Sex- and age specific association of new-onset atrial fibrillation with in-hospital mortality in hospitalised COVID-19 patients

Joost A. Offerhaus [a,1,3], Linda P.T. Joosten [b,1,3], Maarten van Smeden [b,3], Marijke Linschoten [c,2], Hidde Bleijendaal [a,d,2], Robert Tieleman [e,3], Arthur A.M. Wilde [a,3], Frans H. Rutten [b,3], Geert-Jan Geersing [b,2,3], Carol Ann Remme [a,*,2,3], on behalf of the CAPACITY-COVID collaborative consortium

[a] Department of Clinical and Experimental Cardiology, Amsterdam UMC, Heart Centre, Amsterdam Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
[b] Department of General Practice, Division Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands
[c] Department of Cardiology, Division of Heart and Lungs, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands
[d] Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Biostatistics & Bioinformatics, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Meibergdreef 9, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
[e] Department of Cardiology, Martini Hospital, and University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a systemic disease with cardiovascular involvement, including cardiac arrhythmias. Notably, new-onset atrial fibrillation (AF) and atrial flutter (AFL) during hospitalisation in COVID-19 patients has been associated with increased mortality. However, how this risk is impacted by age and sex is still poorly understood.
Methods: For this multicentre cohort study, we extracted demographics, medical history, occurrence of electrical disorders and in-hospital mortality from the large international patient registry CAPACITY-COVID. For each electrical disorder, prevalence during hospitalisation was calculated. Subsequently, we analysed the incremental prognostic effect of developing AF/AFL on in-hospital mortality, using multivariable logistic regression analyses, stratified for sex and age.
Results: In total, 5782 patients (64% male; median age 67) were included. Of all patients 11.0% (95% CI 10.2–11.8) experienced AF and 1.6% (95% CI 1.3–1.9) experienced AFL during hospitalisation. Ventricular arrhythmias were rare (<0.8% (95% CI 0.6–1.0)) and a conduction disorder was observed in 6.3% (95% CI 5.7–7.0). An event of AF/AFL appeared to occur more often in patients with pre-existing heart failure. After multivariable adjustment for age and sex, new-onset AF/AFL was significantly associated with a poorer prognosis, exemplified by a two- to three-fold increased risk of in-hospital mortality in males aged 60–72 years, whereas this effect was largely attenuated in older male patients and not observed in female patients.
Conclusion: In this large COVID-19 cohort, new-onset AF/AFL was associated with increased in-hospital mortality, yet this increased risk was restricted to males aged 60–72 years.

1. Introduction

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has infected more than 400 million people worldwide, including more than 160 million Europeans, with almost 5.8 million deaths attributed globally to the virus as of February 11th, 2022.[1] With multiple vaccines available as well as the recent increase in immunisation from the omicron variant, which is possibly associated with an overall lower risk

of clinical deterioration, some are optimistic that the end of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is in sight and that SARS-CoV-2 will become a yearly recurring more endemic virus. However, subsequent waves of new infections with new variants are to be expected in the upcoming years, given the 1) low global vaccination rate of 36%, [2] and global shortage of vaccines, 2) high threshold needed for herd immunity,[3] 3) uncertainties regarding the duration of the immunological effect of the vaccines,[4] 4) high number of intermediate hosts for SARS-CoV-2,[5] and in part due to this, 5) the continuous threat of (more contagious) variants reducing vaccine efficacy.[6] Therefore, research into COVID-19 remains crucial.

Since the start of the pandemic, cardiovascular complications have been increasingly recognised in patients suffering from COVID-19, ranging from vascular damage and cardiac injury to arrhythmias.[7] Arrhythmias in COVID-19 patients may impact significantly on disease progression and outcome. As such, various population-based studies have reported a positive association between atrial fibrillation (AF)/ atrial flutter (AFL) and mortality.[8–10] However, these studies did not look at sex-specific influences, nor at the incremental effect of age (on a continuous scale), despite the fact that these parameters are known to influence AF/AFL outcomes in the general population [11,12]

Therefore, in the large international CAPACITY-COVID dataset (NCT04325412) of 5782 hospitalised COVID-19 patients, using the latest methodology, we explored the relation of AF and AFL to in-hospital mortality, with specific attention for sex- and age-related differences.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and study population

For the current multicentre cohort study, pseudo-anonymous data generated during routine clinical care retrieved from the international patient registry CAPACITY-COVID (www.capacity-covid.eu) were used. [13] The data within CAPACITY-COVID have been collected by 72 hospitals in 8 European (Belgium, France, Italy, the Netherlands, Spain, Switzerland, Portugal, United Kingdom) and 5 non-European (Egypt, Iran, Israel, Russia, Saudi-Arabia) countries. For this study, patients aged 18 years or older, admitted to any of the participating hospital centres before October 25th, 2020, with a laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection during hospitalisation, were included. Readmission(s) from a single patient were evaluated as a single continuous presentation. Due to only few exclusion criteria, the database gives a reliable reflection of hospitalised COVID-19 patients during the first months of the pandemic, thus before availability of vaccine-induced immunity, and our analyses should therefore be interpreted as generalisable to patients with (largely) naïve immunity against SARS-CoV-2. Local ethics approval was obtained in all participating hospitals. Assessment of informed consent was site specific, depending on national regulations, and has been described previously. [13] Any researcher can request the data by submitting a proposal as outlined on https://capacity-covid.eu/for-professionals.

