




Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Osteoporosis and Sarcopenia

journal homepage: <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/afos>

Original article

Systematic review and meta-analysis of lean mass and mortality: Rationale and study description



Ching-Lung Cheung^{a,*}, Grace Koon-Yee Lee^a, Philip Chun-Ming Au^a, Gloria Hoi-Yee Li^a, Marcus Chan^d, Hang-Long Li^d, Bernard Man-Yung Cheung^b, Ian Chi-Kei Wong^a, Victor Ho-Fun Lee^c, James Mok^d, Benjamin Hon-Kei Yip^e, Kenneth King-Yip Cheng^f, Chih-Hsing Wu^{g,h}

^a Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacy, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

^b Department of Medicine, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

^c Department of Clinical Oncology, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

^d Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

^e JC School of Public Health and Primary Care, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong

^f Department of Health Technology and Informatics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Hong Kong

^g Department of Family Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

^h Institute of Geriatrics, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 July 2020

Received in revised form

26 November 2020

Accepted 26 January 2021

Available online 11 February 2021

Keywords:

Lean mass

Sarcopenia

Mortality

Meta-analysis

Systematic review

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Muscle mass is one of the key components in defining sarcopenia and is known to be important for locomotion and body homeostasis. Lean mass is commonly used as a surrogate of muscle mass and has been shown to be associated with increased mortality. However, the relationship of lean mass with mortality may be affected by different clinical conditions, modalities used, cut-off point to define low or normal lean mass, and even types of cancer among cancer patients. Thus, we aim to perform a comprehensive meta-analysis of lean mass with mortality by considering all these factors.

Methods: Systematic search was done in PubMed, Cochrane Library and Embase for articles related to lean mass and mortality. Lean mass measured by dual X-ray absorptiometry, bioelectrical impedance analysis, and computerized tomography were included.

Results: The number of relevant studies has increased continuously since 2002. A total of 188 studies with 98 468 people were included in the meta-analysis. The association of lean mass with mortality was most studied in cancer patients, followed by people with renal diseases, liver diseases, elderly, people with cardiovascular disease, lung diseases, and other diseases. The meta-analysis can be further conducted in subgroups based on measurement modalities, site of measurements, definition of low lean mass adopted, and types of cancer for studies conducted in cancer patients.

Conclusions: This series of meta-analysis provided insight and evidence on the relationship between lean mass and mortality in all directions, which may be useful for further study and guideline development.

© 2021 The Korean Society of Osteoporosis. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Muscles are critical for normal human anatomical and physiological functioning, including posture, locomotion, respiration, and regulation of whole body metabolism and energy balance [1]. Loss

of muscle mass (sarcopenia) not just affects mobility, but also causes frailty and increases risk of mortality [2]. It is known that obesity is associated with increased mortality, while lean mass (a proxy of muscle mass) is at the opposite end of the spectrum to obesity, with low lean mass being detrimental to health. A previous meta-analysis comprising more than 9 million people showed a J- or U-shaped association between body mass index (BMI) and all-cause mortality [3]. However, as BMI reflects both lean mass and fat mass, the independent relationship of the former with mortality remains uncertain.

* Corresponding author. Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacy, The University of Hong Kong, 21 Sassoon Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong.

E-mail address: lung1212@hku.hk (C.-L. Cheung).

Peer review under responsibility of The Korean Society of Osteoporosis.

Given the importance of sarcopenia, it has been endorsed as an independent condition by the International Classification of Disease, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-10-CM) Code in 2016. Nevertheless, there is no consensus on the definition of sarcopenia, although several definitions have been proposed for sarcopenia in elderly and cancer patients [4–7]. The Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia (AWGS) recommended using dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) as one of the diagnostic tools for sarcopenia [4]. However, a recent study suggested that lean mass measured by DXA should not be used in defining sarcopenia, since inconsistent associations were observed for DXA-derived lean mass with various clinical outcomes, such as fall, mobility limitation, and hip fractures [8]. Notably, the authors [8] and others [9] acknowledged the limitation of measurement method (measurement of lean mass instead of muscle mass) and studied population (relatively healthy elderly) may affect the validity of the conclusion.

Indeed, it is commonly observed in the literature that muscle mass was measured using different methods, and different cut-offs of muscle mass were adopted in defining people as having normal or low muscle mass, making the comparison and interpretation difficult. Although a few meta-analyses were conducted to evaluate the relationship between lean mass and mortality, the analyses were either small-scale, conducted in a subgroup with a particular health condition only, or combined the data based on dichotomized lean mass phenotype [10–13]. We are thus lacking a comprehensive overview of how lean mass contributes to mortality. The performance of lean mass in predicting mortality in different health conditions and measurement modalities are also unknown. To evaluate the relationship of lean mass with mortality comprehensively, we conducted a large-scale meta-analysis of the association between lean mass and mortality.

2. Methods

2.1. Search strategy and selection criteria

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we searched PubMed, Cochrane Library and Embase for articles published up to December 20, 2017. The following algorithms were used for the literature search:

("lean mass" OR "ALM" OR "muscle mass") AND ("death" OR "mortality" OR "outcome");

("lean mass" OR "Body composition" OR "muscle mass" OR "sarcopenia" OR "bio-impedance" OR "frailty") AND ("death" OR "mortality" OR "cause of death" OR "fatal outcome" OR "mortality, premature" OR "survival rate" OR "mortal" OR "fatal") AND ("cohort studies" OR "follow-up studies" OR "longitudinal studies" OR "prospective studies" OR "retrospective studies" OR "cohort" OR "longitudinal" OR "prospective" OR "follow-up" OR "retrospective") AND ("association" OR "associated"); ("lean mass" OR "Body composition" OR "muscle mass" OR "sarcopenia" OR "bio-impedance" OR "bioimpedance") AND ("death" OR "mortality" OR "cause of death" OR "fatal outcome" OR "mortality, premature" OR "survival rate" OR "mortal" OR "fatal" OR "survival" OR "prognosis" OR "prognostic").

The inclusion criteria were original studies investigating the relationship between lean mass and all-cause mortality or overall survival. The reference lists of systematic reviews and meta-analyses were also checked for inclusion of additional literatures. We only included studies reporting the association between all-cause mortality and muscle mass measured by computerized tomography (CT), dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) or bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) reported as "reduced lean mass" (ie, lean mass was treated as continuous variable) or "low lean mass" (ie, lean mass was treated as a binary variable, low vs

normal lean mass). The pre-specified exclusion criteria were as follows: non-human studies; studies using the following exposures: other surrogates of muscle mass (estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR], creatinine level, or lean mass ratio), anthropometric measurement of muscle mass (such as skinfold measurement, mid-arm circumference, etc), rate of change in muscle mass, sarcopenia defined using composite criteria (low lean mass in combination with muscle strength and physical performance); and studies with insufficient data for meta-analysis (studies reporting lean mass as continuous variable without providing standard deviation [SD] for standardized hazard ratio [HR] calculation and studies providing P-values only). Notably, although mid-arm muscle area was considered an acceptable assessment of muscle mass in cancer cachexia [6], the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP) commented that this method is prone to error and it is not recommended for routine use in the diagnosis of sarcopenia [5].

The PRISMA guideline was followed when evaluating search results. Each paper was screened by title and abstract for eligibility independently by 3 investigators (G.K.L, M.C, C.L.C). Any discrepancy was resolved by consensus. Data extraction was performed by 2 investigators (G.K.L and M.C) and cross-checked by a third investigator (G.H.L). The following information was recorded for each study: first author's name, year of publication, study design, population, sex, ethnicity, health status, median or mean age, follow-up duration, source of lean mass, types of lean mass, lean mass unit, cut-off definition of sarcopenia, HR with associated 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and P-values for overall survival. HR and 95% CI were obtained from the fully-adjusted model if available. Otherwise, the crude model would be used. We contacted the corresponding authors if any of the required information was unclear in the article, and the paper was included when the relevant information was provided. Additionally, quality appraisal was done using a modified Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS) by J.M and cross-checked by G.K.L (Supplementary Table 1). A modified NOS was applied because some questions were not applicable in the current study, such as selection of non-exposed cohort, demonstration that outcome of interest (ie, mortality in the current study) was not present at start of the study. In the current meta-analysis, studies of good quality were defined as 2 stars in selection domain AND 1/2 stars in comparability domain AND 1/2 stars in outcome/exposure domain; studies of fair quality were defined as 1 star in selection domain AND 1/2 stars in comparability domain AND 1/2 stars in outcome/exposure domain, and poor quality was defined as studies not meeting the criteria of good or fair quality. Any discrepancy in the data extraction and quality appraisal was addressed by discussion and consensus, with involvement of another author if necessary.

