc).

Methods

For the purpose of this narrative review, original studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses and review articles were searched on PubMed using combination of MeSH terms

and search criteria: "eosinophilic esophagitis", "eosinophilic gastrointestinal diseases/disorders OR eosinophilic gastritis OR eosinophilic gastroenteritis OR eosinophilic colitis", "Asia OR Asian", "racial groups", "epidemiology OR prevalence OR incidence", "drug therapy", "diet therapy". Literature search was conducted for studies up to March 2024, with specific focus on newer studies published in the past 10 years since 2014 (*Table 1*). Case reports, conference abstracts and articles that were not published in the English language were excluded.

Definition and histopathologic diagnosis

Diagnosis of EoE is based on clinical symptoms of esophageal dysfunction and esophageal eosinophilia of at least 15 eosinophils per high power field (eos/hpf) with the exclusion of other causes of esophageal eosinophilia (2). Notably in the updated 2018 international consensus, proton pump inhibitor (PPI) trial was removed from the diagnostic criteria as it was increasingly recognized that EoE and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) were not mutually exclusive: EoE can cause secondary reflux due to gastroesophageal dysmotility, and GERD can result in epithelial barrier dysfunction and pathologic response to antigen exposure (2).

Unlike EoE, there is no consensus or guidelines for the diagnosis of non-EoE EGIDs at present. Non-EoE EGID can involve the stomach, small bowel, colon or combination of these, and can be further classified into mucosal, muscular or serosal involvement. Just recently in 2022, a consensus was developed for the standardized nomenclature for the different categories of non-EoE EGID (7). It is agreed upon that EGID is the umbrella term for eosinophilic inflammation of the GI tract in the absence of secondary causes. EGID involving the stomach, small intestine and colon are named eosinophilic gastritis (EoG), eosinophilic enteritis (EoN, which can be classified into duodenitis, jejunitis or ileitis if segment of involvement is known), and eosinophilic colitis (EoC) respectively.

Establishing diagnostic criteria for non-EoE EGID is challenging because a normal resident population of eosinophils exists in the stomach, intestinal and colonic mucosa, ranging up to 26–28 eos/hpf in the small intestine, to maximum of 50 eos/hpf in the colon (8). Investigators have used varying diagnostic thresholds to define EoG which limits the comparability of data across studies (9). Moreover, standard endoscopic mucosal biopsies do not provide information on eosinophilic infiltration in the

Table 1 The search strategy summary

Items	Specifications
Date of search	15 March 2024
Databases searched	PubMed
Search terms used	"eosinophilic esophagitis", "eosinophilic gastrointestinal diseases/disorders OR eosinophilic gastritis OR eosinophilic gastroenteritis OR eosinophilic colitis", "Asia OR Asian", "racial groups", "epidemiology OR prevalence OR incidence", "drug therapy", "diet therapy"
Timeframe	Up to March 2024 with specific focus on newer studies published since 2014
Inclusion and exclusion criteria	Inclusion: original studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses and review articles
	Exclusion: case reports, conference abstracts, articles not published in the English language
Selection process	All authors (F.K.C., L.Q.N., W.L.) conducted the search independently. Selection of articles for the review was by consensus from all three authors based on relevance, type of articles and study methodology where applicable

deeper layers, and in most cases tissue biopsies are limited to only the proximal small bowel as the entire length of the small intestine is rarely examined. Therefore, negative mucosal biopsies may not completely rule out EGID (10). Nonetheless, it has been proposed that a histopathologic threshold of ≥ 30 eos/hpf in at least 5 hpf, or ≥ 70 eos/hpf in at least 3 hpf forms the basis for the diagnosis of EoG together with relevant clinical and endoscopic features (11,12). For EoN, the proposed threshold is >52 eos/hpf in the duodenum and >56 eos/hpf in the ileum. The threshold varies in the large bowel with a higher cut-off of >100 eos/hpf in the cecum and ascending colon, and a lower cut-off of >84 in the transverse/descending colon, and >64 eos/hpf in the rectosigmoid colon (12). In addition to the eosinophilic count, other pathologic features such as altered eosinophilic activity and distribution and reactive epithelial alterations may provide further support to the diagnosis of EGID (10). Odetola et al. have proposed that studying the eosinophil degranulation ratio (degranulated eosinophils/granulated and degranulated eosinophils) in tissue biopsies may provide critical information on disease activity in EoE, and may identify potential biomarkers of eosinophilic activity and inflammation in EoE as well as non-EoE EGID (13).

Pathogenesis

With the exception of the esophagus, there is a baseline population of eosinophils in the GI tract. This normal population of GI eosinophils not only helps to defend the host against parasitic helminths, but may also play important roles in immune regulation of T-cells and possibly in tissue

and organ development (14). Evidence on pathogenesis of EoE points towards an underlying genetic susceptibility to heightened pro-inflammatory, Th2-mediated response, for example in gain-of-function mutation or over-expression of thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) or C-C motif chemokine ligand-26 (CCL-26) (which encodes eotaxin-3, a potent recruiter of eosinophils), in combination with downregulation of proteins associated with epithelial integrity and barrier function such as filaggrin and desmoglein-1 (6). Impaired barrier function permits abnormal allergen exposure and antigen presentation leading to activation of Th2 lymphocytes and expression of type 2 cytokines including interleukin (IL)-4, IL-5 and IL-13 which promote differentiation of T cells into Th2 cells, expression of eotaxin and proliferation and maturation of eosinophils. Eosinophils facilitate further inflammation in turn by triggering the activation of mast cells and basophils (6,15). Chronic esophageal inflammation eventually leads to dysmotility, fibrosis and stricture formation (6).

One interesting observation has been the inverse correlation between Helicobacter pylori (HP) infection and EoE. In a systematic review by Shah *et al.*, HP exposure was associated with 37% reduction in odds of EoE, based on 11 observational studies including 2 from East Asia (China and Japan), which recorded the highest prevalence of HP (47–72%) among the other studies with overall prevalence of 8.9% (16). A more recent case-control study from South Korea published in 2023 also demonstrated that EoE was inversely associated with HP infection with odds ratio of 0.2 (17). It has been postulated that this association may be related to the 'hygiene hypothesis' which explains the rise

in allergic and atopic diseases being possible consequence to improved sanitation and reduced childhood infections including HP (15). Another postulation is the immune-regulatory effect of HP which protects against an allergic Th2 inflammatory process seen in EoE (15). The global prevalence of HP is declining particularly in Asian populations (18,19), but it remains unproven whether the simultaneous global rise in the incidence of EGID is coincidental or one of the many surrogate outcomes associated with the 'hygiene hypothesis'.