2.2. Data extraction

For this study the following variables were extracted: sex, age, medical history (including history of cardiac electrical disorders), body mass index (BMI), medication, physical examination findings, biomarkers, and follow-up data on the development of electrical disorders, cerebrovascular accident (CVA), pulmonary embolism, and mortality during hospitalisation. Electrical disorders were detected either through continuous rhythm monitoring or with (an) electrocardiogram(s) and were diagnosed according to the American College of Cardiology (ACC)/ American Heart Association (AHA)/Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) 2006 key data elements and definitions for electrophysiological studies and procedures.[14] Types of electrical disorders included AF, AFL, atrial

tachycardia, atrioventricular (AV) nodal re-entry tachycardia, non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (nsVT), sustained ventricular tachycardia (sVT), ventricular fibrillation (VF), first degree AV block, second degree AV block, third degree AV block, complete left bundle branch block (LBBB), and complete right bundle branch block (RBBB).

2.3. Statistical analyses

Baseline characteristics of patients with COVID-19 disease are reported for the date of hospital admission. Categorical variables are presented as counts and percentages and numerical variables as means with standard deviations or medians with interquartile ranges (IQR), depending on the distribution.

The prevalence of the development of each arrhythmic and conduction disorder during hospitalisation was calculated for the entire follow-up time (i.e. the time from hospital admission to discharge, death or loss to follow-up) and divided into patients without and with a history of that specific arrhythmic or conduction disorder (i.e. new-onset and recurrent, respectively). Only for patients with AF and for patients with AFL, new-onset versus recurrent AF and new-onset versus recurrent AFL were defined as having no history of both AF and AFL versus a history of AF and/or AFL.

To explore the association between all predefined patient characteristics and the development of the most prevalent new-onset arrhythmic disorder (i.e. AF and/or AFL), univariable logistic regression analyses were performed to estimate crude odds ratios (OR) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).

Next, the association between development of new-onset AF and/or AFL during hospitalisation and in-hospital mortality in COVID-19 patients was first examined using univariable logistic regression analysis. Second, multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed with sex, a cubic spline function for age, the development of new-onset AF and/or AFL during hospitalisation, and the interaction between the latter two variables. The results of this analysis were depicted in plots for males and females separately. To explore whether other concomitant comorbidities and/or other known risk factors may have contributed to the observed results, we performed a sensitivity analysis where we additionally adjusted for CHA_2DS_2-VASc score.

For all analyses, the different AF subtypes (paroxysmal, persistent, and permanent) were merged. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.0.2 with the bias reduction in binomial-response generalised linear models (brglm) function in the package 'brglm' version 0.7.1, which implements Firth correction reducing finite sample bias in the regression coefficients compared to default maximum likelihood regression.[15] Non-linear relations are graphically displayed using the package 'rms' version 6.6.1 and the package 'ggplot2' version 3.3.2. In all univariable analyses with age and in all multivariable analyses, a cubic spline function for age (and in the univariable analyses for the association between BMI and new-onset AF and/or AFL also a cubic spline function for BMI) with four knots on recommended locations (on the percentiles 0.05, 0.35, 0.65, and 0.95) was used. [16] Missing data for each variable were reported as percentages in the text or as counts in the corresponding tables. Since missing data was overall limited (e.g. maximum n = 24 in mortality analyses), we proceeded with analyses of complete cases. Associations with two-sided p-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

A total of 5782 patients were included in this study. The majority of them were hospitalised in European countries (89.9%). The median duration of hospital admission was 8 (IQR 4–17) days, and 28.8% (n = 1664) of all subjects were admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU). Of the total study population, 63.8% was male and the median age was 67 (IQR 56–76) years. 12.5% (n = 725) had been diagnosed with an arrhythmic event in the past, of which 93.2% (n = 676) consisted of at

least one episode of supraventricular arrhythmia and 7.7% (n = 56) at least one episode of ventricular arrhythmia. Of all patients, 1.7% (n = 96) had been diagnosed with at least one conduction disorder in the past. The most prevalent comorbidity registered was hypertension (47.6%), followed by diabetes mellitus (26.1%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (11.1%), renal impairment (10.7%), and prior myocardial infarction (9.2%). A complete list of all baseline characteristics, stratified by new-onset AF/AFL during hospitalisation and history of AF/AFL is presented in Table 1. Baseline characteristics stratified by other arrhythmias and conduction disorders are presented in Supplemental Table S1. All variables had < 3% missing, except for peripheral arterial disease (21.6%), BMI (24.7%), temperature (17.8%), C-reactive protein (12.2%), and white blood cell count (11.4%).

3.1. Prevalence of AF/AFL

The prevalence of AF and/or AFL in comparison to other arrhythmias and conduction disorders (recurrent and new-onset) during hospitalisation is summarised in Fig. 1. Of all patients, 12.8% (95% CI 11.9–13.6) (n = 737) experienced an arrhythmic event during hospitalisation, the vast majority being supraventricular (95.9%). AF and AFL were most common, occurring in 12.0% (95% CI 11.2–12.8) (n = 692) of all patients, of which 86.7% (95% CI 84.0–89.1) (n = 600) experienced only AF, 8.5% (95% CI 6.6–10.8) (n = 59) experienced only AFL, and 4.8% (95% CI 3.4–6.6) (n = 33) experienced both AF and AFL. In 60.7% (95% CI 57.0–64.3) (n = 420) of patients the development of AF and/or AFL was new-onset, whereas in the remaining 39.3% (95% CI

Table 1
Baseline characteristics of hospitalised COVID-19 patients stratified by new-onset and recurrent atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter.

