RESEARCH



Open Access

The effectiveness of dual-phase ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in the detection of epithelial ovarian carcinoma: a pilot study

Jae Kwan Lee¹, Kyung-Jin Min¹, Kyeong A So¹, Sungeun Kim^{2*} and Jin Hwa Hong^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The aim of our study is to establish the potential role of dual-phase ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography / computed tomography (FDG-PET/CT) in patients presenting ovarian masses with diffuse peritoneal infiltration for differentiating benign from malignant lesions.

Methods: Twenty patients (13 with ovarian cancers and 7 with benign lesions) were evaluated preoperatively by dual-phase ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT performed 1 h and 2 h after injection of ¹⁸F-FDG. The maximum standardized uptake value (SUV_{max}) for both time points SUV_{max1} and SUV_{max2} were determined, respectively, and the retention index (RI) was calculated by subtracting the SUV_{max1} from the SUV_{max2} and dividing by SUV_{max1}.

Results: The areas under the receiver operating characteristic curves (AUCs) of SUV_{max1} and SUV_{max2} were 0.753 (P = 0.062, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.512–0.915) and 0.835 (P = 0.001, 95% CI = 0.604–0.961), respectively. The AUC of the RI was 0.901 (P < 0.001, 95% CI = 0.684–0.988). Using pairwise comparisons, the AUC of SUV_{max2} was significantly higher than that of SUV_{max1} (P = 0.032). The AUC of the RI was higher than those of SUV_{max1} and SUV_{max2}, but the difference was not statistically significant.

Conclusion: Dual-phase ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT might be considered when preoperative imaging is indeterminate. A larger-scaled, prospective study is needed to verify these results.

Keywords: Standardized uptake value, Ovarian cancer, Positron emission tomography, Retention index, Dual-phase

Background

Epithelial ovarian cancer is the leading cause of death among all other gynecological malignancies. The symptoms of this cancer are usually nonspecific, and most women are diagnosed at advanced stages. Therefore, early detection and characterization is crucial in patients who present with an adnexal mass for prompt surgical intervention and for improving survival. However, characterization of adnexal masses is often challenging to clinicians because both benign and malignant adnexal masses have overlapping imaging features [1]. To date, no strategies have proved to be effective in screening and early diagnosis of ovarian cancer [2]. Numerous imaging modalities, including computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance

* Correspondence: seiong@korea.ac.kr; jhblue5@naver.com

²Department of Nuclear Medicine, Guro Hospital, College of Medicine, Korea University, Seoul, Seoul, Republic of Korea

imaging (MRI), have been proposed as useful adjuncts to conventional ultrasound for the detection of ovarian cancer. However, despite having higher sensitivity and specificity than ultrasound, preoperative diagnosis using CT or MRI is often indeterminate [3,4]. Until recently, most series have used ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography/CT (18F-FDG-PET/CT) to detect recurrence or distant metastasis [5-8]. In contrast, only a few studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in detecting primary ovarian cancer [9,10]. Despite high diagnostic value in identifying primary ovarian cancer, some pitfalls exist. False-positive results have been reported in patients with pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), tubo-ovarian abscess, and endometriosis [11,12], and false-negative results have been reported in patients with borderline tumors and early stage ovarian cancer [13].

To overcome this problem, acquisition of delayed images has been suggested. A previous study has demonstrated



© 2014 Lee et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Guro Hospital, College of Medicine, Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

that ¹⁸F-FDG uptake continues to rise for several hours after the injection of the radiotracer in various malignancies [14]. This dual-time acquisition procedure has proved to be valuable in differentiating benign from malignant lesions in some solid tumors [15-19]. However, it has not been validated in patients with ovarian cancers.

The aim of our study is to establish the potential role of dual-phase ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT for improving diagnostic accuracy of ovarian cancers.