Similar to EoE, a common understanding in the pathophysiology of non-EoE EGID is that the Th2mediated inflammatory process, mediated by IL-4 and IL-5, seems to be central to the disease (3,20,21). In addition, there are other similarities in features between EoE and non-EoE, including high prevalence of atopy, sensitization to food allergens, therapeutic response to dietary therapy, as well as the co-existence of EoE and non-EoE EGID in a substantial proportion of patients (3). However, despite these similarities, it remains uncertain whether EoE and non-EoE EGID truly share the same pathogenesis. Response to dietary therapy is observed to be less successful in non-EoE EGID compared to EoE (3). Moreover, a study by Caldwell et al. showed distinct gastric transcriptome in EoG with very little overlap with EoE biopsies, supporting the theory of possible distinct molecular pathways in the pathogenesis of both conditions (22).

Epidemiology

Based on recent meta-analyses of population studies, the overall prevalence of EoE was 34-40 per 100,000 inhabitants, and pooled incidence was 6.6 new cases per 100,000 person-years in children and 7.7/100,000 person-years in adults (4,23). Incidence was highest in high-income countries, particularly North America (23). Male gender is associated with at least 2 times the risk of EoE as compared to females (4). These data however were limited by high level of heterogeneity between studies, and nearly all the studies came from North America and Europe, with only one paper from Asia included in the meta-analysis by Hahn et al. (23,24). While EoE is still considered a rare condition in Asia, there is an appreciable increase in diagnosis and incidence rate in the past 10 years mirroring similar trends in Western populations (25). Recent Asian publications in 2015 from Japan and China in patients undergoing endoscopy for GI symptoms or health-check have estimated the overall prevalence of EoE to be 0.4% (24,26), whereas a

meta-analysis consisting of 9 older Asian studies [2011–2014] reported a prevalence of 0.06% of patients undergoing endoscopy (27). A recent nationwide multicenter study in Korea reported that the prevalence of EoE in the pediatric population who had symptoms of esophageal dysfunction and underwent endoscopy was 1.5% while the prevalence of non-EoE EGID was 1.3% (28). The different methodology used in these studies in assessing prevalence does not allow for direct comparison with population-based data from Western countries. Till date there has been no proper population-based study to estimate the true prevalence or incidence of EoE in Asian countries. Demographically, EoE affects patients of all ages, and a similar male preponderance has been observed in Asian patients (27,29).

With regard to epidemiology of non-EoE EGID, the pooled overall prevalence, inclusive of adult and pediatrics, is reported to be 1.9% of patients presenting with GI symptoms, and the prevalence was higher in developed countries as compared to developing countries (2.4% vs. 1.5%) (5). These data were derived from a metaanalysis comprising ten studies of which five were derived from Asian cohorts. Analyzing studies from India, China, Japan and Malaysia, the prevalence of non-EoE EGID in symptomatic patients ranged between 1.2% to 5.7% (5). Based on International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes from a national database on insurance claims in the United States, the estimated prevalence of EoG, eosinophilic gastroenteritis (EoGE) and EoC were 6.3, 8.4 and 3.3 per 100,000 respectively, much lower than EoE (30). A separate population-based study also from the United States using data derived from an aggregated electronic health record database, estimated the overall prevalence rate of EoGE to be 5.1 per 100,000 persons, and prevalence rate of EoC to be 2.1 per 100,000 (31). Both of these studies reported Caucasian majority and female predominance in non-EoE EGID, and higher prevalence in the pediatric age group (30,31). A more recent multicenter study of 373 patients comprising mostly children (n=317) with non-EoE EGID showed no significant gender predilection and also concluded that the frequency of diagnosis of non-EoE EGID has been increasing over time (32). Population studies on the true prevalence and incidence of non-EoE EGID in Asian countries are also lacking at present (33). Interestingly, Ito et al. found in their systematic review of 121 studies that while EoE accounted for the large majority (>90%) of EGID in Caucasian patients, EoE was much rarer and non-EoE EGID was the more predominant (72%) EGID subtype in Asian patients (34). Table 2 summarizes

Table 2 Summary of epidemiology of EoE and non-EoE EGID in Western and Asian populations

				*				
		Western	ərn			Asian	ın	
sub-type	Publication (country, year) (ref)	Study design	Patients	Data	Publication (country, year) (ref)	Study design	Patients	Data
EoE	Navarro (International, 2019) (4)	Meta-analysis of 29 population-based studies, mostly North America and Europe, none in Asia	65,382 (adults and children)	Prevalence: 34.2/100,000	Kinoshita (Asian countries, 2015) (27)	Meta-analysis of 9 studies (2011–2014)	77 (adults and children)	Prevalence 0.06% of patients undergoing endoscopy
	Hahn (International, 2023) (23)	Meta-analysis of 40 population studies, only 1 study from Asia (China)	147,668 (adults and children)	Prevalence: 40.04/100,000 Incidence 5.31/100,000 person-years	Ma (China, 2015) (24)	Single-centre, prospective	4 (adults)	Prevalence: 0.4% of patients undergoing endoscopy
					Adachi (Japan, 2016) (26)	Single-centre, prospective	20 (adults)	Prevalence 0.4% of patients underdoing endoscopy
					Lee (South Korea, Multicentre, 2020) (28) retrospectiv	Multicentre, retrospective	14 (children)	Prevalence 1.5% of patients with esophageal symptoms
Non-EoE EGID	Jensen (US, 201 (30)	Jensen (US, 2016) Retrospective, (30) population-based	774 (EoGE), 954 (EoGE), 404, (EoC), adults and children	Prevalence: 6.3/100,000 (EoG), 8.4/100,000 (EoGE), 3.3/100,000 (EoC)	Licari (International, 2020) (5)	Meta-analysis of 10 studies (4 studies from North America and Europe, 6 studies from Asia)	156 (adults and children) in India, China, Japan, Malaysia	Prevalence 1.95% of symptomatic patients
	Mansoor (US, 2017) (31)	Retrospective, population based	1,820 (EoGE), 770 (EoC), adults and children	Prevalence: 5.1/100,000 (EoGE), 2.1/100,000 (EoC)				
	Licari (International, 2020) (5)	Meta-analysis of 10 studies (4 studies from North America and Europe, 6 studies from Asia)	36 (adults and children), in United States, Denmark, Italy	Prevalence 1.1% of symptomatic patients				
ı	-				1			

EoE, eosinophilic esophagitis; EGID, eosinophilic gastrointestinal disease; EoG, eosinophilic gastritis; EoGE, eosinophilic gastroenteritis; EoC, eosinophilic colitis.

the recent epidemiologic data of EoE and non-EoE EGID in Western and Asian populations.