	Total n = 5782	No AF/AFL n = 4712	New-onset or recurrent AF/AFL n = 692	New-onset AF/AFL n = 420	Recurrent AF/AFL n = 271
Demographics					
Male sex n (%)	3686 (63.8)	2955 (62.7)	482 (69.7)	294 (70.0)	188 (69.4)
Age in years median (IQR)	67 (56–76)	64 (54–74)	74 (69–81)	73 (66–79)	78 (73–83)
History of supraventricular tachycardia					
AF n (%)	616 (10.7)	0 (0.0)	257 (37.2)	0 (0.0)	257 (94.8)
AFL n (%)	52 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	23 (3.3)	0 (0.0)	23 (8.5)
Atrial tachycardia n (%)	21 (0.4)	12 (0.3)	3 (0.4)	3 (0.7)	0 (0.0)
AV nodal re-entry tachycardia n (%)	22 (0.4)	15 (0.3)	4 (0.6)	1 (0.2)	3 (1.1)
History of ventricular tachycardia					
Non-sustained ventricular tachycardia n (%)	21 (0.4)	12 (0.3)	7 (1.0)	4 (1.0)	3 (1.1)
Sustained ventricular tachycardia n (%)	15 (0.3)	14 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Ventricular fibrillation n (%)	24 (0.4)	17 (0.4)	6 (0.9)	6 (1.4)	0 (0.0)
History of conduction disorders					
1st AV block n (%)	19 (0.3)	13 (0.3)	2 (0.3)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.4)
2nd AV block n (%)	13 (0.2)	8 (0.2)	2 (0.3)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.4)
3rd AV block n (%)	26 (0.4)	16 (0.3)	2 (0.3)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.4)
Left bundle branch block n (%)	24 (0.4)	14 (0.3)	5 (0.7)	4 (1.0)	1 (0.4)
Right bundle branch block n (%)	18 (0.3)	12 (0.3)	4 (0.6)	2 (0.5)	2 (0.7)
Other medical history					
Heart failure n (%)	315 (5.5)	156 (3.3)	88 (12.7)	23 (5.5)	64 (23.6)
Hypertension n (%)	2692 (47.6)	2031 (44.0)	407 (60.2)	227 (55.4)	179 (67.5)
Diabetes mellitus (type I or II) n (%)	1494 (26.1)	1195 (25.6)	188 (27.6)	100 (24.2)	87 (32.6)
Peripheral arterial disease n (%)	271 (6.0)	181 (4.9)	54 (9.8)	26 (8.0)	28 (12.6)
Myocardial infarction† n (%)	523 (9.2)	374 (8.0)	81 (11.9)	47 (11.3)	34 (12.8)
Renal impairment n (%)	620 (10.7)	414 (8.8)	123 (17.9)	58 (13.9)	65 (24.4)
COPD n (%)	643 (11.1)	487 (10.3)	97 (14.1)	53 (12.7)	44 (16.3)
Risk factors					
BMI in kg/m^2 median (IQR)	27.5 (24.6–30.9)	27.5 (24.6–30.9)	27.2 (24.5–30.5)	27.2 (24.7–30.5)	26.9 (24.1–30.4)
Medication					
Digoxin n (%)	112 (1.9)	19 (0.4)	58 (8.4)	12 (2.9)	46 (17.0)
Anti-arrhythmic drugs - class I n (%)	28 (0.5)	6 (0.1)	12 (1.7)	0 (0.0)	12 (4.4)
Anti-arrhythmic drugs - class III n (%)	110 (1.9)	41 (0.9)	24 (3.5)	5 (1.2)	19 (7.0)
Anti-arrhythmic drugs - class IV n (%)	64 (1.1)	39 (0.8)	15 (2.2)	5 (1.2)	10 (3.7)
Beta blockers n (%)	1562 (27.0)	1028 (21.8)	308 (44.5)	136 (32.4)	172 (63.5)
Antihypertensive drugs‡ n (%)	2575 (44.6)	1913 (40.6)	397 (57.4)	204 (48.6)	192 (70.8)
Platelet inhibitors n (%)	1270 (22.0)	1100 (23.4)	139 (20.1)	111 (26.4)	28 (10.3)
Anticoagulants n (%)	779 (13.5)	219 (4.7)	284 (41.0)	59 (14.0)	224 (82.7)
Antidiabetic drugs n (%)	1105 (19.1)	894 (19.0)	141 (20.4)	73 (17.4)	67 (24.7)
Physical examination and biomarkers (at the start of hospital admission)					
Temperature in °C median (IQR)	37.8 (37.0–38.5)	37.8 (37.0–38.6)	37.7 (37.0–38.5)	37.7 (37.0–38.5)	37.7 (36.9–38.4)
C-reactive protein in mg/L median (IQR)	76.0 (31.0–144.0)	74.0 (29.0–141.0)	95.0 (45.0–170.0)	110.0 (56.0–180.0)	76.0 (31.0–135.5)
White blood cell count x10^9/L median (IQR)	6.8 (5.0–9.3)	6.8 (5.0–9.2)	6.8 (5.0–9.9)	7.1 (5.0–10.5)	6.3 (4.9–8.8)

AF: atrial fibrillation; AFL: atrial flutter; AV: atrioventricular; BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; IQR: interquartile range; n: number.

† ST-elevation myocardial infarction or non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

‡ Aldosterone antagonists, angiotensin II receptor blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, diuretics.

Fig. 1. Prevalence of electrical disorders in hospitalised COVID-19 patients. A). Supraventricular tachycardias. B) Ventricular tachycardias. C) Conduction disorders. Recurrent is defined as a history of that specific electrical disorder. Only for patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) and for patients with atrial flutter (AFL) new-onset versus recurrent AF and new-onset versus recurrent AFL were defined as having no history of both AF and AFL versus a history of AF and/or AFL. The number of patients per group is presented on top of the specific bar.

35.7–43.0) (n = 272) AF and/or AFL had been present before hospital admission. Ventricular arrhythmias were rare (0.8% (95% CI 0.6–1.0)) and 50% of them were sVT or VF (n = 23). A conduction disorder during hospitalisation was observed in 6.3% (95% CI 5.7–7.0) (n = 365) of all patients.