We attempted to identify and exclude duplicate data from research studies presented in separate publications. For cases in which we identified multiple studies with duplicated or overlapping data (by population, time and place), we selected the study with the longest follow-up time. When these studies had the same follow-up time, the study with the largest sample size was selected. If lean mass was measured at different sites in the same cohort, we selected the lean mass data based on the following order: whole body lean mass > appendicular lean mass > skeletal muscle > psoas muscle. If various muscle mass indices were used, the index was selected according to the following order: lean mass/height² > lean mass/BMI > lean mass only. For various low lean mass cut-offs in cancer, the result was selected according to the following order: Martin > Prado > Optimal stratification > cut-off based on median of study population.

2.2. Data analysis

For studies with lean mass as a continuous variable, data could not be directly combined because different units of lean mass were used in different studies. Therefore, in order to combine the estimates across different studies, HR and 95% CI were expressed in standardized units [14] (per SD decrease in lean mass). For studies using lean mass as a binary cut-off, high lean mass was used as reference. The HR and 95% CI of each study were entered into Review Manager 5.3 (RevMan, Cochrane, United Kingdom) by G.K.L and cross-checked by P.C.A. If there was mismatch in 95% CI between the calculated values in Revman and those reported in the study publication, either upper or lower 95% CI was chosen as reference according to the calculated P-value in Revman, with the one with a P-value closest to the P-value reported in the study chosen. If numeric HR and/or 95% CI were not available in the literature, these were calculated based on beta, SE, and/or P-value provided in the literature.

All statistical analyses were performed using RevMan. The pooled HR and corresponding 95% CI were estimated using a random-effect model. Publication bias was appraised using funnel plots to test for asymmetry. We used the I^2 statistic to evaluate the proportion of total variation in the study estimates which was due to heterogeneity.

3. Results

We identified 9,602 articles, of which 977 met the inclusion criteria. After excluding 431 duplicate studies, 546 full-text articles were retrieved. We excluded another 358 articles due to the irrelevant data, population overlap, poor/unclear data, or the articles were conference abstracts without available details. A total of 188 studies were included in the current meta-analysis (Fig. 1). Detailed characteristics of included studies are provided in Supplementary Tables 2–5. The number of studies by publication year (including

those first published online) is shown in Fig. 2, and the number of studies increased continuously over time. Among the 188 included articles, 104, 81, and 3 of them were respectively classified as good-, fair-, and poor-quality studies (Supplementary Table 6).

Data from a total of 98 468 people were included in the meta-analysis (Table 1, Supplementary Table 2). The association of sarcopenia with mortality was most studied in cancer patients (n = 100) [15–114], followed by people with renal diseases (n = 21) [115–135], liver diseases (n = 18) [136–153], elderly (n = 16) [154–169], people with cardiovascular diseases (n = 11) [170–180], lung diseases (n = 11) [181–191], and other diseases (n = 11) [192–202]. For the method used to measure lean mass (Table 1, Supplementary Table 3), computed tomography (CT) was the most used modality (n = 138) [20–114,133–135,138–153,171–180,188–191,194–202], followed by BIA (n = 29) [15–18,115–126,136,137,154–157,181–187], and DXA (n = 21) [19,127–132,158–168,170,192,193]. After excluding cancer-related studies in the calculation, CT remained the most commonly used method in assessing lean mass (n = 43), followed by BIA (n = 25) and DXA (n = 20). Majority (n = 120) of the studies used low lean mass as the exposure (Table 1, Supplementary Table 4). Fifty studies used reduced lean mass, while 18 studies investigated both low lean mass and reduced lean mass. After excluding cancer-related studies in the calculation, majority of the studies (n = 40) used reduced lean mass as exposure, 37 studies used low lean mass as exposure, while 11 studies examined both.

Among studies conducted in cancer populations, 95 of them studied CT-measured lean mass with mortality (Table 2). The most frequently studied cancer type was gastrointestinal cancer (n = 21), followed by liver and intrahepatic bile duct (n = 20), urinary tract (n = 13), pancreatic (n = 10), lung (n = 8), ovarian and endometrial (n = 7), multiple (n = 5), hematopoietic (n = 4), breast (n = 3), bile duct (n = 2), head and neck (n = 1), and prostate (n = 1) cancer. The most frequently studied lean mass index was L3 skeletal muscle index (n = 70), followed by L3 psoas index (n = 11) (Table 2).

In defining low lean mass in cancer studies (Table 3,




Fig. 1. Study attrition diagram.




Fig. 2. Number of studies by publication year included in the meta-analysis.

Table 1
Summary of studies included in the meta-analysis.

Subgroups	Number of studies	Sample size	Number of studies by measurement modality			Number of studies by type of variables that lean mass was investigated		
			BIA	DXA	CT	Binary	Continuous	Both
Elderly	16	19320	4(154–157)	11(158–168)	1(169)	7(154, 158–163)	7(157, 164–169)	2(155, 156)
Cancer patients	100	29511	4(15–18)	1(19)	95(20–114)	83(15–17, 19–33, 35, 37–39, 41–45, 47, 48, 50–56, 58, 59, 62, 64–67, 69–72, 74–85, 87–89, 93–96, 98–114)	10(18, 34, 36, 46, 49, 57, 60, 61, 68, 86)	7(40, 63, 73, 90–92, 97)
People with cardiovascular diseases	11	2793	0	1(170)	10(171–180)	4(171–174)	6(170, 176–180)	1(175)
People with liver diseases	18	4356	2(136, 137)	0	16(138–153)	8(137, 138, 140, 141, 143–146)	8(136, 147–153)	2(139, 142)
People with lung diseases	11	1792	7(181–187)	0	4(188–191)	4(181–183, 188)	6(184–187, 190, 191)	1(189)
People with renal diseases	21	30042	12(115–126)	6(127–132)	3(133–135)	9(115–117, 119, 121, 127, 128, 130, 133)	9(122–126, 131, 132, 134, 135)	3(118, 120, 129)
People with other diseases	11	10654	0	2(192, 193)	9(194–202)	5(192, 195, 197–199)	4(193, 200–202)	2(194, 196)
Total	188	98468	29	21	138	120	50	18

Supplementary Table 4), threshold suggested by Martin et al was the most often used (n = 20), followed by Prado et al (n = 15), and international consensus of cancer cachexia (n = 8). Other definitions were mostly derived from different statistical methods based on the study cohort, such as optimal stratification, ROC, median, etc.

4. Discussion

In this study involving 188 studies and 98 468 participants from 34 countries, we examined the associations of lean mass with all-cause mortality across a wide range of healthy people and patients, across different measurement modalities, and various definition of sarcopenia. As we can see the number of studies in relation to lean mass and mortality has increased continuously since 2002,

this is therefore a timely comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of the relationship between lean mass and mortality, especially when efforts are being put in the definition of sarcopenia.

Although sarcopenia is a well-recognized issue in the elderly population, this systematic review and meta-analysis found that only 16 out of 188 studies were conducted in the elderly population. On the other hand, more than half (n = 100; 53.2%) of the studies were conducted in patients with cancer. This could be explained by the clinical management of cancer as CT is routinely used to monitor disease progression in cancer patients. Thus, lean mass measurement can be retrieved from existing CT data in many studies, which facilitated the research of sarcopenia in cancer patients. This also explained why CT was the most often used imaging

Table 2
Categories of cancer studies measuring muscle using computed tomography and the muscle indices used in the analysis.

Cancer category	Total	L3 Skeletal Muscle Index	L3 Psoas Index	Others
Bile duct (excludes intrahepatic)	2	1	1	
Breast	3	3		
Gastrointestinal	21	18		3
Head and neck	1	1		
Hematopoietic	4	3		1
Liver and intrahepatic bile duct	20	15	2	3
Lung	8	4	1	3
Ovarian and endometria	7	4	2	1
Pancreatic	10	5	3	2
Prostate	1	1		
Urinary tract	13	10	2	1
Multiple	5	5		
Overall	95	70	11	14

modality in evaluating lean mass (138 out of 188 studies). However, given the high radiation dose of CT, it is not justifiable to use CT purely for sarcopenia research. On the other hand, DXA has a much lower dose of radiation, while BIA is radiation-free. Therefore, these DXA and BIA may be more suitable for lean mass measurement in sarcopenia research in non-cancer patients.

For DXA and BIA, sarcopenia is usually defined using appendicular lean mass, as suggested by consensus. On the other hand, the most common skeletal site in defining sarcopenia in cancer was cross-sectional area (CSA) of muscles at the L3 vertebral level. Two indices can be derived from the muscles at the L3 vertebral level, namely L3 skeletal muscle index and L3 psoas index. L3 skeletal muscle index calculated the CSA of all muscles at the L3 vertebral level, whereas L3 psoas index calculated the CSA of psoas muscle only at the L3 vertebral level. These 2 indices were said to be interchangeable, but whether these indices had similar association with mortality is largely unknown.

