Review Article

Beta-Blocker Landiolol Hydrochloride in Preventing Atrial Fibrillation Following Cardiothoracic Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Jianqi Hao, MD,^{1,2} Jian Zhou, MD,^{1,2} Wenying Xu, MD,² Cong Chen, MD,^{1,2} Jian Zhang, PhD,^{1,3} Haoning Peng, MD,¹ and Lunxu Liu, MD, PhD, FRCS¹

Objective: The purpose of this article was to assess the benefit of perioperative administration of the intravenous beta-blocker landiolol hydrochloride in preventing atrial fibrillation (AF) after cardiothoracic surgery.

Methods: We performed a systematic search in PubMed, Web of Science, CNKI, and OVID to identify randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and cohorts up to January 2021. Data regarding postoperative atrial fibrillation (POAF) and safety outcomes were extracted. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined using the Mantel–Haenszel method. Meanwhile, subgroup analyses were conducted according to surgery type including lung cancer surgery, esophageal cancer surgery, and cardiac surgery.

Results: Seventeen eligible articles involving 1349 patients within 13 RCTs and four cohorts were included in our meta-analysis. Compared with control group, landiolol administration was associated with a significant reduction of the occurrence of AF after cardiothoracic surgery (OR = 0.32, 95% CI 0.23-0.43, P <0.00001). In addition, the results demonstrated that perioperative administration of landiolol hydrochloride minimized the occurrence of postoperative complications (OR = 0.48, 95% CI 0.33-0.70, P = 0.0002). Funnel plots indicated no obvious publication bias.

Conclusions: Considering this analysis, landiolol was effective in the prevention of AF after cardiothoracic surgery and did not increase the risk of major postoperative complications.

Keywords: landiolol, atrial fibrillation, cardiothoracic surgery, postoperative complications

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

²West China School of Medicine, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

³Department of Thoracic Surgery, Chest Oncology Institute, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Received: June 3, 2021; Accepted: June 29, 2021

Corresponding author: Lunxu Liu, MD, PhD, FRCS. Department of Thoracic Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, No. 37, Guoxue Alley, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, China Email: lunxu_liu@aliyun.com



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives International License.

Introduction

The incidence of atrial fibrillation (AF) ranges from 8% to 42%.^{1,2)} AF is one of the most common forms of arrhythmia^{3,4)} with a high occurrence rate, and low rate of successful treatment, following cardiothoracic surgery. Despite AF being a transient symptom in most cases, it can lead to occasional serious outcomes, such as thromboembolic events and hemodynamic deterioration, which can result in death.⁵⁾ Postoperative atrial fibrillation (POAF) may also result in extended hospital stays and endanger public health and well-being.^{1,6,7)} Therefore, to prevent the occurrence of POAF has been a universal concern.

^{©2022} The Editorial Committee of Annals of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery

The main therapy for POAF is antiarrhythmic medications, including digoxin,⁸⁾ calcium channel blockers,^{9,10)} and β-blockers.^{11,12)} Although calcium channel antagonists are effective against AF, they are often associated with adverse side effects like bradycardia.¹³⁾ β-blockers were also reported to successfully prevented POAF after cardiac surgery through antianti-inflammatory, and sympatholytic ischemic, effects,¹⁴⁾ but they often cause hypotension due to their cardio-depressant effect.^{13,15,16} Currently, esmolol, a β-blocker, is the most commonly used drug to prevent AF in patients undergoing cardiovascular surgery. However, its effect was unknown in pulmonary surgery and esophageal surgery. Landiolol, a newly developed drug, is a new compound obtained based on the chemical structure of esmolol and is reported to be able to successfully prevent the occurrence of POAF successfully.¹⁷⁾ Landiolol is an ultrashort acting β -adrenoceptor antagonist with a high selection of B1-blocker¹⁸⁾ and has a weaker negative inotropic effect among intravenous β 1-blockers,¹⁹⁾ and many researchers showed that its blood concentration half-life was 4 min in humans with intravenous administration.²⁰⁾ Therefore, landiolol may avoid postoperative hypotension.²¹⁾ The acting mechanism of landiolol is β-blockers first selectively interact with beta adrenergic receptors and thereby antagonize the excitatory effects of neurotransmitters and catecholamines on beta receptors. Regarding the selectivity of β 1-blockers, landiolol hydrochloride has a much higher cardio-selectivity ($\beta 1/\beta 2 = 255$) than esmolol hydrochloride ($\beta 1/\beta 2 = 33$); therefore, it might have a few effects on the respiratory system.^{22,23)} However, landiolol's efficacy in patients undergoing cardiothoracic surgery including lung cancer surgery, esophageal cancer surgery, and cardiac surgery has yet to be confirmed.

Previous research has focused on the efficacy of landiolol in preventing POAF; however, no convinced consensus has been reached for small sample, limited outcomes, and inevitable heterogeneity. Therefore, a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis is required to provide more accurate evidence with enlarged sample sizes. This systematic review and meta-analysis aims to identify the effects of landiolol on preventing POAF following cardiothoracic surgery and occurrence of AF, complications, length of hospital stay, and mortality compared with placebo.