Methods

Patients

From March 2009 to February 2011, we enrolled 20 consecutive patients presenting ovarian masses with diffuse peritoneal infiltration identified on abdomen-pelvis CT or pelvic MRI scans at Guro Hospital, Korea University. Each patient underwent conventional diagnostic workup, including physical examination, pelvic ultrasound, and abdomen-pelvis CT or pelvic MRI. In all patients, images on CT or MRI suggested either benign or malignant masses with similar probability, making differential diagnosis difficult. To further confirm the characteristics of the masses, dual-phase ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT examinations were then performed in all patients. Patients who were pregnant, had a history of other types of cancers, or had liver cirrhosis, renal failure, or cardiovascular disease were excluded. All suspicious lesions were confirmed histopathologically by surgical exploration. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board for Research on Human Subjects at the Guro Hospital, Korea University, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Dual-phase ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT examination

All patients fasted for at least 6 h to maintain serum glucose level of <140 mg/dL before examination. One hour after intravenous injection of 555 MBq of ¹⁸F-FDG, each patient was scanned from the cerebellum to the pelvis using a PET/CT scanner (Gemini Time of Flight PET/CT, Philips, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). An additional delayed scan of the same area was taken 2 h after the ¹⁸F-FDG injection using the same scanner. Neither muscle relaxant nor contrast agent was administered.

¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT data analysis

All images were interpreted and analyzed by 2 experienced nuclear medicine physicians with all available clinical information. PET images were analyzed on a dedicated workstation (Extended Brilliance Workspace 3.5 with PET/CT viewer for automated image registration, Philips, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). After image reconstruction, regions of interest were accurately placed over the lesion visualized on the PET images. All regions of interest were quantitatively evaluated using the standardized uptake value (SUV). The maximum SUV was calculated using the following formula:

SUV = tissue concentration \times injected dose⁻¹ \times body weight⁻¹

For quantitative analysis of the uptake of the lesion, a region of interest was placed over the area of maximum activity within the lesion, and the maximum SUV (SUV_{max}) was calculated from the measured tissue concentration at 1 h (SUV_{max1}) and 2 h (SUV_{max2}). The retention index (RI) was calculated by subtracting the SUV_{max1} from the SUV_{max2} and dividing by SUV_{max1}.

Statistical analysis

The diagnostic accuracy of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in differentiating benign from malignant lesions was determined based on the histopathological findings. Numerical data such as age, parity, and body mass index were expressed as median (range). Mean percentage changes between SUV_{max1} and SUV_{max2} in both malignant and benign lesions were calculated, and were compared using the two-tailed Student's t-test. Individual receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves of SUV_{max1} , SUV_{max2} , and RI were analyzed to determine their clinical significance in differentiating benign from malignant lesions. Pairwise comparisons of their performances were conducted using the Hanley and McNeil method. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using MedCalc Software for Windows (Version 11.6.0, MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium).

Results

Baseline characteristics of the enrolled patients are summarized in Table 1. Of the 20 patients, 13 were histopathologically diagnosed with primary epithelial ovarian cancers; 8 serous, 3 mucinous, and 2 endometrioid. The

	•	
Characteristics	Malignant (N = 13)	Benign (<i>N</i> = 7)
Age (years)	64 (50–80)	48 (30–69)
Parity	2 (0-3)	2 (0–4)
BMI (kg/m²)	23.5 ± 4.6	25.8 ± 5.5
Histopathology	8 serous	3 pelvic tuberculosis
	3 mucinous	3 endometriosis
	2 endometrioid	1 PID
Tumor size (cm)	7.5 (4–20)	3 (1–7)
SUV _{max1}	7.7 (3.3-11.2)	3.2 (1.7-8.8)
SUV _{max2}	10.1 (4.3-16.8)	4.3 (2.3-10.2)
RI	40 (16.2-50.0)	15.9 (1.2-35.3)

BMI = body mass index, SUV = standardized uptake value, RI = retention index, PID = pelvic inflammatory disease.