Clinical presentation and associations

It is well-recognized that symptoms of EoE vary according to age group. Children may present with non-specific symptoms such as feeding difficulties, vomiting and faltering growth, whereas adolescent and adult patients are more likely to present with the cardinal symptoms of dysphagia or food impaction, although subtle behavioral adaptations such as over-chewing, over-cutting or lubricating foods with sauces and liquids might be easily overlooked (35-37). Chronic GERD symptoms are common across age groups (6). The difference in clinical presentation in children and adults may be related to long-term esophageal tissue remodeling from chronic inflammation, and the resultant progression from a 'subclinical' inflammatory phenotype in childhood to a fibrostenotic phenotype with stricture formation in adults (15). Interestingly, a study from the United States have also reported that a significantly larger proportion of white persons as compared to African Americans or other races had dysphagia (74%, 56% and 53% respectively) and food impaction (35%, 13% and 13% respectively) (38). This observation was also highlighted in a systematic review by Ito et al. which reported that Asians with EoE were less likely to have dysphagia (47.9% vs. 77.2%) and heartburn (16.9% vs. 38.9%), but more likely to present with vomiting (5.6% vs. 1.1%) and abdominal pain (18.3% vs. 1.8%) as compared to Caucasian patients with EoE (34) (Table 3). In Ito's paper, the authors postulated that clinical presentation might be influenced by different dietary habits as well as background prevalence of HP and its specific genotypes, all of which may affect the distribution of eosinophilia and inflammation in the GI tract, and presence of co-existing GERD symptoms. A Korean study comprising 72 adult patients with EoE similarly found epigastric pain and/ or dyspepsia (54.2%) to be the most common symptoms, followed by heartburn (30.6%), while dysphagia/food impaction was less common (15.3%) (25). By contrast, 2 recent studies from Japan, both published in 2021, with relatively larger patient cohorts have shown that dysphagia was the predominant symptom affecting 66.9-82% of patients with EoE, whereas abdominal pain was reported only in 6.1–24% (29,39) (Table 3). Authors in both papers have acknowledged the recent sharp increase in prevalence and incidence of EoE in Japan, possibly related to the coincident decline in HP prevalence as well as increase

in disease awareness and other environmental and dietary factors. However, it remains unclear if the contrasting findings in clinical symptomatology reflects a true change in disease characteristics in the Asian population, or possibly the result of reporting bias in the context of increasing disease recognition and diagnosis over time. As for Asian children with EoE, consistent with international data, a multicenter study on pediatric EoE in Korea showed that non-specific symptoms such as vomiting (57.1%), abdominal pain (42.9%) and weight loss (42.9%) were the most common symptoms, whereas dysphagia was less common, observed in only 14.3% of patients (28).

In non-EoE EGID, symptoms are related to the region of the GI tract that is involved. Abdominal pain is commonly encountered across all non-EoE EGID (30,32,40). Specifically, EoG can present with dyspepsia, nausea/vomiting, early satiety, peptic ulcer disorder which may be non-responsive to standard treatment with PPIs, small bowel involvement can lead to malabsorption, proteinlosing enteropathy and diarrhea, and patients with EoC are more likely to present with diarrhea and hematochezia (3). Complications of ascites and bowel obstruction may occur in cases of muscular or serosal involvement (5). Studies on Asian patients have also reported abdominal pain as the most common symptom affecting up to three-quarters of patients with non-EoE EGID, and diarrhea was observed to affect nearly half of these patients (29,34,39,41-43). Yamamoto et al. has also highlighted an interesting observation that restriction in activities of daily living was reported for 51% of patients with non-EoE EGID, as compared to only 12% of patients with EoE (29). This may signify more severe symptoms and disease course, and possibly lack of standardized treatment in non-EoE EGID. Symptoms were similar in Asian children with non-EoE EGID, with abdominal pain, diarrhea and vomiting being the most common clinical symptoms based on pediatric studies from Japan and China (44,45). Interestingly, the majority (>70%) of our cohort of children with EoG from Singapore presented with vomiting and hematemesis, with patients being notably younger (median age of 15 months) than in other pediatric studies (46) (Table 4).

There is a strong association between EoE and atopic and allergic disorders, with high frequencies of allergic rhinitis (57–70%), asthma (27–60%), atopic dermatitis (6–46%) and IgE-mediated food allergy (24–68%) in the EoE population (47). A systematic review on EoE in Asian countries reported that bronchial asthma was the most frequent comorbid allergic disease occurring in 24% in

Table 3 Summary of presenting symptoms in Western and Asian patients with EoE

Publication	Ohudu danim	Patients	Symptoms					
(country, year) (ref)	Study design	Patients	Dysphagia	Heartburn	Food impaction	Vomiting	Abdominal pair	
Western data								
Ito (International, 2015) (34)	Systematic review of 121 studies	2,193 (1,873 Caucasian, 105 Asian), adults and children	77.2%	38.9%	-	1.1%	1.8%	
Moawad (US, 2016) (38)	Retrospective, multicenter	793, adults and children	74% (White), 56% (African American), 53% (other races)	33% (White), 36% (African American), 38% (other races)	35% (White), 13% (African American), 13% (other races)	28% (White), 28% (African American), 23% (other races)	-	
Shaheen (International, 2018) (36)	Systematic review of 27 studies on natural history from US, Europe, Australia and 1 study from Japan (26 patients)		4.8–60.9% (children), 46.2–94.5% (adults)	7.7–54.5% (adults)	6.7–21.7% (children), 16.9–65.7% adults)	16.7–59.6% (children), 4.5–38.2% (adults)	15.7–56.6% (children)	
Asian data								
Ito (International, 2015) (34)	Systematic review of 121 studies	2,193 (1,873 Caucasian, 105 Asian), adults and children	47.9%	16.9%	-	5.6%	18.3%	
Kinoshita (International, 2015) (27)	Systematic review of 25 studies from Asia, mostly from Japan	217, adults and children	43.7%	22.1%	4.2%	6.1%	14.1%	
Kim (South Korea, 2019) (25)	Retrospective, single 72, adults center		15.3%	30.6%	-	-	54.2%	
Lee (South Korea, 2020) (28)	Retrospective, multicenter	14, children	14.3%	-	-	57.1%	42.9%	
Yamamoto (Japan, 2021) (29)	Retrospective, multicenter	153, adults and children	82%	-	-	30%	24%	
Okimoto (Japan, 2021) (39)	Retrospective, single-center	181, adults	66.9%	43.1%	-	-	6.1%	

EoE, eosinophilic esophagitis.