3.2. Association between patient characteristics and development of new-onset AF and/or AFL

In univariable logistic regression analyses, sex, age, heart failure, hypertension, peripheral arterial disease, prior myocardial infarction, renal impairment, certain drugs, white blood cell count, duration of hospitalisation, and development of pulmonary embolism, showed an increased statistically significant association with the development of AF and/or AFL. Of medical history, heart failure seemed to be most strongly associated with a higher likelihood of developing AF and/or AFL compared to patients without heart failure: OR 1.72 (95% CI 1.05–2.64) (Supplemental Table S2).

3.3. Prognostic impact of new-onset AF and/or AFL on in-hospital mortality

In absolute terms, there were only few patients aged < 50 years and > 90 years in our dataset who developed new-onset AF and/or AFL (n = 7 and n = 10, respectively). Because these small numbers could affect the reliability and precision of the point estimates of the outcomes to a high extent, only patients aged ≥ 50 and ≤ 90 years for new-onset AF and/or AFL were included in the mortality analyses.

In univariable logistic regression analyses, we observed that the development of new-onset AF and/or AFL during hospitalisation was associated with increased in-hospital mortality with an unadjusted OR of 1.90 (95% CI 1.52–2.36) (Supplemental Table S3). However, in a multivariable model with sex, age, and new-onset AF and/or AFL as covariates to predict in-hospital mortality, there was only an increased significant association between new-onset AF and/or AFL and in-hospital mortality in males aged between 60 and 72 years (Fig. 2). When extending this model with the CHA$_2$DS$_2$-VASc score in the 24.3%

Fig. 2. Risk of in-hospital mortality in COVID-19 patients by sex, age and the development of new-onset atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter during hospitalisation. The plots in Fig. 2 are developed with the interaction between a cubic spline function for age with four knots (on the percentiles 0.05, 0.035, 0.65, and 0.95) and the development of new-onset AF and/or AFL during hospitalisation.

of patients in whom this score could be calculated (n = 1033), the impact of the development of new-onset AF and/or AFL during hospitalisation appeared to be more strongly associated with increased in-hospital mortality: adjusted OR of 3.80 (95% CI 0.03–84.86) instead of 2.16 (95% CI 0.16–14.11) (Supplemental Table S4).

In the new-onset AF and/or AFL group, 51.7% (n = 217) was admitted to the ICU. In 24.0% (n = 23) of the patients for which ICU admission date and AF and/or AFL onset date were available (n = 96), AF and/or AFL occurred at least 1 day before ICU admission. In the total cohort, 1.0% (n = 60) of patients developed a CVA, whereas in patients with new-onset AF and/or AFL this occurred in 1.7% (n = 7).

4. Discussion

In this multicentre cohort study, we extracted data of 5782 hospitalised COVID-19 patients from the large international CAPACITY-COVID registry. Of all electrical disorders, AF and/or AFL was observed in 1 in every 8–9 in-hospital COVID-19 patients. Occurrence of AF and/or AFL during hospitalisation for COVID-19 was associated with a poorer prognosis exemplified by an increased in-hospital mortality in males aged 60–72 years, while this effect was *not* observed in female patients and largely attenuated in older male patients.

4.1. Arrhythmogenesis in COVID-19 and the effect of AF on mortality

Several mechanisms may contribute to arrhythmogenesis in the setting of COVID-19. Pre-existing cardiovascular pathologies, such as heart failure and coronary artery disease, may increase the likelihood of myocardial ischaemia in the setting of hypoxemia. Indeed, in our cohort, heart failure, hypertension, and prior myocardial infarction were frequently present and apparently associated with an increased likelihood of developing AF and/or AFL. In addition, SARS-CoV-2 has been linked to a pro-thrombotic and hypercoagulability state in patients, which by itself may promote the development and propagation of AF and/or AFL. [17] Furthermore, the virus may also directly affect the cardiomyocytes through expression of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, inducing arrhythmogenic conditions such as intracellular ionic dysregulation, apoptosis, and possibly myocarditis. [18] Additionally, potentially pro-arrhythmic therapeutics (including vasopressors and (hydroxy)chloroquine) and electrolyte disturbances in COVID-19 patients can all contribute to arrhythmogenesis. [19] Irrespective of the underlying mechanism, our findings indicate that development of AF

and/or AFL might be prognostically unfavourable in COVID-19 patients.

4.2. Sex- and age-dependent effect on AF on mortality

Our study confirms previous (smaller) studies which reported AF/AFL as the most prevalent arrhythmia in COVID-19 patients, in addition to its association with increased mortality. With respect to AF/AFL occurrence, Peltzer et al. and Mountantonakis et al. observed a slightly higher prevalence of AF/AFL compared to our study (16% and 18% compared to 12% respectively), whereas Musikantow et al. found a similar prevalence of 10%. [8,9,20] Conversely, Bhatla et al. reported a much lower prevalence of new-onset AF (3.5%), yet in a much smaller dataset of 700 patients. [10]

Similar to our study, Peltzer et al. and Mountantonakis et al. found AF and/or AFL, as well as new-onset AF and/or AFL, to be associated with increased in-hospital mortality. [8,9] Bhatla et al. did not find such an association between new-onset AF and/or AFL and in-hospital mortality, yet (again) this study included a relatively small dataset with only 25 incident AF cases reported. [10]

Importantly, using the latest prediction methodology (allowing age to remain continuous in all analyses using cubic spline functions), we were – for the first time – able to pinpoint the effect of AF/AFL occurrence on in-hospital mortality to male hospitalised COVID-19 patients aged 60–72 years. In fact, we ruled out an effect of AF/AFL occurrence on mortality in female patients with COVID-19, while in the general population females with AF/AFL have a worse outcome compared to males.[12] As an example, in a male hospitalised COVID-19 patient of 65 years, the occurrence of AF/AFL would increase his risk of mortality from ~15% to ~35%, whereas in a female patient of 65 years this risk remains well below ~15–20%, regardless of AF/AFL development. More importantly, the correlation between age and its interaction with AF and/or AFL follows a non-linear pattern, which is even different for males and females, thus underlining the importance of our statistical approach. As such, our analyses provide a much more granular assessment of the effect of new-onset AF and/or AFL during hospital admission for COVID-19 by better identifying subgroups of patients where the prognostic impact on mortality is most relevant.

