Papadimitriou Ilias (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-8096-156X) Sotiriou Eleni (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-6235-4718) Bakirtzi Katerina (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-5515-2536)

Long-term efficacy of biologic treatment for psoriasis after COVID-19 infection

Katerina Bakirtzi,¹ Ilias Papadimitriou,¹ Efstratios Vakirlis,¹ Themis Chatzi-Sotiriou,² Dimitrios Ioannides¹ and Eleni Sotiriou¹

- ¹ First Department of Dermatology and Venereology, School of Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- ² Department of International and European Studies, School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts, University of Macedonia, Thessaloniki, Greece

Corresponding Author: Bakirtzi Katerina

Email: bakirtzicatherine@hotmail.com

Funding sources: None

Conflict of interest: None to declare

Data availability: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Dear Editor,

Biological therapy has demonstrated long-term efficacy in psoriasis.¹ During the COVID-19 pandemic, it remains unclear whether patients with psoriasis affected with SARS-CoV-2 still experience the same beneficial results. The aim of the study was to examine the efficacy of biologic agents in patients with psoriasis undergoing biological treatment after SARS-CoV-2 infection over one year after the infection.

This was a retrospective, observational study of the outpatient psoriasis clinic of the First Dermatology Department (Aristotle University, Thessaloniki, Greece). Patient records regarding the period March 2020-April 2022 were analyzed. Eligibility criteria were: (i) age ≥18, (ii) biologic treatment during COVID-19 infection, (iii) SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by a positive Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) test, (iv) complete and updated with the follow-up visits patient records. Efficacy was assessed by the difference in Psoriasis Area Severity Index (ΔPASI ±4) and was compared to patients with psoriasis under biologics who were not affected by COVID-19. Biologic treatment was classified as follows: anti-TNFα agents, anti-Interleukin (IL) -17 agents, anti-IL-23 agents, and anti-IL-12/23 agent. Descriptive statistics, chi-square test and logistic regression were used as needed (IBMSPSS v.25: Armonk, NY, USA).

A total of 562 patients with psoriasis affected by SARS-CoV-2 were included in the study. All these patients had mild COVID-19 course, and none needed hospitalization. The mean age

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/ced.15312

was 61 ±12, and 326 of 562 patients (58%) were male. All patients had comorbidities, such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or dyslipidemia, and 140 patients (24.9%) suffered from psoriatic arthritis. Regarding the distribution in the various classes of biologic treatment, 241 of 562 patients (42.9%) were on anti-TNF α , 179 (31.9%) on anti-IL-17, 114 (20.3%) on anti-IL-12/23, and 28 (5%) were on anti-IL-23 agents. All patients had been vaccinated against the flu and COVID-19 depending on the availability of the latter during the particular time period. Biological therapy was not interrupted in any case of SARS-CoV-2 infection either by the dermatologist or the patient's own volition.

Of the 562 affected patients, 432 patients (76.9%) experienced an exacerbation of psoriasis during COVID-19 infection defined as an increase in PASI by at least 4 units. Remarkable sustained efficacy with \leq 4 Δ PASI was observed in patients receiving IL-17 inhibitors where 112 patients (62.6%) maintained their absolute PASI score and outperformed the other agents (p <.05). The second most durable treatment in terms of efficacy was anti-TNF α biologics (n=18/241), however with a low rate of non-relapse patients (7.5%). All patients treated with anti-IL-12/23 or anti-IL-23 demonstrated an increase in PASI of \geq 4 units (Table 1). Nonetheless, this regression in psoriasis was transient and was as brief as a period of approximately 30 days (\pm 20 days). No modification in treatment regimen nor agent switch was required due to psoriasis exacerbation.

Our study suggests that biologic treatment of psoriasis, particularly anti-IL-17 agents, sustain their beneficial results in the long run even during COVID-19 infection. Given that SARS-CoV-2 seems to initiate IL-17-enriched response, this might explain why different active principles could lead -even temporarily-to different degrees of effectiveness. ²⁻⁴ Long-term, larger studies are needed, however, to draw definite conclusions.

References

- 1. Ceccarelli M, Venanzi Rullo E, Berretta M, et al. New generation biologics for the treatment of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. State of the art and considerations about the risk of infection. *Dermatol Ther.* 2021;34(1):e14660.
- 2. Kridin K, Schonmann Y, Solomon A, et al. Risk of COVID-19 infection, hospitalization, and mortality in patients with psoriasis treated by interleukin-17 inhibitors. *J Dermatolog Treat*. 2022:1-7.
- 3. Xu Q, Chen L, Li X, Zheng J. If skin is a potential host of SARS-CoV-2, IL-17 antibody could reduce the risk of COVID-19. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2021;84(3):e173-e173.
- 4. Sadeghinia A, Daneshpazhooh M. Immunosuppressive drugs for patients with psoriasis during the COVID-19 pandemic era. A review. *Dermatol Ther*. 2021;34(1):e14498.

Table 1. Class of biologic agent for psoriasis at time of onset of COVID-19 and relevant sustained efficacy.

| Biologicagent | No of patients with ΔPASI ≤4 / Total No of affected patients in each class (%) |
|--------------------|--|
| Anti-TNFα | 18/241 (7.5%) |
| IL-12/23 inhibitor | 0/114 (0%) |
| IL-17 inhibitor | 112/179 (62.6%) |
| IL-23 inhibitor | 0/28 (0%) |

 $\Delta PASI: difference in Psoriasis Area Severity Index; IL: Interleukin$