Data are presented as median (range) or mean \pm SD.

remaining 7 had non-cancerous lesions such as pelvic tuberculosis (3 patients), endometriosis (3 patients), and PID (1 patient). The median size of ovarian masses in 13 patients with primary epithelial ovarian cancers was 9.5 cm (range, 4-20), whereas the median size of ovarian masses in 7 patients with non-cancerous lesions was 3 cm (range, 1-7). In addition, all 20 patients showed diffuse peritoneal infiltration, such as peritoneal nodularity, omental or mesenteric infiltration, suggesting peritoneal carcinomatosis. Preoperative findings on CT or MRI scans in 13 patients with primary epithelial ovarian cancers mostly suggested malignancy as the first differential diagnosis, but other conditions could not be excluded using these scans, such as borderline ovarian malignancy, benign ovarian tumors, or soft tissue masses. In contrast, CT or MRI findings in 7 patients with benign lesions strongly suggested malignancies, but the possibilities of benign inflammatory lesions could not be completely excluded.

The mean percentage changes between SUV_{max1} and SUV_{max2} in malignant and benign lesions were 2.6 ± 1.4 and 0.6 ± 0.4 , respectively, indicating that SUV_{max} was significantly increased in malignant compared to benign

lesions (P = 0.014). The PET/CT images taken 1 and 2 h after ¹⁸F-FDG injection in a patient with ovarian cancer and peritoneal carcinomatosis and in a patient with pelvic tuberculosis are presented in Figure 1. SUV_{max1} was 11.5 and 10.1 in a patient with ovarian cancer and a patient with pelvic tuberculosis, respectively. However, SUV_{max^2} increased to 13.6 in the patient with ovarian cancer, whereas it decreased to 10.0 in the patient with pelvic tuberculosis. The results of the ROC analysis of SUV_{max1} are shown in Figure 2. The area under the curve (AUC) of SUV_{max1} was 0.753 (P = 0.063, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.512 - 0.915, indicating that was not a useful parameter in differentiating benign from malignant lesions. When the $\mathrm{SUV}_{\mathrm{max1}}$ cutoff value was set at 3.2, the sensitivity and specificity were 100% and 57.1%, respectively. The results of the ROC analysis of SUV_{max2} are shown in Figure 3. In contrast, the AUC of SUV_{max2} was 0.835 (P = 0.001, 95% CI = 0.604 - 0.961), showing that the clinical usefulness of SUV_{max2} in differentiating benign from malignant lesions was statistically significant. When the SUV_{max2} cutoff value was set at 3.9, the sensitivity and specificity were 100% and 57.1%, respectively. Figure 4 shows the results of the



Figure 1 The PET/CT images taken 1 h and 2 h after ¹⁸F-FDG injection in a patient with ovarian cancer with peritoneal carcinomatosis (a) and pelvic tuberculosis (b). (a) Whole body MIP image (upper). Axial image showed that FDG uptake at 2 h was significantly increased than FDG uptake at 1 h (lower) (b) Whole body MIP image (upper). Axial image showed almost no change of FDG uptake between at 2 h and at 1 h (lower). MIP = multiple intensity projection.



ROC curve analysis of RI. The AUC was 0.901 (P < 0.001, 95% CI = 0.684–0.988), showing the greatest significance among the 3 parameters (SUV_{max1}, SUV_{max2}, and RI). When the RI cutoff value was set at 16.67, the sensitivity and specificity were 92.3% and 71.4%, respectively.

Pairwise comparison of the ROC curves was performed to compare the clinical utility of the 3 parameters in differentiating benign from malignant lesions. The AUC of SUV_{max2} was significantly higher than that of SUV_{max1} (P = 0.032). The AUC of RI was higher than both those of SUV_{max1} and SUV_{max2} , but the differences obtained were not statistically significant.





Discussion

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the value of dual-phase ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in differentiating benign from malignant lesions in patients with indeterminate adnexal masses. We demonstrated that SUV_{max2} measured from images obtained from delayed phase scanning have a pivotal role when preoperative diagnosis is indeterminate. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the clinical effectiveness of dual-phase ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in the initial diagnosis of suspected malignant adnexal masses.