4.3. Strengths and limitations

Major strengths of our work include the inclusion of a large international dataset of nearly 6000 hospitalised COVID-19 patients,

allowing to perform sophisticated analyses on the incremental impact of AF/AFL occurrence on in-hospital mortality beyond the effects of age and sex. However, our findings might not be restricted to or typical for COVID-19 patients. For example, a recent study by Musikantow et al. shows a similar increase in mortality in hospitalised influenza patients with AF/AFL. [20] This seems to indicate that the found association might be related to a general viral-induced systemic illness rather than specifically COVID-19, suggesting that the findings in this study might be generalised to other patients with viral induced respiratory tract infections (e.g. influenza). Nevertheless, for full appreciation the following topics deserve attention.

First, while our findings show that AF and/or AFL appears *prognostically* unfavourable, particularly in males, this does not imply a *causal* relationship. In fact, it could be argued that the development of AF/AFL and its impact on mortality is merely a more general signal of progression of disease severity and accumulation of comorbidities (e.g. exemplified by higher CHA_2DS_2-VASc scores), and thus could be considered as an 'innocent bystander' in patients experiencing clinical deterioration. To explore the impact of the development of new-onset AF and/or AFL during hospitalisation on in-hospital mortality when adjusting for concomitant comorbidities and risk factors, we performed a sensitivity analysis with additional adjustment for CHA_2DS_2-VASc score. Although this analysis is inherently impacted by a lower degree of statistical robustness due to missing information on the CHA_2DS_2-VASc score in 75.7% of patients (n = 2779), it did yielded similar inferences (Supplemental Table S3 and S4). Moreover, in our study the majority of AF and/or AFL cases (60.7%) were detected in patients either before ICU admission or in patients never admitted to the ICU (i.e. before widespread increase in disease severity occurred). Although it is possible that the threshold for ICU referral was higher due to limited capacity during the peak of the pandemic, this suggests that (new-onset) AF and/or AFL, would at least be an early marker for disease progression. Based on our findings this appears to be prognostically unfavourable, particularly in males aged between 60 and 72 years. Second, although diagnoses were centrally defined, with multicentre studies there is always a risk of heterogeneity due to differences in interpretation among centres. Given that the strategy for rhythm monitoring was defined by the attending physicians, and as a consequence was different per centre, it could well be that electrical disorders may have been underdiagnosed in patients on general wards where continuous rhythm monitoring is not performed. Moreover, grouping the different AF subtypes (paroxysmal, persistent, and permanent) may have resulted in missing subtle disease progression within the AF group. Finally, since only in-hospital death could be recorded, mortality outcome data are limited, and comparison with other studies is hampered by differential follow up due to differences in length of hospital stay.

5. Conclusions

Using a large international database, this study confirms that AF and/or AFL is the most prevalent electrical disorder in hospitalised COVID-19 patients, and that new-onset AF and/or AFL is associated with a poorer prognosis exemplified by an increased in-hospital mortality. However, this increased mortality risk appears to be restricted to male patients aged between 60 and 72 years, and was not observed in female patients.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

CAPACITY-COVID collaborative consortium

Al-Ali AK[1], Al-Muhanna FA[2], Al-Windy NYY[3], Almubarak YA[4], Alnafie AN[5], Alshahrani M[6], Alshehri AM[7], Anthonio RL[8], Asselbergs FW[9,10,11], Aujayeb A[12], ten Berg JM[13], van Boxem AJM[14], Captur G[11,15], Caputo M[16,17], Charlotte N[18], Dark P[19], De Sutter J[20,21], Delsing CE[22], Dorman HGR[23], Drost JT[24], Emans ME[25], Ferreira JB[26], Gabriel L[27], van Gilst WH[28], Groenemeijer BE[29], Haerkens-Arends HE[30], van der Harst P[9], Hedayat B[31], van der Heijden DJ[32], Hellou E[33], Hermanides RS[34], Hermans-van Ast JF[35], van Hessen MWJ[36], Heymans SRB[37,38,39], van der Horst ICC[40,41], van Ierssel SH[42], Jewbali LS[43,44], Kearney MT[45], van Kesteren HAM[46], Kietselaer BLJH[47], Koning AMH[48], Kopylov PY[49], Kuijper AFM[50], Kwakkel-van Erp JM[51], van der Linden MMJM[52], Linschoten M[9], Linssen GCM[53], Macias Ruiz R[54], Magdelijns FJH[55], Martens FMAC[56], McCann GP[57], van der Meer P[58], Meijs MFL[59], Messiaen P[60,61], Monraats PS[62], Montagna L[63], Moriarty A[64], Mosterd A[65], Nierop PR[66], van Ofwegen-Hanekamp CEE[67], Pinto YM[68], Poorhosseini H[69], Prasad S[70,71], Redón J[72,73], Reidinga AC[74], Ribeiro MIA[75], Ripley DP[76], Salah R[77], Saneei E[78], Saxena M[79], Schaap J[80,81], Schellings DAAM[82], Schut A[80], Shafiee A[83], Shore AC[84], Siebelink HJ[85], van Smeden M[86], Smits PC[87], Pisters R[88], Tessitore E[89], Tieleman RG[28,90], Timmermans P Jr[91], Tio RA[92,93], Tjong FVY[68,94,95], den Uil CA[43,44,96], Van Craenenbroeck EM[97], van Veen HPAA[98], Veneman T[99], Verschure DO[100], de Vries JK[101], van de Wal RMA[102], van de Watering DJ[103], Westendorp ICD[104], Westendorp PHM[105], Weytjens C[106], Wierda E[95], Williams B[107], Woudstra P[108], Wu KW[109], Zaal R[110], Zaman AG[111], van der Zee PM[112]