Asian patients with EoE, followed by allergic rhinitis (22%), food allergies (13%) and atopic dermatitis (11%) (27). More than 50% of Asian patients with EoE had at least 1 allergic/atopic disorder (27). Other Asian single and multi-center studies have further reported rates of concomitant allergic disorders in 35–80% of patients with EoE (25,28,48). A single-center study on race-specific characteristics in pediatric EoE showed no difference in atopic history or food allergies between black/African American, Hispanic or Latin, Asian, and patients of other races (49).

Equally high rates of allergic disorders (50-70%) were

observed in non-EoE EGID, most commonly asthma and allergic rhinitis, and this was universal among Western and Asian populations (32,39,44,46). Food allergies were common and have been reported to affect as many as 24–38% of patients in non-EoE EGID (32,39,40). In addition, patients with non-EoE EGID may be more likely to have increased serum eosinophil counts (29–63% vs. 22–33%), and low serum protein/albumin (21% vs. 0%) as compared to EoE, but these parameters are not consistent or reliable enough to correlate with diagnosis or disease activity (27,29,39).

Table 4 Summary of presenting symptoms in Western and Asian patients with non-EoE EGID

Publication			Symptoms						
(country, year) (ref)	Study design	Patients	Abdominal pain	Vomiting	Bleeding	Edema/ ascites	Weight loss, faltering growth	Diarrhea	Dysphagia
Western data									
Ko (US, 2014) (40)	Retrospective, single-center	30 (EoG), children	43%	36%	11%	4%	7%	-	-
Ito (International, 2015) (34)	Systematic review of 121 studies	2,193 (1,873 Caucasian, 105 Asian), adults and children	66.3%	47.8%	-	-	-	47.8%	5.4%
Jensen (US, 2016) (30)	Retrospective, national insurance database	(EoGE), 404 (EoC). Adults and	51.9% (EoG) 49.7% (EoGE), 59.2% (EoC)	, ,	, , ,	-	1.7% (EoG), 2.6% (EoGE), 3.5% (EoC)	16.9% (EoG), 30.7% (EoGE), 40.8% (EoC)	0%
Pesek (US, 2019) (32)	Retrospective, multicenter	376, adults and children	51%	49%	11%	-	9%	30%	11%
Asian data									
Ito (International, 2015) (34)	Systematic review of 121 studies	2,193 (1,873 Caucasian, 105 Asian), adults and children	64.9%	22.5%	-	-	-	50.0%	5.4%
Abassa (China, 2017) (41)	Retrospective, single-center	20, adults	70%	35%	-	-	-	20%	-
Hui (Malaysia, 2018) (42)	Retrospective, single-center	64, adults	35.9%	-	-	-	-	46.9%	-
Yamamoto (Japan, 2021) (29)	Retrospective, multicenter	151, adults and children	74%	34%	15%	13%	-	44%	18%
Okimoto (Japan, 2021) (39)	Retrospective, single-center	34, adults	61.8%	-	-	-	-	47.1%	14.7%
Kobayashi (Japan, 2022) (44)	Retrospective, single-center	109, children	76.1%	14.7%	10.1%	-	0.9%	23.9%	0.9%
Chen (China, 2022) (45)	Retrospective, single-center	22, children	77.3%	40.1%	-	-	-	13.6%	-
Ng (Singapore, 2022) (46)	Retrospective, single-center	21, children	28.6%	76.2%	71.4%	19%	23.8%	14.3%	-

EoE, eosinophilic esophagitis; EGID, eosinophilic gastrointestinal disease; EoG, eosinophilic gastritis; EoGE, eosinophilic gastroenteritis; EoC, eosinophilic colitis.

Endoscopy

Endoscopic findings of EoE have been well-described in the literature. The endoscopic reference score (EREFS) is a grading system which is based on the presence and severity of endoscopic findings such as edema, rings, exudates, furrows and stricture (15). Based on Asian data, linear furrows were the most frequently reported finding in 52–69% (25,27). Shimura *et al.* found that rings and strictures were not frequent in Japanese patients with EoE, and that linear furrows on endoscopy were the most reliable endoscopic finding to predict esophageal eosinophilia (50).

Normal endoscopy findings may be seen in 18–40% of cases (27,51).

A recent study from North America using the EoG Endoscopic Reference System (EG-REFS) that was modeled after the EoE EREFS found that erythema (72%) was the most common finding, followed by raised lesions (49%), erosions (46%) and granularity (35%) (52). A Japanese study also found erythema to be the most frequent gastric finding (72%), followed by ulcers (39%), discoloration (33%), erosions (28%), nodularity (28%) and polyps (28%) (53). Endoscopic findings were not predictive of the density of gastric eosinophilic infiltrates (53).

Management

The management of EoE and non-EoE EGID can be divided into the following categories: (I) pharmacologic therapy; (II) dietary therapy; (III) endoscopic or surgical intervention (for esophageal strictures, GI bleeding or surgical complications associated with non-EoE EGID). We will focus the discussion on the first two categories of treatment.

Pharmacologic therapy

PPI and swallowed topical corticosteroids (STC) are established pharmacologic options for EoE (54). STC, in the form of swallowed fluticasone or oral viscous budesonide adapted from inhaled formulations for asthma, have been shown to be effective in inducing histologic remission in 70-90% of patients (55). Recent and ongoing research in specific oral formulations of STC for EoE, such as oral dispersible tablets and oral suspensions, have shown promising results and may be standard therapy in the near future (56-58). The basis for the use of PPI comes from the coexistence and reciprocal provocation between GERD and EoE, the hypothesis that PPI may have an anti-inflammatory effect in down-regulating allergic Th2 inflammation, and observational evidence showing symptom response in up to 30–60% of patients (54,59). Additionally, studies on Japanese patients with EoE have also documented high response rate with PPI therapy of over 70% (39,48), although it is not known if similar high response rates are seen in other Asian populations.

An exciting development in EoE therapy is dupilumab, a human monoclonal anti-IL-4/13 antibody which has recently been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the United States for the

indication of EoE. Phase 3 data showed that weekly or 2-weekly dupilumab achieved histologic remission in around 60% of patients at 24 weeks and 74-85% of patients at 52 weeks in patients 12 years and older (60,61). This is indeed a promising breakthrough in contrast to the relative lack of clinical benefit in previously studied biologic agents such as anti-IL-5 (mepolizumab, reslizumab) and anti-IgE (omalizumab) (15). Further progress may be expected in targeted therapies in EoE, with ongoing trials using novel drugs such as cendakimab, dectrekumab (both inhibitors of IL-4/IL-13 pathway), losartan (inhibition of transforming growth factor-β), IL-15 inhibitor (CALY-002), antolimab and lirentelimab (Siglec inhibition), and barzolvolimab (mast cell inhibitor) (62). As many of these trials are conducted in North America and Europe, it will be interesting to see if these therapies respond similarly in Asian patients considering the genetic, dietary and environmental differences.

