

Cisplatin-resistant cancer cells are sensitive to Aurora kinase A inhibition by alisertib

Lihong Wang^{1,2†}, Janet Arras^{1†}, Ahmed Katsha^{1,3}, Saif Hamdan¹, Abbes Belkhiri¹, Jeffrey Ecsedy⁴ and Wael El-Rifai^{1,2}

1 Department of Surgery and Cancer Biology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

2 Department of Veterans Affairs, Tennessee Valley Healthcare System, Nashville, TN, USA

3 Science and Engineering Department, Raritan Valley Community College, Branchburg, NJ, USA

4 Translational Medicine, Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA a wholly owned subsidiary of Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited

Keywords

alisertib; cisplatin; eIF4E; HDM2; MLN8237; MYC

Correspondence

W. El-Rifai, Department of Surgery and Cancer Biology, Vanderbilt University
Medical Center, 2220 Pierce Avenue, 760
Preston Research Bldg., Nashville, TN
37232, USA
Fax: +1 615 322 7852
Tel: +1 615 322 7934
E-mail: wael.el-rifai@vanderbilt.edu

†These authors contributed equally to this work

(Received 24 February 2017, revised 23 March 2017, accepted 9 April 2017, available online 30 May 2017)

doi:10.1002/1878-0261.12066

De novo and acquired resistance to platinum therapy such as cisplatin (CDDP) is a clinical challenge in gastric cancer treatment. Aberrant expression and activation of aurora kinase A (AURKA) and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E) are detected in several cancer types. Herein, we investigated the role of AURKA in CDDP resistance in gastric cancer. Western blot analysis demonstrated overexpression of AURKA and phosphorylation of eIF4E in acquired and de novo CDDP-resistant gastric cancer models. Inhibition of AURKA with MLN8237 (alisertib) alone or in combination with CDDP significantly suppressed viability of CDDP-resistant cancer cells (P < 0.01). Additionally, inhibition or knockdown of AURKA decreased protein expression of p-eIF4E (S209), HDM2, and c-MYC in CDDP-resistant cell models. This was associated with a significant decrease in cap-dependent translation levels (P < 0.01). In vivo tumor xenografts data corroborated these results and confirmed that inhibition of AURKA was sufficient to overcome CDDP resistance in gastric cancer. Our data demonstrate that AURKA promotes acquired and de novo resistance to CDDP through regulation of p-eIF4E (S209), c-MYC, HDM2, and cap-dependent translation. Targeting AURKA could be an effective therapeutic approach to overcome CDDP resistance in refractory gastric cancer and possibly other cancer types.

1. Introduction

Gastric cancer is currently the fourth most common malignancy worldwide and the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths in both males and females (Ferlay *et al.*, 2015). Resistance to chemotherapeutic agents is a major clinical problem in the management of patients with cancer. In fact, patients with gastric cancer exhibit poor response to neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy due to intrinsic and acquired drug resistance (Hohenberger and Gretschel, 2003; Jemal *et al.*, 2011). Platinum-based regimens that include cisplatin [cis-diamminedichloroplatinum (CDDP)] are employed to treat a variety of cancers such as ovarian, bladder, esophageal, and gastric cancers. Although platinum-based chemotherapeutic regimens have become standard approaches for the treatment of patients with advanced gastric cancer, there is a relatively low rate of complete response (Van Cutsem *et al.*, 2008). Therefore, there is an urgent need for the

Abbreviations

AURKA, aurora kinase A; CDDP, cisplatin or cis-diamminedichloroplatinum; CDDPR, cisplatin resistant; eIF4E, the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E; HDM2, human double minute 2; MLN8237, alisertib; siAURKA, small-interfering RNA of AURKA; siControl, small-interfering RNA of scramble; sieIF4E, small-interfering RNA of eIF4E.

Molecular Oncology **11** (2017) 981–995 © 2017 The Authors. Published by FEBS Press and John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

development of novel therapeutic approaches based on understanding the underlying molecular pathways mediating cisplatin resistance.

Aurora kinase A (AURKA) is a serine/threonine cell cycle kinase, whose main physiological function in normal cells is related to centrosome and spindle assembly (Lens et al., 2010). We and others have reported amplification and overexpression of AURKA in several malignancies including gastric, esophageal, colon, breast, and ovarian cancers (Aradottir et al., 2015; Cirak et al., 2015; Dar et al., 2008b, 2009; Fang et al., 2011; Furukawa et al., 2006; Gritsko et al., 2003; Katayama et al., 1999; Katsha et al., 2013, 2015; Zheng et al., 2016). Recent studies have shown that inhibition of AURKA can sensitize cancer cells to CDDP in gastric cancer (Sehdev et al., 2012) and its overexpression may predict platinum resistance in epithelial ovarian cancer (Mignogna et al., 2016). It has been shown that AURKA can inhibit p53 through direct binding and activation of the E3-ubiquitin ligase, human double minute 2 (HDM2) (Sehdev et al., 2014). Notably, AURKA overexpression in cancer cells has also been implicated in activating a plethora of prosurvival oncogenic pathways including β -catenin, NF- κ B, and AKT (Dar et al., 2008b, 2009; Katsha et al., 2013). These studies suggest that AURKA overexpression in cancer cells has prosurvival and antiapoptotic functions.

The eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E), which is the rate-limiting component in formation of eIF4F complex (Jones et al., 1997), is involved in recognizing the 5' 7-methyl guanosine cap of mRNAs (Mamane et al., 2004). Regulation of protein translation is important for the control of cell growth and proliferation. Aberrant activation of eIF4E has been linked to resistance to therapy and poor outcome in breast, melanoma, prostate, and gastric cancers (Chen et al., 2004; Graff et al., 2009; Pettersson et al., 2015; Zhan et al., 2015). Activity of eIF4E is dependent upon its phosphorylation on Ser209, leading to oncogenic transformation through translational control (Wendel et al., 2007). Enhanced translation of growth-promoting genes such as c-MYC, cyclin D1, and VEGF has been associated with the activation of eIF4E. Activation of eIF4E has been implicated in chemotherapeutic resistance in several cancer types (Kraljacic et al., 2011; Martinez-Marignac et al., 2013; Zhan et al., 2015). A recent study reported that inhibiting eIF4E might be a viable therapeutic approach to overcome resistance to vemurafenib, BRAF inhibitor, in melanoma (Zhan et al., 2015). Therefore, targeting eIF4E is considered a promising anticancer strategy to combat drug resistance (reviewed in Siddiqui and Sonenberg, 2015).