Materials and Methods

This systematic review was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis.²⁴⁾

Literature research

We performed a systematic search in widely acknowledged authenticated databases including PubMed, CNKI, Web of Science, and OVID using the following terms: "landiolol" OR "ONO 1101" OR "ONO 11-01" OR "landiolol hydrochloride" OR "onoact". All available studies were published up to January 2021. The language was restricted to English. Any discordance was resolved by discussion and consensus.

Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria

Studies were carefully selected according to the criteria as follows: a) the designs had to be the randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or cohort studies; b) studies had to be relevant with cardiac surgery, lung cancer surgery or esophagus cancer surgery; c) the full text had to be accessible; d) published English studies; and e) the articles reported outcomes including the occurrence of AF, complications, length of hospital stay, and mortality rates.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: a) review, case report, single sample experiments, comments and editorials; b) studies with no human subjects; and c) published studies in which data could not be extracted or those having wrong data.

Data extraction and quality assessments

The parameters that indicate the efficacy and safety of landiolol in preventing AF were extracted by two experienced investigators independently with a standard extraction table. The information of the essays was extracted from basic information such as the title, first author's name, publication year, nationality, department, ethnicity, study design, age and gender of the samples (in both the landiolol group and the control group), enrolled year, and comparison of correlated outcomes (primary outcomes like the occurrence of AF and secondary outcomes such as complications, length of hospital stay, and mortality rates).

The Cochrane risk-of-bias tool was applied to assess the quality of the included studies. We carefully read each included article based on the evaluation tools and evaluated the quality of each article subjectively by selecting a value of "high", "low", or "unclear" risk of

Hao J, et al.

bias to the following categories: a) random sequence generation, b) allocation concealment, c) blinding of participants and personnel, d) blinding of outcome assessment, e) incomplete outcome data, f) selective reporting, and g) other bias. If discrepancies were met, we resolved them via consensus.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed on Review Manager 5.3. We applied I² tests to assess heterogeneity for each overall analysis, and when P-value was >0.10 and I² <50%, it suggested acceptable heterogeneity. If heterogeneity existed, the random-effects model was used to calculate pooled values. Otherwise, we used the fixed-effect model. We applied odds ratios (ORs) for dichotomous variables and mean differences for continuous variables with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and statistical significances were set as P <0.05. When the units of continuous variables were inconsistent, we used a standardized mean difference method. Funnel plots for log OR were created to estimate publication bias and other types of bias. The Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation test was used to test the symmetry of the funnel plots.

Subgroup analysis

Subgroup analyses were conducted according to surgery type, including lung cancer surgery, esophageal cancer surgery, and cardiac surgery.

Results

The literature selection and screening processes are shown in **Fig. 1**. A total of 349 potential relevant articles were searched in PubMed, Embase, CNKI, OVID, and



Fig. 1 Flow diagram of search strategy according to PRISMA guidelines (2009). PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis

Web of Science in January 2021. After duplicates were removed, 326 articles were left. We then reviewed the titles and abstracts. In all, 297 unrelated articles were excluded. Then, we read the full text of the remaining articles; 17 articles were eligible based on our inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Description of studies

The basic characteristics of the 17 eligible articles are summarized in Table 1. In total, 13 RCTs and four cohorts studied were included in this meta-analysis. Ten articles studied the prognosis of landiolol hydrochloride infusion for the prevention of POAF in patients undergoing cardiac surgery,^{15,25–33)} four articles focused on the esophageal surgery,^{34–37)} and three articles were studies on patients undergoing lung surgery.^{20,38,39)} A total of 1349 patients were included, and each study included 15-230 patients ranging in age from 62 to 83. AF that occurs within a week after surgery is defined as POAF. In those 17 included studies, the landiolol was infused with a dosage of 3 or 5 µg/kg/min during general anesthesia in the landiolol group and the placebo was infused in the control group for 24-72 h after the induction of anesthesia. Regarding the data in all 17 articles, the primary outcome was the overall incidence of AF occurring during the first week after surgery.

Quality of studies

Standard quality evaluation of the 17 included studies was assessed by the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool. Three articles were at a relatively higher risk of bias. The details of the quality in each study are shown in **Fig. 2**.

The outcome results

Incidence of AF occurring during the 7 days after cardiothoracic surgery

In total, 17 articles with 1349 patients reported the effectiveness of landiolol hydrochloride in preventing AF after cardiothoracic surgery. The overall incidence of AF was 11% (66/598) in the landiolol group and 25% (188/751) in the control group. Our results showed that landiolol administration was associated with a significant reduction of the occurrence of AF after cardiothoracic surgery (OR = 0.32, 95% CI 0.23–0.43, P <0.00001; **Fig. 3**), especially in esophageal surgery and cardiac surgery patients (OR = 0.38, 95% CI 0.18–0.78, P = 0.008 and OR = 0.27, 95% CI 0.18–0.40, P <0.00001,

respectively). The heterogeneity among these 17 studies was low ($I^2 = 0\%$), which indicated a reliable result.