Lean mass was commonly analyzed as a binary trait, ie, low vs normal lean mass. There were several operational definitions of low lean mass, such as the International Working Group on Sarcopenia [203], Society of Sarcopenia, Cachexia and Wasting Disorders [204], FNIH [205], and European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP) [5]. Recently, consensus by EWGSOP was updated (known as EWGSOP2) [7], and such update was found to affect study result slightly [206]. It is therefore important to have a consensus on the definition, so that the findings could be compared across studies. Conversely, since there was no consensus on the cut-off point used for defining low lean mass or sarcopenia in cancer patients, many different methods were used to define sarcopenia. The definition provided by Martin et al [207] and Prado et al [208] were most often used. Optimal stratification [209], which defines sarcopenia based on the most significant cut-off point using log rank test, is the third most commonly used method. This is similar

to the ROC method, which defines the optimal cut-off point using Youden’s index. However, these cut-off points are expected to be study-specific, and may over-estimate the effect if there is no validation study. These methods (optimal stratification or ROC) may be useful in deriving a cut-off point in a specific population, while the generalizability of these findings is largely unknown.

Our current study aims to provide insight on these issues, especially to address a recent recommendation by the Sarcopenia Definitions and Outcomes Consortium (SDOC). In the latest analyses by SDOC, they found that lean mass measured by DXA was not a good predictor of multiple adverse outcomes in the elderly [8], thus proposing inclusion of gait speed and grip strength, but not lean mass, in the definition of sarcopenia. In fact, low lean mass (defined as ALM/ht² < 5.45 and 7.26 in women and men, respectively) was consistently associated with increased risk of mortality in both women and men in their study [8]. Therefore, whether lean mass should be excluded from use in the definition of sarcopenia remains an open question, while our current study has provided further evidence in this aspect.

Although the current study has evaluated the association of different parameters of lean mass on mortality, we acknowledge that our analyses are insufficient to address these questions directly. For example, the best way to compare the usefulness of different modalities, cut-off points, or site of muscle measurements is to compare these differences directly in the same study. The current analysis was only able to summarize evidences from multiple studies, in which the difference in estimates could be due to the study design and population, instead of the intrinsic difference between the modalities, cut-off points, and site of muscle measurement under investigation. However, only a very limited number of studies were conducted for direct comparison. It is important to have an international collaboration in answering these questions directly, which is essential for developing clinical guidelines of sarcopenia, not only for the elderly, but also for patients with different diseases. In addition, due to tremendous work of the current meta-analysis, we only updated the literature until the end of 2017. We understand there were publications on sarcopenia and mortality published from 2018 to 2020, however the number of studies included in the current study should enable us to come up with a conclusion on whether lean mass is associated with mortality. We hope that the current work will provide a useful resource to the field for future research and guideline development.

In conclusion, this series of meta-analysis of lean mass and mortality could provide insight and evidence on the relationship between lean mass and mortality in all directions, which may be useful for further study and guideline development, especially when there are growing efforts to move from risk assessment to intervention of sarcopenia [9].

Table 3
Cutoff definition of low lean mass in cancer studies.

Cutoff	n
Martin	20
Prado	15
International consensus of cancer cachexia	8
Other cohort cut-offs	7
Optimal stratification	10
ROC	8
Quantiles/percentiles	7
Median	8
Others	7
Total	90

CRedit author statement

Ching-Lung Cheung: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision. **Grace Koon-Yee Lee:** Formal analysis, Resources, Writing - Review & Editing. **Philip Chun-Ming Au:** Formal analysis, Resources, Writing - Review & Editing. **Gloria Hoi-Yee Li:** Formal analysis, Resources, Writing - Review & Editing. **Marcus Chan:** Formal analysis, Resources, Writing - Review & Editing. **Hang-Long Li:** Writing - Review & Editing. **Bernard Man-Yung Cheung:** Writing - Review & Editing. **Ian Chi-Kei Wong:** Writing - Review & Editing. **Victor Ho-Fun Lee:** Writing - Review & Editing. **James Mok:** Formal analysis, Resources, Writing - Review & Editing. **Benjamin Hon-Kei Yip:** Writing - Review & Editing. **Kenneth King-Yip Cheng:** Writing - Review & Editing. **Chih-Hsing Wu:** Writing - Review & Editing.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

ORCID Ching-Lung Cheung: 0000-0002-6233-9144. Grace Koon-Yee Lee: 0000-0002-9362-4319. Philip Chun-Ming Au: 0000-0002-0736-4726. Gloria Hoi-Yee Li: 0000-0003-0275-2356. Marcus Chan: 0000-0001-6072-7648. Hang-Long Li: 0000-0002-2294-2977. Bernard Man-Yung Cheung: 0000-0001-9106-7363. Ian Chi-Kei Wong: 0000-0001-8242-0014. Victor Ho-Fun Lee: 0000-0002-6283-978X. James Mok: 0000-0003-1974-0829. Benjamin Hon-Kei Yip: 0000-0002-4749-7611. Kenneth King-Yip Cheng: 0000-0002-7274-0839. Chih-Hsing Wu: 0000-0002-0504-2053.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.afos.2021.01.001>.

References

- [1] Schnyder S, Handschin C. Skeletal muscle as an endocrine organ: PGC-1 α , myokines and exercise. *Bone* 2015;80:115–25.
- [2] Cheung CL, Lam KS, Cheung BM. Evaluation of cutpoints for low lean mass and slow gait speed in predicting death in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999–2004. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2016;71:90–5.
- [3] Lee DH, Keum N, Hu FB, Orav EJ, Rimm EB, Willett WC, et al. Predicted lean body mass, fat mass, and all cause and cause specific mortality in men: prospective US cohort study. *BMJ* 2018;366.
- [4] Chen LK, Liu LK, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung TW, Bahyah KS, et al. Sarcopenia in Asia: consensus report of the Asian working group for sarcopenia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2014;15:95–101.
- [5] Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, et al. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: report of the European working group on sarcopenia in older people. *Age Ageing* 2010;39:412–23.