In this study, we demonstrate that AURKA promotes acquired and *de novo* resistance to cisplatin in *in vitro* and *in vivo* gastric cancer cell models. We show that AURKA mediates phosphorylation of eIF4E to promote protein translation of pro-oncogenic downstream effectors such as c-MYC and HDM2. We propose targeting AURKA as an effective second-line therapeutic approach in cisplatin-resistant cancers.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture and reagents

Human gastric adenocarcinoma cell lines (AGS, SNU-1, MKN28, and MKN45) were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (GIBCO, Carlsbad, CA, USA). All cell lines were authenticated using short tandem repeat (STR) profiling (Genetica DNA Laboratories, Burlington, NC, USA). The cell lines were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and with 1% penicillin/streptomycin (GIBCO). The investigational AURKA inhibitor alisertib, known as MLN8237 (Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA), was used for in vitro and in vivo studies. The AURKA expression plasmid was generated as described previously (Dar et al., 2009). Specific antibodies against p-AURKA (T288), AURKA, p-eIF4E (S209), eIF4E, and β-actin were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA). Specific antibody against c-MYC was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA). Transfection reagent LipoJet was purchased from SignaGen (Gaithersburg, MD, USA). Cisplatin (CDDP) (APP Pharmaceuticals, LLC) was obtained from Vanderbilt University Medical Center pharmacy in a stock solution (2 mmol·L⁻¹), prepared using $1 \times PBS$.

2.2. Establishment of CDDP-resistant sublines from AGS cells

AGS CDDP-resistant cells were established by continuous exposure to CDDP starting at 0.1 μ M and increasing in a stepwise manner to 10 μ M for 6 months. Finally, we generated two AGS CDDPresistant pools (Pools 1 and 2) from which we selected two highly resistant single clones (Clones 1 and 2) to be used in the study.

2.3. Western blotting

Cells were scraped on ice and centrifuged, and pellets were resuspended in RIPA lysis buffer. Cell lysates were placed on ice. Protein concentration was determined using Bradford Protein Assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Proteins ($25 \mu g$) from each sample were subjected to SDS/PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes using the semidry transfer protocol (Bio-Rad Laboratories). After transfer, membranes were probed with each respective primary antibody overnight at 4 °C. Following incubation, the membranes were probed with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Cell Signaling). Protein bands were visualized using the commercial Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate Kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA).

2.4. AURKA and elF4E silencing by smallinterfering RNA (siRNA)

Cells were seeded at 60% confluency in 10% FBS-containing DMEM for 24 h in p60 plates. AURKA and eIF4E were transiently silenced by using siAURKA and sieIF4E (Invitrogen) for a total of 48 h. A negative siRNA control (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) was used in each experiment. Transfection of cells was achieved by using a LipoJet reagent (SignaGen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Following 24-h transfection, medium was replaced with DMEM, supplemented with 5% FBS and antibiotics for another 24 h prior to harvesting. Validation of AURKA and eIF4E knockdown was assessed by qPCR and western blot analyses.

2.5. AURKA overexpression

The expression plasmid for AURKA was generated as described previously (Dar *et al.*, 2009). A synthetic Flag-tag sequence was added at the N terminus of AURKA. The recombinant adenovirus expressing AURKA or control was generated as described previously (Katsha *et al.*, 2013). The recombinant adenovirus was generated by cotransfecting human embryonic kidney (HEK)-293 cells (American Tissue Culture Collection) with the shuttle and adenoviral backbone (pJM17) plasmids using the Calcium Phosphate Transfection Kit (Applied Biological Materials, Inc., Richmond, BC, USA).

2.6. CellTiter-Glo Luminescence Assay

CellTiter-Glo assay was used to determine IC50 and drug dose–response curves for each cell line following treatment with CDDP, alisertib (MLN8237), or in combination. Cells were seeded at 2000 cells/well in a 96-well plate. Cells were treated with CDDP with or without MLN8237 following a 12×2 -fold serial

dilution treatment in 5% FBS-containing DMEM. After five days, cell viability was measured using Cell-Titer-Glo Luminescence Assay (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The dose-response curves were fitted using GraphPad Prism 5, following a nonlinear regression (four-parameter, least-squares fit) method. IC50 values were determined by a four-parameter, nonlinear regression method. The data were generated from at least three independent experiments.

2.7. RNA extraction and real-time RT-PCR

Cells were scraped and centrifuged, and total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA), and cDNA synthesis was performed using an iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). Specific primers' sequences were acquired from qPrimerDepot-A quantitative real-time PCR primer database (https://primerdepot.nci.nih.gov/). All primers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT), Coralville, IA, USA). c-MYC-F: CACC-GAGTCGTAGTCGAGGT; c-MYC-R: TTTC GGGTAGTGGAAAACCA; AURKA-F: AGTTGGA GGTCCAAAACGTG; AURKA-R: TCCAAGTGG TGC ATATTCCA; HDM2-F: ACCTCACAGATTCC AGCTTCG; HDM2-R: TTTCATAGTATAAGTGTC TTTTT. The quantitative RT-PCR was performed using a Bio-Rad CFX Connect Real-time System, with the threshold cycle number determined by Bio-Rad CFX MANAGER software version 3.0 (Bio-Rad Life Sciences, Hercules, CA, USA). Reactions were performed in triplicate, and the threshold cycle numbers were averaged. The results of the genes were normalized to HPRT1 housekeeping gene.

2.8. Luciferase reporter assay

For the cap-dependent dual luciferase reporter assays, cells were transfected with 1 μ g of a dual-*renilla*-fireflyluciferase pcDNA3-rLuc-PolioIRES-fLuc reporter (a kind gift from John Blenis, Harvard Medical School), to measure cap-dependent/cap-independent translation (Dos Santos *et al.*, 2016). Cells were plated in six-well plates, transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Life Technologies), and treated with MLN8237 for 24 h. The lysates were prepared in triplicate, and the dual luciferase reporter assay (Promega) was used following the manufacturer's protocol. The rate of cap-dependent translation was defined as the ratio of renilla to firefly luciferase activities.

For c-MYC transcription activity, cells were cotransfected with 250 ng of β -GAL and 1 µg of 4xEMS c-MYC reporter (a kind gift from Stephen R. Hann, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine) in six-well plates using the PolyJet transfection reagent (Signa-Gen). As a positive control, parental cells were transfected with 500 ng of pcDNA-c-MYC plasmid in addition to 250 ng of β -GAL and 1 µg of 4xEMS c-MYC reporter. Cells were treated with MLN8237 for 24 h and then lysed to measure luciferase activity using the Luciferase Assay Kit (Promega). The c-MYC luciferase activities were normalized to β -GAL levels.