Although ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT is a useful tool in differentiating benign from malignant lesions, it cannot be used for specifically determining malignant lesions. Some infectious or inflammatory lesions can also cause high ¹⁸F-FDG uptake [20]. For example, Chen et al. [21] reported a patient with an adnexal mass referred for ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT scan owing to elevated tumor marker levels. The ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT scan showed multiple hypermetabolic foci in the peritoneum that mimicked peritoneal carcinomatosis. However, peritoneal tuberculosis was confirmed later. In our study, 3 out of 7 benign lesions were due to pelvic tuberculosis and mimicked peritoneal carcinomatosis. Conversely, most advanced stage ovarian cancers show peritoneal metastasis, and such peritoneal lesions often cause confusion during diagnosis because images obtained for these cancers are similar to those obtained for benign inflammatory conditions involving the gross peritoneal surface, as seen in our series.

Generally, whole-body ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT examination is performed 1 h after the administration of ¹⁸F-FDG. This seems to be ideal taking into account the half-life of the radiotracer, which is 110 min [17]. However, many different acquisition protocols have been proposed to overcome the aforementioned false-negative or falsepositive results obtained with ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT. Saito et al. [15] used a protocol involving early-phase and delayed-phase scans (1 h and 2 h after ¹⁸F-FDG administration) in 48 consecutive patients with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasia of the pancreas. In this study, SUV_{max} increased further in the delayed phase imaging in 92.3% patients with malignant pancreatic neoplasia, and only 60.0% of those with benign pancreatic neoplasia. This result is consistent with that of ours, in which SUV_{max} increased significantly in delayed phase PET/CT in malignant but not benign lesions. Cheng et al. performed dual-phase ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in 35 patients with equivocal infiltrative hepatic lesions on abdominal CT or MRI scans [16]. In contrast to our study, the authors observed that SUV_{max} was significantly higher in infiltrative hepatic malignancies than in benign lesions in both early $(5.9 \pm 5.0 \text{ versus } 3.9 \pm 1.7, \text{ respectively})$ and delayed images $(6.8 \pm 10.2 \text{ versus } 4.1 \pm 3.9, \text{ respectively})$. Thus, early phase SUV_{max} of benign and malignant lesions were significantly different. In our study, only the AUC of SUV_{max2} was statistically significant in differentiating benign from malignant lesions. This might be attributed to either a different time point (45 min) for the early phase ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT examination or organ-specific characteristics. Caprio et al. evaluated the performance of dual-phase ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT in 48 patients suspected with breast lesions [17]. In their study, dual-time point acquisition of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT displayed an accuracy of 85% for lesions with a $SUV_{max} \ge 2.5$, with sensitivity and specificity values of 81% and 100% compared with 63% and 100%, respectively, for the single-time point acquisition. In our study, the sensitivity and specificity of the SUV_{max2} were 100% and 57.1%, respectively, with a $SUV_{max2} > 3.9$. The relatively small number of patients and the different time point of the (3 h) in delayed phase ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT examination might account for the differences in sensitivity and specificity. Yang et al. investigated whether adding delayed phase imaging can improve diagnostic ability of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET in evaluating solitary pulmonary nodules [18]. In their study, combined early and delayed phase scans of 28 patients showed correct diagnosis of the 3 malignant lesions with an initial SUV < 2.5. Despite minor differences in the study design and results compared to those of our study, the abovementioned studies confirmed the clinical usefulness of dual-phase PET/CT in differentiating benign from malignant lesions.