- [6] Fearon K, Strasser F, Anker SD, Bosaeus I, Bruera E, Fainsinger RL, et al. Definition and classification of cancer cachexia: an international consensus. *Lancet Oncol* 2011;12:489–95.
- [7] Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, Boirie Y, Bruyere O, Cederholm T, et al. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age Ageing* 2019;48:601.
- [8] Cawthon PM, Manini T, Patel SM, Newman A, Trivison T, Kiel DP, et al. Putative cut-points in sarcopenia components and incident adverse health outcomes: an SDOC Analysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2020;68:1429–37.
- [9] Cesari M, Kuchel GA. Role of sarcopenia definition and diagnosis in clinical care: moving from risk assessment to mechanism-guided interventions. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2020;68:1406–9.
- [10] Boshier PR, Heneghan R, Markar SR, Baracos VE, Low DE. Assessment of body composition and sarcopenia in patients with esophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dis Esophagus* 2018;31:1–11.
- [11] Kim G, Kang SH, Kim MY, Baik SK. Prognostic value of sarcopenia in patients with liver cirrhosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0186990.
- [12] Liu P, Hao Q, Hai S, Wang H, Cao L, Dong B. Sarcopenia as a predictor of all-cause mortality among community-dwelling older people: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Maturitas* 2017;103:16–22.
- [13] Shachar SS, Williams GR, Muss HB, Nishijima TF. Prognostic value of sarcopenia in adults with solid tumours: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Eur J Canc* 2016;57:58–67.
- [14] Stevens SL, Wood S, Koshiaris C, Law K, Glasziou P, Stevens RJ, et al. Blood pressure variability and cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2016;354:i4098.
- [15] Gonzalez M, Pastore C, Orlandi S, Heymsfield S. Obesity paradox in cancer: new insights provided by body composition. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014;99:999–1005.
- [16] Pérez Camargo D, Allende Pérez S, Verastegui Avilés E, Rivera Franco M, Meneses García A, Herrera Gómez Á, et al. Assessment and impact of phase angle and sarcopenia in palliative cancer patients. *Nutr Canc* 2017;69:1227–33.
- [17] Stobäus N, Kűpferling S, Lorenz M, Norman K. Discrepancy between body surface area and body composition in cancer. *Nutr Canc* 2013;65:1151–6.
- [18] Hui D, Bansal S, Morgado M, Dev R, Chisholm G, Bruera E. Phase angle for prognostication of survival in patients with advanced cancer: preliminary findings. *Cancer* 2014;120:2207–14.
- [19] Villaseñor A, Ballard-Barbash R, Baumgartner K, Baumgartner R, Bernstein L, McTiernan A, et al. Prevalence and prognostic effect of sarcopenia in breast cancer survivors: the HEAL Study. *J Cancer Surv* 2012;6:398–406.
- [20] Amini N, Spolverato G, Gupta R, Margonis G, Kim Y, Wagner D, et al. Impact total psoas volume on short- and long-term outcomes in patients undergoing curative resection for pancreatic adenocarcinoma: a new tool to assess sarcopenia. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2015;19:1593–602.
- [21] Antoun S, Lanoy E, Iacovelli R, Albiges-Sauvin L, Loriot Y, Merad-Taoufik M, et al. Skeletal muscle density predicts prognosis in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma treated with targeted therapies. *Cancer* 2013;119:3377–84.
- [22] Antoun S, Bayar A, Ileana E, Laplanche A, Fizazi K, di Palma M, et al. High subcutaneous adipose tissue predicts the prognosis in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer patients in post chemotherapy setting. *Eur J Canc* 2015;51:2570–7.
- [23] Begini P, Gigante E, Antonelli G, Carbonetti F, Iannicelli E, Anania G, et al. Sarcopenia predicts reduced survival in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma at first diagnosis. *Ann Hepatol* 16:107–114.
- [24] Black D, Mackay C, Ramsay G, Hamoodi Z, Nanthakumaran S, Park K, et al. Prognostic value of computed tomography: measured parameters of body composition in primary operable gastrointestinal cancers. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2017;24:2241–51.
- [25] Blauwhoff-Buskermol S, Versteeg KS, de van der Schueren MA, den Braver NR, Berkhof J, Langius JA, et al. Loss of muscle mass during chemotherapy is predictive for poor survival of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34:1339–44.
- [26] Boer BC, de Graaff F, Brusse-Keizer M, Bouman DE, Slump CH, Slee-Valentijn M, et al. Skeletal muscle mass and quality as risk factors for postoperative outcome after open colon resection for cancer. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2016;6:1117–24.
- [27] Bowden J, Williams L, Simms A, Price A, Campbell S, Fallon M, et al. Prediction of 90 day and overall survival after chemoradiotherapy for lung cancer: role of performance status and body composition. *Clin Oncol* 2017;29:576–84.
- [28] Bronger H, Hederich P, Hapfelmeier A, Metz S, Noël P, Kiechle M, et al. Sarcopenia in advanced serous ovarian cancer. *Int J Gynecol Canc* 2017;27:223–32.
- [29] Caan BJ, Meyerhardt JA, Kroenke CH, Alexeeff S, Xiao J, Weltzien E, et al. Explaining the obesity paradox: the association between body composition and colorectal cancer survival (C-SCANS Study). *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2017;26:1008–15.
- [30] Cho K, Park H, Oh D, Kim T, Lee K, Han S, et al. Skeletal muscle depletion predicts survival of patients with advanced biliary tract cancer undergoing palliative chemotherapy. *Oncotarget* 2017;8:79441–52.
- [31] Choi M, Oh S, Lee I, Oh S, Won D. Sarcopenia is negatively associated with long-term outcomes in locally advanced rectal cancer. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2017;9:53–9.
- [32] Choi Y, Oh DY, Kim TY, Lee KH, Han SW, Im SA, et al. Skeletal muscle depletion predicts the prognosis of patients with advanced pancreatic cancer undergoing palliative chemotherapy, independent of body mass index. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0139749.
- [33] Coelen RJ, Wiggers JK, Nio CY, Besselink MG, Busch OR, Gouma DJ, et al. Preoperative computed tomography assessment of skeletal muscle mass is valuable in predicting outcomes following hepatectomy for perihilar cholangiocarcinoma. *HPB (Oxford)* 2015;17:520–8.
- [34] Cooper AB, Slack R, Fogelman D, Holmes HM, Petzel M, Parker N, et al. Characterization of anthropometric changes that occur during neoadjuvant therapy for potentially resectable pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2015;22:2416–23.
- [35] Dalal S, Hui D, Bidaut L, Lem K, Del Fabbro E, Crane C, et al. Relationships among body mass index, longitudinal body composition alterations, and survival in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer receiving chemoradiation: a pilot study. *J Pain Symptom Manag* 2012;44:181–91.
- [36] Delitto D, Judge SM, George Jr TJ, Sarosi GA, Thomas RM, Behrens KE, et al. A clinically applicable muscular index predicts long-term survival in