Lung cancer

Three articles reported the effectiveness of landiolol hydrochloride in preventing POAF after lung resection with the initial dose of landiolol as 5 µg/kg/min during general anesthesia. The incidence of AF within the first week after surgery was 7.6% (9/117) in the landiolol group, which was much lower than that in the control group (13.6% [36/264]) (OR = 0.50, 95% CI 0.22–1.12, P = 0.09). The forest plot of all the three studies is shown in **Fig. 3**.

Esophageal cancer

The efficacy of landiolol in preventing POAF after esophageal cancer surgery was reported in four articles. Compared with the control group, the incidence of AF in the landiolol group was reduced by 11.5%. The pooled analysis showed that compared with the control group, landiolol could significantly reduce the incidence of AF within the first postoperative week (OR = 0.38, 95% CI 0.18–0.78, P = 0.008). The forest plot of all the four studies is shown in **Fig. 3**.

Cardiac surgery

In the analysis of 10 related studies, the occurring of POAF was 13% (45/345) in the landiolol group and 35.5% (124/349) in the control group (OR = 0.27, 95% CI 0.18–0.40, P <0.00001). The forest plot of all the 10 studies is shown in **Fig. 3**. Compared with the control group, the occurrence of POAF in the landiolol group was significantly lower, indicating a potential effective-ness of landiolol in preventing POAF.

Incidence of complications after cardiothoracic surgery

In total, 10 articles with 752 patients reported the effectiveness of landiolol hydrochloride in preventing complications after cardiothoracic surgery. The incidence of complications in the landiolol group was lower than that in the control group by 11% (61/379, 101/373). The result indicated that perioperative administration of landiolol hydrochloride would minimize the occurrence of postoperative complications (OR = 0.48, 95% CI 0.33–0.70, P = 0.0002; **Fig. 4**).

There were no obvious differences between the groups regarding blood pressure before and after using landiolol. In both groups after medication, the heart rate was reduced immediately but more predominantly in the

Secondary outcome	lasma concentration of -6, serum Mg, serum Ca, RP, NT Pro-BNP by blood mpling, three fractions of techolamines (adrenaline, vradrenaline, and dopamine)	A	radycardia, hypotension, ongestive heart failure, yocardial infarction, angina ectoris, pneumonia, acute spiratory failure, respira- ry insufficiency requiring acheostomy, respiratory ilure requiring mechanical initilation, atelectasis with onchoscopic therapy, home cygen treatment, thrombo- nbolic events, death	mount of bleeding, infusion olume of crystalloid, blood ansfusion volume, urine olume, Hb after surgery, ngth of hospital stay	ate of occurrence of AF in e hospital, postoperative omplications, hemodynamic reformance, changes in flammatory markers	nastomotic leakage, pneu- onia, recurrent nerve palsy, 2us, chylothorax, over 38° body temperature	he proportion of patients hose AF appeared within 4 h, other complications ised on the Clavien–Dindo assification, the intensive re unit, hospital stays
Primary outcome	Incidence PI of AF II until 7 C POD sa ca no	Incidence N of AF until 2 POD	Incidence Bi of AF cc until 2 m POD pe to to tr tr tr tr to br ve er co	Incidence A of AF vc until 2 tr; POD vc	Incidence R: of AF th between cc POD in	Incidence A of AF m until 2 ild POD of	Incidence TI of AF w until 4 2 ² POD bc cl
Duration of use of landiolol (landiolol group)	NA	NA	24 h	20.5 ± 7.5 h	72 h	24 h	24 h
Start of landiolol	During general anesthe- sia	Before surgery	Before surgery	Before surgery	After surgery	Before surgery	Before surgery
Initial dose of landiolol	5 μg/kg/ min	5 µg/kg/ min	10 patients received 5 μg/kg/ min; 5 received 10 μg/ kg/min	5 μg/kg/ min	3 μg/kg/ min	5 μg/kg/ min	3 μg/kg/ min
Type of surgery	VATS: 36 Open: 14		VATS: 18 Open: 12	Esophagec- tomy	Thoracoscopic oesophagec- tomy: 99 transthoracic	VATS	Esophagec- tomy
Use of CPB	NA	NA	₹ Z	NA	NA	NA	NA
Age (yrs) Landiolol	67.4 ± 8.7	NA	72.2 ± 5.7	67 ± 7	68 (31–85)	64 (48–79)	68 (62–74)
Age (yrs) Control	6.9 ± 8.9	NA	69.5 ± 7.3	63 ± 8	69 (45–83)	62 (45–82)	69 (60–71)
ξų	19	NA	=	9	23	12	14
М	31	NA	19	33	77	67	42
No. of patients	50	301	30	39	100	79	56
Country	Ndf	Ndſ	NAL	Ndf	Ndf	Ndf	Ndf
Author, year	Aoyama, 2016 ²⁰⁾	Okita, 2008 ³⁹⁾	Nojiri, 2011 ³⁸⁾	Horikoshi, 2017 ³⁴⁾	Ojima, 2017 ³⁵⁾	Yoshida, 2017^{37}	Aoki, 2020 ³⁶