The major limitations of the current study include the small number of patients. Although patients were prospectively enrolled at the beginning of this study, selection bias could have been introduced. In other words, the clinicians' attitudes to dual-phase PET/CT might affect the referral of patients. Second, this study was performed in a single institution. Differences in image acquisition and interpretation might influence the study results. Therefore, an additional large-scale, prospective study is necessary to check if our findings have an impact on future clinical practice. In addition, costeffectiveness analysis should also be conducted. Despite these limitations, the findings of the present study provide useful information for the management of patients with equivocal adnexal masses; the sensitivities of both MRI and single-phase ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT for detecting ovarian cancer have reported to be similar [22,23]. Hence, if differential diagnosis of an adnexal mass is indeterminate by MRI, then probably the usefulness of single-phase ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT are also limited. Dualphase ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT may be a better procedure in resolving this ambiguity. Accurate preoperative assessment could help clinicians perform prompt debulking surgery in patients with "true positive" ovarian cancers, and avoid unnecessary surgery in patients with benign conditions.

Conclusion

 $\rm SUV_{max2}$ obtained from dual-phase $^{18}\rm F-FDG-PET/CT$ is a useful parameter in differentiating benign from malignant lesions in patients with indeterminate adnexal masses. Further studies with larger patient populations are warranted.

Abbreviations

FDG-PET/CT: Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography / computed tomography; SUV: The standardized uptake value; SUV_{max}: The maximum standardized uptake value; AUCs: The areas under the receiver operating characteristic curves; CI: Confidence interval; CT: Computed tomography; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; PID: Pelvic inflammatory disease; RI: Retention index.

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SK and JHH devised the study and supervised the data collection. JKL and JHH performed wrote the manuscript. KAS and KJM contributed to the discussions and collected the data and performed the analyses. All authors contributed to the discussions. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This study was partly supported by a grant of the Korean Health Technology R&D Project, Ministry for Health, Welfare & Family Affairs, Republic of Korea (A070001) and a grant from Korea University (grant number K1300191 and K1132631).

Received: 12 December 2013 Accepted: 3 February 2014 Published: 5 February 2014

References

 Jeong YY, Outwater EK, Kang HK: Imaging evaluation of ovarian masses. Radiographics 2000, 20:1445–1470.

