

Full Research Paper

The use of chiral lithium amides in the desymmetrisation of *N*-trialkylsilyl dimethyl sulfoximines Matthew J McGrath and Carsten Bolm*

Address: Institut für Organische Chemie, Landoltweg 1, RWTH Aachen, Aachen 52074, Germany

Email: Matthew J McGrath - matthew.mcgrath@oc.rwth-aachen.de; Carsten Bolm* - Carsten.Bolm@oc.rwth-aachen.de

* Corresponding author

Published: 16 October 2007

Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry 2007, 3:33 doi:10.1186/1860-5397-3-33

This article is available from: http://bjoc.beilstein-journals.org/content/3/1/33

© 2007 McGrath and Bolm; licensee Beilstein-Institut

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 16 August 2007 Accepted: 16 October 2007

Abstract

Background: Chiral base desymmetrisation of dimethyl sulfoximines could provide a general route to chiral, enantioenriched dialkyl sulfoximines with potential for use in asymmetric catalysis.

Results: Asymmetric deprotonation of *N*-trialkylsilyl dimethyl sulfoximines with either enantiomer of lithium *N*,*N*-bis(1-phenylethyl)amide in the presence of lithium chloride affords enantioenriched sulfoximines on electrophilic trapping. Ketones, ketimines, trialkylsilyl chlorides and activated alkyl halides may be used as electrophiles in the reaction. Furthermore, a modified Horner-Emmons methodology was investigated.

Conclusion: Simple chiral lithium amides afford products with enantiomeric excesses of up to 70%, illustrating that chiral base desymmetrisation of dimethyl sulfoximines is possible.

Introduction

The preparation of enantioenriched sulfoximines is an important goal in synthesis as these S-chiral compounds make interesting ligands for asymmetric catalysis and have been used in the construction of pseudopeptides. [1-4] Enantiomerically enriched aryl-alkylsulfoximines are generally prepared by resolution with camphorsulfonic acid but this method is not applicable to dialkyl sulfoximines. [5-7]

Imination of enantioenriched sulfoxides and derivatisation of sulfoximines with enantiomerically pure amino acid derivatives also provide enantioenriched *S*-chiral sulfoximines but both methods lack generality due to the limited availability of the appropriate chiral sulfoxides and the limited scope for cleavage of the chiral auxiliary in the latter process. [6-15] Selective substitution of one of the enantiotopic methyl groups in a dimethylsulfoximine derivative 1, by treatment with a chiral base followed by electrophilic trapping of the resultant anion, would provide an alternative access to enantioenriched sulfoximines of type 2, which are not readily accessible by other means (Scheme 1). [16-19]

Results and Discussion

N-Trialkylsilyl protected sulfoximines were chosen as candidates for chiral base desymmetrisation as simple variation of the silyl protecting group allows for tuning of the steric bulk at the sulfoximine nitrogen and because of the potential for subsequently unmasking the sulfoximine NH under mild conditions.

N-Protected sulfoximines were prepared from dimethyl sulfoximine in a straightforward manner (Scheme 2). Treatment of dimethyl sulfoximine (3) with hexamethyl

Open Access

1. chiral base 2. E⁺ \mathbf{O} NR Ме 1a-b 2a-c 1a R = TMS 1b R = TBDPS **2a** R = H, $R' = Ph_2COH$ 2b R = TBDPS, R' = TMS **2c** R = TBDPS, $R' = Ph_2COH$ **2d** R = TBDPS, $R' = Ph_2CNHTs$ 2e R = TBDPS, R' = SPh **2f** R = TBDPS, $R' = CH_2 = CHCH_2$ **2g** R = TBDPS, R' = 2-BrC₆H₄CH₂

Scheme I: Desymmetrisation of N-trialkylsilyldimethylsulfoximines using chiral bases

disilazane or with *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride and imidazole in DMF gave the silylated sulfoximines **1a** and **1b** in 61% and 69% yield, respectively, thus furnishing substrates for deprotonation reactions.



Scheme 2: Preparation of *N*-trialkylsilyl dimethyl sulfoximine derivatives

Initial attempts at enantioselective deprotonation of TMS protected **1a** using a complex of (-)-sparteine **4** (Figure 1) and *n*-BuLi as the chiral base and trapping the resulting anion with benzophenone gave the desilylated β -hydroxysulfoximine **2a** with low enantiomeric excesses (Table 1, Entries 1 and 2).