Table 1 Characteristics of included studies

Secondary outcome	HR, systemic blood pressure, cardiac index, average pulmonary arterial blood pressure	Hospital mortality, HR, BP, fluid balance	Cardiac index, dose of inotropic agents, intubation time, length of ICU stay, postoperative LVEF	HR, SBP obtained from an arterial line, CI, SPA, PCWP, SVI	HR, length of ICU stay, time to extubation, postoperative complications	Postoperative levels of tro- ponin I, CK-MB isoenzyme, and CRP	Hospital mortality, HR, BP, fluid balance	Operative mortality and com- plications; hemodynamics at the return to the ICU at 12, 24, and 48 h after adminis- tration and at 24 hours after discontinuing administration; fluid balance; CK-MB isoen- zyme; troponin-I and human heart fatty acid-binding pro- tein; IL-6, IL-8, and hs-CRP; urinary 8-hydroxygua- nosine; total cost of hospital treatment
Primary outcome	Incidence of AF until 2 POD	Incidence of AF until 7 POD	Incidence of AF	Incidence of AF until 7 POD	Incidence of AF	Incidence of AF until 7 POD	Incidence of AF until 3 POD	Incidence of AF until 7 POD
Duration of use of landiolol (landiolol group)	72 h	50 h	48 h	38.4 ± 16.8 h	23.9 ± 32 h	72 h	72 h	48 h
Start of landiolol	After surgery	After surgery	After CPB	After surgery	Before surgery	Before surgery	After surgery	During surgery
Initial dose of landiolol	10 µg/ kg/min	5 μg/kg/ min	1.5–2.5 µg/kg/ min	0.5 µg/ kg/min	1 μg/kg/ min	3–5 μg/ kg/min	0.5–2 µg/kg/ min	2 μg/kg/ min
Type of surgery	CABG: 0 Valve: 55 CABG + valve: 4 Aortic root	OPCABS 100%	CABG	CABG	CABG: 55Valve: 50	CABG	CABG: 25VR: 25CABG + VR: 90thers: 12	CABG
Use of CPB	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	NA	°Z
Age (yrs) Landiolol	69.3 ± 8.6	NA	69.9 ± 9.1	67 ± 8.5	67.2 ± 11.5	69.3 ± 6.3	70.2 ± 10.6	68.5 ± 4.7
Age (yrs) Control	68.7 ± 10.0	68.5	69.2 ± 7.6	69 ± 6.3	65.7 ± 12.6	71.6 ± 7.8	69.3 ± 8.4	66.7 8.9 8.9
Ϋ́	28	31	17	×	NA	21	23	12
М	32	49	38	37	NA	105	48	138
No. of patients	60	70	55	45	105	136	71	140
Country	Ndf	Ndf	Ndf	Ndf	Ndf	Ndf	Ndf	NAL
Author, year	Sakaguchi, 2012 ³⁰⁾	Fujii, 2012 ²⁵⁾	Fujiwara, 2009 ²⁶⁾	Nagaoka, 2014 ²⁷⁾	Nakanishi, 2013 ²⁸⁾	Ogawa, 2013 ²⁹⁾	Sakamoto, 2012 ³¹⁾	Sezai, 2011 ¹⁵⁾

me	id CCK-MB , and -bind- TX-3,	ld DC CK-MB , and Lbind- TX-3,	oronary
Secondary outco	Operative mortality an complications, SBP and diastolic BP and HR, G isoenzyme, troponin-I, human heart fatty acid ing protein, hs-CRP, P ADMA, and BNP	Operative mortality an complications, SBP an diastolic BP and HR, G isoenzyme, troponin-I, human heart fatty acid ing protein, hs-CRP, P ADMA, and BNP	OPCABS: off pump c
Primary outcome	Incidence of AF until 7 POD	Incidence of AF until 8 POD	ass grafting;
Duration of use of landiolol (landiolol group)	72 h	48 h	y artery bypa
Start of landiolol	During surgery	During surgery	BG: coronar
Initial dose of landiolol	5 μg/kg/ min	2 µg/kg/ min	surgery; CAI
Type of surgery	CABG	CABG: 46 Valve: 12CABG + Valve: 2	ve: heart valve
Use of CPB	Yes	Yes	gery; Val
Age (yrs) Landiolol	68.5 ± 9.6	64.8 ± 9.6	thoracic sur-
Age (yrs) Control	68.2 ± 7.5	68.3 ± 9.4	deo-assisted
Ĭ.	12	10	ATS: vid
М	56	50	ypass; V
No. of patients	68	60	ulmonary b
Country	Ndf	Ndf	B: cardiopr
Author, year	Sezai, 2012 ³²⁾	Sezai, 2015 ³³⁾	JPN: Japan; CP

artery bypass surgery; AF: atrial fibrillation; POD: postoperative day; BP: blood pressure; HR: heart rate; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; M: male; F: female; NA: not applicable; IL-6: interleukin-6; Mg: magnesium; Ca: calcium; CRP: C-reactive protein; NT Pro-BNP: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; Hb: hemoglobin; ICU: intensive care unit; creatine kinase-MB; hs-CRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; PTX-3: pentraxin-3; ADMA: asymmetric dimethylarginine; BNP: brain natriuretic peptide; VR: valve replacement SBP: systolic blood pressure; CT: cardiac index; SPA: systolic pulmonary artery pressure; PCWP: pulmonary capillary artery wedge pressure; SVI: stroke volume index; CK-MB:



Fig. 2 Risk of bias in the included studies. The Cochrane Collaboration tool was used to assess the risk of bias. The authors' judgments were used to assign the risk of bias for each included study. Green: low risk of bias, red: high risk of bias, and yellow: unclear risk of bias.