For non-EoE EGID, no randomized controlled trials are available to provide definitive guidance on treatment. Observational data have shown that corticosteroids, in various formulations such as oral systemic steroids and viscous or enteric-release budesonide were useful in improving clinical symptoms (63,64). Similar retrospective studies from Asia have also documented efficacy specifically with systemic corticosteroids (prednisolone) though relapses were observed when steroids were tapered off (39,43,45,65). Other pharmacologic agents such as PPI, leukotriene receptor antagonists, mast cell inhibitors, antihistamines and biologic agents have been documented in case reports and small series but at present there is little evidence of significant clinical benefit to make any recommendations for their use.

Dietary therapy

As food allergens are known to play a significant contributory factor to the pathogenesis of EoE, food elimination diet (FED) is an accepted first-line treatment strategy (54). While complete elimination of food proteins using elemental, amino-based formula feed has been associated with successful response rate of >90%, it is challenging to sustain such a dietary therapy because of poor palatability, high cost and negative quality of life (15). Empiric FED removing the most common food triggers is a more widely-accepted approach. Six-FED (cow's milk, wheat, egg, soy/legumes, peanut/tree nut, fish/seafood), 4-FED (cow's milk, wheat, egg, soy/legumes) and 1-FED

(cow's milk) have been found to achieve pooled histologic remission rates of 61.3%, 49.4%, 51.4% respectively (66). Based on meta-analysis of studies in which patients received step-wise food re-introduction and re-evaluation, the most common causative food triggers were cow's milk (70.5%), followed by wheat (48.0%), eggs (27.6%) and legumes (20.7%) (66). The 'step-up' strategy starting with 2-FED (cow's milk and wheat) and escalating to 4- and 6-FED only in non-responders may offer benefits in reduced diagnostic time, reduced endoscopic procedures and less unnecessary dietary restrictions (67). There is low concordance between identified food triggers and food-specific IgE or skin prick test, hence allergy-test directed elimination diet is not recommended (68). It is worth highlighting that in the management of children with EoE, nutritional skills and optimal growth are important considerations which can be impaired with extensive food elimination (35). Hence a multidisciplinary approach involving the pediatric dietician is important to ensure adequate caloric intake and appropriate nutritional milestones. Surprisingly there is scarcity of data on the efficacy of FED in Asian patients with EoE. This is an area that definitely warrants research as there are distinct dietary, cultural and environmental differences between Asian and Western populations that may influence the role of food triggers in EoE in Asian patients.

In non-EoE EGID, there is some evidence that shows that dietary therapy may have a role in inducing disease remission. In a systematic review of 30 studies (mostly case reports/series), elemental diet and extensive empiric FED have been shown to result in clinical remission in 76% and 85% respectively of patients with non-EoE EGID (69). Ko et al. found that dietary therapy, ranging from elemental to empiric 1- to 7-FED led to clinical response in 82% and histologic response in 78% of children with EoG in North America (40). Similarly to what has been found in EoE, response to dietary therapy did not correlate to patient's food-specific IgE or skin prick test results (40). In Kobayashi's study from Japan, 23 out of 24 (95.8%) pediatric patients on FED experienced improvement or resolution of symptoms (44). Our study has also documented clinical and histologic remission rates of 94.7% and 68.8% with combined FED and PPI therapy in 21 children with EoG in Singapore, with younger patients more likely to respond to treatment as compared to older children (46). Yamamoto et al. found in a nation-wide study in Japan that patients with non-EoE EGID, comprising adults and children, who were managed with dietary therapy (29 out of 151 patients) had

symptom resolution rate of 52%, comparable with systemic corticosteroids (29). The heterogeneity in diagnostic criteria, treatment approach and outcome definitions between studies limits the generalizability of these results. Nonetheless, there seems to be preliminary observational data that food trigger may play an important role in the pathophysiology of non-EoE EGID especially in pediatrics, and FED may be an effective strategy as first-line treatment similar to EoE.

Table 5 summarizes recent studies on EoE and non-EoE EGID from Asia that reported defined outcomes based on various treatment modalities.

Outcome and prognosis

EoE is a chronic disorder which gradually progresses from an inflammatory phenotype in childhood, eventually to a fibrostenotic phenotype in adulthood (70). The time interval in the delay in diagnosis or untreated EoE has been shown to increase the odds of fibrosis and stricture (71,72). As disease progression is gradual, patients may not report worsening symptoms but instead adopt compensatory eating behavior to minimize dysphagia or discomfort from progressive esophageal fibrosis (70). A review of past endoscopies in 10 patients diagnosed with esophageal eosinophilia in a study conducted in Japan found that endoscopic findings of EoE could be observed at a mean of 6.1 years prior to diagnosis, with no significant progression over time and only three patients developing mild symptoms of dysphagia or heartburn (48). The study is limited by small patient number but the authors did postulate that EoE in Japanese patients could be milder in severity, with high rate of PPI-response. Nonetheless, recent studies have supported long-term treatment to maintain disease remission and prevent relapse and progression to fibrostenotic disease (73,74). To date there has been no report of malignancy associated with EoE (75).

The natural history and disease course of non-EoE EGID are less clearly understood. Pineton *et al.* summarized that patients could follow 3 different courses of disease progression: (I) an initial disease flare without relapse; (II) multiple flares separated by periods of remission; and (III) chronic disease (64). Reed *et al.* found that only one third of patients with non-EoE EGID remained in long-term remission, majority having a persistent or progressive disease course (63). Li *et al.* found that 56.4% out of 55 patients with non-EoE EGID from China, majority treated with corticosteroids, developed disease relapse with median

Table 5 Studies on EoE and non-EoE EGID from Asia with defined treatment outcomes