- resectable pancreatic cancer. *Surgery* 2017;161:930–8.
- [37] Deluche E, Leobon S, Desport J, Venat-Bouvet L, Usseglio J, Tubiana-Mathieu N. Impact of body composition on outcome in patients with early breast cancer. *Support Care Cancer* 2018;26:861–8.
- [38] Ebadi M, Martin L, Ghosh S, Field C, Lehner R, Baracos V, et al. Subcutaneous adiposity is an independent predictor of mortality in cancer patients. *Br J Cancer* 2017;117:148–55.
- [39] Fujiwara N, Nakagawa H, Kudo Y, Tateishi R, Taguri M, Watadani T, et al. Sarcopenia, intramuscular fat deposition, and visceral adiposity independently predict the outcomes of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2015;63:131–40.
- [40] Fukushima H, Yokoyama M, Nakanishi Y, Tobisu K, Koga F. Sarcopenia as a prognostic biomarker of advanced urothelial carcinoma. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0115895.
- [41] Fukushima H, Nakanishi Y, Kataoka M, Tobisu K, Koga F. Prognostic significance of sarcopenia in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *J Urol* 2016;195:26–32.
- [42] Fukushima H, Nakanishi Y, Kataoka M, Tobisu K, Koga F. Prognostic significance of sarcopenia in upper tract urothelial carcinoma patients treated with radical nephroureterectomy. *Cancer Med* 2016;5:2213–20.
- [43] Go S, Park M, Song H, Kim H, Kang M, Lee H, et al. Prognostic impact of sarcopenia in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2016;7:567–76.
- [44] Grossberg AJ, Chamchod S, Fuller CD, Mohamed AS, Heukelum J, Eichelberger H, et al. Association of body composition with survival and locoregional control of radiotherapy-treated head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *JAMA Oncol* 2016;2:782–9.
- [45] Grotenhuis BA, Shapiro J, van Adrichem S, de Vries M, Koek M, Wijnhoven BP, et al. Sarcopenia/muscle mass is not a prognostic factor for short- and long-term outcome after esophagectomy for cancer. *World J Surg* 2016;40:2698–704.
- [46] Gu W, Zhu Y, Wang H, Zhang H, Shi G, Liu X, et al. Prognostic value of components of body composition in patients treated with targeted therapy for advanced renal cell carcinoma: a retrospective case series. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0118022.
- [47] Gu W, Wu J, Liu X, Zhang H, Shi G, Zhu Y, et al. Early skeletal muscle loss during target therapy is a prognostic biomarker in metastatic renal cell carcinoma patients. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:7587.
- [48] Ha Y, Kim D, Han S, Chon Y, Lee Y, Kim M, et al. Sarcopenia predicts prognosis in patients with newly diagnosed hepatocellular carcinoma, independent of tumor stage and liver function. *Cancer Res Treat* 2017;5:843–51.
- [49] Harimoto N, Shirabe K, Yamashita Y, Ikegami T, Yoshizumi T, Soejima Y, et al. Sarcopenia as a predictor of prognosis in patients following hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Br J Surg* 2013;100:1523–30.
- [50] Harimoto N, Yoshizumi T, Shimokawa M, Sakata K, Kimura K, Itoh S, et al. Sarcopenia is a poor prognostic factor following hepatic resection in patients aged 70 years and older with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol Res* 2016;46:1247–55.
- [51] Hervochon R, Bobbio A, Guinet C, Mansuet-Lupo A, Rabbat A, Regnard JF, et al. Body mass index and total psoas area affect outcomes in patients undergoing pneumonectomy for cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2017;103:287–95.
- [52] Higashi T, Hayashi H, Taki K, Sakamoto K, Kuroki H, Nitta H, et al. Sarcopenia, but not visceral fat amount, is a risk factor of postoperative complications after major hepatectomy. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2016;21:310–9.
- [53] Hiraoka A, Hirooka M, Koizumi Y, Izumoto H, Ueki H, Kaneto M, et al. Muscle volume loss as a prognostic marker in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with sorafenib. *Hepatol Res* 2017;47:558–65.
- [54] Iritani S, Imai K, Takai K, Hanai T, Ideta T, Miyazaki T, et al. Skeletal muscle depletion is an independent prognostic factor for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol* 2015;50:323–32.
- [55] Ishihara H, Kondo T, Omae K, Takagi T, Iizuka J, Kobayashi H, et al. Sarcopenia and the Modified Glasgow Prognostic Score are significant predictors of survival among patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma who are receiving first-line sunitinib treatment. *Target Oncol* 2016;11:605–17.
- [56] Ishii N, Iwata Y, Nishikawa H, Enomoto H, Aizawa N, Ishii A, et al. Effect of pretreatment psoas muscle mass on survival for patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer undergoing systemic chemotherapy. *Oncol Lett* 2017;14:6059–65.
- [57] Jung HW, Kim JW, Kim JY, Kim SW, Yang HK, Lee JW, et al. Effect of muscle mass on toxicity and survival in patients with colon cancer undergoing adjuvant chemotherapy. *Support Care Cancer* 2015;23:687–94.
- [58] Kamachi S, Mizuta T, Otsuka T, Nakashita S, Ide Y, Miyoshi A, et al. Sarcopenia is a risk factor for the recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after curative treatment. *Hepatol Res* 2016;46:201–8.
- [59] Kim E, Kim Y, Park I, Ahn H, Cho E, Jeong Y. Prognostic significance of CT-determined sarcopenia in patients with small-cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2015;10:1795–9.
- [60] Kimura M, Naito T, Kenmotsu H, Taira T, Wakuda K, Oyakawa T, et al. Prognostic impact of cancer cachexia in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Support Care Cancer* 2015;23:1699–708.
- [61] Kinsey CM, San Jose Estepar R, van der Velden J, Cole BF, Christiani DC, Washko GR. Lower pectoralis muscle area is associated with a worse overall survival in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2017;26:38–43.
- [62] Kudou K, Saeki H, Nakashima Y, Edahiro K, Korehisa S, Taniguchi D, et al. Prognostic significance of sarcopenia in patients with esophagogastric junction cancer or upper gastric cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2017;24:1804–10.
- [63] Kumar A, Moynagh MR, Multinu F, Cliby WA, McGree ME, Weaver AL, et al. Muscle composition measured by CT scan is a measurable predictor of overall survival in advanced ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 2016;142:311–6.
- [64] Kuroki LM, Mangano M, Allsworth JE, Menias CO, Massad LS, Powell MA, et al. Pre-operative assessment of muscle mass to predict surgical complications and prognosis in patients with endometrial cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2015;22:972–9.
- [65] Lanic H, Kraut-Tauzia J, Modzelewski R, Clatof F, Mareschal S, Picquetot J, et al. Sarcopenia is an independent prognostic factor in elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with immunochemotherapy. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2014;55:817–23.
- [66] Leveloger S, van Vledder MG, Muslem R, Koek M, Niessen WJ, de Man RA, et al. Sarcopenia impairs survival in patients with potentially curable hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Surg Oncol* 2015;112:208–13.
- [67] Leveloger S, van Vledder M, Alberda W, Verhoef C, de Bruin R, IJzermans J, et al. Muscle wasting and survival following pre-operative chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced rectal carcinoma. *Clin Nutr* 2018;37:1728–35.
- [68] Liu J, Motoyama S, Sato Y, Wakita A, Kawakita Y, Saito H, et al. Decreased skeletal muscle mass after neoadjuvant therapy correlates with poor prognosis in patients with esophageal cancer. *Anticancer Res* 2016;36:6677–85.
- [69] Lodewick T, van Nijnatten T, van Dam R, van Mierlo K, Dello S, Neumann U, et al. Are sarcopenia, obesity and sarcopenic obesity predictive of outcome in patients with colorectal liver metastases? *HPB (Oxford)* 2015;17:438–46.
- [70] Malietzis G, Currie AC, Athanasiou T, Johns N, Anyamene N, Glynne-Jones R, et al. Influence of body composition profile on outcomes following colorectal cancer surgery. *Br J Surg* 2016;103:572–80.
- [71] Martin L, Birdsell L, Macdonald N, Reiman T, Clandinin M, McCargar L, et al. Cancer cachexia in the age of obesity: skeletal muscle depletion is a powerful prognostic factor, independent of body mass index. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:1539–47.
- [72] McSorley S, Black D, Horgan P, McMillan D. The relationship between tumour stage, systemic inflammation, body composition and survival in patients with colorectal cancer. *Clin Nutr* 2018;37:1279–85.
- [73] Meza-Junco J, Montano-Loza AJ, Baracos VE, Prado CM, Bain VG, Beaumont C, et al. Sarcopenia as a prognostic index of nutritional status in concurrent cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2013;47:861–70.
- [74] Miyake M, Morizawa Y, Hori S, Marugami N, Iida K, Ohnishi K, et al. Integrative assessment of pretreatment inflammation-, nutrition-, and muscle-based prognostic markers in patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer undergoing radical cystectomy. *Oncology* 2017;93:259–69.
- [75] Miyamoto Y, Baba Y, Sakamoto Y, Ohuchi M, Tokunaga R, Kurashige J, et al. Sarcopenia is a negative prognostic factor after curative resection of colorectal cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2015;22:2663–8.
- [76] Naganuma A, Hoshino T, Suzuki Y, Uehara D, Kudo T, Ishihara H, et al. Association between skeletal muscle depletion and sorafenib treatment in male patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a Retrospective Cohort Study. *Acta Med Okayama* 2017;71:291–9.
- [77] Nakamura N, Hara T, Shibata Y, Matsumoto T, Nakamura H, Ninomiya S, et al. Sarcopenia is an independent prognostic factor in male patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Ann Hematol* 2015;94:2043–53.
- [78] Nault JC, Pigneur F, Nelson AC, Costentin C, Tselikas L, Katsahian S, et al. Visceral fat area predicts survival in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Dig Liver Dis* 2015;47:869–76.
- [79] Ninomiya G, Fujii T, Yamada S, Yabusaki N, Suzuki K, Iwata N, et al. Clinical impact of sarcopenia on prognosis in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Surg* 2017;39:45–51.
- [80] Nishikawa H, Nishijima N, Nomoto H, Sakamoto A, Nasu A, Komekado H, et al. Prognostic significance of sarcopenia in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing sorafenib therapy. *Oncol Lett* 2017;14:1637–47.
- [81] Okumura S, Kaido T, Hamaguchi Y, Fujimoto Y, Masui T, Mizumoto M, et al. Impact of preoperative quality as well as quantity of skeletal muscle on survival after resection of pancreatic cancer. *Surgery* 2015;157:1088–98.
- [82] Okumura S, Kaido T, Hamaguchi Y, Fujimoto Y, Kobayashi A, Iida T, et al. Impact of the preoperative quantity and quality of skeletal muscle on outcomes after resection of extrahepatic biliary malignancies. *Surgery* 2016;159:821–33.
- [83] Okumura S, Kaido T, Hamaguchi Y, Kobayashi A, Shirai H, Fujimoto Y, et al. Impact of skeletal muscle mass, muscle quality, and visceral adiposity on outcomes following resection of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2017;24:1037–45.
- [84] Okumura S, Kaido T, Hamaguchi Y, Kobayashi A, Shirai H, Yao S, et al. Visceral adiposity and sarcopenic visceral obesity are associated with poor prognosis after resection of pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2017;24:3732–40.
- [85] Paireder M, Asari R, Kristo I, Rieder E, Tamandl D, Ba-Ssalamah A, et al. Impact of sarcopenia on outcome in patients with esophageal resection following neoadjuvant chemotherapy for esophageal cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2017;43:478–84.
- [86] Parsons H, Baracos V, Dhillon N, Hong D, Kurzrock R. Body composition, symptoms, and survival in advanced cancer patients referred to a phase I