Fig. 3 Forest plot for the incidence of AF occurring during the 7 days after cardiothoracic surgery. AF: atrial fibrillation; CI: confidence interval; M–H: Mantel-Haenszel

landiolol group. In addition, the plasma concentration of interleukin-6 (IL-6) sampled at the end of surgery was significantly lower in the landiolol group.

Hospital stay and mortality

In total, five articles reported the association between perioperative administration of landiolol hydrochloride and length of hospital stay. Results showed that there were no significant differences in length of hospital stay in both group of patients undergoing cardiothoracic surgery (OR = -0.61, 95% CI -1.96-0.73, P = 0.37; Fig. 5).

Three articles reported that the perioperative administration of landiolol hydrochloride would reduce the mortality significantly in patients after cardiothoracic surgery (OR = 0.32, 95% CI 0.06–1.63, P = 0.17; Fig. 6).

Risk of bias across studies

The funnel plot of our study indicated the absence of obvious publication bias (**Fig. 7**).

Discussion

In our study, we focused on the efficacy and safety of landiolol in the prevention of AF after cardiothoracic surgery. Until now, only a fewer relevant RCTs have focused on the efficacy of landiolol in the prevention of POAF in cardiothoracic surgery, though none had attempted a meta-analysis. We are the first team to give a meta-analysis on this field. According to 17 associated studies, our analysis indicated that landiolol might be effective in the prevention of AF after cardiothoracic surgery without increasing the risk of major postoperative



Fig. 4 Forest plot for the incidence of complications after cardiothoracic surgery. CI: confidence interval; M-H: Mantel-Haenszel

	Landiolol Control					Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean S	5D Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Horikoshi 2017	30	13 19	36	27	20	1.0%	-6.00 [-19.20, 7.20]		
Sezai 2011	11.2 4	.9 70	14	7.6	70	40.5%	-2.80 [-4.92, -0.68]	•	
Sezai 2012	11.8 6	i.9 34	12.2	9	34	12.5%	-0.40 [-4.21, 3.41]	+	
Sezai 2015	14.6 6	6.4 30	19.4	11.6	30	8.1%	-4.80 [-9.54, -0.06]	-	
Yoshitaka 2020	30.8 5	.1 28	28.1	3	28	37.8%	2.70 [0.51, 4.89]	•	
Total (95% CI) 181 182 Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 16.52, df = 4 (P = 0.002); l ² = 76%						100.0%	-0.61 [-1.96, 0.73]		
Test for overall effect	:: Z = 0.89	(P = 0.3	-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours [landiolol] Favours [control]						

Fig. 5 Forest plot for hospital stay after cardiothoracic surgery. CI: confidence interval; SD: standard deviation

	Landio	lol	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M–H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Sezai 2011	0	70	2	70	42.0%	0.19 [0.01, 4.12]	←		
Sezai 2012	1	34	1	34	16.4%	1.00 [0.06, 16.67]			
Sezai 2015	0	30	2	30	41.6%	0.19 [0.01, 4.06]	←		
Total (95% CI)		134		134	100.0%	0.32 [0.06, 1.63]			
Total events	1		5						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	0.85, df	= 2 (P	= 0.65);				100		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.37$ (P = 0.17)							0.01	Favours [landiolol] Favours [control]	100

Fig. 6 Forest plot for mortality after cardiothoracic surgery. CI: confidence interval; M-H: Mantel-Haenszel



Fig. 7 The funnel plot of ORs of 17 included studies on the x-axis against the standard error of log OR of each study on the y-axis. OR: odds ratio; SE: standard error

complications. Additionally, the plasma concentration of IL-6 sampled at the end of surgery was significantly lower in the landiolol group. Excessive production of IL-6 can damage the cytokine system and promote myocardial injury, and it is also a predictor of subsequent serious clinical complications.^{40,41} This suggests that landiolol can reduce the incidence of AF as well as relevant complications after cardiothoracic surgery.

To our knowledge, AF is one of the most common arrhythmias, and it is reported that multiple factors are associated with the occurring of AF after surgery. For instance elevation in atrial pressure from postoperative impaired ventricular function, tracheal intubation, advanced age, oxidative stress, ischemic damage, sequential combined sympathetic and vagal activation,⁴²⁾ right ventricular overload, systemic inflammatory responses,⁴³⁾ smoking pneumonectomy, postoperative acid-base imbalance, electrolyte imbalance, complications (pleural atelectasis, liquid pneumothorax), postoperative analgesia, and so on were all significantly associated with postoperative arrhythmia.⁴⁴⁾ Landiolol may reduce the occurrence of AF after cardiothoracic surgery by attenuating some of these factors.