- Gadducci A, Cosio S, Zola P, Landoni F, Maggino T, Sartori E: Surveillance procedures for patients treated for epithelial ovarian cancer: a review of the literature. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2007, 17:21–31.
- Hricak H, Chen M, Coakley FV, Kinkel K, Yu KK, Sica G, Bacchetti P, Powell CB: Complex adnexal masses: detection and characterization with MR imaging–multivariate analysis. *Radiology* 2000, 214:39–46.
- Forstner R, Hricak H, Occhipinti KA, Powell CB, Frankel SD, Stern JL: Ovarian cancer: staging with CT and MR imaging. *Radiology* 1995, 197:619–626.
- Chung HH, Kwon HW, Kang KW, Kim JW, Park NH, Song YS, Kang SB: Preoperative [F]FDG PET/CT predicts recurrence in patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. *Journal of Gynecologic Oncology* 2012, 23:28–34.
- Sanli Y, Turkmen C, Bakir B, lyibozkurt C, Ozel S, Has D, Yilmaz E, Topuz S, Yavuz E, Unal SN, Mudun A: Diagnostic value of PET/CT is similar to that of conventional MRI and even better for detecting small peritoneal implants in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer. *Nucl Med Commun* 2012, 33:509–515.
- Antunovic L, Cimitan M, Borsatti E, Baresic T, Sorio R, Giorda G, Steffan A, Balestreri L, Tatta R, Pepe G, et al: Revisiting the clinical value of 18F-FDG PET/CT in detection of recurrent epithelial ovarian carcinomas: correlation with histology, serum CA-125 assay, and conventional radiological modalities. *Clin Nucl Med* 2012, 37:e184–e188.
- Thrall MM, DeLoia JA, Gallion H, Avril N: Clinical use of combined positron emission tomography and computed tomography (FDG-PET/CT) in recurrent ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 2007, 105:17–22.
- Risum S, Hogdall C, Loft A, Berthelsen AK, Hogdall E, Nedergaard L, Lundvall L, Engelholm SA: The diagnostic value of PET/CT for primary ovarian cancer-a prospective study. *Gynecol Oncol* 2007, 105:145–149.
- Castellucci P, Perrone AM, Picchio M, Ghi T, Farsad M, Nanni C, Messa C, Meriggiola MC, Pelusi G, Al-Nahhas A, *et al*: Diagnostic accuracy of 18F-FDG PET/CT in characterizing ovarian lesions and staging ovarian cancer: correlation with transvaginal ultrasonography, computed tomography, and histology. *Nucl Med Commun* 2007, 28:589–595.
- Fenchel S, Grab D, Nuessle K, Kotzerke J, Rieber A, Kreienberg R, Brambs HJ, Reske SN: Asymptomatic adnexal masses: correlation of FDG PET and histopathologic findings. *Radiology* 2002, 223:780–788.
- Woodward PJ, Hosseinzadeh K, Saenger JS: From the archives of the AFIP: radiologic staging of ovarian carcinoma with pathologic correlation. *Radiographics* 2004, 24:225–246.
- Iyer VR, Lee SI: MRI, CT, and PET/CT for ovarian cancer detection and adnexal lesion characterization. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2010, 194:311–321.
- Matthies A, Hickeson M, Cuchiara A, Alavi A: Dual time point 18F-FDG PET for the evaluation of pulmonary nodules. J Nucl Med 2002, 43:871–875.
- Saito M, Ishihara T, Tada M, Tsuyuguchi T, Mikata R, Sakai Y, Tawada K, Sugiyama H, Kurosawa J, Otsuka M, et al: Use of F-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography with dual-phase imaging to identify intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Fepatology* 2013, 11:181–186.
- Cheng MF, Wu YW, Liu KL, Yen RF, Wu YM, Hsu C, Chang YT, Tzen KY, Wang HP: Diagnostic value of 18F-FDG-PET/CT in indeterminate infiltrative hepatic lesions in an endemic area of viral hepatitis. *Nuclear medicine* communications 2011, 32:252–259.
- Caprio MG, Cangiano A, Imbriaco M, Soscia F, Di Martino G, Farina A, Avitabile G, Pace L, Forestieri P, Salvatore M: Dual-time-point [18F]-FDG PET/CT in the diagnostic evaluation of suspicious breast lesions. La Radiologia medica 2010, 115:215–224.
- Yang P, Xu XY, Liu XJ, Gong JS: The value of delayed (18)F FDG-PET imaging in diagnosis of solitary pulmonary nodules: a preliminary study on 28 patients. *Quant Imaging Med Surg* 2011, 1:31–34.
- Soussan M, Nataf V, Kerrou K, Grahek D, Pascal O, Talbot JN, Montravers F: Added value of early 18F-FDOPA PET/CT acquisition time in medullary thyroid cancer. Nuclear medicine communications 2012, 33:775–779.
- Ichiya Y, Kuwabara Y, Sasaki M, Yoshida T, Akashi Y, Murayama S, Nakamura K, Fukumura T, Masuda K: FDG-PET in infectious lesions: The detection and assessment of lesion activity. Ann Nucl Med 1996, 10:185–191.
- Chen CJ, Yao WJ, Chou CY, Chiu NT, Lee BF, Wu PS: Peritoneal tuberculosis with elevated serum CA125 mimicking peritoneal carcinomatosis on F-18 FDG-PET/CT. Ann Nucl Med 2008, 22:525–527.

- Grab D, Flock F, Stohr I, Nussle K, Rieber A, Fenchel S, Brambs HJ, Reske SN, Kreienberg R: Classification of asymptomatic adnexal masses by ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging, and positron emission tomography. *Gynecol Oncol* 2000, **77**:454–459.
- Kumar R, Alavi A: PET imaging in gynecologic malignancies. Radiol Clin North Am 2004, 42:1155–1167. ix.

doi:10.1186/1757-2215-7-15

Cite this article as: Lee *et al*.: The effectiveness of dual-phase ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in the detection of epithelial ovarian carcinoma: a pilot study. *Journal of Ovarian Research* 2014 **7**:15.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central