2.9. In vivo tumor xenograft

All animal work was approved by the Vanderbilt Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. MKN45 cells (2×10^6) suspended in 200 µL of DMEM and Matrigel mixture (50% DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 50% Matrigel) were injected into the flank regions of female 201 NIH III HO nude mice (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA, USA). We used eight mice per group. The tumors were allowed to grow until 150-200 mm³ in size before starting treatment with CDDP ($2.5 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ body weight, once a week, IP) alone, MLN8237 (40 mg·kg⁻¹, five times per week, orally) alone, or the combination of CDDP and MLN8237 for 28 days. Tumor xenografts were measured every three days, and tumor size was calculated according to the following formula: T vol = $L \times W^2 \times 0.5$, where T vol is tumor volume, L is tumor length, and W is tumor width. For control group, mice were sacrificed when tumor size reaches 1000 mm³ in accordance with the approved protocols. At the end of treatment, three to six xenograft tumors from each group were collected and processed for western blot (p-AURKA (T288), AURKA, p-eIF4E (S209), eIF4E, c-MYC). Immunohistochemical analysis was carried out on formalinfixed, paraffin-embedded tissues to measure Ki-67 and cleaved caspase 3 protein expression levels. Ki-67 and cleaved caspase 3 protein expression levels were evaluated by IMAGEJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). Relative integrated density indicates the quantification data of diaminobenzidine staining signal analyzed by ImageJ IHC Toolbox plugin (https://imagej.nih.gov/ ij/plugins/ihc-toolbox/index.html; Zhang et al., 2016).

2.10. Statistical analysis

Data are presented as means \pm standard error of mean. Statistical significance of difference between control groups and treatment groups was determined using one-way ANOVA test. Statistical analyses were carried out using GRAPHPAD PRISM 5 software, nonlinear regression (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla CA, USA). The correlation between two parameters was determined by two-tailed Student's test. The differences were considered statistically significant when the P < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Acquired resistance to CDDP correlates with high levels of AURKA and p-eIF4E proteins in gastric cancer cells

Cisplatin-based chemotherapeutic regimen is a standard approach for the treatment of patients with gastric cancer. Unfortunately, disease progression eventually occurs because of acquired drug resistance (reviewed by Lu et al., 2016). To determine the molecular mechanism that drives acquired resistance to CDDP in gastric cancer cells, we generated AGS cell models of acquired resistance to CDDP using a stepwise increase in CDDP concentrations starting at 0.1–10 µM for 6 months. At the end of CDDP treatments, we obtained the following CDDP-resistant AGS cell models: AGS CDDPR Pool 1, AGS CDDPR Pool 2, AGS CDDP Clone 1, and AGS CDDPR Clone 2. Short-term cell viability (5 days) in response to CDDP treatments was evaluated by CellTiter-Glo assay. The CDDP IC50s of AGS CDDPR Pool 1 (15.4 µM), AGS CDDPR Pool 2 (14.2 µM), AGS CDDPR Clone 1 (17.5 µм), and AGS CDDPR Clone 2 (8.6 µм) were significantly higher than that of AGS Parental cells (4.9 µM, P < 0.05) (Fig. 1A), confirming that AGS CDDP-resistant cell models conferred the CDDP resistance phenotype. Using western blot analysis, we found that CDDPresistant AGS cells gained overexpression of AURKA, peIF4E (S209), c-MYC, and HDM2 proteins, as compared to AGS Parental cells (Fig. 1B).

3.2. Cancer cells with acquired resistance to CDDP are sensitive to AURKA inhibition

To find out whether targeting AURKA can reverse these signaling effects and restore therapeutic efficacy in CDDP-resistant cells, we inhibited AURKA with MLN8237, an investigational AURKA-specific inhibitor, alone or in combination with CDDP in AGS Parental and CDDPR cells. Using short-term cell viability (5 days) CellTiter-Glo assay, the results showed that MLN8237 alone significantly decreased cell survival (P < 0.001) in AGS Parental cells, AGS CDDPR Pool 1, AGS CDDPR Pool 2, AGS CDDPR Clone 1, and AGS CDDPR Clone 2 cells in a dose-dependent manner. Interestingly, while the combination of MLN8237 and CDDP had an additive effect on parental cells (Fig. 1C), the addition of CDDP to MLN8237 had minimal advantage over MLN8237 alone in CDDP-resistant cells



Fig. 1. CDDP-resistant cells express high levels of AURKA and p-eIF4E (S209) proteins. (A) AGS Parental, AGS CDDP-resistant (CDDPR) Pool 1, AGS CDDPR Pool 2, AGS CDDPR Clone 1, and AGS CDDPR Clone 2 cells in 96-well plates were treated with CDDP following a 8-point twofold serial dilution, starting at 100 μM concentration. Cell viability was measured using CellTiter-Glo assay. Dose response was fitted using a three-parameter nonlinear regression method. (B) Cell lysates from AGS Parental and CDDP-resistant cell lines were subjected to western blot analysis of the indicated proteins. Levels of AURKA, p-eIF4E (S209), c-MYC, and HDM2 proteins were substantially higher in AGS CDDP-resistant cells than in AGS Parental cells. AGS Parental (C), AGS CDDPR Pool 1 (D), AGS CDDPR Clone 1 (E), AGS CDDPR Pool 2 (F), and AGS CDDPR Clone 2 (G) cells in 96-well plates were treated with MLN8237 alone, CDDP alone, or CDDP + MLN8237 at a fixed ratio (10 : 1) following a 12-point twofold serial dilution. Cell viability was measured and dose–response curves were generated as in (A). The mean value of IC50 of each drug treatment was generated from at least three independent experiments.

(Fig. 1D–G). Collectively, the data indicated that inhibition of AURKA using MLN8237 is sufficient to decrease cell viability of AGS CDDP-resistant cells.

3.3. AURKA promotes CDDP-acquired resistance through regulation of eIF4E, c-MYC, and HDM2

Based on our results indicating that AURKA is important for CDDP-acquired resistance (Fig. 1), and

the positive correlation between the protein expression of AURKA, p-eIF4E (S209), c-MYC, and HDM2 in CDDP-resistant cells (Fig. 1B), we examined whether AURKA inhibition by MLN8237 can regulate these signaling molecules. Indeed, we observed a substantial reduction in the protein levels of p-eIF4E (S209), c-MYC, and HDM2 in AGS CDDPR Pool 1, AGS CDDPR Pool 2, AGS CDDPR Clone 1, and AGS CDDPR Clone 2 cells, as compared with nontreated