Publication (country, year) (ref)	Study design	Patients	Diagnostic criteria (tissue eosinophil count)	Treatment	Outcome
EoE					
Sato (Japan, 2018)		17, adults	Esophagus ≥15 eos/hpf	PPI (100%)	HR: 70.6% (<5 eos/hpf)
(48)	single-center			STC, FED not reported	
Kim (South Korea,	Retrospective,	72, adults	Esophagus ≥15 eos/hpf	PPI (77.8%)	CR: 93.2%
2019) (25)	single-center			STC (5.6%)	ER: 66.7%
				FED (not reported)	HR (n=18): 66.7% (<15 eos/hpf)
Yamamoto (Japan, 2021) (29)	Retrospective, multi-center	153, adults and children	Esophagus ≥15 eos/hpf	PPI (84%)	CR: 40% with PPI, 57% with STC, 25% with FED
				STC (24%)	ER/HR: not reported
				FED (3%)	
Okimoto (Japan,	Retrospective,	181 adults	Esophagus ≥15 eos/hpf	PPI (92.3%)	CR/HR (not defined): 73.2% with
2021) (39)	single center			STC (12.7%)	PPI, 100% with STC
				FED (not reported)	
Non-EoE EGID					
Wong (Singapore,	Retrospective,	18, adults	Stomach ≥30 eos/hpf	SC (50%)	CR: 88.9% with SC
2015) (65)	single-center			STC (5.6%)	ER/HR: not reported
Hui (Malaysia, 2018) (42)	Retrospective, single-center	64, adults	lleum/colon ≥20 eos/hpf	AH/LRA (100%)	CR: 89.1% with AH/LRA, 100% with SC
				SC (10.9%)	ER/HR: not reported
Yamamoto	Retrospective,	151, adults	Stomach ≥30 eos/hpf	PPI (42%)	CR: 55% with SC, 52% with FED
(Japan, 2021) (29)	multi-center	and children	Small intestine ≥50 eos/hpf	SC (40%)	ER/HR: not reported
			Colon ≥60 eos/hpf	AH/LRA (44%)	
				FED (19%, all children)	
Kobayashi (Japan, 2022) (44)	Retrospective, single-center	109, children	Any region except esophagus ≥20 eos/hpf	PPI (21.1%)	CR: 39.4%
				FED (22.0%)	ER/HR: not reported
				AH/LRA (18.3%)	
				FED and AH/LRA (45.9%)	
				FED, AH/LRA, SC (4.6%)	
Ng (Singapore,	Retrospective,	21, children	Stomach ≥30 eos/hpf	PPI and FED (95.2%)	CR: 94.7% with PPI/FED
2022) (46)	single-center			STC (19.0%)	ER (n=16): 81.3% with PPI/FED
					HR (n=16): 68.8% (<20 eos/hpf) with PPI/FED

EoE, eosinophilic esophagitis; EGID; eosinophilic gastrointestinal disease; eos/hpf, eosinophils per high power film; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; STC, swallowed topical steroids; FED, food elimination diet; HR, histologic response; CR, clinical response; ER, endoscopic response; SC, systemic corticosteroids; AH, anti-histamine; LRA, leukotriene receptor antagonist.

Table 6 Research opportunities to improve our understanding of clinical characteristics and manage of EGID in Asian patients

Data	Research gaps specific to EGID in Asia
Epidemiology	(I) Prevalence and incidence of EGID using standardized definitions, with wider diversity of populations in Asia
	(II) Environmental, dietary, genetic risk factors associated with development of EGID, with distinction between EoE and non-EoE EGID
	(III) Role of Helicobacter pylori in incidence and clinical manifestation and phenotype of EGID
Phenotype	(I) Clinical presentation, and characterization of disease phenotype of EoE and non-EoE EGID
Treatment	(I) Efficacy of food elimination diet and potential causative food triggers specific to Asian patients
	(II) Response rate with standard pharmacologic therapy, PPI and corticosteroids
	(III) High-quality randomized controlled trials of novel treatment, including biologic therapy
Outcome	(I) Disease progression and long-term prognosis, including incidence of stricture formation
	(II) Malignancy risk
	(III) Impact of disease on quality of life

EGID, eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders; EoE, eosinophilic esophagitis; PPI, proton pump inhibitor.

relapse-free interval of 12 months (76). In Japan, non-EoE EGID followed a continuous chronic course in 64% of patients, single-flare type in 19% (predominant in the younger age group 0–4 years), and intermittent relapsing course in 7% of patients (29).

Conclusions

EGID is still a relatively new group of conditions with many clinical and research questions that remain to be answered. Much of our current understanding of EGID have come from studies from Europe and North America. There are likely to be differences in disease characteristics between populations with distinct dietary, environmental and cultural backgrounds, however there remains a considerable scarcity of robust data on many basic aspects of EGID in Asia (Table 6). There is a pressing need to bridge this gap by building research networks and collaborations across wider regions in Asia through regional/international societies and registries, to gather high-quality, multicenter data using standardized and uniform criteria. It is through such collaborations that we can then pool data across studies meaningfully and have a more accurate understanding of EGID in Asian patients.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the Narrative Review reporting checklist. Available at https://tgh.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tgh-24-34/rc

Peer Review File: Available at https://tgh.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tgh-24-34/prf

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://tgh.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tgh-24-34/coif). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Furuta GT, Forbes D, Boey C, et al. Eosinophilic gastrointestinal diseases (EGIDs). J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2008;47:234-8.
- Dellon ES, Liacouras CA, Molina-Infante J, et al.
 Updated International Consensus Diagnostic Criteria for Eosinophilic Esophagitis: Proceedings of the AGREE Conference. Gastroenterology 2018;155:1022-1033.e10.
- Egan M, Furuta GT. Eosinophilic gastrointestinal diseases beyond eosinophilic esophagitis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2018;121:162-7.
- 4. Navarro P, Arias Á, Arias-González L, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the growing incidence and prevalence of eosinophilic oesophagitis in children and adults in population-based studies. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2019;49:1116-25.
- Licari A, Votto M, Scudeller L, et al. Epidemiology of Nonesophageal Eosinophilic Gastrointestinal Diseases in Symptomatic Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2020;8:1994-2003.e2.
- Furuta GT, Katzka DA. Eosinophilic Esophagitis. N Engl J Med 2015;373:1640-8.
- Dellon ES, Gonsalves N, Abonia JP, et al. International Consensus Recommendations for Eosinophilic Gastrointestinal Disease Nomenclature. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022;20:2474-2484.e3.
- 8. DeBrosse CW, Case JW, Putnam PE, et al. Quantity and distribution of eosinophils in the gastrointestinal tract of children. Pediatr Dev Pathol 2006;9:210-8.
- Zhang M, Li Y. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis: A state-ofthe-art review. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;32:64-72.
- Collins MH. Histopathologic features of eosinophilic esophagitis and eosinophilic gastrointestinal diseases. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2014;43:257-68.
- 11. Lwin T, Melton SD, Genta RM. Eosinophilic gastritis: histopathological characterization and quantification of the normal gastric eosinophil content. Mod Pathol 2011;24:556-63.
- Pesek RD, Greuter T, Lopez-Nunez O, et al. Clinicopathologic Correlations in Eosinophilic Gastrointestinal Disorders. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2021;9:3258-66.
- 13. Odetola S, Feulefack J, Sergi CM. Eosinophilic esophagitis: absolute eosinophilic count, peak eosinophilic count, and potential biomarkers of eosinophilic

