

Re: Reduction of Inflammatory RANTES/CCL5 Serum Levels by Surgery in Patients with Bone Marrow Defects of the Jawbone [Letter]

David A Keith^{1,2}

¹Dental Section, Journal of Pain Research, Dove Medical Press, Auckland, New Zealand; ²Corresponding Member of the Faculty, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Harvard School of Dental Medicine, Visiting Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeon, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

Correspondence: David A Keith, Email dkeith@partners.org

Dear editor

I have read this paper¹ and was surprised to find that the first sentence in the Introduction supports a widely discredited claim that “Bone marrow defects” cause facial neuralgias. It is supported by one reference from 1992 by first author Bouquot.² The concept of Neuralgia inducing cavitation osteonecrosis (NICO) has been around for many years and is characterized by usually bilateral, continuous, and paroxysmal pain of moderate to severe intensity in the mandible or maxilla. There is little evidence to support this theory.

Zuniga³ contributed an extensive Counter point to Bouquot and Mc Mahon’s article⁴ in which he compared NICO to Trigeminal Neuralgia, Atypical facial Pain, Atypical Neuralgia and Burning mouth syndrome and concluded that these pain conditions met important criteria that included clinical data to support the pathophysiology and diagnostic criteria, scientifically sound data that provide predominantly central nervous system mechanisms and clinical pain data to determine which criteria discriminate between the pain sub groups. The latest classification of orofacial pain⁵ has defined 3 types of persistent facial pain (idiopathic facial pain, idiopathic dentolalveolar and post traumatic trigeminal pain). Their common features are persistent pain with variable features, daily occurrence, 2+ hours, 3+ months, poorly localized, dull, nagging, occasionally sharp, radiating with variable intensity. This would seem to fit the “neuralgia” described by Bouquot most closely.

Furthermore, Zuniga analyzed the pathophysiology, histopathology, diagnostic test efficacy, and treatment outcomes to conclude that NICO has been and continues to be a challenged concept.³

The most recent literature review⁶ of 29 articles concluded that there was “poor evidence” for the etiology, diagnosis and treatment of NICO. No gold standard diagnostic could be identified. All studies were observational in their design and all investigations were rated as poor quality because of high risk of bias and non-transparent reporting. The Authors concluded that prospective diagnostic and therapeutic studies are needed before the usefulness of invasive therapeutic procedures can be evaluated.

The statement that “There is growing evidence...” for its support is not demonstrated by the evidence. PUBMED lists 21 articles since 1986 with only 4 published since 2014.⁷

Furthermore, the theory has come under legal review and Insurance company review.^{8,9}

I am not qualified to review the scientific evidence presented in this paper but as an oral and maxillofacial surgeon with a long term interest in pain I have to agree with the literature that the diagnostic criteria have not been validated and that considerable caution needs to be exercised in attributing pain to these putative lesions so patients are not harmed by unnecessary surgical interventions.

Disclosure

The author reports no conflict of interest in this communication.

References

1. Diedrich J, Schwagten H, Biltgen G, Lechner J, Müller KE. Reduction of inflammatory RANTES/CCL5 serum levels by surgery in patients with bone marrow defects of the jawbone. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dent*. 2023;15:181–188. doi:10.2147/CCIDE.S417783
2. Bouquot JE, Christian J. Long-term effects of jawbone curettage on the pain of facial neuralgia. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1995;53(4):387–397. doi:10.1016/0278-2391(95)90708-4
3. Zuniga JR. Challenging the Neuralgia-inducing cavitation osteonecrosis concept. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2000;58(9):1021–1028. doi:10.1053/joms.2000.8745
4. Bouquot JE, McMahon RE. Neuropathic Pain in maxillofacial osteonecrosis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2000;58(9):1003–1020. doi:10.1053/joms.2000.8744
5. International Classification of Orofacial Pain, 1st edition (ICOP). *Cephalalgia*; 2020;40(2):129–221. doi:10.1177/0333102419893823
6. Sekundo C, Wiltfang J, Schliephake H, et al. Neuralgia-inducing cavitation osteonecrosis - A systematic review. *Oral Dis*. 2022;28(6):1448–1467. PMID: 33893686. doi:10.1111/odi.13886
7. National Library of Medicine. PubMed®. Available from: [Pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Accessed 3, October 2023.
8. Barrett S. Offbeat dentists sued for neglect August 15, 2009, Consumer Health: A guide to intelligent decisions. Available from: <https://quackwatch.org/cases/mal/pana/complaint/>. Accessed 3 October, 2023.
9. Barrett S. S Aetna rips the lid off the Cavitat Conspiracy November 23, 2005, Consumer Health: a guide to intelligent decisions. Available from: <https://quackwatch.org/dental/news/cavitatsuit/>. Accessed 3 October, 2023.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal focusing on the latest clinical and experimental research in dentistry with specific emphasis on cosmetic interventions. Innovative developments in dental materials, techniques and devices that improve outcomes and patient satisfaction and preference will be highlighted. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-cosmetic-and-investigational-dentistry-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/CCIDE.S443950>