- service. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e29330.
- [87] Peng P, Hyder O, Firoozmand A, Kneuert P, Schulick RD, Huang D, et al. Impact of sarcopenia on outcomes following resection of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2012;16:1478–86.
- [88] Peyton CC, Heavner MG, Rague JT, Krane LS, Hemal AK. Does sarcopenia impact complications and overall survival in patients undergoing radical nephrectomy for stage iii and iv kidney cancer? *J Endourol* 2016;30:229–36.
- [89] Psutka SP, Carrasco A, Schmit GD, Moynagh MR, Boorjian SA, Frank I, et al. Sarcopenia in patients with bladder cancer undergoing radical cystectomy: impact on cancer-specific and all-cause mortality. *Cancer* 2014;120:2910–8.
- [90] Psutka SP, Boorjian SA, Moynagh MR, Schmit GD, Frank I, Carrasco A, et al. Mortality after radical cystectomy: impact of obesity versus adiposity after adjusting for skeletal muscle wasting. *J Urol* 2015;193:1507–13.
- [91] Psutka SP, Boorjian SA, Moynagh MR, Schmit GD, Costello BA, Thompson RH, et al. Decreased skeletal muscle mass is associated with an increased risk of mortality after radical nephrectomy for localized renal cell cancer. *J Urol* 2016;195:270–6.
- [92] Rier HN, Jager A, Sleijfer S, van Rosmalen J, Kock MC, Levin MD. Low muscle attenuation is a prognostic factor for survival in metastatic breast cancer patients treated with first line palliative chemotherapy. *Breast* 2017;31:9–15.
- [93] Rollins K, Tewari N, Ackner A, Awwad A, Madhusudan S, Macdonald I, et al. The impact of sarcopenia and myosteatosis on outcomes of unresectable pancreatic cancer or distal cholangiocarcinoma. *Clin Nutr* 2016;35:1103–9.
- [94] Rutten IJ, van Dijk DP, Kruitwagen RF, Beets-Tan RG, Olde Damink SW, van Gorp T. Loss of skeletal muscle during neoadjuvant chemotherapy is related to decreased survival in ovarian cancer patients. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2016;7:458–66.
- [95] Rutten IJ, Ubachs J, Kruitwagen RF, van Dijk DP, Beets-Tan RG, Massuger LF, et al. The influence of sarcopenia on survival and surgical complications in ovarian cancer patients undergoing primary debulking surgery. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2017;43:717–24.
- [96] Sakurai K, Kubo N, Tamura T, Toyokawa T, Amano R, Tanaka H, et al. Adverse effects of low preoperative skeletal muscle mass in patients undergoing gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2017;24:2712–9.
- [97] Shachar S, Deal A, Weinberg M, Nyrop K, Williams G, Nishijima T, et al. Skeletal muscle measures as predictors of toxicity, hospitalization, and survival in patients with metastatic breast cancer receiving taxane-based chemotherapy. *Clin Canc Res* 2017;23:658–65.
- [98] Sharma P, Zargar-Shoshtari K, Caracciolo J, Fishman M, Poch M, Pow-Sang J, et al. Sarcopenia as a predictor of overall survival after cytoreductive nephrectomy for metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Urol Oncol* 2015;33:17–23.
- [99] Shoji F, Matsubara T, Kozuma Y, Haratake N, Akamine T, Takamori S, et al. Relationship between preoperative sarcopenia status and immunonutritional parameters in patients with early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Anticancer Res* 2017;37:6997–7003.
- [100] Stene G, Helbostad J, Amundsen T, Sorhaug S, Hjelde H, Kaasa S, et al. Changes in skeletal muscle mass during palliative chemotherapy in patients with advanced lung cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:340–8.
- [101] Takagi K, Yagi T, Yoshida R, Shinoura S, Umeda Y, Nobuoka D, et al. Sarcopenia and American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status in the assessment of outcomes of hepatocellular carcinoma patients undergoing hepatectomy. *Acta Med Okayama* 2016;70:363–70.
- [102] Takeoka Y, Sakatoku K, Miura A, Yamamura R, Araki T, Seura H, et al. Prognostic effect of low subcutaneous adipose tissue on survival outcome in patients with multiple myeloma. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* 2016;16:434–41.
- [103] Tamandl D, Paireder M, Asari R, Baltzer P, Schoppmann S, Ba-Ssalamah A. Markers of sarcopenia quantified by computed tomography predict adverse long-term outcome in patients with resected oesophageal or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer. *Eur Radiol* 2016;26:1359–67.
- [104] Tan B, Birdsall L, Martin L, Baracos V, Fearon K. Sarcopenia in an overweight or obese patient is an adverse prognostic factor in pancreatic cancer. *Clin Canc Res* 2009;15:6973–9.
- [105] Thoresen L, Frykholm G, Lydersen S, Ulveland H, Baracos V, Prado C, et al. Nutritional status, cachexia and survival in patients with advanced colorectal carcinoma. Different assessment criteria for nutritional status provide unequal results. *Clin Nutr* 2013;32:65–72.
- [106] Tsukioka T, Nishiyama N, Izumi N, Mizuguchi S, Komatsu H, Okada S, et al. Sarcopenia is a novel poor prognostic factor in male patients with pathological stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2017;47:363–8.
- [107] Valero 3rd V, Amini N, Spolverato G, Weiss MJ, Hirose K, Dagher NN, et al. Sarcopenia adversely impacts postoperative complications following resection or transplantation in patients with primary liver tumors. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2015;19:272–81.
- [108] van Vledder M, Levolver S, Ayez N, Verhoef C, Tran T, Ijzermans J. Body composition and outcome in patients undergoing resection of colorectal liver metastases. *Br J Surg* 2012;99:550–7.
- [109] Veasey Rodrigues H, Baracos V, Wheler J, Parsons H, Hong D, Naing A, et al. Body composition and survival in the early clinical trials setting. *Eur J Canc* 2013;49:3068–75.
- [110] Voron T, Tselikas L, Pietrasz D, Pigneur F, Laurent A, Compagnon P, et al. Sarcopenia impacts on short- and long-term results of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg* 2015;261:1173–83.
- [111] Zakaria HM, Basheer A, Boyce-Fappiano D, Elibe E, Schultz L, Lee I, et al. Application of morphometric analysis to patients with lung cancer metastasis to the spine: a clinical study. *Neurosurg Focus* 2016;41:E12.
- [112] Zheng Z, Lu J, Zheng C, Li P, Xie J, Wang J, et al. A novel prognostic scoring system based on preoperative sarcopenia predicts the long-term outcome for patients after r0 resection for gastric cancer: experiences of a high-volume center. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2017;24:1795–803.
- [113] Zhou G, Bao H, Zeng Q, Hu W, Zhang Q. Sarcopenia as a prognostic factor in hepatolithiasis-associated intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma patients following hepatectomy: a retrospective study. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2015;8:18245–54.
- [114] Zhuang CL, Huang DD, Pang WY, Zhou CJ, Wang SL, Lou N, et al. Sarcopenia is an independent predictor of severe postoperative complications and long-term survival after radical gastrectomy for gastric cancer: analysis from a large-scale cohort. *Medicine (Baltim)* 2016;95:e3164.
- [115] Dekker MJE, Marcelli D, Canaud B, Konings CJAM, Leunissen KM, Levin NW, et al. Unraveling the relationship between mortality, hyponatremia, inflammation and malnutrition in hemodialysis patients: results from the international MONDO initiative. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2016;70:779–84.
- [116] Jin S, Lu Q, Su C, Pang D, Wang T. Shortage of appendicular skeletal muscle is an independent risk factor for mortality in peritoneal dialysis patients. *Perit Dial Int* 2017;37:78–84.
- [117] Kim J, Kim S, Oh J, Lee Y, Noh J, Kim H, et al. Impact of sarcopenia on long-term mortality and cardiovascular events in patients undergoing hemodialysis. *Korean J Intern Med* 2019;34:599–607.
- [118] Kittiskulnam P, Chertow GM, Carrero JJ, Delgado C, Kaysen GA, Johansen KL. Sarcopenia and its individual criteria are associated, in part, with mortality among patients on hemodialysis. *Kidney Int* 2017;92:238–47.
- [119] Lin T, Peng C, Hung S, Targ D. Body composition is associated with clinical outcomes in patients with non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 2018;93:733–40.
- [120] Paudel K, Visser A, Burke S, Samad N, Fan SL. Can bioimpedance measurements of lean and fat tissue mass replace subjective global assessments in peritoneal dialysis patients? *J Ren Nutr* 2015;25:480–7.
- [121] Rosenberger J, Kissova V, Majernikova M, Strausova Z, Boldizar J. Body composition monitor assessing malnutrition in the hemodialysis population independently predicts mortality. *J Ren Nutr* 2014;24:172–6.
- [122] Abad S, Sotomayor G, Vega A, Perez de Jose A, Verdalles U, Jofre R, et al. The phase angle of the electrical impedance is a predictor of long-term survival in dialysis patients. *Nefrologia* 2011;31:670–6.
- [123] Barros A, Costa BE, Mottin CC, d'Avila DO. Depression, quality of life, and body composition in patients with end-stage renal disease: a cohort study. *Br J Psychiatry* 2016;38:301–6.
- [124] Vega A, Abad S, Macias N, Aragoncillo I, Santos A, Galan I, et al. Low lean tissue mass is an independent risk factor for mortality in patients with stages 4 and 5 non-dialysis chronic kidney disease. *Clin Kidney J* 2017;10:170–5.
- [125] Wilson FP, Xie D, Anderson AH, Leonard MB, Reese PP, Delafontaine P, et al. Urinary creatinine excretion, bioelectrical impedance analysis, and clinical outcomes in patients with CKD: the CRIC study. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2014;9:2095–103.
- [126] Wu H, Tseng S, Wang W, Chen H, Lee L. Association between obesity with low muscle mass and dialysis mortality. *Intern Med J* 2017;47:1282–91.
- [127] Androga L, Sharma D, Amodu A, Abramowitz M. Sarcopenia, obesity, and mortality in US adults with and without chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int Rep* 2017;2:201–11.
- [128] Honda H, Qureshi A, Axelsson J, Heimbürger O, Suliman M, Barany P, et al. Obese sarcopenia in patients with end-stage renal disease is associated with inflammation and increased mortality. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007;86:633–8.
- [129] Isoyama N, Qureshi AR, Avesani CM, Lindholm B, Barany P, Heimbürger O, et al. Comparative associations of muscle mass and muscle strength with mortality in dialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2014;9:1720–8.
- [130] Kang SH, Cho KH, Park JW, Do JY. Low appendicular muscle mass is associated with mortality in peritoneal dialysis patients: a single-center cohort study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2017;71:1405–10.
- [131] Kakiya R, Shoji T, Tsujimoto Y, Tatsumi N, Hatsuda S, Shinohara K, et al. Body fat mass and lean mass as predictors of survival in hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int* 2006;70:549–56.
- [132] Nilsson E, Cao Y, Lindholm B, Ohyama A, Carrero J, Qureshi A, et al. Pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A predicts survival in end-stage renal disease-confounders and modifying effects of cardiovascular disease, body composition and inflammation. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2017;32:1776.
- [133] Ishihara H, Kondo T, Omae K, Takagi T, Iizuka J, Kobayashi H, et al. Sarcopenia predicts survival outcomes among patients with urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract undergoing radical nephroureterectomy: a retrospective multi-institution study. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2017;22:136–44.
- [134] Fukasawa H, Kaneko M, Niwa H, Matsuyama T, Yasuda H, Kumagai H, et al. Lower thigh muscle mass is associated with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in elderly hemodialysis patients. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2017;71:64–9.
- [135] Locke J, Carr J, Nair S, Terry J, Reed R, Smith G, et al. Abdominal lean muscle is associated with lower mortality among kidney waitlist candidates. *Clin Transplant* 2017;31. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ctr.12911>.
- [136] Nishikawa H, Enomoto H, Ishii A, Iwata Y, Miyamoto Y, Ishii N, et al. Comparison of prognostic impact between the child-pugh score and skeletal muscle mass for patients with liver cirrhosis. *Nutrients* 2017;9:595.
- [137] Nishikawa H, Enomoto H, Iwata Y, Nishimura T, Iijima H, Nishiguchi S. Clinical utility of bioimpedance analysis in liver cirrhosis. *J Hepatobiliary*