In Japan, researchers have found that three β -blockers (landiolol, esmolol, and propranolol) are all available for intravenous injection for the treatment of POAF. Landiolol is an ultra-short-acting β 1-selective blocker with a higher selectivity (β 1/ β 2: landiolol 277, esmolol 20, propranolol 0.6)^{22,45}; besides, landiolol has a shorter plasma half-life (4 min) compared with esmolol and propranolol (esmolol 9 min, propranolol 2 h).⁴⁵) These may suggest that cacoethic respiratory effects will rarely develop with

landiolol, which makes it more suitable for the treatment of POAF after cardiothoracic surgery.

Aoyama et al.'s²⁰⁾ study reported that they couldn't give a conclusion on whether using landiolol could prevent POAF after lung resection. Compared with the other researches that indicated the efficacy and safety of landiolol as the prophylaxis for POAF after lung resection, one definite major disparity was the surgical approach. Other possible disparities were the duration and dosage of landiolol administration. Nojiri et al.'s46) study reported that landiolol had a rapid effect with safe administration in patients who developed AF after lung resection. In Aoyama et al.'s study, the duration of landiolol administration was 24 h from the start of the operation during general anesthesia, but in Nojiri et al.'s study, the duration of landiolol administration was 48 h from the same starting point. This may suggest that a longer duration of landiolol administration might affect the efficacy and safety of landiolol in the prevention of AF after surgery.

In the course of our study, we also discovered an interesting phenomenon: smoking might have correlation with the occurrence of POAF. We searched other relevant literature to analyze the relationship between smoking and POAF and found that smokers were more likely to encounter postoperative arrhythmia.47) Since smoking results in both the dysfunction of small airways and lung ventilation, it can further induce chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and subsequent cardiovascular lesions. Moreover, certain molecules in tobacco can trigger myocardial anoxia, coronary artery spasms, and variations in blood viscosity, such as nicotine, nitric oxide, and oxynitride. Smoking can also change blood composition, interfering the process of lipid metabolism and enhancing precipitation of cholesterol substances.⁴⁸⁾ Furthermore, it initiates the peroxidation of lipids, accelerating the progress of arterial sclerosis. These are all significant risk factors that could explain the high susceptibility of postoperative arrhythmia among smoking individuals.

In addition, we found that in the all included articles in our study, the surgical procedures of the included patients were not the same. Some patients performed videoassisted thoracic surgery (VATS), while the remaining patients underwent conventional open surgery. In these studies, the authors did not record the details of incidence of POAF in patients who received VATS versus those who underwent conventional open surgery in either the landiolol group or the control group. Many studies believe that the occurrence of POAF is closely related to the surgical approach. If we could study in different surgical methods the incidence of POAF after cardiothoracic surgery in the landiolol group and the control group, we would get a better understanding of not only the role and efficacy of landiolol in the prevention of POAF but also in which type of surgeries landiolol is more effective.

A previous meta-analysis^{21,49–51} simply reports the effect of landiolol in preventing POAF after cardiac surgery. The included studies all conclude that landiolol is effective in preventing POAF after cardiac surgery and could be well tolerated. However, their research had certain limitations due to the small number of included articles and single research indicators. In this meta-analysis, we made a subgroup analysis and combined the whole cardiothoracic surgery including cardiac, lung, and esophagus surgery to discover the efficacy of landiolol in the whole of cardiothoracic surgery. These results may prove beneficial to patients undergoing cardiothoracic surgery.

Limitations

There are several limitations to this study. First, we performed a combined meta-analysis of RCTs and cohort studies, which might weaken the strength of the overall results. Then, up to now, there have been few studies about the landiolol in the prevention and treatment of AF after esophageal and lung surgery. Due to the small number of included RCTs, the quality of our research might be affected to a certain extent.

Although our research had limitations, the significance of our research is also important. We have comprehensively analyzed the data of all the published articles, and we used statistical software to analyze the data in a comprehensive way to give more reliable results.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this meta-analysis demonstrated that landiolol effectively prevented the incidence of AF after cardiothoracic surgery without increasing the risk of major postoperative complications. Nevertheless, due to the potential bias and confounding in the included studies, the results should be elucidated cautiously. More high-quality studies comparing landiolol with placebo would be worthwhile.

Acknowledgment

We sincerely thank Dr. Jian Zhang for his guidance on this research and the funding support.

Author Contributions

Lunxu Liu: conceptualization, writing – review and editing, and funding acquisition.

Jianqi Hao: conceptualization, writing – original draft, and data curation.

Jian Zhou: methodology.

Wenying Xu: writing – original draft.

Cong Chen: validation.

Jian Zhang: funding acquisition and data curation. Haoning Peng: software.