Similarly a combination of (-)-4/s-BuLi was unselective in the desymmetrisation of silyl derivative **1b**. Thus using TMSCl as electrophile, adduct **2b** was obtained in 41% yield with 7% ee (Table 1, Entry 3). Deprotonation with lithium amide (*R*,*R*)-5 in the presence of lithium chloride (conveniently generated *in situ* by deprotonation of the amine hydrochloride with *n*-BuLi [20,21] gave an inseparable mixture of **2a** and *bis*(1-phenylethyl)amine, encouragingly however, HPLC examination of the mixture using a chiral column indicated that adduct **2a** was formed in 42% ee (Table 1, entry 4). To overcome the separation problem, *N-tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl dimethylsulfoximine **1b** was used in place of trimethylsilyl adduct **1a** and the electrophilic trap was changed to TMSCI. Under these modified conditions, the chiral amine could be removed from the product mixture by extraction with aqueous phosphoric acid and a 72% yield of the TBDPS adduct **2b** was obtained in a promising 36% ee (Table 1, entry 5).

Next, attention was focused on optimising the reaction temperature and the chiral lithium amide structure. Lowering the deprotonation temperature to -94 °C (MeOHliquid nitrogen bath) gave silyl adduct **2b** in 54% ee (Table 1, Entry 6), a further decrease in temperature to -105 °C gave the desired adduct in 61% ee and 58% yield (Table 1, Entry 7). Use of lithium amide 6 with benzophenone as electrophile led to adduct **2c** of only 38% ee (Table 1, Entry 8) and reactions with lithium diamide 7 gave silyl derivative **2b** in good yield but with no detectable enantiomeric excess (Table 1, Entry 9).

Various electrophiles were tested in the desymmetrisation reaction using lithium amide 5. Benzophenone proved to be superior to TMSCl (Table 2, Entry 1), affording 2c in high yield and improved ee (86% yield, 70% ee, Table 2, Entry 2). When N-(diphenylmethylene)-toluenesulfonamide was used as electrophile the sulfoximine adduct 2d was obtained in low yield and ee (Table 2, Entry 3). In contrast, diphenyl disulfide gave sulfide 2e in acceptable ee but in low yield (Table 2, Entry 4) presumably due to facile deprotonation of the product under the reaction conditions. A moderate yield was also obtained on allylation with allyl iodide (Table 2, Entry 5) but in this case the enantiomers could not be resolved by HPLC using a chiral column. A benzylic halide was also reactive thus 2-bromobenzyl bromide gave adduct 2g in 70% yield, 57% ee (Table 2, Entry 6).

Vinyl sulfoximines could be accessed by trapping the lithiated sulfoximine with diethyl chlorophosphate (presumably affording 8) followed by treatment with *t*-BuOK and an aldehyde in an *in situ* Horner Emmons process (Scheme 3). [22] Use of 4-chlorobenzaldehyde or 4-phenylbenzaldehyde gave *E*-alkenes 9 and 10 in moderate yields and enantiomeric excesses.

The absolute configuration of alkene 9 was determined after TBAF deprotection of the sulfoximine nitrogen to give 11 followed by reaction with (*R*)-*O*-methyl mandelic acid (Scheme 4) to afford diastereomeric amides (*S*,*R*)-12 and (*R*,*R*)-12 in a 3:1 ratio. The ¹H NMR resonance for the alkene signal for the alkene proton α to the sulfoximine in the major diastereoisomer of 12 occurred downfield (7.07





ppm) from that observed from the minor diastereoisomer (6.99 ppm) (a difference of +0.08 ppm) whereas the methyl group signal for the major diastereomer occurred upfield (3.26 ppm) from the methyl signal for the minor diastereoisomer (3.32 ppm) (a difference of -0.06 ppm) (Figure 2). This may be compared with the results obtained by Yabunchi and Kasumi who initially examined the NMR characteristics of 15 sulfoximine amides and rationalised the chemical shift trends on the basis of the probable favoured conformations of the amides in solution.[23]