Affiliations

1. Department of Clinical Biochemistry, King Fahd Hospital of the University, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Alkhobar, Saudi Arabia

2. Department of Internal Medicine, King Fahd Hospital of the University, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Alkhobar, Saudi Arabia

3. Department of Cardiology, Gelre Hospital Zutphen, Zutphen, the Netherlands

4. Department of Critical Care, King Fahd Hospital of the University, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Alkhobar, Saudi Arabia

5. Department of Pathology, King Fahd Hospital of the University, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Alkhobar, Saudi Arabia

6. Department of Emergency Medicine, King Fahd Hospital of the University, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Alkhobar, Saudi Arabia

7. Department of Internal Medicine, Cardiology Section, King Fahd Hospital of the University, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Alkhobar, Saudi Arabia

8. Department of Cardiology, Treant Zorggroep, Emmen, the Netherlands

9. Department of Cardiology, Division of Heart and Lungs, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands

10. Health Data Research United Kingdom and Institute of Health Informatics, University College London, London, United Kingdom

11. Institute of Cardiovascular Science, Faculty of Population Health Sciences, University College London, London, United Kingdom

12. Department of Respiratory and Acute Medicine, Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle, United Kingdom

13. Department of Cardiology, St. Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands

14. Department of Pulmonology, Bravis Hospital, Roosendaal, the Netherlands

15. Department of Cardiology, Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

16. Bristol Heart Institute, University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, United Kingdom

17. Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

18. Department of Cardiology, SSR Val Rosay, Saint Didier au Mont d'Or, France

19. Department of Critical Care, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, United Kingdom

20. Department of Cardiology, AZ Maria Middelares, Ghent, Belgium

21. Department of Internal Medicine, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

22. Department of Internal Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Medisch Spectrum Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands

23. Department of Cardiology, Bravis Hospital, Roosendaal, the Netherlands

24. Department of Cardiology, Saxenburgh Medical Center, Hardenberg, the Netherlands

25. Department of Cardiology, Ikazia Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

26. Department of Cardiology, Hospital Professor Doutor Fernando Fonseca, Amadora, Portugal

27. Department of Cardiology, CHU UCL Namur site Godinne, Université Catholique de Louvain, Yvoir, Belgium

28. Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

29. Department of Cardiology, Gelre Hospital Apeldoorn, Apeldoorn, the Netherlands

30. Department of Cardiology, Jeroen Bosch Hospital, 's-Hertogenbosch, the Netherlands

31. Department of Cardiology, Tehran Heart Center, Cardiovascular Diseases Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

32. Department of Cardiology, Haaglanden Medical Center, the Hague, the Netherlands

33. Department of Cardiology, E.M.M.S Hospital, Nazareth, Israel

34. Department of Cardiology, Isala Hospital, Zwolle, the Netherlands

35. Durrer Center, Netherlands Heart Institute, Utrecht, the Netherlands

36. Department of Cardiology, Groene Hart Hospital, Gouda, the Netherlands

37. Department of Cardiology, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht, the Netherlands

38. Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, Center for Molecular and Vascular Biology, KU Leuven, Belgium

39. The Netherlands Heart Institute, Utrecht, the Netherlands

40. Department of Intensive Care, Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands

41. Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, the Netherlands

42. Department of General Internal Medicine, Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine, Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium

43. Department of Cardiology, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

44. Department of Intensive Care, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

45. Leeds Institute for Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom

46. Department of Cardiology, Admiraal de Ruyter Hospital, Goes, the Netherlands

47. Department of Cardiology, Zuyderland Medical Center, Heerlen, the Netherlands

48. Department of Gynaecology, Amstelland Hospital, Amstelveen, the Netherlands

49. World-Class Research Center Digital Biodesign and Personalized Healthcare, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Sechenov University, Moscow, Russia

50. Department ofCardiology, Spaarne Gasthuis, Haarlem, the Netherlands

51. Department of Pulmonology, Antwerp University Hospital, University of Antwerp, Edegem, Belgium

52. Department of Cardiology, Franciscus Vlietland, Schiedam, the Netherlands

53. Department of Cardiology, Ziekenhuis Groep Twente (ZGT) , Almelo, the Netherlands

54. Arrhythmias Unit, Department of Cardiology, Hospital Universitario Virgen de las Nieves, Granada, Spain

55. Department of Internal Medicine, Division of General Internal Medicine, Section Geriatric Medicine, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht, the Netherlands

56. Department of Cardiology, Deventer Hospital, Deventer, the Netherlands

57. Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leicester and Cardiovascular Theme, National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Leicester Biomedical Research Center, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, United Kingdom

58. Department of Cardiology, LangeLand Hospital, Zoetermeer, the Netherlands

59. Department of Cardiology, Thorax Center Twente, Medisch Spectrum Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands

60. Department of Infectious Diseases & Immunity, Jessa Hospital, Hasselt, Belgium

61. Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, Hasselt University, Hasselt, Belgium