Funding

This work was supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant 2020TQ0210) (ZJ); Post-Doctor Research Project, West China Hospital, Sichuan University (Grant 2020HXBH108) (ZJ); and the 1.3.5 project for disciplines of excellence, West China Hospital, Sichuan University (Grant ZYGD18021) (LL).

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Ma JY, Wang Y, Zhao YF, et al. Atrial fibrillation after surgery for esophageal carcinoma: clinical and prognostic significance. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12: 449–52.
- Roselli EE, Murthy SC, Rice TW, et al. Atrial fibrillation complicating lung cancer resection. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2005; 130: 438–44.
- Amar D. Postthoracotomy atrial fibrillation. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 2007; 20: 43–7.
- 4) Narayan SM, Cain ME, Smith JM. Atrial fibrillation. Lancet 1997; **350**: 943–50.
- Mathew JP, Parks R, Savino JS, et al. Atrial fibrillation following coronary artery bypass graft surgery: predictors, outcomes, and resource utilization. Multi-Center Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group. JAMA 1996; 276: 300–6.
- 6) Murthy SC, Law S, Whooley BP, et al. Atrial fibrillation after esophagectomy is a marker for postoperative morbidity and mortality. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2003; **126**: 1162–7.
- Amar D, Roistacher N, Burt M, et al. Clinical and echocardiographic correlates of symptomatic tachydysrhythmias after noncardiac thoracic surgery. Chest 1995; 108: 349–54.
- 8) Amar D, Roistacher N, Burt ME, et al. Effects of diltiazem versus digoxin on dysrhythmias and

cardiac function after pneumonectomy. Ann Thorac Surg 1997; **63**: 1374–81; discussion 81–2.

- Van Mieghem W, Tits G, Demuynck K, et al. Verapamil as prophylactic treatment for atrial fibrillation after lung operations. Ann Thorac Surg 1996; 61: 1083–5; discussion 86.
- Lindgren L, Lepäntalo M, von Knorring J, et al. Effect of verapamil on right ventricular pressure and atrial tachyarrhythmia after thoracotomy. Br J Anaesth 1991; 66: 205–11.
- Maisel WH, Rawn JD, Stevenson WG. Atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery. Ann Intern Med 2001; 135: 1061–73.
- Sezai A, Shiono M. The role of β-blockers in cardiac perioperative management. Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014; 20: 261–6.
- 13) January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 64: 2246–2280, 2014.
- 14) Crystal E, Connolly SJ, Sleik K, et al. Interventions on prevention of postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing heart surgery: a meta-analysis. Circulation 2002; **106**: 75–80.
- 15) Sezai A, Minami K, Nakai T, et al. Landiolol hydrochloride for prevention of atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass grafting: new evidence from the PASCAL trial. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2011; 141: 1478–87.
- Stevens RD, Burri H, Tramèr MR. Pharmacologic myocardial protection in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: a quantitative systematic review. Anesth Analg 2003; 97: 623–33.
- 17) Fujito K, Takayanagi R, Kimura K, et al. Evaluation of clinical bradycardiac effect and respiratory adverse effect of β-blocking agents in coronary computed tomography angiography based on theoretical analysis. Eur J Drug Metab Pharmacokinet 2016; **41**: 157–67.
- 18) Plosker GL. Landiolol: a review of its use in intraoperative and postoperative tachyarrhythmias. Drugs 2013; **73**: 959–77.
- 19) Ikeshita K, Nishikawa K, Toriyama S, et al. Landiolol has a less potent negative inotropic effect than esmolol in isolated rabbit hearts. J Anesth 2008; **22**: 361–6.
- 20) Aoyama H, Otsuka Y, Aoyama Y. Landiolol infusion during general anesthesia does not prevent postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing lung resection. Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2016; 64: 735–41.
- 21) Li L, Ai Q, Lin L, et al. Efficacy and safety of landiolol for prevention of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Int J Clin Exp Med 2015; 8: 10265–73.