	AGS (Po	AGS CDDPR Pool 2			AGS CDDPR Clone 1			AGS CDDPR Clone 2			
MLN8237	- +	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
	NT 6ł	n 48h	NT	6h	48 h	NT	6h	48 h	NT	6 h	48 h
p-AURKA (T288)			-	*		-	-	-	-	-	Second Second
AURKA			-	-	1101-1102	-	-	-	-	-	-
p-eIF4E (S209)			-	18 1049	in r	-	-		-	-	
elF4E			-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
c-MYC		•	-	Prig		-	-	in interest of the second seco	-	-	
HDM2	-	-	-		19-1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Actin	1		-	-	1	-	-	-	-		

Fig. 2. Pharmacologic inhibition of AURKA with MLN8237 downregulates phosphorylation of eIF4E and protein expression of downstream effectors in CDDP-resistant cells. AGS CDDPR Pool 1, AGS CDDPR Pool 2, AGS CDDPR Clone 1, and AGS CDDPR Clone 2 cells were treated with MLN8237 (0.5 μM), and cell lysates were subjected to western blot analysis of the indicated proteins. The data showed that pharmacologic inhibition of AURKA with MLN8237, as indicated by decreased protein levels of p-AURKA (T288), reduced the protein levels of p-eIF4E (S209) and its protein translation targets, c-MYC and HDM2 in CDDP-resistant cells. Gel loading was normalized for equal β-actin.

cells (Fig. 2). To validate the data indicating that inhibition of AURKA by MLN8237 leads to decreased protein expression of p-eIF4E (S209), c-MYC, and HDM2 in AGS CDDPR cells, we knocked down endogenous AURKA with siRNA and evaluated the expression of p-eIF4E and downstream effectors in these cells. Indeed, the western blot data confirmed the downregulation of p-eIF4E (S209), c-MYC, and HDM2 proteins following the knockdown of AURKA (Fig. 3A). The qRT-PCR data indicated that knocking down AURKA did not decrease the mRNA expression of c-MYC, and HDM2 in AGS CDDPR cells

(Fig. 3B). On the contrary, we observed some induction of mRNA expression level (Fig. 3B) despite the reduction in their protein level, which may reflect a compensatory cellular feedback mechanism in an attempt to restore the protein expression back to its base level. Taken together, these results confirm that regulation of c-MYC and HDM2 in resistant cells is post-transcriptional. These results are also in line with phosphorylation of eIF4E (S209), which plays an important role in protein translation, suggesting that AURKA-mediated cap-dependent translation is likely mechanism. а dominant Therefore, we next

Fig. 3. Genetic knockdown of AURKA reduces phosphorylation of eIF4E and protein levels of its downstream effectors in CDDP-resistant cells. AGS CDDPR Pool 1, AGS CDDPR Pool 2, AGS CDDPR Clone 1, and AGS CDDPR Clone 2 cells were transfected with control siRNA (siControl) or siRNA specific for AURKA (siAURKA) for 48 h. Cell lysates and total RNA were subjected to western blot (A) and real-time RT-PCR (B) analyses, respectively. The data showed that knockdown of AURKA led to decreased p-eIF4E (S209), c-MYC, and HDM2 protein levels, but did not decrease mRNA expression of the corresponding encoding genes in CDDP-resistant cells. Gel loading was normalized for equal β-actin. HPRT1 housekeeping gene was used as the internal control for PCR. (C) AGS Parental, AGS CDDPR Pool 1, AGS CDDPR Pool 2, AGS CDDPR Clone 1, and AGS CDDPR Clone 2 cells were transfected with luciferase reporter plasmid (pcDNA3-rLuc-Polio IRES-fLuc). Cells were treated with MLN8237 (0.5 μM) for 24 h. The rate of cap-dependent translation was defined as the ratio of renilla to firefly luciferase activities. (D) AGS Parental, AGS CDDPR Pool 1, AGS CDDPR Pool 1, AGS CDDPR Pool 2, AGS CDDPR Clone 1, and AGS CDDPR Pool 1, AGS CDDPR Pool 1, AGS CDDPR Pool 2, AGS CDDPR Clone 1, and AGS CDDPR Clone 2 cells were transfected with 4 × EMS c-MYC reporter. Cells were treated with MLN8237 (0.5 μM) for 24 h. Luciferase activity and c-MYC transcription activity in AGS CDDPR cells are significantly higher than in AGS Parental cells. ****P* < 0.001. Additionally, treatment with MLN8237 significantly reduced cell cap-dependent translation or 4xEMS c-MYC Luciferase activity in comparison with the no-treatment control group. Transient transfections with pcDNA-eIF4E (C) or pcDNA-c-MYC (D) were included as controls. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01.

investigated protein translation activity. We utilized a unique dual-*renilla*-firefly-luciferase pcDNA3-rLuc-PolioIRES-fLuc reporter to measure cap-dependent/ cap-independent translation (Liu *et al.*, 2013), followed by treatment with MLN8327. We found that the capdependent renilla activity is higher in CDDP-resistant



cells (P < 0.01), as compared to parental cells, and was significantly decreased after MLN8237 treatment in AGS CDDPR cells (P < 0.01) (Fig. 3C). We also measured the transcriptional activity of the induced c-MYC protein in resistant cells using the 4xEMS c-MYC reporter, which contains 4 c-MYC binding sites (Boone *et al.*, 2011), followed by treatment with MLN8327. The data indicated that inhibition of AURKA significantly decreased c-MYC transcription activity in AGS CDDPR cells (P < 0.05) (Fig. 3D).

To test our hypothesis that AURKA-mediated acquired CDDP resistance is dependent on eIF4E and downstream effectors, we knocked down eIF4E or c-MYC in AGS CDDPR cells and assessed cell viability in response to CDDP. Our western blot data showed, as expected, that knockdown of eIF4E downregulated the downstream effectors, c-MYC and HDM2 (Fig. 4A). To confirm that the regulation of downstream effectors, c-MYC and HDM2, by AURKA is mediated by eIF4E, we transiently expressed AURKA with and without knocking down eIF4E in AGS cells. Western blot data showed that AURKA-induced c-MYC and HDM2 protein expression was suppressed following eIF4E knockdown (Fig. 4B), confirming that AURKA regulates these proteins in an eIF4E-dependent manner. Notably, we observed that knockdown of eIF4E significantly sensitized AGS CDDPR Pool 1 (P < 0.05) and AGS CDDPR Clone 1 (P < 0.01) cells to CDDP as indicated by a decrease in IC50 from 15.2 to 6 µm and from 18 to 5.3 µm, respectively (Fig. 4C). In addition, our data indicated that knockdown of the downstream effector, c-MYC, significantly increased the sensitivity of AGS CDDPR Pool 1 (P < 0.01) and AGS CDDPR Clone 1 (P < 0.05) cells to CDDP as indicated by a decrease in IC50 from 15.9 to 6.5 μM and from 20 to 10 µm, respectively (Fig. 4D). Taken together, these results indicate that AURKA-mediated acquired CDDP resistance is dependent on eIF4E and its downstream targets in AGS gastric cancer cells and suggest that targeting AURKA can be an effective therapeutic approach in CDDP-resistant cells.