Subsequently, this method was shown to be applicable to vinyl sulfoximines.[24] They report $\Delta\delta$ values which are calculated from the ¹H NMR spectra of diastereomeric amides, prepared by coupling a single sulfoximine stereoisomer separately with both enantiomers of *O*-methyl mandelic acid. They found that the difference in chemical shift between the methyl groups of (*R*,*S*)-13 and *S*-(*R*) isobutyl methyl *N*-[(*R*)-methoxylphenylacetyl]sulfoximine (*R*,*R*)-13 was -0.078 ppm (the chemical shift differences



Scheme 3: Preparation of vinyl sulfoximines

must be the same for (S,R)-13-(R,R)-13 which can then be compared directly with -0.06 ppm for the difference between diastereomers 12). The differences $\Delta\delta$ for the protons on the methylene attached to diastereomers (R,S)-13 and (R,R)-13 were +0.117 ppm and +0.066 ppm which compare well with +0.08 ppm for the difference in the α vinyl protons of 12. On this basis, the major diastereoisomer was assigned as (S,R)-12 and therefore the minor diastereoisomer was assigned as the (R,R) isomer, indicating that the major enantiomer of 9 formed in the desymmetrisation reaction was (S) configured at sulfur when chiral base (S,S)-5 was used in the presence of LiCl. This can be checked by comparison of the NMR shifts of 12 with the diastereomeric vinyl sulfoximine amides 14.[24] For vinyl sulfoximine amides (*S*,*S*)-14 and (*S*,*R*)-14 $\delta\Delta$ for the vinylic proton was determined as -0.044 ppm, the difference (R,R)-14 - (R,S)-14 must represent an identity in this case, the difference in chemical shift for the depicted

Table 1: Enantioselective Deprotonation of	Trialkylsilyl-protected Sulfoximines	I: Optimisation of Reaction Conditions
--	--------------------------------------	--

Entry	SM	Electrophile	Base	Solvent	T (°C)	Product	Yield (%)	ee (%) ^a
I	la	Ph ₂ CO	4 • <i>n</i> -BuLi	Et₂O	-78	2a	41	8
2	la	Ph ₂ CO	4 • <i>n</i> -BuLi	Toluene	-78	2a	27	2
3	IЬ	TMSCI	4•s-BuLi	Et ₂ O	-78	2b	41	7
4	la	Ph ₂ CO	5•LiCl	TĤF	-78	2a	70 ^b	-42°
5	IЬ	TMSCI	5•LiCl	THF	-78	2Ь	72	36
6	IЬ	TMSCI	5•LiCl	THF	-94	2b	27	-54°
7	IЬ	TMSCI	5•LiCl	THF	-105	2b	58	61
8	IЬ	Ph ₂ CO	6•LiCl	THF	-105	2c	41	38
9	Ib	TMSCI	7 •2LiCl	THF	-105	2ь	53	0

^a Determined by HPLC using a chiral column. ^b Determined by NMR integration, all other yields refer to the amount of isolated product. ^c Denotes use of (*R*,*R*)-**5** in all other cases.

Entry	Electrophile	Product	Yield (%)	ee (%) ^b
I	TMSCI	2b	58	61
2	Ph ₂ CO	2c	86	70
3	$Ph_2C = NTs$	2d	30	28
4	PhSSPh	2e	6	62
5	$CH_2 = CHCH_2I$	2f	34	_c
6	2-BrC ₆ H ₄ CH ₂ Br	2g	70	57

Table 2: Scope of Dimethylsulfoximine Desymmetrisation Reaction Using Lithium Amide 5ª

^a Reactions were performed using sulfoximine **Ib** and an excess of lithium amide **5** at -105°C in THF see additional file I for experimental details ^b Determined by HPLC using a chiral column. ^c Not determined

vinylic proton in (R,R)-12 and (S,R)-12 is -0.08 ppm, consistent with the configurational assignment.

A mechanism involving irreversible asymmetric deprotonation to a lithiated sulfoximine followed by rapid anion quenching on addition of the electrophile appears likely. An alternative equilibration of the sulfoximine anion with the chiral amine is less probable as racemic **2b** was obtained in 23% yield after TMSCl quenching when sulfoximine **1b** was first lithiated by treatment with *n*-BuLi followed by addition of a solution of (S,S)-*bis*-*N*,*N*-(1phenylethyl)amine and lithium chloride (Scheme 5).