62. Department of Cardiology, Elizabeth-TweeSteden Hospital, Tilburg, the Netherlands

63. Department of Cardiology, A.O.U. San Luigi Gonzaga, Orbassano, Turin, Italy

64. Cardiovascular Research Unit, Craigavon Area Hospital, Southern Health and Social Care Trust, Portadown, Nothern Ireland

65. Department of Cardiology, Meander Medical Center, Amersfoort, the Netherlands

66. Department of Cardiology, Franciscus Gasthuis, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

67. Department of Cardiology, Diakonessenhuis, Utrecht, the Netherlands

68. Amsterdam University Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Heart Center; Department of Clinical and Experimental Cardiology, Amsterdam Cardiovascular Sciences, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

69. Department of Interventional Cardiology, Tehran Heart Center, Cardiovascular Diseases Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

70. National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

71. Royal Brompton Hospital, London, United Kingdom

72. Department of Internal Medicine, Clinic University Hospital, INCLIVA Health Research Institute, Valencia, Spain

73. Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain

74. Department of Intensive Care, Martini Hospital, Groningen, the Netherlands

75. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital do Espírito Santo, Évora, Portugal

76. Department of Cardiology, Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle, United Kingdom

77. Benha Faculty of Medicine, Benha, Egypt

78. Department of Nursing, Tehran Heart Center, Cardiovascular Diseases Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

79. Barts National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Center, William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom

80. The Dutch Network for Cardiovascular Research (WCN), Utrecht, the Netherlands

81. Department of Cardiology, Amphia Hospital, the Netherlands

82. Department of Cardiology, Slingeland Hospital Doetinchem, the Netherlands

83. Department of Cardiovascular Research, Tehran Heart Center, Cardiovascular Diseases Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

84. National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Exeter Clinical Research Facility, Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital and University of Exeter College of Medicine & Health, Exeter, United Kingdom

85. Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

86. Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands

87. Department of Cardiology, Maasstad Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

88. Department of Cardiology, Rijnstate Hospital, Arnhem, the Netherlands

89. Department of Cardiology, University Hospitals of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

90. Department of Cardiology, Martini Hospital, Groningen, the Netherlands

91. Department of Cardiology, Heart Center Hasselt, Jessa Hospital, Hasselt, Belgium

92. Department of Cardiology, Catharina Hospital, Eindhoven, the Netherlands

93. Department of Educational Development and Research in the Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Catharina Hospital, Eindhoven, the Netherlands

94. Department of Cardiology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam Cardiovascular Sciences, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

95. Department of Cardiology, Dijklander Hospital, Hoorn, the Netherlands

96. Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Maasstad Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

97. Cardiovascular Research, Antwerp University and Cardiology, Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium

98. Department of Pulmonology, Medisch Spectrum Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands

99. Department of Intensive Care, Ziekenhuis Groep Twente (ZGT) , Almelo, the Netherlands

100. Department of Cardiology, Zaans Medical Center, Zaandam, the Netherlands

101. Department of Internal Medicine, Antonius Hospital, Sneek, the Netherlands

102. Department of Cardiology, Bernhoven Hospital, Uden, the Netherlands

103. Department of Cardiology, Albert Schweitzer Hospital, Dordrecht, the Netherlands

104. Department of Cardiology, Rode Kruis Hospital, Beverwijk, the Netherlands

105. Department of Cardiology, Beatrix Hospital, Gorinchem, the Netherlands

106. Department of Cardiology, CHVZ, University Hospital Brussels, Jette, Belgium

107. National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Center, University College London Hospitals, London, United Kingdom

108. Department of Cardiology, Medical Center Leeuwarden (MCL), Leeuwarden, the Netherlands

109. Department of Cardiology, van Weel-Bethesda Hospital, Dirksland, the Netherlands

110. Department of Pulmonology, Ziekenhuis Groep Twente (ZGT) , Almelo, the Netherlands

111. Freeman Hospital, Newcastle Upon Tyne NHS Hospitals Foundation Trust and Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE7 7DN, United Kingdom

112. Department of Cardiology, St. Jansdal Hospital, Harderwijk, the Netherlands

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcha.2022.100970.

References

[1] WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard [Internet]. Available from: https://covid19.who.int/.

[2] E. Mathieu, H. Ritchie, E. Ortiz-Ospina, M. Roser, J. Hasell, C. Appel, C. Giattino, L. Rodés-Guirao, A global database of COVID-19 vaccinations, Nat. Hum. Behav. 5 (7) (2021) 947–953.

[3] L.F. Buss, C.A. Prete, C.M.M. Abrahim, A. Mendrone, T. Salomon, C. de Almeida-Neto, R.F.O. França, M.C. Belotti, M.P.S.S. Carvalho, A.G. Costa, M.A.E. Crispim, S. C. Ferreira, N.A. Fraiji, S. Gurzenda, C. Whittaker, L.T. Kamaura, P.L. Takecian, P. da Silva Peixoto, M.K. Oikawa, A.S. Nishiya, V. Rocha, N.A. Salles, A.A. de Souza Santos, M.A. da Silva, B. Custer, K.V. Parag, M. Barral-Netto, M.U.G. Kraemer, R.H. M. Pereira, O.G. Pybus, M.P. Busch, M.C. Castro, C. Dye, V.H. Nascimento, N. R. Faria, E.C. Sabino, Three-quarters attack rate of SARS-CoV-2 in the Brazilian Amazon during a largely unmitigated epidemic, Science (80-) 371 (6526) (2021) 288–292.

[4] E. Dolgin, COVID vaccine immunity is waning — how much does that matter?, Available from, Nature [Internet] 597 (2021) 606–607, https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-021-02532-4.