3.4. AURKA enhances *de novo* CDDP resistance through regulation of elF4E, c-MYC, and HDM2

We next investigated whether AURKA–eIF4E axis is also present in *de novo* CDDP resistance. We first screened a panel of gastric cancer cell lines for their sensitivity to CDDP and correlation with protein expression of AURKA, p-eIF4E, eIF4E, c-MYC, and HDM2. Our cell viability data in response to CDDP indicated various levels of sensitivity (IC50) of the following cell lines: AGS (4.9 µM), SNU-1 (0.9 µM),

МКN28 (7.2 µм), and МКN45 (11.6 µм) (Fig. 5A). Western blot data demonstrated high levels of AURKA in CDDP-resistant cells (MKN28 and MKN45 cell lines) (Fig. 5B). We next selected MKN45 cells, which exhibit the highest degree of CDDP resistance, relative to other cell lines, as a model of intrinsic de novo resistance to investigate whether targeting AURKA can achieve a therapeutic response. Cell viability data showed that MLN8237 alone or in combination with CDDP can significantly reduce cell viability as compared to CDDP alone (P < 0.01, Fig. 5C). Accordingly, western blot data showed that inhibiting AURKA using MLN8237 (Fig. 5D) or AURKA knockdown using siRNA (Fig. 5E) downregulated protein expression of p-eIF4E (S209), c-MYC, and HDM2 in MKN45 cells, similar to acquired resistance cell models. To investigate whether AURKA-mediated de novo CDDP resistance is dependent on eIF4E and c-MYC, we knocked down eIF4E or c-MYC in MKN45 cells and assessed cell viability in response to CDDP. Our data showed that knocking down either eIF4E or c-MYC significantly sensitized cells to CDDP (P < 0.05), as indicated by approximately a twofold decrease in IC50 (Fig. 5F). Western blot data indicated that knocking down of eIF4E markedly decreased c-MYC and HDM2 protein levels (Fig. 5G). The knockdown of c-MYC in MKN45 cells was confirmed (Fig. 5H). Collectively, our data demonstrate that AURKA-eIF4E axis promotes de novo CDDP resistance in MKN45 cells.

3.5. MLN8237 alone or in combination with CDDP suppresses growth of resistant cell-derived xenografts *in vivo*

We next sought to assess the in vivo efficacy of MLN8237 alone or in combination with CDDP using subcutaneous xenograft tumor models. The treatments were initiated after the tumor xenografts reached 150-200 mm³ in size, with at least 10 tumor xenografts per group. We treated the CDDP-resistant MKN45 cellderived xenografts with CDDP alone, MLN8237 alone, or in combination with CDDP, and examined the tumor growth rate and protein expression levels of eIF4E, p-eIF4E (S209), and c-MYC in xenografts. The data showed that CDDP treatment had a relatively limited negative effect on tumor growth; however, MLN8237 significantly reduced the rate of tumor growth following 4 weeks of treatments (P < 0.01, Fig. 6A,B). The addition of CDDP to MLN8237 did not enhance the antitumor efficacy of MLN8237 in CDDP-resistant cells. Western blot data analyses indicated that treatments with MLN8237 substantially



Fig. 4. AURKA-mediated regulation of c-MYC and HDM2 requires eIF4E. (A) AGS CDDPR Pool 1, AGS CDDPR Pool 2, AGS CDDPR Clone 1, and AGS CDDPR Clone 2 cells were transiently transfected with control siRNA (siControl) or siRNA specific for eIF4E (sieIF4E) for 48 h. Cell lysates were subjected to western blot analysis of the indicated proteins. Knocking down of eIF4E decreased c-MYC and HDM2 protein expression. (B) AGS Parental cells were transiently transfected by siControl + AdControl, siControl + AdAURKA, sieIF4E + AdControl, or sieIF4E + AdAURKA for 48 h. Cell lysates were then subjected to western blot analyses of the indicated proteins. The data showed that overexpression of AURKA increased protein levels of p-eIF4E (S209), c-MYC, and HDM2. Knockdown of eIF4E suppresses AURKA-induced upregulation of c-MYC and HDM2 protein expression. (C) AGS CDDPR Pool 1 and AGS CDDPR Clone 1 cells were transfected with siControl or sieIF4E for 48 h and subjected to CellTiter-Glo viability assay. Knocking down of eIF4E significantly sensitized cells to CDDP (P < 0.05), as indicated by two- to threefold decrease in CDDP IC50. (D) AGS CDDPR Pool 1 and AGS CDDPR Clone 1 cells were transfected with siControl or sic-MYC for 48 h and subjected to CellTiter-Glo viability assay. Knocking down of c-MYC significantly sensitized cells to CDDP (P < 0.05), as indicated by two-for 48 h and subjected to CellTiter-Glo viability assay. Knocking down of c-MYC significantly sensitized cells to CDDP (P < 0.05), as indicated by two-for 48 h and subjected to CellTiter-Glo viability assay. Knocking down of c-MYC significantly sensitized cells to CDDP (P < 0.05), as indicated by two-for 48 h and subjected to CellTiter-Glo viability assay. Knocking down of c-MYC significantly sensitized cells to CDDP (P < 0.05), as indicated by two-fold decrease in CDDP IC50.



Fig. 5. AURKA mediates *de novo* CDDP resistance through regulation of eIF4E and its downstream effectors. (A) Gastric cancer cells (AGS, SNU-1, MKN28, and MKN45) in 96-well plates were treated with CDDP following an 8-point twofold serial dilution, for 5 days. Cells were then subjected to CellTiter-Glo assay to determine cell viability. IC50 values were determined as described in Materials and methods. (B) Protein extracts from the gastric cancer cell lines, shown in panel A, were subjected to western blot analysis of the indicated proteins. The results indicated high protein levels of AURKA in cell lines with *de novo* CDDP resistance (MKN28 and MKN45). (C) CDDP-resistant MKN45 cells in 96-well plates were treated with CDDP, MLN8237, or CDDP + MLN8237 at a fixed ratio (10 : 1) following a 12-point twofold serial dilution of CDDP, for 5 days. The CellTiter-Glo assay results showed that treatment with MLN8237 alone or in combination with CDDP significantly reduced cell viability in comparison with the treatment with CDDP alone (*P* < 0.001). (D) MKN45 cells were treated with MLN8237 (0.5 μM) for 6 h or 48 h. (E) MKN45 cells were transiently transfected with siControl or siAURKA for 48 h. Cell lysates were subjected to western blot analysis of the indicated proteins. The results indicated that pharmacologic inhibition (D) or knockdown (E) of AURKA reduces protein levels of p-eIF4E (S209), c-MYC, and HDM2 in CDDP-resistant MKN45 cells. (F) MKN45 cells were transfected with siControl, sieIF4E, or sic-MYC for 48 h and subjected to CellTiter-Glo viability assay. Knocking down of eIF4E or c-MYC significantly sensitized cells to CDDP (*P* < 0.05), as indicated by twofold decrease in CDDP IC50. (G,H) Cell lysates were subjected to western blot analysis of p-eIF4E (S209), eIF4E, c-MYC, and HDM2 proteins. Gel loading was normalized for equal β-actin.