- 22) Iguchi S, Iwamura H, Nishizaki M, et al. Development of a highly cardioselective ultra short-acting beta-blocker, ONO-1101. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo) 1992; **40**: 1462–9.
- 23) Sasao J, Tarver SD, Kindscher JD, et al. In rabbits, landiolol, a new ultra-short-acting beta-blocker, exerts a more potent negative chronotropic effect and less effect on blood pressure than esmolol. Can J Anaesth 2001; **48**: 985–9.
- 24) Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and metaanalysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015; **4**: 1.
- 25) Fujii M, Bessho R, Ochi M, et al. Effect of postoperative landiolol administration for atrial fibrillation after off pump coronary artery bypass surgery. J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino) 2012; **53**: 369–74.
- 26) Fujiwara H, Sakurai M, Namai A, et al. Effect of lowdose landiolol, an ultrashort-acting beta-blocker, on postoperative atrial fibrillation after CABG surgery. Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009; 57: 132–7.
- 27) Nagaoka E, Arai H, Tamura K, et al. Prevention of atrial fibrillation with ultra-low dose landiolol after off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014; **20**: 129–34.
- Nakanishi K, Takeda S, Kim C, et al. Postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting or cardiac valve surgery: intraoperative use of landiolol. J Cardiothorac Surg 2013; 8: 19.
- 29) Ogawa S, Okawa Y, Goto Y, et al. Perioperative use of a beta blocker in coronary artery bypass grafting. Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann 2013; **21**: 265–9.
- Sakaguchi M, Sasaki Y, Hirai H, et al. Efficacy of landiolol hydrochloride for prevention of atrial fibrillation after heart valve surgery. Int Heart J 2012; 53: 359–63.
- 31) Sakamoto A, Kitakaze M, Takamoto S, et al. Landiolol, an ultra-short-acting β_1 -blocker, more effectively terminates atrial fibrillation than diltiazem after open heart surgery: prospective, multicenter, randomized, open-label study (JL-KNIGHT study). Circ J 2012; **76**: 1097–101.
- 32) Sezai A, Nakai T, Hata M, et al. Feasibility of landiolol and bisoprolol for prevention of atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass grafting: a pilot study. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2012; **144**: 1241–8.
- 33) Sezai A, Osaka S, Yaoita H, et al. Safety and efficacy of landiolol hydrochloride for prevention of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery in patients with left ventricular dysfunction: Prevention of Atrial Fibrillation After Cardiac Surgery With Landiolol Hydrochloride for Left Ventricular Dysfunction (PLATON) trial. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2015; 150: 957–64.
- 34) Horikoshi Y, Goyagi T, Kudo R, et al. The suppressive effects of landiolol administration on the occurrence

of postoperative atrial fibrillation and tachycardia, and plasma IL-6 elevation in patients undergoing esophageal surgery: A randomized controlled clinical trial. J Clin Anesth 2017; **38**: 111–6.

- 35) Ojima T, Nakamori M, Nakamura M, et al. Randomized clinical trial of landiolol hydrochloride for the prevention of atrial fibrillation and postoperative complications after oesophagectomy for cancer. Br J Surg 2017; 104: 1003–9.
- 36) Aoki Y, Kawasaki Y, Ide K, et al. Landiolol hydrochloride for prevention of atrial fibrillation during esophagectomy: a randomized controlled trial. JA Clin Rep 2020; 6: 34.
- Yoshida T, Furukita Y, Yamamoto Y, et al. A randomized, open label study of the efficacy of prophylactic 24-h low-dose landiolol for atrial fibrillation in transthoracic esophagectomy. Esophagus 2017; 14: 97–103.
- 38) Nojiri T, Yamamoto K, Maeda H, et al. Efficacy of low-dose landiolol, an ultrashort-acting β-blocker, on postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing pulmonary resection for lung cancer. Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2011; 59: 799–805.
- 39) Okita T, Uji M, Shinjo T, et al. [Use of landiolol hydrochloride for the prevention of atrial fibrillation after lung resection]. Masui 2008; **57**: 953–8.
- 40) Liskmann S, Vihalemm T, Salum O, et al. Correlations between clinical parameters and interleukin-6 and interleukin-10 levels in saliva from totally edentulous patients with peri-implant disease. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2006; 21: 543–50.
- 41) van Hall G. Cytokines: muscle protein and amino acid metabolism. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2012; 15: 85–91.
- 42) Lo B, Fijnheer R, Nierich AP, et al. C-reactive protein is a risk indicator for atrial fibrillation after myocardial revascularization. Ann Thorac Surg 2005; **79**: 1530–5.

- Cooklin M, Gold MR. Implications and treatment of atrial fibrillation after cardiothoracic surgery. Curr Opin Cardiol 1998; 13: 20–7.
- 44) Adelborg K, Szépligeti SK, Holland-Bill L, et al. Migraine and risk of cardiovascular diseases: Danish population based matched cohort study. BMJ 2018; 360: k96.
- 45) Motomura S, Hagihara A, Narumi Y, et al. Time course of a new ultrashort-acting beta-adrenoceptor-blocking drug, ONO-1101: comparison with those of esmolol and propranolol by using the canine isolated, blood-perfused heart preparations. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1998; **31**: 431–40.
- 46) Nojiri T, Yamamoto K, Maeda H, et al. Efficacy of low-dose landiolol, an ultrashort-acting β-blocker, on postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing pulmonary resection for lung cancer. Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2011; 59: 799–805.
- 47) Boriani G, Proietti M. Atrial fibrillation prevention: an appraisal of current evidence. Heart 2018; 104: 882–887.
- 48) Johnson LS, Juhlin T, Engström G, et al. Risk factor changes and incident atrial fibrillation among middle-aged men in the Malmö Preventive Project cohort. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother 2016; 2: 81–7.
- 49) Liu S, Bian C, Zhang Y, et al. Landiolol hydrochloride for prevention of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2014; 37: 691–6.
- 50) Sakamoto A, Hamasaki T, Kitakaze M. Perioperative landiolol administration reduces atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Adv Ther 2014; **31**: 440–50.
- 51) Tamura T, Yatabe T, Yokoyama M. Prevention of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery using low-dose landiolol: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Anesth 2017; **42**: 1–6.