- Pancreat Sci 2017;24:409–16.
- [138] Golse N, Bucur P, Ciacio O, Pittau G, Sa Cunha A, Adam R, et al. A new definition of sarcopenia in patients with cirrhosis undergoing liver transplantation. *Liver Transplant* 2017;23:143–54.
- [139] Hanai T, Shiraki M, Ohnishi S, Miyazaki T, Ideta T, Kochi T, et al. Rapid skeletal muscle wasting predicts worse survival in patients with liver cirrhosis. *Hepatol Res* 2016;46:743–51.
- [140] Jeon JY, Wang HJ, Ock SY, Xu W, Lee JD, Lee JH, et al. Newly developed sarcopenia as a prognostic factor for survival in patients who underwent liver transplantation. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0143966.
- [141] Masuda T, Shirabe K, Ikegami T, Harimoto N, Yoshizumi T, Soejima Y, et al. Sarcopenia is a prognostic factor in living donor liver transplantation. *Liver Transplant* 2014;20:401–7.
- [142] Montano-Loza A, Angulo P, Meza-Junco J, Prado C, Sawyer M, Beaumont C, et al. Sarcopenic obesity and myosteatosis are associated with higher mortality in patients with cirrhosis. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2016;7:126–35.
- [143] Nishikawa H, Enomoto H, Ishii A, Iwata Y, Miyamoto Y, Ishii N, et al. Prognostic significance of low skeletal muscle mass compared with protein-energy malnutrition in liver cirrhosis. *Hepatol Res* 2017;47:1042–52.
- [144] Shirai H, Kaido T, Hamaguchi Y, Yao S, Kobayashi A, Okumura S, et al. Preoperative low muscle mass has a strong negative effect on pulmonary function in patients undergoing living donor liver transplantation. *Nutrition* 2018;45:1–10.
- [145] Tandon P, Ney M, Irwin I, Ma MM, Gramlich L, Bain VG, et al. Severe muscle depletion in patients on the liver transplant wait list: its prevalence and independent prognostic value. *Liver Transplant* 2012;18:1209–16.
- [146] Yadav A, Chang YH, Carpenter S, Silva AC, Rakela J, Aqel BA, et al. Relationship between sarcopenia, six-minute walk distance and health-related quality of life in liver transplant candidates. *Clin Transplant* 2015;29:134–41.
- [147] Carey EJ, Lai JC, Wang CW, Dasarthy S, Lobach I, Montano-Loza AJ, et al. A multicenter study to define sarcopenia in patients with end-stage liver disease. *Liver Transplant* 2017;23:625–33.
- [148] DiMartini A, Cruz R, Dew M, Myaskovsky L, Goodpaster B, Fox K, et al. Muscle mass predicts outcomes following liver transplantation. *Liver Transplant* 2013;19:1172–80.
- [149] Durand F, Buyse S, Franco C, Laouenan C, Bruno O, Belghiti J, et al. Prognostic value of muscle atrophy in cirrhosis using psoas muscle thickness on computed tomography. *J Hepatol* 2014;60:1151–7.
- [150] Kim T, Kim M, Sohn J, Kim S, Ryu J, Lim S, et al. Sarcopenia as a useful predictor for long-term mortality in cirrhotic patients with ascites. *J Kor Med Sci* 2014;29:1253–9.
- [151] Sinclair M, Grossmann M, Angus PW, Hoermann R, Hey P, Scodellaro T, et al. Low testosterone as a better predictor of mortality than sarcopenia in men with advanced liver disease. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016;31:661–7.
- [152] Terjimanian MN, Harbaugh CM, Hussain A, Olugbade Jr KO, Waits SA, Wang SC, et al. Abdominal adiposity, body composition and survival after liver transplantation. *Clin Transplant* 2016;30:289–94.
- [153] Wang CW, Feng S, Covinsky KE, Hayssen H, Zhou LQ, Yeh BM, et al. A comparison of muscle function, mass, and quality in liver transplant candidates: results from the functional assessment in liver transplantation study. *Transplantation* 2016;100:1692–8.
- [154] Chuang SY, Chang HY, Lee MS, Chia-Yu Chen R, Pan WH. Skeletal muscle mass and risk of death in an elderly population. *Nutr Metabol Cardiovasc Dis* 2014;24:784–91.
- [155] Genton L, Graf C, Karsegard V, Kyle U, Pichard C. Low fat-free mass as a marker of mortality in community-dwelling healthy elderly subjects. *Age Ageing* 2013;42:33–9.
- [156] Graf C, Herrmann F, Spoerri A, Makhlof A, Sørensen T, Ho S, et al. Impact of body composition changes on risk of all-cause mortality in older adults. *Clin Nutr* 2016;35:1499–505.
- [157] Brown JC, Harhay MO, Harhay MN. Appendicular lean mass and mortality among prefrail and frail older adults. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2017;21:342–5.
- [158] Balogun S, Winzenberg T, Wills K, Scott D, Jones G, Aitken D, et al. Prospective associations of low muscle mass and function with 10-year falls risk, incident fracture and mortality in community-dwelling older adults. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2017;21:843–8.
- [159] Batsis J, Mackenzie T, Emery R, Lopez-Jimenez F, Bartels S. Low lean mass with and without obesity, and mortality: results from the 1999–2004 National health and nutrition examination survey. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2017;72:1445–51.
- [160] De Buyser SL, Petrovic M, Taes YE, Toye KR, Kaufman JM, Lapauw B, et al. Validation of the FNIH sarcopenia criteria and SOF frailty index as predictors of long-term mortality in ambulatory older men. *Age Ageing* 2016;45:602–8.
- [161] Hirani V, Blyth F, Naganathan V, Le Couteur DG, Seibel MJ, Waite LM, et al. Sarcopenia is associated with incident disability, institutionalization, and mortality in community-dwelling older men: the Concord Health and Ageing in Men Project. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015;16:607–13.
- [162] Lee W, Liu L, Hwang A, Peng L, Lin M, Chen L. Dysmobility syndrome and risk of mortality for community-dwelling middle-aged and older adults: the nexus of aging and body composition. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:8785.
- [163] Moon JH, Kim KM, Kim JH, Moon JH, Choi SH, Lim S, et al. Predictive values of the new sarcopenia index by the foundation for the National Institutes of health sarcopenia project for mortality among older Korean adults. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0166344.
- [164] Kim YH, Kim KI, Paik NJ, Kim KW, Jang HC, Lim JY. Muscle strength: a better index of low physical performance than muscle mass in older adults. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2016;16:577–85.
- [165] Newman AB, Kupelian V, Visser M, Simonsick EM, Goodpaster BH, Kritchevsky SB, et al. Strength, but not muscle mass, is associated with mortality in the health, aging and body composition study cohort. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2006;61:72–7.
- [166] Spahillari A, Mukamal KJ, DeFilippi C, Kizer JR, Gottdiener JS, Djousse L, et al. The association of lean and fat mass with all-cause mortality in older adults: The Cardiovascular Health Study. *Nutr Metabol Cardiovasc Dis* 2016;26:1039–47.
- [167] Toss F, Wiklund P, Nordstrom P, Nordstrom A. Body composition and mortality risk in later life. *Age Ageing* 2012;41:677–81.
- [168] Wijnhoven HA, Snijder MB, van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren MA, Deeg DJ, Visser M. Region-specific fat mass and muscle mass and mortality in community-dwelling older men and women. *Gerontology* 2012;58:32–40.
- [169] Cesari M, Pahor M, Lauretani F, Zamboni V, Bandinelli S, Bernabei R, et al. Skeletal muscle and mortality results from the InCHIANTI Study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2009;64:377–84.
- [170] Doehner W, Rauchhaus M, Ponikowski P, Godtsland IF, von Haehling S, Okonko DO, et al. Impaired insulin sensitivity as an independent risk factor for mortality in patients with stable chronic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;46:1019–26.
- [171] Ikeno Y, Koide Y, Abe N, Matsueda T, Izawa N, Yamazato T, et al. Impact of sarcopenia on the outcomes of elective total arch replacement in the elderly. *Eur J Cardio Thorac Surg* 2017;51:1135–41.
- [172] Matsubara Y, Matsumoto T, Aoyagi Y, Tanaka S, Okadome J, Morisaki K, et al. Sarcopenia is a prognostic factor for overall survival in patients with critical limb ischemia. *J Vasc Surg* 2015;61:945–50.
- [173] Mok M, Allende R, Leipsic J, Altisent OA, Del Trigo M, Campelo-Parada F, et al. Prognostic value of fat mass and skeletal muscle mass determined by computed tomography in patients who underwent transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Am J Cardiol* 2016;117:828–33.
- [174] Thurston B, Pena G, Howell S, Cowled P, Fitridge R. Low total psoas area as scored in the clinic setting independently predicts midterm mortality after endovascular aneurysm repair in male patients. *J Vasc Surg* 2018;67:460–7.
- [175] Yamashita M, Kamiya K, Matsunaga A, Kitamura T, Hamazaki N, Matsuzawa R, et al. Prognostic value of psoas muscle area and density in patients who undergo cardiovascular surgery. *Can J Cardiol* 2017;33:1652–9.
- [176] Drudi LM, Phung K, Ades M, Zuckerman J, Mullie L, Steinmetz OK, et al. Psoas muscle area predicts all-cause mortality after endovascular and open aortic aneurysm repair. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2016;52:764–9.
- [177] Lee JSJ, He K, Harbaugh CM, Schaubel DE, Sonnenday CJ, Wang SC, et al. Frailty, core muscle size, and mortality in patients undergoing open abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg* 2011;53:912–7.
- [178] Mamane S, Mullie L, Piazza N, Martucci G, Morais J, Vigano A, et al. Psoas muscle area and all-cause mortality after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: the Montreal-Munich Study. *Can J Cardiol* 2016;32:177–82.
- [179] Nyers E, Brothers T. Perioperative psoas to lumbar vertebral index does not successfully predict amputation-free survival after lower extremity revascularization. *J Vasc Surg* 2017;66:1820–5.
- [180] Teigen L, John R, Kuchnia A, Nagel E, Earthman C, Kealhofer J, et al. Preoperative pectoralis muscle quantity and attenuation by computed tomography are novel and powerful predictors of mortality after left ventricular assist device implantation. *Circ Heart Fail* 2017;10:e004069.
- [181] Hitzl AP, Jorres RA, Heinemann F, Pfeifer M, Budweiser S. Nutritional status in patients with chronic respiratory failure receiving home mechanical ventilation: impact on survival. *Clin Nutr* 2010;29:65–71.
- [182] Maeda K, Akagi J. Muscle mass loss is a potential predictor of 90-day mortality in older adults with aspiration pneumonia. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2017;65:e18–22.
- [183] Mupere E, Malone L, Zalwango S, Chiunda A, Okwera A, Parraga I, et al. Lean tissue mass wasting is associated with increased risk of mortality among women with pulmonary tuberculosis in urban Uganda. *Ann Epidemiol* 2012;22:466–73.
- [184] Lima D, Dela Coleta K, Tanni S, Silveira L, Godoy I, Godoy I. Potentially modifiable predictors of mortality in patients treated with long-term oxygen therapy. *Respir Med* 2011;105:470–6.
- [185] Nishiyama O, Yamazaki R, Sano H, Iwanaga T, Higashimoto Y, Kume H, et al. Fat-free mass index predicts survival in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Respirology* 2017;22:480–5.
- [186] Schols AM, Broekhuizen R, Welings-Scheepers CA, Wouters EF. Body composition and mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;82:53–9.
- [187] Slinde F, Grönberg A, Engström C, Rossander-Hulthén L, Larsson S. Body composition by bioelectrical impedance predicts mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients. *Respir Med* 2005;99:1004–9.
- [188] Kelm DJ, Bonnes SL, Jensen MD, Eiken PW, Hathcock MA, Kremers WK, et al. Pre-transplant wasting (as measured by muscle index) is a novel prognostic indicator in lung transplantation. *Clin Transplant* 2016;30:247–55.
- [189] Marquis K, Debigaré R, Lacasse Y, LeBlanc P, Jobin J, Carrier G, et al. Mid thigh muscle cross-sectional area is a better predictor of mortality than body mass