- degranulation products-an in-depth systematic review. Transl Pediatr 2024;13:474-83.
- 14. Rothenberg ME. Eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders (EGID). J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;113:11-28; quiz 29.
- 15. Chen JW, Kao JY. Eosinophilic esophagitis: update on management and controversies. BMJ 2017;359:j4482.
- 16. Shah SC, Tepler A, Peek RM Jr, et al. Association Between Helicobacter pylori Exposure and Decreased Odds of Eosinophilic Esophagitis-A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;17:2185-2198.e3.
- 17. Chang YH, Shin CM, Lee DH, et al. Association between Helicobacter pylori Infection and Eosinophilic Esophagitis. Korean J Gastroenterol 2023;82:122-6.
- 18. Chen YC, Malfertheiner P, Yu HT, et al. Global Prevalence of Helicobacter pylori Infection and Incidence of Gastric Cancer Between 1980 and 2022. Gastroenterology 2024;166:605-19.
- 19. Sugano K, Hiroi S, Yamaoka Y. Prevalence of Helicobacter pylori Infection in Asia: Remembrance of Things Past? Gastroenterology 2018;154:257-8.
- 20. Prussin C. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis and related eosinophilic disorders. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2014;43:317-27.
- 21. Jaffe JS, James SP, Mullins GE, et al. Evidence for an abnormal profile of interleukin-4 (IL-4), IL-5, and gammainterferon (gamma-IFN) in peripheral blood T cells from patients with allergic eosinophilic gastroenteritis. J Clin Immunol 1994;14:299-309.
- 22. Caldwell JM, Collins MH, Stucke EM, et al. Histologic eosinophilic gastritis is a systemic disorder associated with blood and extragastric eosinophilia, TH2 immunity, and a unique gastric transcriptome. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2014;134:1114-24.
- 23. Hahn JW, Lee K, Shin JI, et al. Global Incidence and Prevalence of Eosinophilic Esophagitis, 1976-2022: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2023;21:3270-3284.e77.
- 24. Ma X, Xu Q, Zheng Y, et al. Prevalence of esophageal eosinophilia and eosinophilic esophagitis in adults: a population-based endoscopic study in Shanghai, China. Dig Dis Sci 2015;60:1716-23.
- 25. Kim GH, Park YS, Jung KW, et al. An Increasing Trend of Eosinophilic Esophagitis in Korea and the Clinical Implication of the Biomarkers to Determine Disease Activity and Treatment Response in Eosinophilic Esophagitis. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2019;25:525-33.

- 26. Adachi K, Mishiro T, Tanaka S, et al. Suitable biopsy site for detection of esophageal eosinophilia in eosinophilic esophagitis suspected cases. Dig Endosc 2016;28:139-44.
- 27. Kinoshita Y, Ishimura N, Oshima N, et al. Systematic review: Eosinophilic esophagitis in Asian countries. World J Gastroenterol 2015;21:8433-40.
- 28. Lee K, Choe BH, Kang B, et al. Nationwide Multicenter Study of Eosinophilic Esophagitis in Korean Children. Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr 2020;23:231-42.
- Yamamoto M, Nagashima S, Yamada Y, et al. Comparison of Nonesophageal Eosinophilic Gastrointestinal Disorders with Eosinophilic Esophagitis: A Nationwide Survey. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2021;9:3339-3349.e8.
- Jensen ET, Martin CF, Kappelman MD, et al. Prevalence of Eosinophilic Gastritis, Gastroenteritis, and Colitis: Estimates From a National Administrative Database. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2016;62:36-42.
- Mansoor E, Saleh MA, Cooper GS. Prevalence of Eosinophilic Gastroenteritis and Colitis in a Population-Based Study, From 2012 to 2017. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;15:1733-41.
- 32. Pesek RD, Reed CC, Muir AB, et al. Increasing Rates of Diagnosis, Substantial Co-Occurrence, and Variable Treatment Patterns of Eosinophilic Gastritis, Gastroenteritis, and Colitis Based on 10-Year Data Across a Multicenter Consortium. Am J Gastroenterol 2019;114:984-94.
- 33. Yang HR. Update on eosinophilic gastrointestinal disease beyond eosinophilic esophagitis in children. Clin Exp Pediatr 2023;66:233-9.
- 34. Ito J, Fujiwara T, Kojima R, et al. Racial differences in eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders among Caucasian and Asian. Allergol Int 2015;64:253-9.
- 35. Massironi S, Elvevi A, Panceri R, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis: does age matter? Expert Rev Clin Immunol 2024;20:211-23.
- Shaheen NJ, Mukkada V, Eichinger CS, et al. Natural history of eosinophilic esophagitis: a systematic review of epidemiology and disease course. Dis Esophagus 2018;31:doy015.
- 37. Greenhawt M, Aceves SS, Spergel JM, et al. The management of eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2013;1:332-40; quiz 341-2.
- 38. Moawad FJ, Dellon ES, Achem SR, et al. Effects of Race and Sex on Features of Eosinophilic Esophagitis. Clin

- Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;14:23-30.
- Okimoto E, Ishimura N, Ishihara S. Clinical Characteristics and Treatment Outcomes of Patients with Eosinophilic Esophagitis and Eosinophilic Gastroenteritis. Digestion 2021;102:33-40.
- 40. Ko HM, Morotti RA, Yershov O, et al. Eosinophilic gastritis in children: clinicopathological correlation, disease course, and response to therapy. Am J Gastroenterol 2014;109:1277-85.
- 41. Abassa KK, Lin XY, Xuan JY, et al. Diagnosis of eosinophilic gastroenteritis is easily missed. World J Gastroenterol 2017;23:3556-64.
- 42. Hui CK, Hui NK. A Prospective Study on the Prevalence, Extent of Disease and Outcome of Eosinophilic Gastroenteritis in Patients Presenting with Lower Abdominal Symptoms. Gut Liver 2018;12:288-96.
- 43. Zhang L, Duan L, Ding S, et al. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis: clinical manifestations and morphological characteristics, a retrospective study of 42 patients. Scand J Gastroenterol 2011;46:1074-80.
- 44. Kobayashi S, Tsunoda T, Umetsu S, et al. Clinical features of pediatric eosinophilic gastroenteritis. Pediatr Int 2022;64:e15322.
- 45. Chen Y, Sun M. Preliminary evidence in treatment of eosinophilic gastroenteritis in children: A case series. World J Clin Cases 2022;10:6417-27.
- 46. Ng LQ, Loh W, Ong JX, et al. Clinical, histopathological features and efficacy of elimination diet and proton-pump inhibitor therapy in achieving histological remission in Asian children with eosinophilic gastritis. J Paediatr Child Health 2022;58:1244-50.
- 47. Capucilli P, Hill DA. Allergic Comorbidity in Eosinophilic Esophagitis: Mechanistic Relevance and Clinical Implications. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2019;57:111-27.
- 48. Sato H, Honma T, Nozawa Y, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis in Japanese patients: A mild and slow-progressing disorder. PLoS One 2018;13:e0206621.
- 49. Mahon M, Romo ND, de Vos G, et al. Race-specific characteristics in pediatric eosinophilic esophagitis in an urban inner-city clinic. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2021;127:349-53.
- Shimura S, Ishimura N, Tanimura T, et al. Reliability of symptoms and endoscopic findings for diagnosis of esophageal eosinophilia in a Japanese population. Digestion 2014;90:49-57.
- 51. Ishimura N, Shimura S, Jiao D, et al. Clinical features of

- eosinophilic esophagitis: differences between Asian and Western populations. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;30 Suppl 1:71-7.
- 52. Hirano I, Collins MH, King E, et al. Prospective Endoscopic Activity Assessment for Eosinophilic Gastritis in a Multisite Cohort. Am J Gastroenterol 2022;117:413-23.
- 53. Fujiwara Y, Tanoue K, Higashimori A, et al. Endoscopic findings of gastric lesions in patients with eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders. Endosc Int Open 2020;8:E1817-25.
- 54. Lucendo AJ, Molina-Infante J, Arias Á, et al. Guidelines on eosinophilic esophagitis: evidence-based statements and recommendations for diagnosis and management in children and adults. United European Gastroenterol J 2017;5:335-58.
- Biedermann L, Straumann A. Mechanisms and clinical management of eosinophilic oesophagitis: an overview. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2023;20:101-19.
- Lucendo AJ, Miehlke S, Schlag C, et al. Efficacy of Budesonide Orodispersible Tablets as Induction Therapy for Eosinophilic Esophagitis in a Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. Gastroenterology 2019;157:74-86.e15.
- 57. Hirano I, Collins MH, Katzka DA, et al. Budesonide Oral Suspension Improves Outcomes in Patients With Eosinophilic Esophagitis: Results from a Phase 3 Trial. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022;20:525-534.e10.
- 58. Dellon ES, Lucendo AJ, Schlag C, et al. Fluticasone Propionate Orally Disintegrating Tablet (APT-1011) for Eosinophilic Esophagitis: Randomized Controlled Trial. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022;20:2485-2494.e15.
- Attwood S, Epstein J. Eosinophilic oesophagitis: recent advances and practical management. Frontline Gastroenterol 2021;12:644-9.
- Dellon ES, Rothenberg ME, Collins MH, et al.
 Dupilumab in Adults and Adolescents with Eosinophilic Esophagitis. N Engl J Med 2022;387:2317-30.
- 61. Rothenberg ME, Dellon ES, Collins MH, et al. Efficacy and safety of dupilumab up to 52 weeks in adults and adolescents with eosinophilic oesophagitis (LIBERTY EoE TREET study): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2023;8:990-1004.
- 62. Massironi S, Mulinacci G, Gallo C, et al. Mechanistic Insights into Eosinophilic Esophagitis: Therapies Targeting Pathophysiological Mechanisms. Cells 2023;12:2473.

- 63. Reed C, Woosley JT, Dellon ES. Clinical characteristics, treatment outcomes, and resource utilization in children and adults with eosinophilic gastroenteritis. Dig Liver Dis 2015;47:197-201.
- 64. Pineton de Chambrun G, Gonzalez F, Canva JY, et al. Natural history of eosinophilic gastroenteritis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011;9:950-956.e1.
- 65. Wong GW, Lim KH, Wan WK, et al. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis: Clinical profiles and treatment outcomes, a retrospective study of 18 adult patients in a Singapore Tertiary Hospital. Med J Malaysia 2015;70:232-7.
- 66. Mayerhofer C, Kavallar AM, Aldrian D, et al. Efficacy of Elimination Diets in Eosinophilic Esophagitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2023;21:2197-2210.e3.
- 67. Molina-Infante J, Arias Á, Alcedo J, et al. Step-up empiric elimination diet for pediatric and adult eosinophilic esophagitis: The 2-4-6 study. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2018:141:1365-72.
- 68. Lucendo AJ, Arias Á, González-Cervera J, et al. Empiric 6-food elimination diet induced and maintained prolonged remission in patients with adult eosinophilic esophagitis: a prospective study on the food cause of the disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013;131:797-804.
- Lucendo AJ, Serrano-Montalbán B, Arias Á, et al. Efficacy of Dietary Treatment for Inducing Disease Remission in Eosinophilic Gastroenteritis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2015;61:56-64.
- Dellon ES, Hirano I. Epidemiology and Natural History of Eosinophilic Esophagitis. Gastroenterology 2018;154:319-332.e3.
- 71. Schoepfer AM, Safroneeva E, Bussmann C, et al. Delay in diagnosis of eosinophilic esophagitis increases risk for stricture formation in a time-dependent manner. Gastroenterology 2013;145:1230-6.e1-2.
- 72. Dellon ES, Kim HP, Sperry SL, et al. A phenotypic analysis shows that eosinophilic esophagitis is a progressive fibrostenotic disease. Gastrointest Endosc 2014;79:577-85.e4.
- 73. Philpott H, Dellon ES. The role of maintenance therapy in eosinophilic esophagitis: who, why, and how? J Gastroenterol 2018;53:165-71.
- 74. Reed CC, Fan C, Koutlas NT, et al. Food elimination diets are effective for long-term treatment of adults with eosinophilic oesophagitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2017;46:836-44.
- 75. Dellon ES. No Maintenance, No Gain in Long-term

Treatment of Eosinophilic Esophagitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;17:397-9.

76. Li KW, Ruan GC, Liu S, et al. Long-term prognosis

doi: 10.21037/tgh-24-34

Cite this article as: Chiou FK, Ng LQ, Loh W. Eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders: a narrative review on clinical perspectives and research gaps in the Asian context. Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol 2024;9:69.

and its associated predictive factors in patients with eosinophilic gastroenteritis. World J Gastroenterol 2024;30:146-57.