[5] J. Damas, G.M. Hughes, K.C. Keough, C.A. Painter, N.S. Persky, M. Corbo, M. Hiller, K.-P. Koepfli, A.R. Pfenning, H. Zhao, D.P. Genereux, R. Swofford, K. S. Pollard, O.A. Ryder, M.T. Nweeia, K. Lindblad-Toh, E.C. Teeling, E.K. Karlsson, H.A. Lewin, Broad host range of SARS-CoV-2 predicted by comparative and structural analysis of ACE2 in vertebrates, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 117 (36) (2020) 22311–22322.

[6] E. Callaway, H. Ledford, How to redesign COVID vaccines so they protect against variants, Nature 590 (7844) (2021) 15–16.

[7] A.K. Gupta, H. Jneid, D. Addison, H. Ardehali, A.K. Boehme, S. Borgaonkar, R. Boulestreau, K. Clerkin, N. Delarche, H.A. DeVon, I.M. Grumbach, J. Gutierrez, D.A. Jones, V. Kapil, C. Maniero, A. Mentias, P.S. Miller, S.M. Ng, J.D. Parekh, R. H. Sanchez, K.T. Sawicki, A.S.J.M. te Riele, C.A. Remme, B. London, Current Perspectives on Coronavirus Disease 2019 and Cardiovascular Disease: A White Paper by the JAHA Editors, J. Am. Heart Assoc. 9 (12) (2020), https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.120.017013.

[8] B. Peltzer, K.K. Manocha, X. Ying, J. Kirzner, J.E. Ip, G. Thomas, C.F. Liu, S. M. Markowitz, B.B. Lerman, M.M. Safford, P. Goyal, J.W. Cheung, Outcomes and

mortality associated with atrial arrhythmias among patients hospitalized with COVID-19, J. Cardiovasc. Electrophysiol. 31 (12) (2020) 3077–3085.

[9] S.E. Mountantonakis, M. Saleh, J. Fishbein, A. Gandomi, M. Lesser, J. Chelico, J. Gabriels, M. Qiu, L.M. Epstein, Atrial Fibrillation is an Independent Predictor for In-hospital Mortality in Patients Admitted with SARS-CoV-2 Infection, Hear. Rhythm Heart Rhythm Soc. 18 (4) (2021) 501–507.

[10] A. Bhatla, M.M. Mayer, S. Adusumalli et al., COVID-19 and cardiac arrhythmias, vol. 17, Hear Rhythm Elsevier Inc., 2020, pp. 1439–1444.

[11] E.J. Benjamin, S.S. Virani, C.W. Callaway, et al., Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics—2018 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association, Available from: Circulation [Internet] 137 (2018) https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000558.

[12] C.A. Emdin, C.X. Wong, A.J. Hsiao et al., Atrial fibrillation as risk factor for cardiovascular disease and death in women compared with men: systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies, BMJ [Internet] 2016, h7013. Available from: https://www.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bmj.h7013.

[13] CAPACITY-COVID Collaborative Consortium and LEOSS Study Group. Clinical presentation, disease course, and outcome of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients with and without pre-existing cardiac disease: a cohort study across 18 countries. Eur Heart J. 2021 Nov 4:ehab656. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab656. Epub ahead of print.

[14] A.E. Buxton, H. Calkins, D.J. Callans et al., ACC/AHA/HRS 2006 key data elements and definitions for electrophysiological studies and procedures: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Data Standards (ACC/AHA/HRS Writing Committee to Develop D. Circulation), 2006.

[15] M. van Smeden, J.A.H. de Groot, K.G.M. Moons, G.S. Collins, D.G. Altman, M.J. C. Eijkemans, J.B. Reitsma, No rationale for 1 variable per 10 events criterion for binary logistic regression analysis, BMC Med. Res. Methodol. BMC Med. Res. Methodol. 16 (1) (2016), https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-016-0267-3.

[16] F.E. Harrell Jr., Regression modeling strategies: with applications to linear models, logistic and ordinal regression, and survival analysis, 2015.

[17] K. Boonyawat, P. Chantrathammachart, P. Numthavaj, N. Nanthatanti, S. Phusanti, A. Phuphuakrat, P. Niparuck, P. Angchaisuksiri, Incidence of thromboembolism in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Thromb. J. Thrombosis J. 18 (1) (2020), https://doi.org/10.1186/s12959-020-00248-5.

[18] M. Gawałko, A. Kapłon-Cieślicka, M. Hohl, D. Dobrev, D. Linz, COVID-19 associated atrial fibrillation: Incidence, putative mechanisms and potential clinical implications, IJC Hear Vasc. 30 (2020) 1–8.

[19] J.A. Offerhaus, A.A.M. Wilde, C.A. Remme, Prophylactic (hydroxy)chloroquine in COVID-19: Potential relevance for cardiac arrhythmia risk, Heart Rhythm 17 (9) (2020) 1480–1486.

[20] D.R. Musikantow, M.K. Turagam, S. Sartori, E. Chu, I. Kawamura, P. Shivamurthy, M. Bokhari, C. Oates, C. Zhang, C. Pumill, W. Malick, H. Hashemi, T. Ruiz-Maya, M.B. Hadley, J. Gandhi, D. Sperling, W. Whang, J.S. Koruth, M.-N. Langan, A. Sofi, A. Gomes, S. Harcum, S. Cammack, B. Ellsworth, S.R. Dukkipati, A. Bassily-Marcus, R. Kohli-Seth, M.E. Goldman, J.L. Halperin, V. Fuster, V.Y. Reddy, Atrial Fibrillation in Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19, JACC Clin. Electrophysiol. [Internet] 7 (9) (2021) 1120–1130.