Fig. 6. MLN8237 alone or in combination with CDDP effectively reduces resistant cell-derived xenograft growth *in vivo*. MKN45 cell-derived xenograft tumors (150–200 mm³ in size) were treated with CDDP (2.5 mg·kg⁻¹, once a week) alone, MLN8237 (40 mg·kg⁻¹, five times a week) alone, or with combination of CDDP and MLN8237 for 28 days, and tumor sizes were measured twice a week. (A,B) The data indicated that MLN8237 alone or in combination with CDDP has a significant antitumor activity against resistant MKN45 cell-derived xenografts. (C) Tumor tissue lysates were subjected to western blot analysis of the indicated proteins. (D) IHC analysis of MKN45 cell-derived xenografts showing Ki-67 and cleaved caspase-3 expressions *in vivo*. Relative integrated density indicates the quantification data of immunostaining intensities, which was calculated using IMAGE J software; **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

decreased p-AURKA (T288), AURKA, p-eIF4E (S209), and c-MYC protein levels in xenografts (Fig. 6C). Consistent with the decreased rate of tumor growth in response to treatment with MLN8237, the immunohistochemical data analysis showed a significant decrease in Ki-67 (a marker of cell proliferation) and an increase in cleaved caspase-3 (a marker of apoptosis) in xenografts (P < 0.001, Fig. 6D). Taken together, our results strongly suggest that targeting

AURKA using MLN8237 can induce tumor regression and achieve a therapeutic response in CDDP-resistant gastric cancer.

4. Discussion

Gastric cancer is the third cause of cancer-related deaths in the world. Resistance to chemotherapeutic agents is a major cause for gastric cancer recurrence and low patient survival rates (Ferlay et al., 2015). Platinum therapy is a first-line chemotherapeutic agent for several cancer types, including gastric cancer. However, cancer cells eventually develop acquired resistance against cisplatin (CDDP), which adversely affects patients' prognosis (Hainsworth et al., 1992; Kartalou and Essigmann, 2001). Therefore, identification of novel therapeutic strategies to target CDDPresistant cancer cells has significant clinical implications for improving response and clinical outcome in patients with gastric cancer. In this study, we established a link between AURKA, eIF4E, and CDDP resistance. Our data demonstrate that high levels of AURKA promote CDDP-acquired and de novo resistance through eIF4E phosphorylation and upregulation of its downstream effectors, c-MYC and HDM2 proteins. The results indicate that inhibiting AURKA can be an effective therapeutic approach to target CDDP-resistant gastric cancer cells.

Overexpression of AURKA has been associated with poor outcome and chemotherapeutic drug resistance (Sumi et al., 2011). At the same time, aberrant activation of eIF4E, as a mechanism of drug resistance, has been described in several cancers (Huang et al., 2012; Liang et al., 2013). Our results indicated that AURKA inhibition or knockdown downregulated p-eIF4E (S209), c-MYC, and HDM2 proteins in acquired and de novo CDDP-resistant cells. Overexpression and activation of c-MYC, by means of increased transcription, translation, or protein stability, is associated with aggressive tumors and poor patient prognosis (Horiuchi et al., 2014; Schmidt, 2004). Of note, there are accumulating lines of evidence that show that AURKA can regulate c-MYC in cancer. We have previously shown that AURKA can regulate transcription of c-MYC through the activation of β-catenin (Dar et al., 2009). Recent studies have shown that inhibition of AURKA can regulate phosphorylation and stability of MYC, suggesting targeting AURKA-MYC axis by AURKA inhibitors as a novel potentially effective therapeutic approach (Dauch et al., 2016; Richards et al., 2016; Silva et al., 2014). We investigated whether eIF4E and its downstream effector, c-MYC, mediate the function of AURKA and CDDP resistance. Our data demonstrate that knockdown of eIF4E or c-MYC significantly sensitized acquired or de novo resistant cells to CDDP. Therefore, our results add to the current knowledge and suggest a multifaceted regulation of c-MYC at different levels by AURKA in cancer. We and others have previously shown that AURKA can also regulate the key ubiquitin ligase involved in the degradation of p53, HDM2, whereby overexpression of AURKA

promoted HDM2 phosphorylation and enhanced its stability leading to the degradation of p53 (Hsueh et al., 2013; Sehdev et al., 2014; Vilgelm et al., 2015). Collectively, our new findings in this report add a significant novel perspective by showing that activation of AURKA-eIF4E axis is required for the induction of c-MYC and HDM2 protein levels and CDDP resistance in gastric cancer cells. Of note, a recent study has shown that AURKA can mediate resistance to mTOR inhibition by everolimus (Katsha et al., 2017). This involved AURKA-dependent activation of eIF4E through the inhibition of PP2A activity. Although we did not investigate this signaling axis in detail in CDDP resistance, it is possible that AURKA-mediated regulation of PP2A is involved in the activation of eIF4E in CDDP resistance, too. Taken together, activation of AURKA-eIF4E axis in cancer cells could play a crucial role for resistance to several chemotherapeutics.

A previous report indicated that AURKA was implicated in CDDP resistance in murine bone marrow Ba/F3 cells transformed by JAK2 V617F mutant (Sumi et al., 2011). Another study indicated that AURKA suppresses CDDP-induced apoptosis through phosphorylation of p73 and its sequestration in the cytoplasm in cancer cells (Katayama et al., 2012). Of note, earlier reports have shown that AURKA can suppress p73 (Dar et al., 2008a; Katayama et al., 2012) and activate STAT3, β -catenin, and NF- κ B (Dar et al., 2009; Katsha et al., 2013, 2014). Although we have not specifically investigated these pathways in the present study, it is plausible that targeting AURKA in CDDP-resistant cancer models may induce cancer cell death not only through the suppression of eIF4Ec-MYC-HDM2, but also through reversing these signaling effects.