In an analogous reaction, enantioenriched lithiated sulfoxides racemised via a reversible disproportionation to the sulfoxide and a symmetrical, dilithiated sulfoxide.[16] However this process seems to be unimportant for sulfoximines under the present conditions as the ee of **2g** was unchanged by reducing the deprotonation time at -105°C to 10 minutes (28%, 57% ee) or by warming from -105°C to -78°C prior to quenching (furnishing **2g** in 48% yield and 57% ee).

In contrast to the situation with most of the electrophiles studied, benzophenone and (diphenylmethylene)toluenesulfonamide may undergo a reversible addition to the sulfoximine anion, whereas in the other cases, substitution is probably irreversible and this difference may have implications for the enantioselectivity in these cases.



Scheme 5: The influence of (S,S)-bis(1-phenylethyl)amine on the ee of 1b

Conclusion

Chiral lithium amide-mediated desymmetrisation of dimethylsulfoximines is possible. Development of more selective lithium amides should enable the realisation of truly high ee desymmetrisations. Current research efforts are directed towards this goal and towards examining the asymmetric deprotonation of other sulfoximines. We are also interested in exploring alternative, more selective desymmetrisations of dialkylsulfoximines.



Figure 2

Selected NMR Characteristics of sulfoximine amides 12, 13 and 14.

Experimental

See Additional File 1 for full experimental data.

See Additional File 2 for copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra.

Additional material

Additional file 1

The use of chiral lithium amides in the desymmetrisation of N-trialkylsilyl dimethyl sulfoximines. Detailed experimental procedures and characterisation data. Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1860-5397-3-33-S1.pdf

Additional file 2

The use of chiral lithium amides in the desymmetrisation of N-trialkylsilyl dimethyl sulfoximines. ¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectra. Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1860-5397-3-33-S2.pdf

Acknowledgements

MJM is grateful to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for financial support and to Dr. Lukas Hintermann for valuable suggestions. The Fonds der Chemischen Industrie sponsored this research.

References

- Okamura H, Bolm C: Chem Lett 2004, 33:482-487. Τ.
- Harmata M: Chemtracts 2003, 16:660-666.
- 3. Bolm C, Müller D, Dalhoff C, Hackenberger CPR, Weinhold E: Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2003, 13:3207-3211. and references therein
- Reggelin M, Zur C: Synthesis 2000:1-64.
- 5. Fusco R, Tenconi F: Chim Ind (Milan) 1965, 47:61-62.
- 6. Johnson CR, Schroeck CW: J Am Chem Soc 1973, 95:7418.
- 7. Brandt J, Gais H-J: Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 6:909-912.
- Johnson CR, Kirchhoff RA, Corkins HG: J Org Chem 1974, 8. 39:2458-2459.
- 9. Okamura H, Bolm C: Org Lett 2004, 6:1305-1307.
- 10.
- Cho GY, Bolm C: Org Lett 2005, **7:**1351-1354. García Mancheño O, Bolm C: Org Lett 2006, **8:**2349-2352. 11.
- García Mancheño O, Bolm C: Chem Eur J 2007, 13:6674-6681. 12.
- 13. Cren S, Kinahan TC, Skinner CL, Tye H: Tetrahedron Lett 2002, 43:2749-2751.
- 14. Fernandez I, Khiar N, Llera JM, Alcudia F: / Org Chem 1992, 57:6789-6796.
- Reggelin M, Weinberger H: Tetrahedron Lett 1992, 57:6959-6962. 15.
- Maercker A, Schuhmacher R, Buchmeier W, Lutz HD: Chem Ber 16. 1991:2489-2498.
- 17. O'Brien P: / Chem Soc Perkin / 1998:1439-1458.
- Simpkins NS: Pure and Appl Chem 1996, 68:691-694. 18.
- 19. Annunziata R, Cinquini M, Collona S, Cozzi F: J Chem Soc Perkin I 1981:3118-3119.
- Cox PJ, Persad A, Simpkins NS: Synlett 1992:194-196. 20.
- Blake AJ, Cooke PA, Kendall JD, Simpkins NS: J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 21 / 2000:153-163.
- 22. Craig D, Geach NJ: Synlett 1992:299-300.
- 23 Yabunchi T, Kusumi T: / Am Chem Soc 1999, 121:10646-10647.
- Kusumi T, Ooi T, Ohkubo Y, Yabunchi T: Bull Chem Soc Jpn 2006, 24. 79:965-980.