- index in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;166:809–13.
- [190] Galesanu RG, Bernard S, Marquis K, Lacasse Y, Poirier P, Bourbeau J, et al. Obesity in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: is fatter really better? *Can Respir J* 2014;21:297–301.
- [191] Tanimura K, Sato S. Quantitative assessment of erector spinae muscles in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. novel chest computed tomography-derived index for prognosis. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2016;13:334–41.
- [192] Pasco J, Mohebbi M, Holloway K, Brennan-Olsen S, Hyde N, Kotowicz M. Musculoskeletal decline and mortality: prospective data from the Geelong Osteoporosis Study. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2017;8:482–9.
- [193] Rule AD, Bailey KR, Schwartz GL, Khosla S, Lieske JC, Melton 3rd LJ. For estimating creatinine clearance measuring muscle mass gives better results than those based on demographics. *Kidney Int* 2009;75:1071–8.
- [194] Aahlin EK, Trano G, Johns N, Horn A, Soreide JA, Fearon KC, et al. Risk factors, complications and survival after upper abdominal surgery: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Surg* 2015;15:83.
- [195] Leeper C, Lin E, Hoffman M, Fombona A, Zhou T, Kutcher M, et al. Computed tomography abbreviated assessment of sarcopenia following trauma: the CAAST measurement predicts 6-month mortality in older adult trauma patients. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2016;80:805–11.
- [196] Rangel E, Rios-Diaz A, Uyeda J, Castillo-Angeles M, Cooper Z, Olufajo O, et al. Sarcopenia increases risk of long-term mortality in elderly patients undergoing emergency abdominal surgery. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2017;83:1179–86.
- [197] Shibahashi K, Sugiyama K, Kashiura M, Hamabe Y. Decreasing skeletal muscle as a risk factor for mortality in elderly patients with sepsis: a retrospective cohort study. *J Intens Care* 2017;5:8.
- [198] Wagner D, Buttner S, Kim Y, Gani F, Xu L, Margonis GA, et al. Clinical and morphometric parameters of frailty for prediction of mortality following hepatopancreaticobiliary surgery in the elderly. *Br J Surg* 2016;103:83–92.
- [199] Yoo T, Lo WD, Evans DC. Computed tomography measured psoas density predicts outcomes in trauma. *Surgery* 2017;162:377–84.
- [200] Boutin RD, Bamrunghart S, Bateni CP, Beavers DP, Beavers KM, Meehan JP, et al. CT of patients with hip fracture: muscle size and attenuation help predict mortality. *Am J Roentgenol* 2017;208:W208–15.
- [201] Dirks RC, Edwards BL, Tong E, Schaheen B, Turrentine FE, Shada A, et al. Sarcopenia in emergency abdominal surgery. *J Surg Res* 2017;207:13–21.
- [202] Wallace JD, Calvo RY, Lewis PR, Brill JB, Shackford SR, Sise MJ, et al. Sarcopenia as a predictor of mortality in elderly blunt trauma patients: comparing the masseter to the psoas using computed tomography. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2017;82:65–72.
- [203] Fielding RA, Vellas B, Evans WJ, Bhasin S, Morley JE, Newman AB, et al. Sarcopenia: an undiagnosed condition in older adults. Current consensus definition; prevalence, etiology, and consequences. International working group on sarcopenia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2011;12:249–56.
- [204] Morley JE, Abbatecola AM, Argiles JM, Baracos V, Bauer J, Bhasin S, et al. Sarcopenia with limited mobility: an international consensus. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2011;12:403–9.
- [205] Studenski SA, Peters KW, Alley DE, Cawthon PM, McLean RR, Harris TB, et al. The FNIH sarcopenia project: rationale, study description, conference recommendations, and final estimates. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2014;69:547–58.
- [206] Zhuang CL, Shen X, Zou HB, Dong QT, Cai HY, Chen XL, et al. EWGSOP2 versus EWGSOP1 for sarcopenia to predict prognosis in patients with gastric cancer after radical gastrectomy: analysis from a large-scale prospective study. *Clin Nutr* 2020;39:2301–10.
- [207] Martin L, Birdsell L, Macdonald N, Reiman T, Clandinin MT, McCargar LJ, et al. Cancer cachexia in the age of obesity: skeletal muscle depletion is a powerful prognostic factor, independent of body mass index. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:1539–47.
- [208] Prado CM, Lieffers JR, McCargar LJ, Reiman T, Sawyer MB, Martin L, et al. Prevalence and clinical implications of sarcopenic obesity in patients with solid tumours of the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts: a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol* 2008;9:629–35.
- [209] Williams BA, Mandrekar JA, Mandrekar SJ, Cha SS, Furth AF. Finding optimal cutpoints for continuous covariates with binary and time-to-event outcomes. Mayo Foundation; 2006. Technical Report Series #79. Rochester, MN, USA.