5. Conclusions

In summary, we present evidence for a novel mechanism by which AURKA promotes resistance to CDDP through the activation of p-eIF4E, c-MYC, and HDM2. Targeting AURKA using MLN8237 may present a clinically relevant opportunity to treat CDDPresistant tumors and enhance the therapeutic response in patients with gastric cancer.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Mr. Frank Revetta for assistance in immunohistochemistry and Ms. Michele Smith for proofreading the manuscript. This study was supported by grants from the National Cancer Institute (R01CA131225), Department of Veterans Affairs, Vanderbilt SPORE in Gastrointestinal Cancer (P50 CA95103), Vanderbilt Ingram Cancer Center (P30 CA68485), and the Vanderbilt Digestive Disease Research Center (DK058404). The contents of this work are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Cancer Institute, Department of Veterans Affairs, or Vanderbilt University Medical Center.

Author contributions

LW and JA performed the main experiments, summarized the results, and wrote the manuscript. AK and SH assisted in performing the experiments. AB assisted in interpretation and troubleshooting of the data. JE assisted in obtaining MLN8237 and in revising the manuscript. WER provided the concept, funding, supervision, and assisted in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- Aradottir M, Reynisdottir ST, Stefansson OA, Jonasson JG, Sverrisdottir A, Tryggvadottir L, Eyfjord JE and Bodvarsdottir SK (2015) Aurora A is a prognostic marker for breast cancer arising in BRCA2 mutation carriers. J Pathol Clin Res 1, 33–40.
- Boone DN, Qi Y, Li Z and Hann SR (2011) Egr1 mediates p53-independent c-Myc-induced apoptosis via a noncanonical ARF-dependent transcriptional mechanism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108, 632–637.
- Chen CN, Hsieh FJ, Cheng YM, Lee PH and Chang KJ (2004) Expression of eukaryotic initiation factor 4E in gastric adenocarcinoma and its association with clinical outcome. *J Surg Oncol* **86**, 22–27.
- Cirak Y, Furuncuoglu Y, Yapicier O, Aksu A and Cubukcu E (2015) Aurora A overexpression in breast cancer patients induces taxane resistance and results in worse prognosis. *J BUON* **20**, 1414–1419.
- Dar AA, Belkhiri A, Ecsedy J, Zaika A and El-Rifai W (2008a) Aurora kinase A inhibition leads to p73dependent apoptosis in p53-deficient cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 68, 8998–9004.
- Dar AA, Belkhiri A and El-Rifai W (2009) The aurora kinase A regulates GSK-3beta in gastric cancer cells. *Oncogene* 28, 866–875.
- Dar AA, Zaika A, Piazuelo MB, Correa P, Koyama T, Belkhiri A, Washington K, Castells A, Pera M and El-Rifai W (2008b) Frequent overexpression of Aurora Kinase A in upper gastrointestinal adenocarcinomas correlates with potent antiapoptotic functions. *Cancer* **112**, 1688–1698.

- Dauch D, Rudalska R, Cossa G, Nault JC, Kang TW, Wuestefeld T, Hohmeyer A, Imbeaud S, Yevsa T, Hoenicke L *et al.* (2016) A MYC-aurora kinase A protein complex represents an actionable drug target in p53-altered liver cancer. *Nat Med* 22, 744–753.
- Dos Santos EO, Carneiro-Lobo TC, Aoki MN, Levantini E and Basseres DS (2016) Aurora kinase targeting in lung cancer reduces KRAS-induced transformation. *Mol Cancer* **15**, 12.
- Fang Z, Xiong Y, Li J, Liu L, Li M, Zhang C, Zhang W and Wan J (2011) Copy-number increase of AURKA in gastric cancers in a Chinese population: a correlation with tumor progression. *Med Oncol* 28, 1017–1022.
- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D and Bray F (2015) Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer 136, E359–E386.
- Furukawa T, Sunamura M and Horii A (2006) Molecular mechanisms of pancreatic carcinogenesis. *Cancer Sci* 97, 1–7.
- Graff JR, Konicek BW, Lynch RL, Dumstorf CA, Dowless MS, McNulty AM, Parsons SH, Brail LH, Colligan BM, Koop JW *et al.* (2009) eIF4E activation is commonly elevated in advanced human prostate cancers and significantly related to reduced patient survival. *Cancer Res* 69, 3866–3873.
- Gritsko TM, Coppola D, Paciga JE, Yang L, Sun M, Shelley SA, Fiorica JV, Nicosia SV and Cheng JQ (2003) Activation and overexpression of centrosome kinase BTAK/Aurora-A in human ovarian cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 9, 1420–1426.
- Hainsworth JD, Johnson DH and Greco FA (1992) Cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy in the treatment of poorly differentiated carcinoma and poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma of unknown primary site: results of a 12-year experience. J Clin Oncol 10, 912–922.
- Hohenberger P and Gretschel S (2003) Gastric cancer. Lancet 362, 305–315.
- Horiuchi D, Anderton B, Goga A (2014) Taking on challenging targets: making MYC druggable. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book*, e497–e502, 34.
- Hsueh KW, Fu SL, Chang CB, Chang YL and Lin CH (2013) A novel Aurora-A-mediated phosphorylation of p53 inhibits its interaction with MDM2. *Biochem Biophys Acta* 1834, 508–515.
- Huang S, Chen M, Shen Y, Shen W, Guo H, Gao Q and Zou X (2012) Inhibition of activated Stat3 reverses drug resistance to chemotherapeutic agents in gastric cancer cells. *Cancer Lett* **315**, 198–205.
- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E and Forman D (2011) Global cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin* 61, 69–90.

Jones RM, MacDonald ME, Branda J, Altherr MR, Louis DN and Schmidt EV (1997) Assignment of the human gene encoding eukaryotic initiation factor 4E (EIF4E) to the region q21-25 on chromosome 4. *Somat Cell Mol Genet* 23, 221–223.

Kartalou M and Essigmann JM (2001) Mechanisms of resistance to cisplatin. *Mutat Res* **478**, 23–43.

Katayama H, Ota T, Jisaki F, Ueda Y, Tanaka T, Odashima S, Suzuki F, Terada Y and Tatsuka M (1999) Mitotic kinase expression and colorectal cancer progression. J Natl Cancer Inst 91, 1160–1162.

Katayama H, Wang J, Treekitkarnmongkol W, Kawai H, Sasai K, Zhang H, Wang H, Adams HP, Jiang S, Chakraborty SN *et al.* (2012) Aurora kinase-A inactivates DNA damage-induced apoptosis and spindle assembly checkpoint response functions of p73. *Cancer Cell* 21, 196–211.

Katsha A, Arras J, Soutto M, Belkhiri A and El-Rifai W (2014) AURKA regulates JAK2-STAT3 activity in human gastric and esophageal cancers. *Mol Oncol* **8**, 1419–1428.

