



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Editorial

Air travel and COVID-19 prevention: Fasten your seat belts, turbulence ahead



ARTICLE INFO

Keywords

Air travel
 COVID-19
 SARS-CoV-2
 Prevention
 Transmission

It is widely accepted that the epidemiological curve of COVID-19 can only be flattened in a team action, taking into account all factors that could contribute to the spread of the virus. At the same time, individual sectors (e.g. entertainment and sporting industries, hospitality business, education, aviation) lobby, even take legal action, to be excluded from tough lockdown measures by showing that they do not contribute significantly to the spread of SARS-CoV-2. Therefore, balanced evidence-based decision-making becomes more and more fundamental to justify any restrictions of both private and professional life.

In this issue of TMAID, in their rapid narrative review, Michel Bielecki and colleagues summarise the current (as of October 2020) literature on COVID-19 prevention during and around air travel [1]. A little after that, about a (supersonic) flight's time back and forth from Europe to Australia later, Aisha Khatib et al. reported their findings on the same topic [2]; this illustrates the urgency of the matter as airlines struggle to remain operational and to re-build capacity, facing two categories of travellers: Those reluctant to fly for fear of a perceived increased risk of contracting the virus and a significant number of mostly business travellers, eager to rebuild a heavily compromised world economy. Early in the crisis, the World Health Organization (WHO) provided an interim guidance document on operational considerations for managing COVID-19 cases or outbreaks in aviation [3], and the International Civil Aviation Organization ICAO, a United Nations Specialized Agency, provided a first set of detailed guidelines for airports, aircraft, crew and cargo for navigation aids through the COVID-19 pandemic [4]. At the time of writing, WHO is convening a guideline development group to advise on infection prevention related to air travel.

SARS-CoV-2 spread across the globe as a stowaway on commercial aircraft well before the dimension of the problem was obvious and well-recognised [5]. Consequently, air-travel restrictions may curb its global spread to (from the virus' perspective) uncharted territories [6]. Yet, in relation to another respiratory virus, influenza, as Khatib and colleagues point out: "... that only extensive travel restrictions had any meaningful effect on reducing the magnitude of epidemics, and on their own, might

delay the spread and peak of pandemics by a few weeks or months but *the evidence for containment of influenza within a defined geographical area was lacking*" [2]. On an individual level, in-flight transmission has been well-documented and without doubt contributes to the spread of COVID-19. However, as a COVID-19 pandemic era variant of the time-honoured *bon mot* that the most dangerous part of air travel is the way to the airport, the multiple occasions of virus transmission prior to boarding and after disembarking emerge as more critical regarding the scale and dimension of COVID-19 transmission amongst individuals. Whereas it has been shown before that, not surprisingly, airports could be formidable hubs for microbial passengers travelling in packs of zillions [7], it begs the question whether a traveller's presence in an airport terminal poses a significantly bigger risk to the individual or public health and society than being in a shopping mall?

In the MMWR of November 13, 2020, the CDC reports on the cessation of the screening of inbound international travellers to the USA, concluding that temperature and symptom screening at airports detected few COVID-19 cases at considerable cost, yielding one single identified case per 85,000 travellers screened. As potential reasons for the low yield, multiple factors including an overall low COVID-19 prevalence in travellers; the relatively long incubation period; and an illness presenting with a broad spectrum in terms of asymptomatic infections; afebrile cases, range of severity, and non-specific symptoms commonly shared with other 'flu-like' infections; as well as travellers who might be in denial of their symptoms or even take steps to lower the odds of their illness being detected [8].

Likewise, unpublished data on PCR screening of departing travellers from South Africa for the period March to November 2020 shows that less than 1% of intending travellers tested positive (6 of 833 screened passengers [0.72%]).

Bielicki et al. recognise failures in accounting appropriately for the 'human factor' in terms of behavioural aspects as well as the wealth of individual (regulators') and airlines' approaches (comparable to the plethora of individual countries', and even within-country regions'

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101927>

Received 17 November 2020; Accepted 17 November 2020

Available online 20 November 2020

1477-8939/© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

responses to similar scenarios of viral spread) as driving forces behind aviation-related growth of the pandemic.

Every crisis also comes with opportunities. Innovative tools are increasingly being used in aviation that will also be valuable in containing future pandemics such as photo-epidemiology to assess mask use, mobile applications for contact tracing [9], antiviral materials and coatings for the decontamination of inanimate surfaces [10].