Katsha A, Belkhiri A, Goff L and El-Rifai W (2015) Aurora kinase A in gastrointestinal cancers: time to target. *Mol Cancer* 14, 106.

Katsha A, Soutto M, Sehdev V, Peng D, Washington MK, Piazuelo MB, Tantawy MN, Manning HC, Lu P, Shyr Y *et al.* (2013) Aurora kinase A promotes inflammation and tumorigenesis in mice and human gastric neoplasia. *Gastroenterology* **145**, 1312–1322 e1311–1318.

Katsha A, Wang L, Arras J, Omar OM, Ecsedy JA, Belkhiri A, El-Rifai W (2017) Activation of EIF4E by Aurora kinase A depicts a novel druggable axis in everolimus resistant cancer cells. *Clin Cancer Res* https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-2141.

Kraljacic BC, Arguello M, Amri A, Cormack G and Borden K (2011) Inhibition of eIF4E with ribavirin cooperates with common chemotherapies in primary acute myeloid leukemia specimens. *Leukemia* 25, 1197– 1200.

Lens SM, Voest EE and Medema RH (2010) Shared and separate functions of polo-like kinases and aurora kinases in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* **10**, 825–841.

Liang S, Guo R, Zhang Z, Liu D, Xu H, Xu Z, Wang X and Yang L (2013) Upregulation of the eIF4E signaling pathway contributes to the progression of gastric cancer, and targeting eIF4E by perifosine inhibits cell growth. *Oncol Rep* **29**, 2422–2430.

Liu J, Stevens PD, Eshleman NE and Gao T (2013) Protein phosphatase PPM1G regulates protein translation and cell growth by dephosphorylating 4E binding protein 1 (4E-BP1). *J Biol Chem* **288**, 23225– 23233.

Lu C, Makala L, Wu D and Cai Y (2016) Targeting translation: eIF4E as an emerging anticancer drug target. *Expert Rev Mol Med* 18, e2. Mamane Y, Petroulakis E, Rong L, Yoshida K, Ler LW and Sonenberg N (2004) eIF4E–from translation to transformation. *Oncogene* 23, 3172–3179.

Martinez-Marignac V, Shawi M, Pinedo-Carpio E, Wang X, Panasci L, Miller W, Pettersson F and Aloyz R (2013) Pharmacological targeting of eIF4E in primary CLL lymphocytes. *Blood Cancer J* 3, e146.

Mignogna C, Staropoli N, Botta C, De Marco C, Rizzuto A, Morelli M, Di Cello A, Franco R, Camastra C, Presta I *et al.* (2016) Aurora Kinase A expression predicts platinum-resistance and adverse outcome in high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma patients. *J Ovarian Res* **9**, 31.

Pettersson F, Del Rincon SV, Emond A, Huor B, Ngan E, Ng J, Dobocan MC, Siegel PM and Miller WH Jr (2015) Genetic and pharmacologic inhibition of eIF4E reduces breast cancer cell migration, invasion, and metastasis. *Cancer Res* **75**, 1102–1112.

Richards MW, Burgess SG, Poon E, Carstensen A, Eilers M, Chesler L, Bayliss R (2016) Structural basis of N-Myc binding by Aurora-A and its destabilization by kinase inhibitors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 13726–13731.

Schmidt EV (2004) The role of c-myc in regulation of translation initiation. *Oncogene* **23**, 3217–3221.

Sehdev V, Katsha A, Arras J, Peng D, Soutto M, Ecsedy J, Zaika A, Belkhiri A and El-Rifai W (2014) HDM2 regulation by AURKA promotes cell survival in gastric cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **20**, 76–86.

Sehdev V, Peng D, Soutto M, Washington MK, Revetta F, Ecsedy J, Zaika A, Rau TT, Schneider-Stock R, Belkhiri A *et al.* (2012) The aurora kinase A inhibitor MLN8237 enhances cisplatin-induced cell death in esophageal adenocarcinoma cells. *Mol Cancer Ther* 11, 763–774.

Siddiqui N and Sonenberg N (2015) Signalling to eIF4E in cancer. *Biochem Soc Trans* **43**, 763–772.

Silva A, Wang J, Lomahan S, Tran TA, Grenlin L, Suganami A, Tamura Y and Ikegaki N (2014) Aurora kinase A is a possible target of OSU03012 to destabilize MYC family proteins. *Oncol Rep* 32, 901– 905.

Sumi K, Tago K, Kasahara T and Funakoshi-Tago M (2011) Aurora kinase A critically contributes to the resistance to anti-cancer drug cisplatin in JAK2 V617F mutant-induced transformed cells. *FEBS Lett* 585, 1884–1890.

Van Cutsem E, deVan Velde C, Roth A, Lordick F, Kohne CH, Cascinu S, Aapro M, European Organisation for Research, Treatment of Cancer-gastrointestinal cancer group (2008) Expert opinion on management of gastric and gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma on behalf of the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC)-gastrointestinal cancer group. *Eur J Cancer* 44, 182–194.

- Vilgelm AE, Pawlikowski JS, Liu Y, Hawkins OE, Davis TA, Smith J, Weller KP, Horton LW, McClain CM, Ayers GD *et al.* (2015) Mdm2 and aurora kinase a inhibitors synergize to block melanoma growth by driving apoptosis and immune clearance of tumor cells. *Cancer Res* **75**, 181–193.
- Wendel HG, Silva RL, Malina A, Mills JR, Zhu H, Ueda T, Watanabe-Fukunaga R, Fukunaga R, Teruya-Feldstein J, Pelletier J et al. (2007) Dissecting eIF4E action in tumorigenesis. Genes Dev 21, 3232– 3237.
- Zhan Y, Dahabieh MS, Rajakumar A, Dobocan MC, M'Boutchou MN, Goncalves C, Shiru LL, Pettersson F, Topisirovic I, van Kempen L *et al.* (2015) The role of eIF4E in response and acquired resistance to

vemurafenib in melanoma. J Invest Dermatol 135, 1368–1376.

- Zhang Q, Sun T, Kang P, Qian K, Deng B, Zhou J, Wang R, Jiang B, Li K, Liu F *et al.* (2016) Combined analysis of rearrangement of ALK, ROS1, somatic mutation of EGFR, KRAS, BRAF, PIK3CA, and mRNA expression of ERCC1, TYMS, RRM1, TUBB3, EGFR in patients with non-small cell lung cancer and their clinical significance. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 77, 583–593.
- Zheng F, Yue C, Li G, He B, Cheng W, Wang X, Yan M, Long Z, Qiu W, Yuan Z et al. (2016) Nuclear AURKA acquires kinase-independent transactivating function to enhance breast cancer stem cell phenotype. *Nat Commun* 7, 10180.