A common denominator as a baseline to determine risk versus benefit has always been, and will always be, a major challenge in deciding on the in- or exclusion of an intervention in the heterogenic population that defines 'a traveller'. Trying to plot a way for safe airline travel in the vast expanse that is the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, is no different. Given the complexity of the task, it is difficult, if not impossible to provide clear-cut, undisputable evidence for or against the effectivity, efficacy and effectiveness of many current interventions. All taken together; undeniably, with the often subjective interests of various stakeholders involved, we have to brace ourselves for some further delays prior to departure, frustration on the runway and in-flight turbulence on our way towards a 'new normal' in post-pandemic air travel.

Potential conflicts of interests

None of the authors has any conflict of interest to declare.

Financial support

None received.

Author contributions

MPG, FS and AdF conceived and jointly wrote the paper. All authors agree to its final version.

References

- [1] Bielicki M, Patel D, Hinkelbein J, et al. Air travel and COVID-19 prevention in the pandemic and peri-pandemic period: a narrative review. *Trav Med Infect Dis* 2020; 38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101915>. 00-00, [Epub ahead of print].
- [2] Khatib AN, Carvalho AM, Primavesi R, To K, Poirier V. Navigating the risks of flying during COVID-19: a review for safe air travel. *J Trav Med* 2020 Nov 12. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taaa212>. taaa212, Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33184655.
- [3] International Civil Aviation Organization Council Aviation Recovery Task Force (CART). Take-off: guidance for air travel through the COVID-19 public health crisis. 14 November, <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331488/WHO-2019-nCoV-Aviation-2020.1-eng.pdf>; 2020.
- [4] World Health Organization. Operational considerations for managing COVID-19 cases or outbreak in aviation. WHO Geneva; 18 March 2020. <https://www.icao.int/covid/cart/Pages/CART-Take-off.aspx>. [Accessed 14 November 2020].

- [5] Ribeiro SP, D'Átilo W, Barbosa DS, et al. Worldwide COVID-19 spreading explained: traveling numbers as a primary driver for the pandemic. *An Acad Bras Cienc* 2020;92(4):e20201139.
- [6] Shi S, Tanaka S, Ueno R, Gilmour S, et al. Travel restrictions and SARS-CoV-2 transmission: an effective distance approach to estimate impact. *Bull World Health Organ* 2020;98(8):518–29. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.20.255679>. Epub ahead of print.
- [7] Schaumburg F, Köck R, Leendertz FH, Becker K. Airport door handles and the global spread of anti-microbial resistant bacteria: a cross-sectional study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2016;22(12):1010–1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2016.09.010>. PMID: 27670919.
- [8] Dollard P, Griffin I, Berro A. Risk assessment and management of COVID-19 among travelers arriving at designated U.S. Airports, January 17–September 13, 2020. *MMWR (Morb Mortal Wkly Rep) November 13, 2020*;69(45):1681–5.
- [9] Braithwaite I, Callender T, Bullock M, Aldridge RW. Automated and partly automated contact tracing: a systematic review to inform the control of COVID-19. *Lancet Digit Health* 2020 Nov;2(11):e607–21. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(20\)30184-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30184-9). PMID: 32839755; PMCID: PMC7438082. Epub 2020 Aug 19.
- [10] Imani SM, Ladouceur L, Marshall T, et al. Antimicrobial nanomaterials and coatings: current mechanisms and future perspectives to control the spread of viruses including SARS-CoV-2. *ACS Nano* 2020 Oct 27;14(10):12341–69. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.0c05937>. PMID: 33034443; PMCID: PMC7553040. Epub 2020 Oct 9.

Martin P. Grobusch*

Centre for Tropical Medicine and Travel Medicine, Department of Infectious Diseases, Amsterdam University Medical Centres, Location AMC, Amsterdam Public Health, Amsterdam Infection & Immunity, University of Amsterdam, Meibergdreef 9, 1105, AZ, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
Institute of Infectious Diseases and Molecular Medicine IDM, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
Institut für Tropenmedizin, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
Centre de Reserchers Médicales CERMEL, Lambaréné, Gabon
Masanga Medical Research Unit, Masanga, Sierra Leone

Frieder Schaumburg

Masanga Medical Research Unit, Masanga, Sierra Leone
Institute of Medical Microbiology, University Hospital Münster, Münster, Germany

Albie de Frey

International Health Management Consultants S.A. iNHEMACO, Geneva, Switzerland
School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

* Corresponding author. Institute of Infectious Diseases and Molecular Medicine IDM, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa.

E-mail address: m.p.grobusch@amsterdamumc.nl (M.P. Grobusch).